Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Materials Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/matlet

## NiFeB anode catalyst for anion exchange membrane water electrolysis

## Alaa Y. Faid, Svein Sunde

Electrochemistry Group, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway

### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: NiFeB Scale-up OER Anode layer AEM water electrolysis

## ABSTRACT

In this work, the Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B oxygen evolution reaction (OER) catalyst was scaled up to 5 g per batch and tested as an anode electrode in anion exchange membrane electrolysis. The Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B membrane electrode assemblies (MEAs) display outstanding performance of 3.3 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 2 V and durability for 60 h at 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> in 1 M KOH and 60 °C compared to state of art iridium (Ir) black catalyst.

## 1. Introduction

Hydrogen can be produced from any energy source (fossil, nuclear or renewable), and electricity represents 70–90% of the electrolytic hydrogen cost [1]. Hydrogen is beneficial for storing surplus energy from renewables in the form of hydrogen from water electrolysis [2]. Low-temperature water electrolysis is a sustainable and efficient hydrogen production technology [3]. Anion exchange membrane (AEM) water electrolysis utilizes non-platinum group metal (PGM) electrocatalysts aiming to achieve high efficiency and economically feasible green hydrogen [3,4]. Alkaline AEM electrolysis aims to achieve performance comparable to PEM electrolysis, i.e. 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 2 V with stable performance to offer significant cost savings [3]. Recently Paul A. Kohl's group showed AEM performance similar to PEM electrolysis by optimizing ionomer chemistry and content [5–7].

Active, durable, and commercially viable non-PGM oxygen evolution reaction (OER) catalysts are needed for scaling up electrolyzer technology to giga-scale;[8] the OER kinetics are sluggish and consequently, it controls the performance of water electrolysis [8,9]. Metal borides/ borates exhibit promising OER performance in a high-pH regime; incorporating highly electronegative boron diminishes oxidation reaction energy barrier under positive bias and assists charge transfer [8]. The durability of metal borides has been limited to < 60 h at a modest current density of ~ 20 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> [8,9]. The synthesis methods for borides/borates are less energy-intensive and less toxic compared to those needed for other electrocatalysts (metal phosphides and sulfides) [10]. The stability of the boride-based electrocatalysts, earth abundance, and simplicity of preparation make them promising OER catalysts [11]. Thus scientific attention should be paid to their electrocatalytic performance and durability at high currents to meet the industrial demand.

### 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Catalyst synthesis

To synthesize 5 g of Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B catalyst: 15 mM of total precursors (Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>) were added to 150 ml water where the Ni and Fe precursors were NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. The precursors were stirred for 15 min at 750 rpm. The precursors were added once to 750 ml of 0.1 M of sodium borohydride under continuous stirring at room temperature. The resulting precipitate was stirred for another 15 min to ensure complete reduction and then centrifuged four times at 8000 rpm for 6 min. The produced precipitate was then dried in the air in a fume hood till used.

## 3. Structural and electrochemical characterization

Catalyst morphology and composition were evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) [Zeiss Supra 55 VP] and an energydispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) detector in the device. In-depth transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and EDX mapping investigation was done using the JEOL JEM-2100F microscope. To examine catalyst crystalline characteristics, X-ray diffraction (XRD) [Bruker D8 with CuK $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.5425$  Å] was used. The catalysts' electrochemical properties were investigated with an Ivium-n-Stat potentiostat in a three-electrode cell, where glassy carbon (GC) electrode (diameter (5 mm), Pine Research), Hg/HgO electrode (Pine Research), and platinum foil served as working, reference, and counter electrodes,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2022.132738

Received 25 May 2022; Received in revised form 20 June 2022; Accepted 26 June 2022 Available online 27 June 2022

0167-577X/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: svein.sunde@ntnu.no (S. Sunde).



Fig. 1. a) SEM, inset EDX spectra b) TEM image, inset EDX mapping, and c) XRD pattern of Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B d) linear sweep voltammetry of Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B and iridium (Ir) black catalysts for 5 inks.

respectively. The GC electrodes were polished and thoroughly cleaned before use.

## 4. Membrane electrode assembly (MEA) fabrication and testing

Inks for catalyst electrode layers were prepared as follows: The required amount of water, ethanol, and ionomer was added to the anode and cathode catalyst powder, and the mixture was ultrasonicated in an ice bath for 15 min. The electrodes and MEA have been fabricated similarly as described earlier by Faid et al. [12,13]. The cathode or anode electrode area was 6.25 cm<sup>2</sup>. To assemble the cell: The membrane was secured with a gasket and sandwiched between cathode and anode electrodes. The cell has an anode and cathode Ni current collectors. 1 M KOH was fed to both the anode and cathode sides at  $T = 60 \degree C$  with flow rates of 250 ml/min. The electrochemical analysis (recording of polarization curves and impedance measurements) was done using a highcurrent potentiostat (HCP-803 Biologic, France). The cells were controlled at a constant current. The polarization curve was measured at constant current mode from 0 to  $3 \text{ A/cm}^2$  with 50 steps with a dwell time of 30 s. Durability testing was carried out for cells at galvanostatic mode at  $1 \text{ A/cm}^2$  with a cut-off cell voltage of 2 V.

#### 5. Results and discussions

SEM, TEM, EDX, and XRD were used to evaluate catalyst structure,

composition, and morphological features. Fig. 1 shows an SEM image of scaled-up Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B. The scaled-up Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B showed an agglomerated nanosheet structure as confirmed in the TEM image. EDX analysis and TEM EDX mapping displays are shown in Fig. 1 with the evident presence of Ni, Fe, and B elements. XRD diffraction pattern of Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B is shown in Fig. 1c. The Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B XRD pattern reveals three broad peaks at  $2\Theta$  values of 35.57, 43.46, and 62.38 corresponding to (111), (200), and (220) of face-centered cubic phase NiO (JCPDS card no. #47-1049) [14].

Testing the catalyst in three electrode cells using RDE configuration for 5 inks of scaled-up  $Ni_2Fe_1B$  powder is shown in Fig. 1d. The OER performance of  $Ni_2Fe_1B$  in 1 M KOH is revealed in LSV curves in Fig. 1d which proves that  $Ni_2Fe_1B$  has exceptional OER performance compared to state of art Iridium (Ir) black catalyst (Alfa Aesar) with achieving the standard current density of 10 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at an overpotential of only 190 mV.

To test the scaled-up Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B catalyst as an anode electrode for an AEM water electrolysis, the MEA has been fabricated with Pt/c cathode, Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B anode, and Fumion FAA membrane. The MEA is then inserted between cathode and anode current collectors in the cell as shown in Fig. 2a. The cells with Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B anode catalysts were compared to state of art Ir black catalysts of the same loading and ink composition.

Two ionomer compositions of 9 and 20 wt% have been used for  $Ni_2Fe_1B$  and Ir black catalyst layers. The spraying was carried out with a (Coltech) airbrush to be 3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> for anode ( $Ni_2Fe_1B$  or iridium (Ir)



Fig. 2. a) schematic of electrolysis cell used in this work, b) Polarization curves c) stability curves for 60 h at 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup>.



Fig. 3. Performance comparison of Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B at a) three electrode systems [15] and b) electrolysis cell system [16,17].

black) and (1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> for Pt/C) as the cathode. Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B layers at every ionomer content reveal better electrolysis performance and stability than Ir catalyst. Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B with 20 wt% ionomer achieves 3.3 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 2 V compared to 2.6 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at the same cell voltage for Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B layers with 9 wt% ionomer while the Ir black anode electrode achieves cell

performance of 2.5 and 1.95 A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 2 V for ionomer content of 9 and 20 wt%. The Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B and Ir black cells were then tested for stability at 1 A/cm<sup>2</sup> with a cut-off cell voltage of 2 V where a cell with a decreasing performance will result in an increased cell voltage with time. Ni<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>B layers display superior stability for 60 h compared to the expensive Ir

black-based electrode layers. The ionomer content performancedurability influence is different for  $Ni_2Fe_1B$  and Ir black where the higher ionomer content for Ir black layers results in lower performance and higher durability while for  $Ni_2Fe_1B$  leads to higher performance and durability. The  $Ni_2Fe_1B$  catalyst and electrode layers achieve state of art OER performance for AEM water electrolysis of 1 A /cm<sup>2</sup> at 1.67 V in 1 M KOH, 60 °C as in Fig. 3.

#### 6. Conclusions

 $\rm Ni_2Fe_1B$  OER catalyst scaled up to 5 g was tested as anode electrode in anion exchange membrane electrolysis 1 A /cm<sup>2</sup> at 1.67 V and exceptional durability for 60 h in 1 M KOH and 60 °C compared to state of art Ir black catalyst.

## CRediT authorship contribution statement

Alaa Y. Faid: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft. Svein Sunde: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

#### Acknowledgments

This work received funding from the Research Council of Norway, ENERGIX program "HAPEEL" project with contract number 268019.

### References

- T. da Silva Veras, T.S. Mozer, D. da Costa Rubim Messeder dos Santos, A. da Silva César, Hydrogen: trends, production and characterization of the main process worldwide, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 42 (4) (2017) 2018–2033.
- [2] A.M. Abdalla, S. Hossain, O.B. Nisfindy, A.T. Azad, M. Dawood, A.K. Azad, Hydrogen production, storage, transportation and key challenges with applications: a review, Energy Convers. Manage. 165 (2018) 602–627, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.encomman.2018.03.088.

- [3] K. Ayers, N. Danilovic, R. Ouimet, M. Carmo, B. Pivovar, M. Bornstein, Perspectives on low-temperature electrolysis and potential for renewable hydrogen at scale, Ann. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 10 (2019) 219–239, https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-chembioeng-060718-030241.
- [4] I. Vincent, D. Bessarabov, Low cost hydrogen production by anion exchange membrane electrolysis: a review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 81 (2018) 1690–1704, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.258.
- [5] M. Chen, M. Mandal, K. Groenhout, G. McCool, H.M. Tee, B. Zulevi, P.A. Kohl, Selfadhesive ionomers for durable low-temperature anion exchange membrane electrolysis, J. Power Sour. 536 (2022), 231495, https://doi.org/10.1016/J. JPOWSOUR.2022.231495.
- [6] G. Huang, M. Mandal, N.U. Hassan, K. Groenhout, A. Dobbs, W.E. Mustain, P. A. Kohl, Ionomer optimization for water uptake and swelling in anion exchange membrane electrolyzer: oxygen evolution electrode, J. Electrochem. Soc. 167 (16) (2020) 164514.
- [7] G. Huang, M. Mandal, N.U. Hassan, K. Groenhout, A. Dobbs, W.E. Mustain, P. A. Kohl, Ionomer optimization for water uptake and swelling in anion exchange membrane electrolyzer: hydrogen evolution electrode, J. Electrochem. Soc. 168 (2) (2021) 024503.
- [8] S. Gupta, M.K. Patel, A. Miotello, N. Patel, Metal boride-based catalysts for electrochemical water-splitting: a review, Adv. Funct. Mater. 30 (2020) 1906481, https://doi.org/10.1002/ADFM.201906481.
- [9] Z. Pu, T. Liu, G. Zhang, X. Liu, M.A. Gauthier, Z. Chen, S. Sun, Nanostructured metal borides for energy-related electrocatalysis: recent progress, challenges, and perspectives, Small Methods 5 (2021) 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1002/ smtd.202100699.
- [10] J. Masa, C. Andronescu, H. Antoni, I. Sinev, S. Seisel, K. Elumeeva, S. Barwe, S. Marti-Sanchez, J. Arbiol, B. Roldan Cuenya, M. Muhler, W. Schuhmann, Role of boron and phosphorus in enhanced electrocatalytic oxygen evolution by nickel borides and nickel phosphides, ChemElectroChem. 6 (2019) 235–240, https://doi. org/10.1002/celc.201800669.
- [11] Z. Chen, X. Duan, W. Wei, S. Wang, Z. Zhang, B.-J. Ni, Boride-based electrocatalysts: emerging candidates for water splitting, Nano Res. 13 (2) (2020) 293–314.
- [12] A.Y. Faid, A.O. Barnett, F. Seland, S. Sunde, Ternary NiCoFe nanosheets for oxygen evolution in anion exchange membrane water electrolysis, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy (2022), https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHYDENE.2022.05.143.
- [13] A.Y. Faid, A.O. Barnett, F. Seland, S. Sunde, Tuning Ni-MoO2Catalyst-ionomer and electrolyte interaction for water electrolyzers with anion exchange membranes, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 4 (2021) 3327–3340, https://doi.org/10.1021/ ACSAEM.0C03072/SUPPL FILE/AE0C03072 S1 001.PDF.
- [14] H. Yan, D. Zhang, J. Xu, Y. Lu, Y. Liu, K. Qiu, Y. Zhang, Y. Luo, Solution growth of NiO nanosheets supported on Ni foam as high-performance electrodes for supercapacitors, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 9 (2014) 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1186/1556-276X-9-424/FIGURES/7.
- [15] J. Kibsgaard, I. Chorkendorff, Considerations for the scaling-up of water splitting catalysts, Nat. Energy 4 (2019) 430–433, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0407-1.
- [16] M.R. Kraglund, M. Carmo, G. Schiller, S.A. Ansar, D. Aili, E. Christensen, J. O. Jensen, Ion-solvating membranes as a new approach towards high rate alkaline electrolyzers, Energy Environ. Sci. 12 (2019) 3313–3318, https://doi.org/ 10.1039/c9ee00832b.
- [17] D. Li, E.J. Park, W. Zhu, Q. Shi, Y. Zhou, H. Tian, Y. Lin, A. Serov, B. Zulevi, E. D. Baca, C. Fujimoto, H.T. Chung, Y.S. Kim, Highly quaternized polystyrene ionomers for high performance anion exchange membrane water electrolysers, Nat. Energy 5 (2020) 378–385, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-0577-x.