

1 Foaming properties of acid-soluble protein rich ingredient obtained from industrial rapeseed
2 meal.

3
4 Petya Ivanova¹, Hristo Kalaydzhiev¹, Tzvetelin T. Dessev², Cristina L. M. Silva³, Turid Rustad⁴,

5 Vesela I. Chalova^{1*}

6
7 ¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Food Technologies, 26
8 Maritsa Blvd, Plovdiv 4002, Bulgaria

9 ²Department of Technology of Cereal and Bakery Products, University of Food Technologies, 26
10 Maritsa Blvd, Plovdiv 4002, Bulgaria

11 ³CBQF - Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina – Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de
12 Biotecnologia, Rua Arquiteto Lobão Vital, 172, 4200-374 Porto, Portugal

13 ⁴Department of Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Science and
14 Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 *Corresponding author:
25 University of Food Technologies
26 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology
27 26 Maritsa Blvd.
28 Plovdiv 4002
29 Bulgaria
30 Tel: 0395 32 603 855
31 E-mail: veselachalova@gmail.com
32

33

34 Foaming properties of acid-soluble protein-rich ingredient obtained from industrial rapeseed meal

35

36 **Abstract**

37 The use of the rapeseed meal as a source for preparation of protein-rich ingredients for the
38 food industry is an alternative to the current limited application as a feed additive. The aim of this
39 study was to evaluate foaming properties of an acid-soluble protein-rich ingredient (ASP)
40 obtained from industrial rapeseed meal as a co-product of a protein isolate. Foam capacity and
41 stability over a period of 60 min were evaluated by using volumetric and image analyzing
42 methods. The influence of NaCl at two boundary concentrations (0.03 M and 0.25 M) was
43 studied over a pH range from 2 to 10. The ASP exhibited high foamability (> 90%), not
44 influenced by pH or salt addition. In contrast, foam stability, measured over a 60 min period, was
45 pH and NaCl dependent. By the end of the observation period, the addition of 0.25 M NaCl
46 reduced the foam volume by more than 70% at all pH values. After 30 min at pH values 4, 6 and
47 8, which are the most common for food products, the foams without NaCl retained 51%, 38% and
48 41% of the initial foam volume, respectively. The results were in agreement with image analysis
49 observations where microstructure of the foams with NaCl was more heterogeneous than that of
50 the foams without salt addition. The high foamability and relatively high foam stability at pH
51 from 4 to 8 without NaCl addition shows that ASP could be a potential alternative to plant
52 proteins currently used as foaming agents in the food industry.

53

54

55 **Keywords:** ethanol treated rapeseed meal; acid-soluble protein-rich ingredient co-production;
56 foam capacity and stability, foam microstructure; image analysis

57

58 **Introduction**

59 Rapeseed meal is a by-product of rapeseed oil production. The worldwide production has
60 steadily increased and is now the second after that of soybean meal (Carré and Pouzet 2014).
61 Rapeseed meal is used as a feed ingredient but in relatively low inclusion levels due to
62 antinutrient compounds and fiber concentrations, which are unfavorable for animal growth
63 (Zhang et al. 2012). In recent years, intensive research on the rapeseed meal suitability as a
64 fertilizer has been conducted (Fine et al. 2013; Park et al. 2017). Alternatively, to achieve better
65 and more complete use of this by-product, it has been suggested as a good source for preparation
66 of protein-rich ingredients for the food industry (Tan et al. 2011; Ivanova et al. 2016).

67 Alkaline extraction with sodium hydroxide followed by isoelectric precipitation is one of
68 the most commonly employed procedures for preparation of protein isolates from
69 rapeseed/canola meal (Tan et al. 2011). However, more than one isoelectric point has been
70 reported for rapeseed proteins. El Nockrashy et al. (1977) and Pedroche et al. (2004) established
71 the lowest solubility of rapeseed proteins at two pH values, the one in the highly acidic area (pH
72 3.5-3.6) and the other in the lower acidic area (pH 5-6). According to Lönnerdal and Janson
73 (1972), up to 40% of rapeseed proteins may have isoelectric points in the alkaline area, close to
74 pH 11, while the other proteins precipitate at pH values ranging from 4 to 8. Therefore, isolation
75 of rapeseed proteins by isoelectric precipitation at a specific pH leads to a large proportion of the
76 proteins remaining in the extract. According to Lqari et al. (2002), the acid-soluble protein may
77 reach up to 20% of the alkaline-extracted protein. Up to 10% soluble protein was recovered from
78 the supernatant remaining after the acidic precipitation of proteins, extracted from rapeseed meal
79 with NaOH (Chabanon et al. 2007). Thus, the supernatant could have a potential as an acid-
80 soluble protein-rich ingredient that would enhance the efficiency of the use of rapeseed meal as a
81 by-product with an added value.

82 While most research is focused on protein isolates and concentrates obtained from
83 rapeseed/canola meal, little is known about the functionality of acid-soluble proteins remaining
84 after isoelectric precipitation. A comparative study of a protein isolate and an acid-soluble protein
85 containing product, concomitantly obtained from industrial rapeseed meal, exhibited significant
86 differences in biochemical composition and protein fractional profile (Ivanova et al. 2017). These
87 results suggested that differences in their functional properties and potential application could
88 also be expected. The acid-soluble protein-rich product was composed of proteins with relatively
89 low molecular weights (Ivanova et al. 2017), which is an indicative characteristic for potentially
90 good foaming properties. Aeration and, as a consequence, foam formation is a common approach
91 in food industry to achieve products with desired texture and mouthfeel (Indrawati and
92 Narsimhan 2008). The aim of this study was to characterize the foaming properties of the acid-
93 soluble protein-rich ingredient obtained from industrial rapeseed meal as a concomitant product.
94 The influence of NaCl at two boundary concentrations (0.03 M and 0.25 M) was evaluated over a
95 wide pH range from 2 to 10.

96 **Material and Methods**

97 *Preparation of acid-soluble protein rich ingredient (ASP)*

98 Rapeseed meal was provided by a local company (Oliva AD, Polski Trambesh, Bulgaria).
99 It was produced after thermal treatment of rape seeds at 110 – 115 °C, followed by extraction
100 with hexane at 60 – 65 °C for approximately 1 h. The acid-soluble protein-rich ingredient (ASP)
101 was prepared as described by Ivanova et al. (2017) with some modifications. Briefly, rapeseed
102 meal with unified size particles (≤ 0.315 mm) was subjected to a 4-step treatment with 75%
103 aqueous ethanol solution at a meal to solvent ratio of 25% (w/v), for 30 min at room temperature
104 to reduce phenol and glucosinolate levels (Chabanon et al. 2007). The residue was collected by
105 decanting, dried in air and stored in a closed container for further preparation of ASP. The

106 proteins were extracted from 5% meal suspension (pH 12.0) at 40°C and 60 min constant
107 agitation. The ASP was generated by lyophilisation (Lyovac GT2, Leybold-Heraeus, Germany)
108 of the remaining supernatant after precipitation of the extracted proteins at pH 4.5. The sediment
109 (protein isolate) was removed by centrifugation for 15 min at 1800 x g (MPW-251, Med.
110 Instruments, Poland). The bulk amount of ASP, needed for the study, was generated by collecting
111 the supernatants remaining after a single protein extraction from multiple ethanol-treated
112 rapeseed meal samples (n=20).

113 *Foam capacity and stability*

114 Foam capacity and stability were determined as described by Sze-Tao and Sathe (2000),
115 with some modification. An aliquot of 20 ml protein solution (0.5 mg/ml) was whipped by hand
116 in a graduated cylinder for 70 s. The influence of pH on foaming properties was studied in the pH
117 range from 2 to 10 with an increment unit of 2. The pH was adjusted by addition of HCl or
118 NaOH. NaCl was added to the test system to reach a final concentration of 0.03 M or 0.25 M, as
119 appropriate. Foam capacity was determined by volume increase (%) immediately after whipping
120 and was calculated by the formula $(V_2 - V_1) / V_1 \times 100$, where V_2 (ml) is the volume of protein
121 solution after whipping and V_1 (ml) is the volume of the solution before whipping. The foam
122 stability was evaluated as the remaining foam volume at chosen time points, over a period of 60
123 min, and expressed as percentage of the foam volume (ml) immediately after whipping.

124 *Image analysis*

125 Digital image processing was used to determine the dispersion characteristics of air inclusions
126 in the foam samples. Digital images were captured with a binocular microscope (BM-180 SP,
127 Boeco, Hamburg, Germany) coupled with a digital video camera eyepiece (MDCE-5, Alltion
128 Co., Ltd., Wuzhou, China). From each slide containing a sample, at least 10 different areas taken
129 at random, each measuring 237x178 μm , were imaged and analyzed. Special attention was paid

130 to avoid the measurement of same field twice. From each field of view, between 70 and 150
131 objects were measured. The total number of measured objects for each sample was in the range of
132 700 to 1500 objects. The images were taken within 2 min of foam formation. They were analyzed
133 with a “UTHSCSA ImageTool - Version 3.0” microscope software program (The University of
134 Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX, USA).

135 *Statistical analysis*

136 Results are presented as means of at least three independent determinations \pm standard
137 deviation (SD). Statistical evaluation was performed by using one-way analysis of variance
138 (ANOVA) of the IBM SPSS Statistics program (Somers, NY, USA). Mean differences were
139 established by Fisher’s least significant difference test for paired comparison with a significance
140 level $\alpha = 0.05$.

141 **Results and Discussion**

142 *Foam capacity*

143 Foam capacity of ASP as influenced by pH and NaCl concentration is presented in Table
144 1. The influence of pH was studied in a wide pH range (from 2 to 10), while NaCl concentrations
145 were chosen as the most typical low and upper levels limiting NaCl addition in the preparation of
146 commercial food products (Dragoev et al. 2008; Antova et al. 2008). The ingredient exhibited
147 high foam capacity (> 90%) being superior to most non-modified rapeseed/canola meal protein
148 isolates (Aider and Barbana 2011; Tan et al. 2011). Although both pH and NaCl are considered
149 strong modulators of protein functional properties (Andualem and Gessesse 2013), the foam
150 capacity of the ASP, obtained in this study, was independent of pH and NaCl additions ($p <$
151 0.05). This may be due to the high water solubility of ASP (80%), which was not affected by pH
152 from 2 to 8.5, as established in a preliminary study. The addition of 0.03 M NaCl increased the
153 solubility to 100%, which remained pH-independent. According to Prinyawiwatkul et al. (1997),

154 protein solubility is one of the key factors influencing foam-making properties. Ghumman et al.
155 (2016) explained the superior foam capacity of albumins compared to globulins by their better
156 solubility in water and improved ability to unfold at the air-water interface.

157 Foamability of plant protein isolates is lowest in the pH range close to the isoelectric point
158 and improves as pH moves away of the pI being related to the increase in protein solubility (Kanu
159 et al. 2007; Ivanova et al. 2014; Shevkani et al. 2015). According to Aluko and McIntosh (2001),
160 plant-derived protein-rich ingredients with low solubility have unsatisfactory foaming properties.
161 This feature limits the application of plant protein isolates often having the lowest solubility in
162 the pH range of 4.5 to 6, typical for many food products (FDA 2008). It is especially valid for
163 canola meal protein isolates that, although accredited with good technological food functional
164 properties, have limited application in food production due to poor solubility under neutral and
165 weakly acidic conditions (Alashi et al. 2013). Additional drawback for rapeseed/canola meal
166 protein isolates production is the low protein recovery (approximately 20%, Chabanon et al.
167 2007) which makes it uneconomical (Rutkowski and Kozłowska 1979). In current study, the ASP
168 was obtained as a concomitant product of a rapeseed meal protein isolate. The procedure was
169 simple and did not involve any purification steps. It complemented the preparation of a protein
170 isolate, thus turning the supernatant into a useful ingredient instead of a waste. As previously
171 established by Ivanova et al. (2017), the product contains 28.8% protein, which, if discarded,
172 represents a significant protein loss accompanying the preparation of the protein isolate. The
173 concomitant production of the ASP (as a lyophilized supernatant) enhances protein recovery and
174 the efficiency of the rapeseed meal use as a protein source. The relatively high levels of Zn and
175 Se in the ASP contribute to the significance of this ingredient as a potential additive in the food
176 industry (Ivanova et al. 2017).

177 Biochemical characteristics of proteins also influence their foamability (van der Ven et al.
178 2002). A previous SDS-PAGE evaluation of the protein profile of the ASP revealed that the
179 ingredient was mainly composed of low molecular weight proteins not exceeding 33 kDa
180 (Ivanova et al. 2017). This characteristic allows faster diffusion and absorption of the protein
181 molecules at the liquid/air interface, which is a requirement for a good foam-making capacity
182 (Moure et al. 2006). In a similar manner, smaller proteins and peptides, obtained by hydrolysis of
183 plant protein isolates, contribute to improvement of foam capacity (Patino et al. 2007; Chabanon
184 et al. 2007). van der Ven et al. (2002) established that whey and casein hydrolysate fractions,
185 containing peptides of 3 to 5 kDa, were related most strongly to foam formation. The foam
186 capacity of ASP (> 90%, Table 1) was 5-fold higher than that of a rapeseed meal protein isolate,
187 and superior than the maximum foam capacity (69%) achieved after limited hydrolysis of the
188 isolate (Vioque et al. 2000). The high foam-making capacity of ASP, independent on pH and
189 NaCl addition, is a valuable feature for many food applications where air entrapment is a
190 significant factor for organoleptic properties of the final product.

191 *Foam microstructure and stability*

192 Food foams are complex systems with proteins having important role in formation and
193 stabilization (Zayas 1997). If not stabilized, foams tend to collapse thus changing organoleptic
194 properties of food products. While foam-making capacity is related to readiness of protein
195 molecules to absorb at an air-water interface, the ability of proteins to stabilize foams is
196 dependent on the properties of interfacial membranes formed as a result of protein–protein
197 interactions (Kinsella 1981). Therefore, foam-making capacity of proteins may not necessarily
198 correlate to their ability to stabilize foams. Foam microstructure is considered indicative for foam
199 stability (Indrawati and Narsimhan 2008). The image analyzes, performed within 2 min of foam
200 formation, demonstrated variability of bubble size distribution which was influenced both by pH

201 and NaCl (Fig. 1 A, B, C, D and E). The foams at pH 6 (Fig. 1C) and pH 8 (Fig. 1D) were most
202 heterogeneous as a result of NaCl addition. Except at pH 2 (Fig. 1A), the foams without NaCl
203 had a more uniform structure with prevailing small sized bubbles (Fig. 1 B, C, D and E). In
204 heterogeneous foams, air bubbles are subjected to different inner pressure. Due to gas diffusion
205 from smaller to larger bubbles, more bubbles are ruptured which leads to faster foam coarsening
206 and instability (Weaire 2002). Therefore, heterogeneous foams are expected to be more unstable
207 over time due to the disproportionation effect. The results from the image analyzes demonstrated
208 that the addition of NaCl, in the range studied, altered ASP foam stability.

209 The observation of foam stability, over a 60 min period, demonstrated pH and NaCl
210 dependency (Tables 2 and 3). This is in contrast to ASP foamability, which was neither
211 influenced by pH nor NaCl (Table 1). The foam stability sharply decreased (up to 40-50%)
212 during the first 10 min of the 60 min observation period, after which the alteration was more
213 smooth. This trend was more pronounced in the presence of 0.25 M NaCl; 10 min after the onset
214 of foam formation, the reduction of foam stability was significant for all pH values when
215 compared to the foam without NaCl (Tables 2 and 3). These results are in agreement with the
216 image analysis observations, where microstructure of the foams with NaCl consisted of bubbles
217 with more diverse sizes and a higher percentage of large bubbles ($d > 300 \mu\text{m}$) than that of the
218 foam without salt addition. An exception was observed at pH 2, where, regardless of the similar
219 trend in bubble size distribution (Fig. 1A), the volume of the foam with 0.25 M NaCl was
220 reduced by 77% (pH 2, Table 2) compared to the reduction of 56% established for the sample
221 without salt addition at the end of the observation period (60 min).

222 Except at pH 2 (Table 2) and pH 10 (Table 3), the stability of the foams with the lower
223 NaCl concentration (0.03 M) during the first 30 min was significantly different from that of the
224 foams not containing NaCl. Significantly higher reduction of the foam stability by the higher salt

225 concentration compared to the lower one was observed 10 min after foam formation at pH 6. This
226 observation was supported by prevalence of larger size bubbles and higher bubble size
227 heterogeneity observed for the foam with 0.25 M NaCl (Fig. 1 C). This is in agreement with
228 Wang and Narsimhan (2004) who reported that larger sized bubbles lead to a faster Plateau
229 border drainage. However, at pH 4 no statistical differences among the stability of the foams with
230 both salt concentrations were found for the entire observation period (Table 2). The results were
231 consistent with the similarity in bubble size distribution observed for the two NaCl concentrations
232 (Fig. 1B).

233 At the end of the study, the foam stability of samples without salt addition remained close
234 to 50% at pH 2 and pH 4. For 30 min at pH 4, pH 6 and pH 8, which are the most common pH
235 values for food products, the foams without NaCl retained 51%, 38% and 41% of the initial foam
236 volume, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). Under these specific conditions, the ASP possessed better
237 foam stability than that exhibited by protein concentrates and isolates produced from walnut
238 (Mao and Hua 2012). The ASP demonstrated either better (47%) or slightly lower (36%) foam
239 stability than the 40% stability of a rapeseed protein isolate in a 60-min period (Vioque et al.
240 2000).

241 The data of this work imply that NaCl is a significant modulator of foam stability but
242 additional experiments involving more salt concentrations should be performed for more precise
243 evaluation. Similar negative effect of NaCl on foam stability was observed by Ivanova et al.
244 (2014) when studying foaming properties of two protein isolates obtained from commercial
245 sunflower meal. Stronger negative effect on foam stability of sesame protein concentrate by the
246 higher NaCl concentration (1.0 M) than the lower one (0.5 M) was reported by Inyang and Iduh
247 (1996). A steady decrease of foam stability of selected legume flours (white bean, pigeon pea,
248 cowpea and hyacinth bean) by all NaCl concentrations investigated (from 0.2 M to 1.2 M) was

249 reported by Ahmed et al. (2012). Destabilization of foams by NaCl is most probably due to
250 alteration of protein molecular charge which modifies protein-protein interaction to weaken
251 viscoelastic protein membrane at the interface. According to Indrawati and Narsimhan (2008),
252 higher ionic strength of solutions leads to a lower electrostatic repulsive interaction between the
253 two faces of a thin film and, as a consequence, to a faster foam collapse.

254 **Conclusion**

255 The study describes a novel and easily generated protein-rich ingredient obtained from
256 industrial rapeseed meal as a co-product of a protein isolate. It has high foamability, independent
257 on pH and NaCl (0.03 M and 0.25 M), and relatively high foam stability over a wide pH range
258 (from 2 to 10), which makes it a good alternative to foaming agents currently used in the food
259 industry. Overall, there was a good agreement between foam stability data and observations,
260 obtained by image analyzes, implying that foam microstructure is indicative for the evolution of
261 foam stability and may be used as a quick approach for predicting foaming properties of a protein
262 containing ingredient. The concomitant production of ASP and its potential application may
263 enhance the efficiency of the rapeseed meal utilization as a protein source.

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273 References

- 274 Ahmed S, Babiker E, Mohamed IA, Eltayeb M, Ahmed S. (2012) Effect of sodium chloride
275 concentration on the functional properties of selectec legume flours. Afr J Food Agric Nutr Dev
276 12:6701-6714
- 277 Aider M, Barbana C (2011) Canola proteins: composition, extraction, functional properties,
278 bioactivity, applications as a food ingredient and allergenicity-A practical and critical review.
279 Trends Food Sci Technol 22:21-39
- 280 Alashi AM, Blanchard CL, Mailer RJ, Agboola SO (2013) Technological and bioactive
281 functionalities of canola meal proteins and hydrolysates. Food Rev Int 29:231-260.
- 282 Aluko RE, McIntosh T (2001) Polypeptide profile and functional properties of defatted meals and
283 protein isolates of canola seeds. J Sci Food Agric 81:391-396
- 284 Andualem B, Gessesse A (2013) Effects of salt (NaCl) concentrations on the functional
285 properties of defatted brebra (*Milletia ferruginea*) seed flour. Middle-East J Sci Res 13:889-897
- 286 Antova T, Nenkova G, Georgieva L (2008) Comparative investigation of trade marks butter. Sci
287 Papers 36:111-117.
- 288 Carré P, Pouzet A (2014) Rapeseed market, worldwide and in Europe. OCL 21:D102-D114
- 289 Chabanon G, Chevalot I, Framboisier X, Chenu S, Marc I (2007) Hydrolysis of rapeseed protein
290 isolates: Kinetics, characterization and functional properties of hydrolysates. Process Biochem
291 42:1419-1428
- 292 Dragoev SG, Vulkova-Yorgova KI, Balev DK (2008). Technology of functional and special meat
293 and fish products. Minerva, Sofia, Bulgaria
- 294 El Nockrashy AS, Mukherjee KD, Mangold HK (1977) Rapeseed protein isolates by
295 countercurrent extraction and isoelectric precipitation. J Agric Food Chem 25:193-197
- 296 FDA (2018) Approximate pH of foods and food products. Accessed on February/1 2018
- 297 Fine KE, Cole JC, Penn CJ (2013) Nitrogen mineralization from canola meal or cottonseed meal
298 with or without soapstock. Hort Sci 48:891-896
- 299 Ghumman A, Kaur A, Singh N (2016) Functionality and digestibility of albumins and globulins
300 from lentil and horse gram and their effect on starch rheology. Food Hydrocoll 61:843-850
- 301 Indrawati L, Narsimhan G (2008) Characterization of protein stabilized foam formed in a
302 continuous shear mixing apparatus. J Food Eng 88:456-465
- 303 Inyang U, Idun A (1996) Influence of pH and salt concentration on protein solubility,
304 emulsifying and foaming properties of sesame protein concentrate. J Am Oil Chem Soc 73:1663-
305 1667
- 306 Ivanova P, Chalova V, Koleva L (2014) Functional properties of proteins isolated from
307 industrially produced sunflower meal. Int J Food Stud 3:203-212
- 308 Ivanova P, Chalova V, Uzunova G, Koleva L, Manolov I (2016) Biochemical characterization of
309 industrially produced rapeseed meal as a protein source in food industry. Agric Agric Sci Proc
310 10:55-62

311 Ivanova P, Kalaydzhiev H, Rustad T, Silva CLM, Chalova VI (2017) Comparative biochemical
312 profile of protein-rich products obtained from industrial rapeseed meal. Emir J Food Agric
313 29:170-178

314 Kanu P, Kerui Z, Ming Z, Haifeng Q, Kanu J, Kexue Z (2007) Sesame Protein 11: functional
315 properties of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) protein isolate as influenced by pH, temperature,
316 time and ratio of flour to water during its production. Asian J Biochem 2:289-301

317 Kinsella JE (1981) Functional properties of proteins: possible relationships between structure and
318 function in foams. Food Chem 7:273-288

319 Lönnerdal B, Janson J (1972) Studies on Brassica seed proteins: I. The low molecular weight
320 proteins in rapeseed. Isolation and characterization. Biochim Biophys Acta -Protein Struct
321 278:175-183

322 Lqari H, Vioque J, Pedroche J, Millán F (2002) Lupinus angustifolius protein isolates: chemical
323 composition, functional properties and protein characterization. Food Chem 76:349-356

324 Mao X, Hua Y (2012) Composition, structure and functional properties of protein concentrates
325 and isolates produced from walnut (*Juglans regia* L.). Int J Mol Sci 13:1561-1581

326 Moure A, Sineiro J, Dominguez H, Parajo JC (2006) Functionality of oilseed protein products: a
327 review. Food Res Int 39:945-963

328 Park W, Kim K, Lee J, Cha Y, Moon Y, Song Y, Jeong E, Ahn S, Hong S, Lee Y (2017) Effect
329 of different application levels of rapeseed meal on growth and yield components of rice. Appl
330 Biol Chem 60:403-410

331 Patino JMR, Conde JM, Linares HM, Jiménez JJP, Sánchez CC, Pizones V, Rodríguez FM
332 (2007) Interfacial and foaming properties of enzyme-induced hydrolysis of sunflower protein
333 isolate. Food Hydrocoll 21:782-793

334 Pedroche J, Yust MM, Lqari H, Girón-Calle J, Alaiz M, Vioque J, Millán F (2004) Brassica
335 carinata protein isolates: chemical composition, protein characterization and improvement of
336 functional properties by protein hydrolysis. Food Chem 88:337-346

337 Prinyawiwatkul W, McWatters K, Beuchat L, Phillips R (1997) Functional characteristics of
338 cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) flour and starch as affected by soaking, boiling, and fungal
339 fermentation before milling. Food Chem 58:361-372

340 Rutkowski A, Kozłowska H (1979) Chemical constituents and protein food processing of
341 rapeseed. J Am Oil Chem Soc 56:475-477

342 Shevkani K, Singh N, Kaur A, Rana JC (2015) Structural and functional characterization of
343 kidney bean and field pea protein isolates: a comparative study. Food Hydrocoll 43:679-689

344 Sze-Tao KWC, Sathe SK (2000) Functional properties and in vitro digestibility of almond
345 (*Prunus dulcis* L.) protein isolate. Food Chem 69:153-160

346 Tan SH, Mailer RJ, Blanchard CL, Agboola SO (2011) Canola proteins for human consumption:
347 extraction, profile, and functional properties. J Food Sci 76:R16-R28

348 van der Ven C, Gruppen H, de Bont DB, Voragen AG (2002) Correlations between biochemical
349 characteristics and foam-forming and-stabilizing ability of whey and casein hydrolysates. J Agric
350 Food Chem 50:2938-2946

351 Vioque J, Sánchez-Vioque R, Clemente A, Pedroche J, Millán (2000) Partially hydrolyzed
352 rapeseed protein isolate with improved functional properties. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 77:447-450

353 Wang Z, Narsimhan G (2004) Evolution of liquid holdup profile in a standing protein stabilized
354 foam. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 280:224-233

355 Weaire D (2002) Foam physics. *Adv Eng Mater* 4:723-725

356 Zayas JF. (1997) Foaming properties of proteins. In: *Functionality of Proteins in Food*. Springer-
357 Verlag, Berlin, pp 260-309.

358 Zhang T, Liu L, Piao XS (2012) Predicting the digestible energy of rapeseed meal from its
359 chemical composition in growing-finishing pigs. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci* 25:375-381

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377 List of Figures:

378

379 Fig. 1 Bubble size distribution of foams prepared at different pH values and salt concentrations;

380 A (pH 2), B (pH 4), C (pH 6), D (pH 8), E (pH 10).

381

382

383 Table 1. Foam making capacity of ASP at different pH values and salt concentrations.

384

NaCl concentration, M	ASP foam capacity, %				
	pH				
	2	4	6	8	10
0.00	93.18±0.72 ^{a,A}	92.11±0.15 ^{a,A}	92.49±0.53 ^{a,A}	91.95±0.08 ^{a,A}	92.06±0.08 ^{a,A}
0.03	93.40±1.01 ^{a,A}	93.41±0.71 ^{a,A}	93.33±0.21 ^{a,A}	92.50±0.26 ^{a,A}	92.08±1.33 ^{a,A}
0.25	92.59±0.39 ^{a,A}	92.20±0.43 ^{a,A}	92.12±0.54 ^{a,A}	92.61±0.70 ^{a,A}	92.50±0.00 ^{a,A}

385

386 ASP denotes acid soluble protein rich ingredient.

387 ^a Means in a row with same lowercase letter do not differ significantly ($p \geq 0.05$).

388 ^A Means in a column with same capital letter do not differ significantly ($p \geq 0.05$).

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

399 Table 2. ASP foam stability at acidic pH and different salt concentrations.

Time, min	Foam volume retention, %								
	pH 2			pH 4			pH 6		
	0.00 M NaCl	0.03 M NaCl	0.25 M NaCl	0.00 M NaCl	0.03 M NaCl	0.25 M NaCl	0.00 M NaCl	0.03 M NaCl	0.25 M NaCl
2	69.36±1.85 ^b	73.43±0.25 ^a	69.82±1.14 ^{ab}	71.36±1.44 ^a	60.56±2.38 ^b	69.98±0.29 ^a	69.41±0.14 ^a	64.02±1.10 ^b	67.96±0.16 ^a
5	57.85±1.48 ^a	59.37±0.28 ^a	51.82±1.14 ^b	58.95±1.19 ^a	47.86±0.98 ^b	50.73±0.44 ^b	54.98±0.90 ^a	48.44±1.98 ^b	47.72±0.98 ^b
10	51.83±1.48 ^b	55.50±1.20 ^a	46.11±0.57 ^c	55.54±0.69 ^a	42.57±0.35 ^b	41.82±1.39 ^b	46.68±1.71 ^a	40.81±0.42 ^b	33.18±1.28 ^c
15	50.00±0.37 ^a	49.89±0.42 ^a	37.00±0.56 ^b	53.22±1.29 ^a	39.16±0.95 ^b	40.60±1.46 ^b	43.42±0.64 ^a	37.89±0.01 ^b	30.40±0.59 ^c
30	46.59±0.74 ^a	43.25±0.59 ^a	34.50±2.12 ^b	51.17±1.16 ^a	35.76±1.90 ^b	38.45±1.59 ^b	38.04±1.26 ^a	36.36±0.33 ^a	27.19±0.96 ^b
45	44.76±0.37 ^a	37.98±0.08 ^b	27.33±1.31 ^c	49.01±0.33 ^a	33.36±2.12 ^b	34.63±1.60 ^b	35.87±1.70 ^a	34.55±0.82 ^a	25.83±0.82 ^b
60	44.63±0.18 ^a	34.75±1.33 ^b	22.97±2.78 ^c	47.17±1.39 ^a	33.36±2.12 ^b	28.51±1.97 ^b	35.87±1.70 ^a	34.43±0.64 ^a	24.43±1.97 ^b

400

401 ^{a-c} Means in a row, for a specific pH value, with same letter do not differ significantly ($p \geq 0.05$).

402

403

404

405

406

407 Table 3. ASP foam stability at alkaline pH and different salt concentrations.

Time, min	Foam volume retention, %					
	pH 8			pH 10		
	0.00 M NaCl	0.03 M NaCl	0.25 M NaCl	0.00 M NaCl	0.03 M NaCl	0.25 M NaCl
2	67.96±1.15 ^a	65.76±0.45 ^a	65.83±0.71 ^a	64.87±0.45 ^a	65.77±0.86 ^a	66.47±1.03 ^a
5	52.72±1.44 ^{ab}	51.89±0.95 ^b	57.31±1.86 ^a	52.84±0.98 ^{ab}	54.98±0.96 ^a	51.57±0.62 ^b
10	49.06±1.07 ^a	41.33±1.11 ^b	40.85±1.05 ^b	46.90±0.47 ^b	50.46±0.32 ^a	40.18±1.04 ^c
15	45.10±1.81 ^a	38.45±1.26 ^b	36.51±0.72 ^b	43.93±0.67 ^a	37.48±1.18 ^b	38.71±1.03 ^b
30	41.12±1.15 ^a	36.82±0.25 ^b	35.54±1.24 ^b	37.68±0.16 ^a	35.75±0.64 ^a	32.87±1.03 ^b
45	39.54±0.18 ^a	36.23±0.27 ^b	34.15±0.73 ^c	37.68±0.16 ^a	34.99±0.42 ^b	32.14±0.00 ^c
60	38.42±1.40 ^a	32.72±0.40 ^b	29.43±2.01 ^b	36.73±1.17 ^a	31.27±1.24 ^b	27.15±0.00 ^c

408

409 ^{a-c} Means in a row, for a specific pH value, with same letter do not differ significantly ($p \geq 0.05$).

410

411

412

413

414

415