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#### Abstract

We demonstrate how to construct spectral triples for twisted group $C^{*}$-algebras of lattices in phase space of a second-countable locally compact abelian group using a class of weights appearing in time-frequency analysis. This yields a way of constructing quantum $C^{k}$-structures on Heisenberg modules, and we show how to obtain such structures using Gabor analysis and certain weighted analogues of Feichtinger's algebra. We treat the standard spectral triple for noncommutative 2 -tori as a special case, and as another example we define a spectral triple on noncommutative solenoids and a quantum $C^{k}$-structure on the associated Heisenberg modules.
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## 1 Introduction

The interplay between Gabor analysis and noncommutative geometry [8] has been explored earlier and has recently attracted some interest, see for example [2-4, 10, $11,22,23,25,28,29]$. Indeed, problems in Gabor analysis can often effectively be rephrased as operator algebraic questions. Moreover, Gabor analysis provides a way to generate projective modules over noncommutative tori [28]. Hence, results in Gabor analysis supply interesting examples of structures studied in operator algebra theory and noncommutative geometry. The main part of this paper focuses on the latter aspect.

[^0]We are interested in smooth structures on the level of projective modules over $C^{*}$ -algebras, which we call quantum $C^{k}$-structures, or $Q C^{k}$-structures. These are based on $Q C^{k}$-structures on spectral triples for these $C^{*}$-algebras. Our focus is on Heisenberg modules over twisted group $C^{*}$-algebras of lattices in $G \times \widehat{G}$ for a second-countable locally compact abelian group $G$.

In terms of Gabor analysis, the notion of $Q C^{k}$-modules over noncommutative tori translates into better time-frequency localization of the window function generating the frame. It is common to refer to a Gabor frame generated by a Gaussian as better than one generated by e.g. a triangle function. Our results turn this observation into a rigorous statement and weighted analogues of Feichtinger's algebra appear naturally in this context.

We discuss in detail the noncommutative 2-torus and noncommutative solenoids, introduced in [26, 27]. For the noncommutative 2 -torus, we show that our approach yields an equal $Q C^{k}$-structure as if using the standard spectral triple, and for the noncommutative solenoid, our construction provides a definition of smoothness which so far has not appeared in the literature. Note that the smooth structures introduced by Connes for noncommutative tori are also smooth in our sense but his approach does not allow one to identify structures with a fixed regularity like $Q C^{k}$-structures.

In Sect. 2, we review relevant material on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules and standard module frames with a focus on equivalence bimodules describing Morita equivalent $C^{*}$ -algebras. Section 3 contains the basics on Gabor frames for lattices in $G \times \widehat{G}$ for a second-countable locally compact abelian group $G$, and we define Feichtinger's algebra $M^{1}(G)$, the prime example of a modulation space, and weighted variants $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ for a natural class of weights on $G \times \widehat{G}$. In this section, we also show how to obtain twisted group $C^{*}$-algebras and Heisenberg modules by ways of convolution algebras and modulation spaces. In Sect. 4, we make precise the meaning of quantum $C^{k}$-structures. Furthermore, this section contains the main results of the paper: (i) the construction of $Q C^{k}$-structures on twisted group $C^{*}$-algebras of lattices in $G \times \widehat{G}$, and (ii) a description of $Q C^{k}$-structures on Heisenberg modules, and that these are just weighted Feichtinger algebras. At the end of the section, we treat the noncommutative 2-torus and the noncommutative solenoid in detail. We provide examples of projective modules that are $Q C^{k}$ but not $Q C^{k+1}$, and some that are smooth.

## 2 Preliminaries

This section is dedicated to reminding the reader about module frames and Morita equivalence. We assume basic knowledge about $C^{*}$-algebras, Banach $*$-algebras, and their modules.

In the sequel, we will let the $C^{*}$-algebra-valued inner product on a left Hilbert $C^{*}$ -module be denoted by $\bullet \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$, and likewise the $C^{*}$-algebra-valued inner product on a right Hilbert $C^{*}$-module will be denoted by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\bullet}$.

Module frames were introduced in [16]. However, for the purposes of this paper, it will suffice to look at finite module frames.

Definition 2.1 Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra, let $E$ be a left Hilbert $A$-module, and let $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ be a sequence in $E$. We say $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is a module frame for $E$ if there exist constants $C, D>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \bullet\langle f, f\rangle \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} \bullet\left\langle f, g_{i}\right\rangle \bullet\left\langle g_{i}, f\right\rangle \leq D \bullet\langle f, f\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as elements of $A$ for all $f \in E$. If $C=D=1$ we say $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is a Parseval module frame for $E$.

For a left Hilbert $A$-module $E$, we associate to any finite sequence $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l} \subset E$ the $A$-adjointable operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{\left(g_{i}\right)}: E & \rightarrow E \\
f & \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{l} \bullet\left\{f, g_{i}\right\rangle g_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This operator is called the frame operator of $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$. Note that the frame operator is a positive operator on $E$ as $\bullet\left\langle\Theta_{\left(g_{i}\right)} f, f\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $f \in E$. The following is a special case of [1, Theorem 1.2] and might be useful to keep in mind.

Proposition 2.2 Let $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ be a sequence in a left Hilbert A-module E. Then $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is a module frame for $E$ if and only if $\Theta_{\left(g_{i}\right)}: E \rightarrow E$ is invertible.

Definition 2.3 Let $E$ be a left Hilbert $A$-module and let $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l} \subset E$ be a frame. Denote by $\Theta$ the frame operator of $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$. We say $\left(\Theta^{-1} g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is the canonical dual frame of $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$, and we say $\left(\Theta^{-1 / 2} g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is the canonical Parseval frame associated to $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$.

Given a frame $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ for a left Hilbert $A$-module $E$, with frame operator $\Theta$, we see that the canonical dual frame $\left(\Theta^{-1} g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ has the property that

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \bullet\left\langle f, g_{i}\right\rangle \Theta^{-1} g_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \bullet\left\langle f, \Theta^{-1} g_{i}\right\rangle g_{i}
$$

for all $f \in E$. Indeed, this follows by writing out $f=\Theta^{-1} \Theta f=\Theta \Theta^{-1} f$. Any sequence $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ such that

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \bullet\left\langle f, g_{i}\right\rangle h_{i}
$$

for all $f \in E$ will be called a dual sequence of $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$. Likewise, if we write out $f=\Theta^{-1 / 2} \Theta \Theta^{-1 / 2} f$, we get that the canonical Parseval frame associated to $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ has the property

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \cdot\left\langle f, \Theta^{-1 / 2} g_{i}\right\rangle \Theta^{-1 / 2} g_{i}
$$

for all $f \in E$, and is a Parseval module frame as in (2.1).
The following result follows from [24, Proposition 3.9]. There it is assumed the $C^{*}$-algebra is unital, but we include a weakened version of the result so that it is clear that this assumption can be dropped.

Proposition 2.4 Let E be a Hilbert A-module and let $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ and $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ be sequences in E. If

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \bullet\left\langle f, g_{i}\right\rangle h_{i}
$$

for all $f \in E$, then $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is a frame for $E$.
The modules of interest in this paper will be Morita equivalence bimodules. For a reference on Morita equivalence of $C^{*}$-algebras, we refer the reader to [34].

Definition 2.5 Let $A$ and $B$ be $C^{*}$-algebras. A Morita equivalence bimodule between $A$ and $B$, or an $A-B$-equivalence bimodule, is a Hilbert $A-B$-bimodule $E$ satisfying the following conditions:
i) $\overline{.\langle E, E\rangle}=A$ and $\overline{\langle E, E\rangle .}=B$, where $.\langle E, E\rangle=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{.\langle f, g\rangle \mid f, g \in E\}$ and likewise for $\langle E, E\rangle$.
ii) For all $f, g \in E, a \in A$ and $b \in B$,

$$
\langle a f, g\rangle_{.}=\left\langle f, a^{*} g\right\rangle_{.} \text {and } .\langle f b, g\rangle=.\left\langle f, g b^{*}\right\rangle .
$$

iii) For all $f, g, h \in E$,

$$
.\langle f, g\rangle h=f\langle g, h\rangle_{.}
$$

Now let $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset B$ be dense Banach $*$-subalgebras such that the enveloping $C^{*}$-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ is $A$, and the enveloping $C^{*}$-algebra of $\mathcal{B}$ is $B$. Suppose further that there is a dense $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$-inner product submodule $\mathcal{E} \subset E$ such that the conditions above hold with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}$ instead of $A, B, E$. In that case, we say $\mathcal{E}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$-preequivalence bimodule.

Module frames in Morita equivalence bimodules were extensively studied in [4]. We summarize the results we will need.

Proposition 2.6 Let E be an A-B-equivalence bimodule where $B$ is unital, with an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$-pre-equivalence bimodule $\mathcal{E} \subset E$. Moreover, let $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ be a sequence in $E$ and let $\Theta$ denote the frame operator of $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$. Then the following hold:
i) $\quad\left(g_{i j} l^{l}{ }_{i=1}\right.$ is a module frame for $E$ as a Hilbert A-module if and only if $\sum_{i=1}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}$ is invertible in B.
ii) If $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is a module frame for $E$ as an A-module, then the canonical dual is given by $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$, where

$$
h_{j}=\Theta^{-1} g_{j}=g_{j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1}
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, l$, and the canonical Parseval frame associated to $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is given by $\left(h_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$, where

$$
h_{j}^{\prime}=\Theta^{-1 / 2} g_{j}=g_{j}\left(\sum_{i-1}^{l}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, l$.
iii) Suppose $\mathcal{B} \subset B$ is spectrally invariant with the same unit, and that $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is a module frame for $E$ as an A-module with $g_{i} \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. Then $\Theta^{-1} g_{i} \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\Theta^{-1 / 2} g_{i} \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$.

Proof Statement i) is immediate by [4, Proposition 3.14]. Since the action of $\Theta$ is implemented by right multiplication by $\sum_{i \bar{\tau}^{1}}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}$ by [4], it follows that $\Theta^{-1}$ is implemented by right multiplication by $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\bar{l}}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1}$, and the action of $\Theta^{-1 / 2}$ is implemented by right multiplication by $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. Hence statement ii) follows as well. In statement iii) the fact that $\Theta^{-1} g_{i} \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$ is immediate by ${ }_{l}\left[4\right.$, Proposition 3.15]. But then if $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1}$ by spectral invariance, so is $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. So it follows that $\Theta^{-1 / 2} g_{i} \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$ also.

## 3 Gabor analysis on LCA groups, weighted Feichtinger algebras and twisted group C*-algebras

Before discussing the mathematical objects of interest, we recall some central concepts from Gabor analysis on locally compact abelian (LCA) groups.

### 3.1 Gabor analysis on LCA groups and weighted Feichtinger algebras

Througout this section, we fix a second-countable LCA group $G$, and we will let $\Lambda$ be a lattice in $G \times \widehat{G}$, that is, $\Lambda$ is a cocompact and discrete subgroup in $G \times \widehat{G}$. Here $\widehat{G}$ is the dual group of $G$. The group $G \times \widehat{G}$ is sometimes called the time-frequency plane of $G$ or the phase space of $G$. We will have to restrict to lattices $\Lambda$ as we wish to make use of the localization procedure developed in [3] in a particular case. Namely, we need to be able to localize both the $C^{*}$-algebra $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ and a Heisenberg module, defined in (3.2) and (3.3). Further, the assumption that $G$ is secondcountable is imposed due to our proof of (4.6) relying on $\Lambda$ being $\sigma$-compact.

Given $G$ and $\Lambda$ we will need to make some choices regarding the Haar measures and how they relate to one another. The convention we will use is the following: Given a second-countable LCA group $G$, we fix a Haar measure $\mu_{G}$ on $G$ and normalize the Haar measure $\mu_{\widehat{G}}$ on $\widehat{G}$ such that the Plancherel theorem holds. The lattice $\Lambda$ will be equipped with the counting measure. On $(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda$ we put the Haar measure such that Weil's formula holds, that is, such that for all $f \in L^{1}(G \times \widehat{G})$ we have

$$
\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(\xi) d \mu_{G \times \widehat{G}}(\xi)=\int_{(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda} \int_{\Lambda} f(\xi+\lambda) d \mu_{\Lambda}(\lambda) d \mu_{(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda}(\dot{\xi}),
$$

where $\dot{\xi}=\xi+\Lambda$.

Definition 3.1 The size of $\Lambda$, denoted $s(\Lambda)$, is defined as

$$
s(\Lambda)=\int_{(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda} 1 d \mu_{(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda} .
$$

Remark 3.2 When $\Lambda$ is a lattice it is in particular cocompact. Hence $(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda$ is compact, which implies $s(\Lambda)<\infty$.

For any point $\xi=(x, \omega) \in G \times \widehat{G}$ we define the time-frequency shift $\pi(\xi)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(\xi)=M_{\omega} T_{x}: L^{2}(G) \rightarrow L^{2}(G), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{x}$ is the time-shift operator given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{x}: L^{2}(G) & \rightarrow L^{2}(G) \\
f(t) & \mapsto f(t-x), \quad t \in G,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M_{\omega}$ is the modulation operator, or the frequency-shift operator, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\omega}: L^{2}(G) & \rightarrow L^{2}(G) \\
f(t) & \mapsto \omega(t) f(t), \quad t \in G .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the Heisenberg 2-cocycle

$$
\begin{aligned}
c:(G \times \widehat{G}) \times(G \times \widehat{G}) & \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \\
\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) & \mapsto \overline{\omega_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any two elements $\xi_{1}=\left(x_{1}, \omega_{1}\right), \xi_{2}=\left(x_{2}, \omega_{2}\right) \in G \times \widehat{G}$. Moreover, we define the associated symplectic cocycle

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{s}:(G \times \widehat{G}) \times(G \times \widehat{G}) & \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \\
\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) & \mapsto \overline{\omega_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)} \omega_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\xi_{1}=\left(x_{1}, \omega_{1}\right), \xi_{2}=\left(x_{2}, \omega_{2}\right) \in G \times \widehat{G}$. Make particular note of the fact that

$$
\overline{c\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)}=c\left(-\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=c\left(\xi_{1},-\xi_{2}\right) .
$$

The 2-cocycle and the symplectic cocycle are intimately related to time-frequency shifts. Indeed, routine calculations yield the following identities which may be helpful to keep in mind

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(\xi_{1}\right) \pi\left(\xi_{2}\right) & =c\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \pi\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \\
\pi\left(\xi_{1}\right) \pi\left(\xi_{2}\right) & =c s\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \pi\left(\xi_{2}\right) \pi\left(\xi_{1}\right) \\
\pi\left(\xi_{1}\right)^{*} & =c\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \pi\left(-\xi_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the symplectic cocycle $c_{s}$, we define the adjoint subgroup of $\Lambda$, denoted $\Lambda^{\circ}$, by

$$
\Lambda^{\circ}:=\left\{\chi \in G \times \widehat{G} \mid c_{s}(\chi, \lambda)=1 \quad \text { for all } \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

It is then clear that $[\pi(\lambda), \pi(\chi)]=0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and all $\chi \in \Lambda^{\circ}$. By [21, p. 234] we may identify $\Lambda^{\circ}$ with $((G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda) \hat{)}$ and we pick the dual measure on $\Lambda^{\circ}$ corresponding to the measure on $(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda$ induced from the chosen measure on $\Lambda$. That is, the measures are chosen so that the Plancherel theorem holds with respect to $\Lambda^{\circ}$ and $(G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda$. Since $\Lambda$ is a lattice, it is in particular cocompact, hence it follows that $((G \times \widehat{G}) / \Lambda)^{\hat{}}$ is discrete, from which it follows that $\Lambda^{\circ}$ is discrete. But $\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \cong \Lambda$ is discrete, from which the analogous argument implies $\Lambda^{\circ}$ is also cocompact. Hence $\Lambda^{\circ}$ is also a lattice, and we may rightfully call it the adjoint lattice of $\Lambda$. Having picked the counting measure on $\Lambda$, the induced measure on $\Lambda^{\circ}$ is the counting measure scaled with the constant $s(\Lambda)^{-1}$ [22, equation (13)].

For any function $g \in L^{2}(G)$, we may define the short-time Fourier transform with respect to $g$. It is the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{g}: \quad L^{2}(G) & \rightarrow L^{2}(G \times \widehat{G}) \\
V_{g} f(\xi) & =\langle f, \pi(\xi) g\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the short-time Fourier transform, we define the Feichtinger algebra $M^{1}(G)$ by

$$
M^{1}(G):=\left\{f \in L^{2}(G) \mid V_{f} f \in L^{1}(G \times \widehat{G})\right\}
$$

$M^{1}(G)$ becomes a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{M^{1}(G)}:=\int_{G \times \widehat{G}}\left|V_{g} f(\xi)\right| d \xi
$$

for some $g \in M^{1}(G) \backslash\{0\}$. Indeed it is known that any nonzero $g \in M^{1}(G)$ yields an equivalent norm on $M^{1}(G)$. We may of course do the same for $\Lambda$. It is, however, known that when $\Lambda$ is discrete, $M^{1}(\Lambda)=\ell^{1}(\Lambda)$ with equivalent norms. For proofs of these statements, see for example [20, Proposition 4.10, Lemma 4.11, Theorem 4.12].

To describe smoothness, we will need dense subspaces of $M^{1}(\Lambda)$ and $M^{1}(G)$. To this end, we have the following definition.

Definition 3.3 Let $\Delta$ be a second-countable LCA group. By a weight on $\Delta$ we mean a function $v: \Delta \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
i) $v(\xi+\chi) \leq v(\xi) v(\chi)$ for all $\xi, \chi \in \Delta$ (submultiplicativity).
ii) $v$ has polynomial growth, i.e. there are $D>0$ and $s>0$ such that $v(\xi) \leq D(1+d(\xi, 0))^{s}$ for all $\xi \in \Delta$, where $d$ is a translation-invariant metric generating the topology of $\Delta$.
iii) $v(\xi)=v(-\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \Delta$ (radial symmetry).

Remark 3.4 If $v(0)=0$, then for any $\xi \in \Delta$

$$
v(\xi)=v(\xi+0) \leq v(\xi) v(0)=0,
$$

hence the weight $v$ is identically zero. For this reason, we will assume in the rest of the article that $v(0) \neq 0$. Note then that submultiplicativity of the weight $v$ implies $v(0) \geq 1$. Indeed, by the calculation

$$
v(0)=v(0+0) \leq v(0) v(0)=v(0)^{2}
$$

we obtain the desired relation by dividing by $v(0)$ on both sides. But by radial symmetry we then have

$$
1 \leq v(0)=v(\xi-\xi) \leq v(\xi) v(-\xi)=v(\xi)^{2}
$$

for all $\xi \in \Delta$. It follows that $v(\xi) \geq 1$ for all $\xi \in \Delta$.
Example Let $G=\mathbb{R}$ and consider the phase space $G \times \widehat{G} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$. For every $s>0$, the function $v_{s}(x, \omega)=\left(1+|x|^{2}+|\omega|^{2}\right)^{s / 2}, x, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$, is a weight on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Some of the above assumptions in the definition of a weight are sometimes not present to get a more general version of weights, see for example [18]. In the interest of brevity, we adopt the definition of weight above.

Definition 3.5 Let $\Delta$ be a second-countable LCA group and let $v$ be a weight on $\Delta$. We then define the weighted $L^{1}$-space $L_{v}^{1}(\Delta)$ by

$$
L_{v}^{1}(\Delta):=\left\{f \in L^{1}(\Delta) \mid f \cdot v \in L^{1}(\Delta)\right\}
$$

It is well known that $L_{v}^{1}(\Delta)$ is a Banach space with the natural norm, that is, with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L_{v}^{\prime}(\Delta)}:=\int_{\Delta}|f(\xi)| v(\xi) d \xi
$$

for $f \in L_{v}^{1}(\Delta)$.
We may then define the relevant subspaces of the Feichtinger algebra.

Definition 3.6 Let $v: G \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a weight. We then define the weighted Feichtinger algebra $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ by

$$
M_{v}^{1}(G):=\left\{f \in L^{2}(G) \mid V_{f} f \in L_{v}^{1}(G \times \widehat{G})\right\}
$$

We do the same for $\Lambda$ by restricting weights from $G \times \widehat{G}$ to $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$.
We have the following result from [14, Theorem 4.1] which will be important to keep in mind when we define a Banach module action of $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ (see (3.2)) on $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ in (3.3).

Proposition 3.7 $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{M_{v}^{1}(G)}:=\int_{G \times \widehat{G}}\left|V_{g} f(\xi)\right| v(\xi) d \xi
$$

for some $g \in M_{v}^{1}(G) \backslash\{0\}$. Any $g \in M_{v}^{1}(G) \backslash\{0\}$ yields an equivalent norm.
Note that for a weight $v$ of polynomial growth on $G \times \widehat{G} M_{v}^{1}(G)$ is dense in the Banach space $M^{1}(G)$, because the Schwartz-Bruhat space is dense in $M^{1}(G)$ by [33] and by Osborne's characterization of the Schwartz-Bruhat space [32].

In (4.4), we will link the $Q C^{k}$-structure statements for Heisenberg modules of (4.3) with the study of Gabor frames. To this end we introduce the relevant concepts from Gabor analysis now.

Definition 3.8 A Gabor system $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ is a collection of time-frequency shifts of a function $g$ of the form $\{\pi(\lambda) g \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. We call it a Gabor frame for $L^{2}(G)$ if it is a frame for the Hilbert space $L^{2}(G)$, that is, if the following inequalities are satisfied for all $f \in L^{2}(G)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left|\left|f\left\|_{2}^{2} \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}|\langle f, \pi(\lambda) g\rangle|^{2} \leq D| | f\right\|_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<C \leq D<\infty$. If $C=D=1$, we call $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ a Parseval Gabor frame. If only the upper frame bound is satisfied, we say $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ is a Bessel system, and the function $g$ is called a Bessel vector for $\Lambda$.

Extending to the case where we have functions $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} \in L^{2}(G)$, we define a multi-window Gabor system by $\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} ; \Lambda\right):=\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{G}\left(g_{l} ; \Lambda\right)$. We call it a multi-window Gabor frame for $L^{2}(G)$ if there exist constants $0<C \leq D<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left|\left|f\left\|_{2}^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\left\langle f, \pi(\lambda) g_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq D\right\| f \|_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in L^{2}(G)$. Again, if $C=D=1$ we call $\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i} ; \Lambda\right)$ a Parseval multi-window Gabor frame. If only the upper frame bound is satisfied, we say $\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} ; \Lambda\right)$ is a Bessel system, and the functions $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}\right\}$ are called Bessel vectors for $\Lambda$.

Intimately related to Bessel systems $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ are the coefficient mapping

$$
C_{g, \Lambda}: L^{2}(G) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\Lambda), \quad f \mapsto\{\langle f, \pi(\lambda) g\rangle\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda},
$$

and the synthesis mapping

$$
D_{g, \Lambda}: \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^{2}(G), \quad\left\{c_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda} \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda} \pi(\lambda) g
$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $D_{g, \Lambda}=C_{g, \Lambda}^{*}$. These allow us to define the following operator.

Definition 3.9 For a Bessel system $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$, we define the Gabor frame operator $S_{g, \Lambda}$ by

$$
S_{g, \Lambda}: L^{2}(G) \rightarrow L^{2}(G), \quad S_{g, \Lambda}=D_{g, \Lambda} \circ C_{g, \Lambda}
$$

Likewise, given a multi-window Bessel system $\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} ; \Lambda\right)$, we define the multiwindow Gabor frame operator $S_{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}, \Lambda}$ by

$$
S_{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}, \Lambda}: L^{2}(G) \rightarrow L^{2}(G), \quad S_{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}, \Lambda}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} S_{g_{i}, \Lambda}
$$

Note that boundedness of the (multi-window) Gabor frame operator is guaranteed by the upper norm bounds in (3.2) and (3.3). If $\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i} ; \Lambda\right)$ is a frame, the corresponding lower bound guarantees that the (multi-window) Gabor frame operator is invertible. Also, since $S_{g, \Lambda}=C_{g, \Lambda}^{*} \circ C_{g, \Lambda}$, the Gabor frame operator is positive and thus the multi-window Gabor frame operator is positive, too. Hence for a Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ (resp. a multi-window Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots g_{l} ; \Lambda\right)$ ) the corresponding Gabor frame operator $S_{g, \Lambda}$ (resp. multi-window Gabor frame operator $S_{g_{1}, \ldots g_{l}, \Lambda}$ ) is a bounded, positive, and invertible operator. Indeed, it is well known that the converse statement is also true.

### 3.2 Twisted group C*-algebras

We proceed to introduce the relevant Banach $*$-algebras and $C^{*}$-algebras. As above we let $G$ denote a second-countable LCA group and let $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$ be a lattice. Furthermore, $v$ will be a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$, and $c$ denotes the Heisenberg 2 -cocycle. Indeed, in the rest of the paper, $c$ will denote this 2 -cocycle. We then wish to study the $v$-weighted $c$-twisted group algebra $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$. This is the space $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)$ equipped with $c$-twisted convolution

$$
a_{1} *_{c} a_{2}(\lambda)=\sum_{\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda} a_{1}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) a_{2}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right) c\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $c$-twisted involution

$$
a^{*}(\lambda)=\overline{c(-\lambda, \lambda) a(-\lambda)}
$$

for $a, a_{1}, a_{2} \in \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The unweighted space, that is, with weight $v=1$, will be denoted $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$.

Remark 3.10 We will sometimes suppress the notation $*_{c}$ and just write $a_{1} a_{2}$ instead of $a_{1} *_{c} a_{2}$.

The following result is well known.
Proposition $3.11 \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ is a Banach *-algebra when equipped with the norm $\|a\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)}=\|a \cdot v\|_{\ell^{1}(\Lambda)}$.

We may do the same for $\ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)$ to make $\ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$. Note the conjugate cocycle.
The following result may be considered folklore, but proofs in special cases as well as allusions to a proof of the general case can be found in [12, 18, Lemma 5.1] and [17, Proposition 11.1.3]

Proposition 3.12 Let $\Lambda$ be a (second-countable) discrete group, let v be a weight on $\Lambda$ and let $p \in[1, \infty)$. Then $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)$ acts continuously on $\ell_{v}^{p}(\Lambda)$ by convolution. That is, $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda) * \ell_{v}^{p}(\Lambda) \subset \ell_{v}^{p}(\Lambda)$ and there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\|a * b\|_{\ell_{v}^{p}(\Lambda)} \leq C\|a\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)}\|b\|_{\ell_{v}^{p}(\Lambda)}
$$

for $a \in \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)$ and $b \in \ell_{v}^{p}(\Lambda)$.
There is a natural way of associating to $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ a $C^{*}$-algebra. Indeed, we do the procedure for $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ to complete it to a $C^{*}$-algebra, and it will be clear that by density of $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ in $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ we would obtain the same $C^{*}$-algebra if we were to do the same procedure with $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$. The procedure is as follows. We have a $c$-projective unitary representation of $\Lambda$ on $L^{2}(G)$ via (3.1). This gives a nondegenerate $c$-projective $*$-representation of $\ell^{1}(\Lambda)$, or equivalently, a nondegenerate $*$-representation of $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$, on $L^{2}(G)$ by setting

$$
\pi(a) f=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) f
$$

for $f \in L^{2}(G)$ and $a \in \ell^{1}(\Lambda)$. This representation is faithful [38]. We thus obtain a $C^{*}$-algebra by completing $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ in the norm $\|a\|_{\mathbb{B}\left(L^{2}(G)\right)}$ for $a \in \ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$. But $\Lambda$ is an abelian group, hence $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ has a unique $C^{*}$-completion [2, Theorem 3.1]. So we may denote the (unique) $C^{*}$-completion of $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ by $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$, and denote the norm by $\|\cdot\|_{C^{*}}$. We refer to this $C^{*}$-algebra as the $c$-twisted group $C^{*}$-algebra of $\Lambda$. Since $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ is dense in $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}} \geq\|\cdot\|_{\ell^{1}} \geq\|\cdot\|_{C^{*}}$, we would obtain the same $C^{*}$-algebra by doing the procedure with $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$. We do the same procedure for $\ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ and obtain $C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$. The $C^{*}$-algebras $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ are
closely related. Indeed, they are Morita equivalent, which we will discuss in (3.3), and will have use for in (4.3).

To show that weighted Feichtinger algebras are examples of $Q C^{k}$-modules in (4.3), we will show how certain module frames implement said $Q C^{k}$-structure. It will then be important that the module frames are suitably regular. To guarantee this, we need the following important result from [19]. We also refer to [2] for the result in the unweighted case written out explicitly for other LCA groups than just $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Proposition 3.13 Let $G$ be a second-countable LCA group and let $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$ be a lattice. Then $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ is spectrally invariant in $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$. If, in addition, $\Lambda$ is finitely generated and $v$ is a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$, then $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ is spectrally invariant in $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$.

### 3.3 Weighted Feichtinger algebras as modules

To get the desired modules, we will need the following result, see [15, Proposition 5.1, Proposition 5.2]. The arguments for $G=\mathbb{R}$ extend in a straightforward way to the general case.

Proposition 3.14 Let $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$ be a lattice and let v be a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$. Then the following hold:
i) Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $f \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$. Then $\pi(\lambda) f \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$ and

$$
\|\pi(\lambda) f\|_{M_{v}^{1}} \leq v(\lambda)\|f\|_{M_{v}^{1}} .
$$

ii) If $a \in \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)$ and $f \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$, then $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) f \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$ and

$$
\left\|\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) f\right\|_{M_{v}^{1}} \leq C\|a\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}}\|f\|_{M_{v}^{1}}
$$

for some $C>0$ independent of $a$ and $f$.
iii) If $f, g \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$, then $(\langle f, \pi(\lambda) g\rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)$.

Since $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ is a Banach $*$-algebra by (3.11), we may now give $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ a left Banach $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$-module structure by defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \cdot f=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) f \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ and $f \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$. We may turn $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ into an inner product module over $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ by defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bullet\langle f, g\rangle=(\langle f, \pi(\lambda) g\rangle)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f, g \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$. Here $\cdot\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$-valued inner product. That the module action is continuous, and that the module action and the inner product are welldefined follows from (3.14). We likewise get a right $\ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$-inner product module structure on $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \cdot b=\sum_{\lambda^{\circ} \in \Lambda^{\circ}} b\left(\lambda^{\circ}\right) \pi\left(\lambda^{\circ}\right)^{*} f \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$ and $b \in \ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{\bullet}=\left(\left\langle\pi\left(\lambda^{\circ}\right) g, f\right\rangle\right)_{\lambda^{\circ} \in \Lambda^{\circ}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f, g \in M_{v}^{1}(G)$. These are also well-defined by (3.14). Actually, with the above defined actions and inner products, $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ becomes a pre-equivalence bimodule between $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ and $\ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$. This verification was done for the Schwartz-Bruhat case in [38, Theorem 2.15] and for the Feichtinger algebra case in [28, Theorem 3.13]. We may complete $M_{v}^{1}(G)$ in the Hilbert $C^{*}$-module norm coming from $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ (or equivalently the norm from $C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ ) to obtain a $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)-C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ -equivalence bimodule, which we will denote by $E_{G, \Lambda}$. Such modules are known in the literature as Heisenberg modules.

The $C^{*}$-algebra $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ has a very useful property which we will have great need for in (4.2). Indeed, through the standard GNS-construction using the canonical trace coming from the extension of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}: \ell^{1}(\Lambda, c) & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
a & \mapsto a(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $c$-left regular representation we get that $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ embeds continuously into the Hilbert space $\ell^{2}(\Lambda)$. Likewise $C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ embeds continuously into the Hilbert space $\ell^{2}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)$. Furthermore, the Heisenberg module $E_{G, \Lambda}$ can be continuously embedded in $L^{2}(G)$. This statement can be proved by ways of localization as in [3]. However, since we are working exclusively with lattices in phase space, we use a different and simpler proof.

Proposition 3.15 $E_{G, \Lambda} \hookrightarrow L^{2}(G)$ continuously.
Proof A straightforward calculation will show that

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\bullet\langle g, g\rangle)=\|g\|_{2}^{2}
$$

for all $g \in M^{1}(G)$. Now let $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n} \subset M^{1}(G)$ be a Cauchy sequence in $E_{G, \Lambda}$-norm. Then since $t r$ is continuous, we have

$$
0=\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}\left(.\left\langle f_{m}-f_{n}, f_{m}-f_{n}\right\rangle\right)=\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{m}-f_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

We may then define a map $\iota: E_{G, \Lambda} \rightarrow L^{2}(G)$ as the continuous extension of the identity map on $M^{1}(G)$. Using that $l\left(\overline{M^{1}(G)}\right) \subset \overline{l\left(M^{1}(G)\right)}$ it follows that $E_{G, \Lambda} \subset L^{2}(G)$.

Example 1 (The noncommutative 2-torus) We look at how we obtain the noncommutative 2-torus from the above constructions and how the weighted Feichtinger algebras $M_{v}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ can be completed to Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. For details, we refer the reader to [28] where this is done in depth for more general noncommutative $2 d$-tori, $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$. On $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ the time shift operator $T_{x}$ is then

$$
T_{x} f(t)=f(t-x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

and the modulation operator $M_{\omega}$ is

$$
M_{\omega} f(t)=e^{2 \pi i \omega t} f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

for $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The time-frequency shift $\pi(x, \omega)$ is then

$$
\pi(x, \omega) f(t)=e^{2 \pi i \omega t} f(t-x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

for $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the Heisenberg 2-cocycle is given by

$$
c((x, \omega),(y, \eta))=e^{-2 \pi i \eta x}
$$

Now let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a lattice and let $v$ be a weight on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. As before we get a faithful representation of $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\pi(a) f=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) f
$$

for $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $a \in \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$. Completing $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ in the induced operator norm we obtain a $C^{*}$-algebra $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$, which is also known as the noncommutative 2-torus. The usual noncommutativity parameter $\theta$ of e.g. [37] is determined by the lattice. In particular, $\Lambda=L \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for some $L \in G L\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and then $\theta=\operatorname{det} L$. By ways of (3.4) and (3.5) we complete $M_{\nu}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ to a Heisenberg module $E_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ over $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$. We may indeed do the same for $\ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ and get a right Hilbert module structure by ways of (3.6) and (3.7). Then $E_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ becomes a $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)-C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$-equivalence bimodule with $M_{v}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ as an $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)-\ell_{v}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$-pre-equivalence bimodule.

## $4 Q C^{k}$ and smooth quantum structures on twisted group algebras

### 4.1 Smoothness in noncommutative geometry

We dedicate this section to presenting a notion of smoothness used in noncommutative geometry. Given a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$, we fix a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ for $A$, where $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ is a dense $*$-subalgebra, $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space and $D: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a densely defined selfadjoint operator.

The concept of regular spectral triples was introduced by Connes in [9], but we adopt the terminology of quantum $C^{k}$ spectral triples introduced in [6].

Definition 4.1 Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a spectral triple for $A$. We say $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is quantum $C^{n}$, or $Q C^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ both $a$ and $[D, a]$ are in the domain of $\operatorname{ad}^{n}(|D|)$. Here $\operatorname{ad}^{j}(|D|)(a)$ is the $j$ times iterated commutator $[|D|,[|D|, \ldots,[|D|, a] \ldots]], j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is $Q C^{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say it is $Q C^{\infty}$.

With this definition, we obtain a notion of smoothness on the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. Namely, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is by (4.1) natural to define

$$
Q A_{n}:=\left\{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text { both } a \text { and }[D, a] \text { are in } \operatorname{Dom}\left(\operatorname{ad}^{n}(|D|)\right)\right\}
$$

Indeed, given a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ for a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$, saying $\mathcal{A} \subset Q A_{n}$ is equivalent to saying $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is $Q C^{n}$.

With a $Q C^{n}$-structure on a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ we can, for any Hilbert $A$-module $E$, specify natural $Q C^{n}$-submodules.

Definition 4.2 Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra equipped with a $Q C^{n}$ spectral triple for some $n \geq 1$, and let $E$ be a left Hilbert $A$-module. Suppose there exists a uniformly norm bounded approximate unit $\left(e_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ for $E$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Theta_{g_{i}, g_{i}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Theta_{g, h}$ is the rank one module operator $\Theta_{g, h} f=\bullet\langle f, g\rangle h$. We say that $\left(E,\left(e_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty, h}\right)$ is a $Q C^{n}$-A-module if $\bullet\left\langle g_{i}, g_{j}\right\rangle \in Q A_{n}$ for all $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\left(E,\left(e_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}\right)$ is a $Q C^{k}$-A-module for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say $\left(E,\left(e_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}\right)$ is a $Q C^{\infty}$-A-module.

The above definition has, to the authors' knowledge, not appeared in the literature before, but is inspired by the definition of $C^{k}$-modules in [31].

### 4.2 Smooth structures on twisted group C*-algebras

At last, we can make precise a $Q C^{k}$-structure on twisted group $C^{*}$-algebras of lattices in phase space. To do this, we introduce the relevant spectral triples. We remind the reader that the LCA group $G$ is assumed to be second-countable.

To construct spectral triples we shall want to consider the following.
Definition 4.3 Let $v$ be a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$ be a lattice, and let $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function. We say $f$ is spectral triple compatible for $v$ with respect to $\Lambda$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
i) There is a constant $C_{\text {dif }} \in[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
|(f \circ v)(\lambda+\mu)-(f \circ v)(\lambda)| \leq C_{\mathrm{dif}}(f \circ v)(\mu)
$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$.
ii) There are constants $C_{\mathrm{fin}}, C_{\mathrm{gr}} \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
(f \circ v)(\lambda) \leq C_{\mathrm{gr}} v(\lambda)
$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and

$$
v(\lambda) \leq C_{\text {fin }}(f \circ v)(\lambda),
$$

for all but finitely many $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
iii) For any real number $M>0$, the set $\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid(f \circ v)(\lambda)<M\}$ is finite.

Remark 4.4 Note that condition ii) of (4.3) implies that for any $q \in[1, \infty]$ there is a constant $C_{q} \in[0, \infty)$, depending only on $q$, such that

$$
\|a\|_{\ell_{\text {fov) }}^{q}(\Lambda)} \leq C_{q}\|a\|_{\ell_{v}^{q}(\Lambda)}
$$

for all $a \in \ell_{(f \circ v)}^{q}(\Lambda)$. Moreover, since $f$ may have zeros, $\ell_{(f \circ v)}^{q}(\Lambda)$ is in general not a Banach space.

Remark 4.5 The subscripts dif and gr on the constants in (4.3) are chosen so that in subsequent calculations it will be easier to understand which properties of $f$ are being invoked. The subscript dif reflects that it expresses a bound on a difference and gr reflects a growth condition. The constant $C_{\text {fin }}$ will not be repeatedly invoked, but is needed for a norm estimate in the proof of (4.6).

Even though in (3.3), we had very few restrictions on the weight $v$ in terms of growth, condition iii) of (4.3) will generally require both $v$ and $f$ to "grow". For example, if $v$ is a constant weight, there can not exist any spectral triple compatible function $f$ for $v$ unless $\Lambda$ is a finite group. Thus we note that for a general weight on $\Lambda$, the collection of spectral triple compatible functions may be empty.

For a lattice $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$, a weight $v$ on $G \times \widehat{G}$, and a spectral triple compatible function $f$ for $v$ with respect to $\Lambda$, we consider the (unbounded) selfadjoint operator $D$ on $\ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ given by

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (f \circ v)  \tag{4.2}\\
(f \circ v) & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We have the following result.
Theorem 4.6 Let $v$ be a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $\Lambda$ be a lattice in $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $f$ be a spectral triple compatible function for $v$ with respect to $\Lambda$, and let $D$ be defined by (4.2). Then $\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)$ is an even spectral triple for $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ whenever $n \geq 1$, and $\ell_{v^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c) \subset Q C^{*}(\Lambda, c)_{k}$ for $n \geq k+1$. In other words, if $n \geq k+1$ then the spectral triple is quantum $C^{k}$.

Proof We begin by verifying that $\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)$ is an even spectral triple for $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ when $n \geq 1$. Note first that $\operatorname{Dom}(D)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Dom}(D)=\left\{\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)^{T} \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \mid(f \circ v) b,(f \circ v) b^{\prime} \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda)\right\}
$$

Throughout the proof, will do the calculations as if the action of $\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ on $\ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ is by $c$-twisted convolution, denoted $*_{c}$. This is technically only true on a dense subspace (for example $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda) \subset \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ ), but the actual action is the continuous extension of $c$-twisted convolution. Due to the many conditions we need to check in this proof, we will not make an effort to specify that the elements of $\ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ are such that the action of $\ell^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ on them is given by $c$-twisted convolution. Rather we will just assume this for simplicity, and it will be clear from the calculations that the results go through with the usual extension by density arguments.

To see that $a \cdot \operatorname{Dom}(D) \subset \operatorname{Dom}(D)$ for all $a \in \ell_{v^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$, let $\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)^{T} \in \operatorname{Dom}(D)$.
Due to the form of $D$ it suffices to show $(f \circ v) \cdot\left(a *_{c} b\right) \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$. We have the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(f \circ v) \cdot\left(a *_{c} b\right)\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} & =\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}(f \circ v)(\lambda)^{2}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a(\mu) b(\lambda-\mu) c(\mu, \lambda-\mu)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}(f \circ v)(\lambda)^{2}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)\|b(\lambda-\mu)\| c(\mu, \lambda-\mu) \|^{2} \\
& \leq C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v(\lambda)^{2}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)\|b(\lambda-\mu)\|^{2} \\
& =C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v(\lambda)^{2}|(|a| *|b|)(\lambda)|^{2} \\
& =C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2}\||a| *|b|\|_{\ell_{v}^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
& \leq C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} C^{2}\||a|\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\||b|\|_{\ell_{v}^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
& =C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} C^{2}\|a\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\|b\|_{\ell_{v}^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is the constant obtained using (3.12). Note that $\|b\|_{\ell_{v}^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2}$ is finite by the assumption of the existence of $C_{\text {fin }}$ in condition ii) of (4.3). By the calculation it then follows that $a \operatorname{Dom}(D) \subset \operatorname{Dom}(D)$ for all $a \in \ell_{v^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$.

To show that $[D, a]$ extends to a bounded operator on $\ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ for all $a \in \ell_{v^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda)$, note that for $\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)^{T} \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ we have

$$
[D, a]\binom{b}{b^{\prime}}=\binom{(f \circ v) \cdot\left(a *_{c} b^{\prime}\right)-a *_{c}\left((f \circ v) \cdot b^{\prime}\right)}{(f \circ v) \cdot\left(a *_{c} b\right)-a *_{c}((f \circ v) \cdot b)}
$$

Hence it suffices to show that there is $K \geq 0$ such that $\left\|(f \circ v) \cdot\left(a *_{c} b\right)-a *_{c}((f \circ v) \cdot b)\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)} \leq K\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}$ for all $b \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$. Using (4.3) we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(f \circ v) \cdot\left(a *_{c} b\right)-a *_{c}(((f \circ v)) \cdot b)\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mid \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}(f \circ v)(\lambda) a(\mu) b(\lambda-\mu) c(\mu, \lambda-\mu) \\
&-\left.a(\mu) b(\lambda-\mu)(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu) c(\mu, \lambda-\mu)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)\left\|b(\lambda-\mu)|c(\mu, \lambda-\mu) \|(f \circ v)(\lambda)-(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)|^{2}\right. \\
& \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu) \| b(\lambda-\mu)\left|C_{\mathrm{dif}}(f \circ v)(\mu)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left.C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)|b(\lambda-\mu)| C_{\mathrm{gr}} v(\mu)\right|^{2} \\
&=\left.C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)|v(\mu)| b(\lambda-\mu)\right|^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2}\|(|a| \cdot v) *|b|\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} C^{2}\||a| \cdot v\|_{\ell^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\||b|\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} C^{2}\||a|\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\||b|\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2} C^{2}\|a\|_{\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we once again obtain the constant $C$ using (3.12). It follows that $[D, a]$ extends to a bounded operator on $\ell^{2}(\Lambda)$.

Lastly, we need to verify that $\left(1+D^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ extends to a compact operator on $\ell^{2}(\Lambda)$. Since $D$ is just a multiplication operator, we see that $\left(1+D^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ is just the multiplication operator

$$
\left(1+D^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1+(f \circ v)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} & 0 \\
0 & \left(1+(f \circ v)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We will find a sequence of finite rank operators converging to $\left(1+D^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. For simplicity, we only look at one component, and denote by $A$ the multiplication operator
given by multiplication by $\left(1+(f \circ v)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. As $G$ is locally compact and secondcountable, it is $\sigma$-compact, and so we deduce that $\Lambda$ is also $\sigma$-compact. We may then find a nested sequence of finite sets $\left(F_{n}\right)$ with $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{n}=\Lambda$. Denote by $\delta_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda$, the standard basis for $\ell^{2}(\Lambda)$. We then define $A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, to be the multiplication operator given by

$$
A_{n} \delta_{\lambda}:= \begin{cases}\left(1+((f \circ v)(\lambda))^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \delta_{\lambda} & \text { if } \lambda \in F_{n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $A_{n}$ is a finite-rank operator for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we claim that $A_{n}$ converges to $A$ in operator norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For an operator defined by scalar multiplication on an orthonormal basis it is easy to prove that the operator norm is given by the supremum of the absolute value of the scalars. Using this, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A-A_{n}\right\|_{o p} & =\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left\|\left(A-A_{n}\right) \delta_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda \backslash F_{n}}\left\|\left(1+((f \circ v)(\lambda))^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \delta_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda \backslash F_{n}}\left|\left(1+((f \circ v)(\lambda))^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by condition iii) of (4.3). We deduce that $A$ is the operator norm limit of finite rank operators, hence a compact operator. As

$$
\left(1+D^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

we also deduce that $\left(1+D^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ is a compact operator. This shows that $\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)$ is a spectral triple for $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ whenever $n \geq 1$. It is an even spectral triple since it is graded by

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It remains to show that $\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)$ is a $Q C^{k}$ spectral triple for $n \geq k+1$. Note that

$$
|D|=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(f \circ v) & 0 \\
0 & (f \circ v)
\end{array}\right)
$$

since $D$ is just a multiplication operator and $(f \circ v)(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We also note that we can write out the commutator quite explicitly. An easy induction argument will show that

$$
\operatorname{ad}^{k}(|D|)(a)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}|D|^{k-i} a|D|^{i}
$$

We need only look at what happens in one component. Let $b \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ and $a \in \ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$. We have by slight abuse of notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\mathrm{ad}^{k}(|D|)(a)(b)\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2}=\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}|D|^{k-i} a|D|^{i}(b)\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left\lvert\, \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}(f \circ v)(\lambda)^{k-i} a(\mu) .\right. \\
&\left.(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)^{i} b(\lambda-\mu) c(\mu, \lambda-\mu)\right|^{2} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mid \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a(\mu) b(\mu, \lambda-\mu) c(\mu, \lambda-\mu) . \\
&\left.\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}(f \circ v)(\lambda)^{k-i}(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)^{i}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)| | b(\lambda-\mu) \|\left. c(\mu, \lambda-\mu)\right|^{\prime} \\
& \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}(f \circ v)(\lambda)^{k-i}(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)^{i}\right. \|^{2} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)\|b(\lambda-\mu)\|(f \circ v)(\lambda)-\left.\left.(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)\right|^{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)| | b(\lambda-\mu)\left|C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{k}(f \circ v)(\mu)^{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu) \| b(\lambda-\mu)\left|C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{k} v(\mu)^{k}\right|^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)\left|v(\mu)^{k}\right| b(\lambda-\mu) \|^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k}\left\|\left(|a| v^{k}\right) *|b|\right\|_{\left.\ell^{2}(\Lambda)\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k} C^{2}\left\||a| v^{k}\right\|_{\ell^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\||b|\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k} C^{2}\||a|\|_{\ell_{\nu^{k}}^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\||b|\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
&= C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k} C^{2}\|a\|_{\ell_{k^{k}}^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the constant $C$ obtained using (3.12). It follows that $a \in \ell_{\nu^{k}}^{1}(\Lambda)$ implies $a \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\operatorname{ad}^{k}(|D|)\right)$. In particular it holds for $a \in \ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ as long as $n \geq k$.

Along the same lines, we verify that $[D, a] \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\operatorname{ad}^{k}(|D|)\right)$ for $a \in \ell_{v^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$, $n \geq k+1$. Ignoring the fact that $D$ interchanges the two components, we have for $b \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ by slight abuse of notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\operatorname{ad}^{k}(|D|)([D, a])(b)\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2}=\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}|D|^{k-i}[D, a]|D|^{i} b\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mid \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a(\mu) b(\lambda-\mu) c(\mu, \lambda-\mu) \text {. } \\
& \left(\sum _ { i = 0 } ^ { k } ( - 1 ) ^ { i } ( \begin{array} { c } 
{ k } \\
{ i }
\end{array} ) \left(\left(f \circ v(\lambda)^{k+1-i}(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)^{i}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-(f \circ v)^{k-i}(\lambda)(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)^{i+1}\right)\right)\left.\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)| | b(\lambda-\mu)| | c(\mu, \lambda-\mu)| |(f \circ v)(\lambda)-(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu) \mid \cdot \\
& \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}(f \circ v)(\lambda)^{k-i}(f \circ v)(\mu)^{i}\right. \|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)| | b(\lambda-\mu) \mid \text {. } \\
& \left.|(f \circ v)(\lambda)-(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)||(f \circ v)(\lambda)-(f \circ v)(\lambda-\mu)|^{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)| | b(\lambda-\mu)\left|C_{\mathrm{dif}}(f \circ v)(\mu) C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{k}(f \circ v)(\mu)^{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k+2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)| | b(\lambda-\mu)\left|C_{\mathrm{gr}} \nu(\mu) C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{k} \nu(\mu)\right|^{2} \\
& =\left.C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k+2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k+2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda}\right| a(\mu)\left|v(\mu)^{k+1}\right| b(\lambda-\mu)\right|^{2} \\
& =C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k+2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k+2}\left\|\left(|a| \cdot v^{k+1}\right) *|b|\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
& \leq C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k+2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k+2} C^{2}\left\||a| \cdot v^{k+1}\right\|_{\ell^{1}(\Lambda)}^{2}\||b|\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
& =C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k+2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k+2} C^{2}\||a|\|_{\ell^{, k+1}}^{2}(\Lambda),\||b|\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \\
& =C_{\mathrm{dif}}^{2 k+2} C_{\mathrm{gr}}^{2 k+2} C^{2}\|a\|_{\ell^{1} k+1}^{2}(\Lambda), ~\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

once again with constant $C$ obtained from (3.12). We then see that $\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c) \subset Q C^{*}(\Lambda, c)_{k}$ if $n \geq k+1$, which finishes the proof.

Now let $D$ be given by

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(f \circ v) & 0  \tag{4.3}\\
0 & (f \circ v)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $D=|D|$, and the following is also true by more or less the same proof as above except for the grading.

Theorem 4.7 Let $v$ be a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $\Lambda$ be a lattice in $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $f$ be a spectral triple compatible function for $v$ with respect to $\Lambda$, and let $D$ be defined by (4.3).

Then $\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)$ is a spectral triple for $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ whenever $n \geq 1$, and $\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c) \subset Q C^{*}(\Lambda, c)_{k}$ for $n \geq k+1$. In other words, if $n \geq k+1$ then the spectral triple is quantum $C^{k}$.

### 4.3 Modulation spaces as smooth modules

In (4.6), we saw how to obtain an even $Q C^{k}$ spectral triple $\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)$ for $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ whenever $n \geq k+1$. The goal of this section is to show how the Heisenberg module $E_{G, \Lambda}$ of (3.3) can be equipped with a $Q C^{k}$-structure for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof follows the lines of [37, Proposition 2.1] and [38, Proposition 3.7].

Proposition 4.8 Let v be a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $\Lambda$ be a finitely generated lattice in $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $f$ be a spectral triple compatible function for $v$ with respect to $\Lambda$, and let $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ be given a $Q C^{k}$-structure by ways of (4.6) or (4.7) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a uniformly norm bounded approximate unit $\left(e_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of the form (4.1) such that $\left(E_{G, \Lambda},\left(e_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}\right)$ is a $Q C^{k}$-module over $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$.

Proof We first fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to prove that we can find a (uniformly norm bounded) approximate unit $\left(e_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ where

$$
e_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Theta_{g_{i}, g_{i}}
$$

for which $\bullet\left\langle g_{i}, g_{j}\right\rangle \in \ell_{v^{k+1}}^{1}(\Lambda, c) \subset Q C^{*}(\Lambda, c)_{k}$ for all $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as determined by (4.6) or (4.7). Indeed, we will find a unit. Note first that $E_{G, \Lambda}$ is a $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)-C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$-equivalence bimodule and both $C^{*}$-algebras are unital. Moreover, we know that $M_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}(G)$ is an $\ell_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)-\ell_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$-pre-equivalence bimodule. Now note that $\ell_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ is unital with the same unit as $C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$. Furthermore, $\ell_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ is spectrally invariant in $C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ by (3.13). Hence we are in the situation of (2.6). Since $M_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}(G)$ is a pre-equivalence bimodule, we may find finitely many elements $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{l}, h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{l}^{\prime} \in M_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}(G)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{0}$ is invertible. As $\ell_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$ is spectrally invariant in $C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1} \in \ell_{v^{k+1}}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)
$$

If we then set $h_{i}^{\prime \prime}=h_{i}^{\prime} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{-1} \in M_{v^{k+1}}^{1}(G)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{\bullet} & =1_{\ell_{v^{k+1}}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)}=1_{C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)} \\
& =1_{C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)}^{*}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle_{\bullet}\right)^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle h_{i}^{\prime \prime}, h_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

But then $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ is a module frame for $E_{G, \Lambda}$ by (2.4) with $h_{i} \in M_{v^{k+1}}^{1}(G)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. It then follows by (2.6) that there are $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} \in M_{v^{k+1}}^{1}(G)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}=1_{C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\wedge}, \bar{c}\right)}$. For any $f \in E_{G, \Lambda}$ we then have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \Theta_{g_{i}, g_{i}} f=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \bullet\left\langle f, g_{i}\right\rangle g_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} f\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}=f \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle g_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle_{\bullet}=f 1_{C^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}, \bar{c}\right)}=f
$$

which shows that $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ has the desired property. Since $\bullet\left\langle g_{i}, g_{j}\right\rangle \in \ell_{v^{k+1}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ for all $i, j=1, \ldots, l$, it follows that $\left(E_{G, \Lambda},\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}\right)$ is a $Q C^{k}$-module over $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$.

Remark 4.9 Note that in (4.8) one can omit the assumption that $\Lambda$ is finitely generated if we can guarantee that the $g_{i}$ 's in the proof exist with $g_{i} \in M_{\chi^{k+1}}^{1}(G)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. Indeed the only reason to include the qualifier that $\Lambda$ is finitely generated is that we a priori do not know that such a generating sequence $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ exists in the general case, and therefore want to use (3.13).

Even in the case of elementary groups as in [38], the above results are stronger than just being able to find tight module frames with elements in the Schwartz space. Indeed, in case $G=\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Lambda$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$, Parseval module frames with elements in Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ would give the Heisenberg module a $Q C^{\infty}$-structure. However, the Feichtinger algebra approach gives the possibility of finding Parseval module frames which give the Heisenberg module a $Q C^{k}$-structure, which is not simultaneously a $Q C^{k+1}$-structure. We give some examples for the noncommutative 2 -torus in (4.5).

### 4.4 The link to Gabor analysis

The existence of sufficiently regular approximate identities from (4.2) is in the setting of Heisenberg modules a result about existence of multi-window Gabor frames with windows in suitably weighted Feichtinger algebras.

The following result is a special case of [3, Theorem 3.16].
Proposition 4.10 Let $G$ be a second-countable LCA group, let $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$ be a lattice, and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}$ be elements of the Heisenberg module $E_{G, \Lambda}$. Then the following are equivalent:
i) The set $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l}\right\}$ is a Parseval module frame for $E_{G, \Lambda}$ as a left $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$-module. That is, for all $f \in E_{G, \Lambda}$ we have

$$
f=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \bullet\left\langle f, g_{j}\right\rangle g_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{l} f\left\langle g_{j}, g_{j}\right\rangle_{\bullet} .
$$

ii) The system

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} ; \Lambda\right)=\left\{\pi(\lambda) g_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, 1 \leq j \leq l\right\}
$$

is a Parseval multi-window Gabor frame for $L^{2}(G)$.
The following is then immediate by (4.8) and (4.10).
Theorem 4.11 Let v be a weight on $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $\Lambda$ be a lattice in $G \times \widehat{G}$, let $f$ be a spectral triple compatible function for $v$ with respect to $\Lambda$, and let $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ be given a $Q C^{k}$-structure by ways of (4.6) or (4.7) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a Parseval multi-window Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} ; \Lambda\right)$ for $L^{2}(G)$ with $g_{j} \in M_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(G), j=1, \ldots, l, n \geq k+1$, gives the Heisenberg module $E_{G, \Lambda}$ the structure of a $Q C^{k}$-module over $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$.

### 4.5 Example: the noncommutative 2-torus

We refer the reader to [6] or [40] for details on this example. What follows will also build on (1).

On the noncommutative 2-torus, denoted $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ in this section, there are two canonical unbounded derivations denoted by $\partial_{1}$ and $\partial_{2}$. They are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{1}:(a(x, \omega))_{(x, \omega) \in \Lambda} \mapsto(2 \pi i x a(x, \omega))_{(x, \omega) \in \Lambda} \\
& \partial_{2}:(a(x, \omega))_{(x, \omega) \in \Lambda} \mapsto(2 \pi i \omega a(x, \omega))_{(x, \omega) \in \Lambda},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(a(x, \omega))_{(x, \omega) \in \Lambda} \in C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$. These are only densely defined, but we see that $\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c) \subset \operatorname{Dom} \partial_{i}$ for $i=1,2$, where $v$ is the weight $v(x, \omega)=\left(1+x^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. In the rest of this section $v$ will denote this weight. We may then consider the spectral triple for the noncommutative 2-torus given by

$$
\left(\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)
$$

where $D$ is the unbounded operator given by

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \partial_{1}+i \partial_{2} \\
-\partial_{1}+i \partial_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 4.12 The triple

$$
\left(\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)
$$

defined above is a spectral triple for $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$.
Proof For $a \in \ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ it follows by the Leibniz rule for $\partial_{i}, i=1,2$, that $a \cdot \operatorname{Dom}(D) \subset \operatorname{Dom}(D)$. Moreover, a standard calculation will show that the commutator $[D, a]$ extends to left multiplication by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \partial_{1}(a)+i \partial_{2}(a) \\
-\partial_{1}(a)+i \partial_{2}(a) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is a bounded operator. That $a\left(1+D^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ extends to a compact operator follows as in the proof of (4.6).

Remark 4.13 The spectral triple of (4.12) is also known as the canonical spectral triple for the noncommutative 2-torus. However, the $*$-subalgebra of $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ typically chosen is the one consisting of the Schwartz sequences.
$D$ is a selfadjoint operator and $D^{2}$ is the multiplication operator given by

$$
D^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 \pi^{2}\left(x^{2}+\omega^{2}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 4 \pi^{2}\left(x^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We now let $(f \circ v)(\lambda)=2 \pi\left(v(\lambda)^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then one can verify that $f$ is spectral triple compatible for $v$ with respect to any lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and we obtain

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(f \circ v) & 0 \\
0 & (f \circ v)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \pi\left(x^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 2 \pi\left(x^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}\right)=|D| .
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\ell_{v}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda),|D|\right)
$$

which we create by ways of (4.2) is related to the canonical spectral triple for the noncommutative 2-torus. By (4.7),

$$
\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda),|D|\right)
$$

equips the noncommutative 2-torus with a $Q C^{k}$-structure if $n \geq k+1$. However,

$$
\left(\ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c), \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \oplus \ell^{2}(\Lambda), D\right)
$$

also equips the noncommutative 2-torus with a $Q C^{k}$-structure if $n \geq k+1$. We saw that it defined a spectral triple in (4.12). That $a \in \ell_{\nu^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ is such that $a \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\operatorname{ad}^{k}(|D|)\right.$ for $n \geq k+1$ follows exactly as in the proof of (4.6). If we realize that $\partial_{1}(a)+i \partial_{2}(a) \in \ell_{\nu^{n-1}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ and $-\partial_{1}(a)+i \partial_{2}(a) \in \ell_{\nu^{n-1}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$, it also follows that $[D, a] \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\operatorname{ad}^{k}(|D|)\right)$ for $n \geq k+1$ by essentially the same argument as in the proof of (4.6), since we did that proof looking only at one component. Hence the twisted convolution algebra $\ell_{v^{n}}^{1}(\Lambda, c)$ becomes a suitable $*$-subalgebra to give the noncommutative 2 -torus a $Q C^{k}$-structure for $n \geq k+1$ both for the canonical spectral triple and for the spectral triple constructed by ways of (4.2).

By (4.4), we may then equip Heisenberg modules with $Q C^{k}$-structures by finding suitably regular multi-window Gabor frames. We illustrate this with some examples. Note, however, that there are very few functions $g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ for which the set
$\left\{\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \mid \Lambda\right.$ is a lattice and $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ is a frame for $\left.L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$
is known.
Example ( $Q C^{\infty}$-structures) Let $\Lambda=\alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z}$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\alpha, \beta>0$ and $\alpha \beta<1$. This yields a Heisenberg module $E_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ by the constructions above. A celebrated result in time-frequency analysis tells us that time-frequency shifts of the Gaussian $g(t)=2^{1 / 4} e^{-\pi t^{2}}$ determine a Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(g, \alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z})$ for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\alpha \beta<1$, see [30, 39]. If $S$ then denotes the frame operator with respect to $g, \mathcal{G}\left(S^{-1 / 2} g, \alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. By (2.6) it follows that if $g \in M_{\nu^{s}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}), s \in[0, \infty)$, so is $S^{-1 / 2} g$. But $g$ is a Schwartz function, hence it is in $\cap_{s \geq 0} M_{v^{s}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})=\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ [17, Proposition 11.3.1], where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}$. It follows that $\left\{S^{-1 / 2} g\right\}$ gives the Heisenberg module $E_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ a $Q C^{\infty}$-structure for all $\alpha \beta<1$.

Example ( $Q C^{k}$-structure) Let $g$ be a function in $M_{\nu^{k+1}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then by [17, p. 120] $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\Lambda=\alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z}$, as long as $\alpha, \beta>0$ are small enough. Let $S$ be the frame operator of $g$. Then as above it follows that $S^{-1 / 2} g \in M_{v^{k+1}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ also. As in the previous example it follows that $\left\{S^{-1 / 2} g\right\}$ then gives $E_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ a $Q C^{k}$ -structure.

For explicit examples of $Q C^{k}$-structures on Heisenberg modules that are not simultaneously $Q C^{\infty}$-structures one may use B-splines $B_{N}$, see [7, Section A.8, Section 11.7]. It is known that $\mathcal{G}\left(B_{N}, \Lambda\right)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ whenever $\Lambda=\alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is such that $\alpha \in(0, N)$ and $\beta \in(0,1 / N][7$, Corollary 11.7.1]. Values of $k$ for which a given $B_{N}$ gives a Heisenberg module a $Q C^{k}$-structure can be done via the Rihaczek distribution $R(g, g)(x, \omega)=g(x) \overline{\hat{g}}(\omega) e^{-2 \pi i x \omega}$.

For the following example, note that if $g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is so that $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, then $s(\Lambda) \leq 1$ [36]. For $\Lambda=\alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z}, \alpha, \beta>0$, $s(\Lambda)=\alpha \beta$. However, even for $s(\Lambda)>1$ we may construct Heisenberg modules. To obtain $Q C^{k}$-structures on such Heisenberg modules $E_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$, we need several generators.

Example (Multiple generators) Suppose $\mathcal{G}(g ; \Lambda)$ is a Gabor system for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose $s(\Lambda) \in[l-1, l)$, for some integer $l \geq 2$. Then there exist points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and a lattice $\Lambda_{0}$ such that $\Lambda=z_{1} \Lambda_{0} \cup \cdots \cup z_{n} \Lambda_{0}$ with $s\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)<1$, see the proof of [22, Corollary 5.6]. Hence if $\mathcal{G}\left(g, \Lambda_{0}\right)$ is a Gabor frame, then $\mathcal{G}\left(\pi\left(z_{1}\right) g, \ldots, \pi\left(z_{l}\right) g ; \Lambda\right)$ is a multi-window Gabor frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

In particular, let $g$ be the Gaussian and let $\alpha \beta$ be in $[l-1, l)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\mathcal{G}\left(\pi\left(z_{1}\right) g, \ldots, \pi\left(z_{l}\right) g ; \alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is a multiwindow Gabor frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence if $S$ is the multi-window frame operator for $\mathcal{G}\left(\pi\left(z_{1}\right) g, \ldots, \pi\left(z_{l}\right) g ; \alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z}\right)$, then $\left\{S^{-1 / 2} g_{1}, \ldots, S^{-1 / 2} g_{n}\right\}$ implements a $Q C^{\infty}$-structure on $E_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$.

### 4.6 Example: the noncommutative solenoid

Noncommutative solenoids have attracted some interest in the theory of operator algebras [26, 27] and time-frequency analysis [11]. Let us discuss how it fits into our framework. We denote the field of p -adic numbers by $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and by $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ its closed unit ball, the $p$-adic integers. Recall that $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is a self-dual locally compact group, see [35].

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ the mapping

$$
\psi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{Z}[1 / p] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}, \psi_{\alpha}(q)=(\alpha q, q)
$$

embeds $\mathbb{Z}[1 / p]$ as a lattice into $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and is self-dual, see e.g. [27]. Heisenberg modules over noncommutative solenoids have been linked with Gabor frames for lattices in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ in [11]. Noncommutative solenoids are the twisted group $C^{*}$-alge$\operatorname{bra} C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ of $\Lambda$,

$$
\Lambda=\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}[1 / p]) \times \psi_{\beta}(\mathbb{Z}[1 / p])=\{(\alpha q, q, \beta r, r): q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / p]\}, \alpha, \beta>0,
$$

see [26,27]. Note that $\Lambda$ is not finitely generated and hence $C^{*}(\Lambda, c)$ is not generated by finitely many unitaries as is the case of the noncommutative 2-torus.

For every $\omega=\left(\omega_{\infty}, \omega_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ we define the modulation operator by

$$
M_{\omega} f\left(t_{\infty}, t_{p}\right):=M_{\omega_{\infty}, \omega_{p}} f\left(t_{\infty}, t_{p}\right)=e^{2 \pi i\left(\omega_{\infty} t_{\infty}-\left\{\omega_{p} t_{p}\right\}_{p}\right)} f\left(t_{\infty}, t_{p}\right),
$$

for $\left(t_{\infty}, t_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. A Gabor system generated by a function $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ and the lattice

$$
\Lambda=\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}[1 / p]) \times \psi_{\beta}(\mathbb{Z}[1 / p])=\{(\alpha q, q, \beta r, r): q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / p]\}, \alpha, \beta>0
$$

is thus of the form

$$
\{\pi(\lambda) g\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}=\left\{\left(t_{\infty}, t_{p}\right) \mapsto e^{2 \pi i\left(\beta r t_{\infty}-\left\{r t_{p}\right\}_{p}\right)} g\left(t_{\infty}-\alpha q, t_{p}-q\right)\right\}_{q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / p]}
$$

Let us construct a spectral triple on noncommutative solenoids, which as far as we know has not been considered before in the literature. As Dirac operator, we take the one introduced in (4.2) to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for the lattice $\Lambda=\{(\alpha q, q, \beta r, r): q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / p]\}$

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(1+|x|^{2}+|\omega|^{2}+|q|^{2}+|r|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \\
\left(1+|x|^{2}+|\omega|^{2}+|q|^{2}+|r|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

One of the results in [11, Corollary 3.3] allows us to construct $Q C^{k}$ structures on the Heisenberg module $E_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}, \Lambda}$.

For the construction of smooth structures, we consider the weighted Feichtinger algebras $M_{v_{s}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, where the weight $v_{s}(x, \omega, q, r)=\left(1+|x|^{2}+\mid \omega\right.$ $\left.\left.\right|^{2}+|q|^{2}+|r|^{2}\right)^{s / 2}$ for $s \geq 0$. It follows from, e.g., [13, Theorem 7], that the functions in $M_{v_{s}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ are exactly those of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_{j}^{(\mathbb{R})} \otimes f_{j}^{\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)} \text { where } f_{j}^{(\mathbb{R})} \in M_{v_{s}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}), f_{j}^{\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)} \in M_{v_{s}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{j}^{(\mathbb{R})}\right\|_{M_{v_{s}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|f_{j}^{\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}\right\|_{M_{v_{s}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}<\infty$. The norm on $M_{v_{s}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is given by

$$
\|f\|_{M_{v_{s}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}=\inf \left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{j}^{(\mathbb{R})}\right\|_{M_{v_{s}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|f_{j}^{\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}\right\|_{M_{v_{s}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}\right\},
$$

where the functions $f,\left\{f_{j}^{(\mathbb{R})}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{f_{j}^{\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are related as in (4.4) and the infimum is taken over all possible representations of $f$ as in (4.4).

Theorem 4.14 For any $g^{(\mathbb{R})} \in \mathbf{S}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha, \beta>0$ the following statements are equivalent:
i) The function $g^{(\mathbb{R})}$ generates a Gabor frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the lattice $\alpha \mathbb{Z} \times \beta \mathbb{Z}$.
ii) For any prime number $p$ the function $g=g^{(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ generates a Gabor frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ with respect to the lattice

$$
\Lambda=\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}[1 / p]) \times \psi_{\beta}(\mathbb{Z}[1 / p])=\{(\alpha q, q, \beta r, r): q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / p]\}
$$

in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$.
Even though the lattice $\Lambda$ of (4.14) is not finitely generated, we can still use the developed machinery to describe $Q C^{k}$ structures on $E_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}, \Lambda}$, as alluded to in (4.9). Indeed, we have that $\left\{\pi(q, r) \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\right\}_{q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / p]}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ and if $g^{(\mathbb{R})}$ is the Gaussian function, then $g^{(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ generates a Gabor frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ if and only if $\alpha \beta<1$. Since $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ is in $M_{v_{k}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ for any $k \geq 0$, then $S^{-1 / 2} g^{(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ generates a Parseval frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ if and only if $\alpha \beta<1$. By the explicit description of $M_{v_{k}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ given in (4.4), we have that there exists a $Q C^{k}$ structure on $E_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}, \Lambda}$ for any $k \geq 0$. We could of course do similar constructions by for example choosing $g^{(\mathbb{R})}$ to be some other function from the examples of (4.5).

Thus the results for the noncommutative 2-torus may also be used to obtain $Q C^{k}$ -structures for the Heisenberg modules over noncommutative solenoids. Hence we have $Q C^{k}$-structures on the Heisenberg module $E_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}, \Lambda}$ which does not rely on any kind of derivations on the noncommutative solenoids and indicates the usefulness of modulation spaces in this context.
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