

BROWNIAN MOTION AND FINITE APPROXIMATIONS OF QUANTUM SYSTEMS OVER LOCAL FIELDS

ERIK M. BAKKEN, TROND DIGERNES, AND DAVID WEISBART

ABSTRACT. We give a stochastic proof of the finite approximability of a class of Schrödinger operators over a local field, thereby completing a program of establishing in a non-Archimedean setting corresponding results and methods from the Archimedean (real) setting. A key ingredient of our proof is to show that Brownian motion over a local field can be obtained as a limit of random walks over finite grids. Also, we prove a Feynman-Kac formula for the finite systems, and show that the propagator at the finite level converges to the propagator at the infinite level.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Basics about Local Fields and Finite Models	2
2.1. Local Fields	2
2.2. Finite Models	3
3. Stochastics at the Finite Level	5
4. Convergence of Measures	7
4.1. Convergence of Unconditioned Measures	10
4.2. Convergence of Conditioned Measures	12
5. Feynman-Kac at the Finite Level	15
5.1. The Feynman-Kac formula	15
Elementary properties of the propagator	17
6. Finite Approximations	17
6.1. Convergence of Traces	17
6.2. Convergence of Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions	21
Acknowledgment	24
References	24

1. Introduction

This article grew out of a desire to explore the utility and effectiveness of stochastic methods in a non-Archimedean setting. In a recent article two of us gave a functional analytic proof of the finite approximability of the Schrödinger operator over a local field [BD15]. In the present article we give a stochastic proof of the same. The inspiration comes from [DVV94], where both a functional analytic and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81Q65, 60B10, 47G30, 41A99.

Key words and phrases. Finite approximations; quantum systems; local fields; Brownian motion; convergence of measures.

The first named author gratefully acknowledges support from “Norges Tekniske Høgskoles fond” and “Forsknings- og undervisningsfondet i Trondheim” at NTNU, and from the Math Department at UCLA. The second named author had partial support from the Norwegian Research Council during parts of this research. Both the first and the second named author received support from the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU).

stochastic proof was given for the corresponding theorem over \mathbf{R}^d . In both cases the stochastic method gave a stronger convergence result for the eigenfunctions (at the expense of a mild growth condition on the potential).

The results of [DVV94] were later partially extended to a setting of locally compact abelian groups in [AGK00]. However, the proofs of [AGK00] used non-standard analysis. We have found it worthwhile to present proofs which do not rely on non-standard methods.

Non-Archimedean stochastics has been extensively explored by several authors. Kochubei has devoted a whole book to the subject [Koc01], and the long list of references therein testifies to an active field of research. For articles on non-Archimedean random walks specifically, see, e.g., [AK94, AKZ99] and [CCZG13]. Of particular interest to us is the probability density induced by the non-Archimedean ‘‘Laplacian’’ over a local field. The existence of this density was obtained independently by several authors, among them Kochubei [Koc91] and Varadarajan [Var97] (see [Koc01, Ch. 4] and [VVZ94, Ch. XVI] for further references). In this article we show that an analogous density can be defined at the finite level, and that the associated objects at the finite level converge to the corresponding objects at the infinite level.

Our setting is as follows: K is a local field with canonical absolute value $|\cdot|$, and $H = P^\alpha + V$ is a Schrödinger operator, densely defined and self-adjoint on a suitable domain in $L^2(K)$. V is the potential given as $(Vf)(x) = v(x)f(x)$ with $v : K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a continuous function such that $v(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. $P = \mathcal{F}^{-1}Q\mathcal{F}$ where $(Qf)(x) = |x|f(x)$, \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform, and α is a positive real number. It is customary to refer to P^α as the (negative of) the non-Archimedean Laplacian for any $\alpha > 0$, although it is only $\alpha = 2$ which gives a direct analog. Our task is to construct finite models X_n for K and corresponding Schrödinger operators $H_n = P_n^\alpha + V_n$ on $L^2(X_n)$ such that the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for H_n converge to the corresponding objects for H (in a manner to be made precise below).

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we collect the facts we need about local fields and the finite models. In Section 3 we construct probability densities for the finite models and prove some basic facts about them. In Section 4 we use the results from Section 3 to construct measures of the Wiener type over the finite models and prove that both the conditioned and the unconditioned versions converge to the corresponding measures over the local field. In Section 5 we prove a theorem of the Feynman-Kac type associated with the stochastics at the finite level. In Section 6 we use our results to give a stochastic proof of the finite approximability of the Schrödinger operator over a local field.

2. Basics about Local Fields and Finite Models

We recall here, without proofs, some quick facts about local fields and their finite models. For details see [BD15, Section 2]

2.1. Local Fields. By a local field we mean a non-discrete, totally disconnected, locally compact field. It comes equipped with a canonical absolute value which is induced by the Haar measure, and which we denote by $|\cdot|$. There are two main types of local fields:

Characteristic zero. The basic example of a local field of characteristic zero is the p -adic field \mathbf{Q}_p (p a prime number). Every local field of characteristic zero is a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p for some p .

Positive characteristic. Every local field of positive characteristic p is isomorphic to the field $\mathbf{F}_q((t))$ of Laurent series over a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , where $q = p^f$ for some positive integer $f \geq 1$.

Let K be a local field with canonical absolute value $|\cdot|$. We use the following standard notation:

$$O = \{x \in K : |x| \leq 1\}, \quad P = \{x \in K : |x| < 1\}, \quad U = O \setminus P.$$

O is a compact subring of K , called the *ring of integers*. It is a discrete valuation ring, i.e., a principal ideal domain with a unique maximal ideal. P is the unique non-zero maximal ideal of O , called the *prime ideal*, and any element $\beta \in P$ such that $P = \beta O$ is called a *uniformizer* (or a *prime element*) of K . For \mathbf{Q}_p one can choose $\beta = p$, and for $\mathbf{F}_q((t))$ one can take $\beta = t$.

The set U coincides with the *group of units* of O . The quotient ring O/P is a finite field. If $q = p^f$ is the number of elements in O/P (p : a prime number, f : a natural number) and β is a uniformizer, then $|\beta| = 1/q$, and the range of values of $|\cdot|$ is $\{q^N : N \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Further, if S is a complete set of representatives for the residue classes in O/P , every non-zero element $x \in K$ can be written uniquely in the form:

$$x = \beta^{-m}(x_0 + x_1\beta + x_2\beta^2 + \dots),$$

where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $x_j \in S$, $x_0 \notin P$. With x written in this form, we have $|x| = q^m$.

2.1.1. Characters and Fourier Transform. We fix a Haar measure μ on K , normalized such that $\mu(O) = 1$, and define the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} on K by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \int_K f(x)\chi(-x\xi) dx,$$

where χ is a rank zero¹ character on K , and dx refers to the Haar measure just introduced. Any Fourier transform based on a rank zero character is an L^2 -isometry with respect to the normalized Haar measure (since $\mathcal{F}\mathbf{1}_O = \mathbf{1}_O$ for any such Fourier transform \mathcal{F} ; here and elsewhere $\mathbf{1}$ denotes characteristic function). Thus $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^*$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(x) = (\mathcal{F}^*f)(x) = \int_K f(y)\chi(xy) dy.$$

For the rest of this article χ will denote a fixed character of rank zero on a local field K , and \mathcal{F} will denote the corresponding Fourier transform.

2.2. Finite Models. Our object of study is a version of the Schrödinger operator, defined for \mathbf{Q}_p in the book of Vladimirov, Volovich, Zelenov [VVZ94], and generalized to an arbitrary local field K by Kochubei in [Koc01]:

$$H = P^\alpha + V,$$

regarded as an operator in $L^2(K)$. Here $\alpha > 0$ ², $P = \mathcal{F}^{-1}Q\mathcal{F}$ where $(Qf)(x) = |x|f(x)$ is the position operator³, and \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform on $L^2(K)$. V (the potential) is multiplication by a function: $(Vf)(x) = v(x)f(x)$. We assume v to be non-negative and continuous and that $v(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

The operator H has been thoroughly analyzed (see [VVZ94] for $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ and [Koc01] for general K): It is self-adjoint on the domain $\{f \in L^2(K) : P^\alpha f + Vf \in L^2(K)\}$, has discrete spectrum, and all eigenvalues have finite multiplicity. Our next task is to set up a finite model for this operator.

Keep the above notation, i.e.: K is a local field, $q = p^f$ is the number of elements in the finite field O/P , β is a uniformizer, and S is a complete set of representatives for O/P . For each integer n set $B_n = \beta^{-n}O =$ ball of radius q^n . Then B_n is

¹The rank of a character χ is defined as the largest integer r such that $\chi|_{B_r} \equiv 1$. See [BD15] for explicit construction of such characters in the various cases.

²For a direct analog of the Laplacian one should set $\alpha = 2$. However, as is customary in the non-Archimedean setting, one works with an arbitrary $\alpha > 0$, since the qualitative behavior of the operator H does not change with $\alpha > 0$.

³Our operator P corresponds to the operator D in [VVZ94] and [Koc01].

an open, additive subgroup of K . For $n > 0$ we set $G_n = B_n/B_{-n}$. Then G_n is a finite group with q^{2n} elements. Since the subgroup B_{-n} will appear quite frequently, we will often denote it by H_n , to emphasize its role as a subgroup. So $H_n = B_{-n} = \beta^n O$ = ball of radius q^{-n} , and $G_n = H_{-n}/H_n$. Each element of G_n has a unique representative of the form $a_{-n}\beta^{-n} + a_{-n+1}\beta^{-n+1} + \cdots + a_{-1}\beta^{-1} + a_0 + a_1\beta + \cdots + a_{n-2}\beta^{n-2} + a_{n-1}\beta^{n-1}$, $a_i \in S$. We denote this set by X_n , and call it *the canonical set of representatives* for G_n ; we also give it the group structure coming from its natural identification with G_n .

Let again μ denote the normalized Haar measure on K (cfr. 2.1.1). Since H_n is an open subgroup of K , we obtain a Haar measure μ_n on $G_n = H_{-n}/H_n$ by setting $\mu_n(x + H_n) = \mu(x + H_n) = \mu(H_n) = q^{-n}$, for $x + H_n \in G_n$.

So each ‘‘point’’ $x + H_n$ of G_n has mass q^{-n} , and the total mass of G_n is $q^{2n} \cdot q^{-n} = q^n$. For $X_n \simeq G_n$ this means that each $x \in X_n$ has mass q^{-n} , and the total mass of X_n is q^n .

With this choice of Haar measure on G_n the linear map which sends the characteristic function of the point $x + H_n$ in G_n to the characteristic function of the subset $x + H_n$ of K , is an isometric imbedding of $L^2(G_n)$ into $L^2(K)$. We regard $L^2(G_n)$ as a subspace of $L^2(K)$ via this imbedding, and operators on $L^2(G_n)$ are extended to all of $L^2(K)$ by setting them equal to 0 on the orthogonal complement of $L^2(G_n)$ in $L^2(K)$.

We introduce the following subspaces of $L^2(K)$, along with their orthogonal projections:

- $\mathcal{C}_n = \{f \in L^2(K) \mid \text{supp}(f) \subset B_n\}$. The corresponding orthogonal projection is denoted by C_n and is given by: $C_n f = \mathbf{1}_{B_n} f$.
- $\mathcal{S}_n = \{f \in L^2(K) \mid f \text{ is locally constant of index } q^{-n}\}$. The corresponding orthogonal projection is denoted by S_n and is given by:
 $(S_n f)(x) = q^n \int_{H_n} f(x+y) dy = \frac{1}{\mu(H_n)} \int_{H_n} f(x+y) dy = \text{ave}(f, n, x)$, where we have introduced the notation $\text{ave}(f, n, x)$ for the average value of f over $x + H_n$.
- $\mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{C}_n \cap \mathcal{S}_n$. The corresponding orthogonal projection is denoted by D_n .

Note that $L^2(G_n)$ is mapped onto \mathcal{D}_n via the isometric imbedding mentioned above. Thus $L^2(G_n)$ can be identified with the set of functions on K which have support in B_n and which are invariant under translation by elements of H_n ($= B_{-n}$).

Of course, by using the identification $x \in X_n \longleftrightarrow x + H_n \in G_n$, all of the above statements remain valid when G_n is replaced by X_n .

We now collect the basic facts and conventions for the finite level operators (for details, see [BD15]):

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= C_n S_n = S_n C_n. \\ \mathcal{F}C_n &= \mathcal{S}_n, \quad \mathcal{F}S_n = \mathcal{C}_n, \quad \text{and hence } \mathcal{F}D_n = \mathcal{D}_n. \\ \mathcal{F}C_n &= S_n \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}S_n = C_n \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}D_n = D_n \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Finite Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_n :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_n f)(x) &= q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} f(y) \chi(-xy), \quad x \in X_n, \quad f \in L^2(X_n). \\ \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{D}_n} &= \mathcal{F}_n, \quad \text{i.e., } \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}D_n = D_n \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2.1. Dynamical Operators at the Finite Level. For the finite versions of the dynamical operators we could, as in [BD15], use their compressions by D_n , i.e., $V'_n = D_n V D_n$, $Q'_n = D_n Q D_n$, $P'_n = D_n P D_n = \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} Q'_n \mathcal{F}_n$, and $H'_n = D_n H D_n = D_n P^\alpha D_n + V'_n$. However, since our dynamical operators are defined by continuous functions, it will be more convenient to descend to the finite level via the following

operator

$$E_n f = \sum_{y \in X_n} f(y) \mathbf{1}_{y+H_n}, \quad f \in C(K). \quad (2.1)$$

This is a linear idempotent with range $\mathcal{D}_n \simeq L^2(X_n)$. It is continuous with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compacta on $C(K)$ (but discontinuous w.r.t. the L_2 -norm on $C(K) \cap L^2(K)$). Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (D_n f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E_n f)(x)$ if f is continuous. The finite version of a function f on K can be thought of either as an element of \mathcal{D}_n according to (2.1), or as a function on the grid X_n , where it is simply given by its restriction $f|_{X_n}$. We will switch between these two points of view depending on what seems more convenient in a given situation. When working on X_n we will often make no notational distinction between a function on K and its restriction to X_n . For a function of two variables $f \in C(K \times K)$ we similarly have

$$(E_n \otimes E_n) f = \sum_{x, y \in X_n} f(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{(x+H_n) \times (y+H_n)}, \quad f \in C(K \times K), \quad (2.2)$$

which can be thought of as the restriction of f to $X_n \times X_n$.

For the finite versions Q_n, P_n, H_n of the operators Q, P, H , we take

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_n f)(x) &= |x| f(x), \quad f \in L^2(X_n), \quad x \in X_n \\ P_n &= \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} Q_n \mathcal{F}_n \\ (V_n f)(x) &= v_n(x) f(x), \quad v_n = v|_{X_n}, \quad f \in L^2(X_n) \\ H_n &= P_n^\alpha + V_n, \quad \alpha > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Note that the finite operators Q_n, P_n, H_n can also be viewed as operators on $L^2(K)$ via the identification of $L^2(X_n)$ with $\mathcal{D}_n = D_n L^2(K)$.

3. Stochastics at the Finite Level

We start by recalling the connection between Brownian motion and the heat equation in the conventional setting over \mathbf{R} . Here Brownian motion is described by a family of Wiener measures $(W_x)_{x \in \mathbf{R}}$, which in turn are generated by the probability densities⁴ $p_t(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{-z^2/4t}$, $z \in \mathbf{R}$, $t > 0$. The relation

$$\int_{C([0, \infty); \mathbf{R})} f(\omega(t)) dW_x(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(y) p_t(x-y) dy$$

holds for all ‘‘observables’’ f belonging to a suitable class of functions on \mathbf{R} . The function $u(x, t) = p_t(x)$ is a fundamental solution of the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) \quad (3.1)$$

which by Fourier transform becomes

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t}(\xi, t) = -\xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi, t) \quad (3.2)$$

and so

$$\hat{p}_t(\xi) = \hat{u}(\xi, t) = e^{-t\xi^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

taking into account that $p_t(x)$ is a fundamental solution. The $(p_t)_{t>0}$ form a semi-group under convolution, and thus give rise to a semi-group of operators $(T_t)_{t>0}$ by $T_t f = p_t * f$. The infinitesimal generator of $(T_t)_{t>0}$ is the Laplacian Δ (on a suitable domain), so we can also write $e^{t\Delta} f = p_t * f$.

⁴We are using self-dual Haar measure $dz/\sqrt{2\pi}$ on \mathbf{R} .

Over a local field K one still lets t be a positive real parameter, but the role of the Laplacian Δ is played by the operator $-P^\alpha$ (remember that $\Delta = -P^2$ over \mathbf{R}), and so the heat equation (3.1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = -(P^\alpha u)(x, t), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = -(\mathcal{F}^{-1}Q^\alpha \mathcal{F}u)(x, t), \quad (3.4)$$

thus

$$\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t}(\xi, t) = -|\xi|^\alpha \hat{u}(\xi, t), \quad (3.5)$$

giving

$$\hat{u}(\xi, t) = e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \quad (3.6)$$

by a similar normalization as above. In analogy with the real case one now defines

$$p_t(x) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{-t|\cdot|^\alpha})(x) = \int_K e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \chi(x\xi) d\xi. \quad (3.7)$$

The $(p_t)_{t>0}$ again form a semi-group under convolution (since clearly $(\hat{p}_t)_{t>0}$ form a semi-group under multiplication), and $\int_K p_t(x) dx = 1$ for all $t > 0$ (since $\hat{p}_t(0) = 1$ for all $t > 0$). Thus the only thing missing for the $(p_t)_{t>0}$ to generate a Wiener measure as above, is the positivity of the $(p_t)_{t>0}$. And this has been proved by several authors in various settings (see [Koc01, Ch. 4] and references therein, and [Var97]).

For our finite model we pursue the above analogy and define

$$p_{t,n}(x) = (\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}e^{-t|\cdot|^\alpha})(x), \quad x \in X_n \quad (3.8)$$

in analogy with 3.7. Here we regard $e^{-t|\cdot|^\alpha}$ as a function on X_n as explained above (cfr. 2.2.1). We still have

$$e^{-tP_n^\alpha} f = p_{t,n} * f \quad (3.9)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-tP_n^\alpha} f)(x) &= (e^{-t\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}Q_n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_n f})(x) = (\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}e^{-tQ_n^\alpha} \mathcal{F}_n f)(x) \\ &= (\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}(e^{-t|\cdot|^\alpha} \mathcal{F}_n f))(x) = (\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}(e^{-t|\cdot|^\alpha}) * f)(x) \\ &= (p_{t,n} * f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the convolution $*$ now is over X_n :

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{X_n} f(y)g(x-y)d\mu_n(y) = q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} f(y)g(x-y).$$

The one-parameter family $(p_{t,n})_{t>0}$ is a semi-group under convolution (since clearly $(\hat{p}_{t,n})_{t>0}$ is a multiplicative semi-group), and $\int_{X_n} p_{t,n}(x) dx = 1$ for all n and for all $t > 0$ (since $\hat{p}_{t,n}(0) = 1$). It remains to show that the $p_{t,n}$ are positive.

Lemma 3.1. *We have $p_{t,n}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X_n$, all n and all $t > 0$, hence $(p_{t,n})_{t>0}$ defines a probability distribution over X_n .*

Proof. Remember that functions in $L^2(X_n)$ can be thought of as functions on K which are supported in B_n and which are locally constant of index q^{-n} . We use that picture here. For example, the function $\xi \rightarrow e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}$ is interpreted as the function $\sum_{\xi \in X_n} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\xi+H_n}$ (cfr. 2.2.1).

Below we also use the notation $S_i = \{x \in K : |x| = p^i\} = B_i \setminus B_{i-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{t,n}(x) &= (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{p}_{t,n})(x) = \int_{B_n} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&= \int_{B_{-n}} d\xi + \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n} e^{-tq^{\alpha i}} \int_{S_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&= q^{-n} + \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n} e^{-tq^{\alpha i}} \left(\int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi - \int_{B_{i-1}} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \right) \\
&= q^{-n} + \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n} e^{-tq^{\alpha i}} \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi - \sum_{-n \leq i \leq n-1} e^{-tq^{\alpha(i+1)}} \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&= q^{-n} - e^{-tq^{\alpha(-n+1)}} \int_{B_{-n}} \chi(x\xi) d\xi + e^{-tq^{\alpha n}} \int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&\quad + \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-tq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-tq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&= q^{-n}(1 - e^{-tq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) + e^{-tq^{\alpha n}} \int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&\quad + \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-tq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-tq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

The integrals $\int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi$ are always non-negative (see [VVZ94, p. 42] for the case $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$; the same proof works for a general K), hence each term is non-negative, and the first is positive, so $p_{t,n}$ is positive on X_n for all $t > 0$. \square

4. Convergence of Measures

From now on we'll be working on a fixed time interval which we will denote by $[0, t]$; a generic time point in $[0, t]$ will be denoted by s . We start by recalling the above formulas for the densities (with the time parameter t replaced by s):

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{s,n}(x) &= \int_{B_n} e^{-s|\xi|^\alpha} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&= q^{-n}(1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) + e^{-sq^{\alpha n}} \int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&\quad + \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_s(x) &= \int_K e^{-s|\xi|^\alpha} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We now introduce the space $D[0, t]$ of Skorokhod functions. These are the functions defined on the interval $[0, t]$ with values in K which satisfy the following two criteria:

- (1) For each $s \in (0, t)$, $f(s \pm 0)$ exist; $f(0+0)$ and $f(t-0)$ exist.
- (2) $f(s+0) = f(s)$ for all $s \in [0, t)$, and $f(t) = f(t-0)$.

We will use the densities $p_{s,n}$ to construct, for each n and for each $a \in X_n$, a probability measure \mathbf{P}_a^n on the space $D[0, t]$, and subsequently show that these measures converge weakly to the measure \mathbf{P}_a on $D[0, t]$ which is constructed from the densities p_s . The measure \mathbf{P}_a^n will give full measure to the paths which take values in the grid X_n . To achieve all of this we need a few lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *The $(p_{s,n})_{s>0}$ are uniformly bounded, that is, for each $s \in (0, t]$ there is a constant B_s such that*

$$\|p_{s,n}\|_\infty < B_s$$

for all n .

Proof. By (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{s,n}(x) &= q^{-n}(1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) + e^{-sq^{\alpha n}} \int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The first and second term go to 0 uniformly when $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $|\int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi| \leq q^n$. The third term is bounded by $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi$, and the latter is uniformly bounded according to [Var97, Lemma 2, Sec. 4, proof]. \square

Lemma 4.2. *$p_{s,n}(x)$ converges uniformly to $p_s(x)$ on compact sets.*

Proof. Let E be a compact subset of K and choose n_0 so that $E \subset B_n$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then for $x \in E$ and $n \geq n_0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |p_s(x) - p_{s,n}(x)| &\leq q^{-n}(1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) + e^{-sq^{\alpha n}} \int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i \leq -n \\ i \geq n}} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The first terms goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so does the second since $|\int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi| \leq q^n$. For the third term we again take advantage of an estimate from [Var97, Lemma 2, Sec. 4, proof], this time writing it out more explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i \leq -n \\ i \geq n}} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi &\leq \sum_{\substack{i \leq -n \\ i \geq n}} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) q^i \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{i \leq -n \\ i \geq n}} s \int_{q^{i\alpha}}^{q^{\alpha(i+1)}} e^{-sy} y^{1/\alpha} dy = s \int_{[0, q^{(-n+1)\alpha}] \cup [q^{n\alpha}, \infty)} e^{-sy} y^{1/\alpha} dy. \end{aligned}$$

The last term goes to 0 (being the tail of a convergent integral), so $p_{s,n}$ converges pointwise to p_s . Since the estimates are independent of x , we have uniform convergence on E . \square

We now start the construction of the measures \mathbf{P}_a^n . Pick a point $a \in X_n$, fix N time points $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N \leq t$, and for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, pick a Borel subset J_i of K . We define a measure \mathbf{P}_a^n on the cylinder sets $\{\omega : [0, t] \rightarrow K : \omega(t_i) \in J_i\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_a^n(\omega(t_i) \in J_i) &= \sum_{b_i \in J_i \cap X_n, 1 \leq i \leq N} p_{t_1, n}(b_1 - a) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}, n}(b_N - b_{N-1}) q^{-nN}. \quad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

By Kolmogorov's Extension Theorem [Øks98, Thm. 2.1.5], \mathbf{P}_a^n has a unique extension to a probability measure on $\Omega[0, t]$, the space of all functions $\omega : [0, t] \rightarrow K$, equipped with the σ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets. To get a probability measure on $D[0, t]$, equipped with the Borel sets coming from the Skorokhod

topology, we need to check the Čentsov criterion, which says: If there are constants $c, d, e, C > 0$ such that

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_a^n}(|Y_{t_1} - Y_{t_2}|^c |Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_3}|^d) \leq C|t_1 - t_3|^{1+e} \quad (4.4)$$

for all $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq t$, then there is a unique measure on $D[0, t]$ which satisfies the condition (4.3). Here $E_{\mathbf{P}_a^n}$ denotes the expectation w.r.t. the measure \mathbf{P}_a^n , and Y_s denotes the random variable $Y_s(\omega) = \omega(s)$, $\omega \in \Omega[0, t]$, $s \in [0, t]$. The random variables Y_s define a process with independent increments with respect to each of the measures \mathbf{P}_a^n .

Proposition 4.1. *Let k be a real number with $0 < k < \alpha$, and pick time points $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq t$. Then there is a constant $D_k > 0$ such that*

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_a^n}(|Y_{t_1} - Y_{t_2}|^k |Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_3}|^k) \leq D_k |t_1 - t_3|^{2k/\alpha}. \quad (4.5)$$

If also $k > \alpha/2$, then Čentsov's condition (4.4) is satisfied.

Proof. Using the point $a = 0$ in X_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_s|^k) &= \int_{\Omega[0, t]} |Y_s(\omega)|^k d\mathbf{P}_0^n(\omega) = \int_K |x|^k d\mathbf{P}_0^n \circ Y_s^{-1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in X_n} \int_{\{x\}} |x|^k d\mathbf{P}_0^n \circ Y_s^{-1}(x) + \int_{K \setminus X_n} |x|^k d\mathbf{P}_0^n \circ Y_s^{-1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in X_n} |x|^k p_{s,n}(x) q^{-n} = \sum_{x \in X_n, x \neq 0} |x|^k p_{s,n}(x) q^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the expression (4.1) for $p_{s,n}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_s|^k) \\ &= q^{-n} \sum_{x \in X_n, x \neq 0} |x|^k \left(q^{-n} (1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) + e^{-sq^{\alpha n}} \int_{B_n} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \right) \\ &+ \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \\ &= q^{-n} \sum_{x \in X_n, x \neq 0} |x|^k \left(q^{-n} (1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi \right) \\ &= q^{-n} (1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) \int_{B_n \setminus B_{-n}} |x|^k dx \\ &+ \int_{B_n \setminus B_{-n}} |x|^k \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi dx \\ &\leq q^{-n} (1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) q^n q^{nk} \\ &+ \sum_{-n+1 \leq i \leq n-1} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) \int_{B_{-i}} |x|^k \int_{B_i} \chi(x\xi) d\xi dx \\ &\leq q^{-n} (1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) q^n q^{nk} + \sum_{-\infty < i < \infty} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) q^{-ik} q^{-i} q^i \\ &= q^{-n} (1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}}) q^n q^{nk} + \sum_{-\infty < i < \infty} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}}) q^{-ik}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point we again invoke an inequality by Varadarajan [Var97][Lemma 2, Sec. 4, proof], which in our setting translates to $\sum_{-\infty < i < \infty} (e^{-sq^{\alpha i}} - e^{-sq^{\alpha(i+1)}})q^{-ik} \leq A_k s^{k/\alpha}$ for some constant A_k which is independent of n, s . The chain of inequalities then continues as (with B_k, C_k some other constants which are independent of n, s)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - e^{-sq^{\alpha(-n+1)}})q^{nk} + A_k s^{k/\alpha} \leq sq^{-n\alpha} q^\alpha q^{nk} + A_k s^{k/\alpha} \\ &= sq^\alpha q^{-n(\alpha-k)} + A_k s^{k/\alpha} \leq sq^\alpha + A_k s^{k/\alpha} \leq B_k s^{k/\alpha} + A_k s^{k/\alpha} \leq C_k s^{k/\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\alpha - k > 0$, and that over the finite interval $[0, t]$, we can make $sq^\alpha \leq B_k s^{k/\alpha}$ for a suitable B_k . To sum it up, we have shown that

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_s|^k) \leq C_k s^{k/\alpha} \quad (4.6)$$

for some constant C_k which is independent of n, s . Using that the process Y_t has stationary increments and that $Y_0 = 0$ with \mathbf{P}_0^n -probability 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_1}|^k |Y_{t_3} - Y_{t_2}|^k) &= E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_{t_2-t_1} - Y_0|^k |Y_{t_3-t_2} - Y_0|^k) \\ &= E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_{t_2-t_1}|^k |Y_{t_3-t_2}|^k) \leq (E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_{t_2-t_1}|^{2k}))^{1/2} (E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_{t_3-t_2}|^{2k}))^{1/2} \\ &\stackrel{(4.6)}{\leq} C_{2k} (t_2 - t_1)^{k/\alpha} (t_3 - t_2)^{k/\alpha} < C_{2k} (t_3 - t_1)^{2k/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_a^n}(|Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_1}|^k |Y_{t_3} - Y_{t_2}|^k) = E_{\mathbf{P}_0^n}(|Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_1}|^k |Y_{t_3} - Y_{t_2}|^k)$$

for any $a \in X_n$ (since only differences between the Y_{t_i} occur), we finally get

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_a^n}(|Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_1}|^k |Y_{t_3} - Y_{t_2}|^k) \leq C_{2k} (t_3 - t_1)^{2k/\alpha}, \quad (4.7)$$

for $k < \alpha$. So with $D_k = C_{2k}$, (4.5) holds. If also $k > \alpha/2$, the Čentsov criterion holds. \square

4.1. Convergence of Unconditioned Measures. The concept of weak convergence of probability measures will play an important role in this article.

Definition 4.1 (Weak Convergence). Let (\mathbf{P}_n) and \mathbf{P} be probability measures on a metric space M . We say that the sequence (\mathbf{P}_n) converges weakly to \mathbf{P} – written $\mathbf{P}_n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ – if $\mathbf{P}_n(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(f)$ for all bounded, continuous real functions f on M .

For several equivalent definitions, see [Bil99, Thm. 2.1] (“Portmanteau Theorem”).

Let $a_n \in X_n$, $a \in K$ be such that $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We wish to prove that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To do this we will use the following theorem from [Var94] (see also [Bil99, Theorem 13.1]):

Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 2, Ch. 11, in [Var94]). *Suppose that \mathbf{P}_m, \mathbf{P} are probability measures on $D[0, t]$ such that*

- $\mathbf{P}_m^{t_1, \dots, t_N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{t_1, \dots, t_N}$ for all t_1, \dots, t_N in $[0, t]$. (4.8)
- There are constants $c, d, e, C > 0$ such that for all n and $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq t$,

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_m}(|Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_1}|^c |Y_{t_3} - Y_{t_2}|^d) \leq C(t_3 - t_1)^{1+e}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then $\mathbf{P}_m \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

By equation (4.7) the condition (4.9) is satisfied if $c = d = k, 1 + e = 2k/\alpha$ and $\alpha/2 < k < \alpha$. To prove (4.8) we can use the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 (Thm. 2.2 in [Bil99]). *Let $\mathbf{P}, (\mathbf{P}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$, be probability measures on $D[0, t]$, and suppose that*

- \mathcal{A}_P is a π -system⁵
- Every open set is a countable union of elements in \mathcal{A}_P .

If $\mathbf{P}_m(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_P$, then $\mathbf{P}_m \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

In K the set of all balls is a basis for the topology. In K^N , the set of all products of balls, $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_N$, is a basis for the topology. This set is also closed under finite intersections, so we can use Theorem 4.2 to prove convergence of the finite dimensional distributions. So fix a set $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_N$. Let $a_n \in X_n \rightarrow a \in K$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We wish to prove that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^{n, t_1, \dots, t_N}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_N) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a^{t_1, \dots, t_N}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^{n, t_1, \dots, t_N}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_N) &= \sum_{b_i \in A_i, 1 \leq i \leq N} p_{t_1, n}(b_1 - a_n) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}, n}(b_N - b_{N-1}) q^{-nN}. \end{aligned}$$

Let n be large enough so that the balls A_1, \dots, A_N all have radius larger than q^{-n} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^{n, t_1, \dots, t_N}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_N) &= \sum_{b_i \in A_i, 1 \leq i \leq N} p_{t_1, n}(b_1 - a_n) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}, n}(b_N - b_{N-1}) q^{-nN} \\ &= \sum_{b_i \in A_i, 1 \leq i \leq N-1} \int_{A_N} p_{t_1, n}(b_1 - a_n) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}, n}(x_N - b_{N-1}) q^{-n(N-1)} dx_N \\ &= \int_{A_1} \cdots \int_{A_N} p_{t_1, n}(x_1 - a_n) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}, n}(x_N - x_{N-1}) dx_N \cdots dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_a^{t_1, \dots, t_N}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_N) &= \int_{A_1} \cdots \int_{A_N} p_{t_1}(x_1 - a) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}}(x_N - x_{N-1}) dx_N \cdots dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

When $a_n \rightarrow a$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A_1} \cdots \int_{A_N} p_{t_1, n}(x_1 - a_n) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}, n}(x_N - x_{N-1}) dx_N \cdots dx_1 \\ &\rightarrow \int_{A_1} \cdots \int_{A_N} p_{t_1}(x_1 - a) \cdots p_{t_N - t_{N-1}}(x_N - x_{N-1}) dx_N \cdots dx_1 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1, and since the probability densities converge uniformly on compact sets. Thus $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^{n, t_1, \dots, t_N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a^{t_1, \dots, t_N}$ and hence $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a$. We have proved:

Theorem 4.3 (Weak Convergence of Unconditioned Measures). *Let $a_n \in X_n$, $a \in K$ be such that $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where, we recall, \Rightarrow denotes weak convergence of measures.

⁵A class of subsets is a π -system if it is closed under the formation of finite intersections.

4.2. Convergence of Conditioned Measures. Let $a, b \in X_n$. The conditioned measure $\mathbf{P}_{a,b,t}^n$ of a Borel set $A \subset D[0, t]$ is defined by⁶

$$\mathbf{P}_{a,b,t}^n(A) = \frac{\mathbf{P}_a^n(A \cap (\omega(t) = b))}{\mathbf{P}_a^n(\omega(t) = b)}. \quad (4.10)$$

In this subsection we wish to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4 (Weak Convergence of Conditioned Measures). *If $a_n \in X_n \rightarrow a \in K$ and $b_n \in X_n \rightarrow b \in K$, then $\mathbf{P}_{a_n,b_n,t}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a,b,t}$. The convergence is uniform when (a, b) varies in compact subsets of $K \times K$.*

The proof of this theorem will occupy the remainder of this subsection. We first prove the statement about weak convergence. To do this we first prove it for the corresponding finite dimensional distributions.

Proposition 4.2. *Let a_n, b_n, a, b be as in the theorem, and pick time points $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N < t$ in $[0, t]$. Then*

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n,b_n,t}^{n,t_1,\dots,t_N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a,b,t}^{t_1,\dots,t_N}.$$

Proof. Let $J_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, be balls in K . Then by definition

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n,b_n,t}^n(\omega(t_i) \in J_i) = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n((\omega(t_i) \in J_i) \cap (\omega(t) = b_n))}{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(\omega(t) = b_n)}.$$

Here the denominator is equal to $p_{t,n}(b_n - a_n)q^{-n}$. For the numerator we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n((\omega(t_i) \in J_i) \cap (\omega(t) = b_n)) \\ &= \int_{J_1} \cdots \int_{J_N} p_{t_1,n}(x_1 - a_n) \cdots p_{t-t_N,n}(b_n - x_N) q^{-n} dx_N \cdots dx_1, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}_{a_n,b_n,t}^n(\omega(t_i) \in J_i) \\ &= \frac{\int_{J_1} \cdots \int_{J_N} p_{t_1,n}(x_1 - a_n) \cdots p_{t-t_N,n}(b_n - x_N) dx_N \cdots dx_1}{p_{t,n}(b_n - a_n)} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\int_{J_1} \cdots \int_{J_N} p_{t_1}(x_1 - a) \cdots p_{t-t_N}(b - x_N) dx_N \cdots dx_1}{p_t(b - a)} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{a,b,t}(\omega(t_i) \in J_i), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.2. From Theorem 4.2 it now follows that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n,b_n,t}^{n,t_1,\dots,t_N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a,b,t}^{t_1,\dots,t_N}$. \square

To finish the proof that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n,b_n,t}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a,b,t}$, we invoke a result from Billingsley [Bil99]. To state it we need a concept which for Skorokhod functions plays the role of the modulus of continuity:

$$m(\omega : \delta) = \sup_{\substack{s_1 < s < s_2 \\ 0 < s_2 - s_1 < \delta}} \min\{|\omega(s_2) - \omega(s)|, |\omega(s) - \omega(s_1)|\}. \quad (4.11)$$

Theorem 4.5 (Thm. 13.1 in [Bil99]). *Let $\mathbf{P}, (\mathbf{P}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$, be probability measures on $D[0, t]$. If $\mathbf{P}_k^{t_1,\dots,t_N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{t_1,\dots,t_N}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for all finite sets of time points t_1, \dots, t_N and if for every $\eta > 0$*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_k(\{\omega : m(\omega : \delta) > \eta\}) = 0$$

uniformly in k , then $\mathbf{P}_k \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

⁶Here and in the following we use the probabilist's notation for sets: $(\omega(t) = b)$ is a shortcut notation for the set $\{\omega : \omega(t) = b\}$. More generally, for time points $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_N \leq t$ and Borel sets $J_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, the notation $(\omega(t_i) \in J_i)$ means $\{\omega : \omega(t_i) \in J_i, i = 1 \dots N\}$.

What is left is to prove is that for every $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(\{\omega : m(\omega : \delta) > \eta\}) = 0$$

uniformly in n . To do this we will follow [DVV94]. The idea is to bound the conditioned measures by the unconditioned measures, and use that the latter are tight.

Define for each $\delta, \eta > 0$

$$A(\delta, \eta) = \{\omega : m(\omega : \delta) > \eta\}. \quad (4.12)$$

Also define m_1 and m_2 to be the analogues of m on the time intervals $[0, 3t/4]$ and $[t/4, t]$, respectively.

If $\delta < t/2$, then s_1 and s_2 are in the same time interval, so

$$m(\omega : \delta) = \max\{m_1(\omega : \delta), m_2(\omega : \delta)\}.$$

With $A_j(\delta, \eta) = \{\omega : m_j(\omega : \delta) > \eta\}$ for $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$A(\delta, \eta) = A_1(\delta, \eta) \cup A_2(\delta, \eta).$$

Then it is enough to prove that for every $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A_j(\delta, \eta)) = 0,$$

uniformly in n for $j = 1, 2$. We will first prove it for $j = 1$ and prove the case $j = 2$ by time reflection.

By definition

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A_1) = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(t) = b_n))}{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(\omega(t) = b_n)}. \quad (4.13)$$

The denominator is equal to $p_{t,n}(b_n - a_n)q^{-n}$. For the numerator we have, for large enough n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(t) = b_n)) &= \sum_{x \in X_n} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(3t/4) = x) \cap (\omega(t) = b_n)) \\ &= \sum_{x \in X_n} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(3t/4) = x) \cap (\omega(t) - \omega(3t/4) = b_n - x)) \\ &= \sum_{x \in X_n} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(3t/4) = x)) \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(\omega(t) - \omega(3t/4) = b_n - x) \end{aligned}$$

by independent increments. Furthermore, we have the equality

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(\omega(t) - \omega(3t/4) = b_n - x) = \mathbf{P}_0^n(\omega(t/4) = b_n - x),$$

which follows from the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(\omega(t) - \omega(3t/4) = b_n - x) \\ &= \sum_{y \in X_n} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n((\omega(3t/4) = y) \cap (\omega(t) = y + b_n - x)) \\ &= \sum_{y \in X_n} p_{3t/4,n}(y - a_n) p_{t/4,n}(b_n - x) q^{-2n} = p_{t/4,n}(b_n - x) q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} p_{3t/4,n}(y - a_n) q^{-n} \\ &= p_{t/4,n}(b_n - x) q^{-n} = \mathbf{P}_0^n(\omega(t/4) = b_n - x). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(t) = b_n)) \\
&= \sum_{x \in X_n} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(3t/4) = x)) \mathbf{P}_0^n(\omega(t/4) = b_n - x) \\
&\leq \sum_{x \in X_n} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1 \cap (\omega(3t/4) = x)) \sup_{z \in X_n} p_{t/4,n}(z) q^{-n} \\
&= \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1) \sup_{z \in X_n} p_{t/4,n}(z) q^{-n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Putting this back into equation (4.13) we get

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A_1) \leq \frac{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1) \sup_{z \in X_n} p_{t/4,n}(z)}{p_{t,n}(b_n - a_n)}.$$

The denominator $p_{t,n}(b_n - a_n)$ converges to $p_t(b - a) > 0$, so there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $p_{t,n}(b_n - a_n) \geq \gamma$ for large n . Also, there is a $\gamma' > 0$ such that $\sup_{z \in X_n} p_{t/4,n}(z) \leq \gamma'$, so

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A_1) \leq \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1).$$

The measures $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n$ are tight, so – by [Bil99, Thm. 13.2] and the discussion following it – we have, for every $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A_1(\delta, \eta)) \leq \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1(\delta, \eta)) = 0$$

uniformly in n . This proves the statement for $j = 1$.

To deal with the case $j = 2$, we define an operation of time reflection on $D[0, t]$ by

$$\omega^*(s) = \omega(t - s - 0), \quad 0 \leq s < t \tag{4.15}$$

and $\omega^*(t) = \omega(0)$. Time reflection is an involutive Borel transformation on $D[0, t]$. At the level of measures we define, for any probability measure \mathbf{P} on $D[0, t]$, the time reflected probability measure \mathbf{P}^* by $\mathbf{P}^*(E) = \mathbf{P}(E^*)$. With this definition

$$(\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n)^* = \mathbf{P}_{b_n, a_n, t}^n \tag{4.16}$$

This comes from the fact that if $s' < s$, then

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(\omega : |Y_s - Y_{s'}| > \epsilon) = \mathbf{P}_{0, b_n - a_n, t}^n(\omega : |Y_{s-s'}| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

as s' goes to s from below. So $Y_{s'}$ converges to Y_s in measure, but it also converges to Y_{s-} in measure, which shows that $Y_s = Y_{s-}$ almost everywhere. Since this proves that a path is left continuous with probability one at any given time point, the measures $(\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n)^*$ and $\mathbf{P}_{b_n, a_n, t}^n$ coincide on cylinder sets, hence on all Borel sets.

Now define m_1^* as the same as m_1 except that $\omega(s)$ is replaced by $\omega(s - 0)$. Then

$$A_2(\delta, \eta)^* = \{\omega : m_1^*(\omega : \delta) > \eta\}$$

and

$$\{\omega : m_1^*(\omega : \delta) > \eta\} \subset \{\omega : m_1(\omega : 2\delta) > \eta\}.$$

This gives

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A_2(\delta, \eta)) = \mathbf{P}_{b_n, a_n, t}^n(A_2(\delta, \eta)^*) \leq \mathbf{P}_{b_n, a_n, t}^n(A_1(2\delta, \eta)),$$

and hence

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A_2(\delta, \eta)) = 0$$

for every $\eta > 0$, uniformly in n . Thus for every $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n(A(\delta, \eta)) = 0$$

uniformly in n , and by Theorem 4.5, we get that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, t}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a, b, t}$, and we have proved the first part of Theorem 4.4.

For the second part, let g be a bounded continuous function on $D[0, t]$, and consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(x, y) &= \sum_{(u, v) \in X_n \times X_n} \int_{D[0, t]} g(\omega) d\mathbf{P}_{u, v, t}^n(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{(u+H_n) \times (v+H_n)}(x, y) \\ h(x, y) &= \int_{D[0, t]} g(\omega) d\mathbf{P}_{x, y, t}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

for $(x, y) \in K \times K$. The first part of the theorem tells us that h_n converges continuously to h . On a compact subset A of $K \times K$, this implies uniform convergence on A .

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

We end this section by a theorem on the support of the measures \mathbf{P}_a^n .

Theorem 4.6. *For each $a \in X_n$ the measure \mathbf{P}_a^n gives full measure to the paths on the grid, that is,*

$$\mathbf{P}_a^n(\omega : \omega(s) \in X_n, \forall s \in [0, t]) = 1$$

Proof. By definition of \mathbf{P}_a^n , we have $\mathbf{P}_a^n(\omega(t_i) \in X_n, 1 \leq i \leq N) = 1$ for any finite set of time points t_1, \dots, t_N in $[0, t]$. Now take an increasing sequence of sets of finitely many time points F_i such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, t]$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}_a^n(\omega : \omega(s) \in X_n, \forall s \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, t]) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_a^n(\omega : \omega(s) \in X_n, \forall s \in F_i) = 1$$

By right-continuity of the paths, the result follows. \square

5. Feynman-Kac at the Finite Level

5.1. The Feynman-Kac formula. For the rest of this article we will often encounter the expression e^{-tH} . This is well-defined on account of the self-adjointness of H (see [BD15] for details).

The Feynman-Kac formula for the Hamiltonian H over K says

$$(e^{-tH} f)(x) = \int_K K_t(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(K), \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$K_t(x, y) = \int_{D[0, t]} e^{-\int_0^t v(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{x, y, t}(\omega) \cdot p_t(y - x). \quad (5.2)$$

For a proof in the real case, see, e.g., [Var94, Theorem 1, Ch. 10]. The same proof works over a local field.

We now prove that we have a Feynman-Kac formula also at the finite level.

Theorem 5.1 (Feynman-Kac at the finite level).

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-tH_n} f)(x) &= \int_{X_n} K_t^n(x, y) f(y) d\mu_n(y) \\ &= q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} K_t^n(x, y) f(y), \quad f \in L^2(X_n) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$K_t^n(x, y) = \int_{D[0, t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{x, y, t}^n(\omega) \cdot p_{t, n}(y - x), \quad x, y \in X_n. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. By (3.9) we have

$$e^{-tP_n^\alpha} f = p_{t,n} * f,$$

where $*$ is convolution on X_n :

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{X_n} f(y)g(x-y) d\mu_n(y) = q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} f(y)g(x-y).$$

This gives

$$(e^{-tP_n^\alpha/N} e^{-tV_n/N} f)(x) = \int_{X_n} p_{t/N,n}(y-x) e^{-tv_n(y)/N} f(y) d\mu_n(y)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & ((e^{-tP_n^\alpha/N} e^{-tV_n/N})^N f)(x) \\ &= \int_{X_n^N} p_{t/N,n}(x_1-x) \cdots p_{t/N,n}(x_N-x_{N-1}) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot e^{-t(v_n(x_1)+\cdots+v_n(x_N))/N} f(x_N) d\mu_n(x_N) \cdots d\mu_n(x_1) \\ &= q^{-nN} \sum_{X_n^N} p_{t/N,n}(x_1-x) \cdots p_{t/N,n}(x_N-x_{N-1}) e^{-t(v_n(x_1)+\cdots+v_n(x_N))/N} f(x_N) \end{aligned}$$

Let $t_r = rt/N$ for $1 \leq r \leq N$. Defining $Y_{t_1, \dots, t_N}(\omega) = (\omega(t_1), \dots, \omega(t_N))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D[0,t]} e^{-(t/N) \sum_{r=1}^N v_n(\omega(rt/N))} f(\omega(t)) d\mathbf{P}_x^n(\omega) \\ &= \int_{K^N} e^{-t(v_n(x_1)+\cdots+v_n(x_N))/N} f(x_N) d\mathbf{P}_x^n \circ Y_{t_1, \dots, t_N}^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ &= q^{-nN} \sum_{X_n^N} p_{t/N,n}(x_1-x) \cdots p_{t/N,n}(x_N-x_{N-1}) e^{-t(v_n(x_1)+\cdots+v_n(x_N))/N} f(x_N). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these equations we get

$$((e^{-tP_n^\alpha/N} e^{-tV_n/N})^N f)(x) = \int_{D[0,t]} e^{-(t/N) \sum_{r=1}^N v_n(\omega(rt/N))} f(\omega(t)) d\mathbf{P}_x^n(\omega).$$

Now let $N \rightarrow \infty$. By Trotter's product formula, the left hand side converges to $(e^{-tH_n} f)(x)$. The right hand side converges to $\int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} f(\omega(t)) d\mathbf{P}_x^n(\omega)$ by bounded convergence and by Riemann integrability of $v_n \circ \omega$ over $[0, t]$. This gives, for $f \in L^2(X_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-tH_n} f)(x) &= \int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} f(\omega(t)) d\mathbf{P}_x^n(\omega) \\ &= \int_{X_n} \left(\int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} f(\omega(t)) d\mathbf{P}_{x,y,t}^n(\omega) p_{t,n}(y-x) \right) d\mu_n(y) \\ &= \int_{X_n} \left(\int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{x,y,t}^n(\omega) p_{t,n}(y-x) \right) f(y) d\mu_n(y) \\ &= q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} \left(\int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{x,y,t}^n(\omega) p_{t,n}(y-x) \right) f(y) \\ &= q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} K_t^n(x, y) f(y) \\ &= \int_{X_n} K_t^n(x, y) f(y) d\mu_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Elementary properties of the propagator. We collect here some elementary properties of the propagator K_t^n .

Let $\{e_x\}_{x \in X_n}$ be the canonical basis for $L^2(X_n)$, i.e., $e_x = q^{n/2} \mathbf{1}_x$. Then $\text{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) = \sum_{x \in X_n} \langle e^{-tH_n} e_x, e_x \rangle$ by definition. From the Feynman-Kac formula:

$$(e^{-tH_n} e_x)(y) = q^{-n} \sum_{z \in X_n} K_t^n(y, z) e_x(z) = q^{-n} K_t^n(y, x) q^{n/2} = q^{-n/2} K_t^n(y, x),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{-tH_n} e_x, e_y \rangle &= \int_{X_n} (e^{-tH_n} e_x)(z) \overline{e_y(z)} d\mu_n(z) = \sum_{z \in X_n} (e^{-tH_n} e_x)(z) e_y(z) q^{-n} \\ &= (e^{-tH_n} e_x)(y) q^{n/2} q^{-n} = q^{n/2} q^{-n} q^{-n/2} K_t^n(y, x) \\ &= q^{-n} K_t^n(y, x) \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

which gives

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) = \sum_{x \in X_n} \langle e^{-tH_n} e_x, e_x \rangle = q^{-n} \sum_{x \in X_n} K_t^n(x, x). \quad (5.6)$$

Since $\langle e^{-tH_n} e_x, e_y \rangle = \langle e_x, e^{-tH_n} e_y \rangle = \overline{\langle e^{-tH_n} e_y, e_x \rangle}$, we get

$$K_t^n(x, y) = \overline{K_t^n(y, x)}. \quad (5.7)$$

Like any kernel of a semi-group, K_t^n also satisfies

$$K_{t_1+t_2}^n(x, y) = \int_{X_n} K_{t_1}^n(x, z) K_{t_2}^n(z, y) d\mu_n(z) = \sum_{z \in X_n} q^{-n} K_{t_1}^n(x, z) K_{t_2}^n(z, y). \quad (5.8)$$

This follows from the following calculation (for any $f \in L^2(X_n)$):

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-(t_1+t_2)H_n} f)(x) &= (e^{-t_1 H_n} e^{-t_2 H_n} f)(x) = \sum_{z \in X_n} q^{-n} K_{t_1}^n(x, z) (e^{-t_2 H_n} f)(z) \\ &= \sum_{z \in X_n} q^{-n} K_{t_1}^n(x, z) \left(\sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} K_{t_2}^n(z, y) f(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} \left(\sum_{z \in X_n} q^{-n} K_{t_1}^n(x, z) K_{t_2}^n(z, y) \right) f(y) = \sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} K_{t_1+t_2}^n(x, y) f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since the last equality holds for all $f \in L^2(X_n)$, (5.8) follows.

6. Finite Approximations

6.1. Convergence of Traces. In this subsection we will show – under a mild growth condition on the potential – that the operator e^{-tH} is of trace class and that

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(e^{-tH}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.1)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) &= \sum_{x \in X_n} \langle e^{-tH_n} e_x, e_x \rangle = q^{-n} \sum_{x \in X_n} K_t^n(x, x) \text{ (from the previous section)} \\ \text{Tr}(e^{-tH}) &= \int_K K_t(x, x) dx \text{ (Mercer's Theorem)}. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence (6.1) will be established by proving a suitable convergence at the level of propagators. The proof is patterned on the corresponding proof in [DVV94][Sec. 4].

Lemma 6.1. *There is a constant B_t , independent of n , such that*

$$\sup_{x \in X_n} K_t^n(x, x) \leq B_t.$$

Proof. This follows from the Feynman-Kac formula and lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 6.2. *K_t^n converges continuously to K_t , i.e., if $x_n \in X_n \rightarrow x \in K$ and $y_n \in X_n \rightarrow y \in K$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$K_t^n(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow K_t(x, y).$$

In particular, K_t^n converges uniformly to K_t on compact sets.

Proof. From Lemma 4.2 we have that $p_{t,n}(y-x)$ converges uniformly on compacta to $p_t(y-x)$. Uniform convergence on a compact set implies continuous convergence on that set, hence $p_{t,n}(y_n-x_n) \rightarrow p_t(y-x)$. From Theorem 4.4 we have that

$$\int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{x_n, y_n, t}^n(\omega) \rightarrow \int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{x, y, t}(\omega).$$

The lemma now follows from the Feynman-Kac formula (Thm 5.1). \square

Corollary. *For any ball B_m we have*

$$\sum_{x \in B_m \cap X_n} q^{-n} K_t^n(x, x) \rightarrow \int_{B_m} K_t(x, x) dx$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof.

$$\sum_{x \in B_m \cap X_n} q^{-n} K_t^n(x, x) = \int_{B_m} K_t^n(x, x) d\mu(x) \rightarrow \int_{B_m} K_t(x, x) d\mu(x),$$

where in the second integral the function $x \rightarrow K_t^n(x, x)$ is regarded as an element of \mathcal{D}_n . \square

To prove the convergence of traces (6.1) we need to extend the previous result to the whole space K . For this we need some results related to Lévy's inequality. The following lemmas, which are adapted from [Var80] (with \mathbf{R} replaced by a local field K), will do the job.

Lemma 6.3 (Lévy's Inequality). *Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent random variables and let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ and $S_j = Y_1 + \dots + Y_j$. If*

$$\mathbf{P}(|Y_r + \dots + Y_l| \geq \delta) \leq \epsilon,$$

for all $1 \leq r \leq l \leq n$, then

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| > 2\delta\right) \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Proof. Define $E = \{\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq 2\delta\}$, $E_1 = \{|S_1| \geq 2\delta\}$, and $E_k = \{|S_1| < 2\delta, \dots, |S_{k-1}| < 2\delta, |S_k| \geq 2\delta\}$, $k \geq 2$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}(E \cap (|S_n| \leq \delta)) = \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (E_j \cap (|S_n| \leq \delta))\right) \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (E_j \cap (|S_n - S_j| \geq \delta))\right)$$

By independence

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (E_j \cap (|S_n - S_j| \geq \delta))\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}(E_j) \mathbf{P}(|S_n - S_j| \geq \delta) \leq \epsilon \mathbf{P}(E)$$

Also $\mathbf{P}(E \cap (|S_n| > \delta)) \leq \mathbf{P}(|S_n| > \delta) \leq \epsilon$. Combining the two inequalities gives that

$$\mathbf{P}(E) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}.$$

If $\epsilon < 1/2$, then $\mathbf{P}(E) \leq 1 < 2\epsilon$. If $\epsilon \geq 1/2$, then $\mathbf{P}(E) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \leq 2\epsilon$. Thus

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| > 2\delta\right) \leq \mathbf{P}(E) \leq 2\epsilon.$$

□

Lemma 6.4. *Let Y_t be a stochastic process with independent increments. Let I be a finite interval in $[0, \infty)$ and F a finite set of points in I . Then for every $k > 0$,*

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{s,t \in F} |Y_t - Y_s| > 4\delta\right) \leq \frac{2}{\delta^k} \sup_{s,t \in F} E_{\mathbf{P}}(|Y_t - Y_s|^k).$$

Proof. Let F be the m time points $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m$. The random variables $Y_1 = Y_{t_2} - Y_{t_1}, \dots, Y_{m-1} = Y_{t_m} - Y_{t_{m-1}}$ are independent. Also,

$$|Y_r + \dots + Y_l| = |Y_{t'} - Y_{t''}|$$

for some $t', t'' \in F$. Define ϵ by

$$\epsilon = \sup_{1 \leq r \leq l \leq m-1} \mathbf{P}(|Y_r + \dots + Y_l| \geq \delta).$$

By Chebyshev's inequality

$$\mathbf{P}(|Y_r + \dots + Y_l| \geq \delta) = \mathbf{P}(|Y_{t'} - Y_{t''}| \geq \delta) \leq \frac{1}{\delta^k} E_{\mathbf{P}}(|Y_{t'} - Y_{t''}|^k),$$

and so

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\delta^k} E_{\mathbf{P}}(|Y_{t'} - Y_{t''}|^k).$$

By Lemma 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{s,t \in F} |Y_t - Y_s| > 4\delta\right) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq i \leq m} |Y_{t_i} - Y_{t_1}| > 2\delta\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq i \leq m-1} |Y_1 + \dots + Y_i| > 2\delta\right) \\ & \leq 2\epsilon \leq \sup_{s,t \in F} \frac{2}{\delta^k} E_{\mathbf{P}}(|Y_t - Y_s|^k) \end{aligned}$$

□

Returning to our measures \mathbf{P}_x^n , we have

Lemma 6.5. *Let I be a finite interval in $[0, \infty)$. Then for every $k > 0$,*

$$\mathbf{P}_x^n\left(\sup_{s,t \in I} |Y_t - Y_s| > 4\delta\right) \leq \frac{2}{\delta^k} \sup_{s,t \in I} E_{\mathbf{P}_x^n}(|Y_t - Y_s|^k).$$

Proof. Let F_n be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of I such that $I \cap \mathbf{Q} = \bigcup F_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}_x^n\left(\sup_{s,t \in I \cap \mathbf{Q}} |Y_t - Y_s| > 4\delta\right) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_x^n\left(\sup_{s,t \in F_n} |Y_t - Y_s| > 4\delta\right) \leq \frac{2}{\delta^k} \sup_{s,t \in I \cap \mathbf{Q}} E_{\mathbf{P}_x^n}(|Y_t - Y_s|^k). \end{aligned}$$

By right-continuity of the process,

$$\mathbf{P}_x^n\left(\sup_{s,t \in I} |Y_t - Y_s| > 4\delta\right) \leq \sup_{s,t \in I} \frac{2}{\delta^k} E_{\mathbf{P}_x^n}(|Y_t - Y_s|^k).$$

□

Recall that α is the exponent appearing in the definition of the Hamiltonian: $H = P^\alpha + V$.

Proposition 6.1. *Pick a real number k with $0 < k < \alpha$, and let $x \in X_n$. There exists a constant $A_k > 0$, independent of n and x , such that*

$$K_t^n(x, x) \leq A_k \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(x)} + \frac{1}{|x|^k} \right),$$

where $v^*(x) = \inf_{|y|=|x|} v(y)$.

Proof. Define R_1 and R_2 by

$$R_1 = \{\omega | \omega(0) = x, |\omega(s)| = |x|, \forall s \in [0, t/2]\}$$

$$R_2 = \{\omega | \omega(0) = x, |\omega(s)| \neq |x| \text{ for some } s \in [0, t/2]\}$$

and

$$I_i = \int_{R_i} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} \mathbf{1}_x(\omega(t)) d\mathbf{P}_x^n(\omega).$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then by Feynman-Kac,

$$q^{-n} K_t^n(x, x) = I_1 + I_2$$

For $\omega \in R_1$

$$\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds \geq \int_0^{t/2} v_n(\omega(s)) ds \geq \frac{t}{2} v_n^*(x) \geq \frac{t}{2} v^*(x),$$

so we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{R_1} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} \mathbf{1}_x(\omega(t)) d\mathbf{P}_x^n(\omega) \leq e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(x)} \mathbf{P}_x^n(R_1 \cap (\omega(t) = x)) \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(x)} \mathbf{P}_x^n(R_1) q^{-n} \sup_{y \in X_n} p_{t/2, n}(y) \leq A'_k q^{-n} e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

where A'_k is independent of x and n , and where the next to last inequality follows from a calculation similar to that of (4.14). Also, by Lemma 6.5,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \mathbf{P}_x^n(R_2 \cap (\omega(t) = x)) \leq \mathbf{P}_x^n(R_2) q^{-n} \sup_{y \in X_n} p_{t/2, n}(y) \\ &\leq A''_k q^{-n} \mathbf{P}_x^n(|\omega(s) - \omega(0)| \geq |x| \text{ for some } s \in [0, t/2]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 6.5}}{\leq} q^{-n} A'''_k \frac{2}{|x|^k} \sup_{u, s \in [0, t/2]} E_{\mathbf{P}_x^n}(|Y_u - Y_s|^k) \\ &\leq q^{-n} A''''_k \frac{1}{|x|^k}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where the last inequality follows from the computations in the proof of Proposition 4.1. Setting $A_k = \max(A'_k, A''''_k)$, this gives

$$K_t^n(x, x) \leq A_k \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(x)} + \frac{1}{|x|^k} \right).$$

□

Theorem 6.1. *Assume $\alpha > 1$. If $\frac{|v(x)|}{\ln(|x|)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, then e^{-tH} is of trace class and*

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(e^{-tH})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Choose a k with $1 < k < \alpha$. By the previous lemma,

$$\sum_{|x|=q^m} K_t^n(x, x) \leq (q-1)q^{m-1+n} \cdot A_k \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(\beta^{-m})} + \frac{1}{q^{mk}} \right) \quad (6.3)$$

$$= q^n \cdot A_k \cdot (1-1/q) \left(q^m e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(\beta^{-m})} + \frac{q^m}{q^{mk}} \right). \quad (6.4)$$

So we have

$$\sum_{|x| \geq q^m} q^{-n} \cdot K_t^n(x, x) \leq A_k \cdot (1-1/q) \sum_{i \geq m} \left(q^i e^{-\frac{t}{2}v^*(\beta^{-i})} + \frac{q^i}{q^{ik}} \right)$$

which for $k > 1$ goes to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n . Thus

$$\sum_{|x| \geq q^m} q^{-n} \cdot K_t^n(x, x) \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n . Since

$$\int_{|x| \geq q^m} K_t(x, x) dx = \int_{|x| \geq q^m} \int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{x,x,t}(\omega) \cdot p_t(0) dx,$$

the same calculations as above show that the integral converges. All of this now shows that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) = \sum_{x \in X_n} q^{-n} K_t^n(x, x) \rightarrow \int_K K_t(x, x) dx.$$

By Mercer's Theorem we have $\int_K K_t(x, x) dx = \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH})$, and so

$$\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH}).$$

□

6.2. Convergence of Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions. We first wish to use the fact that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH_n}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH})$$

to prove that e^{-tH_n} converges to e^{-tH} in the trace norm.

From [BD15] we know that $e^{-tH_n} \rightarrow e^{-tH}$ strongly. This immediately implies

Lemma 6.6. *For any operator L of finite rank we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH_n} L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tH} L)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let \mathcal{H}_2 denote the Hilbert-Schmidt operators with inner product $\langle S, T \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(T^* S)$ and corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. Also let $\|T\|_1 = \mathrm{Tr}(|T|)$ denote the trace norm.

The proofs of the remaining results of this section follow the same pattern as in [DVV94], but we include them here for completeness.

Theorem 6.2. *For any $t > 0$,*

$$\|e^{-tH_n} - e^{-tH}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We will first prove that

$$\|e^{-tH_n} - e^{-tH}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This follows if

$$\|e^{-tH_n}\|_2 \rightarrow \|e^{-tH}\|_2$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\langle e^{-tH_n}, L \rangle \rightarrow \langle e^{-tH}, L \rangle$$

for all $L \in \mathcal{H}_2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From Proposition 6.1, we get that

$$\|e^{-tH_n}\|_2^2 = \text{Tr}(e^{-2tH_n}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(e^{-2tH}) = \|e^{-tH}\|_2^2$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Lemma 6.6,

$$\langle e^{-tH_n}, L \rangle = \text{Tr}(L^* e^{-tH_n}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(L^* e^{-tH}) = \langle e^{-tH}, L \rangle$$

for all operators L of finite rank. By density of finite rank operators in \mathcal{H}_2 , the result follows.

To go from convergence in Hilbert-Schmidt norm to convergence in trace norm, we use the inequality

$$\|A^2 - B^2\|_1 \leq \|(A+B)\|_2 \cdot \|(A-B)\|_2 + 2\|B\|_2 \cdot \|(A-B)\|_2$$

which follows from

$$A^2 - B^2 = (A+B)(A-B) + (A-B)B - B(A-B).$$

With $A = e^{-\frac{t}{2}H_n}$ and $B = e^{-\frac{t}{2}H}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH_n} - e^{-tH}\|_1 &\leq \|(e^{-\frac{t}{2}H_n} + e^{-\frac{t}{2}H})\|_2 \cdot \|(e^{-\frac{t}{2}H_n} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}H})\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2\|e^{-\frac{t}{2}H}\|_2 \cdot \|(e^{-\frac{t}{2}H_n} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}H})\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the theorem. \square

Convergence in trace norm implies convergence in operator norm which gives convergence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions (see pp. 289-290 in [RS80]). Thus we have proved by stochastic methods the following result, which was the main theorem both in [DVV94] and [BD15] ($\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes spectrum, $r(\cdot)$ denotes range projection, and P^A denotes spectral measure of an operator A):

Theorem 6.3 (Main Theorem). *(1) If J is a compact subset of $[0, \infty)$ with $J \cap \sigma(H) = \emptyset$, then $J \cap \sigma(H_n) = \emptyset$ for large n .*
(2) If $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$, there exists a sequence (λ_n) with $\lambda_n \in \sigma(H_n)$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$. Further, if J is a compact neighborhood of an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$, not containing any other eigenvalues of H , then any sequence λ_n with $\lambda_n \in \sigma(H_n) \cap J$ converges to λ .
(3) Let λ and J be as in (2). Then $\dim P^{H_n}(J) = \dim P^H(J)$ for large n , and for each orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ for $r(P^H(J))$ there is, for each n , an orthonormal basis $\{e_1^n, \dots, e_m^n\}$ for $r(P^{H_n}(J))$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_i^n = e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Finally, we are now ready to reap the benefits from using stochastic methods by showing that the eigenfunctions can be chosen to be continuous, and that they converge uniformly on compact sets.

Lemma 6.7. *For each $t > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(t)$ such that for any $h \in L^2(K)$ and any n ,*

$$\|e^{-tH_n}h\|_\infty \leq C\|h\|_{L^2(K)}, \quad \|e^{-tH}h\|_\infty \leq C\|h\|_{L^2(K)}.$$

Proof. First note that $e^{-tH_n}f$ and $e^{-tH}f$ are continuous functions. By Feynman-Kac,

$$0 \leq K_t(x, y) \leq p_t(y - x).$$

By [Var97, Lemma 2, Sec. 4] we know that p_t is in $L^2(K)$. Thus for every $x \in K$,

$$|e^{-tH}h(x)| = \left| \int_K K_t(x, y)h(y) dy \right| \leq \int_K p_t(y - x)|h(y)| dy \leq \|p_t\|_{L^2(K)} \cdot \|h\|_{L^2(K)}$$

Interpreting h as a function on X_n , we have by the finite Feynman-Kac formula (5.3):

$$(e^{-tH_n}h)(x) = \sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} K_t^n(x, y) \cdot h(y),$$

so ($B_t =$ the constant from Lemma 6.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |(e^{-tH_n}h)(x)|^2 &= \left| \sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} K_t^n(x, y) h(y) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} |K_t^n(x, y)|^2 \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} |h(y)|^2 \right) \\ &\stackrel{(5.7)}{=} \left(\sum_{y \in X_n} q^{-n} K_t^n(x, y) K_t^n(y, x) \right) \cdot \|h\|_{L^2(X_n)}^2 \stackrel{(5.8)}{=} K_{2t}^n(x, x) \cdot \|h\|_{L^2(X_n)}^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 6.1}}{\leq} B_{2t} \cdot \|h\|_{L^2(K)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

So with $C = \max(\|p_t\|_{L^2(K)}, B_{2t})$, the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 6.8. *Fix $t > 0$. Then for each $h \in L^2(K)$,*

$$e^{-tH_n}h \rightarrow e^{-tH}h$$

uniformly on compacta.

Proof. We will prove it for a Schwartz-Bruhat function h , and then the general result follows from Lemma 6.7. Let J be the union of a finite set of balls which cover the support of h . We have $K_t^n \rightarrow K_t$ uniformly on compacta in $K \times K$ (Lemma 6.2). As h is Schwartz-Bruhat, $D_n h = h$ for n sufficiently large. Thus $K_t^n h \rightarrow K_t h$ uniformly on compacta. Let L be a compact set. Then for $x \in L$

$$|e^{-tH_n}h(x) - e^{-tH}h(x)| \leq \int_J |K_t^n(x, y)h(y) - K_t(x, y)h(y)| dy \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x . \square

Theorem 6.4 (Uniform Convergence on Compacta for Eigenfunctions). *Let $f_{n,j}$ and f_j be eigenfunctions of H_n and H corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n,j}$ and λ_j respectively. Assume that $\lambda_{n,j}$ converges to λ_j and that $f_{n,j}$ converges to f_j in $L^2(K)$. Then*

$$f_{n,j} \rightarrow f_j \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on compacta.

Proof. We will first prove that

$$e^{-tH_n}f_{n,j} \rightarrow e^{-tH}f_j \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on compacta. Let M be a compact set. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH_n}f_{n,j} - e^{-tH}f_j\|_{L^\infty(M)} \\ \leq \|e^{-tH_n}f_{n,j} - e^{-tH_n}f_j\|_{L^\infty(M)} + \|e^{-tH_n}f_j - e^{-tH}f_j\|_{L^\infty(M)} \end{aligned}$$

This goes to 0 by Lemma 6.7 and 6.8.

Now we know that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$e^{-t\lambda_{n,j}}f_{n,j} = e^{-tH_n}f_{n,j} \rightarrow e^{-tH}f_j = e^{-t\lambda_j}f_j$$

uniformly on compacta. Since $e^{-t\lambda_{n,j}} \rightarrow e^{-t\lambda_j}$, the result follows. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The two first named authors would like to thank the UCLA Math Department – and Professor Varadarajan in particular – for the hospitality afforded to them during their stay in Fall 2014/Winter 2015.

REFERENCES

- [AGK00] S. Albeverio, E. I. Gordon, and A. Yu. Khrennikov, *Finite-dimensional approximations of operators in the Hilbert spaces of functions on locally compact abelian groups*, Acta Appl. Math. **64** (2000), no. 1, 33–73. MR 2002f:47030 1
- [AK94] Sergio Albeverio and Witold Karwowski, *A random walk on p -adics—the generator and its spectrum*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **53** (1994), no. 1, 1–22. MR 1290704 (96g:60088) 1
- [AKZ99] Sergio Albeverio, Witold Karwowski, and Xuelei Zhao, *Asymptotics and spectral results for random walks on p -adics*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **83** (1999), no. 1, 39–59. MR 1705599 (2000i:60082) 1
- [BD15] E. M. Bakken and T. Digernes, *Finite approximations of physical models over local fields*, p -Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. **7** (2015), no. 4, 245–258. MR 3418792 1, 2, 1, 2.2, 2.2.1, 5.1, 6.2, 6.2
- [Bil99] Patrick Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, second ed., Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1700749 (2000e:60008) 4.1, 4.2, 4.2, 4.5, 4.2
- [CCZG13] L. F. Chacón-Cortes and W. A. Zúñiga-Galindo, *Nonlocal operators, parabolic-type equations, and ultrametric random walks*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), no. 11, 113503, 17. MR 3137041 1
- [DVV94] Trond Digernes, Veeravalli S. Varadarajan, and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Finite approximations to quantum systems*, Rev. Math. Phys. **6** (1994), no. 4, 621–648. MR 96e:81028 1, 4.2, 6.1, 6.2, 6.2
- [Koc91] A. N. Kochubei, *Parabolic equations over the field of p -adic numbers*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **55** (1991), no. 6, 1312–1330. MR 1152215 (93e:35050) 1
- [Koc01] Anatoly N. Kochubei, *Pseudo-differential equations and stochastics over non-Archimedean fields*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 244, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2001. MR MR1848777 (2003b:35220) 1, 2.2, 3, 3
- [Øks98] Bernt Øksendal, *Stochastic differential equations*, fifth ed., Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, An introduction with applications. MR 1619188 4
- [RS80] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I*, second ed., Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1980, Functional analysis. MR 751959 (85e:46002) 6.2
- [Var80] S. R. S. Varadhan, *Lectures on diffusion problems and partial differential equations*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, vol. 64, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1980, With notes by Pl. Muthuramalingam and Tara R. Nanda. MR 607678 (83j:60087) 6.1
- [Var94] V. S. Varadarajan, *Path integrals*, Unpublished notes, 1994. 4.1, 4.1, 5.1
- [Var97] Veeravalli S. Varadarajan, *Path integrals for a class of p -adic Schrödinger equations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **39** (1997), no. 2, 97–106. MR MR1437745 (98m:81083) 1, 3, 4, 4, 4, 6.2
- [VVZ94] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich, and E. I. Zelenov, *p -adic analysis and mathematical physics*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1994. MR 95k:11155 1, 2.2, 3, 3

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

E-mail address: erikmaki@math.ntnu.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

E-mail address: digernes@math.ntnu.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521

E-mail address: weisbart@math.ucr.edu