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ABSTRACT
An important application of imaging spectroscopy or hyperspectral imaging is the classification or discrimination of pigments based on the obtained spectral reflectance information. As opposed to being a point-analysis tool, this non-invasive method captures the entire surface of interest. This means that its potential is not only in the discrimination of pigments but also in their mapping. However, the challenge lies in the fact that in a real painting, there is no clear-cut edge between regions with certain pure pigments or of the exact same mixture. Pigments and other paint materials mix seamlessly and continuously in the physical domain. In this article, we introduce a divergence-based approach to pigment discrimination and mapping. The methodology is then applied to Munch’s masterpiece The Scream (1893), whose pigments and materials have been identified for several points in the painting in a previous study. Through the introduced methodology, we have been able to extend the point analyzes of pigments and materials to the entire surface of the painting, recto and verso.

RÉSUMÉ
Une importante application de la spectro-imagerie ou imagerie hyperspectrale est la classification ou la différenciation de pigments en fonction des données de réflectance spectrale obtenues. Contrairement à un instrument d’analyse ponctuel, cette méthode non invasive examine la surface d’intérêt dans son ensemble. Cela signifie que son potentiel n’est pas seulement la différenciation de pigments mais aussi leur cartographie. Cependant, la difficulté réside dans le fait que dans une véritable peinture, il n’y a pas de limite nette entre des zones de pigments purs ou de différents mélanges de ces pigments. Les pigments et autres matériaux constitutifs d’une peinture se mélangent imperceptiblement et continuellement dans le domaine physique. Dans cet article nous présentons une approche basée sur la divergence de spectre pour la différenciation des pigments et leur cartographie. Cette méthodologie est ensuite appliquée au chef-d’œuvre de Munch Le Cri (1893), dont les pigments et matériaux constitutifs ont été identifiés en plusieurs points de la peinture dans une étude précédente. Grâce à la méthodologie proposée, nous avons pu étendre les analyses ponctuelles de pigments et autres matériaux à l’ensemble de la surface de la peinture, recto et verso.

RESUMO
Uma aplicação importante da espectroscopia de imagem ou imagem hiperespectral é a classificação ou discriminação de pigmentos com base na informação de reflectância espectral obtida. Ao contrário de ser uma ferramenta de análise pontual, esse método não invasivo captura toda a superfície de interesse. Isso significa que seu potencial não está apenas na discriminação de pigmentos, mas também em seu mapeamento. No entanto, o desafio reside no fato de que, em uma pintura real, não há uma borda nítida entre regiões com certos pigmentos puros ou que contenham exatamente a mesma mistura. Pigmentos e outros materiais de pintura se misturam perfeitamente e continuamente no domínio físico. Neste artigo, introduzimos uma abordagem baseada em divergência para a discriminação e mapeamento de pigmentos. A metodologia é aplicada à obra-prima de Munch, O Grito (1893), cujos pigmentos e materiais foram identificados em vários pontos da pintura em um estudo anterior. Através da metodologia introduzida, pudemos estender as análises pontuais de pigmentos e materiais para toda a superfície da pintura, frente e verso. Traduzido por Marcia Rizzo.

RESUMEN
Una aplicación importante de la espectroscopía de imagen o imagen hiperespectral es la clasificación o discriminación de los pigmentos según la información de reflectancia espectral.
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1. Introduction

Hyperspectral imaging was initially developed in the remote sensing sector but later found its application in several areas, including cultural heritage digitization. With the possibility of recording high resolution in both spectral and spatial dimensions, hyperspectral images provide information of material interactions with light in different spectral regions. In turn, this results in high discrimination capabilities useful for material classification.

Pigment identification is one of the important goals of most digitization projects in the cultural heritage sector. The uniqueness of materials in terms of their physical and chemical characteristics can be used for their classification by means of reflectance spectroscopy. Despite researchers’ great success on obtaining high quality spectral images, accurate pigment classification still remains a challenge. Identification and classification of pigments from hyperspectral data is a complex task due to the fact that, pigments in most of the regions in the painting are usually in mixed form and not pure pigments. There are also other issues like aging, layering, etc. Spectral unmixing techniques are supposed to help in identifying the pigments accurately. There are several unmixing techniques developed in other application areas like remote sensing, however it is difficult to apply the same in cultural heritage imaging. The main challenge lies in the different nature of mixing of the pigments that is not only optical mixing as in remote sensing applications. In many cases, there could be multiple layers of pigments superposing one another and they may mix both chemically and optically.

There have been many efforts in obtaining accurate pigment classification using spectral classification algorithms (Almeida et al. 2013; Bacci et al. 2007; Cosentino 2014; Delaney et al. 2005; Grabowski et al. 2018; Rohani et al. 2016). Chemometric techniques (Baroni et al. 1998) for classification of pigments were also investigated. However, endmembers obtained from this classification do not have any physical meaning and are not very useful in interpretation of the pigments in the painting. Pigment classification using methods based on Kubelka–Munk theory resulted in better outcomes. However, it requires measurements of mixtures of the pigment with materials whose absorption and scattering coefficients are known. This is not the case with most paintings and, thus, limits the use of the method (Zhao 2008). Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) (Kruse et al. 1993) is one of the commonly used similarity-based classification methods, where spectra of pure pigments forming the spectral library are compared to those in the hyperspectral image. It then classifies pigments in the painting according to their spectral match or highest similarity to entries in the library. Spectral Correlation Mapper (SCM) (de Carvalho Jr. and Meneses 2000) is an improvement of SAM, which bases its classification algorithm on correlation between spectra. It is found to be more accurate than SAM since it overcomes the limitation of SAM in detecting negative correlation (Deborah, George, and Hardeberg 2014). Nevertheless, both SAM and SCM have been shown to have limitations in its accuracy (Deborah, Richard, and Hardeberg 2015). Since then, and a new spectral difference function has been proposed and validated theoretically and metrologically, i.e., Kullback Leibler pseudo-divergence (KLPD) (Richard et al. 2016).

In this study, we present the use of a spectral-divergence based representation space for spectral variation, which is built based on KLPD, i.e., Bidimensional Histogram of Spectral Differences (BHSD) (Richard et al. 2016) and its modified version (Deborah 2016). The aim of this article is to demonstrate its applicability and relevance for pigment analysis of cultural heritage paintings, using the hyperspectral dataset of the masterpiece The Scream (1893) by Edvard Munch (1863–1944).

2. The Scream (1893), front and reverse sides

As a case study, the hyperspectral imaging-based pigment mapping will be applied to The Scream by Edvard Munch. Specifically, it is the painted version of The Scream from 1893 (tempera/ crayon/ oil, Woll 333), owned by the National Museum of Art, Architecture and Design, Oslo, Norway (Aslaksby 2015). Subsequently, the painting
will be referred to as *The Scream* (1893). The painting consists of the front side and its less-known reverse side. More details of the motif of the reverse side were given by Aslaksby (2015). A hyperspectral dataset of both sides of the painting was acquired during an acquisition campaign held in 2012 (Hardeberg et al. 2015). Each side is available as three separate cutouts or hyperspectral cubes due to the acquisition setup. Color images of the cubes are shown in Figure 1 (recto) and Figure 2 (verso).

### 2.1. Hyperspectral image acquisition and preprocessing

Hyperspectral image acquisition has been performed using the HySpex VNIR-1600. The scanner operates in the visible and the near infrared region (VNIR) of the electromagnetic spectrum, between 0.4 and 1.0 μm. The line scanner has been used for scanning the painting at two different distances, which records high resolution and slightly lower resolution of the painting; one allowing acquisitions at a distance of 1 m, which gives a spatial resolution of 0.2 mm, and the close-up lens with an acquisition distance of 30 cm, which provides a resolution of 0.06 mm. In order to cover the whole area of the painting, the painting has been acquired with three acquisition stripes. Each cutout shown in Figures 1 and 2 is a single hyperspectral image; sometimes also referred to as hyperspectral cube. Thus, the analysis in this study includes a total of 6 hyperspectral images. Each cube is originally of 5212 × 1600 pixels. They also consist of 160 channels or spectral bands from approximately 414.624–992.497 nm in about 3.634 nm intervals. However, since not all pixels are relevant for pigment mapping (e.g., they are of the wood support), each image is then spatially cropped on the edges, resulting in those shown in the two previous figures. Spectrally, we are also only processing 97 spectral bands from roughly 450–800 nm. Spectral responses obtained below 450 nm are very noisy due to the sensitivity of the sensor. As for the decision to stop at 800 nm, it is based on our observation that the
pigments and materials we are dealing with are mainly varying in the visible range and a few nanometers into the near infrared region. Then, each hyperspectral cube is normalized to spectral reflectance, with values between 0 and 1. Finally, considering the noise level of the reflectance spectra (Figure 3(a)), a Savitzky–Golay filter (Savitzky and Golay 1964) is employed as a smoothing filter, with parameters window size 9 and polynomial order 2. The impact this filter has on the previously shown spectra can be observed in Figure 3(b).

**Figure 2.** Three cutouts of The Scream (reverse side, 1893) as acquired by the hyperspectral scanner. The color images are generated the same way as Figure 1. Their brightness has been adjusted for presentation purposes.

**Figure 3.** (a) Initial noisy spectra and (b) after they are preprocessed using Savitzky–Golay filter of window size 9 and polynomial order of 2. The filtering process acts as a smoothing filter to the noisy input.
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Table 1. Main pigments identified from paint samples of The Scream (front and reverse sides, 1893) (Singer et al. 2010) used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Color, description</th>
<th>Main pigments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yellow, lower right</td>
<td>Cadmium yellow and barium sulfate, vermilion, charcoal, an organic yellow containing rhamnetin, probably a buckthorn berry lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Red, upper left</td>
<td>Vermilion and gypsum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Turquoise, upper left</td>
<td>Viridian (chromium oxide dehydrate), lead white, lead chromate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Blue (dark) to the right of figure</td>
<td>Artificial ultramarine blue, barites, clay, zinc white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Reverse side, red, upper left</td>
<td>Vermilion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Reverse side, blue, upper left</td>
<td>Ultramarine blue, lead white, barites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Spectral library of pure and mixed pigments

A prior study investigating the materials used by Edvard Munch is available (Singer et al. 2010), in which The Scream (1893) was also analyzed. The study provides us with its material identification carried out for 24 paint samples. The specification of several samples to be used in this study is provided in Table 1. Spatial locations where these reflectance spectra are taken from were approximately determined by the guidance of sample sites provided in reference (Singer et al. 2010). These locations can be observed in Figures 1 and 2. Note that the shown samples are not all that were identified in the previous study. They are selected for their relevance in this article.

3. Spectral variation: representation and discrimination

The notion of difference is a natural and intuitive way to measure similarity between two spectra. Given a hyperspectral image of any arbitrary object or surface, the characteristics of this surface can be represented in terms of how different each pixel is to a pre-determined reference. This means that only a spectral difference function and a spectral reference are needed to compute such characteristics. Then, these characteristics will be useful for a discrimination task when the obtained difference measures are represented in a meaningful way, such as through the visual representation of spectral variation.

3.1. Spectral difference measure

Spectral angle, commonly known as Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) (Kruse et al. 1993), has been widely used as the similarity measure for pigment identification or classification tasks based on hyperspectral imaging (Delaney et al. 2010; Pelagotti et al. 2008), some providing angle tolerance value of 0.4 (Daniel et al. 2016; Mounier, Denoël, and Daniel 2016). However, SAM has a drawback in its inability to detect negative correlation between two spectra. This inability can be overcome by using its so-called improvement, Spectral Correlation Mapper (SCM) (de Carvalho Jr. and Meneses 2000), which has also been confirmed in our earlier work on pigment mapping of The Scream (1893) (Deborah, George, and Hardeberg 2014).

Since then, there have been more fundamental studies focusing on how to accurately measure the difference between two contiguous spectra as in the case of the hyperspectral domain (Deborah, Richard, and Hardeberg 2015; Richard et al. 2016). In those studies, theoretical and metrological limitations of both SAM and SCM and other difference measures have been extensively studied. A more suitable spectral difference function was then proposed based on information divergence, the Kullback–Leibler pseudo-divergence (KLPD) (Richard et al. 2016), whose mathematical expression is as follows

\[
\text{div}_{KL}(S_1, S_2) = \Delta G(S_1, S_2) + \Delta W(S_1, S_2) \tag{1}
\]

KLPD is composed of two independent components, spectral shape and intensity differences \(\Delta G\) and \(\Delta W\), respectively. See formulas for both spectral differences below.

\[
\Delta G(S_1, S_2) = k_1 \cdot KL(\bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2) + k_2 \cdot KL(\tilde{S}_2, \tilde{S}_1)
\]

\[
\Delta W(S_1, S_2) = (k_1 - k_2) \log \frac{k_1}{k_2} \tag{2}
\]

where Kullback–Leibler divergence function \(KL\), normalized spectrum \(\tilde{S}\) and total energy \(k\) of a spectrum \(S\) are defined by the following equations. Note that the \(KL\) function is asymmetric, thus \(KL(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2) \neq KL(\tilde{S}_2, \tilde{S}_1)\). In practice, the total energy \(k\) can be calculated through a
summation operator. However, when possible, an integration function with trapezoidal rule should be used to give a calculation that is more accurate.

\[
KL(\overline{S}_1, \overline{S}_2) = \int_{\lambda_{\min}}^{\lambda_{\max}} \frac{\overline{S}_1(\lambda)}{\overline{S}_2(\lambda)} \log \frac{\overline{S}_1(\lambda)}{\overline{S}_2(\lambda)} d\lambda;
\]

\[
\overline{S}(\lambda) = \frac{s(\lambda)}{k}, \forall \lambda \in [\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}] ; \quad k = \int_{\lambda_{\min}}^{\lambda_{\max}} s(\lambda) d\lambda
\]

(3)

Figure 4 is provided to illustrate what are the shape and intensity differences calculated by KLPD or other spectral difference functions. P1 and P2, both with peaks at approx. 500 nm, are considered having identical shape (therefore zero shape difference) but different intensity or energy (there is intensity displacement in the reflectance axis). P1 and P3 can be seen as having relatively similar intensity but different shape (their peaks are at approx. 500 and 460 nm, respectively). Finally, P2 and P3 are considered to have both shape and intensity differences.

Since the most important feature in pigment identification is spectral shape difference, which had initially motivated the use of SAM and then SCM, the shape component \(\Delta G\) of KLPD can be used as the alternative to the two similarity measures. In Deborah et al. (2017), it was used to determine the coloring palette Old Man in Warnemünde (1907), another Munch painting.

3.2. Bidimensional representations of pigment distribution

Despite shape information being the most important feature in pigment discrimination tasks, intensity differences can also provide useful information. Using the two components of KLPD allows construction of two-dimensional graphical representations of spectral differences. Bidimensional Histogram of Spectral Differences (BHSD) was introduced in Richard et al. (2016), and its modified version in Deborah (2016). In the following, a way to read and interpret them will be provided, as they will be used later in this article.

One subset of the hyperspectral image of the case study painting is shown in Figure 5(a). Using the shape \(\Delta G\) and intensity \(\Delta W\) components of KLPD, the distribution of all pixels in the image is plotted in a BHSD in Figure 5(b). BHSD is a histogram so every dot in it represents a frequency or pixel count. The origin of BHSD coordinate \((0, 0)\) is the location of the spectral reference used. A spectral reference is a spectrum that is used to compute the difference functions to; its selection will be explained in more details in the following section. This means that every pixel in the image is represented in BHSD by means of its shape and intensity differences to this reference spectrum in the horizontal and vertical axes, respectively.

The BHSD in Figure 5(b) allows observer to intuitively estimate how many pigment or color groups exist in the image under observation. However, it does not provide information of which color each cluster belongs to. The modified BHSD in Figure 5(c) is provided for such complementary information. There, every dot is an individual pixel from the image represented in its true color. However, due to that, not every pixel can be seen in this representation since the dots overlap each other in the two-dimensional representation. Thus, this modified BHSD must be used together with the original BHSD.

By closely observing the subset image, it can be seen that there are approximately four groups of colors, i.e.,
the cardboard substrate, red, blue, and light blue. The BHSD, which plots the distribution of these colors, does not show four distinct or separate groups of clusters. Instead, there are two smaller concentrations close to the origin and two tails in both vertical and horizontal axes. Through the modified BHSD, we can observe that the two tails mainly consist of pixels with red and blue colors. Then, parts of the red tail that are closer to the origin consist of colors that progress from red to the color of the cardboard. On the other hand, the dark blue tail progresses toward the paler blue ones and, eventually, the cardboard color. This explains the two concentrations of pixels close to the origin. Through the two representations, we are able to observe the colors or pigments distribution in a continuous manner as they mix with other colors. Also, note that in Plutino et al. (2017), BHSD representation has been compared to subjective expert judgment in pigment discrimination task. It was concluded that there is a direct relationship between expert judgment and the BHSD approach.

### 3.3. Selecting spectral references

The quality of pigment discrimination in a BHSD depends on how optimal the spectral reference selection is. To do so, knowledge of the image at hand as well as how BHSD works are required. To demonstrate this, the subset image previously shown in Figure 5(a) will
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**Figure 6.** (a) Spatial locations of four pixels representing the four groups in the image and (b) their corresponding spectral reflectance plot. Additionally, two artificial spectra R1 and R2 are also plotted. R1 is generated to mimic a light-colored ultramarine blue pigment. R2 is created to simulate a dark greenish color.

![Figure 7](image2.png)

**Figure 7.** (a) BHSD and (b) modified BHSD of subset image shown in Figure 6(a), computed using 1-cardboard as the reference. See also spectral reflectance plot in Figure 6(b). The distribution of pixels in these BHSD representations are considered in terms of convex hull, whose outer rim is approximated by the red line in (a).
be used. This subset image can be considered as consisting of approximately four color groups, the cardboard and the pigments (red, light blue, blue). Four pixels originating from these groups are selected and their reflectance spectra are plotted in Figure 6.

Distribution of spectral variations in BHSD representation is considered a convex hull, see more details of the concept in Deborah (2016). Based on this, there are several criteria with which to choose the optimum spectral reference. First, the reference spectrum should not come from the initial set or image under evaluation. As an illustration, the spectrum of 1-cardboard from Figure 6(b) is employed as the spectral reference for the input image in Figure 6(a). The obtained BHSD and modified BHSD can be observed in Figure 7. The convex hull of this image as obtained by using 1-cardboard as reference is approximated by the region surrounded by the solid red line in Figure 7(a). Observing the obtained distribution, most pixels are concentrated around the origin and the discrimination is poor except for red pixels located far in one of the two tails of the distribution.

An optimum spectral reference should be chosen from outside the convex hull. It can be achieved by first generating an artificial spectrum whose intensity is outside the dynamic range of the dataset. To do so, we can pick any arbitrary spectrum from the initial spectral set and then modify its intensity. As an example, spectrum R1 in Figure 6(b) was generated by mimicking the shape of an ultramarine blue mixture in the painting (sample #34 in Table 1) and then shifting its intensity higher, such that it bounds or covers all other spectra from above or higher intensity values. The BHSD and modified BHSD obtained using R1 as spectral reference are those shown in Figure 5. If we compare them to those in Figure 7, they are already an improvement considering the two smaller clusters close to the origin in Figure 5(b). However, despite R1 being a better reference than 1-cardboard, it is still not an optimal one. This is because its shape is still highly similar to the ultramarine blue pigments that exist in the painting. The second way to achieve an optimum spectral reference is done by shifting the peaks of the spectrum to the left (shorter wavelength) or right (longer wavelength) directions. R2 in Figure 6(b) was generated by first mimicking the same ultramarine blue shape as R1 (sample #34 in Table 1), then shifting the spectrum to the right direction and finally multiplying its values by 0.5 such that R2 covers the four spectra of the pigments from below. The BHSDs obtained by using R2 as a reference can be observed in Figure 8. Through the BHSD, we can see that there are more than two clusters in the image. Then, as complementary information, the modified BHSD provides the information that there is spectral variation that goes from red, lighter red, cardboard color, light blue, and then to darker blue, and this variation is shown in a continuous manner. This agrees with our initial visual observation of the image, that there are roughly four groups of pigments in the image. Furthermore, the BHSDs also provide information that there are possibly regions in the image where the red pigment is applied in thin layers, such that they are transparent and their spectra is optically mixed with those of the cardboard.

To summarize, by considering the spectral set of our input image as a convex hull in the BHSD or modified BHSD spaces, the task of reference selection becomes easier and more practical. Knowing that the BHSD axes are intensity and shape differences allows us to generate an artificial spectrum that will be located outside the initial convex hull, such that it becomes an optimum one. This can simply be carried out by taking any arbitrary spectrum from the initial set and further modifying it in both
dimensions of the BHSD space, intensity and shape differences. Intensity modification is carried out through multiplication operation, such that the modified spectrum has an either higher or lower intensity than all other spectra in the initial set, in all the wavelengths or spectral channels. Modification in the shape dimension can be carried out by shifting the spectrum to the direction of the shorter (left) or longer (right) wavelengths, keeping in mind that the resulting spectrum cannot already exist in the initial set. Illustrations and demonstrations in this
section have been provided by modifying an ultramarine blue sample from the painting, which was chosen merely for the purpose of the demonstration.

3.4. Discussion

In the classical similarity (or difference) based pigment discrimination task, typically the employed spectral difference function only measures differences in terms of shape, e.g., SAM and SCM. This widely accepted practice is based on the knowledge that the peaks and valleys of spectra belonging to identical pigments (or mixtures) will be located in nearly identical wavelengths. The variations in intensity are usually due to the lightness, opacity, or thickness of the paint layers. However, in this section, we have shown that intensity differences provide useful information for characterizing the distribution of pigment on a painting surface through bidimensional representations of spectral differences, i.e., BHSD and modified BHSD. They are enabled by the two independent components of the Kullback–Leibler pseudo-divergence (KLPD) measure. Inside the BHSD and modified BHSD representations, we can observe the spectral variations of a surface or object in a continuous manner. For example, we can observe the transition between pixels of pure vermilion and those of the cardboard in Figure 8. Moreover, we can derive that the in-between pixels are possibly vermilion pigments thinly applied on the cardboard, making the paint layer transparent.

In this section, we have also shown through Figures 5, 7 and 8, that the discrimination quality provided by a BHSD or its modified version is highly dependent on the chosen spectral reference. It is important to note that this is not a limitation of the representation. Rather, it should be regarded as the potential and flexibility of the representations. Experts in the cultural heritage domain know the characteristics of materials they are interested in. For example, ultramarine blue pigment will have a reflectance peak at 500 nm. By using ultramarine blue as a spectral reference, they will be able to observe the distribution of vermilion pigments, since these pigments will be located far from the reference in the BHSD and modified BHSD.

Finally, the potential of KLPD and its representation in a BHSD and modified BHSD do not stop at the two-dimensional space. For the same object or surface under evaluation, several \( n \) spectral references can be employed, providing a representation or feature vector of size \( 2n \). Even if its visual representation will be limited to a three-dimensional space, by combining two shape and an intensity differences, this higher dimensional feature vector can be processed as classification or clustering tasks.

4. Pigment mapping

An immediate task that can be carried out using a spectral difference function and its representation in BHSD and modified BHSD is pigment mapping. The complete workflow that will be used in this section is as depicted by Figure 9. Using this workflow, the mapping of several pigments will be carried out for both sides of the case study painting, *The Scream* (1893).

4.1. Reverse side – Vermilion

Following the pigment mapping workflow in Figure 9, a vermilion map for a subset image of the reverse side of *The Scream* is obtained and shown Figure 10(a). To remind readers, this subset image is the same as what
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Figure 11. (a) BHSD and (b) modified BHSD of subset image shown in Figure 6(a), computed using a spectral reference that mimic the shape of sample #33 in Table 1, but of lower intensity values. Two clusters of ultramarine mixtures can be observed, they are manually circled in red in (a). Thresholds used for the mapping are shown in (b).
has been used throughout Section 3 for illustrations and demonstrations of the representation and discrimination of spectral variation. The vermilion map (Figure 10(a), bottom) is obtained by choosing a threshold for the BHSD representations that were shown in Figure 8. Pixels considered the vermilions are those located within shape threshold $T_G \geq 13$ and intensity threshold $10 \leq T_W \leq 150$ in the BHSDs.

**Figure 12.** Maps for the mixture found in sample #34 in Table 1 (ultramarine blue, lead white, barites) for the reverse side of The Scream (1893). Only relevant pixels are colored, while the rest represented in grayscale.

**Figure 13.** Two subsets of the front side of The Scream (1893). Both extracted from the leftmost cutout.
Using the same thresholds, the mapping is extended to the entire surface of reverse side of the painting. The results can be seen in Figure 10(b), where only pixels classified as vermilion are colored, while the rest are presented in grayscale. Note that these pixels are not always considered pure vermilion. They can also be considered as where traces of the pigment can be found, in thin layers or possibly mixed with relatively low amounts of other pigments.

4.2. Reverse side – mixed ultramarine blue

A mixture of ultramarine blue, lead white, and barites was found on the reverse side of the painting, see sample #34 in Table 1. In this section, we want to extend this point analysis result to the entire surface of this side of the painting using the same method as previously carried out for vermilion. For this mixture, we can immediately apply thresholding to the representations in Figure 8. However, there, the distribution of this mixture is rather concentrated around the origin. This is understandable because the spectral reference was a slightly modified spectrum of this exact mixture. To have a better discrimination for it, we would rather choose another spectral reference that would push the distribution of the mixture away from the origin, such that we are able to observe and decide for a better threshold selection.

From the representation in Figure 8(b), we know that vermilion and the mixed ultramarine blue are located in both ends of the horizontal axis of modified BHSD. Thus, if we are to choose a spectral reference that is mimicking the vermilion, we know we will have the vermilion distribution concentrated around the origin and the mixture will be located in the far end of the horizontal

![Figure 14. (a) BHSD and (b) modified BHSD of a subset image of the front side of The Scream (1893) shown in Figure 13(a). They are obtained using a spectral reference generated based on the spectrum of sample #20.](image)

![Figure 15. Vermilion maps for two subsets of the front side of The Scream (1893) shown in Figure 13. The map in (a) demonstrate a relatively good classification of vermilion. However, the result in (b) shows also a misclassification for yellow pigments. Note that pixels represented in grayscale are not detected as vermilion.](image)
Figure 16. Vermilion maps for two subsets of the front side of *The Scream* (1893) previously shown in Figure 13 after a second step of thresholding involving a second spectral reference. Pixels represented in grayscale are not detected as vermilion.

Figure 17. Maps for mixtures with vermilion for the whole surface of the front side of *The Scream* (1893). Only relevant pixels are colored, while the rest represented in grayscale. The yellow pixels are also detected as containing vermilion; they are possibly mixed with vermilion as the case of sample #14 in Table 1.
axis. BHSDs shown Figure 11 are obtained using a reference spectrum whose shape is of sample #33 in Table 1, but of lower intensity values. From these representations, we can observe two clusters that belong to the mixtures of ultramarine blue, i.e., those that are within red circles in Figure 11(a). The obtained distribution allows us to empirically decide the thresholds for the mapping, see Figure 11(b). Finally, using these thresholds, maps for the pigment mixture of ultramarine blue, lead white, and barites are shown in Figure 12.

4.3. Front side – Vermilion

Compared to the reverse side, pigment mapping of the front side of The Scream (1893) is expectedly more challenging. This is because in the reverse side, what is now considered as an unfinished version of The Scream, the brushstrokes are significantly less mixed. It is also under a better condition compared to the front side, which has been under exposure to light, dirt, weathering, etc. for decades. Due to this, pigment mapping of the front side is highly likely needing more than one spectral reference.

Before working for the entire front side of the painting, a subset is selected to illustrate the impact of reference selection and the challenge of mapping this particular painting, see Figure 13(a). In the subset, we can observe red, turquoise, and mixtures of whites and yellows. The red colors are possibly of similar mixture to sample #17 in Table 1, likely vermilion and gypsum. Thus, we are interested in correctly detecting this red region of the subset as vermilion.

From vermilion mapping results of the reverse side of the painting (Section 4.1), we know that choosing an ultramarine blue-like spectral reference will allow pushing the distribution of vermilion-containing pixels away from the origin, in the direction of the horizontal axis (Figure 8(b)). However, we also know that the front side of the painting is highly mixed and deteriorated compared to the reverse side. Thus, if we are to generate an ultramarine spectral reference, it has to be one that mimics an ultramarine mixture that is found on the front side rather than the reverse side of the painting. Sample #20 in Table 1 is found to be a mixture of artificial ultramarine blue with some other white pigments/colorants. A spectral reference is then generated based on the spectrum of this sample and the BHSDs of the subset image of interest can be observed in Figure 14.

Using the thresholds as illustrated in Figure 14(b), vermilion maps for both subsets shown in Figure 13 are obtained and provided in Figure 15. Vermillion mapping for the first subset seems reasonable. Regions with turquoise, yellow, and other colors are not detected as vermilion. However, in the second subset, we can observe that there exists several misclassification for the yellow colored pixels. While such results are understandable because there are yellow colors that are mixed with vermilion, such as in sample #14, the results can be improved by using another spectral reference that maximizes the difference between the red and yellow colored pixels. Then, by combining the shape differences from both references, new representations can be plotted. For brevity, here we skip the procedure and intermediate thresholding results. The final mapping for both subset images after combining results from the two references can be observed in Figure 16. Finally, full vermilion maps for the front side of the painting are provided in Figure 17.

4.4. Discussion

Pigment mapping is an immediate application of the bidimensional representations of spectral variation introduced in the previous section, BHSD and modified BHSD. By simply giving thresholds in the representation space, we can map the occurrence of pigments of interest in the entire surface of a painting. Moreover, in this section, we have applied pigment mapping for the reverse and front sides of The Scream (1893), for vermilion pigment and mixed ultramarine blue.

Mapping the reverse side of the painting is a relatively easier task than for the front side. This is because the reverse side can be considered as a sketch or layout, painted with confident and large brushstrokes, making the pigments on this side not as highly mixed as the front side. Moreover, it is also relatively well preserved and has not been exposed to dirt and weather as the front side of the painting. As a result, the pigment mapping for vermilion and mixture of ultramarine blue only required each a single BHSD processing with one spectral reference.

Pigment mapping task becomes more challenging as we tried to map vermilion on the front side of the painting. To tackle the deterioration issue of the front side, the spectral reference is chosen to mimic mixture of ultramarine blue that is found on this side rather than that of the reverse side. However, this does not solve the challenge posed by the highly mixed nature of the pigments. For this issue, two references have to be used in combination. After the first thresholding of BHSD obtained using the first reference, several yellow colored pixels were identified as vermilion. A second spectral reference was then selected to maximize the difference between vermilion and these yellow pixels. Finally, the processing in which this second reference was incorporated yielded a better mapping of vermilion.
Thresholding applied on the representation space can be considered as a classification approach. The limitation to such approach lies in the fact that it provides a binary decision, whether a certain pixel is vermilion or not, which evidently is not always relevant in cases where pigments are highly mixed. However, depending on the choice of the threshold, the user is allowed to determine how strict he or she wants the results to be. As the threshold becomes more narrow or strict, the mapped pigment becomes purer. On the other hand, when the threshold is set looser, the obtained map should be treated as indication of where this certain pigment occurs, be it pure or in mixture. Although it has to be noted, that the map is an indication of occurrence and does not provide information of pigment concentration.

Apart from its use in the task of pigment discrimination, BHSD and modified BHSD are generic representation spaces useful for the analysis and processing of hyperspectral images in any application domain. In a most recent study, this space was used to define statistics to measure the variability of hyperspectral texture images (Deborah, Richard, and Hardeberg 2018). By allowing observing, analyzing, and quantifying spectral variability in a reduced space, their potential lies in many different application fields. In the remote sensing field, the concept of spectral variability has been used to solve unmixing tasks (Drumetz, Chanussot, and Jutten 2016). It also remains to be explored how feature vectors computed in this space can be used in medical applications, such as dermatological diagnosis based on hyperspectral imaging (Koprowski et al. 2014).

5. Conclusion and future works

In this study, we have introduced a spectral-divergence based representation space for spectral variation, BHSD and modified BHSD. This representation is built based on Kullback-Leibler pseudo-divergence (KLPD) which has been shown in previous studies to be more accurate than other more commonly used similarity metrics. Note also that a prior study has demonstrated the direct relationship between BHSD representation and expert judgment on pigment discrimination. We have also illustrated and demonstrated the use of these BHSDs using The Scream (1893) by Edvard Munch as a case study. In addition to using The Scream (1893) for the demonstration of use for BHSD, we have provided several pigment mappings for both sides of this painting, vermilion and mixed ultramarine blue.

The use of BHSD and modified BHSD is not limited to pigment mapping task. By using multiple references, a feature vector of spectral differences for every pixel in the image can be obtained. In such a higher dimensional space, a more complex classification task will become possible. It also opens the possibility to carry out pigment unmixing task, which will provide not only information of occurrence, but also an estimation of pigment concentration in any given pixel.

Notes

2. Metrology, as defined by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM), is “the science of measurement, embracing both experimental and theoretical determination at any level of uncertainty in any field of science and technology,” taken from https://www.bipm.org/en/worldwide-metrology/. Accessed March 5, 2018.
3. By “true color,” we mean any color representation or space chosen by the user. It can be color simulated for the human visual system under D65 illuminant or color generated by some software using a certain optimization.
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Appendix 1. Comparison to SAM and SCM

In an earlier case study, we have compared the performance of spectral angle mapper (SAM) and spectral correlation mapper (SCM) for mapping the pigments of the front side of The Scream (1893) (Deborah, George, and Hardeberg 2014). In Figure A1. Modified BHSD for subset image shown in Figure 6 (a), obtained through using SAM and SCM as the X- and Y-axes, respectively. Note that the range of values obtained by SAM and SCM are [0, 1] and [−1, 1], respectively. Results obtained the aforementioned parameters for SAM and SCM can be observed in Figure A2, with original image and KLPD result also provided for comparison. In addition to the reddish pigments that are highly likely vermilion, SAM also detects purplish colors located at the arm of the figure at the center of the painting as vermilion. For the same region, SCM does not deem it as vermilion. The problem with SCM, however, lies in its false detection of cardboard regions as vermilion. KLPD also detects some part of the arm of the figure as vermilion, but not as severe as the case of SAM. In addition, KLPD does not consider the cardboard regions as containing vermilion.

Figure A2. Original image of one cutout of the reverse side of The Scream (1893) and its mapping results for vermilion pigment, obtained by KLPD, SAM, and SCM. Note that only pixels detected as vermilion are colored, the rest remains in grayscale.
it, it had been shown that cases of erroneous identification and mapping resulting from SAM were indeed improved by SCM. Nevertheless, results obtained by SAM and SCM for vermilion pigment on one cutout of the reverse side of the painting are provided and contrasted to that of KLPD in the following. They use the same spectral reference as that of KLPD, i.e., R2 shown in Figure 6(b). Then, their thresholds are set with $T_{SAM} \geq 0.4$ and $0.5 \leq T_{SCM} \leq 0.75$. The choice of these thresholds are made through observing the modified BHSD obtained for a subset image (Figure 6a) that is provided in Figure A1. In this BHSD, the two axes are both representing shape differences, albeit representing different similarity functions. As a final note, despite providing a BHSD that combines the result of SAM and SCM in a single visualization, the processing of the results do not combine the two since the aim is to compare their individual performances against KLPD.