



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ipsc20</u>

Quality of clinical management of cardiometabolic risk factors in patients with severe mental illness in a specialist mental health care setting

Petter A. Ringen, Elisabeth Lund-Stenvold, Ole A. Andreassen, Torfinn L. Gaarden, Cecilie B. Hartberg, Erik Johnsen, Silje Myklatun, Kåre Osnes, Kirsten Sørensen, Kjetil Sørensen, Arne Vaaler, Serena Tonstad, John A. Engh & Anne Høye

To cite this article: Petter A. Ringen, Elisabeth Lund-Stenvold, Ole A. Andreassen, Torfinn L. Gaarden, Cecilie B. Hartberg, Erik Johnsen, Silje Myklatun, Kåre Osnes, Kirsten Sørensen, Kjetil Sørensen, Arne Vaaler, Serena Tonstad, John A. Engh & Anne Høye (2022): Quality of clinical management of cardiometabolic risk factors in patients with severe mental illness in a specialist mental health care setting, Nordic Journal of Psychiatry, DOI: <u>10.1080/08039488.2022.2039288</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/08039488.2022.2039288



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Quality of clinical management of cardiometabolic risk factors in patients with severe mental illness in a specialist mental health care setting

Petter A. Ringen^a , Elisabeth Lund-Stenvold^b, Ole A. Andreassen^c , Torfinn L. Gaarden^d, Cecilie B. Hartberg^a, Erik Johnsen^e, Silje Myklatun^d, Kåre Osnes^d, Kirsten Sørensen^a, Kjetil Sørensen^f , Arne Vaaler^g, Serena Tonstad^h, John A. Engh^{i*} and Anne Høye^{b*}

^aDivision of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; ^bDepartment of Clinical Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of Norway and Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway; ^cNORMENT Centre of Excellence, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; ^dDivision of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ^eNORMENT Centre of Excellence, Division of Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital and Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; ^fDivision of Mental Health, Østmarka, St Olav's University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway; ^gDepartment of acute psychiatry, St. Olavs University Hospital and Department of Mental Health, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway; ^hSection for Preventive Cardiology Endocrinology, Morbid Obesity and Preventive Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ⁱDivision of Mental health and Addiction, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Tønsberg, Norway

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Cardiometabolic disease in patients with severe mental illness is a major cause of shortened life expectancy. There is sparse evidence of real-world clinical risk prevention practice. We investigated levels of assessments of cardiometabolic risk factors and risk management interventions in patients with severe mental illness in the Norwegian mental health service according to an acknowledged international standard.

Methods: We collected data from 264 patients residing in six country-wide health trusts for: (a) assessments of cardiometabolic risk and (b) assessments of levels of risk reducing interventions. Logistic regressions were employed to investigate associations between risk and interventions.

Results: Complete assessments of all cardiometabolic risk variables were performed in 50% of the participants and 88% thereof had risk levels requiring intervention according to the standard. Smoking cessation advice was provided to 45% of daily smokers and 4% were referred to an intervention program. Obesity was identified in 62% and was associated with lifestyle interventions. Reassessment of psychotropic medication was done in 28% of the obese patients. Women with obesity were less likely to receive dietary advice, and use of clozapine or olanzapine reduced the chances for patients with obesity of getting weight reducing interventions.

Conclusions: Nearly nine out of the ten participants were identified as being at cardiometabolic high risk and only half of the participants were adequately screened. Women with obesity and patients using antipsychotics with higher levels of cardiometabolic side effects had fewer adequate interventions. The findings underscore the need for standardized recommendations for identification and provision of cardiometabolic risk reducing interventions in all patients with severe mental illness.

Introduction

Severe mental illness (SMI) is a major public health problem, causing extensive suffering and disabilities and a need for costly treatment and care. Schizophrenia alone is among the top ten causes of disability-adjusted life years worldwide [1]. SMI is associated with an increased risk of the metabolic syndrome, defining a combination of co-occurring risk factors for coronary artery disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes and cancer [2–5]. Further, unhealthy lifestyle habits such as smoking, sedentary behavior and unhealthy diet are common in this population [6–10]. Life expectancy for people with

schizophrenia is up to 20% shorter than for the general population, and comorbid somatic disease is a major contributor to the increased mortality [11–13], with little improvement during the last decades [14]. Side effects of antipsychotics are considered to play a role in the increased risk of metabolic syndrome [15–17], but the overall effect of antipsychotics on mortality is disputed [18,19]. Clozapine and olanzapine are considered as the antipsychotics with the highest potential for weight gain and other cardiometabolic risk increasing side effects [20,21].

The need to improve early detection, pre-ntion and treatment in the clinical management of comorbid somatic

CONTACT Petter A. Ringen 🔯 p.a.ringen@medisin.uio.no 🗊 Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

*Engh and Høye are shared last authors.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 24 June 2021 Revised 1 December 2021 Accepted 3 February 2022

KEYWORDS

Severe mental illness; schizophrenia; cardiovascular risk; cardiometabolic health; cardiometabolic risk; mortality risk reduction; health resource



disease in patients with SMI has thus become increasingly pertinent throughout the last decade. There are several efforts to develop standardized tools and guidelines to secure more effective and evidence-based measures [22–24]. However, adherence to evidence-based guidelines in mental health care shows large variation [25,26] and the strength of evidence regarding effectiveness of interventions for improved physical health is mixed [26]. Before implementing new guidelines, it is therefore of special interest to describe status of practice in the field, including the level of adherence to international evidence-based guidelines.

The 'Healthy Heart Tool' incorporates guidelines in a framework for individual primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) [27]. The tool is a Norwegian adaptation of the Australian Positive Cardiometabolic Health resource [28] and a British adaptation thereof (the 'Lester Tool'), which has already been implemented in several countries [29,30]. Importantly, the Healthy Heart Tool defines a 'Risk Zone' as an intervention threshold, with recommended interventions and risk reducing aims for each of the defined risks. This provides a standard for both assessment of CVD risk in SMI, as well as for investigating compliance to current international guidelines. At the time of the current study, this tool was not part of the national guidelines.

Methods

Aim, design and setting

The aims of the current study were to evaluate the standards of cardiovascular risk monitoring and risk reducing interventions in the Norwegian mental health services by investigating to what extent the (non-official) standards of the Healthy Heart Tool were followed for (a) risk assessment, and (b) risk specific interventions, and to investigate potential factors affecting whether the Tools' recommendations were followed. Norwegian mental health care is catchment area based and is divided in specialist health care and community health care. The specialist health care is organised in hospitals, which provide both in- and outpatient services. The community health care includes general practitioners and community mental health workers, e.g. providing low threshold services, home visits etc.

The current investigation is part of a larger Healthy Heart study, which is a multicenter study under the umbrella of the Norwegian Research Network in Severe Mental Illness (NORSMI). We investigated the cardiometabolic risk level and level of adherence to the Healthy Heart Tool guidelines in the patient group at six Norwegian hospitals at a time before the Healthy Heart Tool formed part of national guidelines (this occurred in September 2018). From December 2016 to April 2018, 264 patients were included in the study from the following Norwegian health trusts: Oslo University Hospital (n = 108), Diakonhjemmet Hospital (n = 75), University Hospital of North Norway (n = 27), Helse Bergen Hospital Trust (n = 23), Vestfold Hospital Trust (n = 18) and St Olav's Hospital Trust (n = 13). The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Northern Norway (Regional Ethical Committee of Northern Norway - 2016/29665).

Participants

Patients in the adult mental health care of the participating hospitals aged between 18 and 90 years with schizophrenia spectrum disorder (ICD F20-F29), bipolar spectrum disorder (affective disorders with hypomania, mania or psychotic symptoms: ICD F30, F31, F32.3 or F33.3) or current use of antipsychotic medication, were eligible for inclusion. Emphasis was put on inclusion regardless of perceived cardiometabolic risk status. Exclusion criterion was inability to give informed consent.

General information about the project was given to the clinical personnel at inpatient and outpatient services at information meetings and by email. The clinical personnel then referred eligible patients to the research staff with a focus on consecutive recruitment. Research staff (research nurses or other staff personnel) informed patients in more detail about the project and collected informed consent from those who wanted to participate. It was emphasised that there were noegateve consequences of not participating. Recruitment was solely based on inclusion criteria. Everyone received the same questions, and data were validated with patient journal information. At every site, there was a local project partner overseeing the recruitment procedures in close collaboration with the rest of the project partners. This method allowed for broad inclusion of patients at different sites, but data on patients who were not asked or refused participation were not possible to obtain.

Clinicians collected data from patient interviews and patient records. All participants have given written informed consent to participation and publication of data.

Assessments

The following sociodemographic data were collected: age, gender, civil status, ethnicity and level of education. ICD-10 diagnosis as diagnosed by their treating clinician was recorded. Time of start of treatment of the current psychiatric disorder was recorded and duration in moths was calculated. Inpatient or outpatient treatment status was recorded. Data on current use of psychotropic medication and use of illegal substances past 6 months were collected from participants and medical records. The Global Assessment of Functioning scale (GAF), in an adapted version with separate scoring of symptoms (GAF-S) [31,], was used to measure level of general psychiatric symptoms.

Standards of cardiovascular risk monitoring and risk reducing interventions were evaluated by comparing practice with the recommendations of the Healthy Heart Tool (Figure 1). Information on cardiometabolic risk assessments according to the Healthy Heart Tool obtained during the 12 months prior to inclusion (either at the hospital or with the general practitioner) was collected from patients and medical records. Daily smoking was recorded. Physical activity was defined as any activity to increase physical fitness, based on self report. Patents were asked how often they participated in such activities. One session of physical activity per week or less was defined as sedentary behavior [32].

"Risk Zone" risk factors	Daily smoking	Sedentary lifestyle	BMI≥30 kg/m ² or waist circumference ≥102 cm males /≥88 cm females	BMI≥35 kg/m ² and diabetes or diagnosis of hypertension or BMI≥40 kg/m ²	High blood pressure (≥140/90 mmHg)	Blood glucose dysregulation (Hemoglobin A1c ≥6,5 %)	Total cholesterol ≥7.0 mmol/l	CVD1	≥ 1 Risk factor (in «Risk Zone»)
Interventions	Smoking cessation advice Smoking cessation program	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions and organized activities Diet: advice and guidance	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions and organized activities Diet: advice and guidance Reassessment of psychotropic medication	Referral to specialist	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions and organized activities Salt intake reduction: Advice and guidance Reassessment of psychotropic medication	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions and organized activities Diet: advice and guidance Prescription of Metformin Reassessment of psychotropic medication Referral to specialist	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions and organized activities Diet: advice and guidance Prescription of Statins Reassessment of psychotropic medication Referral to specialist	Prescription of Statins Reassessment of psychotropic medication	Patient contact with GP ² Documented cooperation with GP ²

¹Cardiovascular disease. ²General Practioning Medical Doctor

Figure 1. Risk factors ('Risk Zone') and corresponding recommended interventions of the Healthy Heart Tool. ^aCardiovascular disease; ^bGeneral Practioning Medical Doctor.

Measurements included weight and height (calculation of body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c and nonfasting plasma lipids (total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides). Comorbidities including cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension or diabetes were recorded. Elevated cardiometabolic risk ('Risk Zone') was defined as meeting any of the risk thresholds defined in the Healthy Heart Tool as follows: daily smoking, sedentary behavior, obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m2), blood pressure >140/90 mmHg, Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) \geq 6.5%, total cholesterol >7 mmol/L or a reported diagnosis of CVD or diabetes (Figure 1). Information on cardiometabolic risk reducing interventions according to the Healthy Heart Tool performed during the last 12 months were collected from patients and medical records. Counselling and motivational interventions was defined as any direct verbal communication with patients intended to affect their behaviour in a positive way (e.g. increased physical activity).

Statistics

Proportions of patients with risk factor assessments were examined. Based on these values, prevalence of risks as described in the Healthy Heart Tool, and the level of the corresponding recommended interventions were assessed. Data on risk interventions were not collected for all patients with risk factors, but we have no reason to believe that lack of

complete datasets for each participant conferred a specific bias to the dataset. Chi-square tests were applied to assess the difference in the proportion of interventions for patients with the current risk, relative to patients without the current risk. Due to multiple testing (31 separate tests), the significance level for the chi- square tests was set to 0.002 (Bonferroni). Otherwise, the significance level was set to 0.05. Use of olanzapine or clozapine was grouped as one dichotomous variable, because of the well-known cardiometabolic risk profiles of these antipsychotics [20]. Putative predictors for the resulting significant associations were then investigated adjusting for clinically relevant confounding factors using logistic regression models to calculate odds ratios (OR), with the specific intervention as dependent variable and the following 12 independent variables: age, sex, ethnicity (European vs non-European origin), civil status (married or cohabitating), education (>/< 12 years of education), type of disorder (schizophrenia spectrum vs bipolar spectrum disorder or other), duration of treatment (months), inpatient vs outpatient treatment, use of olanzapine or clozapine, use of illicit drugs past six months, level of general symptoms as measured with the GAF-S, as well as the corresponding risk factor for the specific intervention according to the guideline. Low n of patients with risk factors receiving recommended interventions hindered investigations with logistic regressions for some of the resulting significant associations (the following associations were investigated: daily smoking and smoking cessation advice, obesity and counselling and motivational interventions for physical activity, obesity and

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics.

	Men (<i>n</i> = 147)	Women (<i>n</i> = 117)
Age, mean (SD ^a)	42.6 (14.3)	47.5 (16.1)
European ethnicity, n (%)	114 (77.6)	101 (86.3)
Married or cohabiting, n (%)	20 (13.8)	31 (26.7)
More than 12 yrs education, n (%)	57 (40.7)	63 (54.8)
Schizophrenia spectrum ^b , n (%)	122 (83.6)	85 (73.3)
Bipolar spectrum ^c , n (%)	17 (11.6)	21 (18.1)
Other diagnosis ^d , n (%)	7 (4.8)	10 (8.6)
Duration of treatment in months, mean (SD)	17.9 (13.2)	19.3 (13.6)
Use of olanzapine or clozapine, n (%)	71 (50.4)	41 (36.0)
Use of illicit drugs past 6 months, n (%)	26 (18.4)	8 (7.3)
GAF Symptoms, mean (SD)	47.7 (13.9)	51.9 (14.1)
Inpatient treatment, n (%)	48 (33.1)	29 (25.0)

^aStandard deviation,

^bICD F20-F29,

^cICD F30, F31, F32.3, F33.3,

^dNot (a) or (b) and current use of antipsychotic.

Table 2. Proportions of participants with physical examinations and blood samples in the past 12 months, either at the hospital or with the general practitioner (family doctor).

	Men, <i>n</i> (%)	Women, <i>n</i> (%)
Body weight	122 (86.5)	84 (75.0)
Waist circumference	79 (58.1)	57 (52.8)
Blood pressure	113 (80.7)	87 (79.1)
Hemoglobin A1c	115 (81.6)	88 (77.2)
Lipids ^a	126 (89.4)	89 (79.5)
Underwent all above assessments, n (%)	73 (51.0)	55 (48.2)

^aTotal cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides.

diet advice). Final models were checked for 'goodness of fit' with Hosmer-Lemeshow tests.

Results

A total of 264 patients participated in the study. Their demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. There were 147 men (55.7%) and 117 women (44.3%) and a total of 207 participants (79.0%) had schizophrenia spectrum disorder. The average length of treatment was 18.5 months (range: 0–57 months). Seventy-seven participants (30%) received inpatient treatment at the time of the study while the rest were outpatients. We have no records of patients who declined participation.

The proportion of patients who had received cardiometabolic risk assessments according to the Healthy Heart Tool is shown in Table 2 (only counting patients with registration of whether the assessments were performed or not). Investigations of all recommended risk factors, i.e. of body weight, waist circumference, blood pressure, HbA1c and lipids had been performed in 131 participants (49.8%), either at the hospital or with the general practitioner and communicated to the hospital.

Two hundred thirty-one (87.5%) were in the Risk Zone of the total group of included patients. Table 3 shows the

Table 3. Patients in the specialist health care with cardiometabolic risk factors receiving the appropriate recommended interventions according to the Healthy Heart Tool.

	Patients with risk factors		Patients with risk factors receiving recommended interventions			
Risk factor	n	%		n	% ^a	<i>p</i> -value
In 'Risk Zone' ^b	231	87.5	Contact with GP ^c	133	71.5	0.647
			Documented cooperation with GP	56	29.3	0.062
Daily smoking	99	38.5	Smoking cessation advice	34	44.7	<0.001
			Smoking cessation program	3	4.1	0.312
Sedentary lifestyle ^d	132	50.2	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions	86	72.3	0.126
			Physical activity: organized activities	45	39.1	1.000
			Diet: advice and guidance	62	55.4	0.023
BM/ \geq 30 kg/m ² or waist	150	62.0	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions	106	77.9	<0.001
circumference \geq 102 cm			Physical activity: Organized activities	54	40.9	0.473
men / \geq 88 cm women			Diet: Advice and guidance	76	58.0	<0.001
			Reassessment of psychotropic medication	34	27.9	0.399
$BM/ \ge 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and diabetes or hypertension or $BM/ > 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$	21	8.0	Referral to specialist	4	21.1	0.020
High blood pressure	84	32.4	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions	57	75.0	0.134
(≥140/90 mmHg)			Physical activity: Organized activities	29	39.2	1.000
-			Salt intake reduction: Advice and guidance	10	14.7	0.033
			Reassessment of psychotropic medication	17	25.0	1.000
Blood glucose dysregulation	27	10.5	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions	19	86.4	0.055
/Diabetes (Hemoglobin A1 $c \ge 6.5$ %)			Physical activity: Organized activities	10	47.6	0.485
			Diet: Advice and guidance	16	72.7	0.023
			Metformin (current prescription)	7	33.3	<.001
			Reassessment of psychotropic medication	6	30.0	0.592
			Referral to specialist	4	20.0	0.026
Total Cholesterol \geq 7 mmol/L	12	4.7	Physical activity: Counselling and motivational interventions	5	50.0	0.302
			Physical activity: Organized activities	3	30.0	0.744
			Diet: Advice and guidance	4	40.0	0.750
			Statins (current prescription)	0	0.0	1.000
			Reassessment of psychotropic medication	0	0.0	0.069
			Referral to specialist	0	0.0	1.000
Cardiovascular disease	25	9.5	Statins (current prescription)	6	27.3	0.002
			Reassessment of psychotropic medication	7	36.8	0.269

Chi square tests.

^aIncomplete datasets for each participant.

^b'Risk Zone' of the Healthy Heart Tool: daily smoking, sedentary lifestyle, unhealthy diet, Body Mass Index $> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$, blood pressure over140/90 mmHg, HbA1C \geq 6.5%, total cholesterol \geq 7 or diagnosis of cardiovascular disease, hypertension or diabetes.

^cGeneral practioning medical doctor.

^dOne session of physical activity per week or less.

Bold: significant after Bonferroni correction, p = 0.002.

Table 4. Associations between interventions and sex, age and corresponding risk factors from the logistical regressions.

	Significant independent variables	Odds Ratios		
Interventions (dependent variables)	and corresponding risk factor	(95%Cl ^a)	<i>p</i> -value	
Smoking cessation advice	Age	1.01 (0.96–1.06)	0.756	
	Female sex	0.34 (0.11-1.097)	0.066	
	Married or cohabitating	4.23 (1.13–15.76)	0.032	
	Illicit substance use	0.09 (0.01-0.54)	0.009	
	Daily smoking	11.46 (3.60–36.49)	<0.001	
Physical activity: Counselling	Age	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	0.985	
and motivational interventions	Female sex	0.50 (0.20-1.21)	0.125	
	Use of olanzapine or clozapine	0.34 (0.15-0.78)	0.011	
	Obesity or high waist circumference ^b	4.70 (2.03-10.88)	<0.001	
Diet: Advice and guidance	Age	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	0.088	
	Female sex	0.30 (0.13-0.71)	0.006	
	Duration of treatment	1.05 (1.01–1.09)	0.015	
	Use of olanzapine or clozapine	0.37 (0.17-0.80)	0.012	
	Obesity or high waist circumference ^b	4.97 (2.15–11.50)	<0.001	

Showing age, sex and significant effects from the full models.

^aConfidence interval.

^bBMl \geq 30 kg/m² or waist circumference \geq 102 cm men/ \geq 88 cm women. Bold: Significant effect; significance level: 0.05.

proportion of patients with risk factors who received risk-specific interventions recommended in the Healthy Heart Tool. The following associations were statistically significant: daily smoking and smoking cessation advice, obesity and counselling and motivational interventions for physical activity, obesity and diet advice, (blood) glucose dysregulation and metformin prescription, CVD and statin prescription.

The logistical regressions controlling for potential confounders (Table 4) showed that smoking cessation advice was significantly associated with daily smoking, but illicit drug use significantly reduced the likelihood of receiving such advice. Counselling and motivational interventions for physical activity was significantly associated with obesity or high waist circumference, but prescription of clozapine or olanzapine significantly reduced the likelihood of receiving the intervention.

Dietary advice and guidance were also significantly associated with obesity or high waist circumference. The likelihood of the dietary intervention was increased with longer duration of treatment, but reduced in women and for participants prescribed clozapine or olanzapine.

Discussion

We found that nearly nine out of ten participants were in the risk zone according to the Healthy Heart Tool and only half of the participants were adequately screened for cardiometabolic risk. Furthermore, a high proportion of patients at risk did not receive adequate preventive interventions. The level of interventions varied substantially between areas of risk. Women with obesity were less prone to receiving dietary advice, and the prescription of antipsychotics with disruptive metabolic load reduced the chances for patients with obesity of getting weight reducing interventions. Further, use of illicit drugs reduced the chances for receiving smoking cessation advice. Together, these findings highlight the large proportion of SMI patients with high CVD risk in a mental health care specialist setting, and the low percentage of participants receiving adequate clinical management. The current findings show a similar level of key risk factors for cardiometabolic disease reported before the introduction of the 'Lester Tool' at four NHS trusts in England in 2014, where the level of recommended screening was 46% [30]. Waist circumference in our study was measured in just above half of the patients. This confirms earlier findings of low levels of screening with a relevant and sensitive physical measure in SMI patients [33]. Only 30% of patients at risk had had their psychotropic drug use reassessed. This low proportion is consistent with previous findings [34].

For performance of recommended risk interventions, we found that advice on physical activity was provided for more than 70% of the patients. For physical activity counselling and motivational interventions in patients with high glycosylated hemoglobin, the implementation rate can also be considered satisfactory (86%), but the level of referral of patients to organized physical exercise for any risk area was not (30–50%). The observation that few were referred to a physical exercise service may be due to services being little known or difficult to access. Possibly, clinicians have a lower threshold for giving advice than to *refer* to a specific service. Lack of attention to or knowledge of the problem or work overload in the staff could be additional explanations.

Sedentary lifestyle, obesity and hypercholesterolemia was associated with a 40-60% likelihood of receiving dietary advice. For high long-term blood glucose, the level of dietary advice was 73% but the relationship did not reach statistical significance. The specific risks smoking and obesity were significantly related to targeted, adequate measures such as smoking cessation advice, counselling and motivational interventions for physical activity and dietary advice, even after controlling for possible confounding factors. This could be related to the Norwegian targeted reimbursement for these specific interventions in the health services, possibly indicating high effectiveness of this administrative measure. In the 'Lester Tool' evaluation in England (2015), level of recommended interventions for people at risk was generally higher than in our study, at 79% [30]. That is; while the screening level in Norway was similar to the UK level, the intervention level was lower. Another possible explanation for such a difference could be that longer distances to the nearest adequate interventions service hinder referral in the more scarcely populated Norway. Differences in study populations and regions could affect results, as e.g. patients with more psychiatric symptoms may have higher barriers for receiving referrals implying longer travelling distances. Also, differences in the regional administration of health care could represent varying levels of obstacles. The differences found between health trusts in the Lester Tool evaluation for level of interventions support this. Our findings may thus suggest that some risk areas appear to the clinician as risk factors that more obviously require intervention (e.g. obesity).

The study results point to some potentially important roadblocks for adequate interventions. Women were less likely than men to receive dietary advice for obesity. This finding could be due to factors related to differences in clinicians' evaluation of obesity in men vs women. Obesity is more common in women than in men, independent of age and socioeconomic status [35]. A meta-analysis by Spahlholtz et al. [36] reported that women experience more weight related stigma and discrimination than men. One might speculate that clinicians more often observe obesity in women and hence consider this as more «normal», but it could also be that clinicians are more hesitant to address weight related questions in women. This may be due to the assumption that female patients experience weight related questions negatively. Further, the use of illicit drugs was associated with a lower likelihood of receiving smoking cessation advice. This could indicate lower ambitions in clinicians for smoking cessation in drug abusers, e.g. because of the more negative social consequences of drug abuse, and possibly reflecting the well-known stigma for this group [37,38].

Another notable finding was that prescription of the cardiometabolic risk associated antipsychotics clozapine and olanzapine reduced the chances for patients with obesity of getting motivational interventions for increased physical activity or receiving dietary advice. One potential explanation could be that patients on clozapine or olanzapine have more psychiatric symptoms, limiting the possibilities for active interventions, but symptom load did not act as a significant confounder in the analyses of the associations. It could still be that the type of medication may affect the clinicians' interpretation. A focus on medication compliance could perhaps overshadow the follow-up of possible side effects. Another possibility is that prescription of these antipsychotics biases the clinicians' attitudes towards thinking that weight reducing interventions may have lower efficacy. We also found that longer duration of treatment increased the chances of dietary advice for obesity. This may just be due to a high correlation between weight increase and duration of treatment, but if the findings indicate that dietary advice is not given in an early treatment phase it is problematic, as early interventions are crucial for prevention of risk factors.

On a more general level we have seen that basic equipment necessary for medical examinations such as scales, measuring tapes and blood pressure cuffs (and stethoscopes) is missing in many places, especially in outpatient clinics. The general lack of available targeted intervention possibilities or programs will probably affect referral rates for risk conditions.

There are several limitations in the current study. The number of participants is low in some of the risk factor subgroups, making the estimates of interventions in adherence to guidelines uncertain. Further, the inclusion criteria were relatively wide, and a bias due to possible inclusion of specific patient groups, e.g. better functioning patients, cannot be ruled out. As we do not have data for non-participants this is difficult to judge, but we have no indications that the participants were uncharacteristic of other SMI-groups in specialized health care e.g. in demographics. Emphasis was put on inclusion regardless of risk factors and there were no significant differences between the sites in risk factor incidence. If better functioning patients should have been selected in our study, one could possibly expect a bias towards a normalization of findings, i.e. toward a higher level of follow-up of somatic health. As this is a cross-sectional study no inferences can be made concerning causality between risk factors and interventions. Blood samples were not fasting, increasing the risk for artificially elevated values for lipids.

The main strength of the study is the unique survey of risk factors and risk interventions and associated factors in a fairly large patient sample from different psychiatric hospital services across Norway. The findings suggest that we do not detect the existing elevated risk in a high proportion of patients, but providing adequate interventions within specialized health care may be an even greater challenge.

After the present study was conducted, in September 2018, the Norwegian Directorate of Health issued national guidelines with standardized 'Treatment Packages' for mental health and substance abuse [39]. One section of these guidelines is an 'Action Package' called 'Somatic Health and Living Habits of Mental Health Care for Adults', in which the Healthy Heart Tool is part. Data were collected in the days leading up to the launch of the national standardized guidelines.

Conclusions

The study results point to relevant factors in the association between cardiometabolic risk areas and interventions. Even if patients with severe mental disorders are known to have high rates of cardiovascular risk factors, they receive inadequate levels of investigations and interventions. In particular, women with obesity and patients using antipsychotics with higher levels of cardiometabolic side effects seem to have fewer adequate interventions.

The findings highlight the need for continued focus on education of health care personnel, stigma fighting and efforts to ease the access to interventions to reduce comorbid CVD in people with SMI. There is a large need for implementation of standardized guidelines, in order to improve risk detection and secure adequate interventions.

Ethics approval

Regional Ethics Committee South East: #2009/2485.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants of the study and the clinicians involved in collecting data. The authors thank Thomas Bjella and his team at NORMENT for help with the data base. We also like to thank David Shiers (UK) and Jackie Curtis (Australia) for their support in the elaboration of a Norwegian adaptation of the Positive Cardiometabolic Health Resource.

Disclosure statement

OAA has received speakers honorarium from Lundbeck and Sunovion, and is a consultant to HealthLytix. The other authors report no conflicts or competing interests.

Funding

The research was supported by RCN [grant # 223273, 300309] and South-East Norway Health Authority [grants #2019-108 and #2017-112].

Notes on contributors

Petter Andreas Ringen is MD, PhD and specialist in Psychiatry. Head of the department of Research and Innovation at the Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital. He is also professor of psychiatry at the University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

Elisabeth H Lund-Stenvold, MD, PhD-student at the Department of Clinical Medicine, UiT The Arctic University of Norway and resident at the Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway.

Ole Andreassen is MD, PhD, specialist in psychiatry. Professor at the University of Oslo, and attending psychiatrist at the Outpatient Clinic, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital. Director of NORMENT Centre, Oslo, Norway.

Torfinn Lødøen Gaarden is MD, Consultant Psychiatrist, Head of Department of Geriatric Psychiatry, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway.

Cecilie Bhandari Hartberg is MD, PhD, specialist in psychiatry. Medical Director, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway.

Erik Johnsen is MD, PhD, specialist in psychiatry with special interest in psychopharmacology, schizophrenia and related psychoses. He is Head psychiatrist at the Psychiatric Clinic, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, and professor at the University of Bergen, Norway.

Silje Myklatun is MD, specialist in psychiatry. Consultant Psychiatrist at Diakonhjemmet Hospital in Oslo, Norway.

Kåre Osnes is MD, specialist in psychiatry, PhD i Biostatistics. Researcher and clinical doctor in Diakonhjemmet Hospital in Oslo, Norway. Kirsten Sørensen is MSc molecular biology, University of Oslo, Norway. Master of public health, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Norway. Former Project coordinator Oslo University Hospital, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo, Norway.

Kjetil Sørensen is RN, MSc. Advisor and Head of Research at Division of Mental Health, St. Olavs' hospital, Trondheim, Norway.

Serena Tonstad is MD and has a PhD in treatment of lipid disorders. She has more than 300 publications in the fields of lipids, obesity and smoking cessation and is currently working as a clinical specialist in the field of preventive cardiology at the Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. She is also adjunct professor of public health at Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, California, USA.

Arne Vaaler is MD, PhD, specialist in psychiatry. Consultant Psychiatrist at the Department of Acute Psychiatry, St Olavs' hospital. Professor of Psychiatry at NTNU. Trondheim, Norway.

John Abel Engh is MD, PhD, specialist in neurology and psychiatry. Senior researcher, leading research group in Vestfold Hospital Trust, Tønsberg, Norway, investigating mental and physical health effects in lifestyle intervention studies in severe mental disorders.

Anne Høye is MD, PhD, specialist in psychiatry, Professor of Psychiatry at Department of Clinical Medicine, UiT - The Arctic University of Norway, and Senior Consultant at Division of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, University hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway..

ORCID

Petter A. Ringen () http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8308-6713 Ole A. Andreassen () http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4461-3568 Kjetil Sørensen () http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1305-8688

References

- Rossler W, Salize HJ, Van Os J, et al. Size of burden of schizophrenia and psychotic disorders. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2005; 15(4):399–409.
- [2] Birkenaes AB, Opjordsmoen S, Brunborg C, et al. The level of cardiovascular risk factors in bipolar disorder equals that of schizophrenia: a comparative study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007;68(06): 917–923.
- [3] Correll CU, Solmi M, Veronese N, et al. Prevalence, incidence and mortality from cardiovascular disease in patients with pooled and specific severe mental illness: a large-scale meta-analysis of 3,211,768 patients and 113,383,368 controls. World Psychiatry. 2017;16(2):163–180.
- [4] Kaur J. A comprehensive review on metabolic syndrome. Cardiol Res Pract. 2014;2014:1–62.
- [5] Mitchell AJ, Vancampfort D, Sweers K, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and metabolic abnormalities in schizophrenia and related disorders–a systematic review and meta-analysis. SchizophrBull. 2011;39(2):306-18.
- [6] Andersen E, Holmen TL, Egeland J, et al. Physical activity pattern and cardiorespiratory fitness in individuals with schizophrenia compared with a population-based sample. Schizophr Res. 2018; 201:98–104.
- [7] Vancampfort D, Probst M, Knapen J, et al. Associations between sedentary behaviour and metabolic parameters in patients with schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res. 2012;200(2-3):73–78.
- [8] Firth J, Stubbs B, Teasdale SB, et al. Diet as a hot topic in psychiatry: a population-scale study of nutritional intake and inflammatory potential in severe mental illness. World Psychiatry. 2018; 17(3):365–367.
- [9] Janney CA, Ganguli R, Richardson CR, et al. Sedentary behavior and psychiatric symptoms in overweight and obese adults with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorders (WAIST study). Schizophr Res. 2013;145(1-3):63–68.
- [10] Sarris J, Logan AC, Akbaraly TN, et al. International Society for Nutritional Psychiatry Research. Nutritional medicine as mainstream in psychiatry. Lancet Psychiatry. 2015;2(3):271–274.
- [11] Plana-Ripoll O, Pedersen CB, Agerbo E, et al. A comprehensive analysis of mortality-related health metrics associated with

mental disorders: a nationwide, register-based cohort study. Lancet. 2019;394(10211):1827–1835.

- [12] Saha S, Chant D, McGrath J. A systematic review of mortality in schizophrenia: is the differential mortality gap worsening over time? Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007;64(10):1123–1131.
- [13] Crump C, Sundquist K, Winkleby MA, et al. Comorbidities and mortality in bipolar disorder: a swedish national cohort study. JAMA Psychiatry. 2013;70(9):931–939.
- [14] Rødevand L, Steen NE, Elvsåshagen T, et al. Cardiovascular risk remains high in schizophrenia with modest improvements in bipolar disorder during past decade. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2019; 139(4):348–360.
- [15] Allison DB, Newcomer JW, Dunn AL, et al. Obesity among those with mental disorders: a national institute of mental health meeting report. Am J Prev Med. 2009;36(4):341–350.
- [16] Newcomer JW. Second-generation (atypical) antipsychotics and metabolic effects: a comprehensive literature review. CNS Drugs. 2005;19(Suppl 1):1–93.
- [17] Tenback D, Pijl B, Smeets H, et al. All-cause mortality and medication risk factors in schizophrenia: a prospective cohort study. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012;32(1):31–35.
- [18] Torniainen M, Mittendorfer-Rutz E, Tanskanen A, et al. Antipsychotic treatment and mortality in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull. 2015;41(3):656–663.
- [19] Vermeulen J, van Rooijen G, Doedens P, et al. Antipsychotic medication and long-term mortality risk in patients with schizophrenia; a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychol Med. 2017;47(13):2217–2228.
- [20] Henderson DC, Vincenzi B, Andrea NV, et al. Pathophysiological mechanisms of increased cardiometabolic risk in people with schizophrenia and other severe mental illnesses. Lancet Psychiatry. 2015;2(5):452–464.
- [21] Solmi M, Murru A, Pacchiarotti I, et al. Safety, tolerability, and risks associated with first- and second-generation antipsychotics: a state-of-the-art clinical review. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2017;13: 757–777.
- [22] Marder SR, Essock SM, Miller AL, et al. Physical health monitoring of patients with schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161(8): 1334–1349.
- [23] De Hert M, Dekker JM, Wood D, et al. Cardiovascular disease and diabetes in people with severe mental illness position statement from the european psychiatric association (EPA), supported by the european association for the study of diabetes (EASD) and the european society of cardiology (ESC). Eur Psychiatry. 2009; 24(6):412–424.
- [24] Guidelines for the management of physical health conditions in adults with severe mental disorders. 2018. World Health Organization. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ handle/10665/275718/9789241550383-eng.pdf?ua=1.
- [25] Barbui C, Girlanda F, Ay E, et al. Implementation of treatment guidelines for specialist mental health care. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(1).

- [26] McGinty EE, Baller J, Azrin ST, et al. Interventions to address medical conditions and Health-Risk behaviors among persons with serious mental illness: a comprehensive review. Schizophr Bull. 2016;42(1):96–124.
- [27] Nasjonal faglig retningslinje for individuell primaerforebygging av hjerte- og karsykdommer. 2015. The Norwegian Directorate of Health. Available from: https://helsedirektoratet.no/retningslinjer/ nasjonal-faglig-retningslinje-for-individuell-primerforebygging-avhjerte-og-karsykdommer. Accessed 01.10. 2015
- [28] Curtis J, Newall HD, Samaras K. The heart of the matter: cardiometabolic care in youth with psychosis. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2012;6(3):347–353.
- [29] HETI Positive Cardiometabolic Health algorithm. 2015. iphYs. Available from: http://www.iphys.org.au. Accessed 1.10 2015
- [30] Evaluation of the Implementation of Lester 2014. 2016. Royal College of Psychiatrists' Centre for Quality Improvement. Available from: https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/improving-care/ccqi/ research-and-evaluation/research-outputs/lester-evaluation.
- [31] Pedersen G, Hagtvet KA, Karterud S. Generalizability studies of the global assessment of Functioning-Split version. Compr Psychiatry. 2007;48(1):88–94.
- [32] Kurtze N, Rangul V, Hustvedt BE, et al. Reliability and validity of self-reported physical activity in the Nord-Trondelag health study (HUNT 2). Eur J Epidemiol. 2007;22(6):379–387.
- [33] Ringen PA, Faerden A, Antonsen B, et al. Cardiometabolic risk factors, physical activity and psychiatric status in patients in long-term psychiatric inpatient departments. Nord J Psychiatry. 2018;72(4):296–297.
- [34] Høilund H, Galea DP, Ringen PA. Improving quality of antipsychotic polypharmacy: a pilot study. Nord J Psychiatry. 2020; 74(3):208–212.
- [35] Chooi YC, Ding C, Magkos F. The epidemiology of obesity. Metabolism. 2019;92:6–10.
- [36] Spahlholz J, Baer N, König H-H, et al. Obesity and discrimination a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Obes Rev. 2016;17(1):43–55.
- [37] Schroeder SA, Morris CD. Confronting a neglected epidemic: tobacco cessation for persons with mental illnesses and substance abuse problems. Annu Rev Public Health. 2010;31(1): 297–314.
- [38] Crowley RA, Kirschner N, Health and Public Policy Committee of the American College of Physicians. The integration of care for mental health, substance abuse, and other behavioral health conditions into primary care: executive summary of an American college of physicians position paper. Ann Intern Med. 2015;163(4): 298–299. 26121401
- [39] Somatic Health and Living Habits in Mental Disorders and/or Problems with Substances of Abuse (In Norwegian). Norwegian Directorate of Health. https://www.helsedirektoratet.no/pakkeforlop/somatisk-helse-og-levevaner-ved-psykiske-lidelser-og-ellerrusmiddelproblemer