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Young blood rejuvenates old bodies. A call for reflection when moving from mice to men

Short title: Ethical challenges with filling the fountain of youth with blood

Abstract:

Connecting the circulatory system of old and young mice (parabiosis) is documented to have rejuvenating effects on cells, tissues, organs, and functions. A wide range of benefits are envisioned. Blood based rejuvenation can come to totally change population health and aging. The first blood-rejuvenation studies on humans with Alzheimer’s disease have started. It puts blood at the center of therapy and revitalizes the historical line of humoral pathology from Hippocrates and Harvey, creating a new type of “bloodletting.” However, moving from mice to men requires careful consideration. Parabiosis actualizes well-known ethical challenges, such as just distribution of health care, avoiding disparities, and providing equal access to health care resources, as well as issues of human enhancement. However, it also poses new problems. Using internal substances in some persons as means to rejuvenate others calls for ethical reflection. New type of “blood bonds” may result from the continuous demand for specific types of blood. Even if rejuvenating substances from blood may be artificially and cheaply produced and justly distributed, problems arise: survival may have to be balanced against reproduction, as reproductive age increases. Eternal youth and endless bliss have always been vital human dreams. Although parabiosis may bring us closer to the fountain of youth than ever, it is still too early to provide full-fledged assessments of its implications or to foresee how it will change health, aging, medicine, and society. However, in order to bring our reflective abilities on par with our technical skills, we need to start reflection now.
Introduction

By connecting the circulatory system of young and healthy mice to old and sick mice, the young blood tends to bring new life to the organs of the old. They become healthier, stronger, and smarter.[1] The method, parabiosis, was described by Paul Bert already in 1864, and gained significant attention during the 1960-70s [2]. After falling out of the scientific limelight, recent experiments [1, 3-13] have resulted in a race to identify the *elixir of life* in blood [14].

By now, young blood has been shown to have a rejuvenating effect on the metabolic[15] and cardiovascular systems [4], on muscles [8], on the aging brain[3, 7] and on brain functions [3, 10, 12, 16] in mice. Research is now moving from mice to men[17], and if successful, the results will totally change medicine. It will revitalize humoral pathology and invigorate the role of blood – not only for diagnostics, but also for treatment. The humoral line from Hippocrates over Harvey will culminate in making the alchemists dream come true. But, by identifying the *fountain of youth* within us, a range of crucial ethical challenges emerge.

The biology of blood rejuvenation.

In 2008 the research group at Stanford first reporting that parabiosis between old and young mice restored muscle and liver cells in the old mice[5] related the rejuvenation of muscle cells to factors promoting cell division (Notch signaling) and deactivating factors blocking cell division (Transforming Growth Factor, TGF)[18]. In 2014 the group identified oxytocin as an age-modifying factor in blood.[19] More recently, human cord plasma treatment is found to revitalize the hippocampus and improving cognitive function in aged mice.[16] A research group at Harvard identified the growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF-11) as the humoral factor responsible for rejuvenating effects in muscles, blood vessels, and neurons of the brain.[3, 4, 13] These results have been disputed as the tests and reagents used by the Harvard group were found not to be precise enough.[8, 20]
Identifying rejuvenating factors in human blood has resulted in significant optimism for finding simple and affordable treatments for age related diseases.[21] However, so far, no convincing results have been presented, and no consensus on mechanisms or implications exist.[10, 14, 20, 22] Data on transfusions from younger donors does not show results on survival rates of older patients.[23] Reproduced results are scarce and more knowledge is needed before the *lapis philosophorum* makes its way from bench to bed. Fortunately, this gives some leeway to reflect on the ethical issues posed by the groundbreaking prospects.

**Invigorating existing challenges: therapy versus enhancement**

Some of the challenges posed by blood-rejuvenation are not new. The difficulty with drawing the line between treatment and enhancement are well known from other areas, such as ordinary drugs used in sports to enhance performance (steroids, modafinil, meldonium) and memory enhancing drugs developed for persons with cognitive impairment, but used by students before exams.[24-26]

Promotors of human enhancement, transhumanists, argue that there is no distinct difference between enhancement and therapy,[27] and that therapy is a special case of enhancement.[28] On the other hand, it is argued that we can differentiate between a) restorative or preventive, non-enhancing interventions, b) therapeutic enhancements (allowing for better performance than before disease or impairment), and c) non-therapeutic enhancements.[29] These debates hinge on the profound philosophical questions on “what is natural?” and “what is normal?” No doubt, the distinctions between therapy and enhancement may become crucial if blood has the prospective rejuvenating properties. However, there is a presumption that youth is good and that more is better [30]. As highlighted in myths and arts this is not obvious: Titonus obtained eternal life, but found it meaningless. Virginia Wolf’s Orlando lived for 400 years but found it toiling. Wobagger in Douglas Adams’ *Hitchhiker’s Guide to the Galaxy*, lives eternal but is unhappy.
Making aging a disease

Correspondingly, Parabiosis fuels the debate on whether ageing is a disease that should be treated.[31-38] It is well known that conditions that were previously not considered to be diseases (alcoholism, infertility, attention deficit), turn into diseases when they become treatable,[39] and that there is a drive for conditions to be treated if they can be treated, i.e., there is an imperative of possibility.[40] Accordingly, blood-rejuvenation may fuel the process of making aging a treatable disease, increasing the population growth and generating a wide range of other downstream problems. Increasing the old population in need of rejuvenating blood enhances the challenge with provision and access.

Access and disparities

Blood-rejuvenation therefore actualizes the question of how to manage scarce biological resources (blood), which are well known from transplantation debates. However, while there is a lack of solid organs for transplantation (one kidney for several hundreds of recipients), there are many healthy volunteers registered as potential stem cell donors (allowing for almost perfect matches in the majority of patients). With the healing and rejuvenating effect of blood, the need for solid organ transplantation may also come to be reduced considerably.

Nevertheless, providing enough rejuvenating blood and setting limits to blood rejuvenation can come to be challenging. Although, some rely on the market to encourage and ensure access[41], it is far from obvious that subscribing to invisible hands or regulated markets will solve the access and distribution problem. Although synthetic rejuvenating factors may meliorate the problem, the basic problem (justice) pertains: how to avoid that only the affluent have access (and thereby enhancing disparities)?
The *harm principle* may also be relevant as parabiotic disease and accumulation of iron and development of antibodies against blood products are well known harms.[42] So are transfusion transmitted infections. Unknown long-term risks may of course appear.

In addition to actualizing and aggravating existing challenges, parabiosis also poses new problems.

**New problems: “blood flow” and “blood bonds”**

Parabiosis raises the question of (inter)dependency. Even where rejuvenation would not require that people are directly connected, it would generate ties between those giving and those demanding blood. A person may come to need blood (products) from a limited set of donors, making ordinary risk and resource spreading approaches (as with insurance) less relevant. Specific blood types may only be obtained from a limited group of people, and the expected demand for rejuvenation would bust today’s measures for providing specific blood groups (O and Rh-negative blood). New types of “blood bonds” may thus emerge.

Additionally, there are valid concerns that the blood will not flow from affluent youth in developed countries to the elderly, poor, and frail in developing countries. On the contrary, we may expect the demand for rejuvenating blood in the affluent part of the world to be so strong and the needs for resources in the developing world to be so profound that buying and selling blood becomes an irresistible option. Even more, in the case that (only) blood or blood components of young persons would be able to contribute to rejuvenation, we will have to face with problems with consent, with avoiding exploitation, and with averting two-class health systems.

Thus, in addition to concerns that parabiosis will extend traditional challenges with providing just and equal access, emerging “blood bonds” may generate a unidirectional blood flow enhancing existing or creating new disparities, dependencies, and exploitations.
The fountain of youth within us

Noteworthy, exploited natural resources, such as gold and oil, have often been external to human beings, but now they may become internal. Previously people worked in factories, now they may become factories. No doubt, biological material has been conceived of as waste or natural resources previously,[43] but that was mainly excess material, such as tissue samples and removed tumors. Hence, the rejuvenating effect of blood may result in a commodification of blood and disrupt well established traditions of altruism-based donation, undermining the well-established organization of blood donation. It may also render traditional labor theories inadequate, as unifying blood and toil does not necessarily reduce sweat and tears, to paraphrase Winston Churchill.[44]

Therefore, blood-rejuvenation may bust the traditional gift metaphor[45] so prominent in present day non-remunerated blood donation. If “the fountain of youth” is filled with blood, strong forces will make it flow into the market as a commodity. Plasma donors are paid in the US, so why not whole blood? On the other hand, it may of course evoke a new type of altruism, as the possibility of helping people expands. Giving blood may directly influence the welfare of specific persons, and blood is a renewable substance. At the same time, rejuvenating others may increase the global population and thereby reduce the available resources (food, energy, wealth, health) for each individual – increasing the burden of disease and disparities. Which of these scenarios that is the most likely, commercialization, enhanced altruism, self-restricting altruism, or other scenarios, and how we should decide to direct the development, will of course depend on how exactly blood is rejuvenating. In any case the matter will need careful reflection and deliberation.

With the production of synthetic rejuvenating factors, the problems with dependency and availability may be resolved, but the problems with access and allocation may reside. Who should get rejuvenation and how should we acquire the necessary resources? How should we handle rejuvenation for extended living? If more QALYs can be obtained by blood-
rejuvenation than by treating certain diseases, why bother with the diseases? This adds new dimensions to the priority setting problem. Even if rejuvenating substances from blood may be artificially and cheaply produced and justly distributed, problems arise with an increasing population.

**Longevity versus reproduction**

Hence, a new basic challenge arises: how should we balance evolutionary goals, such as survival versus reproduction? Will longevity and the following extended “reproductivity” urge regulation? Living longer may extend individuals’ reproductive periods and result in increased number of relationships accompanied with strong desires to have offspring. However, reproduction may have to be restricted when the number of offspring may come to challenge sustainable access to basic resources, such as food and housing. “You can’t have more children when you live longer” or “either you live long or you have children.” Rejuvenation may therefore challenge present procreative rights and liberties. However, most attempts to regulate people’s reproduction (or lifespan) pose profound ethical challenges. Also for the individual, it may be difficult to balance survival and reproduction.

No doubt, survival has trumped reproduction before, e.g., during war and famine. However, rejuvenation appears to occur in the other end of the spectrum, in abundance and not in scarcity. Then, it is an open question whether people will (or should) choose survival over reproduction. Leaving it to self-regulation may lead to substantial inequalities, while regulating reproduction (and lifespan) is challenging.

**Changing history**

A rejuvenating effect of parabiosis will revitalize humoral pathology. Blood-rejuvenation connects to the teaching of the four bodily fluids ascribed to Hippocrates of Cos (460-370 BC), the “discovery” of the circulatory system by William Harvey (1578-1657), and 2000
years of bloodletting. But it does much more: it makes blood “the elixir of life,” the “philosopher’s stone,”[14] and “the fountain of youth.” While the humoral pathology of Hippocrates and the circulatory discoveries of Harvey aimed at understanding, avoiding, and treating disease, rejuvenation will incite a new type of “bloodletting.” If this is anything more than a mere hype, we need to assure responsible research, implementation, and use and to avoid new and disastrous forms of “bloodshed.”

**Changing medicine**

Blood rejuvenation will undoubtedly change medicine. Not only may we get a new and powerful tool for preventing and treating a wide range of devastating diseases. We may also get unprecedented possibilities to extend life. Hence, the goal of medicine may move from avoiding suffering and pain to promoting health, youth, and wellbeing as the distinction between treatment and enhancement will wane. Whether any form of parabiosis will have the promised effects is of course highly speculative, however, altering the goal of medicine obviously calls for fundamental and enduring reflection.

**Changing research**

Also on the way to find out whether parabiosis may have such rejuvenating effects, we face with some challenging questions, e.g., how we should regulate risk in rejuvenation research. Risk exposure is usually justified by potential reduction in pain and suffering, but rejuvenation goes beyond pain reduction. Parabiotic disease, accumulation of iron, and development of antibodies against blood products have been mentioned[42] as well as transfusion transmitted infections. Should research on parabiosis be barred on basis of its potential (high) risk, and if balanced against the benefit of rejuvenation, how should this benefit be assessed? The question of how to balance risk against potential rejuvenation benefits covers new ground. Parabiosis, cell therapies, and other experimental treatments lack well reflected
regulation, as do other forms of human enhancement.[28] Codes of research ethics aims at restoring and not enhancing health. It has been argued that it is easier for human beings to assess what is bad than what is good.[46] Therefore, we may be more troubled with assessing the benefits of rejuvenation than avoiding and treating actual ailments. Here we run into with the basic philosophical issues of “what is a good life?”

Summary and Conclusion

The recent discoveries of tissue rejuvenation related to transfer of blood from young donors do potentially open completely new and science-fiction-like treatment and enhancement options. If real, it will totally change medicine, sparking a new zenith for humoral pathology (neo-humoral-pathology) and giving new meaning to “blood letting.” It is yet far too early to say whether parabiosis will actually work or whether it is just another hype. Nevertheless, we need to start reflection now, as our technical abilities by far appear to outrun our reflective and ethical abilities. No doubt, parabiosis invigorates a wide range of existing challenges, such as just distribution of health resources, avoiding disparities, and distinguishing between treatment and human enhancement. However, it also poses new problems, such as creating new “blood bonds” between donors and recipients, internalizing natural resources and making human beings factories of the elixir of life, altering or sparking new forms of altruism in blood donation, challenging reproductive rights, and regulating risks and benefits in rejuvenation research.

Eternal youth and endless bliss have always been vital human dreams. Promising parabiosis research indicates that we may come closer to these dreams than ever. It is yet too early to foresee how parabiosis will move from bench to bed. The life renewing Philosopher’s Stone may turn out to be as hard to find in blood as elsewhere. Although it is far too early to assess the implications for clinical practice, for health policy, and for society, we should start our
reflections on filling the holy grail with blood now, before our technical skills outpace or dominate our deliberations.
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