













Fig. 9: The percentage of active power loss in the system when communication network nodes are removed.

advantageous method to capture the complex topology and interdependencies of a network such as a combined electrical power and communication network. In this paper, two different methods have been proposed 1) *betweenness centrality* and 2) *node attack method*. Both methods manage to capture the important nodes in the system, but with different criteria. The two methods obtain somewhat consistent results, especially when considering the electrical nodes. For the communication network nodes, the results differ more since the methods measure different criteria. The *node attack method* is more electric power system-oriented and does not consider the communication network to any extent.

For a system operator, it is more important to consider the security of electricity supply, and both methods are able to encounter the important nodes in the system. However, the *node attack method* is better at capturing important measures seen from a operators point of view since it considers the energy not supplied and illustrates how an outage in the communication network or the electrical power system influence the power flow. This gives a better overview of how outages might propagate in the network as well as to illustrate the consequences of a cascading failure from an outage in the communication network.

The methods proposed in this paper, will provide a basis for probabilistic analyses of the combined electrical power and communication network. This is a topic for the future work, e.g., using the methods to investigate the interdependencies in relation to the risk of short lasting blackouts.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is funded by CINELDI - Centre for intelligent electricity distribution, an 8-year Research Centre under the FME-scheme (Centre for Environment-friendly Energy Research, 257626/E20). The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Research Council of Norway and the CINELDI partners.

## REFERENCES

[1] European Commission, "SmartGrids SRA 2035 Strategic Research Agenda Update of the SmartGrids SRA 2007 for the needs by the year 2035," Mar. 2012, Technical Report.

[2] E.A. Lee and S.A. Seshia, Introduction to embedded systems: A cyber-physical systems approach, 2nd ed., Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2017.

[3] G. Andersson et al., "Causes of the 2003 major grid black-outs in North America and Europe, and recommended means to improve system dynamic performance," IEE Transaction on Power Systems, vol.20, no. 4, pp. 1922–1928, Nov. 2005.

[4] S.V. Buldyrev, R. Parshani, G. Paul, H.E. Stanley, and S. Havlin, "Catastrophic cascade of failures in interdependent networks," Nature, vol. 464, no. 7291, pp. 1025–1028, 2010.

[5] Europa Commission, "Concerning measures to safeguard security of electricity supply and infrastructure investments," Directive 2005/89/EC of The European Parliament And Of the Council, European Council,, Strasbourg, 2006.

[6] I.B. Sperstad, "The impact of flexible resources on the security of electricity supply," CINELDI WP5 Expert Group, 2018.

[7] M. Ouyang, "Review on modeling and simulation of interdependent critical infrastructure systems," Reliability Eng. Syst. Saf., vol. 121, pp. 43–60, 2014.

[8] P. Hokstad, I.B. Utne, and J. Vatn, Risk and interdependencies in critical infrastructures: A guideline for analysis, Springer, 2012.

[9] I.A. Tøndel, J. Foros, S.S. Kilskar, P. Hokstad, and M.G Jaatun, "Interdependencies and reliability in the combined ICT and power system: An overview of current research," Applied computing and informatics, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 17–27, 2018.

[10] A.R. Ganguly, U. Bhatia, and S.E. Flynn, Critical infrastructure resilience: Policy and engineering principles, Routledge, 2018.

[11] J. Wäfler and P.E. Heegaard, "A combined structural and dynamic modelling approach for dependability analysis in smart grid," Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, pp. 660–665, 2013.

[12] M. Rausand, Risk assessment: Theory, methods, and applications, John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

[13] G. Ramos, J.L. Sanchez, A. Torres, and M.A. Rios, "Power systems security evaluation using petri nets," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 316–322, 2009.

[14] L. Cuadra, S. Salcedo-Sanz, J. Del Ser, S. Jiménez-Fernández, and Z.W. Woo, "A critical review of robustness in power grids using complex networks concepts," Energies, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 9211–9265, 2015.

[15] S. Boccaletti, V. Latora, Y. Moreno, M. Chavez, and D-U. Hwang, "Complex networks: Structure and dynamics," Elsevier, Physics reports, vol. 424, no. 4–5, pp. 175–380, Jan. 2006.

[16] D.J. Watts and S.H. Strogatz, "Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks," Nature, vol. 393, no. 6684, pp. 440–442, June 1998.

[17] A-L. Barabási and R. Albert, "Emergence of scaling in random networks," Science, vol. 286, no. 5439, pp. 509–513, Oct. 1999.

[18] G. A. Pagani and M. Aiello, "The Power Grid as a complex network: A survey", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, vol. 392, pp. 2688–2700, 2013.

[19] J. Wäfler and P.E. Heegaard, "Structural dependability analysis in smart grid under simultaneous failures," IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications (SmartGridComm), pp. 67–72, 2013.

[20] S. LaRocca, J. Johansson, H. Hassel, and S. Guikema, "Topological performance measures as surrogates for physical flow models for risk and vulnerability analysis for electric power systems," Risk analysis, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 608–623, 2015.

[21] J. Johansson, H. Hassel, and E. Zio, "Reliability and vulnerability analyses of critical infrastructures: comparing two approaches in the context of power systems," Reliability Engineering & System Safety, vol. 120, pp. 27–38, 2013.

[22] Z. Huang, C. Wang, S. Ruj, M. Stojmenovic, and A. Nayak, "Modeling cascading failures in smart power grid using interdependent complex networks and percolation theory," IEEE 8th Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA), pp. 1023–1028, 2013.

[23] R. Mihalcea and D. Radev, Graph-based natural language processing and information retrieval, Cambridge university press, 2011.

[24] L.C. Freeman, "A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness," Sociometry, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 35–41, 1977.

[25] M.E. Baran and F.F. Wu, "Network reconfiguration in distribution systems for loss reduction and load balancing," IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1401–1407, April 1989.