



## Impact of *MDM2* promoter SNP55 (rs2870820) on risk of endometrial and ovarian cancer

Reham Helwa, Liv B. Gansmo, Merete Bjørnslett, Mari Kylløsø Halle, Henrica M. J. Werner, Pål Romundstad, Kristian Hveem, Lars Vatten, Anne Dørum, Per E. Lønning & Stian Knappskog

To cite this article: Reham Helwa, Liv B. Gansmo, Merete Bjørnslett, Mari Kylløsø Halle, Henrica M. J. Werner, Pål Romundstad, Kristian Hveem, Lars Vatten, Anne Dørum, Per E. Lønning & Stian Knappskog (2021) Impact of *MDM2* promoter SNP55 (rs2870820) on risk of endometrial and ovarian cancer, *Biomarkers*, 26:4, 302-308, DOI: [10.1080/1354750X.2021.1891291](https://doi.org/10.1080/1354750X.2021.1891291)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1354750X.2021.1891291>



© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



[View supplementary material](#)



Published online: 28 Feb 2021.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 813




[View related articles](#)



[View Crossmark data](#)

## Impact of *MDM2* promoter SNP55 (rs2870820) on risk of endometrial and ovarian cancer

Reham Helwa<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Liv B. Gansmo<sup>a,b</sup>, Merete Bjørnslett<sup>c,d</sup>, Mari Kyllèsø Halle<sup>e,f</sup>, Henrica M. J. Werner<sup>e,f</sup>, Pål Romundstad<sup>g</sup>, Kristian Hveem<sup>h</sup>, Lars Vatten<sup>g</sup>, Anne Dørum<sup>i</sup>, Per E. Lønning<sup>a,b</sup> and Stian Knappskog<sup>a,b#</sup> 

<sup>a</sup>K.G. Jebsen Center for Genome-Directed Cancer Therapy, Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; <sup>b</sup>Department of Oncology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; <sup>c</sup>Department of Molecular Oncology, Oslo University Hospital Radium Hospitalet, Oslo, Norway; <sup>d</sup>Institute for Cancer Research, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; <sup>e</sup>Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; <sup>f</sup>Centre for Cancer Biomarkers (CCBIO), Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; <sup>g</sup>Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; <sup>h</sup>K.G. Jebsen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; <sup>i</sup>Department of Gynecological Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo, Norway

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** While large GWAS analyses have not found convincing associations between *MDM2* promoter SNP55 and gynaecological cancers, SNP55 is in linkage disequilibrium with two other functional SNPs in the same promoter, likely to obscure associations between single SNPs and cancer risk. Here, we assessed the impact of SNP55 on risk of endometrial and ovarian cancer, including sub-analyses stratified for other functional SNPs in the region.

**Material and methods:** Using a custom LightSNiP assay, we genotyped SNP55 in two large hospital-based cohorts of patients with ovarian (n = 1,332) and endometrial (n = 1,363) cancer and compared genotypes to healthy female controls (n = 1,858).

**Results:** Among individuals harbouring the SNP309TT genotype, the minor SNP55T-allele was associated with a reduced risk of endometrial (dominant model: OR = 0.63; CI = 0.45–0.88; *p* = 0.01). Regardless of the genotype in neighbouring SNPs, the SNP55T-allele was also associated with a reduced risk of endometrial cancer before 50 years of age (dominant model: OR = 0.56; CI = 0.34–0.90; *p* = 0.02). No association between SNP55 status and ovarian cancer risk was observed.

**Conclusions:** *MDM2* SNP55T-allele may correlate with reduced risk for endometrial cancer in a SNP309T-, but not SNP309G, context.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 22 August 2020  
Accepted 7 February 2021

### KEYWORDS


*MDM2*; SNP; polymorphism; risk; endometrial cancer; ovarian cancer

## Introduction

The Murine Double Minute 2 homolog (*MDM2*) is a well-established proto-oncogene and is known to negatively regulate p53 by targeting it for proteasomal degradation (Haupt *et al.* 1997, Honda *et al.* 1997). The *MDM2* gene is regulated by two promoters directing expression of two transcripts with different first exons/5'UTRs (Barak *et al.* 1994, Zauberman *et al.* 1995). In human cancers, *MDM2* expression is frequently upregulated through gene amplification, but also by enhanced transcription/translation in non-amplified tumours (Oliner *et al.* 1992, Momand *et al.* 1998).


Several polymorphisms in the *MDM2* promoters have been found to influence *MDM2* expression levels by modulating transcription factor binding affinities and also to be associated with cancer risk. (Bond *et al.* 2004, Knappskog *et al.* 2011, 2012a, Knappskog and Lønning 2011; Gansmo *et al.*

2017). The SNP309G-allele (SNP309T>G; rs2279744) of promoter P2 was previously found to increase *MDM2* expression by elongating the binding site of the Sp1 transcription factor, leading to increased cancer risk (Bond *et al.* 2004). Subsequent case-control studies have generated conflicting results, reflecting potential differences in effect depending on tissue, gender and ethnicity (Hu *et al.* 2007, Wilkening *et al.* 2007, Bai *et al.* 2009, Economopoulos and Sergentanis 2010). Later, a second SNP (SNP285G>C; rs117039649) was identified 24 bp upstream of SNP309 (Paulin *et al.* 2008, Knappskog *et al.* 2011). Contrasting SNP309G, the SNP285C minor allele reduced Sp1 binding and *MDM2* transcription (Knappskog *et al.* 2011, 2012b). Notably, the SNP285C-allele has been found in Caucasian and some neighbouring populations only, while it is absent in Eastern-Asian and Sub-Saharan African populations (Knappskog and Lønning 2011; Knappskog *et al.* 2014). In addition to SNP309 and SNP285,

**CONTACT** Stian Knappskog  [stian.knappskog@uib.no](mailto:stian.knappskog@uib.no)  Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, 5021, Norway

\*Associated affiliation: Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Molecular Biology Lab, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

#Stian Knappskog is responsible for statistical design/analysis. E-mail: [stian.knappskog@uib.no](mailto:stian.knappskog@uib.no)

 Supplemental data for this article can be accessed [here](#).

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

which both reside in the *MDM2* promoter P2, a 40-bps insertion/deletion variant, del 1518 (rs3730485), located in the *MDM2* promoter P1, has been found to affect promoter activity (Lalonde *et al.* 2012). Further, del1518 has been reported to have a strong linkage disequilibrium with the SNP309 locus (Hu *et al.* 2006, Gansmo *et al.* 2016, Gansmo *et al.* 2017). Despite the del variant was associated with decreased *MDM2* expression in some cell lines (Lalonde *et al.* 2012), subsequent studies assessing the potential effects of the del1518 variant on cancer risk have been conflicting (Gansmo *et al.* 2016, Gallegos-Arreola *et al.* 2017, Gansmo *et al.* 2017, Hua *et al.* 2017, Moazeni-Roodi *et al.* 2019).

Recently, the *MDM2* SNP55C > T (rs2870820) located to the *MDM2* promoter P2 was identified and functionally characterized (Okamoto *et al.* 2015). SNP55 was thus found to be the third functional SNP located within the *MDM2* promoter P2. The SNP55T-allele was reported to influence the binding affinity of both the Sp1 and NF- $\kappa$ B transcriptional factors (Okamoto *et al.* 2015). Recently, we reported the SNP55T-allele to be associated with elevated risk of left-sided colon cancer in women but not in men, while no impact was found on the risk of breast-, prostate-, or lung cancers (Helwa *et al.* 2016). Moreover, SNP55 was found to be in complete linkage disequilibrium with both SNP309 and SNP285, with the SNP55T-allele almost exclusively restricted to the SNP309T-285G-allele (i.e. high  $D'$  but low  $r^2$ ) (Helwa *et al.* 2016).

Large GWAS analyses performed on gynaecological cancers have not reported SNP55 to be associated with risk (Phelan *et al.* 2017, O'Mara *et al.* 2018). However, these types of studies have typically assessed the risk associations related to single SNPs. As such, the role of SNP55 status and its potential interplay with the other SNPs, also affecting Sp1 binding sites in the same promoter, has remained unknown.

In the present study, *MDM2* SNP55 was genotyped in large Norwegian hospital-based sample sets of ovarian- and endometrial cancer patients and the frequencies were compared with previously reported genotypes in population based healthy controls. The impact on cancer risk was estimated both for SNP55 *per se* and in the context of other SNPs affecting Sp1 binding in the *MDM2* promoter.

## Clinical significance

- *MDM2* SNP55T-allele may be associated with reduced risk for endometrial cancer in women younger than 50 years.
- Among *MDM2* SNP309TT genotype carriers the SNP55T allele may be correlated with reduced risk for endometrial cancer.

## Material and methods

### Study population

In this case-control study, the blood samples from cancer cases were obtained from hospital-based cohorts of Norwegian ovarian- ( $n = 1,332$ ) and endometrial- ( $n = 1,363$ ) cancer patients (Figure 1). All patients have previously been genotyped for the *MDM2* SNPs 285 (rs117039649) and 309 (rs2279744) (Knappskog *et al.* 2011, 2012b), and all ovarian cancer cases had been tested and found negative for *BRCA1/2* mutations (patients with mutations were excluded). Other than the *BRCA1/2* status, there were no selection criteria; consecutive patients were included, avoiding any selection bias. For comparison, the genotype data for all three SNPs (SNP55, SNP285 and SNP309) from blood samples of healthy female controls ( $n = 1,858$ ) were extracted from our recently reported study (Helwa *et al.* 2016). These healthy individuals were drawn from the population-based Cohort of Norway




Figure 1. Flow chart of study participants.

(CONOR) study (Naess *et al.* 2008) and the matching to cancer cases (selection criteria) is described in detail previously (Lonning *et al.* 2018).

All experiments were executed according to the Norwegian guidelines for research on human material. Each sample donor involved in this study has provided written informed consent to use the samples for research purpose. The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Ethics in Medical Research (REK Midt-Norge).

### GWAS data for ovarian cancer

GWAS data for ovarian cancer and *MDM2* promoter known functional SNPs were mined from the available summary results look-up from the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium (OCAC) at [ocac.ccge.medschl.cam.ac.uk/data-projects/](http://ocac.ccge.medschl.cam.ac.uk/data-projects/).

### MDM2 SNP55 genotyping

Genotyping of *MDM2* SNP55 (rs2870820) was performed using a custom LightSNiP assay (TIB MOLBIOL Syntheslabor GmbH, Berlin, Germany) on a LightCycler 480 II instrument (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) as previously described (Knappskog *et al.* 2014, Helwa *et al.* 2016). The high-resolution melting (HRM) curves were analysed using Melt Curve Genotyping module in the LightCycler 480 software version 1.5.

### Statistical analysis

Potential associations between *MDM2* SNP55 status and cancer risk were assessed by estimating odds ratios (ORs) and Fisher exact test. ORs are given with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Since SNP55 is in complete linkage disequilibrium with SNP309 and SNP285 (Helwa *et al.* 2016), a pre-planned analysis strategy was followed, where ORs were also calculated within the subgroups of individuals that were homozygous or heterozygous for the SNP309 T-allele (i.e. the SNP309T/SNP285G-haplotype). In addition, ORs were estimated within age groups (10 years intervals) and patients were stratified according to histopathological classification: Ovarian cancer patients were first classified as serous or non-serous, then analyses restricted to high-grade serous ovarian

cancers (HGSOC) were performed. For endometrial cancer patients, sub-analyses were performed for the groups of endometrioid-, and the group of serous papillary cancers.

In addition to estimating odds ratios, the impact of SNP status on age at cancer onset was assessed. These differences were assessed using Kruskal–Wallis rank test for comparison of three groups and Mann–Whitney rank test for comparison of two groups.

All *p* values are given as two-sided and all statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software statistical package (version22).

## Results

### MDM2 SNP55 in GWAS data sets

Mining available GWAS reports for information on SNP55 (rs2870820), we found that this variant was not flagged as associated with risk of endometrial cancer in a recent large meta-analysis including a total of more than 12,000 cases and 108,000 controls (O'Mara *et al.* 2018). Among eight variants previously reported and nine found in the meta-analysis, none were located on band 12q15, where the *MDM2* gene is located.

Similarly, SNP55 has not been flagged as associated with ovarian cancer risk (Phelan *et al.* 2017). Interestingly though, mining the available raw data from the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium (OCAC), including more than 21,000 cases and 29,000 controls, we found the SNP55T to be weakly associated with reduced risk of ovarian cancer (OR = 0.96; CI = 0.94–0.99; *p* < 0.01). The risk reduction was slightly more pronounced when analyses were restricted to HGSOC (OR = 0.95; CI = 0.92–0.98; *p* < 0.01; [Supplementary Table S1](#)).

Neither for endometrial nor ovarian cancer, did the available information allow sub-analyses stratified for the other known functional SNPs in the proximity of SNP55.


### Distribution of MDM2 SNP55 genotypes and cancer risk

The genotype distribution of SNP55 in female healthy controls, ovarian cancer, and endometrial cancer patients is summarized in [Table 1](#). The distribution was found to be in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in all three groups.

**Table 1.** *MDM2* SNP55 genotype distribution and risk estimates for ovarian and endometrial cancer.

Cases/controls	Genotype SNP55, n (%)			Dominant model OR (95% CI) SNP55 TT + CT vs CC	Fisher exact <i>p</i> Value	Recessive model OR (95% CI) SNP55 TT vs CT + CC	Fisher exact <i>p</i> Value
	CC	CT	TT				
Healthy control	638 (34.3)	871 (46.9)	349 (18.8)	–	–	–	–
Ovarian cancers	468 (35.1)	627 (47.1)	237 (17.8)	0.97 (0.83–1.12)	0.65	0.94 (0.78–1.12)	0.49
Non-serous	151 (36.7)	184 (44.8)	76 (18.5)	0.90 (0.72–1.13)	0.36	0.98 (0.75–1.30)	0.94
Serous	316 (34.4)	442 (48.1)	161 (17.5)	1.00 (0.85–1.18)	1.00	0.92 (0.75–1.13)	0.44
High grade serous	168 (35.2)	229 (48.0)	80 (16.8)	0.96 (0.78–1.19)	0.75	0.87 (0.67–1.14)	0.32
Endometrial cancer	479 (35.1)	641 (47.0)	243 (17.8)	0.97 (0.83–1.12)	0.65	0.94 (0.78–1.12)	0.52
Endometrioid	362 (35.2)	475 (46.2)	191 (18.6)	0.96 (0.82–1.13)	0.65	0.99 (0.81–1.20)	0.92
Serous papillary	37 (31.4)	61 (51.7)	20 (16.9)	1.15 (0.77–1.71)	0.55	0.88 (0.54–1.45)	0.72

OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; n: number of individuals.



**Figure 2.** Impact of *MDM2* SNP55 on ovarian and endometrial cancers risk. Forest plots illustrating the effect (odds ratio; OR) of SNP55 (dominant model) on risk of ovarian- and endometrial cancer in (A) overall cancer patients and (B) in patients harbouring the *MDM2* SNP309TT genotype. Dot size indicate sample size (number of cases) and horizontal whiskers indicate 95% confidence interval for the OR estimate.

To assess the impact of SNP55 status on ovarian and endometrial cancers risk, we estimated odds ratios (ORs) as compared to the healthy controls. Applying a dominant model for the minor allele (*MDM2* SNP55TT + TC versus CC), no significant association was found between SNP55 status and risk of either ovarian (OR = 0.97; CI = 0.83–1.12;  $p = 0.65$ ) or endometrial cancer (OR = 0.97; CI = 0.83–1.12;  $p = 0.65$ ; Table 1; Figure 2A). Correspondingly, no association was observed applying a recessive model (*MDM2* SNP55TT versus TC + CC; OR = 0.94; CI = 0.78–1.12;  $p = 0.49$  and OR = 0.94; CI = 0.78–1.12;  $p = 0.52$ , respectively).

The ovarian cancer samples were stratified according to the main histological subtypes of serous versus non-serous and, within the group of serous cancers, further analysed for the sub-group of high-grade serous cancers (HGSOC). No significant association was found between *MDM2* SNP55 and serous ovarian cancer (OR = 1.00; CI = 0.85–1.18;  $p = 1.00$ ), HGSOC (OR = 0.96; CI = 0.78–1.19;  $p = 0.75$ ), or non-serous cancers (OR = 0.90; CI = 0.72–1.13;  $p = 0.36$ ).

Endometrial cancer cases were also stratified according to histological subtypes and we found no association to cancer risk in any of the two major subtypes, endometrioid-, or serous papillary cancers (OR = 0.96; CI = 0.82–1.13;  $p = 0.65$ , OR = 1.15; CI = 0.77–1.71;  $p = 0.55$ , respectively).

We further stratified all samples according to 10-year interval age groups and assessed ORs within each group (Supplementary Table S2). SNP55 status was not associated with risk of ovarian cancer in any of the age groups. Of note, individuals below 50 years of age and harbouring the minor

T-allele were found to have decreased risk of endometrial cancer both in a dominant and a recessive model (OR = 0.56; CI = 0.34–0.90;  $p = 0.02$  and OR = 0.43; CI = 0.18–1.0;  $p = 0.05$ , respectively).

### Distribution of *MDM2* SNP55 stratified according to SNP309 status

Given that *MDM2* SNP55 and SNP309 are in complete linkage disequilibrium (Helwa *et al.* 2016), with SNP55T located on the SNP309 T-allele only, we performed further stratifications of our data and assessed the impact of SNP55 status among individuals carrying the SNP309 TT or TG genotypes (Table 2). Applying a dominant model, we found a reduced risk of endometrial cancer related to the SNP55T-allele among individuals carrying the 309TT genotype (OR = 0.63; CI = 0.45–0.88;  $p = 0.01$ ; Figure 2B). The same trend was found applying a recessive model (OR = 0.81; CI = 0.65–1.01;  $p = 0.07$ ), and strong associations were observed when applying codominant or additive models (Supplementary Table S3). This observation was mirrored in the subgroup of endometrioid cancers, while the association was weaker for serous papillary cancers.

While no clear effect was observed for ovarian cancer in general, we observed a trend of towards SNP55T reducing the OR for HGSOC among SNP309TT-carriers (OR = 0.77; CI = 0.56–1.06;  $p = 0.11$ ; Table 2), however, this was restricted to the recessive model.

**Table 2.** *MDM2* SNP55 genotype distribution among carriers of the SNP309TT and TG genotypes.

	Genotype SNP55, n (%)			Dominant model	Fisher exact <i>p</i> Value	Recessive model	Fisher exact <i>p</i> Value
	CC	CT	TT	OR (95% CI) SNP55 TT + CT vs CC		OR (95% CI) SNP55 TT vs CT + CC	
Within SNP309TT							
Healthy controls	71 (9.7)	310 (42.5)	349 (47.8)	–	–	–	–
Ovarian cancers	61 (11.5)	234 (44.1)	236 (44.4)	0.83 (0.58–1.19)	0.35	0.87 (0.70–1.09)	0.25
Non-serous	19 (11.7)	67 (41.4)	76 (46.9)	0.81 (0.47–1.39)	0.47	0.97 (0.69–1.36)	0.86
Serous	41 (11.1)	167 (45.4)	160 (43.5)	0.86 (0.57–1.29)	0.46	0.84 (0.65–1.08)	0.18
High grade serous	24 (12.4)	90 (46.4)	80 (41.2)	0.76 (0.47–1.25)	0.29	0.77 (0.56–1.06)	0.11
Endometrial cancer	81 (14.7)	236 (42.8)	235 (42.6)	0.63 (0.45–0.88)	0.01	0.81 (0.65–1.01)	0.07
Endometrioid	60 (14.4)	172 (41.3)	184 (44.2)	0.64 (0.44–0.92)	0.02	0.87 (0.68–1.10)	0.27
Serous papillary	6 (12.5)	22 (45.8)	20 (41.7)	0.75 (0.31–1.84)	0.46	0.78 (0.43–1.41)	0.46
Within SNP309TG							
Healthy controls	314 (35.9)	561 (64.1)	0 (0.0)	–	–	–	–
Ovarian cancers	211 (34.9)	392 (64.9)	1 (0.2)	1.04 (0.84–1.30)	0.74	na	na
Non-serous	72 (38.1)	117 (61.9)	0 (0.0)	0.91 (0.66–1.26)	0.56	na	na
Serous	139 (33.6)	274 (66.2)	1 (0.2)	1.11 (0.87–1.42)	0.45	na	na
High grade serous	67 (32.7)	138 (67.3)	0 (0.0)	1.15 (0.84–1.59)	0.42	na	na
Endometrial cancer	210 (34.8)	394 (65.2)	0 (0.0)	1.05 (0.85–1.31)	0.70	na	na
Endometrioid	160 (35.2)	294 (64.8)	0 (0.0)	1.03 (0.81–1.30)	0.86	na	na
Serous papillary	15 (28.8)	37 (71.2)	0 (0.0)	1.38 (0.75–2.56)	0.37	na	na

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; n: number of individuals; na: not applicable.

### *MDM2* promoter haplotypes and risk of ovarian and endometrial cancer

We further compared the four observed haplotypes across SNPs -55, -285 and -309 between cases and controls and estimated ORs (Supplementary Table S4). SNP55T/285G/309T was the most frequent haplotype. Setting this as reference, we found the individuals carrying the SNP55C/285G/309T to have a higher risk of endometrial cancer compared to SNP55T/285G/309T (OR = 1.13; CI = 0.99–1.29;  $p = 0.07$ ), thereby corroborating the above findings of SNP55T to conferring a lower risk than SNP55C on a SNP309T background.

For ovarian cancer, no significant association between haplotypes and cancer risk was observed.

### Influence of *MDM2* SNP55 on age at cancer diagnosis

Since many germline risk factors are linked to younger age at disease onset, we compared the age at cancer diagnosis for patients carrying different SNP55 genotypes within our sample sets. In line with our findings of a reduced OR for endometrial cancer in individuals carrying the SNP55T-allele, we found patients harbouring this allele to have a numerically higher age at onset of disease, although this trend was not statistically significant (average age at onset for genotype CC; 65.5 years, CT; 66.3 and TT; 67.0; Supplementary Table 5). The same trend was observed for SNP55T within the subgroup of patients carrying the SNP309 genotype (Supplementary Table 5).

## Discussion

SNP55 (rs2870820) was previously identified as a functional variant in the P2 promoter of the *MDM2* proto-oncogene. *In vitro* studies have shown SNP55 to modulate the binding affinity of Sp1 and NF- $\kappa$ B to the P2 promoter and further, allele specific expression analysis also indicated a role for SNP55 with respect to *MDM2* transcription (Okamoto *et al.*

2015). In their original paper characterizing SNP55, Okamoto and colleagues (Okamoto *et al.* 2015) also performed a limited case-control study to assess the potential influence on risk of endometrial cancer. Comparing SNP55 genotypes of 45 patients and a similar number of controls, they observed no differences. Subsequently, we found that the minor SNP55T-allele was associated with increased risk of left-sided colon cancer in women, while little effect was observed for prostate-, lung- or breast cancer (Helwa *et al.* 2016).

Over recent years, large scale GWASs have been performed to assess the relationship between SNPs and risk of endometrial and ovarian cancers (Phelan *et al.* 2017, O'Mara *et al.* 2018). While none of these have flagged SNP55 as a cancer risk modulating factor, it is important to note that the *MDM2* promoter is a region in which there seems to be a complex interplay between several functional SNPs affecting binding sites of the same transcription factor (Sp1). Through several previous studies, it has been shown that the effects of single SNPs in this region are only detectable when assessed on the background of genotypes of other SNPs in the same region (Knappskog *et al.* 2011, 2012a, 2012b, 2014, Helwa *et al.* 2016). Therefore, in order to assess the real biological impact of SNP55, we here performed genotyping of this SNP in biobanks/data sets allowing for subgroup analyses, taking the genotypes of other SNPs in the proximity into account.

In the present study, we did not detect any major impact of SNP55 on either ovarian or endometrial cancer risk, when estimating ORs for the single SNP in the overall sample sets. Analysing different age intervals, a significantly decreased risk of endometrial cancer associated with the SNP55T allele was identified among individuals under the age of 50 years. This was in contrast to the lack of association seen among individuals above 50 years of age. Although the number of observations in the younger age group was low and the results should be interpreted with caution, these results may reflect differential impact of SNP55 on endometrial cancer before and after menopause. Notably, the SNP309G-allele

has been associated with increased risk of colorectal cancer particularly in premenopausal women in a hormone-dependent manner (Bond *et al.* 2006a, 2006b), and SNP285 is located within an ER-half-site (Knappskog *et al.* 2012b). Taken together, this provides indications of a link between female hormonal status and the impact of *MDM2* regulation on cancer risk.

The fact that we observe opposite effects of SNP55 in endometrial- versus colon cancer may seem puzzling. However, this is in line with recent observations for other *MDM2* promoter SNPs, indicating that their functional role and potential impact on cancer susceptibility is highly tissue specific (Ortiz *et al.* 2018).

Several functional SNPs in the *MDM2* promoter are in linkage disequilibrium (Helwa *et al.* 2016, Gansmo *et al.* 2017) and have been shown to interact with each other's effect on cancer risk (Knappskog *et al.* 2011). Therefore, we considered stratified analyses according to neighbouring SNPs as the cleanest assessment of SNP55's role as a risk factor. Since the minor T-allele of SNP55 is present on SNP309T-alleles only, contrasting the SNP285C variant located on SNP309G, we assessed cancer risk within the subgroups of individuals harbouring the SNP309TT or TG genotypes. Accordingly, among the individuals harbouring SNP309TT, we found a decreased risk of endometrial cancer related to SNP55T. This result was confirmed across all applied genotype models. The reasons why SNP55 may be of importance among individuals with the SNP309TT-genotype but not among those carrying the TG-genotype remain unknown. Notably, however, a similar effect was previously observed for SNP285, where the C-allele was associated with reduced risk of breast cancer among individuals with SNP309GG-genotype but not among those carrying the SNP309TG-genotype (Knappskog *et al.* 2011).

The susceptibility to disease due to germline genetic variants is often associated with younger onset of disease comparable to sporadic disease (Berwick *et al.* 2007, Bonadona *et al.* 2011, de Voer *et al.* 2015). Thus, we compared the age of individuals carrying the different genotypes of SNP55. Although our analyses did not reach statistical significance, we observed a numerical increase in age at cancer diagnosis associated with the SNP55T allele in endometrial cancer patients. This mirrors our findings from the risk estimates and further indicate that the SNP55T-allele may be associated with a reduced risk of endometrial cancer.

## Conclusions

We found that carrying the *MDM2* SNP55T-allele may have a cancer-protective function for endometrial cancer among individuals harbouring the SNP309TT-genotype. In addition to providing risk information for this particular variant, the finding underlines the importance of assessing context/haplotypes in the *MDM2* promoter. Further, the SNP55T allele is associated with reduced risk of endometrial cancer before the age of 50 years, potentially indicating a functional role in the premenopausal but not postmenopausal setting.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Beryl Leirvaag for technical assistance. The majority of this work was performed in the Mohn Cancer Research Laboratory. We also gratefully acknowledge the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium (OCAC).

## Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Funding

This study was supported by funding from the Norwegian Cancer Society, the Norwegian Health Region West, the Norwegian Research Council, the Bergen Research Foundation, and the K.G. Jebsen Foundation.

## ORCID

Stian Knappskog  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4153-1655>

## References

- Bai, J., *et al.*, 2009. Cigarette smoking, *MDM2* SNP309, gene-environment interactions, and lung cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Journal of toxicology and environmental health. Part A*, 72 (11-12), 677–682.
- Barak, Y., *et al.*, 1994. Regulation of *mdm2* expression by p53: alternative promoters produce transcripts with nonidentical translation potential. *Genes & development*, 8 (15), 1739–1749.
- Berwick, M., *et al.*, 2007. Genetic heterogeneity among Fanconi anemia heterozygotes and risk of cancer. *Cancer research*, 67 (19), 9591–9596.
- Bonadona, V., *et al.*, French Cancer Genetics Network, 2011. Cancer risks associated with germline mutations in *MLH1*, *MSH2*, and *MSH6* genes in Lynch syndrome. *JAMA*, 305 (22), 2304–2310.
- Bond, G.L., *et al.*, 2004. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the *MDM2* promoter attenuates the p53 tumor suppressor pathway and accelerates tumor formation in humans. *Cell*, 119 (5), 591–602.
- Bond, G.L., *et al.*, 2006a. *MDM2* SNP309 accelerates tumor formation in a gender-specific and hormone-dependent manner. *Cancer research*, 66 (10), 5104–5110.
- Bond, G.L., *et al.*, 2006b. *MDM2* SNP309 accelerates colorectal tumour formation in women. *Journal of medical genetics*, 43 (12), 950–952.
- De Voer, R.M., *et al.*, 2015. Deleterious germline *BLM* mutations and the risk for early-onset colorectal cancer. *Scientific reports*, 5, 14060.
- Economopoulos, K.P. and Sergentanis, T.N., 2010. Differential effects of *MDM2* SNP309 polymorphism on breast cancer risk along with race: a meta-analysis. *Breast cancer research and treatment*, 120 (1), 211–216.
- Gallegos-Arreola, M.P., *et al.*, 2017. Association of the Del1518 promoter (rs3730485) polymorphism in the *MDM2* gene with breast cancer in a Mexican Population. *Ann clin lab sci*, 47 (3), 291–297.
- Gansmo, L.B., *et al.*, 2016. Associations between the *MDM2* promoter P1 polymorphism del1518 (rs3730485) and incidence of cancer of the breast, lung, colon and prostate. *Oncotarget*, 7 (19), 28637–28646.
- Gansmo, L.B., *et al.*, 2017. *MDM2* promoter polymorphism del1518 (rs3730485) and its impact on endometrial and ovarian cancer risk. *BMC cancer*, 17 (1), 97.
- Haupt, Y., *et al.*, 1997. *Mdm2* promotes the rapid degradation of p53. *Nature*, 387 (6630), 296–299.
- Helwa, R., *et al.*, 2016. *MDM2* promoter SNP55 (rs2870820) affects risk of colon cancer but not breast-, lung-, or prostate cancer. *Scientific reports*, 6, 33153.
- Honda, R., *et al.*, 1997. Oncoprotein *MDM2* is a ubiquitin ligase E3 for tumor suppressor p53. *FEBS letters*, 420 (1), 25–27.
- Hu, Z., *et al.*, 2006. Genetic variants in the *MDM2* promoter and lung cancer risk in a Chinese population. *International journal of cancer*, 118 (5), 1275–1278.

- Hu, Z., et al., 2007. MDM2 promoter polymorphism SNP309 contributes to tumor susceptibility: evidence from 21 case-control studies. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology*, 16 (12), 2717–2723.
- Hua, W., et al., 2017. MDM2 promoter del1518 polymorphism and cancer risk: evidence from 22,931 subjects. *OncoTargets and therapy*, 10, 3773–3780.
- Knappskog, S. and Lønning, P.E., 2011. MDM2 promoter SNP285 and SNP309; phylogeny and impact on cancer risk. *Oncotarget*, 2 (3), 251–258.
- Knappskog, S., et al., 2011. The MDM2 promoter SNP285C/309G haplotype diminishes Sp1 transcription factor binding and reduces risk for breast and ovarian cancer in Caucasians. *Cancer cell*, 19 (2), 273–282.
- Knappskog, S., et al., Norwegian Breast Cancer Group trial NBCG VI, 2012a. MDM2 promoter SNP344T > A (rs1196333) status does not affect cancer risk. *PLoS one*, 7 (4), e36263.
- Knappskog, S., et al., MoMaTEC study group, 2012b. SNP285C modulates oestrogen receptor/Sp1 binding to the MDM2 promoter and reduces the risk of endometrial but not prostatic cancer. *European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990)*, 48 (13), 1988–1996.
- Knappskog, S., et al., 2014. Population distribution and ancestry of the cancer protective MDM2 SNP285 (rs117039649). *Oncotarget*, 5 (18), 8223–8234.
- Lalonde, M.-E., et al., 2012. Identification of functional DNA variants in the constitutive promoter region of MDM2. *Human genomics*, 6 (1), 15
- Lonning, P.E., et al., 2018. White blood cell BRCA1 promoter methylation status and ovarian cancer risk. *Annals of internal medicine*, 168 (5), 326–334.
- Moazeni-Roodi, A., et al., 2019. The 40bp indel polymorphism of MDM2 increase the risk of cancer: an updated meta-analysis. *Molecular biology research communications*, 8 (1), 1–8.
- Momand, J., et al., 1998. The MDM2 gene amplification database. *Nucleic acids research*, 26 (15), 3453–3459.
- Naess, O., et al., 2008. Cohort profile: cohort of Norway (CONOR). *International journal of epidemiology*, 37 (3), 481–485.
- Okamoto, K., et al., 2015. SNP55, a new functional polymorphism of MDM2-P2 promoter, contributes to allele-specific expression of MDM2 in endometrial cancers. *BMC medical genetics*, 16, 67.
- Oliner, J.D., et al., 1992. Amplification of a gene encoding a p53-associated protein in human sarcomas. *Nature*, 358 (6381), 80–83.
- O'mara, T.A., et al., 2018. Identification of nine new susceptibility loci for endometrial cancer. *Nature communications*, 9 (1), 3166.
- Ortiz, G.J., et al., 2018. Contrasting effects of an Mdm2 functional polymorphism on tumor phenotypes. *Oncogene*, 37 (3), 332–340.
- Paulin, F.E., et al., 2008. MDM2 SNP309 is associated with high grade node positive breast tumours and is in linkage disequilibrium with a novel MDM2 intron 1 polymorphism. *BMC cancer*, 8, 281.
- Phelan, C.M., et al., OPAL study group, 2017. Identification of 12 new susceptibility loci for different histotypes of epithelial ovarian cancer. *Nature genetics*, 49 (5), 680–691.
- Wilkening, S., et al., 2007. MDM2 SNP309 and cancer risk: a combined analysis. *Carcinogenesis*, 28 (11), 2262–2267.
- Zauberman, A., et al., 1995. A functional p53-responsive intronic promoter is contained within the human mdm2 gene. *Nucleic acids research*, 23 (14), 2584–2592.