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## Abstract

The colony stimulating factor 1 (CSF1) is overexpressed in several diseases such as cancers, inflammatory diseases and bone diseases. Recent studies have shown that inhibition of the colony stimulating factor one receptor kinase (CSF1R) can aid in treatment of these conditions.

Ongoing work in the research group has shown that purine based molecules are excellent inhibitors of CSF1R. The purpose of this thesis was to investigate synthetic protocols towards 6 -amino-8-arylpurines, by utilizing $p$-methoxybenzyl (PMB) and methoxymethyl (MOM) as protecting groups.



Protecting groups, $\mathbf{R}_{1}$


Amine groups, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$


The PMB protected purine was synthesized during the pre-master's project, and exhibited poor N9 regioselectivity, resulting in only a $45 \%$ yield. Successive iodination with NIS gave the iodinated building block in $62 \%$ yield, and further functionalization through amination and Suzuki cross-coupling proceeded with
ease. Multiple deprotection methods were attempted, and debenzylation through catalytic hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenolysis proved futile. Successful $N$ debenzylation was achieved with $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ at high temperature, yielding the targeted 6 -amino-8-arylpurine in $11 \%$ overall yield.

In an attempt to achieve higher regioselectivity and easier deprotection, MOM was selected as an alternative protecting group. $N$-Alkylation with MOMCl was completely regioselective, and yields up to $86 \%$ was achieved. Subsequent iodination through metallation reactions gave yields between $25-36 \%$. Thermal amination and Suzuki cross-coupling were both good reactions, and deprotection was achieved with HCl in MeOH . The targeted 6-amino-8-tolylpurine was isolated in $18 \%$ overall yield, while the phenol analog was isolated in $4 \%$ overall yield due to partial decomposition.

## Sammendrag

Kolonistimmulerende faktor 1 (CSF1) er ofte overuttrykt i flere typer kreft, betennelsessykdommer og beinsykdommer. Studier har vist at hemning av den kolonistimmulerende faktor 1 reseptor kinasen (CSF1R) kan gi $\varnothing \mathrm{kt}$ effekt av behandling for disse sykdommene.

Pågående arbeid i forskningsgruppen har vist at purinbaserte molekyler er utmerkede CSF1R inhibitorer. Formålet med denne masteroppgaven er å undersøke synteseprotokoller mot 6 -amino-8-arylpuriner, ved bruk av $p$-metoksybenzyl (PMB) og metoksymetyl som beskyttelsesgrupper.




Det PMB beskyttede purinet ble syntetisert i førmasterprosjektet, og lav N9 regioselektivitet resulterte i bare $45 \%$ utbytte. Jodinering med NIS gav den jodinerte byggesteinen i $62 \%$ utbytte, og påfølgende funksjonalisering ved aminering og Suzuki-krysskobling gikk uten problemer. Flere avbeskyttingsmetoder ble testet,
og debenzylering igjennom katalytisk hydrogenering og overføringshydrogenolyse var fåfengt. Vellykket $N$-debenzylering ble oppnådd med $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ved høy temperatur, og gav det $\varnothing$ nskede 6 -amino-8-arylpurinet i $11 \%$ totalutbytte.

I et forsøk på å oppnå økte regioselektivitet og enklere avbeskytting ble MOM testet som en alternativ beskyttelsesgruppe. N-Alkylering med MOMCl var fullstendig regioselektiv, og utbytter opp til $86 \%$ ble oppnådd. Påfølgende jodinering via metallering gav utbytter mellom 26-36\%. Termisk aminering og Suzuki krysskobling var gode reaksjoner, og avbeskytting ble oppnådd med HCl i MeOH . Det $ø$ nskede 6 -amino-8-tolylpurinet ble isolert i $18 \%$ totalutbytte, mens fenol analogen ble isolert i $4 \%$ totalutbytte på grunn av delvis dekomponering.
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| mp. | Melting Point |
| MS | Mass Spectroscopy |
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| $o$ | Ortho |
| $p$ | Para |
| pent | Pentet |
| Pd | Palladium |
| PK | Protein Kinase |
| PMB | p-Methoxybenzyl |
| ppm | Parts per Million |
| $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ | Retention Factor |
| rt | Room Temperature |
| S | Singlet |
| $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ | Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| THP | Tetrahydropyran |
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| TMP | Tetramethylpiperidine |
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| t | Triplet |

## Numbered Compounds



3


5

3b

6

2b

4

7


8


9


12


14


17


20


HSB3


15
15


16

## 1 Background and Aim

Cancer is the leading cause of death in the developed world, and over seven million people die of cancer every year. ${ }^{1}$

Protein kinases mediate most of the signal transduction in eukaryotic cells. ${ }^{2,3}$ Dysregulation of the signaling pathways have been linked to cancers and various other disease states. ${ }^{4,5}$ As a consequence, kinases have become emerging drug targets. ${ }^{5}$ One such kinase is the colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R), which is overexpressed in some cancers and bone disease. ${ }^{6}$ However, there are currently no drugs on the market. ${ }^{6,7}$

Previous work in the research group has seen the potential of purine based CSF1R inhibitors. The aim of this thesis is to investigate the use of $p$-methoxybenzyl and methoxymethyl as protecting groups in synthetic protocols towards 6 -amino-8arylpurines.


General Inhibitor Structure

## 2 Introduction and Theory

### 2.1 Colony Stimulating Factor 1 Receptor

The colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) is a type III tyrosine kinase receptor that regulates the survival, proliferation, differentiation and function of the cells of the mononuclear phagocyte lineage. ${ }^{8,9}$ It consists of an extracellular and an intracellular domain connected by a transmembrane domain. ${ }^{9}$ Binding of ligands to the extracellular domain results in receptor dimerization and intermolecular phosphorylation, see Scheme 2.1. ${ }^{8}$ There are two known ligands that control activation of the kinase: colony stimulating factor 1 (CSF1), and interleukin-34 (IL-34). ${ }^{6}$


Scheme 2.1: Phosphorylation mechanism. ${ }^{10}$

During phosphorylation, a phosphoryl group is transferred to a target protein, changing the activity of the protein. ${ }^{11}$ It has been shown that a number of diseases are linked to changes in regulation of phosphorylation reactions. ${ }^{4,6}$ This includes the overexpression of CSF1, which has been implicated in the proliferation of osteoclasts, growth and metastasis of cancer, and several inflammatory diseases. ${ }^{6}$ Inhibition of the CSF1R protein or the ligands may prove effective in treatment of the associated diseases. ${ }^{6,12}$

CSF1R inhibition have already shown some promising results. Xu et al. reported improved effects of radiation of prostate cancer cells with use of selective CSF1R inhibitors. ${ }^{13}$ Promising results have also been seen in improving quality of life in mice with inflammatory diseases, ${ }^{14}$ as well as slowing the progress of Alzheimer's disease in mice. ${ }^{15}$

There are currently only two inhibitors targeting the CSF1 ligand in clinical trials, and no inhibitors targeting IL-34. ${ }^{16}$ No inhibitors are currently on the marked. ${ }^{6,7}$ Examples of some CSF1R inhibitors are shown in Figure 2.1. ${ }^{16-18}$


Figure 2.1: Examples of CSF1R inhibitors. ${ }^{16-18}$

### 2.2 Previous Work In the Research Group

The previous focus of the research group was the development of inhibitors for the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a kinase that is involved in breast, ${ }^{19}$ pancreatic, ${ }^{20}$ ovarian, ${ }^{21}$ and non-small-cell lung cancer. ${ }^{22,23}$ Structures based on the thieno-, ${ }^{24}$ furo- ${ }^{25}$ and pyrrolopyrimidines, ${ }^{26}$ with variations in the C4- and C6-positions have shown promising $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in in vitro enzymatic assays, some under $1 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{24,26}$ The base structure is shown in Figure 2.2.


Figure 2.2: General structure of molecules previously synthesized in the research group. ${ }^{25}$

As a part of this work the molecules were tested against other kinases, and some of these molecules showed promising inhibition against the CSF1R kinase. More
molecules were synthesized with the focus on CSF1R inhibition giving rise to promising purine based structures, see Figure 2.3. ${ }^{27}$


Figure 2.3: Previously synthesized purine based CSF1R inhibitors. ${ }^{27}$

The group has previously investigated three main routes towards the purine based inhibitor structures, see Scheme 2.2. Route A was initially used, and relies on bromination followed by Suzuki cross-coupling on the unprotected purine. Difficulties with coupling reactions in the presence of free NH groups are known, ${ }^{28,29}$ and the Suzuki reaction proved to be extremely slow. THP protection at the N9position solved the problem, ${ }^{27}$ and most of the inhibitors have been made following route B. However, major drawbacks include low yields of the iodination, and moderate stability in subsequent reactions. ${ }^{27}$ Switching to benzylic protecting groups for route C, resulted in a high yielding iodination reaction using NIS. ${ }^{27,30}$ But less regioselective $N$-alkylation was achieved, ${ }^{27}$ and harsher deprotection conditions were needed. ${ }^{30}$ The purpose of this thesis is to investigate MOM as an alternative protecting group, and further develop deprotection protocols for route C.


Route C
Scheme 2.2: Previously investigated routes towards 6,8 -disubstituted purines.

### 2.3 Purines

Purines are found as the structural units of the nucleobases adenine and guanine in DNA. ${ }^{31,32}$ The nucleobases form nucleosides and nucleotides, ${ }^{31}$ which can act as hormones and neurotransmitters, as well as being active in intracellular signaling and many metabolic systems. ${ }^{32}$ In addition to this, purine antimetabolites have been used in the treatment of autoimmune diseases, ${ }^{33}$ and purine analogs have shown to possess antimicrobial, ${ }^{34}$ antifungal, ${ }^{35}$ antitumor, ${ }^{36}$ antitubercular, ${ }^{37}$ antiviral and cardiotonic properties. ${ }^{38,39}$ This makes the purine structure vastly imporant in both biology and as a basis for new potential lead structures in medicinal chemistry. ${ }^{34}$

Purines are heterocyclic molecules consisting of a fused pyrimidine and imidazole ring, as shown in Figure 2.4. ${ }^{40}$ Due to the electron-localizing effects of the nitrogen, the pyrimidine is a $\pi$-electron deficient system. ${ }^{41}$ The imidazole ring has both a single bonded, and doubly bonded nitrogen and is an electron rich system. ${ }^{42}$ The overall electron density profile of the unsubstituted purine is produced
by sharing of the $\pi$-electrons of the imidazole ring to the pyrimidine moiety. ${ }^{41}$ Introducing electron withdrawing or donating substituents to the ring system will further alter this distribution. ${ }^{41}$


Figure 2.4: Numbering of atoms in the purine ring system. ${ }^{40}$

With the electron withdrawing effects of the nitrogen, the neighbouring carbon atoms in the ring has a pronounced electrophilic character. Substituents in these positions can be replaced by nucleophilic attack, and the 8 -carbon atom is the most electron deficient and will react first, followed by the C6 and C2 subsituents. ${ }^{32,41}$ In the presence of an electron donating group, the C8-position also shows some nucleophilic character and the purine can react in electrophillic as well as nucleophilic reactions. ${ }^{41}$

Purines can undergo $N$-alkylation, as the nitrogen in the molecule can act as a nucleophile. ${ }^{41} N$-Alkylation often gives both the $N 9$ and $N 7$ isomers, where the former is usually the major product. ${ }^{43,44}$ Factors known to influence the $N 9: N 7$ ratio, is the purine and reactant structure, reaction temperature, and what type of base and solvent are used. ${ }^{43,45}$

When the purine is deprotonated, the negative charge will be delocalized, as shown in Scheme 2.3. Alkyl-addition to the $N 3$ or $N 1$ ion will give a less aromatic product, making the $N 9$ and $N 7$ isomers more favourable.


Scheme 2.3: Resonance of deprotonated purine.

The nucleophilic character of the nitrogen may prove difficult in electrophilic reactions targeted towards other ring atoms, and the $N 9$ and $N 7$ purine should in these cases be protected.

### 2.4 Synthesis of 6-Amino-8-Arylpurines

A wide variety of substituted purines can be synthesized from 4,5-diaminopyrimidine with a one carbon reagent, known as a Traube type condensation reaction. ${ }^{41,46}$ The availability of different cyclization reagents allows for preparation of virtually any type of substituted purine. Some of the most common reagents are acid chlorides, ${ }^{47}$ carboxylic acids, ${ }^{46}$ chloroformic esters, ${ }^{48}$ carboxamides and ureas. ${ }^{49,50}$ Due to the harsh reaction conditions needed for ring closing, side reactions with other groups on the pyrimidine must be considered. If 6 -halopurines are to be used as an intermediate towards 6 -aminopurines, special reagents need to be used to avoid hydrolysis of the halogen during the Traube reaction. ${ }^{41}$ Catalysis by $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ have effectively been used for one-pot formation of 8 -substituted- 9 H -purines in excelent yields under mild, eco-friendly conditions. ${ }^{51}$

Ibrahim et al. reported a two step synthetic route giving 6-amino-8-arylpurines in yields up to $93 \% .{ }^{52}$ The synthesis involved Traube type condensation of 6-chloro-4,5-diaminopyrimidine followed by nucleophilic aromatic substitution or Pd -catalysed amination. A general reaction pathway is shown in Scheme 2.4.


Scheme 2.4: Synthesis of 6-amino-8-arylpurines by Ibrahim et al. ${ }^{52}$

6-Amino-8-arylpurines can alternatively be made in a three step synthesis from the readily available 6 -chloropurine. Functionalization of the purine skeleton can be achieved by substituting the C2, C6 or C8 hydrogen with good leaving groups. ${ }^{41}$

Halogenation is often used for this purpose, and regioselective functionalization can be carried out by transition metal catalysed or $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ type reactions. ${ }^{53,54}$

It has been documented that Suzuki cross-coupling reaction and nucleophilic aromatic substitution might fail if the purine nitrogen is unprotected. ${ }^{28,29,55}$ Synthesis of 6 -amino-8-arylpurines from 6 -chloropurine deploying $p$-methoxybenzyl (PMB), and methoxymethyl (MOM) as protecting groups are the selected routes for this project. Introduction of the protective group is followed by iodination to give the 6 -chloro-8-iodopurine intermediate. Amine is introduced by nucleophilic aromatic substitution, and Pd-catalysed Suzuki cross-coupling followed by deprotection gives the desired product. The synthetic route of 6 -amino-8-arylpurine through the $N$-protected analog is shown in Scheme 2.5.



Scheme 2.5: Synthesis of 6-amino-8-arylpurine from 6-chloropurine.

### 2.5 Protecting Groups

As previously mentioned, protecting the $N$-position in the purine may be necessary to prevent unfavourable decoration of the purine scaffold. Protection of purines with tetrahydropyran (THP), methoxymetyl (MOM) and benzyl derivatives like $p$-methoxybenzyl (PMB) have been reported in the literature, ${ }^{56-59}$ see Figure 2.5



PMB

MOM

Figure 2.5: Various $N 9$ protecting groups for purines.

### 2.5.1 Tetrahydropyran (THP)

THP is a popular protecting group due to its ease of introduction and removal, as well as its stability in a variety of reaction conditions. ${ }^{57,58}$ However, halogenation of THP protected purines have been associated with poor yields because of byproduct formation. ${ }^{60,61}$ Previous work in the research group have shown that the halogenation step is much improved with benzyl protected purines. ${ }^{27}$

### 2.5.2 Benzylic Groups

Benzylic protecting groups are widely used in the protection of nitrogen containing heterocycles. ${ }^{58,62} \mathrm{~N}$-Protection of purines have previously been reported by Wang et al. ${ }^{59}$ The 9-benzyl-purines were synthesized by reacting various purines with the appropriate benzyl chloride derivative. ${ }^{63} \mathrm{Qu}$ et al. synthesized 6 -substituted 9-benzyl purines from 6-halo-9-benzylpurines employing $\mathrm{S}_{N}$ Ar-type reactions. ${ }^{64}$ Successful Suzuki cross-coupling reactions on 2-, 6- and 8-halo-9-benzylpurines have been reported by Dvořák et al. ${ }^{65}$ The protecting groups are stable, but may require forceful conditions to remove, and only a small number of debenzylation procedures are available.

One of the most common ways of debenzylation is by Pd-catalysed hydrogenation. ${ }^{66}$ This method is not viable if the protected compounds contain reactive
groups that can be reduced as well. ${ }^{62}$ An alternative method employs a strong acid such as trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) or Lewis acid such as $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} .{ }^{67,68}$ Anisole is often used in these reactions to trap the benzyl cation. ${ }^{69}$ Methods employing strong acids can also be problematic if the molecule contains other reactive groups, and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ may lead to side reactions like Friedel Craft alkylation. ${ }^{62,70}$ Other methods employing sodium metall in ammonia, ${ }^{71}$ or KOtBu in DMSO in the presence of oxygen have also been reported. ${ }^{62,72}$

### 2.5.3 Methoxymethyl (MOM)

MOM is a third alternative protection group, and is quite stable. ${ }^{58}$ Crestey et al. synthesized MOM protected purines by reacting the unprotected purine with MOMCl in presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Halogenation at the C 8 -position was achieved by zincation with $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ and subsequent trapping with iodine. Arylation was then completed through a Suzuki cross-coupling, or directly on the zincated species with a Negishi cross-coupling, see Scheme 2.6. ${ }^{57}$ Deprotection is commonly achieved with acid or $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .{ }^{58}$


Scheme 2.6: General reaction scheme of the selective zincation of purines, and subsequent trapping with an electrophile. ${ }^{57,73}$

### 2.6 Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution

Nucleophilic aromatic substitution is an $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ type reaction, which is one of the most used reaction types in medicinal chemistry. ${ }^{74}$ These reactions have high chemoselectivity, and is believed to follow an addition-elimination mechanism. ${ }^{75}$ The nucleophilic attack leads to formation of an anionic $\sigma$-complex called the Meisenheimer complex. ${ }^{76,77}$ This is followed by departure of the leaving group and reformation of the aromaticity of the ring. ${ }^{78}$

The reaction rate is dependent on the strength of nucleophile and the leaving group ability. Halides are the most popular leaving groups, and the reactivity increases with electronegativity; thus, making the reactivity series: $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{Br} \approx \mathrm{Cl}>$ I. ${ }^{79}$ Both the departure of the leaving group and the reactivity of the nucleophile is dependent on the solvent. In aprotic solvents the nucleophile is activated and the rate-determining step is often the departure of the leaving group. ${ }^{80}$ This is backed up by simulations done by Acevedo and Jorgensen which show that the Meisenheimer complex is more stable than reactants in aprotic solvents. ${ }^{81}$

Halopurines undergo $\mathrm{S}_{N}$ Ar-type reactions with a variety of nucleophiles. ${ }^{32} \mathrm{Nu}$ cleophilic displacement of leaving groups at $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 6$, and C 8 work with relative ease. A proposed mechanism for nucleophilic aromatic substitution of 6 -chloro-8-iodo-9-benzylpurine is shown in Scheme 2.7.


Scheme 2.7: Proposed mechanism for nucleophilic aromatic substitution of 6-halo-9-benzylpurine.

### 2.7 Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling

The Suzuki cross-coupling reaction is a Pd-catalysed cross-coupling between organoboronic acids and aryl/vinyl halides, in the presence of a base. Due to its versatility and chemoselectivity, it is one of the most used reactions in medicinal chemistry. ${ }^{74,82}$ It is easy to understand the wide usage of the reaction. A variety of different organoboronic acids are commercially available, and the organohalides tolerate a wide range of functional groups. ${ }^{82,83}$ The reaction can proceed under mild conditions and tolerates water. A high regio- and chemoselectivity is often achieved, and the inorganic by-products are easily removed. ${ }^{83,84}$

Some disadvantages are decomposing of the boronic acid, and side reactions, such as homocoupling, and dehalogenation. ${ }^{84,85}$ The presence of oxygen in the reaction mixture can lead to homocoupling and oxidation. ${ }^{86}$ Degassing of the solvent is often taken as a preliminary measure. ${ }^{86}$ Dehalogenation is a prominent competing reaction often making it difficult to isolate the product. ${ }^{85,87}$ Jedinák et al. discovered that the dehalogenation process is caused by the base. ${ }^{85}$

The mechanism involves the three usual steps for a Pd-catalysed cross-coupling: oxidative addition, transmetallation, and reductive elimination. A general mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.8. ${ }^{84,88}$


Scheme 2.8: General mechanism for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction. ${ }^{84,88}$

In the oxidative addition step the organohalide bond is broken and two new bonds form with Pd , increasing its oxidation state by two. This equilibrium is expected to lean towards the addition product when strong electron donating ligands are used. ${ }^{83}$ In the transmetallation step the migration of the organo-group from the boronic acid to the Pd complex takes place. The base is a requirement for the reaction to take place. It has been shown that the base attacks the boronic acid to form an anionic intermediate, which can then attack the Pd complex and initate the migration. ${ }^{83,88}$ The catalyst is reformed in the reductive elimination step, where a bond is formed between the organo-substituents as they detach from the Pd. ${ }^{88}$

Bulky and electron rich ligands have been show to have high reactivity and selectivity. ${ }^{89}$ Because of this, dialkylbiarylphosphine ligands are heavily used. ${ }^{90}$ Some common ligands and catalysts are shown in Figure 2.6.

PEPPSI-IPr


XPhos

SPhos

Figure 2.6: Some common phosphine ligands. ${ }^{85,90}$

## 3 Results and Discussion

In order to prepare complex purines functionalized at the 6 - and 8- positions, protection of $N 9$ or $N 7$ is needed. Previous work in the research group has involved using tetrahydropyran (THP) or benzyl protecting groups. THP shows high selectivity towards introduction at the N9-position, and is readily deprotected under mild acidic conditions. ${ }^{27,56,91}$ However, challenges in the halogenation step, involving by-product formation and difficulties during work-up, result in mediocre yields. ${ }^{27,60,61}$ While the benzyl derivatives are easier to halogenate, they show poor selectivity in the $N$-alkylation step, and require harsh deprotection conditions. ${ }^{27,30}$

The aim of this project is to investigate the use of methoxymethyl (MOM) and $p$ methoxybenzyl (PMB) as protecting groups in the synthesis of 6 -amino- 8 -arylpurines. Functionalization of PMB protected purines was investigated during the premaster's project. ${ }^{30}$ In this thesis the focus will be on functionalization of the MOM protected derivatives and finding milder $N$-debenzylation protocols.

The inital parts of this chapter covers the synthesis of the various building blocks, including protection, iodination, amination, cross-coupling and deprotection. This is followed by structure elucidation of the synthesized compounds.

### 3.1 Introduction of the Protecting Group and Synthesis of Compound 3

A protecting group is introduced at the $N 9$-position of 6 -chloropurine (1) to prevent difficulties with Pd catalyzed and $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ type reactions. ${ }^{28,29,55}$ The reaction conditions and data for the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ from compound $\mathbf{1}$ are given in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Overview of reaction conditions and data for $N$-alkylation of compound $\mathbf{1}$ with PMB-Cl and MOM-Cl, yielding compound 2, 2b, and 3.

|  <br> 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ \hline \text { PMB- } \\ \text { MOM- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Scale <br> [g] | Solvent | Rx time <br> [h] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ {\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Conv. ${ }^{a}$ <br> [\%] | Ratio | $N 9: N 7^{b}$ | Yield ${ }^{c}$ <br> [\%] |
| $2^{\text {d }}$ | 3.04 | DMF | 25 | rt | 98 |  | 2:1 | 45 |
| 3 | 0.15 | DMF | 44 | 60 | >99 |  | 1:0 | 37 |
| 3 | 1.03 | DMF | 23 | 40 | $>99$ |  | 1:0 | 65 |
| 3 | 0.13 | EtOAc | 25 | 40 | $>99$ |  | 1:0 | 67 |
| 3 | 0.12 | THF | 25 | 40 | >99 |  | 1:0 | 61 |
| 3 | 3.03 | THF | 24 | 40 | >99 |  | 1:0 | 86 |

${ }^{a}$ Conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.
${ }^{b} N 9: N 7$ ratio determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.
${ }^{c}$ Isolated yields of the N9 isomer.
${ }^{d}$ Synthesized in the pre-master's project. ${ }^{30}$

Compound $\mathbf{2}$ was synthesized in the pre-master's project following the procedure of Wang et al. ${ }^{59} 6$-Chloropurine $\mathbf{1}$ was reacted with $p$-methoxybenzyl chloride in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to give $\mathbf{2}$ in $45 \%$ yield. The low yield stems primarily from the lack of selectivity towards the N9 isomer.

As an alternative to the PMB and THP protecting groups, $N$-alkylation of compound $\mathbf{1}$ using chloromethyl methyl ether was attempted. The reaction was done based on the procedure of Crestey et al. ${ }^{57} \mathrm{MOMCl}$ was added dropwise to a solution of compound $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ to yield compound 3. A small scale reaction was conducted at rt. using DMF as solvent, but after 24 h full conversion was not observed. The temperature was therefore increased to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and full conversion was achieved after a total of 44 h . The MOM protected purine $\mathbf{3}$ was isolated in
$37 \%$ yield. Loss of product was observed both during work-up and purification, indicating that the product is somewhat soluble in water and has affinity for silica. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed no formation of the $N 7$ isomer, see Figure 3.1. Due to the small scale, the low yield is likely due to loss of product during work-up and purification, and with a $100 \%$ selectivity the reaction has higher potential than the PMB analog.


Figure 3.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of crude products from the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$. The C2 and C8 proton signals show the corresponding $N 9 / N 7$ ratios.

The reaction was scaled up, and with a reaction temperature of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ full conversion was achieved after 23 h . Traces of DMF was seen in the compound both after work-up and purification by silica-gel column chromatography. The solvent traces were removed under vacuum at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ giving compound 3 in $65 \%$ yield. The increase in yield is probably due to more care being taken during the work-up and purification. Since DMF is miscible with water, emulsions were formed during work-up. Solvent traces could increase the solubility of the product in the aqueous
phase and contribute to lowering the yield.

Two test reactions using THF and EtOAc as solvents were conducted. The same reactivity and selectivity was seen in both solvents. With no DMF the removal of solvents and work-up was easier, but no overall gain in yield was achieved. A final scaled-up reaction was conducted using THF as solvent, resulting in compound 3 being isolated in $86 \%$ yield. This is a considerable higher yield than previously achieved. Less loss during extraction was observed, possibly due to the lack of DMF traces making it easier to avoid emulsions and achieve a clean separation. Since the reaction is quenched with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, the resulting unprotonated $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ can be removed in vacuo, and it might be possible to further increase the yield by skipping the work-up and proceding directly to purification.

### 3.2 Iodination

Iodination of the purine at the C8-position allows for further functionalization through cross-coupling reactions. Benzyl protected purines have previously been iodinated using $N$-iodosuccinimide (NIS) through what is expected to be an electrophilic aromatic substitution reaction. ${ }^{27,30,92}$ Halogenation of MOM protected purines are usually done through a metallation reaction. ${ }^{57}$

### 3.2.1 Synthesis of Compound 4

Following the procedure by Guthmann et al., ${ }^{92}$ compound $\mathbf{2}$ - previously synthesized in the pre-master's project ${ }^{30}$ - was reacted with NIS in THF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give compound 4, see Scheme 3.1.


Scheme 3.1: Reaction conditions for iodination of compound 2.

Full conversion was observed after 22 h and purification gave compound 4 in $62 \%$ yield. The yield is comparable to previous reactions. ${ }^{30}$ No by-products were
observed. Due to the polar nature of the compound, it has some affinity towards the aqueous phase and silica, ${ }^{93}$ and the mediocre yield is likely due to loss of compound during work-up and purification.

### 3.2.2 Synthesis of Compound 5

Iodination of compound $\mathbf{3}$ through both ortho lithiation and zincation were investigated. An overview of reaction conditions are given in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Overview of reaction conditions and data for the synthesis of compound 5.


Initially, the iodination was attempted by ortho lithiation following the procedure by Ibrahim et al. ${ }^{61}$ Lithiation of compound $\mathbf{3}$ was performed with LDA in a solution of THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{I}_{2}$ in THF was added, and the solution was stirred for 1.5 h. The resulting work-up proved difficult, with the formation of multiple insoluble solids and troublesome emulsions. The product 5 was isolated in $37 \%$ yield, and $3 \%$ starting material was recovered. Multiple by-products were observed with TLC analysis, but were difficult to separate from the product by silica-gel column chromatography. Similar results were observed with the iodination of the THP protected analog. ${ }^{27,60}$ Both the formation of by-products and the difficulties in the work-up is expected to be the main contributors to the poor yield.

After the mediocre results with ortho lithiation, iodination of the MOM protected purine $\mathbf{3}$ was attempted using zincation as described by Crestey et al. ${ }^{57}$ This procedure has reported yields of up to $98 \%$ for the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{5}$. The hindered amide base 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TMP) was used as the basis for the zincation agent, and $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ was synthesized according to the procedure of Mosrin et al. ${ }^{73}$ TMP was deprotonated using $n$ - BuLi , before $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ was added. After stirring the solution for 1 h , solvents were removed and the residue dissolved in dry THF to give a concentration of 1.2 M . Compound 3 was stirred for 40 minutes with 1.2 equivalents of the TMP base, before 1.2 equivalents of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ was added. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed $37 \%$ conversion after 2.5 h . The reported reaction time is $1 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{57}$ and an additional 1.1 equivalent of $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ and 0.7 equivalents of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ was added. After an additional 15 h , the reaction was stopped, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed $64 \%$ conversion. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and the following work-up proved difficult with the formation of troublesome emulsions. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography gave the iodinated purine $\mathbf{5}$ in $25 \%$ yield, and $27 \%$ of starting material was recovered.

The main contributing factors to the low yield is the low conversion, as well as the difficulties during work-up. Due to the hygroscopic nature of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, and the water and air sensitive nature of the metalation agent, it is expected that the low conversion was caused by poor preparation and inaccurate concentration of the TMP salt. Because of the polar functionalities, some loss of product is always expected during work-up and purification. It was deemed more expedient to achieve full conversion and proper preparation of the TMP base before the work-up procedure was scrutinized.

Before the TMP salt was remade, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ was dried at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for 15 h , and measurements were made under inert atmosphere. In an effort to ascertain an accurate concentration, the $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ solution was titrated. The titration was initially attempted with the readily available $N$-benzylbenzamide in dry THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in accordance with Burchat et al. ${ }^{94}$ No colour change was
observed and the titration was reattempted at rt. Again, no colour change was observed. The base is likely too weak to successfully deprotonate the benzamide. The titrant was changed to benzoic acid with 4-(phenylazo)-diphenylamine as indicator, and the titration was performed as described by Hammet et al. ${ }^{95}$ and Mosri et al. ${ }^{73}$ Upon addition of the TMP base, only a slight gradual colour change was observed. After addition of nearly two equivalents $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$, no clear endpoint was observed. A test titration was performed with LDA in which every drop gave a definite colour change that immediately vanished, and a clear distinguishable endpoint was reached. This indicates that the synthesized TMP base is too weak or the concentration too low for the titration methods.

Since the concentration could not be determined by titration, concentration determination by quantitive NMR was attempted. By deuterating all the TMP salt in a sample of known volume, the ratio between the NH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ peaks would give the conversion ratio of TMP to TMPZnCl$\cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$. Combined with an internal standard, an accurate concentration of the TMP base should be obtained. DMSO- $d_{6}$ was chosen as the readily available aprotic solvent to avoid proton exchange and obtain a clear NH peak. However, the DHO peak overlapped with the amine peak, and an accurate concentration could not be determined.

Considering an accurate concentration could not be ascertained, compound $\mathbf{3}$ was reacted with a large excess of $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$. After $27 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$ conversion was reached, and the reaction quenched. Changing solvent from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ during extraction reduced the amount of emulsions, but a multitude of poorly soluble solids were formed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed the formation of some by-products which were difficult to separate from the product with silica-gel column chromatography. Compound 5 was isolated in $39 \%$ yield, and $3 \%$ starting material was recovered.

Taking more care in keeping dry conditions and additional drying of the $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ seems to have improved the quality of the TMP base as a higher conversion was
achieved. It is possible that this could further be increased by drying TMP with molecular sieves or by distilling it prior to use. Additionaly, changing the procedure to ensure inert conditions while removing volatiles could also increase the yield. Considering the TMP base was seemingly too weak for the recommended titration methods, it is possible that the reactivity of the base rather than the conversion from TMP is the limiting factor in the iodination. Lower reactivity would mean longer reaction times, and full conversion to the zincated intermediate should be ensured before an electrophile is added. This could be done by quenching an analytical sample with an electrophile like $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, before running TLC or GC analysis. Other zincation or metallating agents could also be considered. Stathakis et al. ${ }^{96}$ reported the synthesis of the air-stable TMPZnOPiv•LiCl with a successful metallation yield of $78 \%$.

One of the reasons for changing the protecting group from THP was to seek an improvement in the iodination step. As it stands, the NIS iodination of compound 2 exceeds its THP analog, while the iodination of compound $\mathbf{3}$ exhibits some of the same challenges, with comparable yields. ${ }^{27,60}$ However, there are multiple instances of similar or identical reactions being reported with high yields. ${ }^{57,73,96}$ This indicates that with some more work into finding an efficient work-up procedure and higher quality TMP base, the reaction could far outshine even the PMB iodination.

### 3.3 Amination

Thermal amination was used for regioselective functionalization at the C6-position of the purine moiety. The reaction is thought to proceed through an $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ mechanism, with nucleophilic attack from the selected amine and assistance from a cobase. The purines were functionalised with 1-cyclohexyl- $N$-methylmethanamine (6) and $N$-methyl-1-phenylmethanamine, using Hünigs base as a co-base.

### 3.3.1 Synthesis of Compound 6

Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was synthesized from cyclohexanecarbaldehyde and methylamine in a reductive amination reaction. The reaction was done in accordance with previous experiments by Ehrhardt et al., ${ }^{97}$ using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ as a reduction agent, see Scheme 3.2.


Scheme 3.2: Reaction conditions for synthesis of compound 6.

The reaction was done in a 3 g scale using methanol as solvent. After $1.5 \mathrm{~h}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed $97 \%$ conversion of the aldehyde. The first step of the reaction is reversible, and small traces of water in the deuterated solvent could cause some of the imine to convert back to the aldehyde. The DMSO- $d_{6}$ was dried for 24 h using molecular sieves prior to use. However, to ensure full conversion, the reaction was left for another hour before $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was added.

Borane salts were removed by adjusting the pH to 0 using conc. HCl , converting the product to its HCl salt and extracting with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Readjusting to pH 11 with NaOH and extracting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a white solid. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ is usually a clear liquid at rt, indicating that the product could be a salt. Comparing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the crude product and a standard sample of the amine showed a slight difference in the shifts of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups. Additionally, the signal from the amine group was not present in the crude product, see Figure 3.2.


Figure 3.2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of a standard solution of 1-cyclohexyl- $N$-methylmethanamin and the crude product.

The lack of signal for the amine proton and the change in chemical shift of neighbouring groups is a clear sign that the compound exists as a borane or HCl salt. Dissolving the compound in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave a solution with pH 11 , indicating that the compound is not an HCl , but a borane salt. Concentrated HCl was added and the solution was stirred at pH 0 for 1 h to ensure the dissolution of all borane salts. The ensuing work-up gave compound 6 in $45 \%$ yield as a clear liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed small amounts of by-product formation. Previous work in the research group has isolated and identified the by-product as 1-cyclohexyl-$N$-(cyclohexylmethyl)- $N$-methylmethanamine (7), see Figure 3.3. ${ }^{98}$ Purity of the amine 6 was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis to be over $95 \%$, and no further purification was deemed necessary.


Figure 3.3: Observed by-product in the synthesis of compound 6. ${ }^{98}$

With a boiling point of $144^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{99}$ the amine $\mathbf{6}$ is quite volatile. It is likely that some of the product is lost during removal of solvents. Material could also be lost during extraction due to it being somewhat water soluble or if a sufficient conversion from the borane or HCl salt was not achieved. Changing the work-up to ensure the full conversion from the borane salt and limit the amount of extractions could increase the yield. This could possibly be achieved by employing ion-exchange resins.

### 3.3.2 Synthesis of Compounds 8, 9 and 10

The 6 -aminopurines $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ where synthesized from compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 1,4-dioxane. An overview of reaction conditions and data are given in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3: Overview of reaction conditions and data for thermal amination of compounds 4 and $\mathbf{5}$ with 1-cyclohexyl- $N$-methylmethanamine or $N$-methyl-1-phenylmethanamine.

|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8 | PMB C | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11^{-}}$ |
|  |  |  | 9 | MOM C | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11^{-}}$ |
|  |  |  | 10 | MOM C | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$ |
| Compound | Scale | Rx time | T | Conv. ${ }^{a}$ [\%] | ${ }^{a}$ Yield $^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | [mg] | [h] | $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |  | [\%] |
| 8 | 847 | 24 | 60 | 98 | 90 |
| 9 | 52 | 25 | 60 | 9 | $n d^{c}$ |
| 10 | 50 | 2 | 60 | >99 | $n d^{c}$ |
| 10 | 500 | 2 | 60 | >99 | 83 |

[^0]Compound 8 was synthesized in $90 \%$ yield using the same procedure as in the pre-master's project. ${ }^{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude mixture revealed the product to be pure and no further purification was necessary.

Synthesis of compound $\mathbf{9}$ was attempted by reacting compound $\mathbf{5}$ with $\mathbf{6}$ at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $25 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed only $9 \%$ conversion. No by-products were observed, only signals pertaining to the starting material and the intended product. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography was attempted, but TLC showed co-elution of the two compounds and no separation was achieved. HRMS analysis gave an $m / z$ of $416.0948[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$with a calculated value of 416.0947, confirming the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OI}$ and indicating that compound $\mathbf{9}$ was formed. The reaction was performed under the same conditions as the amination of compound 4. Nucleophilic aromatic substitutions require an electron deficient aromatic system to proceed. ${ }^{78}$ It seems unlikely that the MOM group should have a more drastic effect on the electron-profile of the pyrimidine moiety in comparison to the PMB group. Considering the reaction was conducted in only 50 mg scale, one possibility is that the reaction vessel was not gas tight and most of the volatile amine evaporated. Due to a shortage of compound $\mathbf{6}$, the experiment was not redone.

It was deemed unnecessary to make more of compound $\mathbf{6}$, and instead the amination of compound $\mathbf{5}$ was conducted using $N$-methyl-1-phenylmethanamine. Thermal amination on purines using $N$-methyl-1-phenylmethanamine have previously been done in the research group. ${ }^{27}$ A switch to aliphatic amines was made due to a statistical correlation between toxicity and a high fraction of $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons. ${ }^{100}$ As the main purpose of this thesis is not to investigate the biological activity or toxicity of the compounds, this is not viewed as a problem. Additionaly, the challenges in achieving a selective deprotection without debenzylating the phenylamine moiety is assumed not to be present with the MOM protecting group.

Initially, a test reaction in 50 mg scale was conducted, and full conversion of $\mathbf{5}$ to 10 was observed after 2 h . The reaction was scaled up to 500 mg , giving compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $83 \%$ yield after work-up. No further purification was necessary. The yield was slightly lower than for the PMB analog. This is possibly because the smaller MOM group increases water solubility and subsequent loss of material during work-up.

While the yields are comparable, the amination reaction times for the PMB and MOM analogs differ with more than 20 h . Considering the reaction follows an $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ type mechanism, the rate is dependent upon both the nucleophile and the aromatic electrophile. ${ }^{80}$ Due to inductive effect, the aliphatic amine $\mathbf{6}$ is expected to be slightly more nucleophilic than the phenylamine, and should give similar or quicker reaction times. Previous work in the research group has shown reaction times as low as 2 h for the same reaction. ${ }^{27}$ As previously discussed in the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{6}$, there where challenges in achieving full conversion of the amine salt. Remaining salt impurities could affect the reactivity of the amine, and it is likely that the extended reaction time is caused by poor quality of the starting material.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the products showed peak broadening for the signals at 3.28 and 4.03 for compound $\mathbf{8}, 3.30$ and 4.08 for compound $\mathbf{9}$, and 3.45 ppm and 5.28 ppm for compound 10, see Figure 3.4. Complete NMR spectra are given in E and G.


Figure 3.4: Peak broadening in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of compounds $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$. $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Peak broadening is normally a result of chemical or conformational exchange, and has been observed in purines bearing an amine functionality in the C6 postion. ${ }^{101,102}$ Pitner et al. proposed a partial double bond character between the C6 carbon and the amine functionality. ${ }^{101}$ This double bond character leads to formation of two rotational isomers, which results in broadening. An illustration of the rotamers and the resonance-formed double bond character is shown in Scheme 3.3.


Scheme 3.3: Rotational isomers for 6 -amino purines. ${ }^{101,102}$

### 3.4 Cross-Coupling and Synthesis of Compounds 12, 15, 17 and 18

### 3.4.1 Suzuki

Functionalization at the C8-position of the iodinated purines were done through Suzuki cross-coupling reactions, based on a procedure described by Bugge et al. ${ }^{103}$ Compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 8}$ were synthesized from the 8 -iodopurines $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$, with the corresponding boronic acid and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the chosen catalyst. A mixture of 1,4-dioxane and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was used as the solvent system, and all reactions were conducted at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An overview of reaction conditions and data are given in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4: Overview of reaction conditions and data for the Suzuki cross-coupling of compounds 8 and 10, yielding compounds 12 to 18.

|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 12 | PMB | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$ | $m-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
|  |  |  | 15 | MOM | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-$ | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
|  |  |  | 17 | PMB | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$ | $p$-OH |
|  |  |  | 18 | MOM | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$ | $p$-OH |
| Compound | Scale <br> [mg] | $\text { 1,4-dioxane: } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Rx time | Conv. |  | Yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  |  |  | [min] | [\%] |  | [\%] |
| 12 | 509 | 2:1 | 30 | >99 |  | 84 |
| 15 | 100 | 1:1 | 30 | >99 |  | 86 |
| 17 | 198 | 2:1 | 30 | >99 |  | 91 |
| 18 | 200 | 2:1 | 30 | >99 |  | 61 |

Compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was obtained from the Suzuki coupling between compound $\mathbf{8}$ and $m$-tolylboronic acid. Full conversion was observed after 30 minutes and purification by silica-gel column chromatography gave the desired compound in $84 \%$ yield.

The formation of two by-products was observed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR revealed the primary by-product to be 3,3'-dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl (13) which was isolated in 10 mg , see Figure 3.5. This compound is the result of homocoupling of the boronic acid, and is normaly expected due to the use of a slight excess of boronic acid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the second by-product showed no amine substituent in the C6-position of the purine. However, three methyl groups at $3.79 \mathrm{ppm}, 2.52 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 2.44 ppm , and multiple new peaks in the aromatic region were observed. The splitting pattern of the aromatic peaks are quite complex, but two singlets with an integral of 1 H at 8.64 ppm 7.58 ppm were noted. Together with the missing broad amine peaks, and the extra methyl group this indicates the pressence of two distinct $m$-tolyl substituents. HRMS analysis gave an $m / z$ ratio of $421.2028[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$corresponding to a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ further supporting 9-(4-methoxybenzyl)6,8 -di- $m$-tolyl- $9 H$-purine (14) as the by-product, see Figure 3.5 . Only 8 mg of the impure by-product was isolated, and it is expected that compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ was formed by a Suzuki di-coupling on impurities of compound 4 in the starting material.
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Figure 3.5: Suggested by-products in the Suzuki coupling of compound 8.

Considering that full conversion of the starting material was observed and only 8 mg and 10 mg of the respective by-products were isolated, the formation of byproducts is not considered a major factor in decreasing the overall yield.

Compound 15 was obtained from the cross-coupling between compound 10 and $p$ tolyl boronic acid. Full conversion was achieved after 30 minutes and the desired compound was isolated in $86 \%$ yield, which is comparable to the PMB analog. Formation of the homocoupled by-product $\mathbf{1 6}$ was observed, and 2.6 mg of the
compound was isolated, see Figure 3.6.
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Figure 3.6: By-product formed in the Suzuki coupling of compound 10.

The tolyl substituents of compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ to $\mathbf{1 5}$ were chosen to provide an easy substrate for the investiagtion of various deprotection methods. In an effort to test possible deprotection protocols on substrates more relevant to the biological research, cross-coupling reactions with (4-hydroxyphenyl)boronic acid were performed.

Compound 17 was synthesized from compound 8 and (4-hydroxyphenyl)boronic acid in $91 \%$ yield. No by-products were observed. The same molar equivalents of boronic acid was used as in the previous experiments. Pd-catalyzed homocoupling of boronic acids is dependent on the presence of an oxidant, and is linked to amount of dissolved oxygen in the reaction. ${ }^{86,104}$ To limit bi-aryl formation solvents were degassed by a subsurface nitrogen sparge in an ultrasound bath for minimum 0.5 h, prior to all Suzuki reactions. It is possible that the disparity in homocoupling is due to a difference in degassing time. However, the electron density of the aryl boronic acids have shown to influence the rate of the trans-metallation and reductive elimination steps, as well as the stability of the associated complexes. ${ }^{105}$ Although the direct impact is difficult to ascertain, it is possible that the pressence of an electron withdrawing group contributed to the reduced by-product formation.

Cross-coupling between compound 10 and (4-hydroxyphenyl)boronic acid gave compound 18 in $61 \%$ yield. No by-products were observed, and the compound was purified by silica-plug filtration. The yield is considerably lower than previous reactions. It is suspected that the mediocre yield is due to an increased loss of product during work-up and purification, caused by the increase in polarity with both the hydroxyl and MOM substituents present.

### 3.4.2 Negishi

Functionalization of the purine moiety at the C8-position is currently a two step process, through a iodination and a successive Suzuki cross-coupling. Using the TMP zincation agent discussed in section 3.2.2, a Negishi cross-coupling could be performed,. ${ }^{57,73}$ This would reduce the required steps by one, and could potentially increase the overall yield.

The Negishi coupling between compound $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 -iodotoluene was attempted based on the procedure of Crestey et al. ${ }^{57}$ Since the preferred ligand ( $o$-furyl) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ was not available, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was used instead, as it has proven to be both selective and reactive in other cross-coupling reactions with purines. ${ }^{27,30}$ The same $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ as described in section 3.2.2 was used as zincation agent, see Scheme 3.4.


Scheme 3.4: Overview of reaction conditions for the attempted Negishi cross-coupling of compound $\mathbf{3}$ with 4 -iodotoluene.

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was stirred in a solution of $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ and the catalyst for 1.75 h before 4-iodotoluene was added. After an additional 1.5 hours TLC analysis showed full conversion. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed formation of a by-product and traces of the starting material, but not the intended product. The by-product was isolated by silica-gel column chromatography. NMR and HRMS analysis indicates the formation of 6-butyl-9-(methoxymethyl)-9 H -purine (20), see Figure 3.7.
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Figure 3.7: Product of the Negishi cross coupling of compound 3.

Compound 20 was most likely formed from coupling between compound $\mathbf{3}$ and unreacted traces of $n$ - BuLi in the $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ solution. Since the catalyst was added before the $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$, it is possible that most of the zincated purine intermediate had reacted before the 4 -iodotoluene was added. The choice of solvent and catalyst must be made such that the catalyst can be added in solution together with the aryl halide. Additional care should be made in reducing the excess of $n$-BuLi when preparing the zincation agent, in order to reduce the possibility of competing reactions.

Due to the previously experienced difficulties in producing the zincation agent, the experiment was not repeated.

### 3.5 Debenzylation and Synthesis of Compounds HSB2 and HSB3

### 3.5.1 Hydrogenation

Deprotection of PMB was achieved in the pre-master's project using various acid protocols at high temperature. Milder debenzylation protocols are of interest as many of the promissing inhibitors utilize more labile substituents at the C8-position. Merz et al. reported successful deprotection of $N$-benzylated dialkoxypyrroles using Pd-catalyzed hydrogenation at 20 bar in glacial $\mathrm{AcOH} .{ }^{67}$ The available equipment only allowed for hydrogen pressure up to 6 atm , so hydrogenation of compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ at 1 and 6 atm was attempted, reaction conditions are shown in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5: Overview of reaction conditions of the attempted hydrogenation of compounds 11 and 12. Reactions were done in glacial AcOH with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ and $15 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$.

|  <br> 11-12 |  |  <br> HSB1-2 |  |  | 11 12 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R} \\ \hline p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ m-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P} \\ {[\mathrm{atm}]} \end{gathered}$ | Cat. | Scale <br> [mg] | Rx time <br> [h] |  | Conv. ${ }^{a}$ <br> [\%] |
| 11 | 1 | Pd/C | 30 | 28 |  | $<1$ |
| 11 | 6 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 24 |  | $<1$ |
| 12 | 6 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 23 |  | $<1$ |
| 12 | 6 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 23 |  | $<1$ |

${ }^{a}$ Conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

Reactions were done according to the procedure described by Merz et al. ${ }^{67}$ The debenzylation was first attempted at atmospheric pressure, yielding no conversion after 24 h . Increasing the pressure to 6 atm had no effect. Since any further pressure increase was impossible with the current system, another approach had to be considered. Another commonly used catalyst for debenzylation by hydrogenolysis is Pearlman's catalyst, ${ }^{58}$ and Li et al. reported in 2006 higher debenzylation rates for all tested compounds using a 1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ and Pearlman's. ${ }^{106}$ The deprotection was reattempted using 1 molar eq. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$, and 1 eq. 1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h at $6 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure no conversion was observed. The debenzylation reported by Merz et al. was conducted at a considerably higher pressure than $6 \mathrm{~atm},{ }^{67}$ and it is possible the $N$-debenzylation of purines could proceed at a higher pressure or temperature. Due to the limitations of the available equipment this was not attempted, and other methods had to be explored.

Debenzylation by catalytic hydrogenation has been reported as a slow process, ${ }^{107}$ and transfer hydrogenolysis has found to be a suitable alternative. ${ }^{108}$ Deprotection of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was attempted according to the proceedure described by Elamin et al., ${ }^{109}$ see Scheme 3.5.


Scheme 3.5: Reaction conditions for transfer hydrogenolysis of compound 12.

Compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was dissolved in methanol with $4 \mathrm{vol} \%$ concentrated formic acid, with 1 eq. $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ at rt. under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere. No conversion was observed after 48 hours, and the temperature was increased to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After an additional 24 hours, the reaction had still not proceeded. Other hydride transfer agents like $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ or use of other catalysts, i.e rhuthenium based catalysts, could prove successful. Due to time constraints and the successful MOM deprotection, no further attempts at debenzylation were attempted.

### 3.5.2 Acid Protocols

Previously successful debenzylation attempts include the TFA and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ protocols described by Merz et al. ${ }^{67}$ and Girardet et al., ${ }^{68}$ respectively. ${ }^{30}$ Due to formation of excessive by-products with the TFA protocol, deprotection using $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ was preferred. ${ }^{30}$ Due to reports of multiple successfull N -debenzylations with TFA, ${ }^{58,110,111}$ additional protocols were tested. Deprotection of compounds 12 and 17 were done using the previosly successful protocol based on Girardet et al. ${ }^{30,68}$ Reaction conditions are shown in Table 3.6.

Table 3.6: Overview of reaction conditions and data for deprotection of compounds 12 and 17 using $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ and TFA.

|  <br> 12-17 |  | Acid <br> HSB2-3 |  |  |  | 12 17 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R} \\ \hline m-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ p-\mathrm{OH} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Scale <br> [mg] | Acid | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ {\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Rx time <br> [h] | Conv. ${ }^{a}$ <br> [\%] |  | Yield ${ }^{b}$ <br> [\%] |
| 12 | 50 | TFA | 60 | 22 | $<1$ |  | nd. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 12 | 27 | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ | 160 | 3 | >99 |  | 53 |
| 17 | 35 | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ | 160 | 3 | >99 |  | nd. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.
${ }^{b}$ Isolated yields.
${ }^{c}$ Not determined.

Miki et al. ${ }^{110}$ reported successfull debenzylation of indoles with TFA using dimethoxybenzene (DMB) as an additive. Because of limited availablility, DMB was substituted with anisole, which has a similar ability to trap the benzyl cation. ${ }^{69}$ Compound 12 was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with 5 eq. TFA and 3 eq. anisole at rt. TLC analysis showed no conversion after 1 h and the reaction was continued at reflux. After an additional 21 h TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed $<1 \%$ conversion and the reaction was stopped. It seems evident that benzyl groups bind stronger to the purine ring than with similar compounds, and harsher conditions are needed for $N$-debenzylation. Further deprotections were done with the previously successfull $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ protocol.

Compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was dissolved in 1,2-dichlorobenzene with a large excess of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, and stirred at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed full conversion, and the formation of multiple unknown by-products. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography gave compound HSB2 in $53 \%$ yield. The formation of by-products is likely due to the harsh conditions, and the main contributing fac-
tor to the mediocre yield. With the free amine proton an increase in bonding interactions with silica groups is expected. Due to the small scale of the reaction, loss of compound during purification could have significant impact on the yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the purified compound revealed low integrals for the cyclohexane ring in the amine group. Since all signals and integrals were correct in the crude product, this could indicate partial decomposition during purification. However, HRMS and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis revealed no signals for compounds other than the expected product HSB2. Due to limited amount of material no further analysis was possible, and the reason for the low integrals is still unknown.

Synthesis of compound HSB3 was conducted in a similar fashion; however, no clear separation between compounds was observed with TLC analysis. The deprotection was assumed to follow similar kinetics as the deprotection of the toluene analog, and was stopped after $3 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed full conversion, and formation of multiple by-products. Formation of the desired compound was not possible to ascertain from analysis of the crude mixture. Remaining traces of solvent and some by-products were removed by silica-plug filtration. Further ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed the dissapearance of the PMB signals, and a singlet at 13.01 ppm corresponding to the $N 9$ proton in an unprotected purine. Signals akin to those from the amine and aryl substituents were also observed, which indicates that the deprotection proceeded and the desired product was formed. However, due to the small scale and insufficient separation on TLC further purification proved futile. With multiple signals from impurities in both the aromatic and aliphatic region, accurate structure determination was not possible.

Formation of by-products were seen in both Lewis acid catalyzed deprotections. $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ is a strong Lewis acid and can react in Friedel-Craft alkylations. ${ }^{78,112}$ 1,2Dichlorobenzene is an electron deficient aromat and should not exhibit high reactivity in electrophilic aromatic substitutions. However, it is possible that some by-product formation is due to Friedel-Craft type side reactions. The mechanism
as proposed by Watanabe et al., ${ }^{112}$ involves the coordination of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ to the nitrogen with subsequent departure and trapping of the benzyl group. Presence of substituents that react with the Lewis acid was reported to slow down or hinder the reaction, and by-products from attack by the benzyl cation has previously been observed. ${ }^{112}$ More severe by-product formation was seen in the deprotection of the $p$-hydroxy derivative 17. $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ can form aluminum alkoxides with alcohols, which makes the Lewis acid less active, ${ }^{112}$ and changes the reactivity of the hydroxyl group. It is possible that the formation of aluminum alkoxides either directly fascilitates formation of by-products or decomposition, or slows down the reaction enough to allow time for more side reactions.

### 3.6 MOM Deprotection and Synthesis of Compounds HSB4 and HSB5

Cleavage of the MOM group is normaly achieved by treatment with acid, and have been achieved at mild conditions. ${ }^{58}$ Deprotection of compounds 15 and $\mathbf{1 8}$ using HCl and TFA were investigated. The reaction conditions and results are shown in Table 3.7.

Table 3.7: Overview of reaction conditions and data for deprotection of compounds 15 and 18.

|  <br> 15-18 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R} \\ \hline \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ \mathrm{OH} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Scale [mg] | Acid | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ {\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Rx time <br> [h] | Conv. ${ }^{a}$ <br> [\%] | Yield ${ }^{b}$ <br> [\%] |
| 15 | 13 | TFA | rt. | 2 | >99 | nd. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 15 | 10 | HCl | rt. | 24 | $<1$ | nd. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 15 | 19 | HCl | 60 | 3 | $>99$ | 74 |
| 18 | 40 | HCl | 60 | 3 | >99 | 20 |

[^1]Initially, two protocols conducted at rt. were tested. Deprotection with TFA were done according to the procedure described by Mayrargue et al. ${ }^{113}$ Compound 15 was dissolved in equal parts $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and TFA. TLC analysis showed complete conversion after $2 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed a doubling of the signals corresponding to the C 2 proton at 8.48 ppm and the tolyl $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group at 2.44 ppm . Additional singlets at $5.83 \mathrm{ppm}, 5.18 \mathrm{ppm}, 3.98 \mathrm{ppm}, 3.39 \mathrm{ppm}$ were also observed. Remnants of the deprotected MOM group are expected to form volatiles, and the unknown signals in the 3-5 ppm region were thought to belong to non-deprotected compounds. However, HRMS analysis only revealed signals corresponding to the molecular formula of the expected product.

Since there was no pH adjustment after the completed reaction, the product could exist in a protonated form. Due to purines having multiple tautomeres, there are multiple possible protonation sites. ${ }^{114,115}$ The predominant tautomeric form is both solvent and substituent dependent. ${ }^{14,116,117}$ Because of the lack of the broad amine signals, it is hypothesized that the imine tautomere of the amino substituted purine is dominant. Protonation would then occur at $N 1,{ }^{115}$ and stabilize against rapid isomerisation. This would indicate that the unknown ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals at $5.83 \mathrm{ppm}, 5.18 \mathrm{ppm}, 3.98 \mathrm{ppm}, 3.39 \mathrm{ppm}$ belong to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups of the two rotameres. The amine tautomere is usually more predominant in more polar solvents. ${ }^{114}$ Upon ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the product in DMSO- $d_{6}$, no doubling of signals was observed. The unknown signals between 3-5 ppm had vanished, and the broad amine signals reappeared, see Figure 3.8. This supports the hypothesis that the compound exists as the protonated imine tautomere in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Full characterisation by NMR analysis shows that the desired 6 -amino-8-aryl purine HSB4 was formed.


Figure 3.8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) and suggested conformations of protonated compound HSB4. Upper $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : Stabilized imine tautomeres.

Lower (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): Amine tautomere.

The HCl deprotection protocol described by van Herden et al. ${ }^{118}$ was also tested. Compound 15 was dissolved in equal parts THF and $i-\operatorname{PrOH}$, with $10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ conc. HCl. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed no conversion after 24 h . It was assumed that harsher conditions were required, and the deprotection was reattempted using the conditions described by Auerbach et al. ${ }^{119}$ Compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ was stirred in MeOH with $10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ conc. HCl at reflux. Full conversion was seen after 3 h , and compound HSB4 was isolated in $74 \%$ yield. MeOH and $i$ - PrOH have similar properties, ${ }^{120,121}$ and the increased rate of reaction is mainly attributed to the temperature increase.

Deprotection of compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ was also conducted using the method of Auerbach et al. ${ }^{119}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed full conversion after 3 h . With the extra hydroxyl group, there was a fear of increased loss of product to the aqueous phase during work-up. In an effort to keep the yields at acceptable levels, the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, concentrated in vacuo and purified by silica-gel column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed the resulting fractions to be more impure than the reaction mixture. After a second column on the most impure fractions, compound HSB5 was isolated in $20 \%$ yield. 2D-TLC analysis indicated decomposition of the product on silica. Due to the small scale, no alternative purification methods were attempted. Higher yields could possibly be achieved using the TFA protocol, as no purification other than work-up was needed for the toluene analog.

### 3.7 Structure Elucidation

Eight of the compounds synthesized in this project are not reported in the literature. NMR, MS and IR was used to determine the structure of these compounds. 1D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC were used to assign the chemical shifts. MS was used to confirm the identity of the compounds. All spectra are given in Appendix A to O.

Assigned peaks for compound $\mathbf{3}$ to HSB5 are given in Table 3.9 to Table 3.17. All NMR experiments were done in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$. Traces of grease and common solvents can be seen in some of the spectra. Chemical shifts of selected solvents and trace impurities are shown in Table 3.8.

Table 3.8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts of some common solvents and trace impurities. ${ }^{122}$

|  | Group | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{DMSO}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Solvent Residual |  | 7.26 | 77.16 | 2.50 | 39.52 |
| Silicone Grease |  | 0.07 | 1.19 | -0.06 |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane |  | 3.71 | 67.1 | 3.57 | 66.4 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  | 5.30 | 53.5 | 5.76 | 54.8 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  | 1.56 |  | 3.33 |  |
| $\mathrm{EtOAc} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ | 2.05 | 21.0 | 1.99 | 20.7 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | 4.12 | 60.5 | 4.03 | 59.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | 1.26 | 14.2 | 1.17 | 14.4 |
|  | CO |  | 171.4 |  | 170.3 |
| MeOH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 3.49 | 50.41 | 3.16 | 48.59 |
|  | OH | 1.09 |  | 4.01 |  |

### 3.7.1 General Remarks

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ long range coupling was used to determine chemical shift of sp ${ }^{2}$ hybridized carbons. For some carbons these couplings were not present and the assignements were made with regards to effect of shielding on value of chemical shift where possible. All $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized purine carbons except C 5 are bonded to two electronegative groups. C4 and C6 have less shielding and are expected to have higher shifts. The concentration of NMR samples varied, and some of the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized carbons were not observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra. Missing shifts were approximated from HMBC and HSQC analysis wherever possible.

Peak broadening was observed in all compounds containing a C6-amino functionality, stemming from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups on the amino-substituent. These carbons were not observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. Peak broadening occurs because of chemical or conformational exchange, and is a known occurence in 6 -amino-purines. ${ }^{101,102}$ Broadened signals are labeled "br" in the tables. Heightened integrals in the 0.8 ppm to 3.0 ppm region may be observed, due to traces of the aliphatic amine by-product 7.

A detailed structure elucidation of every compound containing a new structure element will be given. Structures of compounds containing similar substituents were determined using the same methods and will not be discussed in detail.

### 3.7.2 Compound 3

In this section a detailed structure elucidation of compound $\mathbf{3}$ will be given. Previously reported instances of the compound provided insufficient spectroscopic data, so a complete characterisation of the compound was conducted.

The structure of compound $\mathbf{3}$ with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.9. HRMS gave $m / z 199.0386[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 199.0387, the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OCl}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound $\mathbf{3}$ are shown in Appendix A.


Figure 3.9: Numbering of positions in compound 3.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compound $\mathbf{3}$ showed two singlets with integrals of 1 H at 8.79 ppm and 8.27 ppm . These signals must belong to the protons on the purine ring. HSQC analysis revealed that the corresponding carbon shifts were 152.6 ppm and 145.1 ppm respectively. A singlet of integral 2 H was observed at 5.64 ppm , with a corresponding carbon shift of 74.6 ppm . This peak must be from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ether group at C10. HMBC analysis showed coupling to a singlet at 3.40 ppm with an integral of 3 H and a corresponding carbon shift of 57.5 ppm . Which is from the methyl ether group at C11. Additionaly HMBC analysis showed coupling between the signal at C10 and the singlet at 8.27 . This places the signal at 8.79 ppm at C2 and the signal at 8.27 ppm at C 8 , see Figure 3.10.


Figure 3.10: Correlation between carbons and protons as seen in the HMBC spectra.

This leaves three $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized carbons to be assigned. C6 and C 4 are expected to be close in shifts due to both carbons being bonded to two electron withdrawing groups. The carbon at C5 is more shielded and is expected to have a lower shift. The carbon signals at 152.2 ppm and 151.4 ppm were assigned to C 4 and C 6 .

HMBC coupling to C10 places the signal at 152.2 at C4. Because of the lower shift and coupling to C8 thorugh HMBC, the signal at 131.5 ppm was assigned to C5. Since C10 couples to C4 and not C5, the formation of the N9 isomer is confirmed. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

| Position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ <br> (mult., $J[\mathrm{~Hz}]$, int.) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | HMBC |
| :---: |
| 1 |
|  |
| 2 |

### 3.7.3 Compound 10

The structure of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.11. HRMS gave $m / z 410.0479[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 410.0478, the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OI}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ are shown in Appendix G.


Figure 3.11: Numbering of positions in compound 10.

The chemical shifts of the purine moiety and the MOM protecting group was assigned as described in section 3.7.2. As previously explained the broad peaks at $5.76-4.81 \mathrm{ppm}$, and $3.92-2.97 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra belong to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups of the amine functionality. The methyl group is expected to have a lower shift due to less shielding from anisotropic effects of the phenyl ring, ${ }^{123}$ and is placed at 5.76-4.81 ppm. HSQC analysis revealed the corresponding carbon shift of 67.1 ppm . The methyl group was not seen in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, and neither show coupling to other groups.

Since the aromatic ring is symmetric it is only expected to give three signals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed a multiplet with an integral of 1 H at $7.27-7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$, with a corresponding carbon shift of 127.4 ppm . This signal must belong to the proton at C18, and showed coupling through COSY to multiplets at 7.33-7.30 ppm and $7.29-7.27 \mathrm{ppm}$ with carbon shifts of 128.6 ppm and 127.7 ppm respectively. HMBC analysis showed only coupling to the signal at 7.29-7.27 ppm. This leaves the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal at 137.6 ppm which must be at C 15 , and shows coupling to the signal at 7.33-7.30 ppm through HMBC. Both two and three bond correlations can be seen in HMBC, and since accurate coupling constants could not be
extracted, unambiguous assignement for C16 and C17 was not possible. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.10.

Table 3.10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

| Position | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}] \\ \text { (mult., } J[\mathrm{~Hz}] \text {, int.) } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | 8.31 (s, 1H) | 153.6 |  | 6, 4 |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 153.0 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 122.5 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 153.0 |  |  |
| 7 |  | N |  |  |
| 8 |  | 96.5 |  | 10 |
| 9 |  | N |  |  |
| 10 | 5.50 (s, 2H) | 75.3 |  | 11, 8, 4 |
| 11 | 3.41 (s, 3H) | 57.2 |  | 10 |
| 12 | 3.92-2.97 (br, 3H) | *a |  |  |
| 13 |  | N |  |  |
| 14 | 5.76-4.81 (br, 2H) | 67.1 |  |  |
| 15 |  | 137.6 |  |  |
| 16 | 7.29-7.27 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (m, 2H) | $127.7^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 18, 17 | 18, 16 |
| 17 | $7.33-7.30^{\text {b }}$ (m, 2H) | $128.6^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 18, 16 | 15 |
| 18 | 7.27-7.26 (m, 1H) | 127.4 | 17, 16 | 18, 16 |

[^2]
### 3.7.4 Compound 12

The structure of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.12. HRMS gave $m / z 456.2758[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 456.2763, the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ are shown in Appendix H.


Figure 3.12: Numbering of positions in compound 12.

The chemical shifts of the purine moiety was assignes as described in section 3.7.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed a signal with integral 2 H at 5.29 ppm . This must belong to the benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group at C 10 . HSQC analysis gave the corresponding carbon shift of 46.4 ppm . Due to the PMB group being symmetric, only two proton signals are expected from the aromatic ring. The doublets at 7.04 ppm and 6.80 ppm lie in the aromatic region, and show coupling through COSY and coupling constants. These proton signals must stem from position C12 and C13 and were assigned carbon shifts 128.5 ppm and 114.1 ppm respectively. HMBC analysis revealed coupling between C10 and the doublet at 7.04 ppm , but not the signal at 6.80 ppm . Thus the signal at 7.04 ppm was assigned to position C11 while the doublet at 6.80 ppm was assigned to C12. The methoxy group at C15 is responsible for the singlet at 3.77 ppm , with an integral of 3 H and corresponding carbon shift of 55.3 ppm . HMBC revealed coupling to 158.4 ppm , which has to be from the carbon at C14.

Since the 3 H singlet at 2.39 ppm has a much lower shift than the methoxy group, it must be from the methyl group at C29. HSQC gave the corresponding carbon shift as 21.4 ppm , and HMBC showed coupling to a signal at 138.9 ppm . This signal corresponds to no proton signals, and was assigned to position C27. The singlet at 7.44 ppm with carbon shift 130.0 ppm was placed at C 28 , considering it shows no coupling to neighbouring protons. This leaves the two doublets at 7.39 ppm and 7.27 ppm and the triplet at 7.27 ppm , with carbon shifts 126.2 ppm , 130.4 ppm and 128.1 ppm respectively. The triplet shows coupling to both the doublets through COSY and coupling constants and was assigned to C25. HMBC revealed coupling from C29 to the doublet at 7.27 ppm , placing it at C26. Thus, the signal at 7.39 was assigned to position C24.

As previously discussed, the broad peak at 4.19-3.29 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra is from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups in the amino functionality. These groups are not seen in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, and show no coupling to other groups. The multiplet 1.93-1.88 ppm had an integral of 1 H and belongs to the CH group in the cyclohexane ring, while the multiplets from $1.78-1.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ belong to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups on the ring. HSQC analysis gave the corresponding carbon shifts, and multiple proton multiplets were assigned to each carbon. This is because of the difference in chemical shift for protons in axial and equatorial positions due to anisotropic effects. ${ }^{123}$ Thus, unambiguos assignment of carbon shifts from position 20 to 22 was not possible. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR is given in Table 3.11.

Table 3.11: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound $\mathbf{1 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

| Position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ (mult., $J$ [ Hz$]$, int.) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | 8.38 (s, 1H) | 152.4 |  |  |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 152.6 |  |  |
| 5 |  | *a |  |  |
| 6 |  | *a |  |  |
| 7 |  | N |  |  |
| 8 |  | 149.1 |  |  |
| 9 |  | N |  |  |
| 10 | 5.39 (s, 2H) | 46.4 |  | 11, 10, 8, 4 |
| 11 |  | *a |  |  |
| 12 | 7.04 (d, $J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 128.5 (2C) | 13 | 14 |
| 13 | 6.80 (d, $J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 114.1 (2C) | 12 | 12 |
| 14 |  | 158.4 |  |  |
| 15 | 3.77 (s, 3H) | 55.3 |  | 14 |
| 16 | 4.19-3.29 (br, 3H) | *a |  |  |
| 17 |  | N |  |  |
| 18 | 4.19-3.29 (br, 2H) | *a |  |  |
| 19 | 1.93-1.88 (m, 1H) | *a |  |  |
| 20 | 1.78-1.66 / 1.14-1.04 | $30.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 22, 21 |  |
| 21 | 1.78-1.66 / 1.28-1.17 | $26.2^{\text {b }}$ | 22, 20 |  |
| 22 | 1.78-1.66 / 1.28-1.17 | $26.0^{\text {b }}$ | 21, 20 |  |
| 23 |  | *a |  |  |
| 24 | 7.39 (d, $J=7.3,1 \mathrm{H})$ | 126.2 | 25 |  |
| 25 | $7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H})$ | 128.1 | 26, 24 | 26 |
| 26 | 7.27 ( $\left.\mathrm{d}^{c}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$ | 130.4 | 25 |  |
| 27 |  | 138.9 |  |  |
| 28 | 7.44 (s, 1H) | 130.0 |  |  |
| 29 | 2.39 (s, 3H) | 21.4 |  | 28, 27, 26 |
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### 3.7.5 Compound 15

The structure of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.13. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.12. HRMS gave $m / z 374.977[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 374.1981 , the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound 15 are shown in Appendix I.


Figure 3.13: Numbering of positions in compound 15.

Table 3.12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

| Position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ [ppm] (mult., $J[\mathrm{~Hz}]$, int.) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | 8.41 (s, 1H) | 152.5 |  |  |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 153.3 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 119.5 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 154.6 |  |  |
| 7 |  | N |  |  |
| 8 |  | 149.8 |  |  |
| 9 |  | N |  |  |
| 10 | 5.55 (s, 2H) | 73.2 |  | 11, 8, 4 |
| 11 | 3.56 (s, 3H) | 57.1 |  | 10 |
| 12 | 3.62-3.26 (br, 3H) | *a |  |  |
| 13 |  | N |  |  |
| 14 | 5.62-5.22 (br, 2H) | *a |  |  |
| 15 |  | 138.5 |  |  |
| 16 | 7.33-7.27 (m, 2H) | $128.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 18, 17 | 18, 17 |
| 17 | 7.33-7.27 (m, 2H) | $127.8^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 18, 16 | 18, 16 |
| 18 | 7.33-7.27 (m, 1H) | $127.2^{b}$ | 18, 17 | 18, 17 |
| 19 |  | 126.8 |  | 21 |
| 20 | 7.33-7.27 (m, 2H) | 129.2 (2C) | 21 | 23, 21 |
| 21 | 7.88 (d, $J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 129.5 (2C) | 20 | 22, 8 |
| 22 |  | 140.2 |  |  |
| 23 | 2.41 (s, 3H) | 21.5 |  | 22, 21 |

${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.
${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.

### 3.7.6 Compound 17

The structure of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.14. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.13. HRMS gave $m / z 458.2548[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 458.2556 , the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ are shown in Appendix J.


Figure 3.14: Numbering of positions in compound 17.

Table 3.13: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound $17\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

| Position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ (mult., $J$ [ Hz$]$, int.) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | 8.36 (s, 1H) | 152.1 |  |  |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 152.5 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 119.7 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 152.5 |  |  |
| 7 |  | N |  |  |
| 8 |  | 148.7 |  |  |
| 9 |  | N |  |  |
| 10 | 5.39 (s, 2H) | 46.3 |  | 12, 11, 8, 4 |
| 11 |  | *a |  |  |
| 12 | $7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 127.9 (2C) | 13 |  |
| 13 | 6.79 (d, $J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 114.2 (2C) | 12 | 12 |
| 14 |  | 159 |  |  |
| 15 | 3.75 (s, 3H) | 55.3 |  | 14 |
| 16 | 4.27-3.17 (br, 3H) | *a |  |  |
| 17 |  | N |  |  |
| 18 | 4.27-3.17 (br, 2H) | *a |  |  |
| 19 | 1.93-1.85 (m, 1H) | *a |  |  |
| 20 | 1.75-1.59 / 1.28-1.05 | $30.7^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 22, 21 |  |
| 21 | 1.75-1.59 / 1.28-1.05 | $26.6^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 22, 20 |  |
| 22 | 1.75-1.59 / 1.28-1.05 | $26.0^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 21, 20 |  |
| 23 |  | 122.6 |  | 25 |
| 24 | 7.49 (d, $J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 130.7 (2C) | 25 | 8 |
| 25 | 6.86 (d, $J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 115.7 (2C) | 24 | 26, 23 |
| 26 |  | 157.3 |  | 25, 24 |
| 27 | 6.01 (br, 1H) | OH |  |  |
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### 3.7.7 Compound 18

The structure of compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.15. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.14. HRMS gave $m / z 376.1769[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 376.1773, the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound 18 are shown in Appendix K.


Figure 3.15: Numbering of positions in compound 18.

Table 3.14: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound 18 (DMOS- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

| Position | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}] \\ \text { (mult., } J[\mathrm{~Hz}], \text { int.) } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | 8.31 (s, 1H) | 152.4 |  | 6 |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 153.3 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 118.9 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 154.1 |  |  |
| 7 |  | N |  |  |
| 8 |  | 149.9 |  |  |
| 9 |  | N |  |  |
| 10 | 5.50 (s, 2H) | 73.6 |  | 11, 8, 4 |
| 11 | 3.42 (s, 3H) | 57.1 |  | 10 |
| 12 | $3.74-2.92$ (br, 3H) | *a |  |  |
| 13 |  | N |  |  |
| 14 | 5.76-4.96 (br, 2H) | *a |  |  |
| 15 |  | 138.6 |  |  |
| 16 | 7.33-7.26 (m, 2H) | $129.0^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 18, 17 | 18, 17 |
| 17 | 7.33-7.26 (m, 2H) | $127.9^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 18, 16 | 18, 16 |
| 18 | 7.33-7.26 (m, 1H) | $127.6^{\text {b }}$ | 18, 17 | 18, 17 |
| 19 |  | 120.4 |  | 21 |
| 20 | 7.78 (d, $J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 130.9 (2C) | 21 | 22, 8 |
| 21 | 6.92 (d, $J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 116.1 (2C) | 20 | 19 |
| 22 |  | 159.8 |  |  |
| 23 | 10.1 (br, 1H) | OH |  | 22, 21 |
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### 3.7.8 Compound HSB2

The structure of compound HSB2 with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.16. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.15. HRMS gave $m / z 336.2186[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 336.2188 , the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound HSB2 are shown in Appendix M.


Figure 3.16: Numbering of positions in compound HSB2.

Table 3.15: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound HSB2 (DMOS- $d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

| Position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ (mult., $J$ [ Hz$]$, int.) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | 8.23 (s, 1H) | 152.7 |  | 6, 4 |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 154.6 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 141.7 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 154.6 |  |  |
| 7 |  | N |  |  |
| 8 |  | 148.5 |  |  |
| 9 |  | N |  |  |
| 10 | 3.75-2.64 (br, 3H) | *a |  |  |
| 11 |  | N |  |  |
| 12 | 3.75-2.64 (br, 2H) | *a |  |  |
| 13 | 1.95-1.82 (m, 1H) | *a |  |  |
| 14 | 1.73-1.57 / 1.32-0.99 | $27.5^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 15 |  |
| 15 | $1.73-1.57 / 1.32-0.99$ | $26.6^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 16, 14 |  |
| 16 | $1.73-1.57 / 1.32-0.99$ | $25.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15 |  |
| 17 |  | 130.2 |  |  |
| 18 | $7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H})$ | 123.8 | 19 | 22, 20, 8 |
| 19 | 7.44-7.41 (m, 1H) | 129.3 | 20, 18 | 21, 18, 17 |
| 20 | $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1,1 \mathrm{H})$ | 19 | 22, 18 |  |
| 21 |  | 138.6 |  |  |
| 22 | 7.99 (s, 1H) | 127.2 |  | 23, 21, 18, 8 |
| 23 | 2.4 (s, 3H) | 21.5 |  | 22, 21, 20, 19 |

[^6]${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.

### 3.7.9 Compound HSB4

The structure of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.17. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.16. HRMS gave $m / z 330.1715[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 330.1719, the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound HSB4 are shown in Appendix N.


Figure 3.17: Numbering of positions in compound HSB4.

Table 3.16: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound HSB4 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

| Position | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}] \\ \text { (mult., } J[\mathrm{~Hz}], \text { int.) } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | 8.48 (s, 1H) | 151.2 |  | 6,4 |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 152.5 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 121.1 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 154.5 |  |  |
| 7 |  | N |  |  |
| 8 |  | 148.3 |  |  |
| 9 | 14.41 (s, 1H) | N |  |  |
| 10 | 4.14-3.10 (br, 3H) | *a |  |  |
| 11 |  | N |  |  |
| 12 | 5.88-4.99 (br, 2H) | *a |  |  |
| 13 |  | 138.1 |  |  |
| 14 | 7.38-7.27 (m, 2H) | $128.6^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 15 | 16 |
| 15 | 7.38-7.27 (m, 2H) | $127.9^{\text {b }}$ (2C) | 16, 14 | 13 |
| 16 | 7.38-7.27 (m, 1H) | $127.3^{\text {b }}$ | 15 | 14 |
| 17 |  | 127.4 |  |  |
| 18 | 8.05 (d, $J=8.0,2 \mathrm{H})$ | 126.4 (2C) | 19 | 20, 8 |
| 19 | $7.38-7.27$ (m, 2H) | 129.7 (2C) | 18 |  |
| 20 |  | 140.2 |  |  |
| 21 | 2.43 (s, 3H) | 21.5 |  | 20, 19, 18 |
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### 3.7.10 Compound HSB5

The structure of compound HSB5 with numbered positions is shown in Figure 3.18. Assigned chemical shifts from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are given in Table 3.17. HRMS gave $m / z 332.1508[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. With a calculated value of 332.1511, the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ was confirmed. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS and IR spectra for compound HSB5 are shown in Appendix O.


Figure 3.18: Numbering of positions in compound HSB5.

Table 3.17: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift for compound HSB5 (DMOS- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

| Position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ <br> (mult., $J[\mathrm{~Hz}]$, int.) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N |  |  |
| 2 | $8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ | 151.9 | 6,4 |  |
| 3 |  | N |  |  |
| 4 |  | 153.8 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 120.2 |  |  |
| 6 | $13.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ | N |  |  |
| 7 |  | 148.1 |  |  |
| 8 |  | N |  |  |
| 9 |  | N |  |  |
| 10 | $3.82-3.00(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ | $* a$ |  |  |
| 11 |  | 138.8 |  |  |
| 12 | $5.72-5.26(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ | $* a$ |  |  |
| 13 |  | $129.0^{b}(2 \mathrm{C})$ | 15 | 16 |
| 14 | $7.41-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ |  |  |  |
| 15 | $7.41-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ | $127.9^{b}(2 \mathrm{C})$ | 16,14 | 13 |
| 16 | $7.41-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ | $127.5^{b}$ | 15 | 14 |
| 17 |  | 121.0 |  |  |
| 18 | $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H})$ | $128.3(2 \mathrm{C})$ | 19 | 20,8 |
| 19 | $6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H})$ | $116.1(2 \mathrm{C})$ | 18 | 20,18 |
| 20 |  | 159.6 |  |  |
| 21 | $10.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ | 0 OH |  |  |
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### 3.7.11 IR-Spectroscopy

The compounds discussed in section 3.7.2 to 3.7.10 were analyzed by IR spectroscopy. Absorption bands were assigned using theory from Silverstein et al. ${ }^{124}$ Because of structural similarities the same absorption bands are observed in multiple compounds. A summary of the most important bands are found in this section. The spectra are shown in Appendix A to O.

Weak bands stemming from aromatic stretching vibartions in the $3100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region were seen for almost all compounds. Both the protons in the purine ring and the aryl substituents can give rise to these bands. Aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ out of plane bending vibrations gave signals in the $900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region. All compounds showed absorption in the $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region, stemming from $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibrations in the purine ring.

The aliphatic cyclohexane ring in compounds 12, $\mathbf{1 7}$ and HSB1 gave absorption bands in the $3100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $2900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region. Absorption in the $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to 500 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ region was seen for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ due to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}$ bending vibrations. Broad signals at $3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibrations were seen for compounds 17, 18, and HSB1 to HSB5.

## 4 Conclusion and Further Work

### 4.1 Conclusion

The main purpose of this thesis was to investigate and evaluate the use of $p$ methoxybenzyl (PMB) and methoxymethyl (MOM) as protecting groups in the synthesis of 6 -amino-8-arylpurines.

The target compounds were synthesized from 6-chloropurine (1) in a five step synthesis, see Scheme 4.1. Utilizing the PMB protecting group, target molecule HSB2 was isolated in $11 \%$ overall yield. The MOM protecting group gave products HSB4 and HSB5 in $18 \%$ and $4 \%$ overall yield, respectively. Loss of material during purification was observed for all reactions purified by silica-gel column chromatography.
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Scheme 4.1: Synthetic route towards the target compounds.

The initial step was introduction of a protecting group at the N9-position of compound 1. N-Alkylation with PMB-Cl was performed in the pre-master's project and gave a 2:1 mixture of the $N 9$ and $N 7$ isomers. Compound 2 was isolated in $45 \%$ yield. ${ }^{30}$ MOM alkylation showed complete selectivity towards the N9 isomer, and after some optimization a yield of $86 \%$ was achieved.

Iodination of the PMB protected analog proceeded without difficulty, and gave compound 4 in $62 \%$ yield, corresponding to previously achieved yields. ${ }^{27,30}$ Synthesis of the MOM protected analog was attempted through various metallation reactions. Iodination through ortho lithiation proceeded in $37 \%$ yield due to byproduct formation and difficulties during work-up. Zincation by the synthesized TMPZnCl $\cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ gave a maximum of $70 \%$ conversion, and $39 \%$ yield. The water sensitive nature of the zinc base made preparation difficult, and is believed to be the main contributing factor to the low conversion and yield. Iodination of compound $\mathbf{3}$ with TMPZnCl $\cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ has been reported in up to $98 \%$ yields, ${ }^{57,73}$ and improved procedures and execution should give better results.

1-Cyclohexyl- $N$-methylmethanamine (6) was synthesized through reductive amination from cyclohexylcarbaldehyde in $45 \%$ yield. The low yield is probably due to the volatility of the compound. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ and $N$-methyl-1-phenylmethanamine were introduced at the C6-position to give compounds 8, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $90 \%$ and $83 \%$ yield, respectively. All aminated purines displayed peak broadening in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR due to tautomerism.

Cross-coupling reactions were used to introduce aryl functionalities in the C8position. Negishi cross-coupling on compound $\mathbf{3}$ using TMPZnCl-LiCl proved unsuccessful, due to reactive impurities in the zinc base. Suzuki cross-coupling was used to successfully introduce $p$-toluene, $m$-toluene, and $p$-phenol to the iodinated building blocks. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was used as catalyst and compound 12, 17, 15 and 18 were synthesized in $61-91 \%$ yield. The added polarity from both the MOMand hydroxyl group gave increased loss of material during work-up and purifica-
tion, which contributed to a mediocre yield of compound 18.
$N$-Debenzylation was attempted using catalytic hydrogenation with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$, and a 1:1 mixture of both. No conversion was seen after 24 h at 6 atm $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure. Transfer hydrogenolysis using formic acid, and acid catalyzed deprotection with TFA and anisole was also unsuccessful. The deprotection was achieved using $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ and gave target structure HSB1 in $53 \%$ yield. The phenolic derivative $\mathbf{1 7}$ partly decomposed during the deprotection, and isolation of compound HSB3 was not possible.

MOM deprotection was successful using TFA and HCl protocols, and compounds HSB4 and HSB5 were isolated in $74 \%$ and $20 \%$ yield, respectively. Decomposition of HSB5 during purification is the reason for the low yield. The TFA protocol was only done as a test reaction, but proceded cleanly at rt. with no need for purification. Removal of the MOM group can be done at milder conditions than $N$-debenzylation, and proceeded in higher yields. With easier deprotection and fully selective $N$-alkylation, the MOM group vastly outperforms PMB in two crucial steps. However, due to the difficulties in the iodination step there was only a difference in overall yield of 7 percentage points. If the literature is to be trusted, the iodination of MOM protected purines can be achieved in high yields with current procedures. Achieving this would place MOM as the definite preferred protecting group for this synthetic route. However, currently the PMB route offers easier execution, for a slight decrease in yield. As long as the desired compound is expected to survive the harsh deprotection conditions, PMB protection might be more efficient.

### 4.2 Further Work

The mediocre yields in the iodination of the MOM protected analogs is the major bottleneck towards an efficient synthetic route. Iodination was performed through zincation using the method described by Crestey et al., ${ }^{57}$ who reported a $98 \%$ yield, in contrast to the $39 \%$ achieved in this thesis. Most difficulties were related
to the preparation and quantitive analysis of the zinc base. Further development of methods for high quality preparation of $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ should be established. Stathakis et al. reported preparation and successful zincation of aromatics with the more air stable zinc base TMPZnOPic•LiCl. ${ }^{96}$ Higher stability should make it easier to prepare a high quality solution. Previously, the lack of proper quanitification methods meant that the amount of zinc base added in the reaction was unscertain. In order to allow study of the iodination reaction under proper conditions, an accurate method of quantification needs to be utilized.

Improved quality of the prepared zinc bases may also allow for successful Negishi cross-coupling reactions. This would shorten the synthetic route by one step, and could greatly improve the overall yield. Further investigations into choice of catalyst, and competing reactions should also be considered. Provided a successful reaction, investigation into the amination at the C6-position with various 8-aryl substituents must be made.

MOM deprotection using TFA as described by Mayrargue et al. ${ }^{113}$ was only attempted as a test reaction, but showed promissing results. The reaction should be scaled-up and investigations into it's effect on more labile products like HSB5 should be made. Improvements to the PMB based route could be achieved by finding milder deprotection conditions. Successful $N$-debenzylations have been reported for both chemical and catalytic hydrogenation, e.g. by using ammonium formate as hydrogen donor, ${ }^{125}$ or $\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}$ or Pt based catalysts. ${ }^{107}$ Further examination of various hydrogenation protocols could prove fruitful.

Loss of material to work-up and purification was seen in all reactions. Alternative purification methods, and work-up procedures should be considered.

## 5 Experimental

### 5.1 General Information

The chemicals used were of analytical grade or higher, unless otherwise specified. Accurate concentration of $n$ - BuLi was determined by titration with $N$ benzylbenzamide in dry THF as described by Burchat et al. ${ }^{94}$ Water used in reactions were filtered and deionized. All reactions were conducted on a teflon coated magnetic stirrer, and an oil bath was used for reactions with a reaction temperature exceeding $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A Braun MB SPS-800 Solvent Purification System was used to collect dry solvents.

### 5.1.1 Chromatography

Reactions were monitored using thin layer chromatography (TLC, silica gel on aluminium plates, F254, Merck). Visualization of the plates was done by UV-light at 254 nm and 365 nm , or heat treatment after dipping in a $p$-anisaldehyde solution. Purification of crude products were done using silica-gel column chromatography (40-63 mesh, $60 \AA$ ). Eluent systems are specified for each compound.

### 5.1.2 Spectroscopic Analysis

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance III HD instrument. Proton spectra were recorded at 400 or 600 MHz , and carbon spectra on 100 MHz or 150 MHz . Samples were analyzed in either $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$ and are specified for each compound. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to TMS in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(0.00 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ), or solvent peak in DMSO ( 2.50 ppm in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 39.52 ppm in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). Water traces may be observed at 1.56 ppm in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 3.33 ppm in DMSO- $d_{6}$. Signals are defined according to multiplicity; s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), pent (pentet), hex (hextet), hept (heptet), br (peak broadening), m (multiplet). Multiplets are defined as intervals, and the coupling constans $J$ are given in Hz .

Accurate mass determination in positive and negative mode was performed on a "Synapt G2-S" Q-TOF instrument from Water TM. Samples were ionized by the use of ASAP probe (APCI) or ESI probe. No chromatographic separation was used previous to the mass analysis. Calculated exact mass and spectra processing was done by Waters TM Software Masslynx V4.1 SCN871.

Infrared spectroscopy (IR) was recorded with a Bruker Alpha FT-IR Spectrometer with a Platinum ATR single reflection diamond.

### 5.1.3 Melting Point

Melting points were determined by using an automatic Stuart SMP40 melting point apparatus.

### 5.2 Protection

### 5.2.1 6-Chloro-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purine (3) - $150 \mathrm{mg}^{126}$



6-Chloropurine ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(210 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in dry DMF ( 1.5 mL ) and stirred for 25 min . Methoxymethyl chloride ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 127 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{eq}$ ) technical grade was added dropwise, and the solution stirred at rt for 24 h and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 20 h . The mixture was conc. in vacuo, dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the phases separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/n-pentane, 2/1, $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.22$ ) gave 69.0 $\mathrm{mg}(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%)$ of compound $\mathbf{3}$ as a white solid, mp. $108-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. ${ }^{126}$ $\left.117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 3 (Appendix A): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : $8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 152.6,145.1,131.5,74.6,57.5$; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu: 3102(\mathrm{~m}), 3069(\mathrm{~m}), 2994$ (m), 2932 (m), 2826 (m), 1696 (m), 1592 ( s), 1560 ( s), 1494 ( s) 1210 ( s$), 1027$ ( s$)$, 938 ( s), 916 ( s ), 752 ( s , 672 ( s ), 471 (m); HRMS (APCI/ASAP+, m/z) detected 199.0386 (calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OCl}, 199.0387,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

### 5.2.2 6-Chloro-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purine (3)-1 g ${ }^{126}$



6-Chloropurine ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 6.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF ( 10 mL ), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (1.40 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise and the solution stirred for 15 min . Methoxymethyl chloride ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 9.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) technical grade was added dropwise and the solution stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 40 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(7 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/n-pentane, $1 / 2, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.16$ ), and vacuum distillation at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave 802 $\mathrm{mg}(4.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%)$ of compound $\mathbf{3}$ as a white solid, mp. $111-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $^{126}$ $\left.117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 3 (Appendix A): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously acquired spectra.

### 5.2.3 6-Chloro-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purine (3)-3 g ${ }^{126}$



6-Chloropurine ( $3.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 40 mL ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ $(4.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.98 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ added dropwise. The mixture was heated to 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 20 min . Methoxymethyl chloride $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6$ eq) was added and the solution stirred for 24.5 h . The mixture was conc. in vacuo and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the phases separated, and the aqueous phase extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/n-pentane, $1 / 1, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.14$ ) gave 3.12 $\mathrm{g}(0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%)$ of compound $\mathbf{3}$ as a white solid, mp. $112-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. ${ }^{126} 117$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 3 (Appendix A): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously acquired spectra.

### 5.3 Iodination

### 5.3.1 6-Chloro-8-iodo-9-(4-methoxybenzyl)-9H-purine (4) ${ }^{27}$



Compound $2(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 3.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NIS ( $2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in dry THF $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h . The mixture was conc. in vacuo and the resulting materials dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL}$,
$10 \%)$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/n-pentane, $\left.1 / 3, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\right)$ gave $0.93 \mathrm{~g}(2.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%)$ of compound 4 as a white solid, mp. $140-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{27} 106-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 4 (Appendix B): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{27}$

### 5.3.2 6-Chloro-8-iodo-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purine (5) - Zincation 300 mg ${ }^{57,73}$



TMP ( $1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 5.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 6 mL ) and cooled to $-44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. $n$ - $\operatorname{BuLi}(5.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{M}, 7.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to reach $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1.5 h . Then, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 8.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ dissolved in dry THF ( 9 mL ) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred for 30 min , and allowed to heat to rt. over 30 min , and conc. in vacuo. The resulting orange solid was dissolved in dry THF ( 5 mL ) under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

Compound 3 ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 4 mL ) and the $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ solution $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at rt, and stirred for 40 min. Then, $\mathrm{I}_{2}(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 3.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$ dissolved in dry THF ( 11 mL ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. The solution was stirred for 16 h , and quenched with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%)$. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Purification by silica-
gel column chromatography (EtOAc/n-pentane, $\left.1 / 2, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\right)$ gave $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(0.39$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ ) of compound 5 as a white solid, mp. 137-140 (Lit. ${ }^{57} 125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Spectroscopic data for compound 5 (Appendix C: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : $8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra was in accordance with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{57}$

### 5.3.3 6-Chloro-8-iodo-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purine (5) - Zincation 900 mg $^{57,73}$



TMP ( $3.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 17.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) diluted in dry THF ( 17 mL ) was cooled to -44 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{M}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise and stirred for 30 min under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The solution was allowed to reach $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for $30 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 20.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ dried at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuo was weighed out in a glove box and dissolved in dry THF ( 23 mL ). The $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ solution was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, and the solution stirred for 30 min , and allowed to reach rt and stirred for another 30 min . The solution was conc. in vacuo, dissolved in dry THF ( 18 mL ) and stored under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere.

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ ( 0.90 g , 4.87 mmol ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 7 mL ). TMPZnCl $\cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ $(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the solution stirred for 30 min at rt. $\mathrm{I}_{2}(1.7 \mathrm{~g}$, $6.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL ) and added dropwise to the reaction mixture. The solution was stirred for 1 h under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, and quenched with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%)$. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and conc.
in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/ $n$-pentane, $\left.1 / 2, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.27\right)$ gave $0.59(1.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%)$ of compound $\mathbf{5}$ as a white solid, mp. 155-157 (Lit. ${ }^{57} 125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Spectroscopic data for compound 5 (Appendix C): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{57}$

### 5.3.4 6-Chloro-8-iodo-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purine (5) <br> - Ortho Lithiation ${ }^{61}$



Compound 3 ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 5 mL ) and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{LDA}(2 \mathrm{M}, 1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{eq})$ was added over 30 min , and the solution stirred for $2.5 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{I}_{2}(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ dissolved in dry THF ( 5 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture over 30 min , and stirred for 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ), and conc. in vacuo. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n\right.$-pentane, $\left.1 / 1, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\right)$ gave $0.19 \mathrm{~g}(0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%)$ of compound 5 as a white solid, mp. $154-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit. $.^{57} 125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Spectroscopic data for compound 5 (Appendix C): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{57}$

### 5.4 Amination

### 5.4.1 1-Cyclohexyl- $N$-methylmethanamine (6) ${ }^{97}$



Cyclohexanecarbaldehyde ( $3.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.01 \mathrm{~g}, 26.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was diluted in dry MeOH $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}(2 \mathrm{M}, 17.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ in MeOH was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at rt. for 2.5 h , cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ $(2.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$ was added. The mixture was stirred for 2.5 h , heated to rt and conc. in vacuo. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and conc. HCl was added dropwise until pH 0 . The solution was stirred for 1 h and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, the phases separated and the aqueous phase washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{NaOH}$ ( 5 M ) was added dropwise to pH 12 , and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and conc. in vacuo. This gave $1.55 \mathrm{~g}(12.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%)$ of compound 6 as a clear liquid.

Spectroscopic data for compound 6 (Appendix D: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.30(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-$ $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with the data acquired from a commercial sample of compound $\mathbf{6}$, see Appendix D.

### 5.4.2 $N$-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-8-iodo-9-(4-methoxybenzyl)-N-methyl-9H-purin-6-amine (8)



Compound 4 ( $0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 2,11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane ( 17 mL ). Hünigs base ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and 1-cyclohexyl- $N$-methylmethanamine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added dropwise. The solution was heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was conc. in vacuo, dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the phases separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/ $n$-pentane. $1 / 3, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.12$ ) gave 0.94 $\mathrm{g}(1.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$ of compound $\mathbf{8}$ as a light yellow solid, mp. $97-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 8 (Appendix E): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.72,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.76,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (s, 3H), 4.20-3.20 (br, 5H), 1.82 (br, 1H), 1.72-1.65 (m, 5H), 1.25-1.16 (m, 4H), 1.05-0.99 (m, 2H). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{30}$

### 5.4.3 $\quad N$-Benzyl-8-iodo-9-(methoxymethyl)- $N$-methyl- $9 H$-purin-6-amine (10)



Compound 5 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane ( 16 mL ), and Hünigs base ( $0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $N$-methyl-1-phenylmethanamine $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 3.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9 \mathrm{eq})$ were added. The solution was stirred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 2 h , and conc. in vacuo. The resulting oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the phases separated. The aquous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and conc. in vacuo to give $0.55 \mathrm{~g}(1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%)$ of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ as an amber solid, mp. $113-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Spectroscopic data for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Appendix G: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76-$ 4.81 (br, 2H), $5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-2.97$ (br, 3H), 3.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 153.6,153.0,137.6,128.6$ (2C), 127.7 (2C), 127.4, 122.5, 96.5, 75.3, 67.1, 57.2; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\nu: 3053$ (w), 2983 (w), 2305 (w), 1726 (w), 1591 (s), 1510 (m), 1212 (s), 1107 (m), 1053 (m), 704 (s), 614 ( s$) ;$ HRMS (APCI/ASAP + , $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) detected 410.0479 (calcd. 410.0478, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OI},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

### 5.5 Suzuki Cross-Coupling

### 5.5.1 General procedure

A mixture of compound $\mathbf{8}$ or $\mathbf{9}$, arylboronic acid (1.2 eq), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5 mol\%), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3 eq) were dissolved in 1,4-dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 30 min . Solvents were removed and residues extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3-5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and conc. in vacuo. Purification was performed as described for each compound. Solvents were degassed with a subsurface nitrogen sparge in an ultrasound bath for minimum 30 min prior to use.

### 5.5.2 $N$-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-9-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $N$-methyl-8-( $m$-tolyl)$9 H$-purin-6-amine (12)



According to the general procedure in section 5.5.1 compound 12 was obtained from compound 8 ( $509 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), m-tolylboronic acid ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(431 \mathrm{mg}, 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(39.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, $0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ dissolved in 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2: 1)$. Full conversion was achieved after 30 min. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/n-pentane,
$\left.1 / 4, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.14\right)$ gave $397 \mathrm{mg}(0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%)$ of compound 12 as a white wax.

Spectroscopic data for compound 12 (Appendix H): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3,1 \mathrm{H}) 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{i}}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-3.29(\mathrm{br}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.17$ (m, 4H), 1.14-1.04 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 159.0,152.4,130.0$, 128.1, 55.3, 30.9, 26.0; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu: 2921$ (w), 2849 (w), 1610 (s), 1583 (m), 1559 (m), 1511 (m), 1447 (m), 1422 (w), 1406 (w), 1328 (m), 1298 (m), 1246 (s), $1034(\mathrm{~m}), 792(\mathrm{~m}), 718(\mathrm{~m}), 699(\mathrm{~m}), 681(\mathrm{~m}), 569(\mathrm{w})$; HRMS (APCI/ASAP+, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ detected $456.2758\left(\right.$ calcd. $\left.\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}, 456.2763,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

### 5.5.3 3,3'-Dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl (13)



Compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ was isolated in semi-pure form ( 10 mg ) as the minor product in the reaction described in section 5.5.5.

Spectroscopic data for compound 13 (Appendix H): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.40(\mathrm{~S}, 2 \mathrm{~h}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.36,2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{127}$

[^9]
### 5.5.4 9-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-6,8-di-m-tolyl-9H-purine (14)



Compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ was isolated in semi-pure form ( 8.6 mg ) as the minor product in the reaction described in section 5.5.5.

Spectroscopic data for compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ (Appendix H): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS (APCI/ASAP+, m/z) detected 421.2028 (calcd. $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}, 421.2028,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

### 5.5.5 $N$-Benzyl-9-(methoxymethyl)- $N$-methyl-8-( $p$-tolyl)-9H-purin-6amine (15)



Following the general procedure in section 5.5 .1 compound 15 was obtained from compound 10 ( $99.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), p-tolylboronic acid ( $41.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ eq), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04$ eq) dissolved in 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1)$. Full conversion was achieved after 30 min. Purification by silica-gel plug filtration $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0\right.$, EtOAc, $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.57 ) gave 78.5 mg ( $0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ) of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ as a burgundy solid, mp . $82-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 15 (Appendix I): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.22(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.55 (s, 2H), $3.62-3.26$ (br, 3H), 3.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 154.6,152.5,153.3,149.8,140.2,138.5,129.5$ (2C), 129.2 (2C), 128.5 (2C), $127.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 119.5,73.2,57.1,30.9,21.5$; IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \nu$ : 3002 (w), 2920 (w), 2863 (w), 1587 (s), 1560 ( s), 1511 (m), 1478 (m), 1209 (m), 1155 (m), 1036 (m), 1020 (m), 955 (m), 875 ( s , 725 (m), 703 (m), 677 (m), 654 (m), $572(\mathrm{~m}), 531(\mathrm{~m}), 513(\mathrm{w}), 499(\mathrm{~m}), 474(\mathrm{w}) ;$ HRMS (APCI/ASAP+, m/z) detected 374.1977 (calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}, 374.1981,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

### 5.5.6 4,4'-Dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl (16)



Compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ was isolated in semi-pure form ( 2.6 mg ) as the minor product in the reaction described in section 5.5.5.

Spectroscopic data for compound 16 (Appendix I): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data was in accordance with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{127}$

### 5.5.7 4-(6-((Cyclohexylmethyl)(methyl)amino)-9-(4-methoxybenzyl)-9H-purin-8-yl)phenol (17)



According to the general procedure in section 5.5.1 compound 17 was obtained from compound 8 (198 mg, 0.40 mmol ), (4-hydroxylphenyl)boronic acid ( 67.7 mg , $0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(197 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(39.7 \mathrm{mg}$,
$0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ dissolved in 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2: 1)$. Full conversion was achieved after 30 min . Purification by silica-gel plug filtration $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=\right.$ $\left.0.00,5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.68\right)$ gave $168 \mathrm{mg}(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%)$ of compound 17 as a beige solid, mp. $168-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 17 (Appendix J): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-3.17(\mathrm{br}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 159.0,152.1,130.7$ (2C), 129.0, 127.9 (2C), 122.6, 119.7, 115.7 (2C), 114.2 (2C), 55.3, 46.3, 30.7 (2C), 26.6 (2C), 26.0; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu$ : 3002 (m), 2921 ( s), 2848 ( s), 2670 (m), 2596 (m), 1586 ( s), 1562 ( s), 1538 ( s), 1391 (s), 1362 (s), 1277 (s), 1033 ( s), 909 (s), 897 (s), 837 (m), 631 (s), 524 (m), 510 (m), $463(\mathrm{w}), 443(\mathrm{w}) ;$ HRMS (APCI/ASAP+, m/z) detected 458.2548 (calcd. $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 458.2556,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

### 5.5.8 4-(6-(Benzyl(methyl)amino)-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purin-8-yl)phenol (18)



Following the general procedure in section 5.5.1 compound 18 was obtained from compound $1 \mathbf{1 0}$ (203 mg, 0.50 mmol ), (4-hydroxylphenyl)boronic acid ( $83.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(230 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(18.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol, 0.05 eq) dissolved in 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2: 1)$. Full conversion was achieved after 30 min . Purification by silica-gel plug filtration $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=\right.$ $\left.0.00,5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.59\right)$ gave $114 \mathrm{mg}(0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%)$ of compound 18 as a beige solid, mp. $169-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Spectroscopic data for compound 18 (Appendix K): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-
$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta: 10.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76-4.96(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-2.92(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 159.8,154.1,153.3,152.4,149.9,138.6$, 130.9 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 127.6, 120.4, 118.9, 116.1 (2C), 73.6, 57.1; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu: 3195$ (m), 2998 (m), 2984 (m), 2924 (m), 2826 (m), 1613 ( s ), 1583 ( s), 1485 ( s), 1250 ( s), 1206, 1172 ( s), 1141 ( s), 955 ( s), 919 ( s), 792 ( s$), 680$ (s), $630(\mathrm{~s}), 578(\mathrm{~m}), 514(\mathrm{~m}), 478(\mathrm{~m}), 431(\mathrm{~m})$; HRMS (APCI/ASAP+, m/z) detected 376.1769 (calcd. $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 376.1773,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

### 5.6 Negishi Cross-Coupling

### 5.6.1 6-Chloro-9-(methoxymethyl)-8-(p-tolyl)-9H-purine (19) ${ }^{57}$



Compound 3 ( $95.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(18.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in dry THF ( 1 mL ) under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. TMPZnCl•LiCl (1.6 mL ) was added dropwise and the solution stirred 1.75 h . Then, 4-iodotoluene (186 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{eq})$ dissolved in dry THF ( 2 mL ) was added, and the reaction mixture stirred for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution (5 mL ), and the phases separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, filtered, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and conc. in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed no formation of compound 19.

### 5.6.2 6-Butyl-9-(methoxymethyl)-9H-purine (20)



Purification of the crude from section 5.6 .1 by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/n-pentane, $\left.5 / 1, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.16\right)$, gave compound $20(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in semi-pure form.

Spectroscopic analysis of compound 20 (Appendix L): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-$ $1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (hex, $J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 153.0,143.6,74.1,57.3,33.1,30.7,22.8,13.9 ;$ HRMS (APCI/ASAP+, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) detected $221.1400\left(\right.$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}, 221.1402$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

### 5.7 Deprotection

5.7.1 $N$-(Cyclohexylmethyl)- $N$-methyl-8-( $m$-tolyl)-9H-purin-6-amine (HSB2) ${ }^{68}$


Compound 12 ( $26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,2-dichlorobenzene ( 3 mL ) and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(67,8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added, and the solution was stirred at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to rt, and ice water ( 2 mL ) added. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel plug filtration $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.00,5 \%\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40\right)$ gave $10.5 \mathrm{mg}(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%)$ of compound HSB2 as a light grey solid. Not enough material for accurate mp. determination.

Spectroscopic data for compound HSB2 (Appendix M): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 13.37(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-2.64(\mathrm{br}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.95-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.73-1.57 (m, 4H), 1.32-0.99 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 152.7,141.7,138.6,130.9,130.2,129.3,127.2,123.8,27.5,26.6,25.8$, 21.5; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu: 3368$ (m), 2921 (m), 2851 (m), 1676 (s), 1590 (s), 1541 (s), 1466 ( s), 1196 ( s), 1142 ( s$), 850$ ( s$), 801$ ( s$), 688$ (m), 668 (m), 519 (m), 468 (m), $445(\mathrm{~m})$; HRMS (APCI/ASAP,$+ \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) detected 336.2186 (calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$, $\left.336.2188,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

### 5.7.2 $N$-Benzyl- $N$-methyl-8-( $p$-tolyl)-9H-purin-6-amine (HSB4) ${ }^{119}$



Compound 15 ( 19.0 mg , 0.05 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and conc. HCl $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Full conversion was obtained after 3 h . The mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and conc. in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then, the phases were separated and the aqueous phase extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography $\left(2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.14\right)$ gave 12.33 mg ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ) of compound HSB4 as a beige solid. Not enough material for accurate mp. determination.

Spectroscopy data for compound HSB4 (Appendix N): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 14.41(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.27(\mathrm{~m}$, 7 H ), 5.88-4.99 (br, 2H), 4.14-3.10 (br, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 154.5,152.5,151.2,148.4,140.2,129.7,128.6,127.9,127.3,126.4$, 121.1, 21.5; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu: 3063$ (w), 3028 (w), 2924 (m), 2853 (m), 2719 (w), 1734 (w), 1591 (s), 1537 (m), 1514 (m), 1495 (m), 1091 (m), 1027 (m), 932 (m), 912, $884(\mathrm{~m}), 755(\mathrm{~m}), 698(\mathrm{~m}), 647(\mathrm{~m}), 635(\mathrm{w}), 570(\mathrm{w}), 503(\mathrm{w})$; HRMS (APCI/ASAP,$+ \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) detected $330.1715\left(\right.$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{5}, 330.1719$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

### 5.7.3 4-(6-(Benzyl(methyl)amino)-9H-purin-8-yl)phenol (HSB5) ${ }^{119}$



Compound 18 ( $40.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and conc. HCl $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Full conversion was achieved after 3 h . Mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and conc. in vacuo. Purification by silica-gel column chromatography ( $2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.12$ ) gave $7.1 \mathrm{mg}(0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%)$ of compound HSB5 as a beige solid. Not enough material for accurate mp. determination.

Spectroscopy data for compound HSB5 (Appendix O): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 13.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ $-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72-5.26(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.00(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 159.6,153.8,151.9,148.1,138.8,129.0$ (2C), 128.3 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 127.5, 121.0, 120.2, 116.1 (2C); IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu: 3085$ (m), 2850 (m), 1595 ( s), 1537 ( s), 1486 ( s), 1407 ( s), 1157 ( s), 951 ( s), 896 ( s$), 725$ (s), $676(\mathrm{~s}), 619(\mathrm{~m}), 566(\mathrm{~m}), 456(\mathrm{~m})$; HRMS (APCI/ASAP + , m/z) detected 332.1508 (calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}, 332.1511,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).
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## Appendices

## A Spectroscopic Data for Compound 3




Figure A.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $3\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure A.2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of the crude material in synthesis of compound $\mathbf{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure A.3: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure A.4: COSY specter compound $3\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure A.5: HSQC specter of compound $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.
 틀


Figure A.6: HMBC specter of compound $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-2.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
788 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { C: } 0-100 & \mathrm{H}: 0-150 & \mathrm{~N}: 0-10 & \mathrm{O}: 0-10 & \mathrm{Na}: 0-1 & \mathrm{Cl}: 0-3 & \mathrm{Au}: 0-2\end{array}$

2019-42 17 (0.364) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (17:21)
1: TOF MS ASAP+


| Minimum: <br> Maximum: |  | -2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5.0 | 2.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | Norm | Conf (\%) | Formula |
| 199.0386 | 199.0387 | -0.1 | -0.5 | 5.5 | 1802.7 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | C7 H8 N4 |

Figure A.7: MS specter of compound 3.


Figure A.8: IR specter of compound 3.

## B Spectroscopic Data for Compound 4




Figure B.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## C Spectroscopic Data for Compound 5



Figure C.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure C.2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of $\mathrm{TMPZnCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}$ quenched with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the internal standard 4-bromobenzyl alcohol.
(DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

## D Spectroscopic Data for Compound 6



Figure D.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{6}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure D.2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of standard of compound 6 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ MHz ).

## E Spectroscopic Data for Compound 8




Figure E.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $8\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## F Spectroscopic Data for Compound 9

Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis<br>Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$<br>Element prediction: Off<br>Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron lons
1404 formula(e) evaluated with 3 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C: } 0-100 & \text { H: } 0-150 & \text { N: } 0-6 & \text { O: } 0-6 & \text { I: } 0-2\end{array}$

2019-320 40 (0.792) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (40:50)
1: TOF MS ASAP+
$7.35 \mathrm{e}+006$


Minimum: -50.0
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Maximum: } & 5.0 & 2.0 & 50.0\end{array}$
Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Norm Conf(\%) Formula
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}416.0948 & 416.0947 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 6.5 & 1142.3 & 0.406 & 66.65 & \text { C15 H23 N5 O I } \\ & 416.0949 & -0.1 & -0.2 & -49.5 & 1145.8 & 3.934 & 1.96 & \text { C17 H139 N4 O }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}416.0949 & -0.1 & -0.2 & -49.5 & 1145.8 & 3.934 & 1.96 & \text { C17 H139 N4 O } \\ 416.0945 & 0.3 & 0.7 & -18.5 & 1143.1 & 1.159 & 31.39 & \text { C H40 N O6 I2 }\end{array}$

Figure F.1: MS specter of compound 9.

## G Spectroscopic Data for Compound 10




Figure G.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure G.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure G.3: COSY specter compound $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure G.4: HSQC specter of compound $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure G.5: HMBC specter of compound $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
2995 formula(e) evaluated with 3 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { C: } 2-100 & \text { H: 0-150 } & \text { N: 0-5 } & \text { O: } 0-10 & \text { S: } 0-1 & \text { I: } 0-2\end{array}$

2019-339 40 (0.792) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (35:40)
1: TOF MS ASAP+


| Minimum: |  |  |  | -50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum: |  | 5.0 | 2.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | Norm | Conf (\%) | Formula |
| 410.0479 | 410.0479 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -46.5 | 1041.9 | 2.944 | 5.26 | C17 H133 N4 O |
|  | 410.0478 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 9.5 | 1039.0 | 0.055 | 94.68 | C15 H17 N5 O I |
|  | 410.0487 | -0.8 | -2.0 | 19.5 | 1046.4 | 7.453 | 0.06 | C24 H12 N O4 S |

Figure G.6: MS specter of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$.


Figure G.7: IR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$.

## H Spectroscopic Data for Compound



Figure H.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure H.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure H.3: COSY specter of compound $\mathbf{1 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.
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Figure H.4: HSQC specter of compound $12\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.



Figure H.5: HMBC specter of compound $12\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
704 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-100 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-150 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-6 \quad \mathrm{O}: 0-3 \quad \mathrm{Na}: 0-1$
2019-387 113 (2.225) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (107:114)
1: TOF MS ASAP+ $9.46 \mathrm{e}+005$


Minimum: $\quad-50.0$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Maximum: } & 5.0 & 2.0 & 50.0\end{array}$
Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Norm Conf(\%) Formula
456.2758 $456.2763-0.5 \quad-1.1 \quad 14.5 \quad 899.3 \quad n / a \quad n / a \quad$ C28 134 N5 O

Figure H.6: MS specter of compound 12.


Figure H.7: IR specter of compound 12.


Figure H.8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of by-product 13 from the synthesis of compound $12\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure H.9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of by-product 14 from the synthesis of compound $12\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=3.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1140 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C: 0-100 } & \mathrm{H}: 0-150 & \mathrm{~N}: 0-5 & \mathrm{O}: 0-7 & \mathrm{Na}: 0-1\end{array}$
2019-533 135 (2.636) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (135:139)
1: TOF MS ASAP+
$2.80 \mathrm{e}+006$

Minimum: $\quad 5.0 \quad-50.0$

Maximum: $\quad 5.0 \quad 3.0 \quad 50.0$
Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT Norm Con $\mathfrak{f}\left(\frac{\%}{\circ}\right.$ ) Formula
421.2028 421.2028 0.0 0.0 17.5 $1095.3 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \quad$ C27 H25 N4 O

Figure H.10: MS specter of by-product 14 from the synthesis of compound 12.

## I Spectroscopic Data for Compound 15



Figure I.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure I.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure I.3: COSY specter compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.
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Figure I.4: HSQC specter of compound $\mathbf{1 5}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure I.5: HMBC specter of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
654 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{C}: ~ 0-100 & \mathrm{H}: 0-150 & \mathrm{~N}: 0-6 & \mathrm{O}: 0-3 & \mathrm{Na}: 0-1\end{array}$
2019-388 73 (1.449) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (69:79)
1: TOF MS ASAP $+\quad 4.35 \mathrm{e}+006$


Figure I.6: MS specter of compound 15.


Figure I.7: IR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$.





Figure I.8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of by-product 16, from the synthesis of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## J Spectroscopic Data for Compound 17



Figure J.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure J.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure J.3: COSY specter of compound $\mathbf{1 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure J.4: HSQC specter of compound $\mathbf{1 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure J.5: HMBC specter of compound $\mathbf{1 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1434 formula(e) evaluated with 2 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-100 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-150 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-10 \quad \mathrm{O}: 0-10$
2019-470 145 (2.845) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (119:145)
1: TOF MS ASAP+


Figure J.6: MS specter of compound 17.


Figure J.7: IR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$.

## K Spectroscopic Data for Compound 18



Figure K.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound 18 ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure K.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound $\mathbf{1 8}\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure K.3: COSY specter compound 18 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure K.4: HSQC specter of compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( DMSO- $_{6}$, 400 MHz ).


Figure K.5: HMBC specter of compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( DMSO- $_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1319 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-100 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-150 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-10 \quad \mathrm{O}: 0-10$
2019-471 105 (2.069) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (104:111)
1: TOF MS ASAP+


Figure K.6: MS specter of compound 18.


Figure K.7: IR specter of compound 18.

## L Spectroscopic Data for Compound 20



Figure L.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound $20\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure L.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound $20\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=4.0 \mathrm{PPM} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-2.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT =3
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
644 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{C}: 0-500 & \mathrm{H}: 0-1000 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-6 & 0: 0-5 & \mathrm{Na}: 0-1 & \mathrm{Cl}: 0-3\end{array}$
svg_20190403_2019_279 34 ( 0.636 ) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (31:37)
1: TOF MS ES +
$7.80 \mathrm{e}+006$


Figure L.3: MS specter of compound 20.

## M Spectroscopic Data for Compound hsb2



Figure M.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound HSB2 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure M.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound HSB2 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure M.3: COSY specter compound HSB2 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).
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Figure M.4: HSQC specter of compound HSB2 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure M.5: HMBC specter of compound HSB2 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1234 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-100 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-150 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-10 \quad \mathrm{O}: 0-10$
2019-510 177 (3.465) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (165:179)
1: TOF MS ASAP +
$7.50 \mathrm{e}+004$



Figure M.6: MS specter of compound HSB2.


Figure M.7: IR specter of compound HSB2.

## N Spectroscopic Data for Compound hsв4



Figure N.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound HSB4 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure N.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound HSB4 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure N.3: COSY specter compound HSB4 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure N.4: HSQC specter of compound HSB4 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.
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Figure N.5: HMBC specter of compound HSB4 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1209 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-100 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-150 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-10 \quad \mathrm{O}: 0-10$
2019-469 151 (2.946) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (137:152)
1: TOF MS ASAP+



Figure N.6: MS specter of compound HSB4.


Figure N.7: IR specter of compound HSB4.


Figure N.8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of suspected imine tautomere of compound HSB4 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure N.9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of suspected amine tautomere of compound HSB4 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

## O Spectroscopic Data for Compound hsв5



Figure O.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specter of compound HSB5 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure O.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR specter of compound HSB5 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure O.3: COSY specter compound HSB5 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).
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Figure O.4: HSQC specter of compound HSB5 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure O.5: HMBC specter of compound HSB5 (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=2.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-50.0, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1220 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C: 0-100 } & \mathrm{H}: 0-150 & \mathrm{~N}: 0-10 & \mathrm{O}: 0-10\end{array}$
2019-511 180 (3.516) AM2 (Ar,35000.0,0.00,0.00); Cm (167:197)
1: TOF MS ASAP+


Figure O.6: MS specter of compound HSB5.


Figure O.7: IR specter of compound HSB5.


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{c}$ Not determined.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Conversion determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{c}$ Not determined.

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.
    ${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.
    ${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.
    ${ }^{c}$ Coupling constant not determined due to overlapping solvent signal.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.
    ${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.

[^5]:    ${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.
    ${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.

[^6]:    ${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.

[^7]:    ${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.
    ${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.

[^8]:    ${ }^{a}$ Signals too weak to be observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.
    ${ }^{b}$ May be interchanged.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ Overlaps with solvent signal.

