

Margit Ertresvåg Jakobsen

ATP-Dependent Citrate Lyase and Glycerol 3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase in Aurantiochytrium T66: An Attempted In Vivo Analysis and Heterologous Expression

May 2019

## - NTNU

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

# ATP-Dependent Citrate Lyase and Glycerol 3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase in Aurantiochytrium T66: An Attempted In Vivo Analysis and Heterologous Expression 

Margit Ertresvåg Jakobsen

```
MBIOT5
Submission date: May 2019
Supervisor: Helga Ertesvåg
Campus: Trondheim
```


## Preface

For the last 5 years, I have been a student of biotechnology at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology. I have learned about the rules of chemistry, and about the guidelines usually applying to biological systems. I have realized that understanding a few basic principles unlocks the door to vast new information. And I have understood that no matter how much you study, nature will always find new ways to surprise you. All you can do as a scientist, is to learn more about nature and its marvelous tricks and apply this knowledge to achieve your goals.

This thesis is the culmination of my master's degree in biotechnology. The work was performed at the Institute of Biotechnology at NTNU. I would like to express my gratitude towards my mentor and supervisor, Dr. Scient. and Research Professor Helga Ertesvåg. I am grateful for the chance you have given me to do independent research and experiments, while all the time providing the support and guidance I needed. I would also like to extend my gratitude towards all my colleagues in the labs in K3 for your help with the practical lab work. I would like to thank my parents, Janne Marit and Arve, for your support and love. I am also grateful to have enjoyed the company of all of my friends at NTNU both at school and during our spare time. And last, but not least, Runar, thank you for being my best friend, my biggest supporter and my rock during these years.

My years at NTNU have thought me a lot about the world of science. I will always treasure the experiences I've had at NTNU. I look forward to what comes next, whatever that is.


#### Abstract

Thraustochytrids are industrially interesting microorganisms because of their ability to produce large amounts of lipids, especially the omega-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). The metabolism of these organisms is not completely understood. The unravelling of the underlying mechanisms of lipid production in these organisms is of great importance in order to achieve better yields of DHA in industrial fermentation. The Auromega project, of which this thesis is a part, attempts to understand and model the metabolism of the thraustochytrid Aurantiochytrium T66.

The object of this thesis was to identify the presence of the enzyme ATP-dependent citrate lyase (ACL) and the cofactor requirements glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH) in Aurantiochytrium T66. Five genes of interest possibly encoding these enzymes were provided by the Auromega project group. Gene T66006817.1, T66010760.1, and T66009329.1 were candidate genes for encoding ACL, while gene T66008081.2 and T66006468.1 were candidate genes for encoding G3PDH. None of the candidate genes for ACL were annotated as ACL, but as enzymes catalyzing similar reactions. The absence of good ACL candidates could mean that Aurantiochytrium T66 does not have the ACL enzyme at all. ATP-dependent citrate lyase is an enzyme involved in providing acetyl-CoA for the lipid synthesis in the cytosol. The absence of this enzyme would mean that some other mechanism provides acetylCoA for lipid synthesis. The cofactor requirements of G3PDH was interesting to investigate, because the requirements of either NADH or NADPH would be important for modelling of the metabolism. G3PDH is involved in the production of glycerol 3-phosphate, which is the backbone for triacylglycerols. Fatty acids are commonly stored as triacylglycerols in lipid accumulating organisms.

The genes were cloned into expression vectors based on the RK2 plasmid using a Pm/XylS promoter system. The vectors contained a sequence encoding a C-terminal His-tag, thus enabling purification by immobilized metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC). The plasmids were transferred to Escherichia coli. Recombinant protein was attempted purified by IMAC, but the genes of interest could not be expressed using the initial set up. Different strategies to improve expression were then applied. Growth temperature in E. coli was lowered.

Expression was attempted in Pseudomonas putida with different protocols with regards to cell density at induction and inducer concentration. The use of the signal peptide PelB was attempted in both E. coli and P. putida. None of these strategies yielded a significant yield of the proteins of interest when SDS-PAGE was performed.


A second approach was to assay activity in Aurantiochytrium T66. Schizochytrium S 21 was used as a control where ACL activity had been previously reported. Growth curves for both organisms were made, and samples for enzyme assays were taken in the exponential faze and in the transition to the stationary faze. The culture samples were attempted lysed using sonication. Lysis of the cells proved difficult, and the protein yields were low. ACL and G3PDH assays were nevertheless performed on the protein extracted. For G3PDH, both assays with NADH and NADPH as cofactors were performed. The assays did not show any significant activity of neither ACL nor G3PDH. The assay protocols were verified using commercially produced enzymes. Since Schizochytrium S21 has been reported to have ACL activity and the assumed G3PDH genes in Aurantiochytrium T66 showed good homology to genes encoding characterized enzymes, the failure of the assays was probably caused by the inefficient lysis.

## Sammendrag

Thraustochytrider er industrielt interessante mikroorganismer på grunn av deres evne til å produsere store mengder lipider, spesielt omega-3 fettsyren dokosaheksaensyre (DHA). Metabolismen til disse organismene er ikke fullstendig kartlagt. Utredningen av mekanismene bak fettsyreproduksjonen i disse organismene er viktig for å kunne forbedre utbyttet av DHA ved industrielle fermenteringer. Auromegaprosjektet, som dette oppgaven er en del av, jobber for å bedre forstå og modellere metabolismen til thraustochytriden Aurantiochytrium T66.

Formålet med denne oppgaven var å identifisere tilstedeværelsen av enzymet ATP-avhengig sitrat lyase (ACL) og kofaktoravhengigheten til de to ulike glyserol 3-fosfat dehydrogenasene (G3PDH) i Aurantiochytrium T66. Fem kandidatgener som kunne kode for disse enzymene ble valgt ut av Auromegagruppen. Gen T66006817.1, T66010760.1 og T66009329.1 var kandidatgener for ACL, mens gen T66008081.2 og T66006468.1 var kandidatgener for G3PDH. Ingen av kandidatgenene for ACL var annotert som dette enzymet. Disse var annotert som enzymer som katalyserer lignende reaksjoner. Fraværet av gode kandidatgener for ACL kunne bety at Aurantiochytrium T66 ikke har dette enzymet. ACL er et enzym som er involvert i å skaffe acetyl-CoA til lipidsyntese i cytosol. Hvis dette ensymet ikke er til stede, vil det bety at en annen mekanisme benyttes for å forsyne fettsyresyntesen med substrat. Kofaktoravhengigheten til G3PDH var interessant å se nærmere på, fordi avhengigheten av enten NADH eller NADPH vil være viktig informasjon for modelleringen av organismens metabolisme. G3PDH er involvert i produksjonen av glyserol 3-fosfat, som utgjør en sentral del av triglyserider. Fettsyrer lagres ofte som triglyserider i organismer som akkumulerer lipider.

Kandidatgenene ble klonet inn i ekspresjonsvektorer basert på RK2 plasmidet med et Pm/XylS promotorsystem. Vektorene inneholdt en sekvens som kodet for en C-terminal Histag, hvilket gjorde utrensing med immobilisert metallaffinitetskromatografi (IMAC) mulig. Plasmidene ble overført til Escherichia coli. Rekombinant protein ble forsøkt utrenset med IMAC, men genene kunne ikke uttrykkes med dette oppsettet. Ulike strategier ble forsøkt for å forbedre uttrykket. Dyrkningstemperaturen i E. coli ble senket. Uttrykk i Pseudomonas putida med ulike protokoller i forhold til celletetthet ved induksjon og induksjonssubstanskonsentrasjon ble forsøkt. Bruken av signalpeptidet PelB ble forsøkt i både E. coli og $P$. putida. Ingen av disse strategiene ga signifikant utbytte av peptidene når SDSPAGE ble utført.

En alternativ strategi var å måle enzymaktivitet i Aurantiochytrium T66. Schizochytrium S21 ble brukt som en kontroll hvor ACL aktivitet var tidligere rapportert. Vekstkurver for begge organismene ble laget, og prøver for enzymaktivitetsmålinger ble tatt i både eksponentiellfase og i overgangen til stasjonærfase. Cellekulturprøvene ble forsøkt lysert ved sonikering. Lysering viste seg å være vanskelig, og proteinutbyttet var lavt. ACL og G3PDH aktivitetsmålinger ble likevel utført. For G3PDH ble målinger med både NADH og NADPH utført. Målingene viste ingen signifikant aktivitet for hverken ACL eller G3PDH. Aktivitetsmålingsprotokollene ble verifisert ved å teste kommersielt produserte enzymer. Siden ACL aktivitet i Schizochytrium S21 var tidligere rapportert og G3PDH-kandidatene i Aurantiochytrium T66 viste god homologi med karakteriserte enzymer, ble det antatt at aktivitetsmålingene var negative på grunn av lyseringsproblemene.
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## 1. Introduction

Export of seafood is an important source of income for Norway. In 2018, seafood for 99 billion Norwegian kroner were exported, of which 72 \% were produced by aquaculture. The export value is rapidly growing, with an increase of $122 \%$ in the last decade
(NorwegianSeafoodCouncil, 2019).
However, this industry faces a substantial challenge; there is a lack of long-chain omega-3 fatty acids for the fish feed. The current available amount of omega- 3 fatty acids is insufficient both for the advised human consumption and for the growth of aquaculture. Omega-3 fatty acids, especially eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) have been shown to have positive effects on human health, while the lack of these will have negative health affects (Salem and Eggersdorfer, 2015). A reduced amount of these fatty acids in fish feed does not seem to have a negative effect on the growth of the fish itself. However, in some cases fish fed with reduced amounts of omega- 3 fatty acids have been more susceptible to pathogens compared to fish fed with higher amounts of omega-3 fatty acids (Bransden et al., 2003). Nevertheless, a reduced amount of omega 3 fatty acids in the fish feed will substantially decrease the nutritional value for human consumption. This is why the replacement of the EPA and DHA containing fish oil with plant oil, which does not contain these components, will not provide a viable solution for production of fish feed (Gasco, 2018).

Several solutions have been proposed to produce more omega-3 fatty acids. One solution is to genetically engineer plants and animals to increase their omega-3 content. Even though this has been successfully performed, the resistance towards genetically modified organisms is substantial in the Western world. However, another possibility is also present; the use of omega- 3 producing algae. The process is relatively expensive, but it runs on cheap and readily available raw materials. It is even vegan, halal and kosher, and thus it can be used to supply omega-3 fatty acids for people of particular nutritional regimes related to different beliefs (Salem and Eggersdorfer, 2015).

Microbial oils have been studied for more than a century. Advances were made on the identification and exploitation of oil producing microorganisms during the first half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, particularly in Germany during the two wars where food sources were scarce. However, the development stopped when agricultural oil production gained efficiency after

World War 2. In the early 1980s, microbial oils once again became interesting because some of these oils have a valuable lipid composition (Ratledge and Wynn, 2002).

Several organisms have been identified and selected for commercial production of microbial oils. Within the thraustochytrid family, several species have proven to be able microbial oil production organisms (Ratledge and Wynn, 2002). The mechanisms of lipid production in the different thraustochytrid species is not completely understood. By developing a more detailed understanding of the organism, strain improvement by genetic engineering may be performed. This may again give a more economically viable process, enabling the oil produced to be accessible for low price range markets such as aquaculture (Aasen et al., 2016).

The Auromega project is a cooperation project between NTNU and SINTEF, funded by Digital Life Norway. The project started in 2017 and aims to develop a model of thraustochytrids to better exploit their lipid-producing abilities. In order to do this, experimental molecular genetics, mathematical modelling, and large-scale omics analyses will be used. This master thesis was a part of this project. The focus of this thesis was to investigate the potential presence and activity of a few enzymes involved in the lipid synthesis of a strain of thraustochytrids; Aurantiochytrium T66. This was done by cloning and heterologous expression of the candidate genes in bacteria.

### 1.1 Thraustochytrids

Thraustochytrids were first described in 1934. These organisms are unicellular, heterotrophic eukaryotes (Aasen et al., 2016). They usually have a uniform, spherical shape with a diameter between 30 and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Gupta et al., 2012). Thraustochytrids are marine organisms found in a wide variety of marine environments, usually within the salinity range of 20-34 \%. Even though these organisms are found in both hypo- and hypersaline environments, they are considered obligately dependent on sodium. They usually have numerous mitochondria, and might have several lipid bodies in their cytoplasm (Fossier Marchan et al., 2018).

The taxonomy of these organisms has been widely debated. In a review written by Fossier Marchan et al. (2018), the taxonomic order in Table 1.1 was proposed.

Table 1.1: Taxonomic classification of the Thraustochytriidae family. Classification proposed by Fossier Marchan et al. (2018).

| Kingdom: Chromista |
| :--- |
| Subkingdom: Harosa |
| Superphylum: Heterokonta |
| Phylum: Bygira |
| Subphylum: Sagenista |
| Order: Thraustochytrida |
| Family: Thraustochytriidae |

In the Thraustochytriidae family there is currently recognized nine genera; Thraustochytrium, Japonochytrium, Schizochytrium, Aurantiochytrium, Ulkenia, Sicyoidochytrium, Parietichytrium, Botryochytrium, and Monorhizochytrium (Fossier Marchan et al., 2018).

Thraustochytrids have gained interest during the last few decades, in particular due to their docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) producing properties (Gupta et al., 2012). They are also found to be oleaginous organisms, which means that they are able to store lipids in amounts that surpasses $20 \%$ of their dry weight (Ratledge and Wynn, 2002). Jakobsen et al. (2008) reported that during laboratory fermentation trials the thraustochytrid Aurantiochytrium T66 reached a total lipid content of $54-63 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ of dry weight. In the same report, the maximum fraction of DHA was found to be $36-52 \%$ of the total lipids. They also showed that the increase in lipid storage in the thraustochytrid was a consequence of nitrogen and/or oxygen limitations.

DHA is a polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA). It is commonly denoted $22: 6 \omega, 3$, meaning that the carbon chain consists of 22 carbon atoms and has 6 double bonds, of which the first is at position number 3 counted from the methyl end (Gupta et al., 2012). The structure of DHA is shown in Figure 1.1.


Figure 1.1: Structure of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). (Rapoport and Taha, 2014).

Intake of DHA has been proven important to ensure correct embryonic development, as well as being a prevention against cardiovascular diseases in adults (Fossier Marchan et al., 2018). DHA-containing oils have been industrially produced by thraustochytrids for the last couple of decades. The company originally called OmegaTech, today incorporated into DSM, started their production in the 1990s (Aasen et al., 2016). DHA-containing oils produced by thraustochytrids have been extensively tested and approved as safe by both American and European authorities. In some cases, the DHA content in food have been increased by either adding the oil directly to the product (e.g. choritzo sausage) or feeding it to the animal producing the product (e.g. hens). The aquaculture industry is currently looking for ways to acquire DHA without having to capture wild fish stocks. DHA from thraustochytrids might provide an alternative DHA source, either by the direct addition of the organisms in the feed, by feeding thraustochytrids to shrimps and other small organisms used as fish feed, or by extracting the DHA from the thraustochytrids and then incorporating the oil in the fish feed (Fossier Marchan et al., 2018).

### 1.2 Synthesis of Fatty Acids

Glucose is a compound that is used to supply cells with both energy and precursors for cellular components. It can be oxidized via the glycolysis to pyruvate in the cytosol of the cell. The pyruvate may be further used to provide energy for the cell by degradation through the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) in the mitochondria (Nelson and Cox, 2013b). Alternatively, the pyruvate can be used to make fatty acids (Ratledge and Wynn, 2002). An overview of these processes is shown in Figure 1.2.


Figure 1.2: Overview of the breakdown of glucose through the TCA cycle or its conversion to triacylglycerols. Glucose may be degraded to pyruvate through the glycolysis. The pyruvate molecules may be further degraded to provide energy through the TCA cycle in the mitochondria or to provide precursors for production of triacylglycerols (Nelson and Cox, 2013b). The figure is adapted from Ratledge and Wynn (2002). The ATP-dependent citrate lyase (ACL) enzyme is indicated in blue.

Fatty acids in eukaryotes are synthesized from malonyl-coenzyme A (CoA) molecules (Ratledge, 2004). Malonyl-CoA is made from acetyl-CoA and a converted $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule by the enzyme acetyl-CoA carboxylase at the cost of one adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (Figure 1.3). The synthesis of fatty acids is a four-step process. This process is catalyzed by either
separate enzymes or a complex, which is either way called fatty acid synthase (FAS) (Nelson and Cox, 2013c).


Figure 1.3: Synthesis of malonyl-CoA. An acetyl-CoA molecule is converted to a malonylCoA molecule by addition of a converted $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule ( $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$). The reaction is catalyzed by the enzyme acetyl-CoA carboxylase, containing a biotin prosthetic group, at the cost of one adenosine triphosphate (ATP) molecule. The figure is copied from Berg et al. (2002b).

A thiol group of the FAS system needs to be activated before the synthesis can start. The activation is achieved by the transfer of an acetyl group from acetyl-CoA, via acyl carrier protein (ACP), to a cysteine -SH group. An ACP thiol group is then activated by the transfer of a malonyl group from malonyl-CoA to the protein. ACP may be either a domain of the FAS complex or a separate peptide, depending on the organism. It serves as a transfer aid, shuttling intermediates of the reaction between different domains or peptides (Nelson and Cox, 2013c).

The first step of the reaction is a condensation of the activated acyl group attached to the FAS and two carbons from the malonyl-CoA attached to the ACP. The condensation releases a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule, and yields a $\beta$-keto product. The next step is a reduction of the $\beta$-keto group to yield an alcohol at the cost of one NADPH molecule. A water molecule is then eliminated, leaving a double bond. The double bond is reduced at the cost of another NADPH molecule, yielding a saturated fatty acyl group. The first round of the four-step reaction is shown in Figure 1.4. These four steps may then be repeated to yield palmitate, which is a saturated fatty acid containing 16 carbon atoms. The final fatty acid is released from ACP by enzymatic hydrolysis. (Nelson and Cox, 2013c).


Figure 1.4: The first four-step round in the synthesis of a fatty acid. The reaction is catalyzed by fatty acid synthase (FAS). The first step of the reaction is a condensation of an activated acyl group attached to the FAS via acyl carrier protein (ACP) and two carbons from the malonyl-CoA attached to an $A C P$. The condensation releases a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule, and
yields the $\beta$-keto product acetoacetyl-ACP. The next step is a reduction of the $\beta$-keto group to yield an alcohol (D-3-hydroxybutyryl-ACP) at the cost of one NADPH molecule. A water molecule is then eliminated, leaving a double bond (crotonyl-ACP). The double bond is then reduced at the cost of another NADPH molecule, yielding a saturated fatty acyl group (butyryl-ACP). The figure is copied from Berg et al. (2002b).

Acetyl-CoA is made in the mitochondria of nonphotosynthetic eukaryotes, while the fatty acid synthesis takes place in the cytosol. One way of overcoming this locational difference, is converting the acetyl group to citrate by citrate synthase, transport of the citrate across the mitochondrial membrane, and then reconversion to acetyl-CoA by ATP-dependent citrate lyase (ACL) in the cytosol (Nelson and Cox, 2013c). The activity of ACL is further described in Section 1.4.1.

Fatty acid synthesis in thraustochytrids has been proposed to use two similar pathways; the FAS system described above and the polyketide synthase (PKS) pathway (Aasen et al., 2016). The FAS pathway generates short, saturated fatty acids such as $14: 0$ and 16:0, which have been detected in thraustochytrids (Hauvermale et al., 2006). These fatty acids may be converted to unsaturated, longer fatty acids by enzymes such as desaturases and elongases (Aasen et al., 2016). Longer, unsaturated fatty acids have been found in thraustochytrids (Yokoyama and Honda, 2007). However, it has been proposed that DHA is produced by the PKS pathway in thraustochytrids. The pathway is not completely known, but it presumably uses similar steps as the FAS pathway. The main difference is that in most cycles, the double bond from the newly added malonyl-CoA is not removed. This will save reducing power (see Figure 1.5) (Aasen et al., 2016).


Figure 1.5: Comparison of the FAS and the PKS pathways. These pathways are two possible pathways for synthesis of DHA. a) The FAS pathway uses malonyl-CoA and NADPH to produce an 18:0 fatty acid. Elongases and desaturases may then further transform the fatty acid into DHA (C22:6). The $\omega-3$ pathway is shown, while the branching point to $\omega-6$ is indicated. b) The PKS pathway uses the same precursors as FAS to generate DHA, but a different enzymatic combination. The amount of NADPH used for each step in the two pathways is indicated on the left side of each pathway, while the enzymes involved are indicated on the right side. KS is ketoacyl transferase, $K R$ is keto reductase, DH is dehydratase, DH/i is a combined dehydratase and trans-cis isomerase capable of removing double bonds, and $E R$ is enoyl reductase. $\Delta$ signifies a desaturase, where the number explains the position of the introduced double bond from the carboxyl end. ELO is a multifunctional elongase incorporating the activities of $K S, K R, D H$ and $E R$. The figure is copied from Aasen et al. (2016).

### 1.3 Synthesis of Triacylglycerols

Synthesized fatty acids are usually either incorporated into the phospholipids found in membranes or used to make triacylglycerols. In order to make either of these molecules, a backbone in the form of phosphatidic acid is needed. This molecule is made from L-glycerol 3-phosphate by the attachment of two fatty acids, which are carried as fatty acyl groups by coenzyme A. The L-glycerol 3-phosphate itself can be made from dihydroxyacetone phosphate from the glycolysis by glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH) or from glycerol by glycerol kinase (Nelson and Cox, 2013c). A general outline of the production of phosphatidic acid is shown in Figure 1.6.


Figure 1.6: Overview of phosphatidic acid synthesis. L-glycerol 3-phosphate can be made both from glycerol by glycerol kinase or from dihydroxyacetone phosphate from the glycolysis by glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Fatty acids may then be attached by ester linkages by acyl transferase. The fatty acids are carried by coenzyme $A$, to which they are attached by acyl-CoA synthetase. The cost of ATP or NADH molecules are indicated by orange circles.

The $R^{l}$ and $R^{2}$ in the formulas represents fatty acids. The figure is copied from Nelson and Cox (2013c).

The phosphatidic acid may be used to synthesize either a triacylglycerol or a glycerophospholipid by the action of different enzymes. For glycerophospholipids, a head group is attached to the phosphate group. Phosphatidic acid phosphatase can convert phosphatidic acid to 1,2-diacylglycerol, which in turn may receive a third fatty acyl group transported by coenzyme A by the activity of acyl transferase. This will yield a triacylglycerol (Nelson and Cox, 2013c). This process is outlined in Figure 1.7.


Figure 1.7:Overview of the synthesis of triacylglycerols and glycerophospholipids. These two groups of lipids are both synthetized from phosphatidic acid. For glycerophospholipids, a
head group is attached to the phosphate group. Alternatively, phosphatidic acid phosphatase can convert phosphatidic acid to 1,2-diacylglycerol, which in turn may receive a third fatty acyl group transported by coenzyme A by the activity of acyl transferase. This will yield a triacylglycerol. The figure is copied from Nelson and Cox (2013c).

### 1.4 Enzymes of Interest

As the Auromega project is focused on the lipid synthesis of thraustochytrids, it was interesting to investigate enzymes involved in these pathways. ATP-dependent citrate lyase (ACL) was chosen for its role in the indirect transport of acetyl-CoA from the mitochondria to the cytosol of the cells. Glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase was chosen for its role in providing the substrate glycerol 3-phosphate for lipid synthesis, as well as its role in regenerating NAD+ for the glycolysis in the cytosol.

### 1.4.1 ATP-Dependent Citrate Lyase (ACL)

ATP-dependent citrate lyase is an enzyme usually found in eukaryotes. It catalyzes the cleaving of citrate, using ATP and CoA. The products are acetyl-CoA, oxaloacetate adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and inorganic phosphate ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) (Srere, 1972). The reaction is shown in Eq. 1.1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Citrate }^{3-}+\text { ATP }+ \text { CoA }=\text { acetyl-CoA }+ \text { oxaloacetate }+\mathrm{ADP}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{Eq. 1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ has been found to be the most effective cofactor, but the reaction may proceed with a slower rate when $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ is replacing $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (Srere, 1972).

The ACL enzyme has been pointed out by Ratledge and Wynn (2002) to be an essential enzyme for oleaginous yeasts.

Increased lipid production in oleaginous yeasts can be caused by a nitrogen limitation. Growth under these conditions have been shown to lead to an abrupt drop in adenosine monophosphate (AMP) levels in the cells. This has been proposed to be due to the nitrogen dependence of the enzyme AMP deaminase, which catalyzes the conversion of AMP to inosine monophosphate (IMP). The low AMP concentration may then reduce the activity of the isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH) enzyme that converts isocitrate to 2-oxoglutarate using $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$. This will increase the concentration of isocitrate, which may then be converted to citrate via aconitate by aconitase. The surplus citrate will then provide more substrate for the ACL, which will then provide more acetyl-CoA for lipid synthesis (Ratledge and Wynn,
2002). Figure 1.8 illustrates these processes, how they relate to the glycolysis and the citric acid cycle (TCA), and where in the cell the reactions take place.


Figure 1.8: Scheme of the processes involved in lipid accumulation in oleaginous yeasts. Formation of triacylglycerol may be triggered by the reduction of nitrogen availability. The resulting processes are described in the text above. The enzymes shown in the figure are ATPdependent citrate lyase (ACL), isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH), and fatty acid synthase (FAS). The tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) is indicated as a dotted line. The figure is copied from Ratledge and Wynn (2002).

### 1.4.2 Glycerol 3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase (G3PDH)

In Section 1.2 the role of G3PDH in the conversion of DHAP to G3P in the cytosol is described. However, another variant of this enzyme (mG3PDH) is found embedded on the surface of the inner mitochondrial membrane. This enzyme, together with the cytosolic version, forms a shuttle system of electrons across the mitochondrial membrane.

During glycolysis, $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$is consumed in the cytosol. NADH then needs to be reoxidized to $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$by the respiratory chain. This takes place in the mitochondria, but the NADH molecules cannot pass the inner mitochondrial membrane. However, when cytosolic G3PDH converts DHAP to G3P, an NADH molecule is oxidized to $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$(Figure 1.9). The
mitochondrial isozyme can then regenerate DHAP by reducing flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) to $\mathrm{FADH}_{2}$. The mG3PDH then catalyzes the regeneration of FAD by transferring the two reducing units to ubiquinone in the mitochondrial membrane. The electrons will then enter the respiratory chain, where they will generate 1.5 ATP molecules. This is 1 ATP less that what the direct use of NADH would give (Berg et al., 2002a).


Figure 1.9: The glycerol 3-phoshate shuttle. This is a mechanism regenerating $N A D^{+}$in the cytosol. NADH cannot itself pass the mitochondrial membrane to be reoxidized by oxidative phosphorylation. However, just as cytosolic glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase can reduce dihydrocyacetone phosphate to glycerol 3-phosphate (G3P), the isozyme embedded in the inner mitochondrial membrane can oxidize G3P by reducing FAD. FAD may then be regenerated by transferring the electrons to ubiquinone in the mitochondrial membrane. The electrons will then be used to generate ATP by oxidative phosphorylation. The figure is copied from Berg et al. (2002a).

### 1.5 Heterologous Gene Expression

Genes may be expressed in other organisms than the one from which they originate. A practical way to do this, is to clone the gene into an expression vector (a type of plasmid). A host organism may then produce the protein encoded by the gene. An expression vector
usually includes a promotor which controls the expression of the gene, a peptide tag, a selection gene, and restriction sites. Like other plasmids, it should also have an origin of replication where the replication may be initiated (Clark and Pazdernik, 2013a).

### 1.5.1 Origin of Replication and Copy Number

The synthesis of DNA in all organisms start from a specific area in the DNA, an origin of replication (ori). The replication is the result of the coordination of several enzymes. The process starts by the binding of a replication initiation protein or an initiation complex to the origin of replication. The binding separates the DNA strands and promotes the other components needed for the replication machinery (the replisome) to function, namely the helicase, the primase and the polymerase. In the case of the bacterial chromosome origin (oriC), the initiation protein is DnaA (Wawrzycka et al., 2015). The RK2 plasmid carries its own origin of vegetative replication, the oriV. This origin of replication is made up of two clusters of a total of eight 17-base pair (bp) repeats (iterons) (Kolatka et al., 2010). The RK2 plasmid also encodes the TrfA protein, its own initiation protein. This protein interacts with the iterons, and assisted by amongst other host encoded proteins, DnaA, initiates replication (Wawrzycka et al., 2015). Even though RK2 is a low copy number plasmid present in 4-8 copies per chromosome in E. coli (Kolatka et al., 2010), Durland et al. (1990) showed that mutated versions of the $\operatorname{trf} A$ gene such as $\operatorname{trf} A \operatorname{cop} 271 C$ will yield a significantly higher copy number.

All the pMEJ plasmids not based on a $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vector backbone made in this thesis contain the RK2 oriV origin of replication and encode the TrfA cop271C initiation protein.

### 1.5.2 Promotor

Genes to be expressed in expression vectors may be placed under the control of a wide range of promotors (Clark and Pazdernik, 2013a). One such promotor is the Pm promotor, which in turn is regulated by the XylS regulator encoded by the $x y l S$ gene. The XylS regulator is in turn controlled by its own promotor, which usually creates a constitutive low expression of the regulator. The activation of the $P m$ promotor may be achieved by the addition of a wide range of benzoic acid derivatives, that are both relatively cheap and easily diffuses across the bacterial membrane. An example of one such inducer is m-toluate (3-methylbenzoate). When the inducer binds to XylS, it will form a dimer. This dimer may in turn bind to the Pm promotor sequence and thus induce the transcription of the gene controlled by the promotor.

The $P m$ promotor vectors also contains an efficient ribosome binding site upstream of the cloning site at the start codon (Gawin et al., 2017).

### 1.5.3 Signal Peptide

Numerous proteins that are synthesized inside cells, are destined to become extracytoplasmic proteins. The preproteins of these are often marked with a code for exportation, which is a short peptide sequence referred to as a signal peptide (Auclair et al., 2012). A typical signal peptide is approximately 20 amino acids (aas) long. It consists of a few positive amino acids, followed by a hydrophobic domain (Pines and Inouye, 1999). Upon reaching the site of exportation, the signal peptide is cleaved from the remaining peptide chain by a signal peptidase, resulting in a mature protein (Auclair et al., 2012). Correct cleavage may require aas such as glycine or alanine following the hydrophobic domain. E. coli may accumulate as much as $20 \%$ of its total protein in the periplasm, which makes it a favored producer of heterologous proteins. Additionally, translocation to the periplasm may only require a signal sequence, whereas membrane targeting is dependent on the sequence of the protein itself (Pines and Inouye, 1999).

Sletta et al. (2007) showed that the fusion of a pelB signal sequence to the $5^{\prime}$ end of a gene controlled by the $P m / x y l S$ promoter gave a significantly higher yield of heterologous expressed protein in E. coli compared to the absence of a signal sequence. The increased expression was largely attributed to improved translation of the recombinant peptides. pelB was chosen as the signal sequence used in this thesis.

### 1.5.4 His-tag

An effective way to purify recombinant proteins, is to use immobilized metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC). This separation technique exploits the affinity of histidine amino acid residues for metal ions such as $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Co}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ (Bornhorst and Falke, 2000). When the technique is used to purify proteins, it is also referred to as fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC).

When this technique is used, a sequence encoding approximately 6 histidine residues in a row is genetically engineered into either the $5^{\prime}$ or the $3^{\prime}$ end of the gene encoding the protein to be purified. The sequence must be placed in such a way that it will be translated in the right reading frame to encode histidine residues. Quite often, this sequence is included as part of the expression vector. A protein extract from the production organism may then be loaded onto a column with a stationary phase containing a divalent cation. The electrons in the
double bonds in the imidazole ring of the histidine residues will then form coordination bonds with the cations in the stationary phase. If the mobile phase transporting the peptides also contains a small amount of imidazole, this will prevent unspecific binding of other peptides containing a few histidine residues in a row. This will cause the His-tagged proteins to stay bound to the column, while the other proteins will be eluted. If another mobile phase containing a higher concentration of free imidazole is then loaded onto the column, the imidazole molecules will take the place of the His-tagged proteins, and these will then be eluted (Bornhorst and Falke, 2000).

### 1.5.5 Optimization of Heterologous Expression

Heterologous protein expression usually needs to be optimized. Several factors might be optimized in order to overcome different challenges.

Two main challenges were identified by Papaneophytou and Kontopidis (2014). Either there can be a problem to express significant amounts of the protein, or the protein expressed might aggregate and form inclusion bodies. If proteins are caught in inclusion bodies, their biological activity might be lost even if the aggregates are dissolved (Kaur et al., 2018). In order to express significant amounts of protein, both transcription and translation needs to be optimized (Hannig and Makrides, 1998).

Temperature optimization has been shown to be a possible solution to both of the main problems related to heterologous expression. Translation of heterologously expressed genes has in some cases been shown to be temperature dependent (Al-Hendy et al., 1991). Also, lowering of growth temperature can in some cases prevent formation of inclusion bodies (Kaur et al., 2018). A too high growth temperature might also cause plasmid loss (Papaneophytou and Kontopidis, 2014).

The basic design of DNA, with 4 different bases read as codons of 3 bases, gives the possibility of 64 different codons. This is more than enough to encode the 20 amino acids and stop codon needed. Consequently, one amino acid can usually be encoded by several different codons. Different organisms tend to prefer specific codons. This is referred to as the codon bias (Athey et al., 2017). This seems to be particularly important at the beginning of the translation (Hannig and Makrides, 1998). The GC-content of the host organism might have an influence on the expression of heterologous proteins, because it is related to the codon bias. This is mainly due to the preference, or at least larger proportion, of C or G as the third base in the codons. The GC-content of an organism is related to the proportion of tRNAs available
for each codon. The difference in codon preference and consequently tRNA composition between the host organism and the organism the gene originates from may be overcome by two different solutions. The gene may be codon optimized to encode codons more common to the host organism. Alternatively, the genes for the tRNAs posing the problem might be introduced and induced along with the genes to be expressed, either in the expression vector or in a separate vector (Kaur et al., 2018).

Proteolysis can also be an important factor when optimizing heterologous expression. This process is a natural part of the host metabolism, where proteins are degraded. The process is not random, and proteins that are for instance recognized as misfolded will be degraded. The translocation of a heterologously expressed protein to the periplasm of the host organism may solve the proteolysis problem. Numerous other solutions to this problem also exists, such as using fusion proteins, using host cells with lower amounts of proteases, and coexpressing chaperones (Hannig and Makrides, 1998).

Translocation to the periplasm might also solve several other expression problems. The cytoplasm of bacteria is a reducing environment. Some proteins might need the more oxidizing conditions found in the periplasm to achieve the correct formation of disulfide bonds. In some cases it might also facilitate recuperation of the protein after production (Kaur et al., 2018).

### 1.6 Purpose of the Thesis

The sequence of the Aurantiochytrium T66 have been determined by Illumina-sequencing, and these sequences are published at the NCBI online database with the accession code LNGJ00000000 (Liu et al., 2016). These sequences have been annotated by Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) comparison. In this thesis, some of the open reading frames (ORFs) were investigated further to determine if they could be more confidently annotated. The OFRs chosen as genes of interest are shown in Table 1.2. The parts of the gene codes indicated in bold will be used as the short forms of the gene codes throughout this thesis. None of these genes were found to contain introns. The information described in this section and in the table was provided by the Auromega project group.

The Auromega project group selected five ORFs suspected to encode either G3PDH or ACL activity. No genes in Aurantiochytrium T66 were annotated as ACL, but genes that were annotated as succinate-CoA ligase or citrate lyase were chosen to study further. Succinyl-CoA is an enzyme involved in the citric acid cycle, and it catalyzes the conversion of succinyl-CoA
to succinate while generating an ATP-molecule and liberating CoA (Nelson and Cox, 2013a). The molecules involved in this reaction bear resemblance to the molecules involved in the reaction catalyzed by ACL, although the reaction described in Section 1.4.1 is similar to the reverse reaction described for succinyl-CoA. It is thus easy to assume that the enzymes involved in the two reactions might bear resemblance, and that a computer-based annotation might confuse the two.

Table 1.2: Overview of genes of interest in this thesis. The code of the genes used by the Auromega project, the preliminary annotation of the genes, and the suspected product of the genes are indicated. Also, the scaffold number, their GenBank accession code, and the region on the scaffold where the gene can be found are listed.

| Gene code | Annotation | Suspected product | Scaffold GeneBank | Accession code | Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T66006817.1 | Succinate-CoA ligase [ADP-forming] subunit alpha | ACL | Scaffold_0008 | KQ758883.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 773623 . . \\ & 774588 \end{aligned}$ |
| T66010760.1 | Succinate-CoA ligase [ADP-forming] subunit beta | ACL | Scaffold_0005 | KQ758821.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1050626 . . \\ & 1051903 \end{aligned}$ |
| T66009329.1 | Citrate lyase subunit betalike protein | ACL | Scaffold_0002 | KQ758900.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1387734 . . \\ & 1388699 \end{aligned}$ |
| T66006468.1 | glycerol-3- <br> phosphate <br> dehydrogenase | G3PDH | Scaffold_0007 | KQ758880.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Complement } \\ & (959159 . . \\ & 961102) \end{aligned}$ |
| T66008081.2 | glycerol-3- <br> phosphate <br> dehydrogenase, mitochondrial precursor | G3PDH | Scaffold_0025 | KQ758896.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 216096 . . \\ & 218339 \end{aligned}$ |

The purpose of this thesis was to see whether the ORFs of interest could produce functional enzymes when cloned into bacteria, and thereby be able to evaluate the correctness of the previous annotation. Also, no clear ACL homologue was found in the genome search performed by the Auromega group. Whether or not this enzyme is found in Aurantiochytrium T66 would be of interest in the quest to understand and model its metabolism. The existence of G3PDH in Aurantiochytrium T66 was assumed certain based on the annotations done by the Auromega group. However, the cofactor used by the G3PDH was not known, and the knowledge of this would be important for modelling.

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Bioinformatic Tools and Research

Based on the information provided by the Auromega group described in Section 1.6 the preliminary computer-based research described in Section 2.1.1, 2.1.2, and 2.1.3 were performed. Other bioinformatic tools used in this thesis are subsequently described.

### 2.1.1 Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST)

Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) is a widely used bioinformatic tool that aligns sequences based on similarity. It may align many combinations of protein and nucleotide sequence data, depending on the chosen variant of BLAST. It searches for short similar segments, and thus performs alignments from these "hot spots". It returns the results of the alignment along with statistical data (Johnson et al., 2008).

As part of the preliminary research, a protein BLAST (BLASTP 2.9.0+) (Altschul et al., 1997) was performed by entering the letter sequence of the protein encoded by the genes of interest. The Protein Data Bank (PDB) protein database was chosen for the search because these proteins are in general experimentally verified.

### 2.1.2 Swiss-Model Homology Modelling

When His-tags are to be used, it is an advantage to know whether the ends of the proteins to be tagged are embedded inside the protein or not. The SWISS-MODEL homology modelling tool was used to make 3D models of the proteins.

SWISS-MODEL takes an amino acid sequence, for instance in a FASTA format, as input. First, a template search will then be performed, where the input sequence is compared to evolutionary related protein sequences in the SWISS-MODEL template library. The template library is based on input from the PDB. The templates will then be ranked according to the
expected quality of the model based on the Global Model Quality Estimate (GMQE) and the Quaternary Structure Quality Estimate (QSQE). For each template proposed by SWISSMODEL, a 3D model may be generated by the ProMod3 modelling engine (Waterhouse et al., 2018).

3D models were made for all the proteins of interest using the highest ranked template. Based on these models, the availability of the N-terminal and C-terminal ends for carrying a His-tag was evaluated.

### 2.1.3 Signal Peptide Search

In order to investigate whether the proteins encoded by the genes of interest encoded signal peptides, the SignalP v.4.1 signal peptide search program was used. This program takes a FASTA sequence input and compares the sequence to a database of experimentally verified signal peptide sequences. It returns a yes or no prediction of whether there is a signal peptide encoded by the peptide, and if yes; its location in the peptide chain and the probable cutoff site. One may choose to search within either eukaryotic, Gram positive, and Gram negative databases, and the first was chosen for the investigations in this thesis (Nordahl Petersen et al., 2011).

### 2.1.4 The Codon Bias

A database called the High-performance Integrated Virtual Environment-Codon Usage Tables (HIVE-CUTs) collects genome data from GenBank and NCBI's RefSeq database, analyses the codon usage, and returns the number of appearances of a given codon per 1000 codons. Thus, a low scoring codon will likely not be the preferred codon for the organism in question (Athey et al., 2017).

The first $\sim 39$ codons of each gene of interest were compared to the codon preference data provided by HIVE-CUTs for Pseudomonas putida (NCBI taxonomic id 303) and E. coli (NCBI taxonomic id 562). The beginning of the gene was chosen in the belief that the codon bias might be the most relevant in the start of the translation of the genes.

### 2.1.5 Benchling

Benchling is an online molecular biology tool for saving and processing data. It includes features such as the possibility to annotate parts of sequences, performing virtual digests, make new DNA molecules by digestion followed by ligation, and creation of alignments (Benchling Molbio Overview, 2019). Benchling have been used to process the DNA related information used in this thesis.

### 2.2 Primers

The primers used to amplify the genes of interest by the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) were made using the program Clone. The primers were designed so that the product would have a NdeI cut site at the 5' end and a NotI, PspOMI, NheI or AvrII cut site at the 3' end. The reverse primer was given a tail that would give the right reading frame for the His-tag in the plasmid used later. Special primers were also ordered for gene 6468 and 8081 to sequence the center of the PCR products formed. Primers for M13 (forward and reverse) were used for sequencing. The primers were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich. The sequences of the primers are shown in Table 2.1. The primers used to incorporate the AvrII restriction site in the vector itself are indicated "AvrII" in the table.

Table 2.1: Primers used for PCR reactions and sequencing. All primers are written in 5'-3' direction. $F, R$, and $S$ indicates "forward primer," "reverse primer," and "sequencing primer", respectively. The bold bases indicate bases different from the template DNA. The underlines mark restriction enzyme cut sites, and the specific enzyme is indicated behind the primer sequence.

| Gene |  | Primer sequence (5'-3') |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 8 1 7}$ | F | CATATGCTCGCGCGTACC | (NdeI) |
|  | R | GCGGCCGCAAGCATGGCCTTGCCAAGAGCCTTC | (NotI) |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 6 0}$ | F | CATATGTCGGCCCTGGGAG | (NdeI) |
|  | R | GGGCCCGTAGCATGGCAGCGAGCTTGACAG | (PspOMI) |
| $\mathbf{9 3 2 9}$ | F | CATATGACGATGTCGCCCGCCCGGAC | (NdeI) |
|  | R | CCTAGGAGAAGAGCGCGCGCCTTGATAC | (AvrII) |
| $\mathbf{6 4 6 8}$ | F | CATATGCAGTCCCTCCGGCGCGCATC | (NdeI) |
|  | R | GGGCCCGTAGCATCTCTTCGGGGAGCTTCATGGTC | (PspOMI) |
|  | S | CAAGGACGAGGAAGGAAAGC |  |
| $\mathbf{8 0 8 1}$ | F | CATATGGCGGCGAGGATGAC | (NdeI) |
|  | R | GCTAGCACAAACATGACGCCCGAGGAG | (NheI) |
|  | S | TTATGAAGGCCAGCACAACG |  |
| $\mathbf{A v r I I ~}$ | F | CATATGCTCGCGCGTACC | (NdeI) |
|  | R | GCGGCCGCAAGCATGGCCTTGCCAAGAGCCTTC | (NotI) |
| $\mathbf{M 1 3}$ | F | CGCCAGGGTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC |  |
| $\mathbf{M 1 3}$ | R | AGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA |  |

### 2.3 Plasmids and Strains

The plasmids made in this thesis were based on other plasmids. The plasmids that made the starting point for the cloning in this thesis are listed in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: Overview of plasmids used as starting points for cloning. The reference/source of the plasmid is given as well as relevant information about the plasmid itself.

| Plasmid | Reference/Source | Characteristics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMV20 | Vilnes (2018) | RK2 oriV, kan $^{r}$, trfA cop271, lac promotor |
| pMV23 | Vilnes (2018) | RK2 oriV, bla, trfA cop271, Pm promotor |
| pCR $^{\text {TMII-Blunt- }}$ | Invitrogen | TOPO $^{\circledR}$ cloning vector, kan $^{r}$ |
| TOPO $^{\circledR}$ |  |  |

During the work with this thesis, numerous plasmids were made. An overview of these is shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3: Overview of plasmids made in this thesis. Backbone and insert for each plasmid is indicated, as well as the restriction enzymes used to cut each component.

| Plasmid | Backbone | Insert | Restriction <br> enzymes for <br> insert | Restriction <br> enzymes for <br> backbone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMV20 $\alpha$ | pMV20 | - | - | SalI + XbaI |
| pMEJ1 | pMV23 | pMV20 $\alpha$ | NdeI + PspOMI | NdeI + NotI |
| pMEJ2 | pMEJ1 | pMEJ1 with AvrII <br> cut site (PCR R) | NdeI + NotI | NdeI + NotI |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| pMEJ3T | pCR ${ }^{\text {TM } I I-~}$ <br> Blunt-TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ | T66006817.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pMEJ4T | pCR ${ }^{\text {TM III- }}$ <br> Blunt-TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ | T66010760.1 |  |  |
| pMEJ5T | pCR ${ }^{\text {TM III- }}$ <br> Blunt-TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ | T66006468.1 |  |  |
| pMEJ6T | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pCR}^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{II}- \\ & \text { Blunt-TOPO }^{\circledR} \end{aligned}$ | T66009329.1 |  |  |
| pMEJ7T | pCR ${ }^{\text {TM III- }}$ <br> Blunt-TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ | T66008081.2 |  |  |
| pMEJ3 | pMEJ1 | pMEJ3T | NdeI + NotI | NdeI + NotI |
| pMEJ4 | pMEJ1 | pMEJ4T | NdeI + PspOMI | NdeI + NotI |
| pMEJ5 | pMEJ1 | pMEJ5T | NdeI + PspOMI | NdeI + NotI |
| pMEJ6 | pMEJ2 | pMEJ6T | NdeI + AvrII | NdeI + AvrII |
| pMEJ7 | pMEJ2 | pMEJ7T | NdeI + NheI | NdeI + AvrII |
| pMEJ8 | pMEJ3 | $K^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ gene from pJB861 | Sbfi | PstI |
| pMEJ9 | pMEJ4 | $K^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ gene from pJB861 | Sbfi | PstI |
| pMEJ10 | pMEJ5 | $K^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ gene from pJB861 | PstI | Sbfi |
| pMEJ11 | pMEJ6 | $K^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ gene from pJB861 | PstI | SbfI |
| pMEJ12 | pMEJ7 | $K^{\mathrm{Kan}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ gene from pJB861 | PstI | SbfI |
| pMEJ13 | $\mathrm{pVB} 1$ <br> mCherry Kan- $271$ | pVB1 A1B1 | AgeI + NdeI | AgeI + NdeI |
| pMEJ14 | pMEJ13 | pMEJ3 | NdeI + DraIII | NdeI + DraIII |
| pMEJ15 | pMEJ13 | pMEJ4 | NdeI + SalI | NdeI + XhoI |
| pMEJ16 | pMEJ13 | pMEJ5 | NdeI + DraIII | NdeI + DraIII |
| pMEJ17 | pMEJ13 | pMEJ6 | NdeI + DraIII | NdeI + DraIII |
| pMEJ18 | pMEJ13 | pMEJ7 | NdeI + SalI | NdeI + XhoI |

Several organisms, and in some cases strains of these, were used in this thesis. These are shortly described in Table 2.4.

Table 2.4: Overview of organisms and strains. Organisms and strains used in this thesis are listed. Comments on their properties as well as references to relevant information concerning their characteristics are given.
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\hline \text { Species } & \text { Strain } & \text { Comment } & \text { Reference } \\ \hline \text { E. coli } & \text { DH5a } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Recombinant strain } \\ \text { from K-12 collection, } \\ \text { commonly used for }\end{array} & \text { Kostylev et al. } \\ & & & \\ & & \text { cloning and plasmid } \\ \text { amplification }\end{array}\right]$

### 2.4 Media and Solutions

### 2.4.1 LB Medium

$10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}$
$10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tryptone (Oxoid)
$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Yeast Extract (Oxoid)
RO water
For LB agar plates, Agar Bacteriologial (Oxoid) was added to a final concentration of $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.
pH was adjusted to 7.0 with 1 M HCl or 5 M NaOH . The medium was autoclaved for 20 minutes at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

When 3 times concentrated LB (3XLB) was made, the concentration of tryptone and yeast extract was tripled, while the concentration of NaCl was kept constant.

### 2.4.2 Antibiotic Solutions and Concentrations

$200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin (stock $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin (stock $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
$200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin/ampicillin (stock $60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Antibiotic solutions in the stock concentrations listed above were prepared by weighing out the antibiotic and dissolving it in RO water. The solution was sterilized by filtration before it was frozen in 1 mL aliquots. Upon use, the antibiotics were thawed and added to growth media just before inoculation to give the concentrations listed in front of each antibiotic. In the case of agar plates, the antibiotics were added just before the plates were poured and after the medium temperature was below $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.4.3 YPD Medium

$20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Bacteriological Peptone (Oxoid)
$10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Yeast Extract (Oxoid)
RO water
For YPD agar plates, Agar Bacteriologial (Oxoid) was added to a final concentration of 24 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$.

The mixture was autoclaved for 20 minutes at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The following ingredients were added to 500 mL of the mixture above in a sterile environment:

50 mL of $40 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ glucose (autoclaved separately),
200 mL of sterile RO water,
250 mL of 7\% Tropic Marin ${ }^{\circledR}$ Sea Salt Classic (sterile filtrated separately).
The liquid was mixed.

### 2.4.4 Psi Medium

$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Yeast Extract (Oxoid)
20 g/L Tryptone (Oxoid)
$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$
RO water
pH was adjusted to 7.6 using KOH . The medium was autoclaved for 20 minutes at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.4.5 SOC Medium

$20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tryptone (Oxoid)
$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Yeast Extract (Oxoid)
$0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}$
2.5 mM KCl
$3.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Glucose
$5.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} * 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
RO water
pH was adjusted to 7.0 using NaOH . The medium was sterilized by filtration and frozen in aliquots of 1 mL .

### 2.4.5 TFB1 Buffer

30 mM K-Acetate
100 mM RbCl
$10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} * 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl}_{2} * \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$15 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Glycerol
RO water
pH was adjusted to 5.8 with dilute acetic acid before the solution was sterile filtered.
2.4.6 TFB2 Buffer

10 mM MOPS
$75 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} * 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
10 mM RbCl
$15 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Glycerol
RO water
pH was adjusted to 6.5 with dilute NaOH before the solution was sterile filtered.

### 2.4.7 TAE Buffer

242 g Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris base)
$57.1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{100} \mathrm{\%}$ Acetic acid
100 mL 0.5 M EDTA ( pH 8.0 )
500 mL RO water
The ingredients were mixed and stirred until completely dissolved. RO water was added until the total volume was 1000 mL . The solution was autoclaved for 20 min at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was diluted to $1 / 50$ with RO water before use.

### 2.4.8 Agarose Gels

0.8 \% SeaKem® LE Agarose

TAE buffer
$0.005 \%$ GelGreen or GelRed Nucleic Acid Stain (Biotium)
Agarose and TAE buffer were mixed and heated in a microwave oven until the agarose was dissolved. GelGreen or GelRed was then added, and the solution was stored in a heating
cabinet at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used. Gels were made $30-60$ minutes prior to use by pouring the hot solution in a cast with a comb.

### 2.4.9 FPLC Buffers

Buffer A - Binding buffer
0.5 M NaCl

45 mM Imidazole
50 mM MOPS buffer ( pH 7.5 )
RO water
The solution was sterile filtered and stored cold.
Buffer B - Elution buffer
0.5 M NaCl
0.5 M Imidazole

50 mM MOPS buffer ( pH 7.5 )
RO water
The solution was sterile filtered and stored cold.
2.4.10 Transfer Buffer
$5.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tris base
$2.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Glycine
$0.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)
20 \% Ethanol
RO water
pH was adjusted to 8.55 using concentrated HCl .
2.4.11 Tris-Buffered Saline with Tween-20 (TBST)
$3.03 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tris base
$8.77 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}$
$0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ Tween-20

RO water
pH was adjusted to 6.98 using concentrated HCl .
2.4.12 Trace Metal Solution 1 (TMS 1)
$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4} * 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$390 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} * 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$440 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} * 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{MnSO}_{4}{ }^{*} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} * 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{LCoCl}_{2} * 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ Concentrated HCl
RO water
The solution was sterile filtered and stored at room temperature (RT).
2.4.13 Vitamin Mix 1
$0.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Thiamine HCl
$0.005 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{B}_{12} /$ Cobalmine
RO water

The solution was sterile filtered and stored at RT.
2.4.14 Media for Lipid Accumulation
$40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Glucose
$0.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$
$0.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Yeast Extract (Oxoid)
$0.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$
$18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
$0.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4} * 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$0.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} * 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$0.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{KCl}$
$6.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tris-base
$5.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Maleic acid
RO water
pH was adjusted to 7.0 before the media was sterile filtered.
Just before inoculation, the following components were added;
TMS 1 ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ ),
Vitamin Mix $1(1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L})$.

### 2.5 Methods - Cloning

### 2.5.1 Growth of Microorganisms

The growth temperatures and shaking speeds for growth listed in this section are the standard conditions used. If other conditions were used, this will be stated along with the results.

### 2.5.1.1 Growth of Aurantiochytrium T66

Aurantiochytrium T66 was taken from a frozen glycerol stock and plated on an YPD agar plate. The plate was incubated at $22-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\geq 2$ days. Colonies from the plate were then incubated in liquid YPD media at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 170 rpm over night (ON).

### 2.5.1.2 Growth of E. coli

E. coli was plated on LA agar plates. The plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{ON}$. A colony from a plate was then incubated in liquid LA medium at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 225 rpm ON .

### 2.5.1.3 Growth of $P$. putida

P. putida KT2440 was plated on LA agar plates. The plates were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{ON}$. A colony from a plate was then incubated in liquid LA medium at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 225 rpm ON.

### 2.5.2 Glycerol Stocks

Glycerol stocks were prepared by mixing $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a culture broth with $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ autoclaved $60 \%$ glycerol in a cryo tube. The tube was then frozen at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.5.3 Isolation of Genomic DNA from T66

The isolation of genomic DNA from T66 was performed using a MasterPure ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Complete DNA and RNA Purification Kit from Epicentre Biotechnologies.
0.5 mL T66 culture that had been incubated ON in a liquid YPD medium were centrifuged at RT for 60 seconds at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$. The pellet was resuspended by 10 seconds of vortexing, followed by pipetting up and down. $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Proteinase K was added to $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Tissue and Cell Lysis Solution. The Tissue and Cell Lysis Solution was designed to rupture the cells, while the Proteinase K is an enzyme that should degrade the protein in the cell lysate. $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of this mixture were added to the resuspended pellet before mixing by pipetting. The sample was incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, during which time it was vortexed every 5 minutes. It was then cooled to $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by incubation at this temperature for 5 minutes. $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RNase A was added to the sample before mixing thoroughly. The purpose of this was to degrade the RNA present in the sample. The sample was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.

The sample was placed on ice for approximately 5 minutes. $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MCP Protein Precipitation Reagent were added to the lysed sample. This reagent was designed to precipitate the protein by desalting it. The sample was vortexed for 10 seconds. This was then centrifuged at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 10 minutes. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, and the pellet containing the protein discarded. $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of isopropanol was added to the supernatant before it was inverted approximately 40 times. The DNA was then pelleted by centrifugation at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 10 minutes. The isopropanol was removed by decantation. The pellet was washed twice with $70 \%$ ethanol. After decanting the ethanol off, the pellet was left to dry at RT for about 1 hour. The DNA was then resuspended by the addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TE buffer followed by ON incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a shaker. The sample was then frozen.

### 2.5.4 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a method used to amplify a specific sequence of DNA (the target sequence) from the original DNA sample (the template). Primers are made to match each end of the target sequence. These are usually between 15 and 20 bp long and may include special restriction sites to facilitate the ligation of the products into plasmids. A heat stable DNA polymerase is used to perform the elongation of the DNA from the primers by adding new nucleotides according to the template sequence. PCR machines, or more appropriately thermocyclers, are used to control temperature during the reaction. The thermocycler first heats the template DNA to about $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to separate the two DNA strands of the double helix from each other. The temperature is then lowered to allow the primers to bind to each end of the target sequence. This temperature depends on the melting temperature of the primers, but are usually around $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature is then increased to the
optimum temperature of the DNA polymerase (around $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and kept there for the time needed for the polymerase to synthesize the length of the target sequence. The cycle then starts over again. For each cycle the number of copies of the target sequence will increase exponentially (Clark and Pazdernik, 2013b).

### 2.5.4.1 Preparation of PCR Samples

$25.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ autoclaved RO water
$10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Q5 5X Reaction Buffer
$10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Q 5 X High GC Enhancer
$1 \mu \mathrm{LdNTP}(10 \mathrm{mM})$
$0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ purified genomic DNA or purified plasmid
$1.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ forward primer ( $20 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ )
$1.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reverse primer ( $20 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ )
$0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Q ${ }^{\circledR}$ High-Fidelity DNA polymerase
The Q5 related compounds as well as the nucleotide mixture was provided by New England Biolabs, Inc (NEB).

The ingredients above were added to a PCR tube to give a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The tubes were kept on ice during the preparation. The solution was carefully mixed and spun down before running the PCR.

### 2.5.4.2 PCR Conditions

The PCR reaction was set up using a thermocycler from Eppendorf. The initial denaturation temperature was $98 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ for 3 minutes. 35 cycles of 10 s denaturation at $98 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}, 15 \mathrm{~s}$ of primer annealing at the temperatures indicated in Section 3.3.1, and elongation at $72 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ for the durations indicated in Section 3.3.1 were run. Finally, an elongation at $72 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ for 8.5 min was performed.

### 2.5.5 Agarose Gels

Agarose gels are made of agarose, a polysaccharide from red algae. These gels are used to separate DNA fragments according to size. Each nucleotide in a DNA fragment will have a negative charge due to the phosphate group. When DNA molecules are loaded in wells on one side of the gel and an electric current is sent through the gel, the DNA will move through the
gel towards the positive pole. The larger the fragment, the slower it will move, due to the impediment caused by the gel matrix. The size of the fragments may be evaluated by the loading of a marker containing fragments of known size (Alberts et al., 2015).

A $0.8 \%$ agarose gel was made as described in Section 2.4.8. The gel was placed in a tray with TAE buffer (Section 2.4.7), and the DNA samples were loaded in the wells. A GelDoc station from BioRad was connected to provide the electric field. A strength of a 100 V was used, and the gel was run until sufficient separation was achieved. A Molecular Imager ${ }^{\circledR}$ ChemiDoc $^{\mathrm{TM}}$ XRS+ Imaging System from BioRad was used to develop the image.

### 2.5.6 Purifying DNA from an Agarose Gel

The purification was performed using a Monarch DNA Gel Extraction kit from NEB.
All centrifugation steps were carried out at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ on a 120 mm diameter centrifuge or at $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$.

The DNA fragment was excised from the agarose gel and transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube. 4 times the gel volume of Gel Dissolving Buffer was added to the tube. This was incubated at $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while periodically vortexing, until the gel was dissolved.

The sample was loaded onto a column in a collection tube. This was centrifuged for 1 minute to insert the DNA in the filter of the column and to remove the liquid by discarding the flowthrough.

The column was reinserted into the collection tube, and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA Wash Buffer was added to wash the sample. This was centrifuged for 1 minute before the flow-through was discarded. The washing with DNA Wash Buffer followed by centrifugation was repeated.

The column was transferred to an Eppendorf tube, and 6-20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of DNA Elution Buffer was added to the filter. This was incubated at RT for 1 minute and then centrifuged for 1 minute to elute the DNA from the filter. The column was discarded, and the concentration of the eluted DNA was measured.

### 2.5.7 PCR Product Purification

This protocol was performed using the description, buffers and spin columns provided with the Monarch PCR \& DNA Cleanup kit.

All centrifugations were carried out at $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$.

The PCR sample was diluted in a 5:1 ratio of buffer to sample with DNA Cleanup Binding Buffer. The solution was mixed thoroughly by pipetting up and down. The sample was then loaded onto a column in a collection tube and then centrifuged for 60 seconds. This step left the DNA in the column, while the liquid was discarded. $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA Wash Buffer was then added to the column, which was then centrifuged for 1 minute to remove non-DNA molecules that might be in the column. This step was repeated to ensure a pure product. The column was then transferred to a sterile Eppendorf tube. $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA Elution buffer was then added to the center of the column. This was incubated for 1 minute at RT before centrifugation for 1 minute to elute the DNA.

### 2.5.8 TOPO $^{\circledR}$ Cloning

TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ cloning was performed using a Zero Blunt ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ PCR Cloning kit manufactured by Invitrogen.

The principle for this cloning was that the plasmid supplied with the kit was linear with a Topoisomerase I enzyme bound to each 3' end of the molecule by a phospho-tyrosyl bond. This bond may be broken by the reaction with a $5^{\prime}$ hydroxyl group, which will then be bound to the DNA molecule. When another linear DNA molecule is added to the solution, each 5' hydroxyl group might remove a Topoisomerase I enzyme. The result will thus be a circular plasmid composed of the $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vector and the fragment added (Zero Blunt ${ }^{\circledR}$ TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR Cloning Kit User Guide, 2014).
$2 \mu \mathrm{LPCR}$ product, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Zero Blunt ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ PCR Cloning Salt Solution and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $\mathrm{pCR}^{\text {TM }}$ II-Blunt-TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vector was carefully mixed in an Eppendorf tube and incubated for 5 minutes at RT. The mixture was then transformed into competent $E$. coli cells (see section 2.5.10).

### 2.5.9 Making Chemically Competent E. coli Cells

Transformation, the introduction of foreign DNA into a bacterial cell, mainly consists of three steps. The first step is a preparation step, where the cells are prepared (usually weakened) in such a way that they will more easily allow DNA to enter their cytoplasm. The cells are then shocked in a way that allows DNA to cross their membrane. Finally, they are allowed to recover in a rich medium. In the case of chemical transformation, the cations are the main active components in the preparation step. Divalent cations such as $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ have been shown to promote transformation. The effectiveness of the transformation may be further increased by including monovalent cations such as $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$and using a slightly
acidic buffer. The actual transformation in chemical transformation is commonly achieved by giving the cells a heat-shock (Aune and Aachmann, 2010).
E. coli cells were inoculated from a frozen glycerol stock in 10 mL Psi-medium. This was incubated at 225 rpm at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{ON}$.

1 mL from the ON culture was inoculated in 100 mL Psi-medium in a shake flask ( $1 \%$ inoculate). This was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 225 rpm until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was $0.40-0.43$. The culture was then incubated on ice for 15 minutes. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4000 rpm at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes in a Falcon-tube. The supernatant was removed by decantation.

The preparation step was then performed by the washing with different buffers. First, the cells were resuspended in 40 mL cold TFB1 by carefully rotating the tube manually. The solution was incubated on ice for 5 minutes. The solution was centrifuged at 4000 rpm at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. The cells were resuspended in 3 mL cold TFB2 by carefully rotating the tube manually.

The solution was then aliquoted in Eppendorf tubes by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ to each tube while keeping both the tubes and the solution on ice. The cells were then frozen immediately on liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.5.10 Heat-Shock Transformation of Chemically Competent Cells

The competent cells (see Section 2.5.9) were thawed on ice. $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ligation mix, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ purified plasmid, or $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ cloning mixture was added to $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cells, and this was mixed by careful pipetting. The mixture was kept on ice for 30-60 minutes. The cells were then heatshocked at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes. Afterwards, they were incubated on ice for 2 minutes. $900 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ SOC medium was added. This was incubated at 225 rpm at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-2$ hours. 200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the mixture was plated on an LA plate with a selective antibiotic for the specific plasmid. The rest of the mixture was plated on another plate of the same kind, as a safety precaution in case of low efficiency of the transformation. A negative control was made in the same way, but with addition sterile RO water instead of DNA. The plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ON.

### 2.5.11 Making Electrocompetent E. coli Cells

Electroporation is another technique for introducing foreign DNA into bacterial cells. Even though the precise mechanism underlying this method is not completely understood, the main explanation is that giving the cells a right amount of electrical shock will cause formation of
temporary pores. DNA may then enter the cells through these pores. During electroporation, it is crucial to wash the cells properly before introducing the electrical shock to avoid any ions disturbing the electrical field (Aune and Aachmann, 2010).
E. coli cells from a frozen glycerol stock was inoculated in 3 mL LB at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 225 rpm ON. 1 mL of the ON culture was then added to 200 mL of fresh LB in a baffled shaking flask to give $\sim 1: 200$ dilution. These cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 225 rpm until the $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ reached $\sim 0.5$. The culture was cooled on ice for $10-15$ minutes before it was transferred to cool 250 mL centrifuge bottles. The culture was spun at 5000 rpm at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 200 mL cold sterile RO water. The solution was spun at 5000 rpm at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100 mL cold sterile RO water and spun at 5000 rpm at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 minutes.

The cell pellet was resuspended in 4 mL cold sterile $10 \%$ glycerol and transferred to a 38 mL centrifuge flask. The cells were spun for 14 minutes at 7830 rpm at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatant was discarded.

The cells were resuspended in 0.8 mL cold sterile $10 \%$ glycerol and aliquoted into cold sterile Eppendorf tubes with $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in each. The aliquots were flash frozen by incubation for 10 seconds in liquid nitrogen. The cells were kept at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.5.12 Electroporation of Electrocompetent $E$. coli Cells

A $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of electrocompetent cells was thawed on ice. $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid was mixed with the cells before incubation for $\geq 1$ minute on ice. The solution was then transferred to a cold 0.2 cm electroporation cuvette. This was inserted into a Gene Pulser Xcell ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Electroporation System. The cells were then given an electrical pulse using the Bacterial 2 program, which gave a pulse of 2500 V and $200 \Omega$ for about 5 milliseconds. 1 mL of SOC preheated to RT was added to the cells immediately after the pulse. This solution was mixed and transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube. This was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 225 rpm for 1 hour, and then the solution was plated on LA plates containing a selective antibiotic for the plasmid.

### 2.5.13 Plasmid Purification

Two different plasmid purification kits with individual protocols were used during this thesis, depending on which kit was in the storage of the group at the time of each experiment. Both kits were assumed to be of high quality. The two kits provided plasmid preps of similar quality.

### 2.5.13.1 Zymo Plasmid Purification Protocol

This protocol was performed using the description, buffers and spin columns provided with the ZR Plasmid Miniprep ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ - Classic kit.

All centrifugations were carried out at $11,000-16,000 \mathrm{xg}$.
$1-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ liquid bacteria culture was added to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube or a 15 mL Falcon tube and spun for 15 seconds. The supernatant was then removed. The pellet was resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ P1 buffer by pipetting. $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ P2 Buffer was added, and the solution was carefully mixed by inversion before 1 minute of incubation at RT. $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ P3 Buffer was then added, and the solution was mixed carefully by inverting until the pink color of buffer P2 disappeared. The combination of buffers P1, P2, and P3 is an alkaline lysis procedure intended to rupture the cells. The sample was incubated at 1 minute before it was spun for 3 minutes to precipitate protein and other large components of the cell.

The supernatant was transferred to a spin column in a collection tube, which was spun for 30 seconds. This was performed to leave the DNA in the spin column filter, while the liquid was removed in the flow-through and then discarded. $200 \mu$ L of Endo-Wash Buffer was added to the column before it was spun for 30 seconds to wash the DNA. $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Plasmid Wash Buffer was added before centrifugation for 1 minute to wash the DNA sample once more. The column was transferred to a sterile 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube. $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA Elution Buffer was then added to the column, which was spun for 30 seconds to elute the DNA.

### 2.5.13.2 Monarch Plasmid Purification Protocol

Alternatively, plasmids were purified using a Monarch Plasmid DNA Miniprep kit from NEB.

## $1.5-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of liquid $E$. coli culture grown over night at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in LB medium was centrifuged

 for 1 minute at $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 7830 rpm or 30 seconds at RT and $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Plasmid Resuspension Buffer. This was pipetted until the cells were completely resuspended. $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Plasmid Lysis Buffer were added to lyse the cells. The tube was immediately and gently inverted a few times until the color of the entire solution was pink. This was incubated for 1 minute at RT. The lysate was neutralized by the addition of $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Plasmid Neutralization Buffer. The tube was gently inverted a few times before it was incubated for 2 minutes at RT. The mixture was then centrifuged at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ or $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 5 minutes.The supernatant was carefully transferred to a spin column, which was centrifuged for 1 minute at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ or $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The flow-through was discarded, and the column reinserted. $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Plasmid Wash Buffer 1 was added to the column, which was centrifuged for 1 minute at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ or $16,000 \mathrm{gx} .400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Plasmid Wash Buffer 2 was then added to the column, followed by 1 minute of centrifugation at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 13,000 rpm or $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$. The column was transferred to a clean microfuge tube. $35-50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA Elution Buffer was added to the center of the column matrix. This was incubated for 1 minute at RT, followed by centrifugation for 1 minute at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ or $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$.

### 2.5.14 Restriction Reactions

All enzymes and buffers used for restrictions were provided by NEB.
DNA and autoclaved RO water was mixed to a total volume of $17 \mu \mathrm{~L} .2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of buffer adapted to the enzymes used were added to the solution. $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each enzyme was then added. The mixture was then incubated for $\geq 1 \mathrm{~h}$ at a temperature suitable for the enzymes in question.

### 2.5.15 Klenow Reactions

The Klenow fragment of the DNA polymerase enzyme and the nucleotide mixture was provided by NEB.

The Klenow fragment is an enzyme used to create blunt ends on a DNA fragment. $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Klenow enzyme and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM dNTP mix was added to a restriction reaction mixture. This was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes.

### 2.5.16 Ligation

The enzyme and the buffer were provided by NEB.
Backbone DNA and insert DNA were mixed with water in amounts giving a 1:3 molar concentration of backbone to insert. This mixture was adjusted to a volume of $17 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ with sterile RO water. $2 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \mathrm{X}$ T4 ligase buffer and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of T4 ligase was added to the mixture. The reaction was incubated at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ON.

### 2.5.17 Electroporation of $P$. putida

P. putida KT2440 from either a plate or a glycerol stock was inoculated in 3 mL LB at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $225 \mathrm{rpm} \mathrm{ON} .200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the over night culture was added to 20 mL LB. This was incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 225 rpm until $\mathrm{OD}_{540}$ reached $0.5 \pm 0.1$. The culture was cooled on ice for 30 min , before it was centrifuged at 4000 xg for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pellet was washed by
dissolving it twice in 20 mL and once in 10 mL 300 mM cold sucrose before centrifugation as before. The washed pellet was then dissolved in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cold $10 \%$ glycerol.

Aliquots of $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cells was mixed with $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid before incubation on ice for 30 min . The cells were then electroporated using a Gene Pulser Xcell Electroporation System (Bio$\mathrm{Rad})$ and 2 mm cold cuvettes. The cells were electroporated at $2,5 \mathrm{kV}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ and $200 \Omega$. Immediately after electroporation, $900 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ SOC medium at RT was added. The cells were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 225 rpm for $1.5-2 \mathrm{~h}$ before they were plated on agar plates with a selective antibiotic for the plasmid.

### 2.6 Methods - Protein Expression

### 2.6.1 Protein Production and Purification from E. coli

Bacterial cells containing a plasmid with the gene of interest from either a plate of a frozen glycerol stock were inoculated in 10 mL 3XLB with a selective antibiotic at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 225 rpm ON. 2 mL of this culture was then inoculated in 200 mL 3XLB with a selective antibiotic for 3-4 hours at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $225 \mathrm{rpm} . \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was measured and the expression of the gene of interest was induced by the addition of $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ m-toluate in $50 \%$ ethanol $(0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ in culture solution). The cells were then grown for 4 hours. Volume and $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of the culture were measured. The cells were then spun down by centrifugation for 10 min at 7000 rpm . The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The cell pellet was dissolved in 20 mL cold 50 mM MOPS with pH 7.5. From this point, the cells were kept on ice. A FisherBrand Model 505 Sonic Dismembrator from Fisher Scientific delivering 500 W with a 3.2 mm tip was used to sonicate the cells. The cells were sonicated for 3 x 2 min ( 20 s pulse, 30 s pause) with an amplitude of $20 \%$. The sonicated solution was centrifuged for 30 min at $30,000 \mathrm{xg}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was filtered with a Filtropur ${ }^{\circledR 8}$ syringe filter of $0.20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from Sarstedt.

The proteins of interest were separated from the other proteins in the lysate using IMAC (Section 1.5.4). The chromatographs used were ÄKTA Start from GE Healthcare Life Sciences and ÄKTA P-920 with an UPC-900 detector from Amersham Biosciences. The mobile phases are described in Section 2.4.9. A 1 mL His-Trap ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{HP}$ column with $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ions was used.

The system was rinsed with sterile filtered RO water, buffer B, and buffer A prior to separation. A flow rate of 1 column volume (CV) per min was used during the procedure. An
equilibration with buffer A was performed using 5 CV of buffer. The sample was then loaded on to the column, which was subsequently washed with 5 CV to elute unbound protein. 20 CV of either an equal mix of buffer A and B or of a gradient from $100 \%$ buffer A to $100 \%$ buffer B were used to elute the sample. 20 CV of buffer B was then run thorough the column to elute eventual remaining protein. The column was then equilibrated with 5 CV of buffer A before either loading the next sample or doing a final wash with water and $20 \%$ ethanol.

### 2.6.2 Lysis of Cells with B-PER

The $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ and volume of the cultures to be lysed were measured, and the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 7000 rpm for 10 min . The pellets were then either frozen or kept on ice until they were dissolved in a volume of $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ to get a concentration of 3 g cell dry weight (CDW) per L. This was calculated by using the relationship CDW $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})=0.36$ * $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ (Ren et al., 2013).

1 mL of each of the dissolved pellets were then used to make a soluble and an insoluble protein fraction with B-PER ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Complete Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagent from Thermo Scientific using the protocol provided with the product.

The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 5000 xg for 10 min at RT. $65.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ B-PER Complete was used to dissolve the pellet, which was subsequently incubated for 15 min at gentle shaking ( $\sim 400 \mathrm{rpm}$ ) at RT. The samples were then centrifuged for 20 min at $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$ at RT. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, and the pellet was dissolved in $65.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sterile RO water. This resulted in the two fractions used further; the soluble fraction (supernatant) and the insoluble fraction (pellet).

### 2.6.3 SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

Proteins may be separated based on molecular weight much like DNA is separated on agarose gels. However, proteins may have both positive, negative, and no charge. Boiling protein samples in SDS will give the proteins a negative charge proportional to their size, because the hydrophobic tails of SDS will coil around the peptide backbones with their negative head groups pointing out. $\beta$-mercaptoethanol or similar substances may be added to destroy disulfide bonds stabilizing tertiary structures or holding together subunits. The SDS treated samples may then be separated on a gel using an electric field like the one used on agarose gels, where the smallest proteins will move the fastest towards the positive pole. Due to the smaller sizes of proteins than of DNA, polyacrylamide gels are used. The proteins may be visualized with compounds such as Coomassie Blue (Clark and Pazdernik, 2013c).
$20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of protein sample was mixed with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} 3$ X SDS Sample Buffer from NEB and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1$ M DTT. This solution was boiled for 10 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each sample was then loaded onto a RunBlue SDS Gel 4-12\% from Expedeon. $3 \mu$ L Prestained Protein Ladder, Broad Range from NEB were used (see Appendix A - Ladders). The gel was stained using InstantBlue staining from Expedeon. A Molecular Imager ${ }^{\circledR}$ ChemiDoc $^{\text {TM }}$ XRS+ Imaging System from BioRad was used to develop the image.

### 2.6.4 Western Blot

Western Blotting is a technique used to identify a specific protein among many proteins using the specific binding properties of antibodies. The proteins are first separated based on size using SDS-PAGE. The proteins are then transferred to a membrane. The membrane is incubated with a specific antibody binding to the protein of interest. A detection system coupled to the antibody, either directly to the primary antibody or to a secondary antibody, is used to identify the presence of the protein of interest (Clark and Pazdernik, 2013c).

Before the Western blot procedure, the proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE as described in Section 2.6.3. The protein gel was removed from the plastic covers and overlaid by a nitrocellulose membrane ( 0.45 micron) from Thermo Scientific. The membrane had been saturated with transfer buffer (Section 2.4.10) for 10 minutes. On both sides of the gel and membrane, double Whatman ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ filter paper (grade $3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{CHR}$ ) from GE Healthcare was laid. The transfer from the gel to the membrane was made in a Dual Cool Electrophoresis System DCX-700 from C.B.S. Scientific. The system was set up as described in the protocol following the transfer system. Approximately 1 L of cold transfer buffer was added, along with a stirring magnet and frozen cooling elements. The transfer was performed at 80 V for 50 minutes.

The following membrane incubations and washings were performed with shaking at RT with $\sim 20-30 \mathrm{~mL}$ liquid. The membrane was saturated with a blocking buffer ( $3 \%$ Skim Milk Powder from Fluka Analytical in TBST, see Section 2.4.11) for 1 hour. Subsequently, the membrane was washed twice with TBST for 10 minutes. The antibody was attached by 1 hour of incubation with a HisProbe-HRP Working solution (1:5000 dilution of the $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ stock solution of HisProbe ${ }^{\text {TM }}$-HRP from Thermo Scientific). The membrane was then washed 3 times with TBST. 1 mL of SuperSignal ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate Working Solution (50\% Luminol/Enhancer Solution and 50\% Stable Peroxide Buffer) from Thermo Fisher was carefully spread out on the membrane. A clear plastic sheet was used to keep the
membrane moist during 5 min of incubation and subsequent development of the image. The image taken after 300 s of exposure was used for evaluation. A Molecular Imager ${ }^{\circledR}$ ChemiDoc ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ XRS+ Imaging System from BioRad was used.

### 2.7 Methods - Cultivation of Thraustochytrids

### 2.7.1 Fermentation Setup

Aurantiochytrium T66 and Schizochytrium S21 (ATCC 20 888) from a YPD plate or a glycerol stock, respectively, were plated on YPD agar plates with $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin and streptomycin. These were left at RT for a few days until clearly visible colonies appeared. Cells from these plates were then transferred to 50 mL YPD media with $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin and streptomycin in 250 mL baffled shake flasks. The flasks were incubated at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 170 rpm for $\sim 23 \mathrm{~h}$.
$4 \%$ of the T66 YPD-culture $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.860\right)$ or $2 \%$ of the S21 YPD-culture $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=1.728\right)$ were used as inoculum for the fermentation cultures. The fermentations were set up as three biological parallels with 200 mL lipid accumulation media (Section 2.4.14) with $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ TMS1 (Section 2.4.12) and $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ Vitamin Mix 1 (Section 2.4.13) in 1 L baffled shake flasks. The fermentation was carried out at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 170 rpm . Samples taken during the fermentations are further described below.

### 2.7.2 $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ Measurements

Optical density at 600 nm were measured in order to monitor the growth of the cultures. The $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ were measured using a spectrophotometer. Samples were vigorously vortexed immediately before measurements were taken. RO water was used to dilute the samples and as a blank. This was considered acceptable, since RO water had an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of -0.008 when the spectrophotometer was blanked with the lipid accumulation media containing the TMS1 and Vitamin Mix 1.

### 2.7.3 Nitrogen Samples

1 mL sample was taken out from each biological parallel. These samples were centrifuged at $16,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 1 min . The centrifugation time was increased to 5 min for the last 3 sample points due to apparent high viscosity of the supernatant. The samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ideally, a kit to measure the ammonium content in the samples should have been used to analyze the samples. However, the delivery of the kit was delayed, and the measurements could thus not be performed.

### 2.7.4 The Bradford Method

The protein concentration of a solution may be evaluated by using the dye Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. The absorption maximum of the dye is usually at 465 nm , but upon binding to protein it shifts to 595 nm . The amount of absorbance at 595 nm is linearly dependent on the amount of protein bound to the dye (Bradford, 1976). This method is commonly referred to as the Bradford Method.

The Bradford assay was performed using a Coomassie (Bradford) Protein Assay Kit (Number 23200) from Thermo Scientific and the protocol supplied with the product.
$5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each sample was added to a well in a clear 96-well microplate. $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Coomassie Reagent was added to the well, and the sample and the reagent were mixed by pipetting. The sample was incubated for $\sim 10 \mathrm{~min}$ before the absorption at 595 nm was measured using a SpectraMax Plus 384 spectrophotometer from Molecular Devices. Three parallels were performed for each sample, including the blank sample (only buffer). The absorbance was calculated as the average absorbance of the three parallels minus the average absorbance of the blank samples. These values were compared with a standard curve to estimate the concentration of the samples.

See Appendix B - Bradford Standard Curve for details about the standard curve.

### 2.7.5 Enzyme Samples

8 mL sample was taken out from each fermentation flask. The samples were centrifuged at 7197 xg for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were washed by dissolving them in 8 mL 0.1 M TrisHCl ( pH 7.4 ) by vortexing and manual shaking. The cells were pelleted by spinning at 7197 xg for 10 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The washing step was repeated. The final pellet was dissolved in 8 mL 0.1 M TrisHCl ( pH 7.4 ). The solution was passed through a $0.4 \mathrm{~mm} * 19 \mathrm{~mm}$ Microlance ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 3$ syringe from Becton Dickinson to remove the largest cell aggregates. The solution was kept on ice until sonication.

## Sonication Test

Before the first enzyme samples were taken out, a sonication test was performed. The samples were washed and dissolved in Tris- HCl buffer as described above.

A FisherBrand Model 505 Sonic Dismembrator from Fisher Scientific delivering 500 W was used with a 3.2 mm tip. The samples were sonicated at $40 \%$ amplitude (max. for the tip chosen) with 4 s pulse followed by 10 s rest. The samples were homogenized for a longer
period, while $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ protein sample were taken out periodically. The protein samples were centrifuged at 5000 xg for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The protein content of the supernatant was then evaluated with the Bradford Method (Section 2.7.4).

## Sonication

The same sonicator and tip as in the test was used for the sonication of the samples. Due to difficulties lysing the cells, the samples were sonicated at different conditions. These are described in the result section.

## Centrifugation of Lysate

The lysate of the cells from the sonication was centrifuged for 12 min at 16000 xg at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was then transferred to a new tube. For the $2^{\text {nd }}$ set of enzyme samples, the supernatant was filtered through a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Filtropur ${ }^{\circledR}$ filter from Sarstedt. The samples were then stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the assays described in Section 2.8 were performed within 28 hours of the initial sampling.

### 2.7.6 Total Lipid Samples

10 mL sample was taken out and centrifuged at 5000 xg for 5 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pellet was washed by dissolving it in $10 \mathrm{~mL} 0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ before spinning it at 5000 xg for 10 minutes at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pellet was then washed with 10 mL StakPure filtered water and spun down at 5000 xg for 10 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pellet was flash frozen by submersion in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for $\sim 10$ s. The pellet was then stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The frozen samples were lyophilized with a VirTis BenchTop Pro for $\sim 24 \mathrm{~h}$ at 12-19 mTorr and $-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \sim 20 \mathrm{mg}$ of each freeze-dried sample was transferred to a 2 mL Precellys vial with sleeve and caps with O-rings. These vials also contained $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~g} 1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ Zirconium oxide beads from Bertin Technologies. $1000 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2:1 methanol and chloroform were added to the vials. The samples were homogenized for $3 * 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at 6500 rpm with 15 s pause while cooling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ on a Precellys 24 by Bertin Technologies. $333 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ chloroform was added, and the samples were vortexed for $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$. Subsequently, $333 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RO water was added before $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ vortexing. The samples were then centrifuged for $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 5 min at $\sim 15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the lipid faze were transferred to a pre-weighed 1.5 mL transparent HPLC vial. The samples were left in a fume hood for $\sim 22 \mathrm{~h}$ in order to let the chloroform evaporate. The vials were then weighed again. The lipid yield was calculated based on the assumption that the total chloroform volume was $666.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

### 2.8 Methods - Enzyme Assay Protocols

### 2.8.1 Glycerol 3-P Dehydrogenase (G3PDH) Assay

This protocol is based on the protocol described by Blomberg and Adler (1989).

## Reaction Solution Reagents

20 mM imidazole- HCl ( pH 7.0 )
1 mM 1,4-dithiothreitol (DTT) (freshly prepared)
$1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$
0.67 mM dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) (lithium salt)
$0.09 \mathrm{mM} \beta-\mathrm{NADH}$ (disodium salt hydrate) or $\beta$-NADPH (reduced tetrasodium salt hydrate)

## Protocol

Two master mixes with imidazole- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{DTT}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ and either NADH or NADPH were made. $140 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were added to each well in a clear 96 -well microplate. $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ protein sample were added to each well. Three parallels were run for each sample. The reaction was started by the addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} 0.01 \mathrm{M}$ DHAP. The mixture was briefly mixed by pipetting, and absorbance measuring was started within 10 s . The absorbance was measured at 340 nm every 10th second for 10 minutes.

## Additional Theory

DTT and 1,4-dithioerythritol (DTE), usually referred to as Cleland's reagent, are compounds having a reducing potential that is often exploited when buffers are made. These compounds may reduce free disulfides but are not able to reach disulfides imbedded within a protein, which is where native disulfide bonds are usually found. These compounds may thus ensure that the structure of a protein remains intact, even in a solution rich in oxygen (Hermanson, 2013).

### 2.8.2 ATP-Dependent Citrate Lyase (ACL) Assay

This protocol is based on the protocols described by Chávez-Cabrera et al. (2015) and Chen et al. (2014).

Reaction Solution Reagents
200 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$
0.2 mM Coenzyme A (sodium salt hydrate)
$0.1 \mathrm{M} \beta-\mathrm{NADH}$ (reduced disodium salt hydrate)
$10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$
10 mM DTT (freshly prepared)
20 mM Sodium Citrate
$5 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ L-Malate dehydrogenase (MDH) (EC: 1.1.1.37) from pig heart (mitochondrial) from Roche

10 mM ATP (disodium salt)

## Protocol

A master mix with Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{CoA}, \mathrm{NADH}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, DTT, sodium citrate and MDH was made. $133 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were added to each well in a clear 96 -well microplate. $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ protein sample were added to each well. Three parallels were run for each sample. The reaction was started by the addition of $16.6 \mu \mathrm{~L} 0.091 \mathrm{M}$ ATP. The mixture was briefly mixed by pipetting, and absorbance measuring was started within 10 s . The absorbance was measured at 340 nm every 10th second for 10 minutes.

## Additional Theory

The activity of ACL can be determined by measuring the NADH consumption of the coupled reaction with the malate dehydrogenase (MDH) enzyme (Chávez-Cabrera et al., 2015). When ACL breaks down citrate, it produces oxaloacetate in a 1:1 stoichiometric relationship (Srere, 1959). The oxaloacetate (OAA) may then be transformed by MDH, which needs NADH as a cofactor in a $1: 1$ stoichiometric relationship relative to OAA. The amount of NADH consumed will then be directly proportional to the citrate made by ACL (Chávez-Cabrera et al., 2015). This theory assumes that these reactions are so fast that the time of the coupling is negligible.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Bioinformatic Research

A heterologous expression of the ORFs of interest was to be performed. However, before the experimental part was initiated, it was of interest to investigate analogs to the proteins encoded by the ORFs of interest. The purpose of this was both to be able to better plan the experimental work, but also to better know what to expect as experimental results.

### 3.1.1 BLAST

As part of the preliminary research, a BLASTp was performed for the letter sequence of the proteins. The sequences were compared with entries in the protein data base (PDB). The best match for each protein where a publication on the protein structure identified existed was used for comparison (Table 3.1).

The products of gene 6817 and 10760 matched with the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-units of succinate-CoA ligase, respectively. This further supported the interest to investigate whether these genes might encode ACL, as this coincided with the annotation previously done by the Auromega group. Gene 9329 had the best match with malyl-CoA lyase, which is an enzyme of the citrate lyase-like family (González et al., 2017). These enzymes catalyze similar reactions, and it is thus possible that these enzymes might be confused by annotation tools.

The product of gene 8081 had the best match with FAD-dependent alpha-glycerophosphate oxidase, while the product gene 6468 had the best match with FAD-dependent glycerol 3phosphate dehydrogenase. Since the sequences of these two enzymes have been reported to be similar (Colussi et al., 2008), they could have been confused during annotation.

Table 3.1: Results from BLASTp of genes of interest. The letter sequence of the proteins encoded by the genes of interest were entered in the BLASTp search tool (Altschul et al., 1997), where they were compared to proteins from the Protein Data Base. The best match of proteins where the structures are published are reported in the table. Their PDB accession code, the query cover, the percent identity and the E-value (statistical significance) are reported.

| Gene | Match | PDB accession | Reference | Query cover | Percent identity | Evalue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6817 | Chain A, Succinyl- <br> CoA Synthetase <br> [Escherichia coli] | 1CQI_A | Joyce et al. (2000) | 98\% | 64.18\% | 1e-98 |
| 10760 | Chain B, SuccinylCoA ligase [GDPforming], mitochondrial [Sus scrofa] | 5CAE_B | Huang and Fraser (2016) | 96\% | 52.35\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{e}- \\ & 103 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9329 | Chain A, Malyl-CoA <br> Lyase <br> [Methylorubrum <br> extorquens AM1] | 5UGR_A | González et al. (2017) | 94\% | 31.82\% | 4e-26 |
| 8081 | Chain A, Alpha- <br> Glycerophosphate <br> Oxidase <br> [Streptococcus sp.] | 2RGH_A | Colussi et al. (2008) | 81\% | 27.33\% | 2e-33 |
| 6468 | Chain A, Glycerol-3- <br> Phosphate <br> Dehydrogenase <br> [Bacillus halodurans] | 3DA1_A | Colussi et al. (2008) | 92\% | 30.43\% | 1e-49 |

### 3.1.2 Signal Peptide Search

In order to evaluate where a His-tag could be attached, a signal peptide search was performed. The search indicated the presence of a signal peptide in only one of the proteins encoded by
the genes of interest, gene 6468. This signal peptide was predicted to consist of the first 27 amino acids, with a cutoff site between aa 27 and 28 . As the signal peptide is often a zip code for export from the cytoplasm, this could indicate that the protein produced from gene 6468 was meant to be exported to another compartment than the cytosol.

### 3.1.3 Homology Modelling

In order to know where a His-tag could be attached, 3D models were made of all the proteins of interest. A summary of the modelling is listed in Table 3.2. The C-terminal end seemed freely available for all genes except 8081 , for which neither end could be modelled. The N terminal end could not be modelled for any of the genes. Genes 10760 and 9329 seemed to form homodimers and homotrimers, respectively, of which only the latter could be completely modelled. This left the availability of the C-terminal end of 10760 in the dimeric state unknown.

Table 3.2: 3D homology modelling results for proteins encoded by genes of interest. The 3D structure of the proteins of interest were modelled using the SWISS-MODEL homology modelling tool. Comments are made on the ability to model and eventual availability of the N terminal and C-terminal ends of the proteins, as well as their assumed polymerization state.

| Gene | Structure modelling | Polymerization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 8 1 7}$ | Unable to model N-terminal. C-terminal | Monomer |
|  | seemed available. |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 6 0}$ | Unable to model N-terminal. C-terminal <br> seemed available. | Homodimer, could only model <br> monomer |
| $\mathbf{9 3 2 9}$ | Unable to model N-terminal. C-terminal <br> seemed available. | Homotrimer, could model all |
| $\mathbf{6 4 6 8}$ | Unable to model N-terminal. C-terminal | Monomer |
|  | seemed available. |  |
| $\mathbf{8 0 8 1}$ | Could not model either end. | Monomer |

### 3.2 Construction of RK2-Based Expression Vector

In order to express the genes of interest in a bacterial host, an expression vector was needed. A broad-spectrum vector was chosen in case the expression host had to be changed. This expression vector should have a His-tag and restriction sites enabling a ligation of the genes
of interest in the correct reading frame. In addition, a Pm promoter and a relatively high copy number was desired. To achieve a vector with restriction sites that could be used to insert the gene of interest without fragmenting it, two separate vectors were made; pMEJ1 and pMEJ2.

An overview of the process of making the expression vectors pMEJ1 and pMEJ2 is shown in Figure 3.1. To achieve this construction, the backbone of pMV23 was used. This fragment included the desired promotor and the oriV origo of replication and the $\operatorname{trfA}$ cop271C gene from RK2. This back bone also contained a bla gene that could be used to select for the plasmid. The desired restriction enzyme cut frame and the His-tag was added by the insertion of a modified fragment from pMV20. In the case of pMEJ2, PCR was used to add an extra restriction site.

The restriction enzyme cut sites were designed so that the gene of interest could be ligated into the expression vector behind the promoter using NdeI to cut the 5 '-end and NotI (pMEJ1) or AvrII (pMEJ2) to cut the 3 '-end of the expression vector. The overhang at the 3 '-end of pMEJ1 would then be compatible with the overhang from fragments cut with either PspOMI or NotI, and the corresponding overhang from pMEJ2 would be compatible with fragments cut with either SpeI, XbaI, NheI or AvrII. In the case of both pMEJ1 and pMEJ2, an XhoI restriction site was situated between the NotI site and the His-tag. This restriction site could also be used for the cutting of the 3 '-end of the expression vector, and the overhang would be compatible with the overhang of fragments cut with either XhoI, SalI, PspXI, or SgrDI. This construction of restriction sites would give a large flexibility for the insertion of different fragments.


Figure 3.1: Overview of the construction of expression vectors pMEJ1 and pMEJ2. The restriction enzymes used and which fragments were joined by ligation are indicated.

### 3.2.1 Construction of pMV20 $\alpha$

The NotI and XhoI restriction sites between bases 980 and 1000 in pMV20 had to be removed to ensure that later cutting with NotI would not remove the His-tag.

The pMV20 $\alpha$ plasmid was restricted with SalI and XbaI, and overhangs were blunted using a Klenow polymerase before ligation. Plasmids purified from transformant colonies of E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ were restricted. The fragments from the digest were separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.2). The fragment assumed to be a 4076 bp was cut out and purified. Two different ladders were used for this, as well as for other agarose gels in this thesis; $\lambda$ HindIII and $\lambda$ PstI. See Appendix A - Ladders for information about the ladders. Further discussions will name plasmid candidates from different colonies as c 1 (colony 1 ), c 2 (colony 2 ) and so forth.


Figure 3.2: Digest of pMV20 before cloning. Purified plasmids from E. coli colonies containing pMV20 were digested with SalI and XbaI, treated with Klenow enzyme, and then separated on an agarose gel. Theoretically, this would give a 4076 bp DNA fragment and a 60 bp fragment.

The purified fragment from pMV20 was then religated to give the pMV20 $\alpha$ plasmid. This plasmid was heat-shock transformed into E. coli $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$. The newly formed plasmids were purified from four different colonies. The purified pMV20 $\alpha$ candidates were cut with PstI and

SgrAI to verify whether the plasmids were correct (Figure 3.3, left side). Table 3.3 indicates the expected sizes of the fragments. As seen on the figure, all four candidates seemed correct.

Further, the purified pMV20 $\alpha$ plasmids were also cut with NdeI and PspOMI (Figure 3.3, right side). According to the figure, all four colonies appeared correct (see Table 3.3). It was chosen to use the plasmids from candidate 1, and the 592 bp fragment from this colony was cut out from the gel and purified.


Figure 3.3: Digests before cloning and for verification of pMV20 $\alpha$. Plasmids from four different E. coli colonies containing pMV20 $\alpha$ were digested with PstI and SgrAI (left side of figure) or NdeI and PspOMI (right side of figure).

Table 3.3: Expected fragment sizes from digest of pMV20 $\alpha$ and parent plasmids.
Theoretical fragment sizes from the digest of pMV20 $\alpha$ and its parent plasmid. The restriction enzymes used for each digest are indicated in the table. Parent plasmids are marked green and placed directly underneath the new plasmid.

| Plasmid | Restriction enzymes | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMV20 $\alpha$ | PstI + SgrAI | $2442+1638$ |
| pMV20 | PstI + SgrAI | $2000+1638+498$ |
| pMV20 $\alpha$ | NdeI + PspOMI | $3488+592$ |
| pMV20 | NdeI + PspOMI | $3488+648$ |

### 3.2.2 Construction of pMEJ1

The pMV23 plasmid was cut with NdeI and NotI. This would theoretically give an 8349 bp fragment and a 564 bp fragment. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.4). The $\sim 8 \mathrm{kbp}$ fragment of pMV 23 was excised from the gel and purified.


Figure 3.4: Digest of pMV23 before cloning. The pMV23 plasmid was digested with NdeI and NotI and separated on an agarose gel.

The 592 bp fragment from pMV20 $\alpha$ was ligated to the 8349 bp fragment from pMV23. The resulting plasmid was transformed into E. coli DH5 . Subsequently, the new plasmid was purified from the transformed bacteria. Verification of the new plasmid was done by restriction with PstI followed by gel electrophoresis (Figure 3.5).


| Plasmid | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ1 | $7538+1403$ |
| pMV20 $\alpha$ | 4080 |
| pMV23 | 8913 |

Figure 3.5: Verification digest of pMEJ1. The pMEJ1 plasmid from E. coli DH5a colony 1 was digested with PstI and separated on an agarose gel. The expected fragment sizes are shown to the right. Parent plasmids are marked green and placed underneath the new plasmid.

### 3.2.3 Construction of pMEJ2

Two of the genes of interest contained restriction sites for both NotI and PspOMI. Therefore, an expression vector where another enzyme could be used to restrict downstream of the inserted gene was needed. The pMEJ2 plasmid was made to be an equivalent of the pMEJ1 plasmid, where the gene fragment could be inserted by cutting with NdeI and AvrII instead of with NdeI and NotI/PspOMI. A DNA fragment was made by amplification with PCR of a region of the pMEJ1 plasmid using primers with a NdeI restriction site upstream of the gene and an AvrII restriction site just upstream of a NotI restriction site downstream of the gene. This fragment is hereby referred to as PCR R. Both PCR R and the pMEJ1 plasmid was digested with NdeI and NotI and separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.6). The restriction seemed correct according to the gel.


| Plasmid | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ1 | $8408+533$ |
| PCR R | $526+94+6$ |

Figure 3.6: Digest of pMEJ1 and PCR R before cloning. The pMEJ1 plasmid and a PCR product of this plasmid, referred to as PCR R, was digested with NdeI and NotI. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel. The figure consists of two parts of the same gel. Theoretical fragment sizes from the digest is shown to the right.

The 526 bp fragment from PCR R and the 8408 bp fragment were cut out from the gel, purified and ligated. The product of this ligation should be plasmid pMEJ2, which was subsequently heat-shock transferred into competent E. coli DH5 $\alpha$.

The pMEJ2 plasmid was verified by digestion with PstI and AvrII. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.7). The two largest fragments seemed to be correct, while the smallest fragment was not clearly observed. This was assumed due to the "blocking" of the band by the loading stain. Still, Figure 3.7 show that pMEJ2 contained the inserted AvrII restriction site.


| Plasmid | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ2 | $7528+986+420$ |
| pMEJ1 | $7538+1403$ |

Figure 3.7: Verification digest of pMEJ2. The pMEJ2 plasmid was restricted with PstI and AvrII and separated on an agarose gel. The figure is composed of several parts of the same gel. Expected fragment sizes are shown to the right. The parent plasmid is marked green and placed underneath the new plasmid.

### 3.3 Cloning of Gene $\mathbf{6 8 1 7}, \mathbf{1 0 7 6 0}, \mathbf{9 3 2 9}, 8081$, and 6468

In order to clone the genes of interest, gene $6817,10760,9329,8081$, and 6468 , into the expression vectors, these were first amplified using PCR. The amplified DNA fragments were then cloned into $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vectors and sequenced. The genes of interest verified by sequencing were then cloned into either expression vector pMEJ1 or pMEJ2.

### 3.3.1 PCR of Genes of Interest

The genes of interest were amplified by PCR with genomic Aurantiochytrium T66 DNA as template. The genetic material could be used directly due to the absence of introns (see Section 1.6). Specific primers were used for each gene of interest (Section 2.2).

All the primers attaching to the start of the genes of interest were designed to insert a NdeI restriction site. This site was located so that a NdeI digest would yield a fragment beginning with the start codon ATG. The primer attaching to the end of gene 6817 was made to incorporate a NotI restriction site just after the gene. The reverse primers of genes 10760 and 6468 yielded PspOMI restriction sites at the end of the genes. An AvrII and a NheI restriction
site was engineered into the primers binding to the end of gene 9329 and 8081, respectively. All the reverse primers were designed so that the His-tags in the expression vectors would be in the correct reading frame. Table 3.4 shows the different elongation times and the annealing temperatures used for the amplification of each gene, as well as the expected product length in bp for each gene.

Table 3.4: PCR parameters for genes of interest. The table indicates the final annealing temperatures and elongation times used for the PCR of the different genes of interest, as well as the expected product lengths in bp for each reaction.

| Gene | Temperature | Time | Product length (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 8 1 7}$ | $60.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 45 s | 980 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 6 0}$ | $58.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 45 s | 1291 |
| $\mathbf{9 3 2 9}$ | $58.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 45 s | 977 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 8 1}$ | $61.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1.5 min | 2258 |
| $\mathbf{6 4 6 8}$ | $67.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 min | 1957 |

The PCR products were verified by separating them from eventual other DNA fragments on agarose gels.

The separation of genes $6817,10760,9329$, and 8081 are shown in Figure 3.8. In the case of gene 10760 and 9329, two different concentrations of template DNA in the PCR reactions were used to optimize the procedure. The bands marked with blue arrows in the figure were excised from the gel and purified.


Figure 3.8: Verification of PCR products of gene 6816, 10760, 9329, and 8081. The PCR products of gene 6817, 10760, 9329, (A) and 8081 (B) were separated on agarose gels. For gene 10760 and 9329, two different concentrations of template DNA in the PCR reaction were used, there the lowest concentrations are marked as diluted (dil.). The fragments that were cut out from the gels are indicated with blue arrows.

For gene 6468 , the annealing temperature used in the PCR reactions had to be optimized. Figure 3.9 shows the agarose gel of the PCR samples of this gene at different annealing temperatures. The largest band ( $\sim 1957 \mathrm{bp}$ ) of the left sample of $67.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ annealing temperature was excised and purified.


Figure 3.9: Annealing temperature effect on PCR of gene 6468. The PCR products of gene 6468 at different annealing temperatures were separated on an agarose gel. The fragment that was cut out and purified is indicated with a blue arrow.

### 3.3.2 TOPO ${ }^{\circ}$ Cloning and Sequencing

The purified PCR fragments were $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}{ }^{\circledR}$ cloned into chemically competent E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ cells. The insertion of the PCR fragments into the $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vectors were verified by restriction cutting of the plasmid purified from one or two colonies, followed by gel electrophoresis (Figure 3.10 and Table 3.5).

According to the gel, c 1 of the vector with 6468 had a $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ insertion, while c 2 had a $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ insertion. For gene 6817, both candidates seemed to have a $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ insertion. For gene 9329, both colonies had a $3^{\prime}-5$ ' insertion. In the case of gene 10760 , 11 contained a $3^{\prime}-5$ ' insertion, while c 2 contained a $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ insertion.

For the vector containing gene 8081 no restriction cutting was made to evaluate the direction of the insert. However, the gel in Figure 3.10 shows the expected bands ( 2244 bp and 3524 bp ) for the restriction of the vector with NdeI and NheI.


Figure 3.10: Verification digest of TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vectors containing genes 6817, 10760, 9329, and 6468 (A) and gene $8081(B) . T O P O^{\circledR}$ vectors containing the PCR fragments of the genes 6817, 10760, 9329, 6468 (A) and 8081 (B) were digested with different restriction enzymes and separated on an agarose gel. The enzymes used to digest the different vectors are indicated in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5: Fragment sizes for digests of variations of gene inserts in TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vectors.

| Gene | Restriction enzyme | Direction of insert | Fragment sizes (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6468 | PspOMI | No insert | 3519 |
|  |  | 5'-3' | $5413+63$ |
|  |  | 3'-5' | $3462+2014$ |
| 6817 | NotI | No insert | 3519 bp |
|  |  | 5'-3' | $4459+40$ |
|  |  | 3'-5, | $3487+1012$ |
| 9329 | PspOMI | No insert | 3519 |
|  |  | 5'-3' | $3525+971$ |
|  |  | 3'-5' | $4370+126$ |
| 10760 | PspOMI | No insert | 3519 |
|  |  | 5'-3' | $4747+63$ |
|  |  | 3'-5' | $3462+1348$ |

The TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vectors containing the inserts of the genes of interest were sent to sequencing to the commercial agent Eurofins Genomics, GATC service (LIGHTRUN). The sequencing results can be found in Appendix D - Sequencing of Genes of Interest.

The sequencing of gene 6817 showed that both colonies contained inserts in a $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ direction. Sequencing failed for c 1 of gene 9329 , while the sequencing of c 2 indicated a $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ insert. The sequencing of gene 8081 was only completely performed for c 1 , which seemed to be in a 3'-5' direction. No synthesis mistakes were detected in gene 6817, 9329 and 8081.

The sequencing of gene 10760 indicated that c 1 contained an insert in $3^{\prime}-5$ ' direction and that c2 contained an insert in $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ direction. A sequence mismatch was found in base 820 in the TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vector with a $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ insert. The mismatch was present in both sequenced colonies. A cytosine (C) was exchanged for an adenine (A), giving a change in the amino acid from proline to threonine. This could affect enzyme activity. The protein sequence of the protein encoded including the mismatch was compared to the protein sequence of succinate-CoA ligase, ADP-forming $\beta$-subunit (mitochondrial) encoded by Hondaea fermentalgiana (Sequence ID: GBG32698.1). A threonine rather than a proline was found at the site here discussed. This led to the assumption that the original sequencing of the Aurantiochytrium T66 gene at this site might have been wrong, and that the correct and active protein could be produced from this gene.

The sequencing of gene 6468 indicated that c 1 contained a $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ insert, while c 2 contained a $3^{\prime}-5$ ' insert. A mismatch was found at base 2269 in the $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vector with a $3^{\prime}-5$ ' insert, where an A was exchanged for a C . The mismatch was present in both sequenced colonies. This would change the amino acid from serine to alanine. This mismatch was assumed to be caused by a mistake in the forward primer because of its presence in both sequenced colonies. However, due to this area being presumed a signal sequence (see Section 3.1.2), this change was assumed not to affect enzyme folding and activity.

The direction of the inserts in the $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vectors of gene 6864, 6817, 10760, and 9329 confirmed the directional assumptions based on the gel in Figure 3.10.

Table 3.6 indicates which candidates were chosen for further use for each of the $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vectors with inserted genes.

Table 3.6: TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vectors with inserted gene fragments chosen for further use. The names given to these plasmids are also indicated.

| Gene of interest | Candidate chosen | Name of plasmid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 8 1 7}$ | c1 | pMEJ3T |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 6 0}$ | c2 | pMEJ4T |
| $\mathbf{6 4 6 8}$ | c2 | pMEJ5T |
| $\mathbf{9 3 2 9}$ | c2 | pMEJ6T |
| $\mathbf{8 0 8 1}$ | c1 | pMEJ7T |

### 3.3.3 Construction of Expression Vectors Containing the Genes of Interest

The genes of interest were cut out from the sequenced TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vectors with restriction enzymes. In the case of gene $6817,10760,9329$, and 6468 , restriction reactions were set up to yield fragments with the genes of interest (Figure 3.11). However, in the case of gene 8081, the verification digest shown in Figure 3.10 yielded the gene fragment in sufficient quantity to be cut directly from the gel.


Figure 3.11: Digest of pMEJ3T and pMEJ4T (A), pMEJ5T (B) and pMEJ6T (C) before cloning. A) pMEJ3T and pMEJ4T were digested with NdeI and NotI or NdeI and PspOMI, respectively. B) pMEJ5T was digested with NdeI and PspOMI. C) pMEJ6T was digested with NdeI and AvrII. The fragments from all the digests were separated on agarose gels.

Purified pMEJ1 and pMEJ2 plasmids were cut with NdeI and NotI or NdeI and AvrII, respectively. The restriction mixtures were separated on an agarose gel, shown in Figure 3.12. The largest bands from each plasmid was cut out from the gel and purified.


Figure 3.12: Digest of pMEJ1 and pMEJ2 before cloning. Purified pMEJ1 and pMEJ2 plasmid was digested with NdeI and NotI or NdeI and AvrII, respectively. The restriction mixture was separated on an agarose gel.

The gene fragment from pMEJ3T, pMEJ4T and pMEJ5T was ligated into pMEJ1, while the fragments from pMEJ6T and pMEJ7T were ligated into pMEJ2. The ligations were heatshock transformed into competent $E$. coli DH5 $\alpha$ cells.

The plasmids were then purified from one or more colonies of the transformed bacteria, digested with restriction enzymes, and separated on agarose gels (Figure 3.13 and Table 3.7). All plasmids seemed correct according to the gels. Candidate 1 (c1) for all the plasmids where several candidates were tested were chosen for later procedures.


Figure 3.13: Verification digest of pMEJ3 (A), pMEJ4 (B), and pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7 (C). One candidate for the pMEJ3 plasmid (A), two candidates for the pMEJ4 plasmid (B), and two candidates for each of the plasmids pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7 (C) were digested with the restriction enzymes indicated by Table 3.7. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel.
Table 3.7: Expected fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ3, pMEJ4, pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7 and parent plasmids. Theoretical fragment sizes from digests of pMEJ3, pMEJ4, pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7. Restriction enzymes are indicated. Parent plasmids for each new plasmid are marked green and placed directly underneath the new plasmid.

| Plasmid | Restriction enzymes | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ3 | SalI + HindIII | $6400+2134+752$ |
| pMEJ1 | SalI + HindIII | $6657+2134+94+56$ |
| pMEJ4 | SacI + SbfI | $6960+2049+683$ |
| pMEJ1 | SacI + SbfI | $8250+683$ |
| pMEJ5 | SacI + NotI | $7005+2386+967$ |
| pMEJ1 | SacI + NotI | $7280+1661$ |
| pMEJ6 | BgIII | $8055+1331$ |
| pMEJ2 | BgIII | 8934 |
| pMEJ7 | BgIII | $8730+1928$ |
| pMEJ2 | BgIII | 8934 |

### 3.4 Protein Production in E. coli

The plasmids containing the genes of interest were electroporated into E. coli RV308. These strains were then grown to produce the proteins encoded by the different plasmids, which were subsequently purified using FPLC with a stepwise elution. A culture with the pMSM2 plasmid carrying an alginate lyase gene $($ alyB) from Azotobacter vinelandii not known to require any cofactor (Ertesvåg, unpublished data) was also grown as a negative control. The control protein also contained a His-tag.

The chromatogram of the purification of gene 6468 is shown in Figure 3.14, of gene 8081 in Figure 3.15, and of the negative control in Figure 3.16. The blue line showing the absorption at 280 nm in mAU indicates that the bulk of the proteins was eluted during loading, while the small peak just after 50 mL elution volume indicates a small portion of the protein being eluted after the first change of buffer composition. The peaks of gene 6468 and 8081 are significantly smaller than the peak of the negative control, indicating a lower protein concentration in these samples. This was confirmed by protein concentration measurements using a NanoDrop One from ThermoFisher Scientific, where the concentration of the presumed proteins of interest were about $1 / 15$ th of the concentration of the negative control.


Figure 3.14: Chromatogram from purification of gene 6468. E. coli RV308 cells containing a plasmid with the gene 6468 were grown with an inducer to produce the enzyme encoded by the gene. The enzyme was then purified using FPLC (ÄKTA start from GE Healthcare Life Sciences) with a His-Trap HP column (1 mL). A stepwise gradient of the elution buffer was used, where $0 \%$ buffer was applied first, followed by 50 and $100 \%$. The concentration is shown by the purple line in the chromatogram. The $x$-axis shows the elution volume and the $y$ axis shows the absorbance (blue line) measured in mAU.


Figure 3.15: Chromatogram from purification of gene 8081. E. coli RV308 cells containing a plasmid with the gene 8081 were grown with an inducer to produce the enzyme encoded by the gene. The enzyme was then purified using FPLC (ÄKTA start from GE Healthcare Life Sciences) with a His-Trap HP column (1 mL). A stepwise gradient of the elution buffer was used, where $0 \%$ buffer was applied first, followed by 50 and $100 \%$. The concentration is shown by the purple line in the chromatogram. The $x$-axis shows the elution volume and the $y$ axis shows the absorbance (blue line) measured in mAU.


Figure 3.16: Chromatogram from purification of control. E. coli RV308 cells containing a plasmid with an alyB gene were grown with an inducer to produce the enzyme encoded by the gene. The enzyme was then purified using FPLC (ÄKTA start from GE Healthcare Life Sciences) with a His-Trap HP column (1 mL). A stepwise gradient of the elution buffer was used, where $0 \%$ buffer was applied first, followed by 50 and $100 \%$. The concentration is shown by the purple line in the chromatogram. The $x$-axis shows the elution volume and the $y$ axis shows the absorbance (blue line) measured in mAU.

The fractions containing the peak eluted after the buffer composition change were pooled. These were run on FPLC again with the same parameters except for the gradient, which was now linear. This operation was done in order to see whether the peaks were indeed single peaks, or if they were a collection of peaks eluted at the same time. The chromatogram of this double purification of gene 6468 is shown in Figure 3.17. This figure shows that the concern of there being several peaks appearing as one was seemingly unfounded. The same was observed for the double purification of gene 8081 and the control.


Figure 3.17: Chromatogram from double purification of gene 6468. E. coli RV308 cells containing a plasmid with the gene 6468 were grown with an inducer to produce the enzyme encoded by the gene. The enzyme was then purified using FPLC (ÄKTA P-920 from Amersham Biosciences) with a His-Trap HP column (1 mL). For the first purification, a stepwise gradient was used (see Figure 3.14). The fractions belonging to the presumed protein peak containing the His-tagged protein was then pooled. This sample was then purified with a linear gradient of buffer A and B, from 0 to $100 \%$ buffer B. The concentration is shown by the green dotted line in the chromatogram. The $x$-axis shows the elution time and the $y$-axis shows the absorbance (blue line) measured in mAU

G3PDH assays were ran on the protein produced by E. coli following the protocol described in Section 2.8.1 and purified with FPLC. $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ purified protein sample was added instead of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ supernatant, which is the case described in the protocol. No enzyme activity was detected.

### 3.5 Growth Temperature Experiment with E. coli

As the lowering of temperature might improve heterologous gene expression by preventing formation of inclusion bodies or otherwise improve transcription (see Section 1.5.5), a new protocol with growth at a lower temperature $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for $E$. coli was tested.

For this experiment, culture volumes of 25 mL in 250 mL baffled shake flasks were used. Two parallels of E. coli RV308 precultures containing plasmids pMEJ3-7 were inoculated, grown until induction and induced with m-toluate as described in Section 2.6.1. One parallel of each expression vector was inoculated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h after induction, while the other parallel was inoculated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Samples from each of the parallels were lysed and separated into a soluble and an insoluble fraction using B-PER.

Protein gels with the insoluble and soluble fractions (Figure 3.18) were used to separate the protein extracts. A Prestained Protein Ladder from NEB was used for this and subsequent protein gels (Appendix A Ladders).

An overview of the molecular weights of the genes of interest as well as in which plasmid they were encoded is shown in Table 3.8. The molecular weight of the control protein was 82.3 kDa . A quite large band (marked on the figure) was observed around this size in the insoluble fractions of the control. For the soluble fractions, two large bands were seen where only one large band was found in the other parallels at $\sim 80 \mathrm{kDa}$. Only the control at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is shown. However, neither of the other fractions showed any large bands around the expected area for the proteins encoded by the genes of interest. This indicated that the proteins were not produced by E. coli RV308 at neither $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ nor at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The control however confirmed that the procedure itself at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was working, because the heterologously expressed proteins of the control were indeed produced, purified and shown on a protein gel.

Table 3.8: Molecular weights of proteins. The molecular weights in $k D a$ of each of the genes encoded by the plasmids containing a gene of interest is shown.

| Plasmid | Gene encoded | Molecular weight (kDa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ3 | 6817 | 35.1 |
| pMEJ4 | 10760 | 47.5 |
| pMEJ5 | 6468 | 72.2 |
| pMEJ6 | 9329 | 36.0 |
| pMEJ7 | 8081 | 83.9 |



Figure 3.18: Protein gel of insoluble (A) and soluble (B) protein from temperature effect on growth experiment with E. coli. The insoluble (A) and insoluble (B) protein extracted from E. coli RV308 cells grown at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after induction were boiled in SDS and separated on SDS-PAGE gels. The ladder used was a Prestained Protein Ladder from New England Biolabs. The bands of the control protein are marked yellow.

### 3.6 Vectors for Growth in P. putida

Due to the apparent inability of $E$. coli RV308 to produce the desired enzymes, it was decided to try expression in P. putida. These bacteria have a more similar GC-content to the Aurantiochytrium than the E. coli, and it was also a hope that the differences in the metabolic machinery of $P$. putida from that of $E$. coli might be better for expression of the genes of interest. The vectors containing the genes of interest were adapted for growth in $P$. putida Because of the natural resistance of $P$. putida towards ampicillin, another antibiotic resistance gene giving resistance against an antibiotic able to restrain $P$. putida had to be incorporated into the vectors with the genes of interest. This was done by incorporating a gene for kanamycin resistance from vector pJB861. An overview of the new plasmids made is indicated in Table 2.3.

The kanamycin gene was cut out from pJB861 using either PstI or SbfI. The reason for the use of two different enzymes was caused by an enzyme shortage in the lab at the time. The choice of enzyme was not important for the final outcome. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.19 and Table 3.9). Both digests seemed correct on the gel. In both cases, the 1240 bp fragments contained the kanamycin gene. These fragments were purified from the gel.


Figure 3.19: Digest of pJB861 before cloning. Some of plasmid pJB861 was digested with SbfI and some was digested with PstI. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel.

Table 3.9: Expected fragment sizes from digests of pJB861. Theoretical fragment sizes from the digest of pJB861 with the indicated restriction enzymes.

| Plasmid | Restriction enzymes | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pJB861 | PstI | $3341+2698+1240$ |
| pJB861 | SbfI | $3323+2680+1240+18+18$ |

A single cut was made in the backbones of plasmids pMEJ3-pMEJ7. pMEJ3 and pMEJ4 were digested with PstI, and pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7 were digested with SbfI. The restriction mixtures were then separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.20). As the restriction was supposed to make one cut in the plasmids, the resulting bands on the gel should be a single band at around $9-10 \mathrm{kbp}$. The expected bands were observed on the gel, but a smaller band around 5 kbp was also observed. It was assumed that this was a result of uncut DNA. The largest bands were purified from the gel.


Figure 3.20: Digest of pMEJ3, pMEJ4, pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7 before cloning. Purified plasmids pMEJ3 and pMEJ4 were digested with PstI, while pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7 were digested with SbfI. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel.

The kanamycin gene containing fragments from pJB861 were ligated into the original expression vectors according to Table 2.3. The ligation mixtures were then heat-shock transformed into E. coli $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$ and plated on LA with kanamycin. The plasmids were then purified from selected $E$. coli colonies.
pMEJ8 and pMEJ10 were verified by digestion with HindIII and PspOMI. pMEJ12 was verified by digestion with PspOMI and EcoRI. pMEJ9 and pMEJ11 were verified by restriction with PspOMI and HindIII. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.21 and Table 3.10). The candidate of pMEJ8 seemed correct. Candidate 4 of pMEJ12, candidate 3 of pMEJ9 and candidate 5 of pMEJ11 were chosen. Assumptions on the direction of the insert of the kanamycin resistance gene were not made due to the inaccuracy of the gels. The candidate of pMEJ10 was first assumed correct and chosen for further use. This assumption seems to have been wrong after more critical evaluation of the gel, and the later results from this plasmid must thus be ignored.


Figure 3.21: Verification digest of pMEJ8 (A), pMEJ10 (B), pMEJ12 (C) and pMEJ9 and pMEJ11 (D). A) One candidate for pMEJ8 was digested with HindIII. B) One candidate for pMEJ10 was digested with HindIII and PspOMI. C) Six candidates for pMEJ12 were digested with PspOMI and EcoRI. D) Six candidates for pMEJ9 and pMEJ11 were digested with PspOMI and HindIII. All fragments were separated on agarose gels.

Table 3.10: Expected fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ8, pMEJ9, pMEJ10, pMEJ11, and pMEJ12 and parent plasmids. Theoretical fragment sizes from digests of pMEJ8, pMEJ9, pMEJ10, pMEJ11, and pMEJ12. Restriction enzymes are indicated. Parent plasmids for each new plasmid are marked green and placed directly underneath the new plasmid.

| Plasmid | Restriction enzymes | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ8 | HindIII | $7246+1979+1395$ |
| pMEJ3 | HindIII | $7246+2134$ |
| pJB861 | HindIII | $3927+3352$ |
| pMEJ10 | HindIII + PspOMI | $5005+3219+1867+1507$ |
| pMEJ5 | HindIII + PspOMI | $5005+3219+2134$ |
| pJB861 | HindIII + PspOMI | $3927+3352$ |
| pMEJ12 | PspOMI + EcoRI | $5631+4200+2067$ |
| pMIEJ7 | PspOMI + EcoRI | $5631+2960+2067$ |
| pJB861 | PspOMI + EcoRI | 7279 |
| pMEJ9 5'-3' $\mathbf{\text { insert }}$ | PspOMI + HindIII | $5005+2553+1867+1507$ |
| pMEJ9 3'-5' $\mathbf{\text { insert }}$ | PspOMI + HindIII | $5005+2553+1979+1395$ |
| pMEJ4 | PspOMI + HindIII | $5005+2553+2134$ |
| pJB861 | PspOMI + HindIII | $3927+3352$ |
| pMEJ11 5' $\mathbf{' 3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ 'insert | PspOMI + HindIII | $5005+1867+1507+1188+1059$ |
| pMEJ11 3'-5' insert | PspOMI + HindIII | $5005+1979+1396+1188+1059$ |
| pMEJ6 | PspOMI + HindIII | $5005+2134+1188+1059$ |
| pJB861 | PspOMI + HindIII | $3927+3352$ |

### 3.7 Protein Production in P. putida

The plasmids described in Section 3.6 were electroporated into P. putida KT2440.
During the first protocol tested, herby referred to as Protocol 1, 25 mL of LB with kanamycin was inoculated with $1 \%$ of $P$. putida preculture. These cultures were grown for 3 h . $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was measured before the cultures were induced with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.25 M m-toluate in $50 \%$ ethanol. The cultures were then inoculated for $\sim 20 \mathrm{~h}$. $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ and volume was measured.

The protein was extracted in a soluble and an insoluble fraction using B-PER. These fractions were separated on a protein gel. The gel can be seen in Figure 3.22. The molecular weights
should be the same as described in Table 3.8. The desired bands did not particularly stand out in either fraction, even though the insoluble fraction was a bit overloaded. It was nevertheless interpreted as a failure to produce the desired proteins using Protocol 1.


Figure 3.22: Protein gel of protein produced in P. putida using Protocol 1. Protein was produced in P. putida using Protocol 1 and extracted in an insoluble (I) and a soluble (S) fraction. These proteins were separated on a RunBlue SDS protein gel (4-12\%) from Expedeon.

In the second protocol tested, hereby referred to as Protocol 2, 25 mL of LB with kanamycin was inoculated with $1 \%$ preculture. These cultures were grown until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was $\sim 2$. The cultures were induced with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.25 M m-toluate in $50 \%$ ethanol. The cultures were then inoculated for $\sim 15-18 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{ON}) . \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ and volume was measured.

Like previously, the protein produced was extracted using B-PER and separated into an insoluble and a soluble fraction. A protein gel was used to separate the proteins extracted. In this case, only $1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ of the insoluble fraction was loaded on the gel compared to the soluble fraction. The gel can be seen in Figure 3.23. The gel did not show any clear bands in the
expected area for any of plasmids. The conclusion for Protocol 2 was thus the same as the one for Protocol 1; the desired proteins were not produced.


Figure 3.23: Protein gel of protein produced in P. putida using Protocol 2. Protein was produced in P. putida using Protocol 2 and extracted in an insoluble (I) and a soluble (S) fraction. The proteins were separated on a RunBlue SDS protein gel (4-12\%) from Expedeon.

### 3.8 Expression Vector Containing Signal Sequence

As described in Section 1.5.3, a signal sequence might improve heterologous protein expression by both avoiding host proteases, facilitate transcription initiation and ensure correct protein folding. It was decided to make a new expression vector for production of the proteins in $P$. putida containing the signal sequence PelB and a kanamycin resistance gene. An mCherry gene was included behind the signal sequence, which was later to be replaced with the genes of interest. The expression vector was made by combining two vectors kindly provided by Vectron Biosolutions; pVB1 A1B1 and pVB1 mCherry Kan-271.

Both vectors were grown in and purified from E. coli $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$. They were digested with AgeI and NdeI, and the fragments were separated on an agarose gel (see Figure 3.24). The smaller fragments were difficult to see on the gel, but the presumed 379 bp fragment of pVB 1 A1B1 was cut out from the gel as well as the presumed 7702 bp fragment of pVB 1 mCherry Kan-
271. These two fragments were purified from the gel and ligated to become the pMEJ13 plasmid. The ligation mixture was heat-shock transformed into E. coli DH5 $\alpha$.


Figure 3.24: Digest of pVB1 A1B1 and pVB1 mCherry Kan-271 before cloning. Plasmids pVB1 A1B1 and pVB1 mCherry Kan-271 were purified from E. coli DH5 a and digested with AgeI and NdeI. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel. Theoretically, pVB1 A1B1 was expected to yield fragments of 8502 bp and 379 bp, while pVB1 mCherry Kan-271 was expected to yield fragments of 7702 bp and 310 bp .

Four candidates for the newly formed pMEJ13 plasmid were purified from colonies of E. coli DH5 $\alpha$. The plasmid was verified by digestion with NheI and BamHI. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.25 and Table 3.11). The gel indicates that all four colonies contained the correct plasmid, and c 1 was chosen for further use.


Figure 3.25: Verification digest of pMEJ13. Plasmid pMEJ13 purified from four colonies of E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ were digested with NheI and BamHI. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel.

Table 3.11: Expected fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ13. Theoretical fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ13 and its parent plasmids. Restriction enzymes are indicated. Parent plasmids for the new plasmid are marked green and placed directly underneath the new plasmid.

| Plasmid | Restriction enzymes | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ13 | NheI + BamHI | $6562+1025+494$ |
| pVB1 A1B1 | NheI + BamHI | $6605+956+671+495+154$ |
| pVB1 mCherry Kan-271 | NheI + BamHI | $7518+494$ |

This plasmid was also verified by sequencing using a primer adapted to start upstream of the promoter. Sequencing was performed by GATC as previously described. This verified the correct insertion of the promoter, the signal sequence and the start of the mCherry gene.

### 3.9 Vectors with Signal Sequence and Genes of Interest

The genes of interest were cut out from the set of vectors made for the first expression in $E$. coli (pMEJ3-7) and ligated into the new expression vector, pMEJ13.
pMEJ13 was digested with NdeI and XhoI or NdeI and DraIII. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel (Figure 3.26A and Table 3.12). The smaller fragments were difficult to see on the gel. The larger fragments were thus cut out, and the gel was exposed to more UV light (Figure 3.26B). At this higher degree of exposure, weak and broad bands at $\sim 750 \mathrm{bp}$ were observed for both digests. Even though the gel did not show clear bands, it was chosen to continue with the excised fragments and trust that later verification digests would uncover eventual mistakes made during this restriction.


Figure 3.26: Digest of pMEJ13 before cloning. Plasmid pMEJ13 was cut with NdeI and either DraIII and XhoI. The fragments were separated on an agarose gel. The figure is composed of two parts of the same gel. Part A shows the gel exposed to a low amount of UV light before the largest fragments were excised. Part B shows the same gel exposed to a higher amount of UV light.

Table 3.12: Expected fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ13. Theoretical fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ13. Restriction enzymes are indicated.

| Plasmid | Restriction enzymes | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ13 | NdeI + DraIII | $7301+780$ |
| pMEJ13 | NdeI + XhoI | $7365+716$ |

pMEJ3, pMEJ5, and pMEJ6 were digested with NdeI and DraIII. pMEJ4 and pMEJ7 were digested with NdeI and SalI. The fragments were separated on agarose gels (Figure 3.27 and Table 3.13). This seemed correct according to the gels. The fragments containing the genes of interest (marked red in Table 3.13) were ligated with fragments from pMEJ13 according to Table 2.3. These plasmids were then heat-shock transformed into E. coli DH5 $\alpha$.


Figure 3.27: Digest of pMEJ3, pMEJ4, pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7 before cloning. A) pMEJ3 was digested with NdeI and DraIII, and pMEJ4 was digested with NdeI and SalI. B) pMEJ35 and pMEJ6 were digested with NdeI and DraIII, and pMEJ7 was digested with NdeI and SalI. All of the digests were separated on agarose gels.

Table 3.13: Expected fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ3, pMEJ4, pMEJ5, pMEJ6, and pMEJ7. Theoretical fragment sizes from digest in bp. Restriction enzymes are indicated. The fragments containing the genes of interest are marked red.

| Plasmid | Restriction | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | enzymes |  |
| pMEJ3 | NdeI + DraIII | $6990+1149+777+464$ |
| pMEJ4 | NdeI + SalI | $8359+1333$ |
| pMEJ5 | NdeI + DraIII | $6990+2127+777+464$ |
| pMEJ6 | NdeI + DraIII | $6990+1155+777+464$ |
| pMEJ7 | NdeI + SalI | $8359+2299$ |

To verify the newly formed plasmids, plasmids were purified from four different colonies of E. coli $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$. The plasmid candidates were digested by enzymes and separated on agarose gels (Figure 3.28 and Table 3.14). All candidates seemed correct according to the gels, and candidate 1 was chosen for all the plasmids for further use.


Figure 3.28: Verification digests of pMEJ14, pMEJ15, pMEJ16, pMEJ17, and pMEJ18. A) Four candidates for pMEJ14 were digested with HindIII and XbaI, and for candidates for pMEJ15 and pMEJ16 were digested with NheI and XhoI. B) Four candidates for pMEJ17 and pMEJ18 were digested with NheI and XhoI. All the digests were separated on agarose gels.

Table 3.14: Expected fragment sizes from digest of pMEJ14, pMEJ15, pMEJ16, pMEJ17, and pMEJ18 and their parent plasmids. Theoretical fragment sizes from digested plasmids and their parent plasmids. Restriction enzymes are indicated. Parent plasmids for the new plasmid are marked green and placed directly underneath the new plasmid. pMEJ13 was one of the parent plasmids for all the new plasmids.

| Plasmid | Restriction enzymes | Expected fragments (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pMEJ14 | HindIII + XbaI | $3394+2634+1177+1245$ |
| pMEJ3 | HindIII + XbaI | $6071+2134+1175$ |
| pMEJ13 | HindIII + XbaI | $3394+2634+1177+876$ |
| pMEJ15 | NheI + XhoI | $7205+1340+153$ |
| pMEJ4 | NheI + XhoI | $9539+153$ |
| pMEJ13 | NheI + XhoI | $7164+917$ |
| pMEJ16 | NheI + XhoI | $7269+1287+458+243+171$ |
| pMEJ5 | NheI + XhoI | $8657+1287+243+171$ |
| pMEJ17 | NheI + XhoI | $7269+632+392+164$ |
| pMEJ6 | NheI + XhoI | $8591+631+164$ |
| pMEJ18 | NheI + XhoI | $7205+1169+526+375+185+123+81$ |
| pMEJ7 | NheI + XhoI | $9368+526+375+185+123+81$ |

### 3.10 Protein Production in $P$. putida with Vectors Containing PelB

Plasmids pMEJ13, pMEJ14, pMEJ15, pMEJ16, and pMEJ17 were electroporated into competent $P$. putida cells. The attempt to electroporate pMEJ18 into $P$. putida was unsuccessful. pMEJ13 was included as a control. However, this control was not codon optimized for $P$. putida, and would presumably be more successfully expressed in E. coli.

The $P$. putida containing the plasmids were grown following Protein Production Protocol 2. The protein was extracted using B-PER. Both the soluble and insoluble fractions were separated on an SDS-PAGE gel (Figure 3.29). $1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ of the insoluble fraction was loaded on the gel compared to the amount of soluble fraction. The expected molecular weights were the same as indicated by Table 3.8 when it is taken into account which genes each plasmid encoded (see Table 2.3). The expected molecular weight of mCherry was 26.7 kDa . According to the gel, neither the proteins of interest nor mCherry was produced in significant amounts.


Figure 3.29: Protein gel of protein produced in P. putida. Protein was produced in P. putida and extracted in an insoluble (I) and a soluble (S) fraction. These proteins were separated on a RunBlue SDS protein gel (4-12\%) from Expedeon.

### 3.11 Protein Production in E. coli RV308 with Vectors Containing PelB

pMEJ13, pMEJ14, pMEJ15, pMEJ16, pMEJ17, and pMEJ18 were electroporated into E. coli RV308. The resulting strains were cultivated using the growth and purification protocol for $E$. coli. The protein was subsequently extracted using B-PER.

Two identically loaded SDS-PAGE gels with the soluble fractions were run. However, even though the loading of the gels were the same, the gels were not run at the same length of time. As positive control an extract from E. coli RV308 with plasmid pMSM2 encoding a Histagged protein of 82.3 kDa was used. One gel was stained using InstantBlue as previously (Figure 3.30). The other was used for Western Blotting (Figure 3.31).

The proteins of interest were not detected by neither electrophoresis nor by Western Blotting, but a band appeared in the column where the pMSM2 control protein was loaded.


Figure 3.30: Protein gel of protein produced in E. coli RV308. Protein was produced in E. coli containing pMEJ13, pMEJ14, pMEJ15, pMEJ16, pMEJ17, pMEJ18 or pMSM2. The soluble fractions were separated on a RunBlue SDS protein gel (4-12\%) from Expedeon. The band of the control protein is marked yellow.


Figure 3.31: Western Blot of protein from E. coli RV308. A Western Blot was performed using an antibody binding to His-tags, HisProbe ${ }^{T M}-H R P$.

### 3.12 Codon Bias Evaluation

Because of the problems in expressing proteins in E. coli and P. putida, it was investigated whether the codon bias (see Section 2.1.4) could be the cause of these problems. This was evaluated for the translation of the first part of the genes of interest with regards to E. coli (Table 3.15) and $P$. putida (Table 3.16). The frequency of appearance of each codon per 1000 codons are shown. This number will be low both in the case of rare codons and of rare amino acids. However, rare amino acids could also be relevant constraints, as this might also lead to low levels of the tRNAs related to the translation of these (Section 1.5.5). As shown in the tables, some codons could potentially pose problems. However, especially in the case of gene 6817 and 9329 there should be no codon bias in either host organism.

Table 3.15: Codon bias evaluation in E. coli for genes of interest. The first codons in the sequence of the genes of interest are shown. Codons marked yellow appear less than 1/1000, codons marked red appear 1-2 times per 1000 codons, and codons in green appear 2-5 times per 1000 codons.

| Gene | Start of gene sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8 0 8 1}$ | ATGGCGGCGAGGATGACGCTGCGGAACCTGGGCCGCGCG |
|  | AGAACGCCGCCCTCGCGGCGGCTCCTGTCCACGCGGACG |
|  | CCCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCGCCAGGGACGTCGGCG |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 6 0}$ | ATGTCGGCCCTGGGAGGATTCTCGCGTGTGGCGTCGAGC |
|  | GTCGGACGTTCGGCGCGCGGCGCGCAGCTGCTCCGCCAC |
|  | ACCCAGACGCGCAAGCTCAACATCCATGAGTACGCGAGC |
| $\mathbf{6 8 1 7}$ | ATGCTCGCGCGTACCGTGACCAAGACCCGCCAGGCGGCG |
|  | ACGCTGACGGCGACCCGTGCCATGTCGGCCACGGCCAAC |
|  | GTGTGGGTGGACAAGAACACCAAGGTCCTCTGCCAGGGC |
| $\mathbf{6 8 6 4}$ | ATGCAGTCCCTCCGGCGCGCATCAAGGCCCGCCGGCGTG |
|  | GCCGCCGTGTCTTTCGTGGCGGCAGCAGGCGTGGCCGTG |
|  | GCCGCCTTTGGCGAGCGCAGCGAAGACGACACGGGCAAT |
| $\mathbf{9 3 2 9}$ | ATGACGATGTCGCCCGCCCGGACCGTGGCGGCCGCCGCG |
|  | GGCCTCTCGACGGCGCCGGGCGGGCCCGGGCTCGCCGCC |
|  | GAGGACGCCTCGGTGGCGTCGGTGCGCCGCAGCGTGCTG |

Table 3.16: Codon bias evaluation in P. putida for genes of interest. The first codons in the sequence of the genes of interest are shown. Codons marked yellow appear less than 1/1000, codons marked red appear 1-2 times per 1000 codons, and codons in green appear 2-5 times per 1000 codons.

| Gene | Start of gene sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8 0 8 1}$ | ATGGCGGCGAGGATGACGCTGCGGAACCTGGGCCGCGCG |
|  | AGAACGCCGCCCTCGCGGCGGCTCCTGTCCACGCGGACG |
|  | CCCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCGCCAGGGACGTCGGCG |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 6 0}$ | ATGTCGGCCCTGGGAGGATTCTCGCGTGTGGCGTCGAGC |
|  | GTCGGACGTTCGGCGCGCGGCGCGCAGCTGCTCCGCCAC |
|  | ACCCAGACGCGCAAGCTCAACATCCATGAGTACGCGAGC |
| $\mathbf{6 8 1 7}$ | ATGCTCGCGCGTACCGTGACCAAGACCCGCCAGGCGGCG |
|  | ACGCTGACGGCGACCCGTGCCATGTCGGCCACGGCCAAC |
|  | GTGTGGGTGGACAAGAACACCAAGGTCCTCTGCCAGGGC |
| $\mathbf{6 8 6 4}$ | ATGCAGTCCCTCCGGCGCGCATCAAGGCCCGCCGGCGTG |
|  | GCCGCCGTGTCTTTCGTGGCGGCAGCAGGCGTGGCCGTG |
|  | GCCGCCTTTGGCGAGCGCAGCGAAGACGACACGGGCAAT |
| $\mathbf{9 3 2 9}$ | ATGACGATGTCGCCCGCCCGGACCGTGGCGGCCGCCGCG |
|  | GGCCTCTCGACGGCGCCGGGCGGGCCCGGGCTCGCCGCC |
|  | GAGGACGCCTCGGTGGCGTCGGTGCGCCGCAGCGTGCTG |

### 3.13 Fermentation Experiment

Due to the failure to heterologously express the enzymes of interest, it was decided to measure the enzyme activity in Aurantiochytrium T66. A fermentation experiment was set up as described in Section 2.7.1. Three biological parallels of both Aurantiochytrium T66 (flask 1, 2, and 3) and Schizochytrium S21 (flask 4, 5, and 6) were inoculated.

### 3.13.1 Growth Curve, Nitrogen Content and Total Lipid Content

$\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was measured approximately twice a day during the fermentation. The growth curve for each flask can be seen in Figure 3.32. The time points for enzymatic assay sampling are indicated by blue arrows, where the first one is taken in the exponentiental faze (28 h) and the second in the shift from exponentiental towards stationary faze (66 h).


Figure 3.32: Growth curve of flasks with S21 and T66. The growth curves are based on OD measurements at 600 nm as a function of time (hours). Flask 1, 2, and 3 contained T66, while flask 4, 5, and 6 contained S21. The blue arrows indicate the time points where enzyme samples were taken.

The nitrogen content samples could not be processed due to the delayed delivery of the kit intended to measure this. It was thus decided to look to the assimilable nitrogen data in fermentation of Schizochytrium S21 presented by Chang et al. (2013). They reported that the nitrogen was completely spent after 18 h of fermentation while using a similar growth media as the one used for the fermentation experiment in this thesis.

The lipid content at the end of the fermentations was calculated to be an average $59.0 \pm 1.3$ $(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}) \%$ for T66 and $64.3 \pm 1.5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}) \%$ for S21 of the dry weight for the three parallels.

### 3.13.2 Sonication Test

A sonication test was performed as described in Section 2.7.5. The test samples were the precultures of T66 and S21 in YPD media $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=6.37\right.$ and 8.86 , respectively $)$ and a culture of S21 grown in the lipid accumulation media with TMS1 and Vitamin Mix $1\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=25.8\right)$. The protein concentration as a function of sonication time, by which the actual pulse time is meant, is shown in Figure 3.33. By the flattening of the curves of the cultures grown in the

YPD media, the S21 cells seemed completely lysed around 300 s , while the T66 cells seemed completely lysed around 500 s . On the other hand, the very low protein yield of S21 grown in lipid accumulation media despite its significantly higher OD than the other cultures indicated that a complete lysis of the cells were not achieved under these conditions.


Figure 3.33: Protein concentration as a function of sonication time for sonication trial. The protein concentration was measured using the Bradford Method at several points during the sonication of the samples.

### 3.13.3 Sonication of Enzyme Assay Samples

Samples for enzyme assays were taken at 28 h and 66 h of fermentation and prepared as described in Section 2.7.5. Because challenges with sonication were detected but not solved, the samples were sonicated unequally in an attempt to achieve better protein yields. During the sonication of the samples, protein concentration was measured for some samples. The total pulse time and final protein concentrations of the samples from the different flasks are indicated in Table 3.17 ( 28 h ) and Table 3.18 ( 66 h ). For the samples taken at 28 h , the resting time between sonication was varied as indicated by the table. For the samples taken at 66 h , some samples were sonicated in a diluted state (diluted to $1 / 2$ of the original concentration) for $50 \%$ or $100 \%$ of the sonication time, as indicated by the table.

The yields of the samples taken at 28 h were around $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, while the yields from 66 h were $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ or less.

Table 3.17: Protein concentration in samples for enzyme assays taken at 28 h. Protein concentration in $\mu \mathrm{g} / m L$ of the sonicated enzyme assay samples from flasks 1-6 were estimated by the Bradford Method. Total pulse time as well as time of each pulse ("pulsation time") and the rest time between each pulse is given in seconds.

| Flask | Total pulse time (s) | Pulsation time (s) | Rest time (s) | Protein concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m L})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1350 | 4 | 10 | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 900 | 4 | 4 | 170 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1200 | 4 | 4 | 200 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 900 | 4 | 10 | 190 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 900 | 4 | 4 | 210 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1200 | 4 | 4 | 210 |

Table 3.18: Protein concentration in samples for enzyme assays taken at 66 h. Protein concentration in $\mu \mathrm{g} / m L$ of the sonicated enzyme assay samples from flasks 1-6 were estimated by the Bradford Method. Some samples were sonicated in a diluted state (diluted to $1 / 2$ the concentration) for $50 \%$ or $100 \%$ of the sonication time.

| Flask | Total pulse time $(\mathbf{s})$ | Protein concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m L})$ | Percent of sonication as diluted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 2700 | 150 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1800 | 120 | $50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1800 | 70 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1800 | 70 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1800 | 130 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1800 | 140 |  |

### 3.13.4 Enzyme Activity

Control assays were performed with commercially available enzymes to verify the assay protocols (Appendix C - Enzyme Assay Controls). These data are only intended to be of a qualitative and not quantitative nature, and the experiments were only performed for one technical parallel.

G3PDH
The G3PDH assays were performed as described in Section 2.8.1 with either NADH or NADPH as cofactors. All assays were performed in 3 technical replicates, including blank
samples containing only 0.1 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ buffer. Assays were performed both with and without the substrate (DHAP).

The average value of the three replicates was calculated. In some cases, one parallel was removed due to large variation from the other two. The average change in absorbance as a function of time was calculated by subtracting the average absorption at time zero from all the later absorbance measurements. The average change in absorbance without substrate was subtracted from the average change in absorbance with substrate. This gave the difference in change of absorption as a function of time. An increasingly negative function would indicate a larger consumption of cofactor in the samples with substrate than in the ones without substrate, while a function parallel with the x -axis would indicate no increased cofactor consumption in the presence of the substrate. Increasingly negative trends would thus indicate a detected G3PDH activity.

The difference in the change of absorption for G3PDH assays with NADH at 28 h and 66 h is shown in Figure 3.34. The results from flask 6 at 28 h , one of the S 21 parallels, showed large variations in the measurements during the entire duration of the assay. All the parallels showed large variation in absorbance during the first $30-50 \mathrm{~s}$. This variation was not similar in the flasks containing the same organisms; thus it was suspected that the mixing at initiation was not completely achieved. Apart from the measurements done just after initiation, the difference in change in absorption seemed to be parallel with the x -axis. This indicated no observable G3PDH activity.

The scale on the $y$ axis is the same for the assays at the two different time points. The variation in the data at the first time point is thus observed to be larger than the variation at the second time point. The decrease in variance might be due to the additional filtration of the raw extract before the measurements at the second time point. The blank samples, where only pure buffer was added to the reaction mixture, does not exhibit this large variation. This indicates that the variation is caused by something in the enzyme samples.


Figure 3.34: Difference in change of absorption in G3PDH assay with NADH at 28 h(A) and $66 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B})$. The average change in absorbance without substrate was subtracted from the average change in absorbance with substrate for the enzyme samples from flask 1-6 and for the blank samples.

The difference in the change of absorption for G3PDH assays with NADPH at 28 h and 66 h is shown in Figure 3.35. Similar observations were made for these assays as for the assays with NADH. This was both with regards to the irregular results for flask 6 at 28 h , the large variation in absorbance just after initiation, the x -axis parallel functions after the first 30-50 s and the significantly smaller variation in the data from 66 h .


Figure 3.35: Difference in change of absorption in G3PDH assay with NADPH at 28 (A) and $66 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B})$. The average change in absorbance without substrate was subtracted from the average change in absorbance with substrate for the enzyme samples from flask 1-6 and for the blank samples.

ACL
The ACL assays were performed as described in Section 2.8.2. All assays were performed in 3 technical replicates, including blank samples containing only 0.1 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ buffer. Assays were performed both with and without the substrate (citrate).

Difference in change of absorption was calculated as for the G3PDH results. The difference in change of absorption for ACL assays at 28 h and 66 h is shown in Figure 3.36.

For the results from 28 h , the variation observed in the dataset is too large to point out any apparent enzyme activity. This variation does not appear for the blank samples, and was therefore probably caused by something related to the raw extract. The results for 66 h exhibited, like the ones described for the G3PDH assays, considerably less variation. This applies to all samples apart from the ones from flask 1. A slight negative trend could be observed at 66h, which could indicate an ACL activity for both the T66 and the S21 samples.


Figure 3.36: Difference in change of absorption in ACL assay at 28 h. The average change in absorbance without substrate was subtracted from the average change in absorbance with substrate for the enzyme samples from flask 1-6 and for the blank samples.

## 4 Discussion

Even though heterologous gene expression in theory is rather straight forward, this thesis is an example of how difficult it can be. As emphasized by Kaur et al. (2018), heterologous expression needs to be optimized for each protein to be expressed. In this thesis it was aimed to express five genes encoding proteins. In one way, this was expected to be a difficult task, as it could mean that five different optimized protocols needed to be developed. On the other hand, it would increase the chances of successfully managing to express at least one of them, assuming the eventual expression problems were not exactly the same for all five peptides.

The first attempt at heterologous expression was to use an expression system proven efficient in the first choice of host organism; the NTNU developed $P m / \mathrm{XylS}$ promotor system in $E$. coli RV308. The production and purification of the control protein were successfully performed, thus confirming that the protocol itself was not the cause of the expression challenges. When expression failed at the normally used growth temperature of E . coli, $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a decrease in temperature after induction was attempted. The lowering of growth temperature in E. coli can be a method to avoid he formation of inclusion bodies. The aggregate can however be solubilized with compounds such as SDS (Kaur et al., 2018). This would indicate that even if the proteins were expressed and caught in inclusion bodies preventing His-tag purification, the proteins should nevertheless appear on a protein gel where samples were denatured with SDS. It was probably not an inclusion body formation causing the failure to express and purify the proteins, because the proteins did not show up on the protein gels in neither the soluble nor the insoluble fractions. The lowering of temperature could also have improved translation efficiency. If this was in fact improved during the experiment, the process must still have been impaired at another stage and thus preventing protein formation.

The GC-content of E. coli is relatively low (Kaur et al., 2018). On the other hand, the GCcontent of Aurantiochytrium T66 is high. P. putida was chosen as the next attempted host organism, partly because of its similarity with regards to GC-content to T66. P. putida has also been shown to have a metabolism quite different from the metabolism of E. coli, for instance with regards to their regulation of the stress response factors RpoS and Crp (Belda et al., 2016). Toxicity of heterologously expressed protein is a known problem (Kaur et al., 2018), and the use of host organisms with differences in stress response and other metabolic features could be helpful to overcome this.

Two different protocols were used to test the expression in P. putida. One used a high OD at the time of induction and a high concentration of the inducer, while the other used a lower OD and a lower concentration of the inducer. It was suggested by Kaur et al. (2018) that a high OD might be advantageous if the protein were toxic to the organism. The inducer concentration was also mentioned as an important factor, where a low concentration could give a low yield and a high concentration could give inclusion body formation. However, neither of the protocols tested seemed to be effective. Because the proteins did not show up on the protein gel, inclusion body formation was not likely the problem. A more thorough study could have been performed, where several ODs and inducer concentrations could have been tested. However, due to a limited amount of time, it was chosen to attempt another method.

The translocation of a heterologously expressed protein to the periplasm of the host organism by attachment of a signal peptide may solve several expression problems, for instance degradation by peptidases. In this case, PelB was used. Translation may also be improved by the use of a signal peptide. Unfortunately, the use of a signal peptide did not yield any positive results in neither E. coli nor in P. putida.

The codon bias was further evaluated as a possible reason for the expression problems. As mentioned above, using a host with a more similar GC-content did not yield recombinant proteins. It was thus decided to look more closely at the specific codons used in the beginning of each peptide. Even though the beginning of at least two of the peptides apparently should not have caused problems in either host, translation of the peptide could be impaired at a later stage in translation. If a codon is rare for the host, it might not have the corresponding tRNAs available in sufficient amounts to translate the mRNAs from the heterologously expressed genes. For future attempts to express these genes, codon optimization of the genes where rare codons were found could have been attempted. Another possibility could be to coexpress the genes encoding the tRNAs rarely used by $E$. coli and $P$. putida.

The experiments conducted in this thesis, could not determine at which stage in the protein expression cycle the problems occurred. However, the formation of inclusion bodies was excluded. The remaining points of challenge would thus be transcription, translation, and degradation. The question about whether the genes were in fact transcribed could be further investigated by a transcriptional analysis. This could be performed by purifying the RNA from a host cell lysate, and then run RT-PCR with primers for each gene of interest. If this
indeed was the problem, the efforts to express the genes could be concentrated on transcription. Experiments focused on post-transcriptional optimization could then be put on hold until the transcription hurdle was overcome.

Chaperones might be both the cause of and solution to the expression challenges. Proteins can be marked for degradation by chaperones (Saibil, 2013). The use of mutant prokaryotic hosts lacking some chaperones have been shown to yield better expression of eukaryotic proteins. However, these mutant hosts may themselves suffer from the lack of these chaperones and accumulate inclusion bodies of both the recombinant protein and of native host proteins (Nishihara et al., 1998). Because the intent of this study was to verify expression and activity of the enzymes in question, not to produce large amounts of the enzymes, the mutant strains might still be viable enough to produce the amounts of recombinant protein needed to perform the assays.

Programs have been developed that are to a certain extent able to predict chaperone requirements of proteins based on their sequences (Klus et al., 2014). Some computer models can also identify the binding sites of the E. coli chaperone component DnaK on a protein based on its sequence. DnaK is part of the native quality control system in E. coli (Van Durme et al., 2009). For future attempts to express the genes of interest, such bioinformatic studies could be relevant. Chaperones might also be expressed along with the recombinant protein (Nishihara et al., 1998). Some types of chaperones that bind non-specifically are found in different versions in a wide range of organisms. However, some proteins are very specific in their chaperone and cochaperone requirements. An example of this is the substrates of the chaperonins, a chaperone family that encloses the peptide inside itself, providing a special environment ensuring the correct folding. These are typically found in eukaryotes (Saibil, 2013), which might pose problems when attempting expression in prokaryotic hosts.

As some proteins have very specific chaperone requirements, it could be imagined that a chaperone from Aurantiochytrium T66 itself was needed to ensure the correct folding of the expressed genes. Courtesy of the bioinformatic part of the Auromega project (in particular Vetle Simensen), 120 ORFs possibly encoding chaperones have been identified in the sequenced genome of Aurantiochytrium T66. It would be a substantial task to attempt the coexpression of all of these, especially since chaperone function often depends on the presence of several peptides (Saibil, 2013). The number of chaperone candidates to investigate could be substantially decreased by investigation of cotranscription of the
suspected chaperones with the ORFs of interest in T66. Such investigations could also provide further data concerning the growth faze where the ORFs of interest were expressed.

Another suggestion to achieve protein expression is to coexpress the peptide of interest with another protein, known as a fusion protein (Hannig and Makrides, 1998). A coexpressed peptide can be cleaved off by designed peptidase cleavage sites between the two peptides (Kaur et al., 2018). This could be a solution if the problem was that the peptides expressed were recognized as foreign and degraded by host proteases.

In some cases, prokaryotic hosts will not be suited for expression of eukatyotic proteins. Sometimes, eukaryotic hosts should be chosen due to their ability to facilitate certain posttranslational modification such as glycosylation (Kaur et al., 2018). Eukaryotic cells might also contain the chaperones necessary for the correct folding, thus avoiding degradation. Various eukaryotic expression hosts and systems have been developed, such as expression in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sampaio et al., 2011) or the use of the balculovirus expression system in insects (King, 1992). However, these systems exhibit large variations from the system described in this thesis. The attempt to use eukaryotic hosts would thus not be feasible in the work on this thesis, but it would certainly be a promising choice for further attempts to express the peptides in question.

It is worth noting that Chávez-Cabrera et al. (2015) successfully expressed active ACL from the yeast Phaffia rhodozyma in E. coli, thus proving that some eukaryotic ACL enzymes could be expressed in prokaryotes. This makes the question about the failed expression of presumed ACL from T66 in prokaryotes even more intriguing. Could it be that all of the gene candidates for ACL were wrongly suspected of ACL activity, and thus it would make no sense to compare the expression of these genes to the expression of ACL? Or does the expression fail due to some feature of thraustochytrid genes? Could it be that the expression failed due to coincidental problems with all five of the chosen peptides?

The answer to the question concerning expression of thraustochytrid genes can be found in the literature. Genes from various Aurantiochytrium species have been reported to be heterologously expressed, for instance a lysophospholipid acyltransferase from Aurantiochytrium limacinum F26-b in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Abe et al., 2014) and a squalene synthase from Aurantiochytrium sp. KRS101 in E. coli (Hong et al., 2013). Thus, Aurantiochytium genes can in fact be heterologously expressed in both prokaryotes and
eukaryotes. This leaves the question concerning specific features related to the expression of the five ORFs of interest to future studies.

If indeed the proteins had been successfully expressed, a detail discovered in the preliminary research concerning the ACL candidates might have become significant. The gene sequences for the peptides attempted to be expressed matched with either $\beta$ - or $\alpha$-subunits of proteins. Could it be that a combination of the peptides encoded by the genes of interest was needed to make a functional enzyme? If so, which combination? Or could it be that one of the genes indeed encoded one of the ACL subunits, but that another required subunit was not among the sequences investigated and expressed? If a combination of the peptides chosen and expressed were needed, the purified peptides could have been combined in vitro before assays were run. Alternatively, operons expressing several subunits could have been made. However, the risk of there being a need of chaperones or a specific environment to correctly combine the subunits would have been present.

Even though the expression of these peptides did not give the desired results, the expression vectors made did not have any apparent flaws. In particular, pMEJ1 and pMEJ2 could prove to be useful expression vectors for the expression of many peptides in $E$. coli due to the large flexibility in the insertion of the gene to be expressed. It would also be rather straight forward to combine either pMEJ1 or pMEJ2 with pMEJ13 to get a new expression vector with both the flexibility with regards to restriction of insert and the signal sequence pelB.

By the time all of these different adjustments were attempted to achieve protein expression, the time of the deadline for this thesis was approaching quickly. It was thus decided to move on to a direct measurement of enzyme activity in protein extracts from Aurantiochytrium T66 itself. However, this experiment would only partly be able to answer the initial question; Does T66 produce the enzymes G3PDH and ACL, and if so, by which genes are they encoded? A measurement of enzyme activity in the lysate of T66 should theoretically be able to detect enzyme activity. However, the genes encoding the enzymes would still not be known. Another challenge in the enzyme assay in the T66 fermentation experiment, was the indirect assays designed to measure enzyme activity. Both assays were based on measurements of NADH or NADPH consumption. In a mixture of metabolic enzymes, many enzymes would likely contribute to the consumption of NAD $(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$. Some might even form NAD $(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$ from the $\mathrm{NA}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{D}^{+}$generated by the $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$-consuming reactions. An attempt to segregate all of these other $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$-based reactions from the specific ones in question was made by
running assays both with and without the specific enzyme substrates. However, once again, one could not be certain that no other reactions were consuming the substrates. Thus, these enzyme assays would have to be considered as rough estimates needing to be properly verified by heterologously expressed enzymes or other more specific methods.

Regarding the assays described for G3PDH, it should be noted that these assays would not be able to measure the activity of the FAD-dependent G3PDH enzyme (mG3PDH) involved in the glycerol 3-phosphate shuttle (Section 1.4.2). This enzyme is a membrane bound enzyme. The signal peptide search indicated a signal peptide in gene 6468 , which was one of the suspected G3PDH enzymes. This could indicate that this was a G3PDH enzyme destined for the mitochondrial membrane. If the genes selected for G3PDH activity were FAD dependent, different assays would have to be applied to detect these.

A rather surprising problem occurred during the preparation of the enzyme samples from the fermentation; the cells proved quite difficult to lyse. The initial sonication test was based on the sonication conditions described by Chang et al. (2013) in their fermentation of Schizochytrium S21, which was the control in this experiment. In their case, 900 s of sonication with a strength of 455 W was used to lyse the cells. As showed by the sonication test and the sonication of the samples, the cells of both species tested easily lysed when grown in YPD media, but not when they were grown in the lipid accumulation media. The media described by Chang et al. (2013) was similar to the lipid accumulation media used in this experiment. The main difference was that glycerol was the main carbon source in the media of Chang et al. (2013), opposed to glucose in the lipid accumulation media. However, the YPD media also contained glucose as the main carbon source. The sonication challenges were in fact discovered by the lucky coincidence that cultures grown in the two different media were kept in the incubator at the time of the sonication test. A large increase in the protein concentration was not observed when the lipid accumulation cultures were sonicated more than $\sim 300$ s. However, when cultures grown in the YPD media where sonicated, the protein yield was about five times higher than the lipid accumulation cultures with comparable OD. This indicated in fact that more protein should be present, and that the cultures of the lipid accumulation media were not successfully lysed. The problem could easily have been overlooked if the YPD cultures had not been included in the test, since there was no significant increase in protein concentration when sonication time was increased beyond $\sim 500$
s. The difference in susceptibility to sonication might have been explained if the samples discussed were from the late stages of fermentation, where the cultures in the lipid
accumulation media should have a large percentage of lipids. However, when samples with OD around 6-8 were compared, the cells should be in the exponential phase (see Figure 3.32), and lipid production was expected to be low in both media.

Because of the challenges concerning lysis of the cells, it took a long time to prepare the enzyme samples produced during the fermentation trial. They were also subjected to a large degree of sonication. This might harm the enzymes even if the membranes of the thraustochytrids were not destroyed. It could render the enzymes inactive, thus being a possible explanation to the lack of enzyme activity detection in the assays performed on the samples. The samples from the different fermentation flasks were also unequally sonicated in the attempt to lyse at least some of the samples. This meant that the comparison of the flasks might not be completely correct, due to the different treatment of the samples.

Because of the challenges with sonicating the cells, other cell lysing methods should be considered for future experiments. In this thesis, two other methods that might work are described. The chemical lysis with the commercially available B-PER ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Protein Extraction Reagent was used to lyse the prokaryotic host cells. Versions of this reagent adapted for yeast cells and plant cells, Y-PER ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and P-PER ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, respectively, are available from the same producer (Thermo Scientific). These are described by the producer to yield active protein lysates, but this should probably be verified for each particular enzyme. Another lysis method described in this thesis, is the mechanical breakage of the cells using zirconium oxide beads and a Precellys 24 shaker which was used during the lipid extraction procedure. This method would presumably be able to overcome though membranes, but the yield of active enzymes might be low due to the possible mechanical destruction of the protein.

The enzyme assays performed did not show any clear activity, except from a small hint in the measurement of ACL activity at 66h of fermentation. The negative results were not necessarily due to the actual absence of the enzymes. As discussed above, the challenges faced while preparing the samples might have rendered the enzymes inactive. Also, the large variations and noise in the datasets achieved might have been decreased by optimizing the procedure further. By allowing the reactions to proceed for a longer time, the trend detected in the ACL assay might have been clear enough to present as a positive detection of enzyme activity.

The fermentation experiment did however yield some useful results. A growth curve of Aurantiochytrium T66 was made. This growth curve was very similar to the one produced by
the control organism Schizochytrium S21. This showed that S21 could be a useful control organism for future research, because the coordination of fermentations could be performed with relative ease. The lipid measurements also showed a high yield of lipids in the lipid accumulation media, thus confirming that the media was suited for lipid production.

No enzyme activity for neither ACL nor G3PDH was detected during the experiments described in this thesis, even though the enzyme assay protocols were verified. The enzyme activity of the heterologosly expressed enzymes could not be detected due to challenges concerning the expression. The enzyme activity in the fermentation experiment quite likely remained undetected due to sample preparation challenges. Thus, neither the presence nor the absence of either of the enzymes in question could be verified. However, the presence of G3PDH was assumed relatively certain, and the important question concerning this enzyme was the cofactor requirements. Regarding ACL, the presence was a more intriguing question. If in fact the enzyme was absent, the acetyl-CoA needed for lipid production would have to be provided in some other fashion. This knowledge could be important for the improved understanding of the Aurantiochytrium T66 metabolism, and thus enhance the ability to manipulate its lipid production.

## 5 Conclusion and Further Work

The Aurantiochytrium T66 genes 6817, 10760, 9329, 8081, and 6468 were cloned into RK2based vectors. Expression was attempted in E. coli and P. putida both with and without the signal sequence pelB. No recombinant protein was detected for either of these experiments. A lowered growth temperature was also tested for E. coli, but this did not improve expression. ACL and G3PDH activity were also measured in vivo at several timepoints of Aurantiochytrium T66 fermentations. No activity was detected for either of the enzymes, but this was suspected due to sample preparation challenges and not due to the absence of the enzymes.

In order to express the genes of interest in this thesis, the use of a eukaryote host could be attempted. Expression vectors encoding several of the genes could also be constructed, as these genes likely encode subunits of the enzymes. The need to develop good protocols for enzyme extraction from Aurantiochytrium T66 cultures have also been demonstrated in this thesis, as well as the need to test these protocols for several different growth media.
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## Appendix A - Ladders

## DNA Ladders

The ladders used for the agarose gels were made by digesting $\lambda$ DNA (New England Biolabs Inc.) with the restriction enzymes PstI and HindIII. The result of a virtual digest of the $\lambda$ DNA with either PstI or HindIII is shown in Figure A.1. This was used as a basis for evaluating the size of the fragments in the samples on the agarose gels made during the experiments of this thesis.


Figure A.1: DNA ladders. A virtual digest was performed in Benchling. The DNA digested was $\lambda$ DNA (GenBank: J02459.1). The ladder (left) is a virtual ladder indicating fragment sizes. 1 (middle) is a digest with HindIII and 2 (right) is a digest with PstI.

## Protein Ladders

For the protein gels, a Prestained Protein Ladder \#7710, Broad Range (10-230 kDa), from New England Biolabs Inc. was used. The bands and corresponding molecular weights made by this ladder is indicated in Figure A.2.


Figure A.2: Protein ladder. The bands and corresponding molecular weights resulting from a protein gel with the Prestained Protein Ladder \#7710, Broad Range (10-230 kDa) from New England Biolabs Inc.

## Appendix B - Bradford Standard Curve

The Bradford assay was performed using a Coomassie (Bradford) Protein Assay Kit (Number 23200) from Thermo Scientific and the protocol supplied with the product.

A standard curve (Figure B.1) for the Bradford assay was made by making a series of solutions with different concentrations of bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 0.1 M Tris- HCl ( pH 7.4 ). $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ BSA sample was added to each well in a blank 96-well microplate. $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Coomassie Reagent was added to each well, and the sample and the reagent was mixed by pipetting. The sample was incubated for $\sim 10 \mathrm{~min}$ before the absorption at 595 nm was measured using a SpectraMax Plus 384 spectrophotometer from Molecular Devices. Three parallels were performed for each sample, including the blank sample (only buffer). The absorbance was calculated as the average absorbance of the three parallels minus the average absorbance of the blank samples. These values were plotted against the concentration to form the standard curve. Thermo Scientific recommended using a point-to-point plotting rather than using linear regression for assays performed in microplates in their protocol, thus this was chosen for the standard curve.


Figure B.1: Bradford assay standard curve. A standard curve was made by measuring the absorbance of a Coomassie Reagent with different concentrations of BSA at 595 nm , subtracting these values from the absorbance of samples with only buffer, and finally plotting these values against the BSA concentrations.

## Appendix C - Enzyme Assay Controls

The assays were verified by testing them on commercially available enzymes.

## ACL Assay

To verify the ACL assay, citrate lyase from Klebsiella pneumoniae from Sigma-Aldrich (product number C0897, EC: 4.1.3.6) was used. According to Srere (1972), the citrate lyase catalyzes a reaction quite similar to the reaction catalyzed by ACL. The main difference between the two, is the independence of citrate lyase of ATP. Due to this difference, ATP could not be used as the initiating agent in the assay. The enzyme itself was given this role instead. The enzyme assay was otherwise performed as described in Section 2.8.2. Instead of the protein sample, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ was used. The concentration of citrate lyase in the reaction mixture was $0.2 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$, where 1 U was defined as the amount of enzyme needed to convert $1.0 \mu$ mole of citrate to oxaloacetate per min at pH 7.6 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

One parallel of the control assay is shown in Figure C.1. The absorption indicated is the absorption after the absorption for the flattened part of the curve (calculated as average between 200 and 300 s ) was subtracted. A clear decrease in absorption at 340 nm is observed, indicating a diminishing amount of NADH. This indicates that the assay set up was correct.


Figure C.1: Assay result from control assay with citrate lyase. The assay developed for ACL was performed on commercially produced citrate lyase. The figure shows the absorption minus the absorption of the reaction after the flattening of the curve as a function of time in s.

## G3PDH Assay

To verify the G3PDH assay, G3PDH from rabbit muscle from Roche (EC: 1.1.1.8) was used. The protocol was performed as described in Section 2.8.1. Instead of the protein sample, 50 $\mu \mathrm{L} 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ was used. The assay was performed for both NADH and NADPH, even though the commercial G3PDH was described as a NADH-using G3PDH. The concentration of G3PDH in the NADH assay reaction mixture was $0.032 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ and in the NADPH reaction mixture it was $0.630 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL} .1 \mathrm{U}$ was defined as the amount of enzyme needed to catalyze $1.0 \mu$ mole of DHAP per min at $\mathrm{pH} 8.0-8.5$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For both assays, the raw absorption of two parallels were averaged and shown as functions of time. One parallel without enzyme was run for each assay. Figure C. 2 shows the results for the G3PDH assay with NADPH, while Figure C. 3 shows the corresponding figure with NADH. Both the figures show a decrease in absorption for the parallels where enzyme was added, but none in the parallels without enzyme. This indicated that the decrease in absorption is due to the consumption of NADH/NADPH by the enzymes. The NADH curve is significantly steeper than the NADPH curve, even though the enzyme concentration is 20 times higher in the NADPH parallels. This indicated that even though the enzyme could use both NADPH and NADH, the preferred cofactor was NADH.


Figure C.2: Assay result from control assay for G3PDH with NADPH. The assay for G3PDH was performed on a commercially produced G3PDH. The absorption is shown as a function of time in seconds. The orange line is the average of two parallels performed with the enzyme, while the blue line is a parallel performed without the enzyme.


Figure C.3: Assay result from control assay for G3PDH with NADH. The assay for G3PDH was performed on a commercially produced G3PDH. The absorption is shown as a function of time in seconds. The orange line is the average of two parallels performed with the enzyme, while the blue line is a parallel performed without the enzyme.

## Appendix D - Sequencing of Genes of Interest

The PCR products of the ORFs of interest were verified by sequencing the TOPO ${ }^{\circledR}$ vectors they were cloned into (see Table 2.3). The sequencing was performed by the commercial agent Eurofins Genomics, GATC service (LIGHTRUN). All ORFs were sequenced using the M13F (forward) and M13R (reverse) primers, and for gene 8081 and 6468 custom designed primers (seq) to sequence the middle of the genes were used (Table 2.1).

The sequences were aligned and evaluated in Benchling, but they are here displayed using Clone Manager 9. The dots (.) signify that the base of the sequenced fragment is the same as in the template, which is the theoretical $\mathrm{TOPO}^{\circledR}$ vectors with the gene inserts. The hyphen (-) signifies that no base was determined for this point in the fragment. If another base than the one in the template was detected at a specific point, the base detected was shown. Only the parts of the alignments where the sequencing where considered trustworthy are shown. The sequences of the genes of interest are highlighted yellow, while deviances are marked red.

## Sequence Alignment of Gene 6817

| pMEJ3T | 235 agctatttaggtgacactatagaatactcaagctatgcatcaagcttggt |
| :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 23 |
| pMEJ3T | 285 accgagctcggatccactagtaacggccgccagtgtgctggaattcgccc |
| M13R | 73 |
| M13F | 1114 |
| pMEJ3T | 335 ttgcggccgcaagcatggccttgccaagagcettcatggcctcgagcatg |
| M13R | 123 |
| M13F | 1093 |
| pMEJ3T | 385 gtgacgccgagcttggcgggggactcggtgacgtggacaccggcatcgcg |
| M13R | 173 |
| M13F | 1043 |
| pMEJ3T | 435 gagggcctccatcttggcctttgcggtacccttgccgccggcaatgatgg |
| M13R | 223 |
| M13F | 993 |
| pMEJ3T | 485 cgccggcgtggcccatgcggcggccgggcggggcggtgaggccggcgatg |
| M13R | 273 |
| M13F | 943 |
| pMEJ3T | 535 aagctgacgacaggcttgtccgggtttccgttctgcttgagccattctgc |
| M13R | 323 |
| M13F | 893 |
| pMEJ3T | 585 ggcctcctcctcggcggtgccgccaatctcaccgatcatgatgatgcect |
| M13R | 373 |
| M13F | 843 |
| pMEJ3T | 635 cggtctcggggtcgttggtgaagcgctcgaggcagtcgatgaagttggtg |
| M13R | 423 |
| M13F | 793 |


| pMEJ3T | 685 | ccgttgaagggatcgccgccaatgccgacgaccgtggactggccgagacc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 473 |  |
| M13F | 743 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 735 | ggtctcggtggtctgggccacggcetcgtacgtgagggtgccggagcggg |
| M13R | 523 |  |
| M13F | 693 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 785 | agacgacgccgatcttgcccggggtgtggatgtagcccggcatgatgccg |
| M13R | 573 |  |
| M13F | 643 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 835 | atcttgcactcgttgggcttgatgatgccggggcagttggggccgatgag |
| M13R | 623 |  |
| M13F | 593 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 885 | gcgggtggtggtctggcgctggaggtgccacttgaccttgagcatgtcct |
| M13R | 673 |  |
| M13F | 543 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 935 | gctgcgggatgccctcggtgatgcacacgacgaggggcacctcggcctcg |
| M13R | 723 |  |
| M13F | 493 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 985 | acggcctcaagaatggccttgccggccacggggggcggcacgaagatgac |
| M13R | 773 |  |
| M13F | 443 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 1035 | cgaggcgtcgacaccgacggcgtcetgggcctccttcacggtgttgaaca |
| M13R | 823 |  |
| M13F | 393 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 1085 | cggggagtccgaggtgctcctggccgccettgccggggctcgtgccgccg |
| M13R | 873 |  |
| M13F | 343 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 1135 | atcatcttggttccgtacgcgatggcctgctcgctatggaaggtgccetg |
| M13R | 923 |  |
| M13F | 293 |  |
| PMEJ3T | 1185 | cttgccggtaaagccetggcagaggaccttggtgttcttgtccacccaca |
| M13R | 973 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . c----------------------------------- |
| M13F | 243 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 1235 | cgttggccgtggccgacatggcacgggtcgccgtcagcgtcgccgcctgg |
| M13F | 193 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ3T | 1285 | cgggtcttggtcacggtacgcgcgagcatatgaagggcgaattctgcaga |
| M13F | 143 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 1335 | tatccatcacactggcggccgctcgagcatgcatctagagggcceaattc |
| M13F | 93 |  |
| pMEJ3T | 1385 | gccotatagtgagtcgtattacaat |
| M13F | 43 |  |

## Sequence Alignment of Gene 10760

| pMEJ4T | 235 | agctatttaggtgacactatagaatactcaagctatgcatcaagcttggt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 23 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 285 | accgagctcggatccactagtaacggccgccagtgtgctggaattcgccc |
| M13R | 73 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 335 | ttcatatgtcggccetgggaggattctcgcgtgtggcgtcgagcgtcgga |
| M13R | 123 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 385 | cgttcggcgcgcggcgcgcagctgctccgccacacccagacgcgcaagct |
| M13R | 173 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 435 | caacatccatgagtacgcgagcatgcaggtcatgaaggactacaatgtcc |
| M13R | 223 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 485 | ccgtgcccaagaacagcgtggccacctcgcccgaggaagcccaaaaggtc |
| M13R | 273 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 535 | tacgacgccggcgagctcggcgaggatgtggtcataaaggcccaggtcct |
| M13R | 323 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 585 | ggceggtggccgcggcegcggaaccttcaagaacggcttcgagggcggcg |
| M13R | 373 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 635 | tccagatggtgtccaagtctgaggaggccaaggagatcgcoggcaagatg |
| M13R | 423 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 685 | ctcggccagctcctcgtcaccaagcagactggggaggagggcaagccotg |
| M13R | 473 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| M13F | 1040 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 735 | tgagcgcgtcctgctcatggagcgcetcaagctcaagcgcgagacctact |
| M13R | 523 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| M13F | 1005 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 785 | ttagtatcctccttgaccgctcgcacgtcggcgtccccctcgtcggctcg |
| M13R | 573 |  |
| M13F | 955 | , . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 835 | cccgccggtggcatgagcatcgaggacgtcgccgctgagaccccggagaa |
| M13R | 623 |  |
| M13F | 905 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 885 | gatcttcaccgagaagattgacatcgtgagcggcctccaggacgaacagg |
| M13R | 673 |  |
| M13F | 855 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 935 | tcgagcgcctcgccaagaacctcggctacgagggcgacgcgcacaccaag |
| M13R | 723 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| M13F | 805 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ4T | 985 | tgcgttcaggtcatcaagtcgctctacgacctttttatcgactgcgactg |
| M13R | 773 |  |
| M13F | 755 |  |
| pMEJ4T | 1035 | caccctcgtcgagatcaaccetcttgcggagaccgaggctggcgacgtct |
| M13R | 823 |  |
| M13F | 705 |  |


| PMEJ4T | 1085 tctgctgcgacgccaagctcaacttcgacgacaacgcggagttccgccag |
| :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 873 |
| M13F | 655 |
| pMEJ4T | 1135 gagaagatctttgccttccgcgaccgcaaccaggaggacaagcgcgaggt |
| M13R | 923 |
| M13F | 605 |
| pMEJ4T | 1185 cgaggcctccaagtacggcctcaactacatcggcctcgacggcaccgtcg |
| M13R | 973 |
| M13F | 555 |
| pMEJ4T | 1235 gctgcatggttaacggcgccggtctcgccatgagcaccctcgatatcgtc |
| M13R | 1023 |
| M13F | 505 |
| pMEJ4T | 1285 accctccacggcggttcggccagcaacttcctcgaccttggcggcggcgc |
| M13R | 1073 |
| M13F | 455 |
| pMEJ4T | 1335 caccaaggacgctgtgcagaaggcctttgagcttctcaacgaggacgaga |
| M13R | 1123 |
| M13F | 405 |
| PMEJ4T | 1385 aggtcaagtccatcctcgtcaacatcttcggtggcatcatgcgctgcgac |
| M13F | 355 |
| pMEJ4T | 1435 atcatcgccgagggcatggtcgccgccgccaaggagatcggcctcgagaa |
| M13F | 305 |
| pMEJ4T | 1485 gcccetcgtcgtccgcctcaagggtaccaacgtggagcgcgcccgcgaga |
| M13F | 255 |
| pMEJ4T | 1535 tcctcggtagctccgacgtcaagctcaccatgctcgacgacctcgacgag |
| M13F | 205 |
| PMEJ4T | 1585 gccgccgcgaccgctgtcaagctcgctgccatgctacgggcceaagggcg |
| M13F | 155 |
| PMEJ4T | 1635 aattctgcagatatccatcacactggcggccgctcgagcatgcatctaga |
| M13F | 105 |
| PMEJ4T | 1685 gggcccaattcgccctatagtgagtcgtattacaat |
| M13F | 55 |

## Sequence Alignment of Gene 9329

| pMEJ6T | 235 agctatttaggtgacactatagaatactcaagctatgcatcaagcttggt |
| :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 24 |
| pMEJ6T | 285 accgagctcggatccactagtaacggccgccagtgtgctggaattcgccc |
| M13R | 74 |
| pMEJ6T | 335 ttcctaggagaagagcgcgcgccttgatacgctcgacaatggccagcgtg |
| M13R | 124 |
| pMEJ6T | 385 cgcttggcgttgcggtagtgaaggttttccacgagcttgccgtcgaccgt |
| M13R | 174 |
| pMEJ6T | 435 cgcgacgccagacccggtgcgaacggcctcctcgtgggcctcgattaccc |
| M13R | 22 |


| M13F | 988 | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pMEJ6T | 485 | tattggcgtggtcaatgtcggcctcgctgggcgagaagcactcatttgcc |
| M13R | 274 |  |
| M13F | 941 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 535 | ttttggacagtcttgggatggatgagcgtcttgccgtcaaagccgaggtc |
| M13R | 324 |  |
| M13F | 891 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 585 | gcggccetgatggcattcgtcgataaagccttggtcgttctgcaggtcga |
| M13R | 374 |  |
| M13F | 841 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 635 | cgtagacgccgtcaagggcgctggcgccggcagcgcgggccgcaatcacg |
| M13R | 424 |  |
| M13F | 791 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 685 | cagcgctgcagcgcgtactgcaagttgaagcgcccgggggccatgggctt |
| M13R | 474 |  |
| M13F | 741 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 735 | gcagcgcaggtcgttggcgaggtcgacggtgcccatgagcagggccgtga |
| M13R | 524 |  |
| M13F | 691 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 785 | cgcgcggatgcatggcgagctcgtcgacgttgctaacaccgcgcggcgtc |
| M13R | 574 |  |
| M13F | 641 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 835 | tccaccatgcaccatacgtccatggagggtttgccgcccgcggcgtcgag |
| M13R | 624 |  |
| M13F | 591 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 885 | gcggtcgacgagatccgcgacgtcctcggcggactcggccttgggcagga |
| M13R | 674 |  |
| M13F | 541 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 935 | ggacggcgtccgcgccgctcgaggcgaccgcctggacatcgtcggcgtac |
| M13R | 724 |  |
| M13F | 491 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 985 | cagggcgttgtgcggccgttgacgcggatgcagatctcggacatgccgta |
| M13R | 774 |  |
| M13F | 441 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 1035 | ggacttttcctcggcgtgctcgcgggccgcggcgacggcctgggtgcgcg |
| M13R | 824 |  |
| M13F | 391 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 1085 | cgagctccttctggtcgggcgagacggcgtcctcgaggtcgaagatatag |
| M13R | 874 |  |
| M13F | 341 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 1135 | gcgtcggccttgatcgtcttggccttctccagcgcgcgctggcgcgacga |
| M13R | 924 |  |
| M13F | 291 |  |
| pMEJ6T | 1185 | cggcatgtacagcacgctgcggcgcaccgacgccaccgaggcgtcctcgg |
| M13R | 974 |  |
| M13F | 241 |  |


| pMEJ6T | 1235 cggcgagcccgggccegcceggcgccgtcgagaggcccgcggcggccgcc |
| :---: | :---: |
| M13F | 191 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ6T | 1285 acggtccgggcgggcgacatcgtcatatgaagggcgaattctgcagatat |
| M13F | 141 |
| pMEJ6T | 1335 ccatcacactggcggccgctcgagcatgcatctagagggcccaattcgcc |
| M13F | 91 |
| pMEJ6T | 1385 ctatagtgagtcgtattacaa |
| M13F | 41 |

## Sequence Alignment of Gene 8081

| pMEJ7T | 235 agctatttaggtgacactatagaatactcaagctatgcatcaagcttggt |
| :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 23 |
| pMEJ7T | 285 accgagctcggatccactagtaacggccgccagtgtgctggaattcgccc |
| M13R | 73 |
| pMEJ7T | 335 ttgctagcacaaacatgacgcccgaggaggaggcctccttgtcgtcctcc |
| M13R | 123 |
| pMEJ7T | 385 ttgagcttgtcgagcgagagcttgagctccgcgttgaggcgctgcagcac |
| M13R | 173 |
| pMEJ7T | 435 ctggtcgtgctcgaggttctcgttccagaagttgataaagtcttcctcgt |
| M13R | 223 |
| pMEJ7T | 485 aaatgcggccgtggtcggcgcccgggatcttagcaaagagctcgagcatt |
| M13R | 273 |
| pMEJ7T | 535 tcctcgggcgaggcgggctcgaagccgagaaccttggcggcgttctgcat |
| M13R | 323 |
| pMEJ7T | 585 ctcgaactggtccacgaagccgctgccatcgtggtcgagcgagcggaaga |
| M13R | 373 |
| pMEJ7T | 635 gcgcagacagatcggggagcggctgccgcggctcgtccgagaccgggtgc |
| M13R | 423 |
| pMEJ7T | 685 gaaccgccaaactcgctgagaaagctcatggcgtcgtcgagctgcctttt |
| M13R | 473 |
| pMEJ7T | 735 cttctcggcaccgtcccagccgagcaggtcggccataatgttggceacct |
| M13R | 523 |
| Seq | 1059 |
| pMEJ7T | 785 tcggcgcggcaaacttggccgcgtcagagttcaggtacgcgaggcgtgtg |
| M13R | 573 |
| Seq | 1041 |
| pMEJ7T | 835 cgaatgctcagcatgtcgcgcaccgtgcgcgcgtattcgttgcgcacggc |
| M13R | 623 |
| Seq | 991 |
| pMEJ7T | 885 gaactcgatctcggcctcgagatacgggtagttctccacgatgggaacgc |
| M13R | 673 |
| Seq | 941 |


| pMEJ7T | 935 | caaagcgcggccaaccettggaagtggggcgcacgagcttgcacacgtcc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 723 |  |
| Seq | 891 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 985 | caagcgcgcactccgtaggttcgtgcgaggtggcgcgccacattttcgga |
| M13R | 773 |  |
| Seq | 841 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1035 | aatgctgtaagtctgaatgagctgcacaaagaggtcctcctcgtagcect |
| M13R | 823 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| Seq | 791 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1085 | cgccgccgacgaaggggatcttggtcgtgcggcacggaccggcgcgcgcc |
| M13R | 873 |  |
| Seq | 741 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1135 | ttgagctccggagcttccttgatgacgcggtccacgacatcttctgccat |
| M13R | 923 | - |
| Seq | 691 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1185 | ctcacgcgaggtggtccacttgccgcccaccagaaagatgaccttggact |
| Seq | 641 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 1235 | ctgggttgaccgagatagtgtggtcgcggctggccggggcgccgggcggg |
| Seq | 591 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1285 | gcgtgcgggtccacggccagcgggcgceagccgcgccaggctgacaggac |
| Seq | 541 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 1335 | gtcggagcggcggacgcgaaggtgcgggctcaagtactttttcacttcgt |
| Seq | 491 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 1385 | tcaggatccactggatctcttcctcggggggtgccggcgtgctcgagggc |
| Seq | 441 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1435 | tcgcecttgcggtccgtcgtgcegaccacggtgctgccetgccagggcag |
| Seq | 391 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 1485 | gaagaacaagaagcggccgtcgctggtgttgatgtccaagaggcceatgt |
| Seq | 341 | -••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• |
| pMEJ7T | 1535 | cgggcgaggaaaagtacccaggcagcaccaggtgggtcccggctgcgccg |
| Seq | 291 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1585 | cgcacggceggcggcgcgtcagggtcctcgagggcgcgcagctggtcggt |
| Seq | 241 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 1635 | aaagggccetcccgccaggacgatcttgttggcgcggatcgaaaaggtat |
| M13F | 1048 | ------------- . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| Seq | 191 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1685 | cgcecgagatctcgtcgcgcgcgcgcacgccegtgacgcgggcggcgtct |
| M13F | 1012 | - . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| Seq | 141 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1735 | tgcgggtcctccttgagcatttccaccacgttaacgtggttggccacggt |
| M13F | 962 |  |
| Seq | 91 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1785 | ggcgccetgctcggcagccgtcatagceatggccacgcaggttcgcgcgt |


| M13F | 912 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seq | 41 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1835 | cgttgtgctggcettcataaaagacctgcgtatacttcatgcgccegctg |
| M13F | 862 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1885 | tcgagctgcgggaagcgctctcgcgcgcgccgcttggacatgacgtaact |
| M13F | 812 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 1935 | tggcgggcacgtaaagccgcagagcgaatcgtagaacttgaagatgcccg |
| M13F | 762 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 1985 | gcaggatcatgccggccatggcaaaaatcggatggcceatcggcggcggc |
| M13F | 712 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 2035 | caggagatccagcggtcgatgggcacggcgatggcgatccagttggtgag |
| M13F | 662 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2085 | gtgcggctgggtctccagaaagtaccttcgctcgcgctgcgcgcceatga |
| M13F | 612 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2135 | ccatcttgatctcgccgacaaagttcttgaccgactgcacggggctcagc |
| M13F | 562 |  |
| pMEJ7T | 2185 | agcgtctcgcgcgagagcagtgaggccacggccgtgcctaggtaccttac |
| M13F | 512 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2235 | gccagcccagatgagcttggtgctgcgggagctggtctcgccggagaagt |
| M13F | 462 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2285 | cctggcgctccacgagggccaccgagagcectcgcgacgcegcgtcgagc |
| M13F | 412 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2335 | gccgtggcgcacccggtggcgcctccgccgatgaccaggacatcaaaggc |
| M13F | 362 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2385 | ctcctcgcggcgcagcgctttcacctggtcctcgcgtgaggccacgccet |
| M13F | 312 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2435 | tcttggcggccgtggacagtgtcctctccgccgccgacgtccctggcgcg |
| M13F | 262 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2485 | gcggcggcggcggcggcgggcgtccgcgtggacaggagccgccgcgaggg |
| M13F | 212 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2535 | cggcgttctcgcgcggcccaggttccgcagcgtcatcctcgccgccatat |
| M13F | 162 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2585 | gaagggcgaattctgcagatatccatcacactggcggccgctcgagcatg |
| M13F | 112 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ7T | 2635 | catctagagggcceaattcgccetatagtgagtcgtattacaat |
| M13F | 62 |  |

## Sequence Alignment of Gene 6468

| pMEJ5T | 300 actagtaacggccgccagtgtgctggaattcgcccttgggcccgtagcat |
| :--- | ---: |
| M13R | 88 |
| pMEJ5T | 350 ctcttcggggagcttcatggtctcaaggaagtcgagcgcgttcttggtct |


| M13R | 138 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pMEJ5T | 400 | cttcgctgcgcttggagcgcgaccagccgagcatggtgcceatgagattg |
| M13R | 188 |  |
| Seq | 1001 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 450 | acgacctggggcacggcctcaacggcggccttgtggtcgacaaaggcgag |
| M13R | 238 |  |
| Seq | 992 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 500 | acgggtcctccgggccaggacgtcgacagcagtgacggcgtactcttgct |
| M13R | 288 |  |
| Seq | 942 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 550 | ggacggcaaaggcgacctcggcctcgaggaccgggtgcttggcgacgagg |
| M13R | 338 |  |
| Seq | 892 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| pMEJ5T | 600 | cgcttagggtggaggccettggcgcgcgtggggtagccgtgcttgatgat |
| M13R | 388 |  |
| Seq | 842 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 650 | ctcggcgatctgcagggcgcgcgtgccgtaggagctgacgaggtgctggg |
| M13R | 438 |  |
| Seq | 792 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 700 | cgatgtcgcggtcaaagccgtagtcctcgcgaagagtgacagtgacgatg |
| M13R | 488 |  |
| Seq | 742 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 750 | tcgtacttttggtcgcaaatgatgccggcgcggtcggcaccaatgagctt |
| M13R | 538 |  |
| Seq | 692 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 800 | gagaccgagggtggcgcaagggccggccttagcaccgaggcgggggaact |
| M13R | 588 |  |
| Seq | 642 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 850 | ccttgagcacgcggtcgacggcgtcctgggccatgcggcgctgggtggtc |
| M13R | 638 |  |
| Seq | 592 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 900 | cacttgccgccgccgatggtgatgaggccgctgtccgagacctcgacgac |
| M13R | 688 |  |
| Seq | 542 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 950 | gtgctcgcgggagatggccttggtgtccttggcgttgacgtcgcggacga |
| M13R | 738 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| Seq | 492 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1000 | gggggcggatgccggaccaggcggcacggacgtcctcgtgggagacgcgg |
| M13R | 788 |  |
| Seq | 442 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1050 | cggttgaggtagcggccgcactcggcgaggacaaagtcgacctcgggaag |
| M13R | 838 |  |
| Seq | 392 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1100 | actgggcttggggcgcatagtgagcggggtggccgagtcggtagtgccga |
| M13R | 888 |  |
| Seq | 342 |  |


| pMEJ5T | 1150 | cgatggtgccgccgctccaggggaggaagaagagtacgcggccgtcagag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M13R | 938 |  |
| Seq | 292 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1200 | gtgttggggacgatgaggcccatctgggacgggctaaagtcgtcggagag |
| M13R | 988 |  |
| Seq | 242 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1250 | agtgacgtggatgccgccggccgggacgataatgtcctgctccttctttt |
| Seq | 192 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 1300 | ccgtagacgggcegttgtcctcgccgttggggtcgttggccatgtggcgc |
| Seq | 142 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1350 | acggcgtcggaaaagggaccggtggcgttgacgacggtggtggcgcgcac |
| M13F | 1032 |  |
| Seq | 92 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1400 | gtcgatgaccttgccggtctgggtgtcgcgcacgcgggcgccgcagagct |
| M13F | 1005 |  |
| Seq | 42 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1450 | ttccttcctcgtccttgaggagcttgtcggcgttcatgtagttgacagcg |
| M13F | 955 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 1500 | gtagcgccggcctggatggccgtaaggaggatgagcaggcegtagcgcgc |
| M13F | 905 | -••••••••• |
| pMEJ5T | 1550 | gtcgtccatctggccgtcgtagtacacaatagcaccggcgatcttggagg |
| M13F | 855 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1600 | ggtcaagcatggggaagttgtagagcgcetgctgcttggtgaggaacatg |
| M13F | 805 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1650 | gagctgggcacgccegagttctggccagcaaccatgtcgtagaccttggt |
| M13F | 755 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 1700 | gccggcgtagtaataagggaccttccaccactcctcgatggggaccatga |
| M13F | 705 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 1750 | tggggagcggcttggcgatgaagggggcggcetggagcatgtgacttcgc |
| M13F | 655 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 1800 | tcttcgagcgcctccttgacgagcaggtactggccgtagtcgagcttgag |
| M13F | 605 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 1850 | gaaggcgttctcgaggtagcgcacgccgccgtggatgagcttggtggacc |
| M13F | 555 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 1900 | ggctagaagtgccggagctaaagtcctcgcgctcgacgacggcgacgcgg |
| M13F | 505 | -•••• |
| pMEJ5T | 1950 | aggcegcgggttgcggcgtcgagggcgacgccggcgccgacgcagccgcc |
| M13F | 455 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 2000 | gccgatgaccaggaggtcgtacttttctgtctcgagctccttgagctgct |
| M13F | 405 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 2050 | cctcgcgcgagggcggggaccaggggaagaccatggtccogtcgcggcac |
| M13F | 355 | -•••••••••••••••••• |


| PMEJ5T | 2100 | gcgatgggcagggtcttggtcaggttcgactcccgcaccttgcgggtgag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M13F | 305 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 2150 | cgggaaggcctggcacttgacgacattgcccgtgtcgtcttcgctgcget |
| M13F | 255 |  |
| pMEJ5T | 2200 | cgccaaaggcggccacggccacgcetgctgccgccacgaaagacacggcg |
| M13F | 205 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| pMEJ5T | 2250 | gccacgccggcgggccttgatgcgcgccggagggactgcatatgaagggc |
| M13F | 155 | . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . c. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |

## Appendix E - Abbreviations, Units and Symbols

${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - celsius
3D - three dimensional
3XLB - 3 times concentrated Luria Broth
A - adenine
aa - amino acid
ACL - ATP-dependent citrate lyase
ACP - acyl carrier protein
ADP - adenosine diphosphate
AMP - adenosine monophosphate
amp - ampicillin
ATP - adenosine triphosphate
bla - beta lactamase (ampicillin resistance)
BLAST - Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
bp - base pair
BSA - bovine serum albumin
C - cytosine
CDW - cell dry weight
CoA - coenzyme A
CV - column volume
DH - dehydratase
$\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{i}$ - combined dehydratase and trans-cis isomerase
DHA - docosahexaenoic acid
DHAP - dihydroxy acetone phosphate
DNA - deoxy ribonucleic acid
dNTP - dinucleotide triphosphate
DTE-1,4-dithioerythritol
DTT - 1,4-dithiothreitol
EDTA - Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
ELO - elongase
EPA - eicosapentaenoic acid
ER - enoyl reductase
$\mathrm{FAD} / \mathrm{FADH}_{2}$ - flavin adenine dinucleotide
FAS - fatty acid synthase
FPLC - fast protein liquid chromatography
G3P - glycerol 3-phosphate
G3PDH - glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GMQE - Global Model Quality Estimate
GSQE - Quaternary Structure Quality Estimate
h - hour
HIVE-CUTs - High-performance Integrated Virtual Environment-Codon Usage Tables
ICDH - isocitrate dehydrogenase
IMAC - immobilized metal-affinity chromatography
IMP - inosine monophosphate
kan - kanamycin
kbp - kilo base pairs
kDa - kilo Dalton
$K R$ - keto reductase
KS - ketoacyl transferase
LA - Luria broth agar
LB - Luria broth
M - molar
mAU - milli absorbance units
MDH - malate dehydrogenase
mG3PDH - mitochondial glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
min - minutes
MOPS - 3-morpholinopropane-1-sulfonic acid
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}$ - molecular weight
$\mathrm{NAD}^{+} / \mathrm{NADH}-$ nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
$\mathrm{NADP}^{+} / \mathrm{NADPH}-$ nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NCBI - National Center for Biotechnology Information
NEB - New England Biolabs
NTNU - Norwegian University of Science and Technology
OAA - oxaloacetate
$\mathrm{OD}_{\lambda}$ - optical density at wavelength $\lambda$
ON - over night
ORF - open reading frame
PAGE - poly acrylamide gel electrophoresis
PCR - polymerase chain reaction
PDB - Protein Data Base
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - inorganic phosphate
PKS - polyketide synthase
PUFA - poly unsaturated fatty acid
RNA - transfer ribonucleic acid
RO - reverse osmosis treated
rpm - rounds per minute
RT - room temperature
s - seconds
SDS - sodium dodecyl sulfate
SOC - Super Optimal broth with Catabolite repression
strep - streptomycin
TAE - Tris base, acetic acid, and EDTA
TBS - Tris-buffered saline
TBST - Tris-buffered saline Tween-20
TCA - Tricarboxylic acid cycle
TFB - Transformation buffer
TMS - Trace Mineral Mix
tRNA - transfer ribonucleic acid
UV - ultra violet
$\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ - volume by volume
w/w - weight by weight
xg - times gravity
YPD - yeast extract peptone dextrose
$\Omega$ - omega

