# Hydrothermal carbonization of olive wastes to produce renewable, binder-free pellets for use as metallurgical reducing agents

Gerrit Ralf Surup<sup>a</sup>, James J. Leahy<sup>b</sup>, Michael T Timko<sup>c</sup>, Anna Trubetskaya<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 7491, Trondheim, Norway <sup>b</sup>Bernal Center, University of Limerick, Castletroy, Ireland <sup>c</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 01609 Worcester, MA, USA

# Abstract

Torrefaction or hydrothermal carbonization processes were compared for conversion of olive pulp into metallurgical reducing agent. The dependence of yield,  $CO_2$  reactivity, and mechanical properties to reaction time and heat treatment temperature was investigated. Hydrochar yield increased with increasing residence time and the maximum solid yield was observed for a residence time of 15 h. On the other hand,  $CO_2$  reactivity slightly decreased with increasing heat treatment temperature at a residence time of 2 h. Notably, the  $CO_2$  reactivity of hydrochar was less than that of olive pulp char produced by torrefaction, approximating that of carbon-based reducing agents derived from non-renewable resources. An additional heat treatment improved hydrochar pellet durability to greater than 95%, whereas stable torrefied char pellets could not be produced under any set of conditions. Hydrothermal

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author email: anna.trubetskaya@ul.ie

carbonization is superior to torrefaction for production of renewable reducing agents with reactivity and mechanical properties comparable to those afforded by reducing agents from non-renewable sources.

*Keywords:* olive pulp, hydrothermal carbonization, mechanical durability, CO<sub>2</sub> reactivity, electrical conductivity

## 1 1. Introduction

Metallurgical conversion of oxides to their base metals is responsible for 2 about 10% of all CO<sub>2</sub> emissions worldwide [1, 2]. Similar to replacement of 3 petroleum with biofuels, replacement of fossil fuel-derived reducing agents 4 with renewable versions derived from waste biomass can reduce metal pro-5 cessing emission. In addition to  $CO_2$  emissions reductions, replacing fossil-6 based coke with biochar can improve off-gas quality by decreasing the  $SO_x$ 7 and  $NO_x$  emissions from the steel and ferroalloy industries [3–9]. Current 8 metallurgical production relies on fossil-based materials because the use of 9 biomass-ore pellets in the reduction process can increase the overall power 10 consumption by 72-152 kWh per tonne of FeMn, thereby increasing process-11 ing costs [10]. However, optimizing biochar's material properties to improve 12 its electrical conductivity and retain acceptable reactivity might reduce total 13 production cost [11]. The challenge then is identification of low cost biomass 14 sources and energy and cost efficient methods for converting these biomass 15 sources into effective reducing agents [12]. 16

<sup>17</sup> Regional differences in biomass availability [12] translate into differences <sup>18</sup> in metallurgical practice. Ireland is one of the least forested countries in <sup>19</sup> Europe with less than 10.5% of its land occupied by forest ( $\approx 697,600$  ha), and accordingly Ireland relies on fossil derived materials for metallurgical
purposes. In comparison, Sweden and Norway are heavily forested and rely
on woodchips and pelletized wood for energy and metallurgical purposes [13,
14].

Making more widespread use of biomass-derived reducing agents is re-24 quired so that the emissions reduction benefits can be spread to regions 25 that lack natural forestry resources. Limiting agricultural and food waste 26 has become a major concern throughout the world; within the EU, approxi-27 mately 700 million tons of agricultural wastes are generated annually, which 28 is expected to increase by 70% by 2025 [15, 16]. In particular, agricultural 29 residues generated in the Mediterranean region represent a major biomass 30 source, especially from production of olive oil. In fact, the Mediterranean 31 countries are responsible for over 98% of worldwide oil production, and a 32 similar percentage of the associated waste [17]. 33

The most important properties of carbonaceous reductant are low cost, 34 low reactivity, and low levels of impurities [18]. Low cost can be achieved by 35 use of low-cost feeds (such as olive waste) and efficient processes to convert 36 these wastes into usable forms. Low ash content is an important property 37 because each additional percent of ash can increase slag volume by about 38 10-15 kg  $t^{-1}$  of ferroalloy, thereby increasing the electric power required for 30 smelting [19]. Unfortunately, most biomass feeds cannot meet these strin-40 gent requirements, which necessitates identification of effective processes for 41 upgrading inexpensive feeds into a form that can be useful for metallurgy. 42 Hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) and pyrolytic torrefaction have promise 43 for converting raw biomass into useful forms at modest temperatures (<

 $250^{\circ}$ C). In fact, both of these processes show potential for producing carbon-45 rich solids for hydrogen storage, electrochemical energy storage, water purifi-46 cation, or use in the gasification and metallurgical industries [20–23]. HTC 47 is carried out in a hot liquid water in the temperature range 180 to 250°C 48 at solids loading ranging from 7 to 25%, and reaction time ranging from a 49 few minutes to several hours [24]. Torrefaction is a mild pyrolysis process 50 that converts biomass into a more carbon-rich material with increased en-51 ergy density and decreased oxygen content. Consistent with current usage, 52 the product of HTC can be termed "hydrochar", while the product of tor-53 refaction can be termed "biochar" [23, 25]. "Char" will be used as a general 54 term that encompasses both hydrochar and biochar. Previous work suggests 55 that hydrothermal carbonization produces a material with superior metallur-56 gical properties compared with torrefaction biochar [26]. Specifically, HTC 57 removes a significant fraction of undesired inorganic elements such as Na and 58 K that would otherwise contribute to slag [27]. Previous studies showed that 50 the alkali content can be further reduced by increasing the heat treatment 60 temperature or by washing the hydrochar with the deionized water after 61 the pretreatment [28-34]. The use of a reductant with low alkali content 62 can decrease the reactivity and thus, reduce the maintenance costs due to 63 the increased electrical conductivity [35, 36]. In opposite, the ash content of 64 feedstock is known to change only slightly during torrefaction in the distri-65 bution of calcium, magnesium, and manganese, with some change in water 66 soluble potassium [37–41]. Therefore, based on the literature alone the fate 67 of interaction of alkali metals with the carbonaceous matrix of hydrochar and 68 biochar during ferroalloy reduction is not clear. The mechanical properties 69

of chars are also important for many applications, including metallurgical 70 ones. Torrefied biomass particles are loose and nonuniform due to decreased 71 hemicellulose content [42]. In comparison, hydrochar has superior mechanical 72 strength and pelletability compared with torrefaction biochar [27, 43, 44]. Be-73 side the complexity of structure-property relationship, the use of bioductants 74 is hindered by the price of feedstock and hydrochar yield [45, 46]. Sensitivity 75 analysis indicates that this breakeven selling price could be as low as 106 US 76 dollars per ton, depending on the capacity of the plant [47]. Feedstock costs 77 and char yield influence this estimate [25, 47]. Pretreatment of raw feed-78 stock, e.g. supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction, has potential to improve properties 79 without negatively impacting char yield [48, 49]. 80

No general theory can currently predict which of these two methods, 81 HTC or torrefaction, is most suitable for converting a given feedstock into 82 a metallurgical reducing agent. Literature data is scarce that describes the 83 effect of residence time and temperature on resulting hydrochar or biochar 84 properties that impact metallurgical applications, adding uncertainty to the 85 use of hydrochar or biochar as a reducing agent. A particular challenge is 86 tuning conditions to maximize yield while producing a solid with acceptable 87 reactivity, conductivity, and mechanical properties. In this study, the impact 88 of heat treatment temperature, residence time on char yield and properties 89 obtained by of the HTC carbonization and torrefaction of olive waste was 90 investigated. The specific objectives of this study were to: (1) compare the 91 vields obtained from the HTC and torrefaction processes, (2) understand the 92 influence of heat treatment temperature and residence time on the char prop-93 erties and (3) develop structure-property relationships governing the  $CO_2$ 94

<sup>95</sup> reactivity and electrical resistance of pellets made from hydrochar.

#### <sup>96</sup> 2. Materials and Methods

Olive pulp from Tunisia was obtained as a feedstock for this study. Fuel 97 selection was based on its high bulk density and abundance. Hydrochar 98 samples were generated by placing olive pulp in a closed stirred batch reactor gc heated to a temperature in the range from 190 to 250°C with a residence 100 time of 2, 6 or 15 h. Torrefaction was performed in a thermogravimetric 101 analyzer. Pellets were made by pressing the olive pulp char without binder. 102 Char powder and pellet properties were evaluated using scanning electron 103 microscopy, thermogravimetric analyzer, Bunsen burner, high-temperature 104 dielectric four-probe system, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, and 105 bomb calorimetry. Error bars represent standard deviation from the mean of 106 the series of measurements. All measurements were conducted in triplicate 107 to establish sufficient reproducibility within < 2%. 108

## 109 2.1. Hydrothermal carbonization

HTC experiments were performed in a stirred batch reactor (1 L Series 110 4520 bench top reactor, Parr Instrument Company, USA) equipped with 111 an external resistance heater and internal sensors for pressure and tempera-112 ture measurement. The pressurized vessel (inner diameter: 100 mm, height: 113 135 mm) was made of stainless steel. A safety pressure of 62 bar was set using 114 a burst disc. The pressure and temperature were recorded continuously at 1 s 115 intervals. Before each experiment, 120 g of olive pulp and 380 g of deionized 116 water were placed in the reactor and the reactor was sealed. The sample was 117

heated to a final temperature ranging from 190 to 250°C at a heating rate of 5°C min<sup>-1</sup> and kept at the final temperature for reaction times varying between 2 and 15 h, depending on temperature. The sample was homogenized using an anchor-shaped agitator at a constant speed of 90 rpm during the experiment.

After reaching the desired reaction time, the external heater was removed and replaced with a water reservoir to cool to less than 100°C. When the temperature fell below 50°C, the stirrer was turned off and the gas was released into a gas sampling bag.  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  gas concentrations were analyzed using a SSM6000 biogas analyzer (Pronova, Germany). The slurry was filtered and the solid residue was dried 113 at 105°C. The dried samples were stored in sealed plastic containers.

## 130 2.2. Torrefaction

Torrefaction of olive pulp was performed in a thermogravimetric instru-131 ment TGA/DSC 1 STARe System (Mettler Toledo, USA). For each exper-132 iment, 50 mg of crushed olive pulp sample were loaded into a  $150 \,\mu l \, Al_2O_3$ 133 crucible. The sample was heated at  $25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  min<sup>-1</sup> to a pre-determined final 134 temperature and kept at that temperature for 2, 6 or 15 hours, similar to 135 the reaction times examined for hydrothermal carbonization. The system 136 was continuously purged with nitrogen at a defined flow rate of  $100 \,\mathrm{ml} \,\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ 137 until the heating program was finished. The sample was then cooled to room 138 temperature and stored in a sealed sample container. 139

#### 140 2.3. Bunsen burner

The swelling index of hydrochar samples was analyzed using a Bunsen 141 burner following a well known procedure [50]. For these tests, 1 g of crushed 142 olive pulp hydrochar was weighed into a quartz glass crucible, closed with a 143 quartz lid, and heated to 820°C within 150s using a Bunsen burner with an 144 inner diameter of 100 mm. After the sample was cooled to room tempera-145 ture, the shape of the sample surface was compared to standard profiles and 146 assigned to a number between 0 and 9. Each experiment was carried out in 147 duplicate. 148

#### 149 2.4. Char characterization

Carbon content analysis. The carbon content of the liquid sample obtained
after HTC was analyzed using a TOC Analyzer 5050A (Shimadzu Scientific
Instruments, USA).

*Elemental analysis.* Elemental analysis was performed on feed materials and
char products using an Analyzer Series II (Perkin Elmer, USA). Acetanilide
was used as a reference standard. The ash content was determined using a
standard ash test at 550°C, according to the procedure described in DIN EN
14775.

Swelling index. The swelling index of hydrochars was investigated following
the procedure described in DIN EN 51741.

Calorific value. The calorific value was determined by bomb calorimetry
 C200 (IKA, Germany), according to the procedure described in ISO 1928.

Scanning electron microscopy. SEM analysis of char samples was conducted
using a high-resolution field emission microscope JSM-7800F (JEOL, Japan).
Prior to analysis, char samples were coated with a thin layer of gold (2 min,
20 mA) using an Edwards S150B Sputter Coater to avoid sample charging.

Thermogravimetric analysis. The reactivity of low temperature char and hy-166 drochar was analyzed by exposing samples to a reactive gas consisting of 167 either  $CO_2$  or air in a thermogravimetric instrument TGA/DSC 1 System. 168 For each experiment, 5 mg of milled sample were loaded into an alumina cru-169 cible. The samples were heated at a constant heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> to 170 110°C and kept for 15 min for drying. The dried sample was subsequently 171 heated to  $1100^{\circ}$ C at a constant heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, the 172 thermal properties of hydrochar samples was investigated under inert gas 173 consisting entirely of Ar. 174

*Pellet press.* Prior to pelletization, 1.2 g of hydrochar was homogenized with 1g of water as a binding agent. A compact hot pellet press (MLI, USA) was used for pelletization. The hot pellet press consisted of a metal cylinder with a press channel and a backstop. The pellet diameter was 6.5 mm, formed by application of 1 kN. Three separate pellets were pressed for each hydrochar.

Mechanical durability. The mechanical durability of hydrocharpellets was investigated using an ISO tumbler 1000+ (Bioenergy, Austria) in accordance with ISO 17831-1. Single pellets were rotated for 10 min at 50 rpm and the pellet recovered and separated from lose powder formed during tumbling. The mechanical durability was estimated as the ratio of final pellet mass to its initial value. <sup>186</sup> *FTIR Spectroscopy.* The hydrochar samples were analyzed using a Cary 630 <sup>187</sup> FTIR spectrometer (Agilent, USA) equipped with an attenuated total re-<sup>188</sup> flectance (ATR) attachment. All absorption spectra were obtained in the <sup>189</sup> 4000-600 cm<sup>-1</sup> range by 100 scans at  $4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  resolution. For background, <sup>190</sup> 200 scans were acquired. All samples were measured in triplicate and aver-<sup>191</sup> age spectra are provided here.

*Electrical resistivity.* Electrical conductivity measurements were performed using a 34470A 7 1/2 Digit Multimeter (Keysight Technologies, USA). The cell geometry was the same as recommended by Sun et al. [51] and the analysis method was that recommended by van der Pauw et al. [52]. The electrical conductivity was determined according to equation 1:

$$\sigma = \frac{L}{R \cdot A} \tag{1}$$

In equation 1,  $\sigma$  is the electrical conductivity, A is the cross-sectional area the sample, L is the length of the resistor, and R is the known resistance between the Ti-Au electrodes.

# <sup>200</sup> 3. Results and discussion

# 201 3.1. Original feedstock characterization

Table 1 provides compositional data obtained from analysis of the olive pulp feed. As expected, olive pulp consists primarily of carbon and oxygen, with a HHV similar to other biomass types [53]. The ash content of olive pulp was less than that of olive stones, whereas olive pulp contained more calcium than olive stones [25].

|            | Proximate analysis                                     |             |               |     | Ultimate analysis |     |     |              |                   | HHV  |
|------------|--|-------------|---------------|-----|-------------------|-----|-----|--------------|-------------------|------|
| Biomass    | MC   | VM          | $\mathbf{FC}$ | ash | $\mathbf{C}$      | Η   | Ν   | $\mathbf{S}$ | Ο                 |      |
|            | %, ar  | %, ar %, db |               |     | %, db             |     |     |              | ${ m MJ~kg^{-1}}$ |      |
| Olive pulp | 10.0   | 72.0        | 24.2          | 3.8 | 44.6              | 6.1 | 1.1 | 0.1          | 44.3              | 16.7 |
|            | Ash compositional analysis (mg $kg^{-1}$ on dry basis) |             |               |     |                   |     |     |              |                   |      |
|            | Cl   | Al          | Ca            | Fe  | Κ                 | Mg  | Na  | Р            | Si                | Ti   |
|            | 0.01   | 100         | 1650          | 70  | 1600              | 150 | 300 | 100          | 1800              | 10   |

Table 1: Proximate, ultimate and ash analyses of olive pulp.

## 207 3.2. Product yields

Figure 1 provides product yields obtained from the HTC of olive pulp 208 as solids (char), liquids (both aqueous and organic fractions), and major 209 gases. The liquid yield was measured by total organic carbon (TOC) anal-210 ysis, whereas the gas yield was determined using the total gas volume and 211 concentrations of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$ . The hydrochar yield decreased from 61 to 212 35% when temperature was increased over the range from 190 to  $250^{\circ}$ C and 213 residence time at a constant reaction time of 6 h, consistent with previous 214 observations [54]. 215

Hydrochar yields of 65.3, 60.4 and 51.6% were measured at 200, 220 and 240°C with 2 h residence time, similar to yields reported for HTC of olive pomace [55]. Hydrochar yields obtained after 30 min residence time were 10% greater than those obtined at 2 h, emphasizing the effect of residence time on the hydrochar yield for olive pulp [43, 55]. In contrast, hydrochar yields obtained from corn-cob are nearly insensitive to residence time, stressing the importance of feedstock properties on the conditions which optimize



<sup>223</sup> hydrochar yield [56].

Figure 1: Yields of hydrochar, liquid and gaseous products.

Increasing the residence time to 15 h increased hydrochar yield by about 224  $8\,\%,$  an observation attributable to formation of secondary char by poly-225 merization reactions of small molecules in the liquid phase and reconden-226 sation into the char phase [38]. Secondary char forming reactions from dis-227 solved organic material have been reported to increase hydrochar yield by 228 5-10% [43, 57–59]. Secondary char forming reactions produce a sphere-like 229 structure with overlapping layers and greater carbon content than the pri-230 mary char [43]. Increasing HTC temperature first increases hemicellulose 231 solubility and hydrolysis rates, then promotes structural changes in cellulose 232

and lignin, finally results in a lower hydrochar yield, consistent with previous
results reported by Yang et al. [60].

#### 235 3.3. Proximate analysis

Figure 2 shows results from the proximate analysis of hydrochar sam-236 ples. The fixed carbon content increased from 32 to 43% over the temper-237 ature range from 200 to 240°C at 2 h residence time. Similarly, increasing 238 the reaction time increased the fixed carbon content, presumably the result 239 of decarboxylation reactions that occurred during treatment and possibly 240 re-combination reactions which result in a solid with greater fixed carbon 241 than either the feed or the primary char. The fixed carbon content pro-242 duced after 15 h HTC treatment was nearly twice that reported values for 243  $5 \min$  HTC treatment and up to 20% greater compared with HTC treat-244 ment for  $30 \min[43, 61]$ . The ash content of olive pulp hydrochar remained 245 nearly constant with treatment conditions, indicating that even the short-246 est, mildest HTC treatment achieved the same solubilization of minerals as 247 longer, more intense treatments. In contrast, previous studies reported that 248 HTC significantly decreases ash content and residual alkali metals contained 240 with hydrochar, leading to increased reactivity of hydrochar product [54, 55]. 250 This suggests that the mineral content of olive pulp may be more thermally 251 stable and water insoluble than other biomass types. 252



Figure 2: Proximate analysis of hydrochar samples.

# 253 3.4. Elemental analysis

Figure 3 shows a van Krevelen plot of the compositions of olive pulp 254 and hydrochar samples. The original composition data are summarized in 255 the supplemental material (Table-S1). Figure 3 shows that the hydrogen and 256 oxygen content decreased with increasing HTC temperature, the result of 257 both dehydration and decarboxylation reactions. For example, for a constant 258 2 h HTC treatment time, the carbon content increased from 57.7 to 67.7%259 over the temperature range 200 to 240 °C, consistent with previous results 260 reported by Volpe and Fiori [43]. 261



Figure 3: Van Krevelen diagram of hydrochar samples.

# 262 3.5. Calorific value

Figure 4 shows the heating values measured for hydrochar samples. As 263 expected from Figure 3, the heating value increased with increasing heat 264 treatment temperature and increasing residence time. The heating value of 265 olive waste pulp was increased from 16.7 to  $29.5 \,\mathrm{MJ \ kg^{-1}}$  for the hydrochar 266 prepared at 250°C. This value is similar to the heating value of hydrochar 267 generated from wood and straw and approaches that of hard coal [43, 62, 63]. 268 Feedstock selection apparently has only a minor effect on the heating value of 269 hydrochar compared with the significant effect of heat treatment temperature 270 and residence time. 271



Figure 4: Calorific values of hydrochar samples.

# 272 3.6. Reactivity

Figure 5 shows differential weight loss curves (DTG) obtained by heat-273 ing olive pulp, hydrochar, and biochar in argon or  $CO_2$  environments. More 274 information on the biochar is provided in Figure S-2-S-3. Thermal decompo-275 sition of olive pulp occurred over the temperature range from 200 to 600°C 276 with a peak temperature of 320°C. In contrast, initial mass loss of hydrochar 277 samples occurred at 150°C, with a peak temperature of 335°C. The initial 278 mass loss of hydrochar samples is attributed to volatilization of hydrocarbons 279 which are not directly incorporated in the solid matrix. 280



Figure 5: DTG curves of olive pulp prepared at 190, 220 and 240°C using hydrothermal carbonization and torrefaction using 100% argon and 100% volume fraction  $CO_2$  gasification: (a)-(b) 2 h, (c)-(d) 6 h and (e)-(f) 15 h.

The peak temperature of biochar increased from 845 to 870°C when 281 the heat treatment temperature of char was increased from 200 to  $240^{\circ}$ C. 282 Likewise, the peak temperature of hydrochar samples increased from 900 to 283 965°C after 2 h HTC treatment and was about 100°C greater than for the low 284 temperature reacted char. However, the torrefaction time used to produce 285 biochar did not have a strong influence on the  $CO_2$  reactivity. Thus, the  $CO_2$ 286 reactivity of hydrochar is similar to wood charcoal obtained from pyrolysis 287 at temperatures above  $900^{\circ}C[64, 65]$ . 288

289 3.7. Surface structure

The microstructure of olive pulp and hydrochar produced at 200 and 290 240°C is shown in Figure 6. The olive pulp feed exhibited a surface structure 291 with different grain sizes (Figure 6(a)) and olive shape particles with diameter 292 in the range from 5 to  $10 \,\mu \text{m}$  (Figure 6(b)). The olive shape microparticles 293 disappeared after HTC, presumably revealing the underlying surface. The 294 underlying biomass is referred to as hard biomass, whereas soft biomass is 295 formed by sequential liquefaction and re-condensation [66]. HTC at 240°C 296 produced a material with smooth surfaces (Figure 6(f)) consistent with ex-297 traction of Klason lignin from the biomass followed by to recombination 298 reactions between lignin and holocellulose [67, 68]. Hydrochar obtained at 290 less than 230°C is similar to its biomass feed, whereas its surface is typically 300 smooth when prepared at 270°C [69]. Here, olive waste hydrochar presents 301 smooth surfaces even when prepared at  $240^{\circ}$ C (6 h). Formation of smooth 302 surfaces was enhanced by increasing HTC temperature and increasing the 303 reaction time, again consistent with trends expected from redeposition of 304 condensation products on the surface [66]. 305



6(a): Olive pulp





6(c): Hydrochar 200°C, 2h

6(d): Hydrochar 200°C, 15<br/>h



6(e): Hydrochar 240°C, 2h

6(f): Hydrochar 240°C, 15<br/>h

Figure 6: SEM image of (a)-(b) untreated olive pulp, and hydrochar produced at (c) 200°C, 2 h; (d) 200°C, 15 h; (e) 240°C, 2 h and (f) 240°C, 15 h.

306 3.8. FTIR

FTIR analysis was conducted to investigate the changes in main func-307 tional groups present in the hydrochar. Figure 7(a) provides olive pulp hy-308 drochar spectra; spectral assignments are summarized in the supplemental 309 material (Table S-2). The bands located between 3100 and 3700 cm<sup>-1</sup> are as-310 sociated with O-H vibration in hydroxyl or carboxyl groups which were found 311 both in the original feedstock and hydrochar samples [70–73]. Strong vibra-312 tion bands at 2855 and 2921  $\rm cm^{-1}$  originate with the aliphatic C-H stretching 313 vibrations [59]. Differences between the untreated olive pulp and hydrochar 314 mainly appeared in the spectral range 1020 to  $1850 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ . The major bands 315 associated with the aromatic stretching and C=O stretch bands for all hy-316 drochar samples were located at 1591 and  $1700 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  [59, 71, 73]. The band 317 at  $1636 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  was present only in the hydrochar samples. The bands at 1442 318 and  $1510 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  were more intense in the hydrochar in the original olive pulp. 319 indicating an increased aromaticity and possibly furanic content during the 320 HTC treatment [59, 73]. Strong aromatic absorption bands of hydrochar 321 samples indicated decomposition of olive pulp and re-polymerization of tar 322 compounds [59]. IR analysis indicates that hydrochar is more aromatic than 323 the original olive pulp, consistent with elemental composition data shown in 324 Figure 3. Similarly, aromaticity increased in all hydrochar samples with the 325 increasing heat treatment temperature. 326



7(b): Residence time

Figure 7: Experimental IR spectra of (a) raw olive pulp and hydrochar produced at 190, 220 and 250°C with a residence time of 6 h; (b) olive pulp hydrochar generated at 250°C with a residence time of 6, 15 and 60 h. 21

The FTIR spectra of hydrochar produced at 250°C with residence times 327 of 6, 15 and 60 h is shown in Figure 7(b). The bands located at 800 to 328  $1800 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  were present in all samples, but with different intensities. Hy-329 drochar samples generated at 220 and 250°C showed similar band spectra, 330 indicating that the main structural changes occur at temperatures less than 331 220°C. The bands at 1021 and  $1099 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  originate from C-O stretching vi-332 bration of hydrochar samples, whereas bands between 927 and  $1127 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  are 333 assigned to C-O stretching vibration in cellulose and hemicellulose [74, 75]. 334 The bands at 1206 and  $1260 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  were observed only for hydrochar samples 335 produced at 220 and 250°C. This is probably due to the decomposition of 336 hemicellulose at  $220^{\circ}$ C after 15 min hydrothermal treatment [24, 27]. The 337 presence of the band and at  $1206 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  indicates increased ether content af-338 ter HTC at 220 and 250°C. The C-O-C stretch intensity increased with the 339 longer residence time, indicating the importance of etherification and/or es-340 terification reactions during tar polymerization to form hydrochar. 341

# 342 3.9. Swelling index

Swelling properties are important for carbon reductants. The free swelling 343 index (FSI) was 1.0-1.5 for all hydrochar samples, consistent with weak cak-344 ing properties. Hydrochar samples produced in the temperature range 220 to 345 240°C exhibited a FSI of 1.5, whereas the FSI of all other hydrochar samples 346 was 1.0. A swelling index of at least 2.5 is typically required for reduc-347 tants [76], indicating that this property may need to be improved before the 348 olive waste char is suitable for the ferroalloy industries [4]. However, previous 349 results showed that gentle coking can improve mechanical properties with an 350 additional heat treatment of densified material [77]. 351

## 352 3.10. Mechanical properties

Hydrochar powder was pressed into pellets for mechanical testing. Pel-353 letizing can increase the bulk density and particle size to enable the use of 354 hydrochar as a renewable reducing agent in ferroalloy industries. Hydrochar 355 produced at 230°C was chosen as a feedstock for pelletization due to its low 356 ash and moisture content, high electrical resistance and improved grindability 357 which are comparable with the requirements of ferroalloy industry [78]. Heat 358 treatment of the pellets can further improve their properties, so hydrochar 359 pellets were thermally treated in the range from 250 to 1100°C. 360



Figure 8: Pellet yield and mechanical durability after heat treatment of hydrochar produced at  $230^{\circ}$ C with 6 h residence time.

The yield and mechanical durability of olive pulp hydrochar pellets after 361 heat treatment are shown in Figure 8. Additional heat treatment and longer 362 residence time during hydrothermal carbonization can result in an increased 363 pellet yield, as summarized in Table 2. Pellets from hydrochar prepared at 364 200, 220, 230 and 240°C were mechanically stable, confirming the previous 365 results [27, 61, 78]. However, the dried hydrochar pellets without an addi-366 tional binder were less stable than pellets formed with the addition of water. 367 Previous studies showed that the durability of high quality pellets is required 368 to be > 97.5 % [79]. The additional heat treatment improved the agglomera-369 tion of the hydrochar particles, increasing the durability of hydrochar pellets 370 to > 95 % at temperatures above 300°C. A maximum durability of 98.5 %371 was measured during the heat treatment of hydrochar at 450°C showing sim-372 ilar properties to charcoal pellets with the pre-mixed biooil binder [64, 77]. 373 Thus, secondary heat treatment improves the mechanical properties of olive 374 waste hydrochar pellets, making them comparable to those observed for re-375 ducing agents obtained from non-renewable resources. Further optimization 376 has promise to produce superior materials from this renewable waste feed-377 stock. 378

| Heat treatment temperature | Residence time  | Density               | Electrical resistivity | Pellet residue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| °C                         | $h^{-1}$        | ${ m kg}~{ m m}^{-3}$ | $m\Omega m$            | wt. $\%$ , db  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After compression          |                 |                       |                        |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200                        | 2               | 0.94                  | $820 \pm 200$          |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 6               | 0.98                  | $560 \pm 150$          |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 15              | 0.99                  | $620 \pm 150$          |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 220                        | 2               | 0.97                  | $680 \pm 150$          |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 6               | 0.97                  | $580 \pm 150$          |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 15              | 0.98                  | $480 {\pm} 100$        |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240                        | 2               | 1.03                  | $600 \pm 200$          |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 6               | 0.98                  | $410 \pm 100$          |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 15              | 0.98                  | $200\pm50$             |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | After heat trea | tment at 11           | 100°C                  |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200                        | 2               | 0.83                  | $8 \pm 3$              | 34.8           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 6               | 0.90                  | $20 \pm 5$             | 38.8           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 15              | 0.86                  | $12 \pm 3$             | 43.9           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 220                        | 2               | 0.98                  | $30\pm7$               | 37.0           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 6               | 0.97                  | $13 \pm 4$             | 44.7           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 15              | 0.93                  | $13 \pm 5$             | 49.3           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240                        | 2               | 1.02                  | $6\pm 2$               | 46.1           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 6               | 0.92                  | $12\pm3$               | 48.5           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | 15              | 0.93                  | $11\pm3$               | 51.1           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: Electrical resisitivity of hydrocher pellets after compressed and after heat treatment.

#### 379 3.11. Electrical properties

Electrical resistivity is a critical parameter for carbon reducing agents. 380 Accordingly, the electrical resistivity of hydrocar was measured, with the re-381 sults summarized in Table 2. The electrical resistivity of hydrochar pellets 382 decreased with increasing heat treatment temperature and residence time, 383 consistent with the aforementioned compositional and structural changes 384 that occur during HTC. In addition, the electrical resistivity decreased after 385 drying, resulting in improved electrical properties which were similar to these 386 of an insulator. The electrical resistivity of heat treated hydrochar pellets 387 was in the range 35 to 50 m $\Omega$ m, similar to that observed for charcoal particles 388 and approaching that of metallurgical coke ( $\approx 0.01 \,\mathrm{m\Omega m}$ ) [80]. As with me-389

chanical properties, thermal treatment of the raw hydrochar improves their
 electrical properties.

## 392 4. Discussion

Figures 1-8 establish that waste biomass has the potential to produce 393 high-quality pelletized bioreductants for the ferroalloy industry. An econom-394 ical process to produce renewable reducing agents will require simultaneous 395 optimization of yield and properties. Unfortunately, the relationships be-396 tween HTC conditions and hydrochar yield and properties were complex, 397 making rationale optimization difficult. To guide future efforts, the data 398 provided in Figures 1-8 were re-analyzed to develop structure-property rela-399 tionships that can be used to guide future optimizations of the process. 400

Several examples of useful structure-property relationship are shown in 401 Figure 9 and the supplemental material (Figure S-5). Increasing the HTC 402 temperature and residence time decreased the electrical resistivity and in-403 crease in calorific value with the increasing carbon and decreasing oxygen 404 content. The heating values of hydrochar were in the range of 24 to 30 MJ 405  $kg^{-1}$  which are similar to those of sub-bituminous coal (24 MJ  $kg^{-1}$ ) used for 406 heat and power generation [81, 82]. Interestingly, the higher heating value 407 of hydrothermally treated olive pulp was similar to that of torrefied olive 408 stones (28.8 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>) at 300°C in a rotary slow pyrolysis reactor [53]. Also, 409 longer torrefaction times and greater heat treatment temperatures led to the 410 improvement of higher heating value of olive stones leading to higher carbon 411 and lower oxygen content. 412



9(c): Pellet yield and mechanical durability

9(d): Reactivity

Figure 9: Correlations of electrical resistivity, higher heating value, pellet yield, mechanical durability, maximum reaction rate and temperature of maximum reaction rate over carbon or oxygen content of hydrochar from olive pulp treatment produced at 190, 220 and 250°C with a residence time of 6 h, olive pulp hydrochar generated at 250°C with a residence time of 6, 15 and 60 h and olive pulp hydrochar prepared at 250°C with a residence time of 6 h further reacted in the range from 300 to 1100°C in a high-temperature furnace.

<sup>413</sup> The electrical resistivity of hydrochars decreased from 800 to 200 m $\Omega$ m <sup>414</sup> with the increased temperatures and longer residence times. This is due to <sup>415</sup> the decarboxylation reactions leading to the formation of more defects in a

molecular structure of hydrochar, confirming the previous results of Hoff-416 mann et al. [83]. Interestingly, the mechanical strength of pellets made from 417 hydrochar decreases slightly from 98 to 92%, as shown in Figure 9(c). The 418 small differences in mechanical durability of pellets made from hydrochar 419 were related to the small changes in a pellet density. About 50% of the 420 used water was released during pelletizing, and most of the remaining wa-421 ter was evaporated during storage under atmospheric conditions. The water 422 content of hydrochar pellets after storage in open air containers was approx-423 imately 4 wt.%, leading to the improvement of char hydrophobicity due to 424 the removal of -OH groups [61]. The density of hydrochar pellet was  $800 \, \text{kg}$ 425  $m^{-3}$ , and decreased to 760 kg  $m^{-3}$  after additional heat treatment at 550°C 426 due to the removal of volatile components and further decomposition of or-427 ganic matrix [64]. Thus, hydrochar pellets showed a density that is similar 428 to that of spruce charcoal pellets [77]. Interestingly, the density of hydrochar 429 pellets produced from loblolly pine was approximately 50% greater than 430 that of hydrochar pellets made from olive pulp, indicating the importance of 431 feedstock selection [27, 61]. The density can be increased with pelletization 432 with increased compression force, resulting in improved durability and ten-433 sile strength [61, 77]. A compression force of 5 kN resulted in an increased 434 pellet density of approximately  $980 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ , suggesting the promise of this 435 method. Pellets obtained from hydrochar prepared at 200 and 250°C showed 436 a negligible swelling (1%), whereas the remaining water evaporated and an 437 additional decomposition of the hydrochar occurred [84]. The hydrochar pel-438 lets shrunk when treated at temperatures greater than used for hydrothermal 439 carbonization resulting in an isotropic shrinkage to about 80% of its orig-440

inal size at 600°C. The shrinkage of hydrochar pellets from olive pulp was 441 similar to that of pellets made from wood and wheat straw, indicating a mi-442 nor effect of feedstock on the particle shrinkage [84]. The maximum reaction 443 rate of reacted hydrochar samples increased with the higher carbon content, 444 indicating the formation of aromatic and semi-aromatic rings. Crystalline 445 cellulose was nearly completely converted to solid char, whereas the remain-446 ing lignin shields the hydrochar and reduces its  $CO_2$  gasification reactivity. 447 The heat treatment temperature and residence time both had an equal influ-448 ence on the hydrochar aromatization leading to a similar maximum reaction 449 rate when the carbon content was greater than 60%. 450

The results of the present work clearly show that the hydrochar prop-451 erties such electrical resistivity, higher calorific value, durability and reac-452 tivity approach the physicochemical properties of metallurgical coke. The 453 major challenge with the use of hydrochar pellets is related to the remain-454 ing high oxygen content. Ferroalloy industries require a minimum fixed 455 carbon content of 90 to 95%, whereas charcoal produced at temperatures 456 between 450 and 550°C obtained fixed carbon content < 85% [85–87]. Fur-457 ther heat treatment of hydrochar pellets could potentially reduce the oxygen 458 content, enhancing the hydrochar carbon content, as reported in previous 459 studies [88–91]. Mechanochemical treatment may have promise for similar 460 reasons [92]. Additional heat treatment of hydrochar pellets increases the 461 mechanical durability of hydrochar pellets to 99.5% and density of hydrochar 462 to  $980 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ . The high temperature charcoal showed mechanical durabil-463 ity of less than 95% and with the density of less than  $600 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  [64, 65]. 464 High mechanical strength and high density of reductants are desired during 465

transportation and storage of pellets indicating potential of hydrochar use in ferroalloy industries. Overall, the mechanical durability of pellets from hydrotreated olive pulp is greater than that of torrefied olive stones [25]. Therefore, the pretreatment of olive pulp under the suggested hydrotreatment conditions might be more suitable for the production of reductants for the ferroalloy industries than torrefaction.

## 472 5. Conclusion

Torrefation and hydrothermal carbonization were investigated for con-473 version of olive pulp waste to a biorenewable reductant. Fixed carbon yield 474 depends on both heat treatment temperature and residence time in hy-475 drothermal carbonization. Thermo-gravimetric analysis results showed that 476 the  $CO_2$  reactivity of hydrochar is similar to that of olive pulp reacted at 477 900°C, whereas the effect of heat treatment temperature had less influence on 478 the reactivity with increasing residence time of olive pulp torrefaction. More-479 over, hydrocar remained more reactive than fossil-based reductants, such as 480 petroleum coke and metallurgical coke. The hydrochars produced at 220 481 and 250°C showed a similar surface composition to one another, and simi-482 larly residence time had only a minor effect on the char composition. The 483 hydrochar pellets can be densified at low compression pressure without an 484 addition of a binder, whereas a secondary heat treatment at 400°C is required 485 to improve the mechanical durability of hydrochar pellets to satisfy ferroalloy 486 industry requirements. The findings of this study emphasize the potential 487 use of hydrothermal pellets as renewable reducing agents for the ferroalloy 488 industry with future anticipated improvement in hydrochar transportation 489

490 and storage.

## 491 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from Elkem AS, Saint Gobain Ceramic Materials AS, and Eramet Norway AS, and the Science Foundation Ireland (Grant number 16/SP/3829) under the Sustainable Energy and Fuel Efficiency (SEFE) spoke of the Research Centre for Marine and Renewable Energy (MaREI). M.T. Timko thanks the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant CBET-1605916) for supporting his contribution to this work.

# 499 References

- [1] Steinfeld A, High-temperature solar thermochemistry for CO<sub>2</sub> mitiga tion in the extractive metallurgical industry, International Symposium
   on CO<sub>2</sub> Fixation and Efficient Utilization of Energy (1997).
- <sup>503</sup> [2] Balomenos E, Panias D, Paspaliaris I, Energy and exergy analysis of
   <sup>504</sup> the primary aluminum production processes: A review on current and
   <sup>505</sup> future sustainability, Min Proc Ext Met Rev 32 (2011) 69–89.
- [3] Lu L, Iron Ore: Mineralogy, Processing and Environmental Ssustain ability, Elsevier, 2015.
- [4] Lu L, Adam M, Kilburn M, Hapugoda S, Somerville M, Jahanshshi S
  and etc., Substitution of charcoal for coke breeze in iron ore sintering,
  ISIJ Int 53 (2013) 1607–16.
- [5] Matsumura T, Ichida M, Nagasaka T, Kato K, Carbonization behavior
  of woody biomass and resulting metallurgical coke properties, ISIJ Int
  48 (2008) 572–77.
- [6] Lovel R, Vining K, Dell'Amico M, Iron ore sintering with charcoal,
  Miner Process Extr Metal 116 (2007) 85–92.
- [7] Macphee JA, Grandsden JF, Giroux L, Price JT, Possible CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation via addition of charcoal to coking coal blends, Fuel Process Technol
  90 (2009) 16–20.

- [8] Osman AI, Mass spectrometry study of lignocellulosic biomass combustion and pyrolysis with  $NO_x$  removal, Renew Energy 146 (2020) 484–96.
- [9] Roman S, Libra J, Berge N, Sabio E, Ro K, Li L and etc., Hydrothermal
  Carbonization: Modeling, Final Properties Design and Applications: A
  Review, Energies 11 (2018) 1–28.
- <sup>525</sup> [10] Tangstad M, Leroy D, Ringdalen E, Behaviour of agglomerates in ferro<sup>526</sup> manganese production, INFACON XII, Hensinki, Finland (2010) 457–
  <sup>527</sup> 66.
- [11] Holappa L, Towards sustainability in ferroalloy production, J South
   African Inst Min Metal 110 (2010) 1–8.
- <sup>530</sup> [12] Bullock C, Hawe J, Little D, Realising the ecosystem-service value of
  <sup>531</sup> native woodland in Ireland, New Zeal J Forest Sci 44 (2014) 1–10.
- [13] Pardo de Donlebun JPA, The EU enlargement in 2004: analysis of the
  forestry situation and perspectives in relation to the present EU and
  Sweden. Skogsstyrelsen National Board of Forestry. Jönköping: Sweden;
  2003 October Report No. ISSN1100-0295 (????).
- <sup>536</sup> [14] de Jong J, Akselsson C, Berglund H, Egnell G, Gerhardt K, Lönnberg
  <sup>537</sup> L and etc., Consequences of an increased extraction of forest biofuel in
  <sup>538</sup> Sweden. Swedish Energy Agency. Eskilstuna: Sweden; 2014 September
  <sup>539</sup> Report No. ISSN1403-1892 (????).
- [15] Pawelczyk A, EU policy and legislation on recycling of organic wastes
  to agriculture, ISAH 1 (2005) 64–71.

- <sup>542</sup> [16] Ouazzane H, Laajine F, El Yamani M, El Hilaly J, Rharrabti Y,
  <sup>543</sup> Amarouch MY and et al., Olive Mill Solid Waste Characterization and
  <sup>544</sup> Recycling Opportunities: A review, JMES 8 (2017) 2632–50.
- <sup>545</sup> [17] Benavente V, Fullana A, Torrefaction of olive mill waste, Biomass
  <sup>546</sup> Bioenergy 73 (2015) 186–94.
- <sup>547</sup> [18] Pistorius PC, Reductant selection in ferro-alloy production: The case
  <sup>548</sup> for the importance of dissolution in the metal, J South African Inst Min
  <sup>549</sup> Met 1 (2002) 33-6.
- [19] Sahajwalla V, Dubikova M, Khanna R, Reductant characterisation and
  selection: implications for ferroalloys processing, 10<sup>th</sup> Int Ferroalloys
  Congress INFACON X. Transformation through Technology 68 (2004)
  351-62.
- <sup>554</sup> [20] Wang L, Zhang Z, Qu Y, Guo Y, Wang Z, Wang X, A novel route
  <sup>555</sup> for preparation of high-performance porous carbons from hydrochars
  <sup>556</sup> by KOH activation, Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp 447 (2014)
  <sup>557</sup> 183–7.
- <sup>558</sup> [21] Unur E, Brutti S, Panero S, Scrosati B, Nanoporous carbons from hydrothermally treated biomass as anode materials for lithium ion batteries, Micropor Mesopor Mater 174 (2013) 25–33.
- <sup>561</sup> [22] Hitzl M, Corma A, Pomares F, Renz M, The hydrothermal carbonization
  (HTC) plant as adecentral biorefinery for wet biomass, Catal Today 257
  (2015) 154–9.

- <sup>564</sup> [23] Funke A, Reebs F, Kruse A, Experimental comparison of hydrothermal
   <sup>565</sup> and vapothermal carbonization, Fuel Proc Tech 115 (2013) 261–9.
- <sup>566</sup> [24] Ahmad F, Silva EL, Varesche MBA, Hydrothermal processing of
  <sup>567</sup> biomass for anaerobic digestion A review, Renew Sust Energ Rev
  <sup>568</sup> 98 (2018) 108–24.
- <sup>569</sup> [25] Trubetskaya A, Leahy JJ, Müller M, Layden P, Johnson R, Stahl K,
  <sup>570</sup> Yazhenskikh E, Monaghan RFD, Characterization of woodstove bri<sup>571</sup> quettes from torrefied biomass and coal, Energy 171 (2019) 853–65.
- <sup>572</sup> [26] Kambo HS, Dutta A, A comparative review of biochar and hydrochar
  <sup>573</sup> in terms of production, physico-chemical properties and applications,
  <sup>574</sup> Renew Sust Energy Rev 45 (2015) 359–78.
- <sup>575</sup> [27] He C, Tang C, Li C, Yuan J, Tran KQ, Bach QV and et al., Wet
  <sup>576</sup> torrefaction of biomass for high quality solid fuel production: A review,
  <sup>577</sup> Renew Sust Energ Rev 91 (2018) 259–71.
- <sup>578</sup> [28] Smith A, Singh S, Ross A, Fate of inorganic material during hydrother<sup>579</sup> mal carbonisation of biomass: Influence of feedstock on combustion be<sup>580</sup> havior of hydrochar, Fuel 169 (2016) 135–45.
- [29] Trubetskaya A, Jensen PA, Jensen AD, Steibel M, Spliethoff H, Glarborg P, Influence of fast pyrolysis conditions on yield and structural
  transformation of biomass chars, Fuel Proc Tech 140 (2016) 205–13.
- <sup>584</sup> [30] Hardi F, Imai A, Theppitak S, Kirtania K, Furusjö E, Yoshikawa K,
   <sup>585</sup> Gasification of Char Derived from Catalytic Hydrothermal Liquefaction

- of Pine Sawdust under a  $CO_2$  Atmosphere, Energy Fuels 32 (2018) 587 5999–6007.
- [31] Reza MT, Lynam JG, Uddin MH, Coronella CJ, Hydrothermal carbonization: Facte of inorganics, Energy Procedia 49 (2013) 86–94.
- [32] Mosqueda A, Medrano K, Gonzales H, Yoshikawa K, Effect of hydrother mal and washing treatment of banana leaves on co-gasification reactivity
   with coal, Energy Procedia 158 (2019) 785–91.
- [33] Cai J, Li B, Chen C, Wang J, Zhao M, Zhang K, Hydrothermal carbonization of tobacco stalk for fuel application, Biores Tech 220 (2016)
  305–11.
- <sup>596</sup> [34] Novianti S, Nurdiawati A, Zaini IN, Prawisudha P, Sumida H,
  <sup>597</sup> Yoshikawa K, Low-potassium Fuel Production from Empty Fruit
  <sup>598</sup> Bunches by Hydrothermal Treatment Processing and Water Leaching,
  <sup>599</sup> Energy Procedia 75 (2015) 584–9.
- [35] Yamagishi K, Endo K, Saga J, A comprehensive analysis of the furnace
   interior for high-carbon ferrochromium, 1<sup>th</sup> Int Ferroalloys Congress
   INFACON 74. Transformation through Technology (1974) 143–7.
- [36] De Waal A, Barker IJ, Rennie MS, Klopper J, Groeneveld BS, Electrical Factors Affecting the Economic Optimization of Submerged-arc
  Furnaces, 6<sup>th</sup> Int Ferroalloys Congress INFACON 6. Transformation
  through Technology 1 (1974) 247–52.
- [37] Strandberg A, Thyrel M, Skoglund N, Lestander TA, Broström M, Back man R, Biomass pellet combustion: Cavities and ash formation charac-

- terized by synchrotron X-ray microtomography, Fuel Process Tech 176
  (2018) 211–20.
- [38] Shang L, Ahrenfeldt J, Holm JK, Sanadi AR, Talbro Barsberg S, Thomsen T and etc., Changes of chemical and mechanical behavior of torrefied
  wheat straw, Biomass Bioenergy 40 (2012) 63–70.
- [39] Li T, Geier MT, Wang L, Shaddix CR, Ku X, Matas Güell B and etc.,
  Effect of Torrefaction on Physical Properties and Conversion Behavior
  of High Heating Rate Char of Forest Residue, Energy Fuels 29 (2015)
  177–84.
- [40] Bajcar M, Zagula G, Saletnik B, Tarapatskyy M, Puchalski C, Relationship between Torrefaction Parameters and Physicochemical Properties
  of Torrefied Products Obtained from Selected Plant Biomass, Energies
  11 (2018) 1–13.
- [41] Shoulaifar TK, DeMartini N, Zevenhoven M, Verhoeff F, Kiel J, Hupa
  M, Ash-Forming Matter in Torrefied Birch Wood: Changes in Chemical
  Association, Energy Fuels 27 (2013) 5684–90.
- [42] Medic C, Darr M, Shah A, Potter B, Zimmermann J, Effects of torrefaction process parameters on biomass feedstock upgrading, Fuel 91
  (2012) 147–54.
- [43] Volpe M, Fiori L, From olive waste to solid biofuel through hydrothermal
  carbonization: The role of temperature and solid load on secondary
  char formation and hydrochar energy properties, J Anal Appl Pyrol 124
  (2017) 63–72.

- [44] Liu Z, Quek A, Balasubramanian R, Preparation and characterization of
  fuel pellets from woody biomass, agro-residues and their corresponding
  hydrochars, Appl Energy 113 (2014) 1315–22.
- [45] Lucian M, Fiori L, Hydrothermal carbonization of waste biomass: Process design, modeling, energy efficiency and cost analysis, Energies 10 (2017).
- [46] Mandova H, Leduc S, Wang C, Wetterlund E, Patrizio P, Kraxner F and
  etc., Possibilities for CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction using biomass in European
  integrated steel plants, Biomass Bioenergy 115 (2018) 231–43.
- [47] Saba A, McGauphy K, Reza MT, Techno-Economic Assessment of Cohydrothermal carbonization of a Coal-Miscanthus Blend, Energies 12
  (2019) 1–17.
- [48] Surup GR, Nielsen HK, Attard TM, Trubetskaya A, Hunt AJ, Arshadi
  M and etc., The effect of wood composition and supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction on charcoal production in ferroalloy industries, Energy 193
  (2020) 116696.
- [49] Van Wesenbeeck S, Wang L, Ronsse F, Prins W, Skreiberg Ø, Antal
  MJ, Charcoal "mines" in Norwegian Woods, Energy Fuels 30 (2016).
- [50] Mazumder B, Chapter 4. Analysis of Coal. In: Coal Science and Engineering, WPI, 2012.
- <sup>652</sup> [51] Sun L, Wang J, Bonaccurso E, Conductivity of individual particles
  <sup>653</sup> measured by a microscopic four-point-probe method, Sci Rep 3 (2013)
  <sup>654</sup> 1–5.

- [52] L. van der Pauw, A Method of Measuring Specific Resistivity and Hall
  Effect of Discs of Arbitrary Shape, Philips Res Rep 13 (1958) 1–9.
- [53] Sangines P, Dominguez MP, Sanchez F, San Miguel G, Slow pyrolysis
  of olive stones in a rotary kiln: Chemical and energy characterization of
  solid, gas, and condensable products, J Ren Sust Energy 7 (2015) 1–13.
- [54] Stirling RJ, Snape CE, Meredith W, The impact of hydrothermal carbonization on the char reactivity of biomass, Fuel Process Technol 177
  (2018) 152–8.
- [55] Missaoui A, Bostyn S, Belandria V, Cagnon B, Sarh B, Gökalp I, Hy drothermal carbonization of dried olive pomace: Energy potential and
   process performances, J Anal Appl Pyrol 128 (2017) 281–90.
- [56] Zhang L, Liu S, Wang B, Wang Q, Yang G, Chen J, Effect of Residence
  Time on Hydrothermal Carbonization of Corn Cob Residual, Bioresources 10 (2015) 3979–86.
- [57] Uddin MH, Reza MT, Lynam JG, Coronella CJ, Effects of Water Recycling in Hydrothermal Carbonization of Loblolly Pine, Environ Prog
  Sustain 33 (2014) 1309–15.
- <sup>672</sup> [58] Stemann J, Ziegler F, Hydrothermal Carbonisation (HTC): Recycling <sup>673</sup> of Process Water,  $19^t h$  EUBCE (2011) 1894–9.
- <sup>674</sup> [59] Guo S, Dong X, Liu K, Yu H, Zhu C, Chemical, energetic, and structural
  <sup>675</sup> characteristics of hydrothermal carbonization solid products for lawn
  <sup>676</sup> grass, BioRes 10 (2015) 4613–25.

- [60] Yang B, Gray MC, Liu C, Lloyd TA, Stuhler SL, Wyman CE, et al., Unconventional Relationships for Hemicellulose Hydrolysis and Subsequent
  Cellulose Digestion., Lignocellulose Biodegradation (2004) 100–25.
- [61] Bach QV, Skreiberg Ø, Upgrading biomass fuels via wet torrefaction:
  A review and comparison with dry torrefaction, Renew Sust Energ Rev
  54 (2016) 665–77.
- [62] Dinjus E, Kruse A, Tröger N, Hydrothermal Carbonization 1. Influence
  of Lignin in Lignocelluloses, Chem Eng Technol 34 (2011) 2037–43.
- [63] Liu Z, Quek A, Hoekman SK, Balasubramanian R, Production of solid
  biochar fuel from waste biomass by hydrothermal carbonization, Fuel
  103 (2013) 943–9.
- [64] Surup GR, Vehus T, Eidem PA, Trubetskaya A, Nielsen HK, Characterization of renewable reductants and charcoal-based pellets for the use
  in ferroalloy industries, Energy 167 (2019) 337–45.
- [65] Surup GR, Nielsen HK, Heidelmann M, Trubetskaya A, Charac terization and reactivity of charcoal from high temperature pyrolysis
   (800–1600°C), Fuel 235 (2019) 1544–54.
- [66] Titirici MM, Thomas A, Yu SH, Müller JO, Antonietti M, A Direct Synthesis of Mesoporous Carbons with Bicontinuous Pore Morphology from
  Crude Plant Material by Hydrothermal Carbonization, Chem Mater 19
  (2007) 4205–12.

- [67] Hansen TL, Schmidt JE, Angelidaki I, Marca E, la Cour Jansen J, Christensen TH, et al., Method for determination of methane potentials of
  solid organic waste, Waste Management 24 (2004) 393–400.
- [68] Kobayashi F, Take H, Asada C, Nakamura Y, Methane production from
  steam-exploded bamboo, J Biosci Bioeng 97 (2004) 426–8.
- [69] Mumme J, Eckervogt L, Pielert J, Diakité M, Rupp F, Kern J, Hydrothermal carbonization of anaerobically digested maize silage, Biores
  Tech 102 (2011) 9255–60.
- [70] Arellano O, Flores M, Guerra J, Hidalgo A, Rojas D, Strubinger A,
  Hydrothermal Carbonization of Corncob and Characterization of the
  Obtained Hydrochar, Chem Eng Trans 50 (2016) 235–40.
- [71] El-Hendawy ANA, Variation in the FTIR spectra of a biomass under
  impregnation, carbonization and oxidation conditions, J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 75 (2004) 159–66.
- [72] Fan M, Dai D, Huang B, Chapter 3 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy for Natural Fibres. In (ed. Salih SM): Fourier Transform, IntechOpen (2012) 45–68.
- [73] Adapa PK, Tabil LG, Schoenau GJ, Canam T, Dumonceaux T, Quantitative Analysis of Lignocellulosic Components of Non-Treated and
  Steam Exploded Barley, Canola, Oat and Wheat Straw Using Fourier
  Transform Infrared Spectroscopy, J Agr Sci Tech B 1 (2011) 177–88.
- <sup>719</sup> [74] Arafat A, Samad SA, Masum SM, Moniruzzaman M, Preparation and

- Characterization of Chitosan from Shrimp shell waste, IJSER 6 (2015)
  538-41.
- [75] Labbé N, Harper D, Rials T, Elder T, Chemical Structure of Wood
  Charcoal by Infrared Spectroscopy and Multivariate Analysis, J Agr
  Food Chem 54 (2006) 3492–7.
- <sup>725</sup> [76] Viswanathan B, Coal, Energy Sources (2017) 81–111.
- [77] Riva L, Surup GR, Buø TV, Nielsen HK, A study of densified biochar
  as carbon source in the silicon and ferrosilicon production, Energy 181
  (2019) 985–96.
- [78] Wnukowski M, Owczarek P, Niedźwiecki L, Wet torrefaction of miscanthus Characterization of hydrochars in view of handling, storage
  and combustion properties, J Ecol Eng 16 (2015) 161–7.
- [79] Abedi A, Cheng H, Dalai AK, Effects of natural additives on the properties of sawdust fuel pellets, Energy Fuels 32 (2018) 1863–73.
- [80] Eidem PA, Tangstad M, Bakken JA, Determination of Electrical Resistivity of Dry Coke Beds, Metallurgical and Materials Transactions B 39 (2008) 7–15.
- [81] Basu P, Biomass Gasification and Pyrolysis: Practical Design and Theory, Elsevier, 2010.
- [82] Tumuluru JS, Hess JR, Boardman RD, Wright CT, Westover TL, For mulation, Pretreatment, and Densification Options to Improve Biomass

- <sup>741</sup> Specifications for Co-Firing High Percentages with Coal, Ind Biotech 8
  <sup>742</sup> (2012) 113–32.
- [83] Hoffmann V, Jung D, Zimmermann J, Rodriquez Correa C, Elleuch
  A, Halouani K and etc., Conductive Carbon Materials from the Hydrothermal Carbonization of Vineyard Residues for the Application in
  Electrochemical Double-Layer Capacitors (EDLCs) and Direct Carbon
  Fuel Cells (DCFCs), Materials 12 (2019) 1–33.
- [84] Paulauskas R, Striugas N, Zakarauskas K, Dziugys A, Vorotinskiene L,
   Investigations of regularities of pelletized biomass thermal deformations
   during pyrolysis, Therm Sci 22 (2018) 603–12.
- [85] Oliveira FR, Patel AK, Jaisi DP, Adhikari S, Lu H, Environmental
  application of biochar: Current status and perspectives, Biores Tech
  246 (2017) 110–22.
- [86] Tan Z, Lin CSK, Ji X, Rainey TJ, Returning biochar to fields: A review,
  Appl Soil Ecol 116 (2017) 1–11.
- <sup>756</sup> [87] Tripathi M, Sahu JN, Ganesan P, Effect of process parameters on pro<sup>757</sup> duction of biochar from biomass waste through pyrolysis: A review,
  <sup>758</sup> Renew Sust Energy Rev 55 (2016) 467–81.
- [88] M. Díez, R. Alvarez, C. Barriocanal, Coal for metallurgical coke production: Predictions of coke quality and future requirements for cokemaking, INT J COAL GEOL 50 (2002) 389–412.
- <sup>762</sup> [89] A. Babich, D. Senk, Chapter 12 coal use in iron and steel metallurgy,

- in: D. Osborne (Ed.), The Coal Handbook: Towards Cleaner Production, volume 2 of Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy, Woodhead
  Publishing, 2013, pp. 267–311.
- <sup>766</sup> [90] H. Schobert, N. Schobert, Comparative carbon footprints of metallur<sup>767</sup> gical coke and anthracite for blast furnace and electric arc furnace use,
  <sup>768</sup> 2015.
- <sup>769</sup> [91] United States International Trade Commission, Metallurgical Coke:
  <sup>770</sup> Baseline Analysis of the U.S. Industry and Imports : [investigation No.
  <sup>771</sup> 332-342]., USITC publication, U.S. International Trade Commission,
  <sup>772</sup> 1994.
- [92] Timko MT, Maag AR, Venegas JMand McKeogh B, Yang Z, Escapa
  S and etc., Spectroscopic tracking of mechanochemical reactivity and modification of a hydrothermal char, RSC advances 6 (2016).