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## Abstract

We prove a contractive Hardy-Littlewood type inequality for functions from $H^{p}(\mathbb{T}), 0<p \leqslant 2$ which is sharp in the first two Taylor coefficients and asymptotically at infinity.

## 1. Introduction

The classical Hardy-Littlewood inequality [6] says that for $f(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+\cdots \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, $0<p \leqslant 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{(n+1)^{2 / p-1}} \leqslant C_{p}\|f\|_{p}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [4], the following more precise version of this inequality was conjectured.
Conjecture 1.1. For the function $f(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T}), 0<p \leqslant 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{c_{2 / p}(n)} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha}(n)=\binom{n+\alpha-1}{n}$.
Despite vast numerical evidence, this conjecture is currently proved only for $p=\frac{2}{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Burbea [5], the case $p=1$ being the famous Carleman inequality (see, for example, [8] for a simple self-contained proof).

In [3], inequality (1.2) was proved for the first two coefficients. Namely for the function $f \in$ $H^{p}(\mathbb{T}), 0<p \leqslant 2$, we have $|f(0)|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}^{2}$. In [2], by means of Wiessler's inequality [9], the authors proved the following strengthening of this result.

Theorem 1.2. For the function $f(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T}), 0<p \leqslant 2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{\Phi_{2 / p}(n)} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\alpha}(n)=c_{[\alpha]}(n)\left(\frac{\alpha}{[\alpha]}\right)^{n}$.
Note that $\Phi_{\alpha}(0)=c_{\alpha}(0)=1, \Phi_{\alpha}(1)=c_{\alpha}(1)=\alpha$ but for $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ these coefficients grow exponentially when $n$ goes to infinity.

[^0]In this paper, we prove the following theorem which gives us an inequality that is also sharp in the first two terms but for $n \geqslant 2$ the weight decays as in the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (1.1).

THEOREM 1.3. For each $0<p \leqslant 2$, there exists $\varepsilon_{p}>0$ such that for all $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, $f(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon_{p} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{(n+1)^{2 / p-1}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the constant $\frac{p}{2}$ is optimal as can be seen from the function $f(z)=1+\varepsilon z, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
The proof of this inequality is based on the following theorem which may be of independent interest.

THEOREM 1.4. For $0<p \leqslant 2$, there exists $C_{p}^{\prime}<\infty$ such that for all $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(z)-f(0)-f^{\prime}(0) z\right\|_{p}^{2} \leqslant C_{p}^{\prime}\left(\|f\|_{p}^{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this theorem is obviously true for $p=2$ we will prove it only for $0<p<2$. Moreover, the constants $C_{p}^{\prime}$ will be uniformly bounded except possibly for $0<p<\varepsilon$ and $2-\varepsilon<p<2$. It is easy to see that in the former case nonuniformity is unavoidable but we do not know what happens when $p$ is close to 2 .

## 2. Weak form of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we will prove the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. For every $0<p \leqslant 2$, there exists a constant $\gamma_{p}$ such that for all $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-f(0)\|_{p} \leqslant \gamma_{p} \sqrt{\|f\|_{p}^{2}-|f(0)|^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [1, Lemma 2.2], this is proved for $p \leqslant 1$ and in [7] this is proved for $1<p \leqslant 2$ (in [7], this lemma is proved even for $f \in L^{p}$, but with $\gamma_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ ). Nevertheless we present here a simple uniform proof of this lemma.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|f\|_{p}=1$. Let $n=\left[\frac{2}{p}\right], \frac{1}{q}+\frac{n}{2}=\frac{1}{p}$. We can decompose the function $f$ as a product $f=f_{0} f_{1} \ldots f_{n}, f_{0} \in H^{q}(\mathbb{T}), f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{q}=1,\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{2}=1, k=1, \ldots, n$.

Let $f_{k}(z)=a_{k}+g_{k}(z), g_{k}(0)=0$. Note that $\left|a_{k}\right| \leqslant 1, \prod_{k=0}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right|=|f(0)|$. Therefore $\left|a_{k}\right| \geqslant$ $|f(0)|$. By orthogonality, we have $\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{2} \leqslant \sqrt{1-|f(0)|^{2}}$ and this inequality is valid even for $k=0$ since $\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{2} \leqslant\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{q}$.

We have the following formula for $f-f(0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f-f(0)=g_{n}\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{k}\right)+g_{n-1} a_{n}\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} f_{k}\right)+\cdots+g_{1}\left(\prod_{k=2}^{n} a_{k}\right) f_{0}+g_{0}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each of the first $n$ summands, by the obvious estimate $\left|a_{k}\right| \leqslant 1$ and Hölder's inequality, we have $H^{p}$-norm is bounded by $\sqrt{1-|f(0)|^{2}}$. For the last summand, we have $\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right| \leqslant 1$ and $\left\|g_{0}\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|g_{0}\right\|_{2} \leqslant \sqrt{1-|f(0)|^{2}}$. Therefore by the triangle inequality (with the possible
additional constant coming from the fact that $H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ for $p<1$ is not a Banach space), we get $\|f-f(0)\|_{p} \leqslant \gamma_{p} \sqrt{1-|f(0)|^{2}}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.4 for functions without zeroes

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Let $0<p<2$ and $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ has no zeroes in $\mathbb{D}$. Then the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 holds for this function $f$.

For the proof of this theorem, we will need the following result which is Theorem 4.1 from [1].

Theorem 3.2. For $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ with $\|f\|_{p}=1$, we have

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leqslant \kappa(p)= \begin{cases}1, & p \geqslant 1  \tag{3.1}\\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^{1 / p-1 / 2}, & 0<p<1\end{cases}
$$

Note that for all $0<p<2$ we have $\frac{p}{2} \kappa(p)^{2}<1$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|f\|_{p}=1, f(z)=$ $a_{0}+a_{1} z+\tilde{f}$. Note that $\|\tilde{f}\|_{p} \leqslant A_{p}$ for some absolute constant $A_{p}<\infty$ (for $p \geqslant 1$ we can take $A_{p}=4$ ).

We fix $0<\delta_{p}<\frac{1}{2}$ to be determined later and consider the following cases depending on the values of $\left|a_{0}\right|$ and $\left|a_{1}\right|$.
(i) $\left|a_{0}\right|<\delta_{p}$.
(ii) $\delta_{p} \leqslant\left|a_{0}\right| \leqslant 1-\delta_{p},\left|a_{1}\right|<\delta_{p}$.
(iii) $\delta_{p} \leqslant\left|a_{0}\right| \leqslant 1-\delta_{p},\left|a_{1}\right| \geqslant \delta_{p}$.
(iv) $1-\delta_{p}<\left|a_{0}\right|$.

In the first three cases, we will prove that $\left|\left|f \|_{p}^{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{p}{2}\right| a_{1}\right|^{2}$ is greater than some absolute constant $\lambda_{p}>0$ from which, by the inequality $\|\tilde{f}\|_{p} \leqslant A_{p}$, the desired result follows.

In the first case, we have $\left.\left||f|_{p}^{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{p}{2}\right| a_{1}\right|^{2} \geqslant 1-\delta_{p}^{2}-\frac{p}{2} \kappa(p)^{2}$ which is positive if $\delta_{p}$ is small enough.

In the second case, we have $\|\left. f\right|_{p} ^{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2} \geqslant 1-\left(1-\delta_{p}\right)^{2}-\delta_{p}^{2}=2\left(\delta_{p}-\delta_{p}^{2}\right)>0$.
For the third case, we will essentially repeat the proof of Lemma 1 from [3]. We have $U(z)=f^{p / 2}(z)=a_{0}^{p / 2}+\frac{p}{2} a_{0}^{p / 2-1} a_{1} z+\cdots$ with $\|U\|_{2}=1$ (here we used that $f$ has no zeros). Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+\frac{p^{2}}{4}\left|a_{0}\right|^{p-2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+\frac{p^{2}}{4}\left|a_{0}\right|^{p-2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2 / p}=\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{p\left|a_{1}\right|}{2\left|a_{0}\right|}\right)^{2}\right)^{2 / p}>\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left(1+\frac{p\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}}{2\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality is a Bernoulli's inequality $(1+t)^{r}>1+t r$ for $r>1, t>0$. Since we are on a compact set $\delta_{p} \leqslant\left|a_{0}\right| \leqslant 1-\delta_{p}, \delta_{p} \leqslant\left|a_{1}\right| \leqslant \kappa(p)$ and the functions are continuous, we
actually have a nonzero loss in the Bernoulli's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{p\left|a_{1}\right|}{2\left|a_{0}\right|}\right)^{2}\right)^{2 / p} \geqslant\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left(1+\frac{p\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}}{2\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}}\right)+\lambda_{p} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda_{p}>0$. Therefore $1 \geqslant\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left(1+\frac{p\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}}{2\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}}\right)+\lambda_{p}=\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{p}$ as desired.
Now we turn to the fourth case which requires some additional ideas. Put $U(z)=f^{p / 2}(z)=$ $a_{0}^{p / 2}+\frac{p}{2} a_{0}^{p / 2-1} a_{1} z+\tilde{U}(z) \in H^{2}(\mathbb{T}),\|U\|_{2}=1$.

Denote $\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}=1-\beta^{2},\|\tilde{U}\|_{2}=\varepsilon$. Our goal now is to prove that $\|\tilde{f}\|_{p} \lesssim\left(\beta^{2}+\varepsilon\right)$.
Consider $V(z)=U(z)\left(1-\frac{p}{2 a_{0}} a_{1} z\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=a_{0}^{p / 2}-\frac{p^{2} a_{0}^{p / 2-2}}{4} a_{1}^{2} z^{2}+\tilde{U}-\frac{p}{2 a_{0}} a_{1} \tilde{U} z=a_{0}^{p / 2}+\tilde{V} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that by orthogonality it is easy to see from $\|U\|_{2}=1$ that $\left|a_{1}\right|, \varepsilon \lesssim \beta$. Therefore we can bound $\|\tilde{V}\|_{2} \lesssim \varepsilon+\beta^{2}$. Thus, by Pythagoras's Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\|_{2}=\sqrt{\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+\|\tilde{V}\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant \sqrt{\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\beta^{4}\right)}=\left|a_{0}\right|^{p / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\beta^{4}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now apply Lemma 2.1 to the function $V^{2 / p}$ ( $V$ has no zeros for small enough $\frac{\left|a_{1}\right|}{\left|a_{0}\right|}$, that is for small enough $\delta_{p}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V^{2 / p}-a_{0}\right\|_{p} \lesssim \sqrt{\|V\|_{2}^{4 / p}-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}} \leqslant \sqrt{\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\beta^{4}\right)-\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}}=O\left(\beta^{2}+\varepsilon\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are going to connect $V^{2 / p}-a_{0}$ and $\tilde{f}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{2 / p}-a_{0} & =U^{2 / p}\left(1-\frac{p}{2 a_{0}} a_{1} z\right)^{2 / p}-a_{0}=\left(a_{0}+a_{1} z+\tilde{f}\right)\left(1-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} z+O\left(\beta^{2}\right)\right)-a_{0} \\
& =O\left(\beta^{2}\right)+\tilde{f}+\tilde{f}\left(a_{1} z+O\left(\beta^{2}\right)\right)=\tilde{f}+O\left(\beta^{2}\right)+O(\beta) \tilde{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\|\tilde{f}\|=O\left(\beta^{2}+\varepsilon\right)(1+O(\beta))^{-1}=O\left(\beta^{2}+\varepsilon\right)$, as required.
Since $\|U\|_{2}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}+\frac{p^{2}}{4}\left|a_{0}\right|^{p-2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}=1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that in the end we want to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon_{p}| | \tilde{f} \|_{p}^{2} \leqslant 1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our bound for $\|\tilde{f}\|_{p}$, it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+c_{p}\left(\beta^{4}+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \leqslant 1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $c_{p}>0$. Substituting the value of $\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}$ from (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{p}\left|a_{0}\right|^{2-p}\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}-\left|a_{0}\right|^{p}\right)+c_{p}\left(\beta^{4}+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \leqslant 1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $c_{p} \leqslant \frac{2}{p}\left(1-\delta_{p}\right)^{2-p}$, we can neglect terms with $\varepsilon$ and we are left with the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)+\frac{2}{p}\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{1-p / 2}\left(1-\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{p / 2}\right)+c_{p} \beta^{4} \leqslant 1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the left-hand side via Taylor's formula, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{p-2}{4} \beta^{4}+c_{p} \beta^{4}+O\left(\beta^{6}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is smaller than 1 for $c_{p}<\frac{2-p}{4}$ and small enough $\beta$ (that is small enough $\delta_{p}$ ) since the constant in front of $\beta^{4}$ is negative.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we will finish the proof of Theorem 1.4 by taking into consideration the potential zeros of the function $f$.

Let $f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{T}),\|f\|_{p}=1$. Write it as $f=B g,\|g\|_{p}=1, g$ has no zeros, $B=\prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{z-w_{n}}{1 \sim z \bar{w}_{n}}$ (obviously, it is enough to consider finite Blaschke products). Let $g(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+\tilde{g}(z)^{n}$, $B(z)=b_{0}+b_{1} z+\tilde{B}(z)$. We know that $\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon_{p}\|\tilde{g}\|_{p}^{2} \leqslant 1$ and we want to prove the same bound for $f$ (with possibly smaller $\varepsilon_{p}$ ).

Note that if $|f(0)|<\delta_{p}$, then as in the first case of the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can prove the desired inequality. Therefore we can assume that $|f(0)| \geqslant \delta_{p}$. Since $|f(0)| \leqslant\left|w_{n}\right|$ for all $n$, we have that $\left|w_{n}\right| \geqslant \delta_{p}$.

Put $f_{k}(z)=g(z) \prod_{n=1}^{k} \frac{z-w_{n}}{1-z \bar{w}_{n}}$. Note that $\left|f_{k}(0)\right| \geqslant\left|f_{N}(0)\right|=|f(0)| \geqslant \delta_{p}$. We will now show that each factor $\frac{z-w_{k}}{1-z \bar{w}_{k}}$ decreases $|f(0)|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}$ by at least $c_{p}\left(1-\left|w_{k}\right|\right)$ for some $c_{p}>0$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{k-1}(0)\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f_{k-1}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2} \geqslant\left|f_{k}(0)\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+c_{p}\left(1-\left|w_{k}\right|\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality can be extracted from the proof of Lemma 1 in [3] but for the reader's convenience we outline the argument here. For simplicity, let us set $f_{k-1}(0)=a, f_{k-1}(0)^{\prime}=$ $b, w_{k}=w$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f_{k}(0)\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}=|a w|^{2}+\left.\frac{p}{2}|a-a| w\right|^{2}-\left.w b\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leqslant|a w|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}|a|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{2}+p|a||b||w|\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)+\frac{p}{2}|b|^{2}|w|^{2} \\
& \quad=|a|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}|b|^{2}-(1-|w|)(1+|w|)\left(|a|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}|b|^{2}-\frac{p}{2}|a|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)-p|a||b||w|\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\frac{p}{2}|b|^{2}-\left.p|a||b| w\left|\geqslant-\frac{p}{2}\right| a\right|^{2}|w|^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1+|w|)\left(|a|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}|b|^{2}-\frac{p}{2}|a|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)-p|a||b||w|\right) \\
& \quad \geqslant(1+|w|)|a|^{2}\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right) \geqslant|a|^{2}\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.2), (4.3) and the fact that $|a|=\left|f_{k-1}(0)\right| \geqslant \delta_{p}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}|b|^{2} \geqslant\left|f_{k}(0)\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f_{k}(0)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+(1-|w|)\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right) \delta_{p}^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain the desired estimate with $c_{p}=\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right) \delta_{p}^{2}$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{0}\right|=\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left|w_{n}\right|=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \left|w_{n}\right|\right) \geqslant \exp \left(-C_{p} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(1-\left|w_{n}\right|\right)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}<\infty$ since all $w_{n}$ are bounded away from 0 . By orthogonality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{B}\|_{p} \leqslant\|\tilde{B}\|_{2} \leqslant \sqrt{1-\left|b_{0}\right|^{2}} \leqslant \sqrt{1-\exp \left(-C_{p} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(1-\left|w_{n}\right|\right)\right)} \leqslant \sqrt{C_{p} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(1-\left|w_{n}\right|\right)} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now write $f(z)-f(0)-f^{\prime}(0) z$ in terms of $B$ and $g$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)-f(0)-f^{\prime}(0) z=b_{1} a_{1} z^{2}+B(z) \tilde{g}(z)+\tilde{B}(z)\left(a_{0}+a_{1} z\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since Blaschke products are unimodular, we have $\|B \tilde{g}\|_{p}=\|\tilde{g}\|_{p}$. Since $\left|a_{0}\right| \leqslant 1,\left|a_{1}\right| \leqslant \kappa(p)$, the last term has $H^{p}$-norm at most $\alpha_{p}\|\tilde{B}\|_{p}$ for some $\alpha_{p}<\infty$. Finally, for $b_{1}$ we have again by orthogonality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{1}\right| \leqslant \sqrt{1-\left|b_{0}\right|^{2}} \leqslant \sqrt{C_{p} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(1-\left|w_{n}\right|\right)} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting everything we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(z)-f(0)-f^{\prime}(0) z\right\|_{p} \leqslant A_{p}\left(\|\tilde{g}\|_{p}+\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(1-\left|w_{n}\right|\right)}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand by (4.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(0)|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}-c_{p} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(1-\left|w_{n}\right|\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Theorem 3.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon_{p}\|\tilde{g}\|_{p}^{2} \leqslant 1 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is easy to see from (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and the trivial inequality $(x+y)^{2} \leqslant 2 x^{2}+2 y^{2}$ that for some $\varepsilon_{p}^{\prime}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(0)|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon_{p}^{\prime}\left\|f(z)-f(0)-f^{\prime}(0) z\right\|_{p}^{2} \leqslant 1 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as required.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we will deduce Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 1.4.
We can rewrite inequality (1.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C_{p}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{(n+1)^{2 / p-1}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying this to the function $\tilde{f}(z)=f(z)-f(0)-f^{\prime}(0) z$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C_{p}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{(n+1)^{2 / p-1}} \leqslant\|\tilde{f}\|_{p}^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining it with the bound from Theorem 1.4, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{p}{2}\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{C_{p} C_{p}^{\prime}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{(n+1)^{2 / p-1}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}^{2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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