Animal Nutrition 14 (2023) 32-42

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Animal Nutrition

KeA1 CHINESE ROOTS GLOBAL IMPACT

journal homepage: http://www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/aninu/

Original Research Article

The direct and gut microbiota-mediated effects of dietary bile acids on the improvement of gut barriers in largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)



Rui Xia ^{a, 1}, Qingshuang Zhang ^{a, 1}, Dongmei Xia ^{a, 1}, Qiang Hao ^{a, b}, Qianwen Ding ^{a, b}, Chao Ran ^c, Yalin Yang ^c, Aizhi Cao ^d, Zhen Zhang ^{c, *}, Zhigang Zhou ^{a, e, *}

^a China-Norway Joint Lab on Fish Gut Microbiota, Institute of Feed Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

^b Norway-China Joint Lab on Fish Gut Microbiota, Institute of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

^c Key Laboratory for Feed Biotechnology of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Institute of Feed Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural

Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

^d Shandong Longchang Animal Health Care Co., Ltd., Jinan 251100, China

^e Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Science, Jiangxi 330000, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 August 2022 Received in revised form 29 December 2022 Accepted 15 March 2023 Available online 20 April 2023

Keywords: Bile acids Gut barrier Gut microbiota Largemouth bass Germ-free zebrafish

ABSTRACT

Fish gut barrier damage under intensive culture model is a significant concern for aquaculture industry. This study aimed to investigate the effects of bile acids (BAs) on gut barriers in Micropterus salmoides. A germ-free (GF) zebrafish model was employed to elucidate the effects of the direct stimulation of BAs and the indirect regulations mediated by the gut microbiota on gut barrier functions. Four diets were formulated with BAs supplemented at 0, 150, 300 and 450 mg/kg, and these 4 diets were defined as control, BA150, BA300 and BA450, respectively. After 5 weeks of feeding experiment, the survival rate of fish fed with BA300 diet was increased (P < 0.05). Histological analysis revealed an improvement of gut structural integrity in the BA150 and BA300 groups. Compared with the control group, the expression of genes related to chemical barrier (mucin, lysozyme and complement 1) and physical barrier (occludin and claudin-4) was increased in the BA150 and BA300 groups (P < 0.05), and the expression of genes related to immunological barrier (interleukin [*IL*]-6, tumor growth factor β , *IL-10*, macrophage galactosetype lectin and immunoglobulin M [*IgM*]) was significantly increased in the BA300 group (P < 0.05), but the expression of genes related to chemical barrier (hepcidin) and immunological barrier ($IL-1\beta$, tumor necrosis factor- α , *IL*-6 and arginase) was significantly decreased in the BA450 group (P < 0.05). Gut microbiota composition analysis revealed that the abundance of Firmicutes was augmented prominently in the BA150 and BA300 groups (P < 0.05), while that of Actinobacteriota and Proteobacteria showed a downward trend in the BA150 and BA300 groups (P > 0.05). The results of the gut microbiota transferring experiment demonstrated an upregulation of gut barrier-related genes, including immunoglobulin Z/T (IgZ/T), IL-6, IL-1 β and IL-10, by the gut microbiota transferred from the BA300 group compared with the control (P < 0.05). Feeding the BA300 diet directly to GF zebrafish resulted in enhanced expression of IgM, IgZ/T, lysozyme, occludin-2, IL-6 and IL-10 (P < 0.05). In conclusion, BAs can improve the gut barriers of fish through both direct and indirect effects mediated by the gut microbiota.

© 2023 The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: zhangzhen@caas.cn (Z. Zhang), zhouzhigang03@caas.cn (Z. Zhou).

¹ Equal contributors.

Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine.



1. Introduction

Aquatic products provide a large amount of high-quality food protein for humans and play an important part in food supply chain. With the gradual decline of capture fishery resources, more than half of the aquatic products come from aquaculture (Dauda et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2021). Aquaculture is the only solution to

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2023.03.008

^{2405-6545/© 2023} The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

satisfy the world's growing need for aquatic products (Brugère et al., 2019; Food and Nations, 2020; Phillips et al., 2016). Due to the advantages of saving space and water resources, intensive aquaculture system is being developed rapidly. Intensive aquaculture is characterized by high farming density, which requires a high-energy feed to meet fish growth (Ngoc et al., 2021). However, in the intensive aquaculture systems, fish are continuously exposed to stress due to high density and an excessive intake of energy, which affects fish health, especially the health of the gut, the primary organ for nutrition digestion and absorption and the largest immune organ in the body (Dawood et al., 2019; Rohani et al., 2021; Schumann and Brinker, 2020; Sundh et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2020). Therefore, it is urgent to find feed additives that can improve the gut health of fish under the current intensive farming system.

The gut is an important organ for food digestion, nutrients uptake and immunity, while it acts as a barrier between the aquatic animals and the external environment (Gao et al., 2016). Gut barrier functions rely on the chemical, physical, immunological, and microbiological barriers (Anderson et al., 2012; Clayburgh et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2019). The gut chemical barrier comprises various substances that are secreted into the gut, including lysozymes, mucopolysaccharides, gastric acid, mucin, digestive enzymes and glycoproteins (de Aguiar Vallim et al., 2013). The main function of the chemical barrier is to protect gut mucosa from erosion by chemical substances such as digestive enzymes (Cui et al., 2019). The gut physical barrier is a complete and tightly connected gut epithelium structure, mainly comprising the gut mucous layer and epithelial cells. Tight junctions, desmosomes, adherens junctions and gap junctions are intercellular junctional complexes that mainly exist between epithelial cells (Ulluwishewa et al., 2011). Through the action of gut epithelial tight junctions, pathogens can be prevented from invading the body, while a large number of nutrients can enter the body, suggesting that the gut epithelial tight junctions are structural foundation for retaining the selective permeability and barrier function of the gut epithelium (Mu et al., 2017; Suzuki, 2013; Ulluwishewa et al., 2011). The gut immunological barrier is mainly composed of the gut-associatedlymphoid-tissue (GALT) (Martinez-Lopez et al., 2019). In fish, the intestine carries out an immunological barrier function via producing cytokines, acid phosphatase and other humoral immune factors (Pan et al., 2017). The gut immunological barrier can allow the immune system to build a barrier to protect the host by resisting the invasion of pathogenic microorganisms, resisting allergic reactions and inhibiting immune responses (Corthésy, 2010; Kamada et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2019; Russell et al., 2015). The gut microbiological barrier is composed of gut symbiotic microorganisms. The symbiotic microorganisms depend on as well as constrain each other, building a biological defense line for the host through the antagonism between microorganisms. Besides, the gut microbiological barrier can affect the metabolism and proliferation of the gut epithelial cells (Soderholm and Pedicord, 2019). The gut barrier is the host's first important defense line against infection and environmental stress, which is related to the integrity of gut morphology, structure and function, microbial composition and mucus immune compounds (Huang et al., 2018; Jutfelt, 2011). Therefore, the gut barrier plays an extremely important role in preserving the balance of the systemic immune system in fish.

Bile acids (BAs) are amphipathic molecules and have multiple physiological functions in the host (Kortner et al., 2013; Romański, 2007). They can promote the absorption and digestion of dietary lipids in the intestine by emulsifying lipids and improving the activity of lipase of bile salts (Hofmann and Hagey, 2008; Li et al., 2013). Furthermore, BAs participate in shaping of the gut microbial community and inhibiting the proliferation of pathogens in the intestine (Hagey et al., 2010; Ridlon et al., 2014; Romano et al., 2020). Recently, the functions of BAs have been studied in many fish species. Previous studies have reported that BAs can enhance growth performance, improve lipids digestion and absorption, alleviate stress responses and regulate gut microbiota of various fish species including juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (Adhami et al., 2017), Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) (Jiang et al., 2018), grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) (Zhou et al., 2018), juvenile black seabream (*Acanthopagrus schlegelii*) (Jin et al., 2019), snakehead (*Channa argus*) (Hou et al., 2019), juvenile large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) (Ding et al., 2020), and juvenile largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) (Yin et al., 2021). However, the function and mechanisms of action of BAs on the gut barrier of fish remain unknown.

The largemouth bass (M. salmoides) is an economically important freshwater fish species in China. It is characterized by fast growth, strong disease resistance and delicious taste. Its production reached 619,519 tonnes in 2020 (Fisheries Administration Burrau, 2021). The recommended dietary fat levels for largemouth bass range from 80 to 130 g/kg, and the diets containing 15% crude fat are often used to investigate the adverse effects of high-fat diet in the largemouth bass (Bright et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2017a; Liu et al., 2022). Herein, the diet containing 15% crude fat was used to induce gut damage under experimental settings. A 5-week culture trial was carried out to investigate on the importance of dietary BAs on preventing of gut barrier damage, including, chemical, physical, immunological and microbiological barriers in the gut of the largemouth bass. A germ-free (GF) zebrafish model was employed to elucidate the effects of the direct stimulation of BAs and the indirect regulations mediated by the gut microbiota on gut barriers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animal ethics statement

During the whole experimental period, all experiments and animal care procedures were conducted in accordance with the Feed Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences and approved by the China Council for Animal Care (Assurance No. 2020-AFFRI-CAAS-001).

2.2. Experimental diets

Four isonitrogenous and isoenergetic diets were formulated with dietary BAs (provided by Shandong Longchang Animal Health Care Co., Ltd., Dezhou, China, its composition was as follows: 8.00% hyocholic acid, 70.67% hyodeoxycholic acid, and 19.61% chenodeoxycholic acid) of 0 mg/kg (Control), 150 mg/kg (BA150), 300 mg/kg (BA300) and 450 mg/kg (BA450), respectively. Firstly, all ingredients of each diet were accurately calculated and weighed. Secondly, all ingredients were mixed step by step, and an appropriate amount of water was added into the mixed ingredients to make feed. Thirdly, all diets were dried in an oven at 90 °C for 60 min. All diets were sealed and stored at room temperature. Diet formulation and chemical composition are shown in Table 1.

2.3. Experimental fish, feeding, and experimental conditions

A school of Largemouth bass were purchased from Hebei fish farm (China). All fish were acclimated with basic diet for 2 weeks before the start of the experimental feeding. Then, largemouth bass with similar size $(5.05 \pm 0.02 \text{ g}, n = 360)$ were randomly allocated to 4 groups. Six replicate tanks were set for each group with 15 fish per 90 L tank. Fish were fed thrice daily (07:00, 12:00 and 18:00) for 5 weeks. The feeding experiment was carried out in an indoor

Table 1

Feed formulation and chemical composition of diets for large mouth bass (g/kg, air dry basis).

Item	Groups			
	Control	BA150	BA300	BA450
Ingredients				
Cassava starch	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Soybean meal	120.00	120.00	120.00	120.00
Groundnut meal	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Fish meal	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00
Chicken meal	150.00	150.00	150.00	150.00
Bile acid ¹	0.00	0.15	0.30	0.45
Bentonite	6.00	5.85	5.70	5.55
Lys-HCl	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Methionine	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Choline chloride	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
$Ca(H_2PO_4)_2$	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Soybean oil	60.00	60.00	60.00	60.00
Phosphatide oil	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Vitamin C	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Vitamin premix ²	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Mineral premix ³	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000
Chemical composition				
Crude protein	516.80	520.00	515.10	517.20
Crude fat	147.10	142.10	144.90	145.80
Gross energy, MJ/kg	18.72	18.72	18.72	18.72

¹ Provided by Shandong Longchang Animal Health Care Co., Ltd., Dezhou, China, its composition was as follows: 8.00% hyocholic acid, 70.67% hyodeoxycholic acid, and 19.61% chenodeoxycholic acid.

² Vitamin premix containing the following (g/kg vitamin premix): thiamine, 0.438; riboflavin, 0.632; pyridoxine+HCl, 0.908; D-pantothenic acid, 1.724; nicotinic acid, 4.583; biotin, 0.211; folic acid, 0.549; vitamin B₁₂, 0.001; inositol, 21.053; menadione sodium bisulfite, 0.889; retinyl acetate, 0.677; cholecalciferol, 0.116; DL- α -tocopherol-acetate, 12.632.

³ Mineral premix containing the following (g/kg mineral premix): CoCl₂·6H₂O, 0.074; CuSO₄·5H₂O, 2.5; FeSO₄·7H₂O, 73.2; NaCl, 40.0; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 284.0; MnSO₄·H₂O, 6.50; KI, 0.68; Na₂SeO₃, 0.10; ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 131.93; Cellulose, 501.09.

recirculating water system. Throughout the feeding period, water temperatures were kept between 24.0 and 26.0 °C, the flow rate of the tank water was 60 L/h, the dissolved oxygen was higher than 6 mg/L, the nitrite concentration was lower than 0.01 mg/L, and the content of ammonia nitrogen was kept lower than 0.02 mg/L. The water quality parameters were monitored daily.

2.4. Growth performance

After feeding for 5 weeks, all fish were fasted for 24 h. The number and weight of largemouth bass in each group were counted to calculate parameter of growth performance, including weight gain (WG), feed intake (FI), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and survival rate (SR). The corresponding calculation formulas are as follows: WG (%) = 100 × [(final body weight, g) - (initial body weight, g)]/ (initial body weight, g)]; FI = (total feed intake, g)/[(initial number of fish + final number of fish)/2]; FCR = (feed intake, g)/(weight gain of fish, g); SR (%) = (final number of fish/initial number of fish) × 100.

2.5. Liver and intestine histology

At the end of the experimental period, before sampling, fish were anesthetized by the use of 3-aminophenoic acid ester methanesulfonate at a concentration of 100 mg/L. All liver and hindgut samples were collected, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for histology analysis. All fixed liver and hindgut samples were dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. The slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), mounted with neutral resin. Images were examined using a Leica DMIL LED light microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The image analysis of slices was performed using the K-Viewer software (version 1.5.3.1, KFBIO Co., Nigbo, China; http://www.kfbio.cn).

2.6. Observation of the intestine by transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

All hindgut samples were collected, fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde overnight, and washed 3 times by PBS (pH = 7). The samples were dehydrated, post-embedded in Araldite, and sectioned (50–70 nm) with an ultramicrotome (Leica EMUC7, Hernalser Hauptstrasse, Germany). The sections were stained with 3% uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Images were examined with a transmission electron microscope (JEM-1230, Japan and JEOL 1010, JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The microvillus height was measured using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

2.7. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

All hindgut samples were collected and put into centrifuge tubes, immediately placed into liquid nitrogen, and then stored at -80 °C for gene expression work. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The extracted RNA was resuspended in 50 µL RNase-free water then quantified using a spectrophotometer Nano Drop 2000 and assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Two µg RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA according to the instruction of the commercial kit (TianGen, Beijing, China), and then RT-qPCR reaction was performed using the SYBR Green Supermix (TianGen, Beijing, China) on the LightCycler 480 (F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland). The primer sequences were listed in Table S1.

2.8. Gut microbiota analysis

After feeding for 5 weeks, gut contents of largemouth bass were collected between 4 and 6 h after the last feeding. Gut contents of 3 fish were randomly extracted from each tank and subject to rapidfreeze in liquid nitrogen and were placed in -80 °C before used for bacteria DNA extraction. According to the instructions of manufacturer, Fast DNA SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA) was used to extract DNA of each pooled sample. Microbiota analysis was performed by identification of 16S rRNA of V3-V4 regions using high throughput sequencing. The primers 338F_806R-F (5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3') and 338F_806R-R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') were used to amplify this region. The 16s rRNA gene sequencing was performed on the Illumina platform in Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). By using USEARCH (version 7.0.1090, http:// www.drive5.com/uparse/), the qualified reads were clustered to generate operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 97% similarity level. The representative sequence of each OTU was assigned to the classification level in the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) database by using the RDP classifier (version 2.11, http://sourceforge. net/projects/rdp-classifier/). Principal component analysis was performed by using R software (Version 3.3.1).

2.9. Preparation of GF zebrafish and transfer of gut microbiota

GF zebrafish were prepared according to a previous study (Guo et al., 2017). To detect the direct influence of BAs on GF zebrafish, diets of zebrafish larvae were sterilized by irradiation (20 kGy gamma ray, Beijing Hongyi Sifang Radiation Technology Co., Ltd, Beijing). The diet formulation and chemical parameters are shown in Table S2.

Zebrafish larvae were verified for sterility at 3 days post fertilization (dpf). Gnotobiotic zebrafish medium (GZM) were renewed at 4 dpf. GF zebrafish were fed the control or BA300 diet at 5 dpf. After 5 days of feeding, GF zebrafish were fasted for 24 h before collection for extracting RNA and analyzing the expression of genes related to gut barrier functions. The primers used for the expression of genes are shown in Table S3.

The gut microbiota of largemouth bass was transferred to GF zebrafish as described previously (Guo et al., 2017). At 4 dpf, the gut microbiota was added to GZM at a concentration of 10^6 CFU/mL suspension. One group of GF zebrafish received no treatment (negative control), and the other 2 groups of GF zebrafish were transplanted with gut microbiota of fish fed the control or BA300 diet (n = 6). After 3 days of colonization, zebrafish larvae were collected to extract RNA for gut barrier analysis, and the primers are shown in Table S3.

2.10. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS 24.0 (SPSS Inc., United States). All results were presented as mean \pm SEM. Comparisons between multiple groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by the Duncan's test, while comparisons between 2 groups were analyzed using the Student's *t*-test. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The graphics were drawn using Graph Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software Inc. San Diego, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Growth performance and hepatic histological observation of largemouth bass

The results of growth performance are shown in Table 2. There was no significant difference in FI and FCR among the treatment groups (P > 0.05). Compared with the control diet, the survival of fish fed the BA150 and BA300 diet was improved (P < 0.05). Compared with the BA150 and BA300 diet, WG of fish fed the BA450 diet was increased (P < 0.05). As showed in Fig. 1, diffused lipid vacuolization of hepatocyte was reduced by BA supplementation.

3.2. Gut chemical barrier of largemouth bass

After 5 weeks of feeding experiment, compared with the control diet, the expression levels of mucin, lysozyme and complement 1 were clearly increased in fish fed the BA150 and BA300 diet (P < 0.05, Fig. 2). Compared with the control diet, the expression levels of hepcidin in fish fed the BA450 diet was decreased (P < 0.05, Fig. 2).

3.3. Gut physical barrier of largemouth bass

H&E staining was used to evaluate the effect of BAs on gut histomorphology in largemouth bass (Fig. 3A). Loosening of the basement membrane and the infiltration of inflammatory cell were observed in the intestine of fish fed the control diet. Compared with the control group, the structure of the intestine became orderly, disorders of gut villus structure were ameliorated and infiltrations of inflammatory cells were decreased in largemouth bass fed the BA150 and BA300 diets (Fig. 3A). TEM analysis showed that compared with the control group, the structural integrity of gut microvilli was improved in the BA150 and BA300 groups (Fig. 3B). The microvilli were higher in the BA300 group than in the control group (P < 0.05, Fig. 3C).

The effects of dietary BAs on expressions of the gut tight junction-related genes of largemouth bass are shown in Fig. 4. The results indicated that BA150 group had no marked influence on the expressions of zona occludens-1 (*ZO-1*) and claudin-1 (P > 0.05, Fig. 4), but increased the level of occludin and claudin-4 compared with the control diet (P < 0.05, Fig. 4). Moreover, the relative mRNA levels of *ZO-1*, occludin, claudin-1 and claudin-4 in largemouth bass fed the BA300 diet were clearly increased compared with the control diet (P < 0.05, Fig. 4). Those in the BA450 group were not changed compared with the control diet.

3.4. Gut immunological barrier of largemouth bass

The expression of inflammation-related genes of largemouth bass fed the experimental diets is presented in Fig. 5. The relative expression of pro-inflammatory gene interleukin-6 (*IL*-6) was significantly increased in the BA150 and BA300 groups, while the relative mRNA levels of the pro-inflammatory genes (interleukin-1 β [*IL*-1 β], tumor necrosis factor- α [*TNF*- α], and *IL*-6) were down-regulated in largemouth bass fed the BA450 diet compared to those fed the control diet (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 5A).

Furthermore, compared with the control diet, the relative mRNA levels of anti-inflammatory genes (arginase, macrophage galactose-type lectin [*MGL*]) of intestine were increased in fish fed the BA150 diet (P < 0.05, Fig. 5B) and the relative mRNA levels of anti-inflammatory genes (interleukin-10 [*IL-10*], tumor growth factor β 2 [*TGF*- β 2], *TGF*- β 3, *MGL*) of the intestine were increased in fish fed the BA300 diet (P < 0.05, Fig. 5B). However, when the dose level of BAs was increased up to 450 mg/kg, the relative mRNA levels of anti-inflammatory gene (arginase) were decreased compared with the control diet (P < 0.05, Fig. 5B). In addition, compared with the control diet, the relative mRNA level of immunoglobulin M (*IgM*) was increased significantly in fish fed the BA300 diet (P < 0.05, Fig. 5C).

3.5. Gut microbiota (microbiological barrier) of largemouth bass

Using high-throughput sequencing to detect the gut microbiota of largemouth bass, about 2,172,859 sequences were acquired, with an average sequence length of 418 bp. Due to supplementation of BAs, there was no obvious difference in Shannon index and Simpson index between the treatment groups. Compared with the control diet, Ace and Chao indexes were decreased significantly in BA-supplemented groups (P < 0.05, Table 3), indicating that the addition of BAs reduced the richness of the gut microbiota.

Table 2

Growth performance of largemouth bass fed with control, BA150, BA300 and BA450 diet for 5 weeks.

Growth parameters	Control	BA150	BA300	BA450	
IBW, g/fish WG, % FI, g/fish FCR SR, %	$\begin{array}{l} 5.06 \pm 0.01 \\ 108.77 \pm 5.84^{ab} \\ 6.37 \pm 0.02 \\ 1.28 \pm 0.06 \\ 80.00 \pm 11.55^{a} \end{array}$	$5.06 \pm 0.01 103.99 \pm 5.79^{a} 6.37 \pm 0.01 1.25 \pm 0.04 96.67 \pm 3.33^{b}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.06 \pm 0.01 \\ 103.42 \pm 2.96^a \\ 6.37 \pm 0.01 \\ 1.21 \pm 0.05 \\ 98.33 \pm 2.89^b \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.04 \pm 0.02 \\ 115.57 \pm 5.84^{b} \\ 6.35 \pm 0.02 \\ 1.21 \pm 0.03 \\ 85.00 \pm 7.26^{ab} \end{array}$	

IBW = initial body weight; WG = weight gain; FI = feed intake; FCR = feed conversion ratio; SR = survival rate.

^{a, b} Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant differences (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).



Fig. 1. Histology of liver in largemouth bass fed on one of the experimental diets for 5 weeks (scale bar $= 50 \ \mu m$). Black arrows indicate the presence of liver cell swelling and vacuolar degeneration.



Fig. 2. The expression of genes related to gut secretions in the intestine of largemouth bass fed with one of the experimental diets for 5 weeks. Results are presented as the means (\pm SEM) (n = 6). Bars with different letters are significantly different (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).

At the phylum level (Fig. 6A; Table 4), Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteriota were the dominant phyla in the gut of largemouth bass. Compared with the control diet, the abundance of Firmicutes increased apparently in the BA150 and BA300 groups (P < 0.05), and the abundance of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteriota were in a decreasing trend in the gut of fish fed the BA150 and BA300 diets (P > 0.05). The abundance of Firmicutes showed an increasing tendency, whereas the abundance of Actinobacteriota exhibited a decreasing trend in the BA450 group compared with the control group (P > 0.05). Additionally, the ratio of (Firmicutes + Fusobacteria + Bacteroidetes) to Proteobacteria was significantly higher in the BA150 and BA300 groups compared to the control group (P < 0.001, Fig. 6C). At the genus level (Fig. 6B; Table 5), the dominant genera were unclassified_f_Peptostreptococcaceae, Staphylococcus and Acinetobacter. In fish fed on the BA150 and BA300 diets, the abundance of *unclassified_f__Peptostreptococcaceae* was significantly increased (P < 0.05) while that of Acinetobacter was considerably decreased compared with the control diet (P > 0.05). Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) indicated that the gut microbiota of largemouth bass fed BA-supplemented diets significantly changed at the genus level (Fig. 6D).

3.6. Effects of direct feeding of BAs on the gut chemical, physical, immunological barriers in GF zebrafish model

A GF zebrafish model was used to investigate how BAs improve the gut barriers of largemouth bass. In our experiment, we observed that 300 mg/kg of BAs was optimal dosage for largemouth bass normal growth. Hence, to investigate the influence of BAs on the gut barriers and its potential regulation mechanisms of action, we compared the control with the BA300 group.

Based on this, a diet containing 300 mg BAs was fed to sterile zebrafish for 5 days and the transcription level of gut barriers was analyzed. The results showed that the levels of gut secretion gene (lysozyme) (P < 0.01, Fig. 7A) and tight junction gene (occludin-2) (P < 0.01, Fig. 7B) in zebrafish larvae were increased. Moreover, the levels of pro-inflammatory gene (*IL*-6), anti-inflammatory gene (*IL*-10), *IgM* and immunoglobulin Z/T (*IgZ/T*) were increased (P < 0.05, Fig. 7C). The results indicated that direct feeding of BAs apparently improved the function of gut barrier of GF zebrafish.

3.7. Effects of gut microbiota from largemouth bass on the gut chemical, physical, immunological barriers of GF zebrafish

To evaluate influence of the transferred gut microbiota on gut barriers of GF zebrafish, we compared the effects of gut microbiota of largemouth bass by transferring to the GF zebrafish. The results are shown in Fig. 8A–C. The treatment group colonized with the gut microbiota of largemouth bass fed the BA300 diet showed increased the levels of pro-inflammatory genes (*IL*-6, *IL*-1 β), anti-inflammatory gene (*IL*-10) and *IgZ*/T (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 8C). However, there were no significant differences in the expression of genes related to chemical and physical barriers (*P* > 0.05; Fig. 8A and B).



Fig. 3. Effects of bile acids on the intestine of largemouth bass fed with one of the experimental diets for 5 weeks. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining for histology examination (scale bar = 100μ m). (B) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) micrographs (Black arrow: imperfect microvilli texture or the microvilli of the intestine were peeled) (scale bar = 1μ m). (C) Microvilli height of largemouth bass. Results are presented as the means (±SEM) (n = 6). Bars with different letters are significantly different (Duncan's test;



Fig. 4. Effects of the gene levels of tight junction genes in the intestine of largemouth bass fed with one of the experimental diets for 5 weeks. Results are given as the means (\pm SEM) (n = 6). Bars with different letters are significantly different (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).



Fig. 5. Gut expression levels of inflammation-related genes in largemouth bass fed with one of the experimental diets for 5 weeks. Results are given as the means (\pm SEM) (n = 6). Bars with different letters are significantly different (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).

4. Discussion

P < 0.05).

BAs are regarded as safe and efficient feed additives. In fact, numerous studies show that BAs play an important role in

promoting fish growth (Yu et al., 2019b; Zhai et al., 2020). However, dietary BA supplementation in different fish species may yield completely different effects. Our study indicates that there was no significant difference in the growth characteristics of the

Table 3

Table 4

Effects on α diversity	of gut microbiota of	f largemouth bass fed	with control, BA150	, BA300 and BA450 diet for	5 weeks.
-------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------

Estimators	Control	BA150	BA300	BA450
Shannon	3.54 ± 0.63	3.05 ± 1.89	2.96 ± 1.10	3.17 ± 1.15
Simpson	0.12 ± 0.08	0.29 ± 0.41	0.24 ± 0.24	0.18 ± 0.14
Ace	$788.28 \pm 54.14^{\circ}$	463.85 ± 206.75^{b}	436.05 ± 98.39^{a}	485.36 ± 81.75^{a}
Chao	789.12 ± 53.04^{c}	452.79 ± 244.71^{b}	443.19 ± 97.71^{a}	480.32 ± 92.64^{a}

^{a, b, c} Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant differences (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).



(Firmicutes+Fusobacteriota+Bacteroidota)/Proteobacteria







Fig. 6. Effects of supplementation of BAs on gut microbiota of largemouth bass, at (A) phylum level, (B) genus level, (C) relative ratio of (Firmicutes + Fusobacteria + Bacteroidetes) to Proteobacteria and (D) principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) analysis, fed with 4 different diets for 5 weeks (n = 4 in BA150 and BA300 groups, n = 5 in Control and BA450 groups). Bars with different letters are significantly different (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).

Table 4	
The predominant gut bacterial phyla in largemouth bass fed with control, BA	150, BA300 and BA450 diet for 5 weeks (%).

Bacteria phylum	Control	BA150	BA300	BA450
Firmicutes	41.95 ± 9.36^{a}	73.13 ± 18.55 ^b	77.91 ± 15.80^{b}	54.03 ± 20.66^{a}
Proteobacteria	32.61 ± 14.80	12.68 ± 10.52	10.62 ± 9.66	30.37 ± 23.06
Actinobacteriota	22.71 ± 8.61	9.46 ± 7.15	8.87 ± 7.55	9.57 ± 6.37
Verrucomicrobiota	0.56 ± 0.31	0.78 ± 1.20	0.58 ± 0.78	2.17 ± 4.72
Chloroflexi	0.58 ± 0.31	0.64 ± 0.83	0.33 ± 0.37	1.17 ± 2.35
Fusobacteriota	0.06 ± 0.06	1.31 ± 1.48	0.66 ± 0.82	0.51 ± 1.05
Patescibacteria	0.17 ± 0.07	0.79 ± 0.92	0.51 ± 0.52	0.49 ± 0.50
Cyanobacteria	0.42 ± 0.44	0.28 ± 0.18	0.20 ± 0.13	0.59 ± 1.00
Bacteroidota	0.14 ± 0.11	0.42 ± 0.64	0.08 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.17
Bdellovibrionota	0.14 ± 0.07	0.21 ± 0.21	0.10 ± 0.16	0.35 ± 0.60

^{a, b} Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant differences (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).

R. Xia, Q. Zhang, D. Xia et al.

Table 5

The 1	oredominant gut bacteri	al genus in largemo	uth bass fed with cont	rol. BA150, BA300 an	d BA450 diet for 5 weeks (%).

Bacteria genus	Control	BA150	BA300	BA450
Peptostreptococcaceae	1.05 ± 0.93^{a}	32.71 ± 43.22^{b}	38.96 ± 29.11^{b}	12.28 ± 20.53^{a}
Staphylococcus	18.19 ± 10.07	15.16 ± 11.93	13.00 ± 7.20	15.10 ± 15.25
Acinetobacter	16.54 ± 18.57	1.65 ± 2.19	0.98 ± 0.76	17.29 ± 25.71
Weissella	4.23 ± 2.65	5.73 ± 4.21	5.14 ± 2.37	3.81 ± 2.23
Bacillus	6.57 ± 10.30	1.28 ± 0.88	1.19 ± 0.15	3.90 ± 5.19
Corynebacterium	2.69 ± 1.52	3.10 ± 2.05	2.49 ± 1.42	2.45 ± 2.81
Rhizobiales_Incertae_Sedis	2.72 ± 2.13	1.82 ± 2.69	2.03 ± 1.87	2.23 ± 2.02
Rhodococcus	7.84 ± 6.07^{a}	$0.06 \pm 0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.05 \pm 0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.05 \pm 0.05^{\rm b}$
Clostridium_sensu_stricto_7	1.08 ± 0.60	1.96 ± 1.33	2.42 ± 1.12	2.25 ± 1.20
Planococcus	0.02 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.07	2.03 ± 3.75	4.19 ± 8.34

^{a, b} Different superscripts in the same row indicate significant differences (Duncan's test; P < 0.05).

largemouth bass fed BA-supplemented diets. Similar results were also observed in the same fish species fed a high-fat diet supplemented with 300 mg/kg BAs (Yin et al., 2021). However, the opposite results have been reported that a diet supplemented with 300 mg/kg of BAs could significantly improve WGR and SGR of largemouth bass (Yu et al., 2019b). We speculate that the causes for this different growth performance results might mainly be related to the levels and types of BAs, as well as diet composition.

BAs have a key effect on reducing hepatic triglyceride deposition and protecting liver health (Cipriani et al., 2010; Jain et al., 2012; Watanabe and Fujita, 2014). Accordingly, BA-enriched diets reduced vacuolization of hepatocyte of the fish. Similar findings have been observed in *C. idella* (Zeng et al., 2017), *A. schlegelii* (Jin et al., 2019), *Scophthalmus maximus* (Sun et al., 2014) and *Schizothorax prenanti* (Zheng et al., 2016), because diets supplemented with BAs have been proved to decrease lipid accumulation in the fish body (Sun et al., 2014) or increase lipid catabolism in the fish body (Hu et al., 2015).

The gut mucosa and the mucus layer of fish play a key role in the host defense against threats present in the immediate environment (Jin et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2017). Furthermore, this barrier function is closely dependent on mucins, complement proteins, lysozymes and antimicrobial peptides (Rauta et al., 2012; Salinas, 2015). The present study demonstrated that diet supplemented with BAs increased the expression of genes mucin, lysozyme and complement 1, indicating that diets supplemented with BAs could impair the gut chemical barrier function of fish. Similar results were also detected in other experiments that BAs could enhance lysozyme activity and alternative complement activity in rainbow trout (Deng et al., 2013) and lysozyme activity of largemouth bass (Guo et al., 2020).

The intestine is the main place for the absorption and digestion of nutrients in fish. The structural integrity of the intestine has an important impact on the digestive capacity of animals. Studies have reported that feeding fish high-fat diets for an extended period of time could compromise the integrity of intestine structure, however addition of BAs could attenuate their negative effects (Ma et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2019). In our study, dietary BAs were shown to significantly improve gut integrity compared with the control diet, which agreed with previous findings (Jiang et al., 2018). Tight junction proteins, such as the cytoplasmic protein ZO-1 and the transmembrane proteins including claudins and occludin are also associated with the functions of the gut physical barrier (Chen et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2019a). Moreover, a plethora of studies show that tight junction proteins are also important to control the changes of gut permeability in fish (Ding et al., 2019; Oshima et al., 2008; Suzuki, 2013). However, there are few studies examining the importance of BAs on the functions of tight junction proteins of intestine of largemouth bass. Our study indicated that the expression of genes including, ZO-1, occludin, claudin-1 and claudin-4 was increased in largemouth bass fed a diet containing 300 mg/kg BAs. These results indicated that supplementation of BAs in the diet of largemouth bass can improve the gut physical barrier, leading to a decrease in gut permeability and improve gut health of the fish. Our research provided a reference for the involvement of BAs in regulating the integrity of the gut physical barrier in fish.

The gut immunological barrier plays an important role in preventing from entering of pathogens from the intestine to the body of the fish. Inflammation is a protective response that eliminates the initial cause of cellular damage and initiates tissue repair. Inflammatory cytokines produce a marked effect in this process (Karin and Clevers, 2016). To a certain extent, cytokines are a good indicator of the immune status of the host in aquatic animals. Cytokines are a class of important small-molecule proteins secreted by lymphoid tissues, which exist in the epithelial layer and lamina propria of gut mucosa and are involved in the protection of fish (Dalmo et al., 1997; McMillan and Secombes, 1997). Cytokines have



Fig. 7. Effect of direct feeding of bile acids on the gut barriers: (A) chemical barrier, (B) physical barrier, (C) immunological barrier, in germ-free zebrafish. Results are given as the means (\pm SEM) (n = 6). Values marked with asterisks are significantly different (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001).



Fig. 8. The expression of genes related to gut barriers: (A) chemical barrier, (B) physical barrier, (C) immunological barrier, in germ-free zebrafish colonized with gut microbiota from the control or from the BA300 group. Results are given as the means (±SEM) (n = 6). Values marked with asterisks are significantly different (*P < 0.05, and ***P < 0.001).

a vital effect on regulating inflammatory response and immune function of aquatic animals, including IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10 and TGF- β (Standen et al., 2016). Our results indicated that the levels of both pro-inflammatory gene (IL-6) and anti-inflammatory genes (*IL-10*, *TGF-\beta* and *MGL*) were increased in the BA300 groups, while the levels of both pro-inflammatory gene (IL-6) and anti-inflammatory gene (arginase) were decreased in the BA450 group, which indicated that adding a certain amount of BAs could decrease gut inflammatory responses. Similarly in a previous study, the levels of both pro-inflammatory genes (*TNF*- α and *IL*-8) and anti-inflammatory genes (IL-10, IL-1 β , and TGF- β 1) were increased in fish fed a diet containing 300 mg/kg BAs (Yu et al., 2019b). However, Jiang et al. (2018) reported fish fed high levels of BAs may contribute to chronic inflammation. Our findings also found that addition of high levels of BAs did not inhibit the gut inflammatory responses. The possible reason for the differences may be related to the accumulation of cholesterol in the fish body. Studies have suggested that high levels of BAs lead to the accumulation of serum cholesterol. High concentrations of cholesterol may impair immunity of fish and disrupt the inflammatory response of fish (Jiang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). Similar results were observed in grass carp, where supplementation with higher levels of BAs impaired the gut immunity and increased serum cholesterol level compared to lower levels of BAs (Peng et al., 2019).

BAs can affect the intestinal microbiota composition via various ways. The gut microbiota is considered as an indispensable metabolic "organ" in fish, which could be involved in the biotransformation of BAs (Molinero et al., 2019). Our results illustrated that the gut microbiota of largemouth bass fed the control diet consists mainly of Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria at the phylum level, which is consistent with the findings reported in other freshwater fish species (Merrifield et al., 2009; Navarrete et al., 2008; Roeselers et al., 2011). At the phylum level, the present results showed that BA supplementation significantly altered the structure of gut microbiota. The abundance of Firmicutes in the BA150 and BA300 groups was increased significantly, while that of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria was decreased. This agrees with the findings of Islam et al. (2011) which showed that increased BA levels promoted the abundance of Firmicutes and decreased that of Actinobacteria in rats. In addition, study using grass carp also showed that the addition of BAs inhibited the abundance of Proteobacteria (Zhou et al., 2018). Butyrate is a key signaling molecule between the gut microbiota and the immune system production and its production is associated with Firmicutes (Huang et al., 2017b). Therefore, it is possible that an increased abundance of Firmicutes and hence a higher butyrate level in the intestine might help attenuate gut inflammation induced by high-fat diets. At the genus level, diets supplemented with BAs significantly increased abundance of *unclassified_f_Peptostreptococcaceae*. the As

described earlier, some members of the *Peptostreptococcus* may be important for the digestion and absorption of proteins and the production of ammonia and other compounds (Attwood et al., 1998; Whitehead and Cotta, 2004).

These results clearly demonstrate the effect of BAs on the structure of the gut microbiota. To further study the influence of BAs on gut barrier, particularly on the question whether the gut microbiota mediates regulation of BAs, the expression of gut barrier-related genes was analyzed using a GF zebrafish model. Both the direct action of BAs and the change mediated by the gut microbiota were investigated. Thus, the current study showed that transferring the gut microbiota of largemouth bass fed the BA300 diet to the GF zebrafish fed the BA300 diet induced a significant increase in the gut barrier-related genes, including, *IL*-6, *IL*-1 β , *IL*-10 and IgZ/T by the BA300 microbiota, indicating that the gut microbiota acted primarily through the immunological barrier. The direct administration of BAs to GF zebrafish resulted in an increasing of the expression of genes such as lysozyme, occludin-2, IL-6, IL-10, IgM and IgZ/T, indicating that BAs could directly stimulate the gut barrier. Therefore, BAs appear produce a significant effect on the gut barrier functions through both their direct action as well as via altering of the gut microbial composition. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to verify the effects of BAmediated gut microbiota on the gut barriers in largemouth bass.

5. Conclusion

BA supplementation at levels between 150 and 300 mg/kg in the diet of largemouth bass can improve the gut non-specific immunity, enhance gut tight junction functions, and improve the gut microbiota composition, thereby significantly improving fish gut health. However, BA supplementation at 450 mg/kg, a level perhaps outside the optimum supplementation range, significantly disrupted the function of gut chemical, physical, immunological barriers. Supplementation of 300 mg/kg of BAs to the feed affected the gut chemical, physical, immunological barriers both directly and indirectly, mediated by the gut microbiota.

Author contributions

Zhigang Zhou and **Zhen Zhang**: designed study and provided the laboratory resources. **Rui Xia** and **Qingshuang Zhang**: conducted the experiments and biochemical analyses. **Dongmei Xia**: participated in revision. **Qiang Hao**, **Qianwen Ding**, **Chao Ran**, **Yalin Yang** and **Aizhi Cao**: developed the methodology. **Rui Xia**, **Qingshuang Zhang** and **Zhen Zhang**: analyzed the data. All authors participated in writing draft, reviewing and editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

We declare that we have no financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that can inappropriately influence our work, and there is no professional or other personal interest of any nature or kind in any product, service and/or company that could be construed as influencing the content of this paper.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 32061133004 and 31925038).

Appendix Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2023.03.008.

References

- Adhami B, Amirkolaie AK, Oraji H, Kenari RE. Growth performance, nutrient digestibility and lipase activity in juvenile rainbow trout (*oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed fat powder in diet containing emulsifiers (cholic acid and tween-80). Aquacult Nutr 2017;23:1153–9.
- Anderson R, Dalziel J, Gopal P, Bassett S, Ellis A, Roy N. The role of intestinal barrier function in early life in the development of colitis. Colitis 2012:1–30.
- Attwood GT, Klieve AV, Ouwerkerk D, Patel BK. Ammonia-hyperproducing bacteria from New Zealand ruminants. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998;64:1796–804.
- Bright LA, Coyle SD, Tidwell JH. Effect of dietary lipid level and protein energy ratio on growth and body composition of largemouth bass *micropterus salmoides*. J World Aquacult Soc 2005;36:129–34.
- Brugère C, Aguilar-Manjarrez J, Beveridge MC, Soto D. The ecosystem approach to aquaculture 10 years on—a critical review and consideration of its future role in blue growth. Rev Aquacult 2019;11:493–514.
- Chen K, Zhou XQ, Jiang WD, Wu P, Liu Y, Jiang J, et al. Impaired intestinal immune barrier and physical barrier function by phosphorus deficiency: regulation of tor, nf-kb, mlck, jnk and nrf2 signalling in grass carp (*ctenopharyngodon idella*) after infection with *aeromonas hydrophila*. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2018;74: 175–89.
- Cipriani S, Mencarelli A, Palladino G, Fiorucci S. Fxr activation reverses insulin resistance and lipid abnormalities and protects against liver steatosis in zucker (fa/fa) obese rats [s]. J Lipid Res 2010;51:771–84.
- Clayburgh DR, Shen L, Turner JR. A porous defense: the leaky epithelial barrier in intestinal disease. Lab Invest 2004;84:282–91.
- Corthésy B. Role of secretory immunoglobulin a and secretory component in the protection of mucosal surfaces. Future Microbiol 2010;5:817–29.
- Cui Y, Wang Q, Chang R, Zhou X, Xu C. Intestinal barrier function—non-alcoholic fatty liver disease interactions and possible role of gut microbiota. J Agric Food Chem 2019;67:2754—62.
- Dalmo R, Ingebrigtsen K, Bøgwald J. Non-specific defence mechanisms in fish, with particular reference to the reticuloendothelial system (res). J Fish Dis 1997;20: 241–73.
- Dauda A, Folorunso L, Dasuki A. Use of probiotics for sustainable aquaculture production in Nigeria. J Agric Soc Res 2013;13:42–52.
- Dawood MA, Shukry M, Zayed MM, Omar AA, Zaineldin AI, El Basuini MF. Digestive enzymes, immunity and oxidative status of nile tilapia (*oreochromis niloticus*) reared in intensive conditions. Slovenian Vet Res 2019;56:99–108.
- reared in intensive conditions. Slovenian Vet Res 2019;56:99–108. De Aguiar Vallim TQ, Tarling EJ, Edwards PA. Pleiotropic roles of bile acids in metabolism. Cell Metabol 2013;17:657–69.
- Deng J, Kang B, Tao L, Rong H, Zhang X. Effects of dietary cholesterol on antioxidant capacity, non-specific immune response, and resistance to aeromonas hydrophila in rainbow trout (*oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed soybean meal-based diets. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2013;34:324–31.
- Ding T, Xu N, Liu Y, Du J, Xiang X, Xu D, et al. Effect of dietary bile acid (ba) on the growth performance, body composition, antioxidant responses and expression of lipid metabolism-related genes of juvenile large yellow croaker (*larimichthys crocea*) fed high-lipid diets. Aquaculture 2020;518:734768.
- Ding Z, Kong Y, Shao X, Zhang Y, Ren C, Zhao X, et al. Growth, antioxidant capacity, intestinal morphology, and metabolomic responses of juvenile oriental river prawn (*macrobrachium nipponense*) to chronic lead exposure. Chemosphere 2019;217:289–97.
- Fisheries Administration Bureau, M. o. A. China fishery statistics Yearbooks (2011-2016). Beijing: China Agriculture Press; 2021.
- Food, Nations AOOTU. The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2020: sustainability in action. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2020.
- Gao C, Fu Q, Su B, Zhou S, Liu F, Song L, et al. Transcriptomic profiling revealed the signatures of intestinal barrier alteration and pathogen entry in turbot (*scophthalmus maximus*) following vibrio anguillarum challenge. Dev Comp Immunol 2016;65:159–68.

- Guo JL, Kuang WM, Zhong YF, Zhou YL, Chen YJ, Lin SM. Effects of supplemental dietary bile acids on growth, liver function and immunity of juvenile large-mouth bass (*micropterus salmoides*) fed high-starch diet. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2020;97:602–7.
- Guo X, Ran C, Zhang Z, He S, Jin M, Zhou Z. The growth-promoting effect of dietary nucleotides in fish is associated with an intestinal microbiota-mediated reduction in energy expenditure. J Nutr 2017;147:781–8.
- Hagey LR, Møller PR, Hofmann AF, Krasowski MD. Diversity of bile salts in fish and amphibians: evolution of a complex biochemical pathway. Physiol Biochem Zool 2010;83:308–21.
- Hofmann AF, Hagey L. Bile acids: chemistry, pathochemistry, biology, pathobiology, and therapeutics. Cell Mol Life Sci 2008;65:2461–83.
- Hou Y, Hou Y, Yao L, Chen S, Fan J, Qian L. Effects of chromium yeast, tributyrin and bile acid on growth performance, digestion and metabolism of *channa argus*. Aquacult Res 2019;50:836–46.
- Hu F, Zhong H, Wu C, Wang S, Guo Z, Tao M, et al. Development of fisheries in China. Reprod Breed 2021;1:64–79.
- Hu T, Wang L, Zhang C, Song K, Li J. Effects of dietary bile acid supplementation on the growth, whole-body composition and apparent nutrient digestibility of bullfrog (rana catesbeiana). Acta Hydrobiol Sin 2015;39:677–85.
- Huang C, Wu P, Jiang WD, Liu Y, Zeng YY, Jiang J, et al. Deoxynivalenol decreased the growth performance and impaired intestinal physical barrier in juvenile grass carp (*ctenopharyngodon idella*). Fish Shellfish Immunol 2018;80:376–91.
- Huang D, Wu Y, Lin Y, Chen J, Karrow N, Ren X, et al. Dietary protein and lipid requirements for juvenile largemouth bass, *micropterus salmoides*. J World Aquacult Soc 2017a;48:782–90.
- Huang W, Zhou L, Guo H, Xu Y, Xu Y. The role of short-chain fatty acids in kidney injury induced by gut-derived inflammatory response. Metabolism 2017b;68: 20–30.
- Islam KS, Fukiya S, Hagio M, Fujii N, Ishizuka S, Ooka T, et al. Bile acid is a host factor that regulates the composition of the cecal microbiota in rats. Gastroenterology 2011;141:1773–81.
- Jain AK, Stoll B, Burrin DG, Holst JJ, Moore DD. Enteral bile acid treatment improves parenteral nutrition-related liver disease and intestinal mucosal atrophy in neonatal pigs. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2012;302:G218–24.
- Jiang M, Wen H, Gou G, Liu T, Lu X, Deng D. Preliminary study to evaluate the effects of dietary bile acids on growth performance and lipid metabolism of juvenile genetically improved farmed tilapia (*oreochromis niloticus*) fed plant ingredientbased diets. Aquacult Nutr 2018;24:1175–83.
- Jin C, Xia J, Wu S, Tu W, Pan Z, Fu Z, et al. Insights into a possible influence on gut microbiota and intestinal barrier function during chronic exposure of mice to imazalil. Toxicol Sci 2018;162:113–23.
- Jin M, Pan T, Cheng X, Zhu TT, Sun P, Zhou F, et al. Effects of supplemental dietary lcarnitine and bile acids on growth performance, antioxidant and immune ability, histopathological changes and inflammatory response in juvenile black seabream (*acanthopagrus schlegelii*) fed high-fat diet. Aquaculture 2019;504:199–209.
- Jutfelt F. Barrier function of the gut. Encycl Fish Physiol 2011;2:1322–31. Kamada N, Seo SU, Chen GY, Núñez G. Role of the gut microbiota in immunity and
- inflammatory disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2013;13:321–35. Karin M, Clevers H. Reparative inflammation takes charge of tissue regeneration.
- Nature 2016;529:307–15.
- Kortner TM, Gu J, Krogdahl Å, Bakke AM. Transcriptional regulation of cholesterol and bile acid metabolism after dietary soyabean meal treatment in atlantic salmon (*salmo salar* I.). Br J Nutr 2013;109:593–604.
- Li X, Chen H, Guan Y, Li X, Lei L, Liu J, et al. Acetic acid activates the amp-activated protein kinase signaling pathway to regulate lipid metabolism in bovine hepatocytes. PLoS One 2013;8:e67880.
- Liu S, Song M, Yun W, Lee J, Kim H, Cho J. Effect of carvacrol essential oils on immune response and inflammation-related genes expression in broilers challenged by lipopolysaccharide. Poultry Sci 2019;98:2026–33.
- Liu YC, Limbu SM, Wang JG, Ren J, Qiao F, Zhang ML, et al. Dietary l-carnitine alleviates the adverse effects caused by reducing protein and increasing fat contents in diet juvenile largemouth bass (*micropterus salmoides*). Aquacult Nutr 2022;2022.
- Ma Q, Li LY, Le JY, Lu DL, Qiao F, Zhang ML, Du ZY, et al. Dietary microencapsulated oil improves immune function and intestinal health in nile tilapia fed with high-fat diet. Aquaculture 2018;496:19–29.
- Martinez-Lopez M, Iborra S, Conde-Garrosa R, Mastrangelo A, Danne C, Mann ER, Reid DM, Gaboriau-Routhiau V, Chaparro M, Lorenzo MP. Microbiota sensing by mincle-syk axis in dendritic cells regulates interleukin-17 and-22 production and promotes intestinal barrier integrity. Immunity 2019;50:446–61. . e9.
- Mcmillan DN, Secombes CJ. Isolation of rainbow trout (*oncorhynchus mykiss*) intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (iel) and measurement of their cytotoxic activity. Fish Shellfish Immunol 1997;7:527–41.
- Merrifield DL, Burnard D, Bradley G, Davies SJ, Baker RT. Microbial community diversity associated with the intestinal mucosa of farmed rainbow trout (oncoryhnchus mykiss walbaum). Aquacult Res 2009;40:1064–72.
- Molinero N, Ruiz L, Sánchez B, Margolles A, Delgado S. Intestinal bacteria interplay with bile and cholesterol metabolism: implications on host physiology. Front Physiol 2019:185.
- Mu Q, Kirby J, Reilly CM, Luo XM. Leaky gut as a danger signal for autoimmune diseases. Front Immunol 2017:598.
- Navarrete P, Espejo RT, Romero J. Molecular analysis of microbiota along the digestive tract of juvenile atlantic salmon (*salmo salar* l.). Microb Ecol 2008;57: 550–61.

R. Xia, Q. Zhang, D. Xia et al.

- Ngoc PTA, Le V, Pham TT, Pham HC, Le TC, Oude Lansink A. Technical and scale efficiency of intensive white-leg shrimp (*litopenaeus vannamei*) farming in vietnam: a data envelopment analysis. Aquacult Econ Manag 2021:1–16.
- Oshima T, Miwa H, Joh T. Changes in the expression of claudins in active ulcerative colitis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008;23:S146–50.
- Pan FY, Wu P, Feng L, Jiang WD, Kuang SY, Tang L, et al. Methionine hydroxy analogue improves intestinal immunological and physical barrier function in young grass carp (*ctenopharyngodon idella*). Fish Shellfish Immunol 2017;64: 122–36.
- Peng XR, Feng L, Jiang WD, Wu P, Liu Y, Jiang J, et al. Supplementation exogenous bile acid improved growth and intestinal immune function associated with nfkb and tor signalling pathways in on-growing grass carp (*ctenopharyngodon idella*): enhancement the effect of protein-sparing by dietary lipid. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2019;92:552–69.
- Phillips M, Subasinghe RP, Tran N, Kassam L, Chan CY. Aquaculture big numbers. 2016.
- Rauta PR, Nayak B, Das S. Immune system and immune responses in fish and their role in comparative immunity study: a model for higher organisms. Immunol Lett 2012;148:23–33.
- Ridlon JM, Kang DJ, Hylemon PB, Bajaj JS. Bile acids and the gut microbiome. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2014;30:332.
- Roeselers G, Mittge EK, Stephens WZ, Parichy DM, Cavanaugh CM, Guillemin K, et al. Evidence for a core gut microbiota in the zebrafish. ISME J 2011;5:1595–608.
- Rohani MF, Islam SM, Hossain MK, Ferdous Z, Siddik MA, Nuruzzaman M, et al. Probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics improved the functionality of aquafeed: upgrading growth, reproduction, immunity and disease resistance in fish. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2021;120:569–89.
- Romano N, Kumar V, Yang G, Kajbaf K, Rubio MB, Overturf K, et al. Bile acid metabolism in fish: disturbances caused by fishmeal alternatives and some mitigating effects from dietary bile inclusions. Rev Aquacult 2020;12:1792–817. Romański KW. The role and mechanism of action of bile acids within the directive
- system—bile acids in the liver and bile. Adv Clin Exp Med 2007;16:793—9.
- Russell M, Kilian M, Mantis N, Corthesy B. Biological activities of iga. Mucosal Immunol 2015;1:429–54.
- Salinas I. The mucosal immune system of teleost fish. Biology 2015;4:525-39.
- Schumann M, Brinker A. Understanding and managing suspended solids in intensive salmonid aquaculture: a review. Rev Aquacult 2020;12:2109–39.
- Soderholm AT, Pedicord VA. Intestinal epithelial cells: at the interface of the microbiota and mucosal immunity. Immunology 2019;158:267–80.
- Standen B, Peggs D, Rawling M, Foey A, Davies S, Santos G, et al. Dietary administration of a commercial mixed-species probiotic improves growth performance and modulates the intestinal immunity of tilapia, *oreochromis niloticus*. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2016;49:427–35.
- Sun J, Wang J, Ma J, Li B, Hao T, Sun Y, et al. Effects of dietary bile acids on growth, body composition and lipid metabolism of juvenile turbot (*scophthalmus maximus*) at different lipid levels. Oceanol Limnol Sinica 2014;45:617–25.
- Sundh H, Kvamme BO, Fridell F, Olsen RE, Ellis T, Taranger GL, et al. Intestinal barrier function of atlantic salmon (*salmo salar* l.) post smolts is reduced by common sea cage environments and suggested as a possible physiological welfare indicator. BMC Physiol 2010;10:1–13.

- Suzuki T. Regulation of intestinal epithelial permeability by tight junctions. Cell Mol Life Sci 2013;70:631–59.
- Ulluwishewa D, Anderson RC, Mcnabb WC, Moughan PJ, Wells JM, Roy NC. Regulation of tight junction permeability by intestinal bacteria and dietary components. J Nutr 2011;141:769–76.
- Wang XZ, Jiang WD, Feng L, Wu P, Liu Y, Zeng YY, et al. Low or excess levels of dietary cholesterol impaired immunity and aggravated inflammation response in young grass carp (*ctenopharyngodon idella*). Fish Shellfish Immunol 2018;78: 202–21.
- Wang Y, Ni J, Nie Z, Gao J, Sun Y, Shao N, et al. Effects of stocking density on growth, serum parameters, antioxidant status, liver and intestine histology and gene expression of largemouth bass (*micropterus salmoides*) farmed in the in-pond raceway system. Aquacult Res 2020;51:5228–40.
- Watanabe S, Fujita K. Dietary hyodeoxycholic acid exerts hypolipidemic effects by reducing farnesoid x receptor antagonist bile acids in mouse enterohepatic tissues. Lipids 2014;49:963–73.
- Wei H, Chen P, Liang X, Yu H, Wu X, Han J, et al. Plant protein diet suppressed immune function by inhibiting spiral valve intestinal mucosal barrier integrity, anti-oxidation, apoptosis, autophagy and proliferation responses in amur sturgeon (acipenser schrenckii). Fish Shellfish Immunol 2019;94:711–22.
- Whitehead TR, Cotta MA. Isolation and identification of hyper-ammonia producing bacteria from swine manure storage pits. Curr Microbiol 2004;48:20–6.
- Yang HT, Zou SS, Zhai LJ, Wang Y, Zhang FM, An LG, et al. Pathogen invasion changes the intestinal microbiota composition and induces innate immune responses in the zebrafish intestine. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2017;71:35–42.
- Yin P, Xie S, Zhuang Z, He X, Tang X, Tian L, et al. Dietary supplementation of bile acid attenuate adverse effects of high-fat diet on growth performance, antioxidant ability, lipid accumulation and intestinal health in juvenile largemouth bass (*micropterus salmoides*). Aquaculture 2021;531:735864.
- Yu G, Ou W, Liao Z, Xu H, Liang M, Zhang Y, et al. Intestinal homeostasis of juvenile tiger puffer takifugu rubripes was sensitive to dietary arachidonic acid in terms of mucosal barrier and microbiota. Aquaculture 2019a;502:97–106.
- Yu H, Zhang L, Chen P, Liang X, Cao A, Han J, et al. Dietary bile acids enhance growth, and alleviate hepatic fibrosis induced by a high starch diet via akt/foxo1 and camp/ampk/srebp1 pathway in *micropterus salmoides*. Front Physiol 2019b;10: 1430.
- Zeng B, Liao Z, Xiang X, He W, Cen M, He S. Effects of bile acids on growth performance, muscle composition and digestive enzyme activities of *ctenophar-yngodon idellus*. Progress Fish Sci 2017;38:99–106.
- Zhai SW, Zhao PY, Huang LX. Dietary bile acids supplementation improves the growth performance with regulation of serum biochemical parameters and intestinal microbiota of growth retarded european eels (Anguilla anguilla) cultured in cement tanks. Isr J Aquacult Bamidgeh 2020;72.
- Zheng Z, Zeng B, Xiang X, Zhou X, Chen J, Lyu G, et al. Effects of bile acid supplemental level on growth performance, physical indices and body composition of juvenile *schizothorax prenanti*. Chin J Anim Nutr 2016;28:2423–30.
- Zhou J, Chen H, Ji H, Shi X, Li X, Chen L, et al. Effect of dietary bile acids on growth, body composition, lipid metabolism and microbiota in grass carp (*ctenophar-yngodon idella*). Aquacult Nutr 2018;24:802–13.