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#### Abstract

We construct a strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary whose squeezing function is not plurisubharmonic.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are dealing with the properties of squeezing functions on domains. The idea of using this concept goes back to the papers [LSY1] and [LSY2] where a new notion of holomorphic homogeneous regular domains was introduced. The last kind of domains can be seen as a generalization of Teichmüller spaces, and, as it was shown in [LSY1], [LSY2] and [Ye], they admit many nice geometric and analytic properties.

Motivated by the mentioned above works [LSY1] and [LSY2], Deng, Guan and Zhang in [DGZ1] introduced the notion of squeezing functions defined for arbitrary bounded domains:

Definition. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. For $p \in \Omega$ and a holomorphic embedding $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{n}$ satisfying $f(p)=0$ we set

$$
S_{\Omega}(p, f):=\sup \left\{\mathrm{r}>0: \mathrm{r} \mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{n}} \subset \mathrm{f}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

and then we set

$$
S_{\Omega}(p):=\sup _{\mathrm{f}}\left\{\mathrm{~S}_{\Omega}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{f})\right\}
$$
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where the supremum is taken over all holomorphic embeddings $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{n}$ with $f(p)=0$ and $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ is representing the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The function $S_{\Omega}$ is called the squeezing function of $\Omega$.

Properties of the squeezing function for different classes of domains were then studied in [DGZ1], [DGZ2] and [KZ]. Moreover, using the results of [DFW], sharp estimates not only for the squeezing functions, but also for the Carathéodory, Sibony and Azukawa metrics near the boundary of a given strictly pseudoconvex domain were obtained in [FW]. Similar results for the Bergman metric are given in [DF].

On the other hand, in many cases functions which are naturally defined on pseudoconvex domains enjoy plurisubharmonicity properties (see, for example, [Ya] and $[\mathrm{B}]$ ). That is why a few years ago the following question was raised:

Is it always true that the squeezing function of a strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary is plurisubharmonic?

The main result of this paper gives a negative answer to the question and can be formulated as follows.

Theorem. There exists a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ whose squeezing function is not plurisubharmonic.

## 2. Preliminaries

First we briefly recall the definitions of the Kobayashi and Carathéodory metrics. Let $\Delta$ denote the unit disc, and let $\mathcal{O}(M, N)$ denote the set of holomorphic maps from M to N . For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ we consider an arbitrary point $p \in \Omega$ and an arbitrary vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.

- Kobayashi metric $K_{\Omega}(p, \xi)$. We define

$$
K_{\Omega}(p, \xi)=\inf \left\{|\alpha| ; \exists \mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, \Omega) \mathrm{f}(0)=\mathrm{p}, \alpha \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(0)=\xi\right\}
$$

- Carathéodory metric $C_{\Omega}(p, \xi)$. We define

$$
C_{\Omega}(p, \xi)=\sup \left\{\left|\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{p})(\xi)\right| ; \exists \mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega, \Delta) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p})=0\right\}
$$

Observe that the above definitions imply directly the next well known properties of metrics.

Monotonicity of Metrics. Let $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$ be bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, $p$ be a point in $\Omega_{1}$ and $\xi$ be an arbitrary vector in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then the following properties hold true

$$
K_{\Omega_{1}}(p, \xi) \geq K_{\Omega_{2}}(p, \xi) \quad \text { and } \quad C_{\Omega_{1}}(p, \xi) \geq C_{\Omega_{2}}(p, \xi)
$$

We will also need the following two statements which one easily gets from the definitions (detailed proofs of them can be found in [DGZ1]).

Lemma 1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then for all $z \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ one has

$$
S_{\Omega}(p) K_{\Omega}(p, \xi) \leq C_{\Omega}(p, \xi) \leq K_{\Omega}(p, \xi)
$$

Lemma 2. The squeezing function $S_{\Omega}$ of any bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is continuous.

The last statement implies, in particular, the following property (a slightly weaker result was stated as Theorem 2.1 in [DGZ2], but a slight modification of the proof presented there gives actually the stronger statement as it is formulated below).

Lemma 3. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then for any compact set $K \subset \Omega$ and any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for each subdomain $\widetilde{\Omega}$ of $\Omega, K \subset \widetilde{\Omega}$, having the property that $b \widetilde{\Omega} \subset U_{\delta}(b \Omega)$ one has $\left|S_{\Omega}(p)-S_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(p)\right|<\epsilon$ for every $p \in K$. Here by $U_{\delta}(b \Omega)$ is denoted the $\delta$-neighbourhood of the boundary $b \Omega$ of $\Omega$.

Now we give some estimates on the Carathéodory and Kobayashi metrics of some special domains.

Lemma 4. Let $0<a<1<b<+\infty$ be given numbers. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the domain

$$
\Omega_{m}^{\prime}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: a<|z|<b,|w|<1,|w|<|z|^{-m}\right\} .
$$

Then there exists $C>0$ such that $C_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime}}(p, \xi) \leq C$ for $p=(1,0), \xi=(1,1)$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.


Figure 1: The domain $\Omega_{m}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary function $f \in \mathcal{O}\left(\Omega_{m}^{\prime}, \Delta\right)$ such that $f(p)=0$. Observe that the restriction $f_{v}$ of $f$ to the vertical disc $\Delta_{v}:=\{z=1\} \times\{|w|<1\}=\{z=$ $1\} \cap \Omega_{m}^{\prime}$ centered at $p$ is a holomorphic function from $\Delta_{v}$ to $\Delta$ having the property $f_{v}(p)=0$. Then, by the Schwarz lemma, one has

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(p)(0,1)\right|=\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p)\right|=\left|f_{v}^{\prime}(p)\right| \leq 1
$$

Similarly, for the restriction $f_{h}$ of $f$ to the horizontal disc

$$
\Delta_{h}:=\{|z-1|<\min (1-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}-1)\} \times\{\mathrm{w}=0\} \subset \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime} \cap\{\mathrm{w}=0\}
$$

we have that $f_{h}: \Delta_{h} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a holomorphic function such that $f_{h}(p)=0$. Hence, in view of the Schwarz lemma, one also has

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(p)(1,0)\right|=\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p)\right|=\left|f_{h}^{\prime}(p)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\min (1-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}-1)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(p)(1,1)\right|=\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p)\right| \leq\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p)\right|+\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\min (1-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}-1)}+1=: C .
$$

Since $f$ was an arbitrary function from $\mathcal{O}\left(\Omega_{m}^{\prime}, \Delta\right)$ such that $f(p)=0$, we finally conclude that for the Carathéodory metric the estimate $C_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime}}(p, \xi) \leq C$ holds true for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 5. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the domain

$$
\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:|w|<1,|w|<|z|^{-m}\right\} .
$$

Then $K_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}(p, \xi) \geq \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}$ for $p=(1,0), \xi=(1,1)$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.


Figure 2: The domain $\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary map $f \in \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta, \Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $f(0)=p$ and $\alpha f^{\prime}(0)=$ $\xi=(1,1)$ for some $\alpha$. Then $f$ can be represented by

$$
f(\zeta)=(z(\zeta), w(\zeta))=\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha} \zeta+a_{2} \zeta^{2}+\ldots, \frac{1}{\alpha} \zeta+b_{2} \zeta^{2}+\ldots\right)
$$

where $\zeta \in \Delta$. Since, by the definition of $\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}$, one has $\left|w z^{m}\right|<1$, it follows that

$$
1>\left|\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \zeta+b_{2} \zeta^{2}+\ldots\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha} \zeta+a_{2} \zeta^{2}+\ldots\right)^{m}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{\alpha} \zeta+\left(b_{2}+\frac{m}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \zeta^{2}+\ldots\right| .
$$

Then, from the Schwarz type bound for higher order coefficients (see Theorem 2 in $[R]$ for a relatively recent generalization of the classical Schwarz inequality to similar bounds for all coefficients of the Taylor expansion), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{2}+\frac{m}{\alpha^{2}}\right| \leq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by the definition of $\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}$, one also has

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\alpha} \zeta+b_{2} \zeta^{2}+\ldots\right|=|w| \leq 1
$$

we conclude from the mentioned above Schwarz type bound for the higher order coefficients that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{2}\right| \leq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining estimates (1) and (2), we get

$$
\left|\frac{m}{\alpha^{2}}\right| \leq 2 \Rightarrow|\alpha| \geq \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}
$$

which gives the desired estimate $K_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}(p, \xi) \geq \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 3. Example

We first construct an auxiliary domain which we will denote by $\Omega$. Let $a>1$ be an arbitrary number, which will be fixed in what follows, and let $1<a_{1}<a_{2}<$ $\ldots<a_{k}<\ldots<a$ be a sequence (which will also be fixed) such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k}=a$. We define $\Omega$ as the set of points $(z, w) \in\left\{\frac{1}{a}<|z|<a\right\} \times \mathbb{C}_{w}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
|w|<B_{k}|z|^{n_{k}}, & \text { for } \frac{1}{a_{k+1}}<|z| \leq \frac{1}{a_{k}}, k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
|w|<1, & \text { for } \frac{1}{a_{1}}<|z| \leq a_{1} \\
|w|<B_{k}|z|^{-n_{k}}, & \text { for } a_{k} \leq|z|<a_{k+1}, k=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

The numbers $n_{k}$ and $B_{k}$ will be defined inductively so that $B_{1}=1$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$, one has $n_{k}>n_{k-1}$ and $B_{k-1} a_{k}^{-n_{k-1}}=B_{k} a_{k}^{-n_{k}}$ (the last condition guarantees that the functions defining $\Omega$ will match at the points $a_{k}$ and $\frac{1}{a_{k}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and, moreover, the inequality $S_{\Omega}\left(p_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{k}$ for the squeezing function on $\Omega$ at the point $p_{k}=\left(a_{k}, 0\right)$ holds true for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.


Figure 3: The auxiliary domain $\Omega$.

The starting point of our inductive construction is the definition of $\Omega$ over the annulus $\left\{\frac{1}{a_{1}}<|z| \leq a_{1}\right\}$ by the inequality $|w|<1$. Now we describe the inductive step of this construction. Assume that the part $\Omega_{k}$ of the domain $\Omega$ over the annulus $\left\{\frac{1}{a_{k}}<|z|<a_{k}\right\}$ is already constructed, i.e., we have already defined the numbers $n_{q}, B_{q}$ for $q=1,2, \ldots, k-1$. For being able to find suitable values of $n_{k}$ and $B_{k}$, we first make a biholomorphic change of coordinates $F_{k}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}$ :

$$
z \rightarrow \frac{z}{a_{k}}=: z^{\prime}, w \rightarrow w \frac{a_{k} n_{k-1}}{B_{k-1}}\left(\frac{z}{a_{k}}\right)^{n_{k-1}}=: w^{\prime}
$$

Observe that in new coordinates $\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$ the part of the domain $F_{k}(\Omega)$ over the annulus $\left\{\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_{k}} \leq\left|z^{\prime}\right|<1\right\}$ is defined by $\left|w^{\prime}\right|<1$ and the part of $F_{k}(\Omega)$ over the annulus $\left\{1 \leq\left|z^{\prime}\right|<\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_{k}}\right\}$ is defined by $\left|w^{\prime}\right|<\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{-\left(n_{k}-n_{k-1}\right)}$, where $n_{k}$ still has to be chosen. Note also that the domain

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{k}\left(\Omega \cap\left(\left\{a_{k-1}<|z|<a_{k+1}\right\} \times \mathbb{C}_{w}\right)\right)= \\
=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right): \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_{k}}<\left|z^{\prime}\right|<\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_{k}},\left|w^{\prime}\right|<1,\left|w^{\prime}\right|<\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{-\left(n_{k}-n_{k-1}\right)}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

has the form $\Omega_{m}^{\prime}$ (see Lemma 4 for the description of $\Omega_{m}^{\prime}$ ) with $m=n_{k}-n_{k-1}, a=$ $\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_{k}}, b=\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_{k}}$ and it is a proper subdomain of the domain $F_{k}(\Omega)$. Moreover, since for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequality $n_{k}>n_{k-1}$ holds, the domain $F_{k}(\Omega)$ will be contained in the domain $\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ (see Lemma 5 for the description of $\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ ) with $m=n_{k}-n_{k-1}$.


Figure 4: The domains $F_{k}\left(\Omega \cap\left\{a_{k}<|z|<a_{k+1}\right\}\right), F_{k}(\Omega)$ and $\Omega_{n_{k}-n_{k-1}}^{\prime \prime}$.

Hence, in view of monotonicity of the Carathéodory metric and Lemma 4, one has

$$
C_{F_{k}(\Omega)}(p, \xi) \leq C_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime}}(p, \xi) \leq C_{k}
$$

for $p=(1,0), \xi=(1,1)$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We also have from monotonicity of the Kobayashi metric and Lemma 5 that

$$
K_{F_{k}(\Omega)}(p, \xi) \geq K_{\Omega_{m}^{\prime \prime}}(p, \xi) \geq \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}
$$

for $p=(1,0), \xi=(1,1)$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows then from Lemma 1 that

$$
S_{F_{k}(\Omega)}(p) \leq \frac{C_{F_{k}(\Omega)}(p, \xi)}{K_{F_{k}(\Omega)}(p, \xi)} \leq C_{k} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}}
$$

and hence $S_{F_{k}(\Omega)}(p)<\frac{1}{k}$ for $n_{k}>n_{k-1}+2 k^{2} C_{k}^{2}$. If we choose now $n_{k}$ satisfying the last inequality, then, using the condition $B_{k-1} a_{k}^{-n_{k-1}}=B_{k} a_{k}^{-n_{k}}$, we can easily compute $B_{k}=B_{k-1} a_{k}{ }^{n_{k}-n_{k-1}}$. Finally, note that, in view of biholomorphic invariance of the squeezing function,

$$
S_{\Omega}\left(a_{k}\right)=S_{F_{k}(\Omega)}(p)<\frac{1}{k}
$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the inductive step of our construction of the auxiliary domain $\Omega$.

Now we are ready to construct a strictly pseudoconvex domain with non-plurisubharmonic squeezing function. Note first that $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex by construction. Observe also that, since the map $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z}, w \rightarrow w$ is a biholomorphic automorphism of $\Omega$, and, since the squeezing function is biholomorphically invariant, one has

$$
S_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{a_{k}}\right)=S_{\Omega}\left(a_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{k}
$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take now $p=(1,0) \in \Omega$, denote $c:=S_{\Omega}(p)>0$ and fix from now on a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $\frac{1}{k}<c$. Then, using Lemma 3 with $\epsilon<\frac{1}{2}\left(c-\frac{1}{k}\right)$, we approximate the domain $\Omega$ from inside by a strictly pseudoconvex smoothly bounded domain $\widetilde{\Omega}$ (one can obviously choose this domain to be also circular in $z$ and $w$ ) so well that for every point $q$ of the set

$$
\left(\left\{|z|=\frac{1}{a_{k}}\right\} \times\{w=0\}\right) \cup\left(\left\{|z|=a_{k}\right\} \times\{w=0\}\right) \subset \widetilde{\Omega} \cap\{w=0\}
$$

one has

$$
S_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(q)<\frac{1}{k}+\epsilon<c-\epsilon<S_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(p)
$$

This means that the maximum principle for the restriction of the function $S_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(\cdot)$ to the annulus $\left\{\frac{1}{a_{k}} \leq|z| \leq a_{k}\right\} \times\{w=0\} \subset \widetilde{\Omega} \cap\{w=0\}$ does not hold and, hence, the function $S_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(\cdot)$ cannot be plurisubharmonic. Thus $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is a strictly pseudoconvex domain as desired. The proof of the Theorem is now completed.

Remark. In the proof above instead of using Lemma 3 it is enough to use the weaker statement of Theorem 2.1 from [DGZ2] at the points $\frac{1}{a_{k}}, a_{k}$ and $p$ and the circular invariance of the domain $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and the squeezing function $S_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(\cdot)$.
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