



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Theoretical & Applied Mechanics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/taml

Letter

Effect of neat and reinforced polyacrylonitrile nanofibers incorporation on interlaminar fracture toughness of carbon/epoxy composite

S.M.J. Razavi^{a,*}, R. Esmaeely Neisiany^{b,c}, S. Nouri Khorasani^c, S. Ramakrishna^b, F. Berto^a^a Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Richard Birkelands vei 2b, 7491, Trondheim, Norway^b Center for Nanofibers and Nanotechnology, Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore (NUS), Singapore 117576, Singapore^c Department of Chemical Engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran

HIGHLIGHTS

- Al₂O₃ nanoparticles were incorporated in PAN nanofiber to be used in reinforcement of carbon/epoxy composites..
- The carbon/epoxy composite panels were reinforced with Al₂O₃-PAN and PAN nanofibers.
- Fracture tests were conducted on DCB specimens to study the interlaminar fracture behavior of reinforced composites.
- Al₂O₃-PAN nanofiber reinforced composites had higher fracture energy improvements compared to the PAN nanofiber reinforced composites.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 October 2017

Received in revised form 27 November 2017

Accepted 8 January 2018

Available online 1 February 2018

Keywords:

Carbon fiber reinforced polymer

Delamination

Fracture test

Nanofibers

Al₂O₃ nanoparticles

ABSTRACT

This paper presents an experimental investigation on fracture behavior of epoxy resin-carbon fibers composites interleaved with both neat polyacrylonitrile (PAN) nanofibers and Al₂O₃-PAN nanofibers. In particular, the paper focuses on the effect of adding Al₂O₃ nanoparticles in PAN nanofibers, which were incorporated in unidirectional (UD) laminates. The effectiveness of adding a thin film made of Al₂O₃-PAN on the fracture behavior of the carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) has been addressed by comparing the energy release rates, obtained by testing double cantilever beam (DCB) samples under mode I loading condition. A general improvement in interlaminar fracture energy of the CFRP is observed when the both neat PAN nanofibers and Al₂O₃-PAN nanofibers are interleaved. However, higher interlaminar strength has been observed for the samples with a thin film of Al₂O₃-PAN nanofibers, suggesting a better stress distribution and stress transformation from resin-rich area to reinforcement phase of hybrid composites.

©2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Chinese Society of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Laminated polymer matrix composites (PMCs) reinforced with carbon, glass, aramids etc fibers have been extensively employed in variety of applications due to their superior properties as well as facile and economical methods of fabrication [1-3]. The in-plane mechanical properties of these types of materials were dictated by the reinforcement phase (fibers) mechanical properties subsequently, the in-plane properties of PCMs are

powerful enough for many applications including aerospace, ground vehicles and wind turbines. In contrast, the out-of-plane mechanical properties of the laminated composite were dominated by mechanical properties of the matrix [4, 5]. It is worth mentioning that the matrix mechanical properties are significantly less than of the mechanical properties of reinforcement phase resulting inadequate out-of-plane properties. Moreover, ply-by-ply temperament of the laminated PMCs makes them susceptible to delamination owing to creation of microcracks and subsequently, microcracks propagation through the weak

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: javad.razavi@ntnu.no (S.M.J. Razavi).

resin-rich layer. Due to, this significant disadvantage laminated PMCs have not been used in critical applications [4]. Therefore, a series of researches have been carried out for enhancing the matrix mechanical properties by incorporation of a second phase such as carbon nanotubes [6, 7], micro/nanofillers [8-12] in the matrix or even improving the curing system [13, 14]. However, the above mentioned methods have not been employed in fabrication of composite materials due to some limitations such as troubles due to significant increase in the viscosity of resins which adversely affect the manufacturing process and subsequently, decreases the mechanical properties of final fabricated composites [15].

A method of composite strengthening was proposed by Dzenis and Reneker with the use of nanotechnology and has gathered much research interest. In this method un-oriented electrospun nanofiber mats were incorporated between layers of the reinforcement phase leading to significant improvement in the mechanical properties of the weak resin-rich area [16, 17]. The method provides an inexpensive and facile technique for enhancing mechanical properties of resin-rich area with minimum influences on the fabrication processes [4]. The improvement in mechanical properties of resin-rich area, via incorporation polymeric nanofibers, has been extensively studied during recent years [18-21] as well as improvement in fracture toughness of epoxy adhesives [22-28] and neat resins [29, 30]. The researchers reported that the nanofiber breakage, nanofiber pull out, stress distribution by the nanofibers, and stress transform from weak phase to reinforcing phase are the mechanisms of mechanical properties improvement [20, 21]. Therefore, the nanofibers diameter, the type of nanofiber (mechanical properties of the nanofibers), thickness of the nanofiber mat, and the nanofibers and matrix interaction have a significant impact on the amount of mechanical properties improvement [5].

In the present research, the effect of reinforcing nanofiber, via using of the nanoparticles, on the improvement of fracture toughness of a carbon/epoxy composite was investigated. Improving mechanical properties of nanofibers by incorporating nanofillers was reported in previous works [31, 32]. Consequently, the approach is reinforcing the polyacrylonitrile (PAN) nanofibers by adding Al_2O_3 nanoparticles [33]. Therefore, the neat PAN and PAN- Al_2O_3 nanofibers were deposited on carbon fabrics. Three types of the carbon/epoxy composites namely control samples, PAN reinforced the carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) and PAN- Al_2O_3 reinforced CFRP panels were fabricated. The composite panels were then evaluated via mode I fracture energy assessment tests to investigate the influence of reinforcing nanofibers on the fracture toughness of a conventional carbon/epoxy composite.

PAN ($M_w=150000$ g/gmol) and N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8%) were provided from Sigma-Aldrich. The epoxy resin (EPONTM Resin 828), and its curing agent (EPIKURETM Curing Agent F205) were supplied by Hexion Inc. The conventional carbon fiber fabric ($300 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$) was purchased from Jinsor-Tech Industrial Co. Al_2O_3 nanoparticles (99%, diameter average=20 nm) were purchased from nanosany Co.

The 10 wt% of PAN and 10 wt% of PAN containing 1 wt% of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles were dissolved in adequate DMF by employing magnetic stirrer for 24 h at room temperature. In order to make sure excellent dispersion of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles, the solution (containing Al_2O_3 nanoparticles) was solicited for 20 min

using high intensity ultrasonic liquid processor (UP 400S, 40 KHz Sonics Vibra Cell, Hielscher, Inc). The obtained solutions were filled in the syringes with needle gauge 21 (inner diameter=0.51 mm). Before the electrospinning, the conventional unidirectional carbon fiber fabrics were placed around a 15 cm diameter grounded drum. The nanofibers were directly electrospun on the carbon fabric surfaces. The electrospinning process parameters were set the same as our previous work for both neat PAN nanofibers and Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers [5] leading to a stable electrospinning process for preparation of the uniform nanofibers without any beads. Electrospinning process was hold until 1 g of nanofibers was gathered on a square meter of carbon fiber fabrics. Figure 1 schematically shows the nanofiber deposition on the surfaces of carbon fiber fabrics.

Carbon/epoxy composite panels were prepared using hand lay-up method proceed by the vacuum assist resin transfer molding (VARTM) method. The epoxy resin and its hardener were mixed in the mass ratio 100:58 in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation. Two composite panels were fabricated containing 12 layers of uniaxial carbon fabric (parallel aligned) and epoxy matrix. The nanofiber reinforced panels comprised 11 layers of the neat PAN and Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers in the resin-rich area, whereas the control composite did not contain any nanofibers. A 30 μm thick polyethylene film was also inserted in the mid-interface of all the specimens during the lay-up to create an initial artificial crack. Vacuum pressure was hold for 18 h in order to complete cure of epoxy resin at room temperature. Subsequently, three composite panels were separated from vacuum system and post cured in an oven at 60 °C for 30 min.

The morphology of Al_2O_3 nanofiber and the fractured surfaces of the composites were analyzed by employing a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM), Hitachi S-4300, Japan. Before morphological investigations, the surfaces of the nanofibers and fractured composites were coated with a fine layer of gold. As mentioned in our previous work the average diameter of the neat PAN nanofiber and its standard deviation were obtained 380 and 70 nm, respectively [5]. Figure 2 shows the FE-SEM micrograph of Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers and diameter distribution of the nanofibers for 50 diameter measurement using Image J software. From Fig. 2, it can be observed that the nanofibers containing Al_2O_3 nanoparticles were fabricated uniformly without any signs of beads. The average nanofiber diameter and its standard deviation were measured 417 and 112 nm, respectively. Therefore, the average of Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers is 10% more than average of neat PAN nanofibers diameter. This increasing in nanofiber diameter can be attributed to growing of solution viscosity due to addition of the Al_2O_3 nanoparticles.

The double cantilever beam (DCB) samples have been prepared with 130 mm length, 25 mm width, initial crack of 45 mm. DCB samples were cut from composite panels using water jet. Steel hinges were glued on both tips of the samples to apply the load. DCB fracture tests have been performed to calculate the mode I energy release rate G_{Ic} , using Eq. (1), from the modified beam theory (MBT) according to ASTM D 5528 [34]:

$$G_{Ic} = \frac{3P\delta}{2ba'} \quad (1)$$




Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of the nanofiber deposition on the surfaces of carbon fiber fabric.




Fig. 2. **a** FE-SEM image of Al₂O₃-PAN nanofiber. **b** distribution of the nanofiber diameter.

where P is the load, δ is the load point displacement, b is the specimens width, and a is the delamination length.

For each specimen configuration, at least four samples were manufactured and tested. The DCB samples were tested under quasi-static loading with a constant displacement rate of 3 mm/min using an MTS Criterion® Series 40 Electromechanical Universal Test System (Minnesota, United States) with a 5 kN load cell to obtain the load-displacement ($P - \delta$) curves. All the experiments were carried out at temperature of approximately 20 °C and at 60% relative humidity. The crack propagation was tracked at 5 s intervals during testing using a digital camera (Canon EOS 600D with an EF 100 mm f/2.8 Macro Lens, Tokyo-Japan).

Figure 3 shows the typical load-displacement curves of the tested DCB specimens. The presence of the nanofiber postponed the crack nucleation and increased the maximum force

value on both PAN and Al₂O₃-PAN reinforced laminates. By calculating the fracture energy, G_{Ic} during the test, R-curves were obtained for various crack lengths of the tested specimens. Figure 4 illustrates the variations of fracture energy during crack propagation. According to Fig. 4, fracture energies of all the tested samples are minimum at crack initiation step and then they are increased to a certain level followed by a plateau. This plateau shows a stable crack growth, which is not a function of crack length; hence, the plateau value was considered as fracture energy of the sample.

According to the experimental data, the fracture energies of control samples, PAN reinforced samples and Al₂O₃-PAN samples were 0.832 ± 0.07 N/mm, 0.981 ± 0.08 N/mm, and 1.219 ± 0.13 N/mm, respectively. Experimental results show a general improvement of fracture energy on both PAN and Al₂O₃-PAN reinforced samples, which are about 18% and 47% higher than the




Fig. 3. Typical load-displacement curves of the tested DCB specimens.

control samples. Nevertheless, the improvement values for Al_2O_3 -PAN reinforced CFRP samples were higher than the samples reinforced with PAN nanofibers, which can be a result of different failure mechanisms in these samples.

By means of an electron microscope, images of fracture surface have been captured to investigate the failure mechanisms in different reinforcing configurations. Figure 5 shows the FE-SEM images of the fracture surfaces of control composite (Fig. 5(a)), composites reinforced with PAN nanofibers (Fig. 5(b)) and Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers (Fig. 5(c)). Comparing fracture surface of control composite with composites reinforced with thin layer of the nanofibers reveals that fracture surface of the control com-




Fig. 4. Typical R-curves of the tested DCB specimens.

posites is comparatively smooth with oriented fracture features. This type of fracture was resulted from the propagation of microcracks that initiated at the place of stress concentration. In contrast, for reinforced composites with PAN and Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofiber (Fig. 5(b) and (c)), it can be observed that the roughness of the fracture surface significantly increased. Higher roughness of fracture surfaces confirms that the attendance of nanofibers in the resin-rich area could avert the microcracks, conducting the cracks along more zigzag paths, and subsequently the resistance to crack propagation would be increased. Voids and holes were also observed on the fracture surfaces of the composite reinforced with the neat PAN and Al_2O_3 nanofibers owing to nanofibers pullout and debonding from the matrix. It was reported




Fig. 5. FE-SEM images of fractured surfaces of **a** control composite, **b** composite reinforced with neat PAN nanofibers, and **c** composite reinforced with neat Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers.

that, the nanofiber breakage and pullout are the mechanisms attributed for improvement in fracture toughness of the composite [20, 35]. Furthermore, comparing Fig. 5(b) with 5(c) reveals that the fracture surfaces of the composite reinforced with Al_2O_3 nanofibers is rougher than the fracture surfaces of composite containing neat PAN nanofibers. Therefore, it can be concluded that incorporating Al_2O_3 nanoparticles increased the mechanical properties of the nanofibers, subsequently more energy needed for nanofiber breakage and better stress distribution (through the resin-rich area) and stress transform from resin-rich area to reinforcing phase (carbon fiber) were occurred. Considering the samples reinforced by PAN nanofibers the local failure mode around the carbon fibers is a combination of adhesive and cohesive failures while a complete cohesive failure was observed for the samples reinforced by Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers. This can be due to probable impact of Al_2O_3 particles on improvement of the bonding energy between the enriched resin and the carbon fibers.

As reported by Brugo and Palazzetti [36], UD samples are less affected by Nylon 6.6 nanofibers due to the fact that the crack tends to cross plies and not to propagate in the same interface the nanolayer is laid. According to their results, higher fracture energy enhancement values were obtained for Nylon 6.6 nanofiber reinforced woven carbon plies. The same conclusion can be made in the case of PAN and Al_2O_3 -PAN reinforced samples. Although the improvement of fracture behavior was considerable, however, it is expected to have higher improvements for woven plies, which can be examined by further studies.

This paper presented an experimental study on the effect of incorporating a thin film of PAN nanofiber and Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers in the interface between carbon fiber plies on the delamination behavior of the composite panels. Al_2O_3 nanoparticles were incorporated in PAN nanofibers to improve the mechanical behavior of them and consequently improve the delamination strength of the reinforced panel. A significant improvement was observed for the interlaminar fracture energy of both types of reinforced panels, however, the samples reinforced by Al_2O_3 -PAN nanofibers had higher delamination strength compared to neat PAN nanofiber reinforced samples. The increased interlaminar fracture energy G_{Ic} proved that the presence of nanofibers postpone the crack initiation by reinforcing the matrix. In addition, FE-SEM micrographs revealed that during the propagation stage, the crack in Al_2O_3 -PAN reinforced samples is forced to break a larger amount of matrix compared to virgin samples, requiring higher energy to extend.

References

- [1] X. Li, Y. Yan, L. Guo, et al., Effect of strain rate on the mechanical properties of carbon/epoxy composites under quasi-static and dynamic loadings, *Polymer Testing* 52 (2016) 254–264.
- [2] X. Li, L. Wu, L. Ma, et al., Effect of temperature on the compressive behavior of carbon fiber composite pyramidal truss cores sandwich panels with reinforced frames, *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters* 6 (2016) 76–80.
- [3] R.E. Neisiany, S.N. Khorasani, J. Kong Yoong Lee, et al., Encapsulation of epoxy and amine curing agent in PAN nanofibers by coaxial electrospinning for self-healing purposes, *RSC Advances* 6 (2016) 70056–70063.
- [4] X.F. Wu, A.L. Yarin, Recent progress in interfacial toughening and damage self-healing of polymer composites based on electrospun and solution-blown nanofibers: An overview, *Journal of applied polymer science* 130 (2013) 2225–2237.
- [5] R.E. Neisiany, S.N. Khorasani, M. Naeimirad, et al., Improving Mechanical Properties of Carbon/Epoxy Composite by Incorporating Functionalized Electrospun Polyacrylonitrile Nanofibers, *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering* 302 (2017) 1600551.
- [6] M.R. Ayatollahi, S. Doagou-Rad, S. Shadlou, Nano-/Microscale Investigation of Tribological and Mechanical Properties of Epoxy/MWNT Nanocomposites, *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering* 297 (2012) 689–701.
- [7] R. Baptista, A. Mendão, F. Rodrigues, et al., Effect of high graphite filler contents on the mechanical and tribological failure behavior of epoxy matrix composites, *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics* 85 (2016) 113–124.
- [8] J. Ma, L.T.B. La, I. Zaman, et al., Fabrication, Structure and Properties of Epoxy/Metal Nanocomposites, *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering* 296 (2011) 465–474.
- [9] M. Naeimirad, A. Zadhoush, R.E. Neisiany, Fabrication and characterization of silicon carbide/epoxy nanocomposite using silicon carbide nanowhisker and nanoparticle reinforcements, *Journal of Composite Materials* 50 (2016) 435–446.
- [10] S. Salimian, A. Zadhoush, M. Naeimirad, et al., A review on aerogel: 3D nanoporous structured fillers in polymer-based nanocomposites, *Polymer Composites* (2017) .
- [11] S.N. Khorasani, S. Ataei, R.E. Neisiany, Microencapsulation of a coconut oil-based alkyd resin into poly(melamine-urea-formaldehyde) as shell for self-healing purposes, *Progress in Organic Coatings* 111 (2017) 99–106.
- [12] F. Safaei, S.N. Khorasani, H. Rahnama, et al., Single microcapsules containing epoxy healing agent used for development in the fabrication of cost efficient self-healing epoxy coating, *Progress in Organic Coatings* 114 (2018) 40–46.
- [13] R. Akbari, M.H. Beheshty, M. Shervin, Toughening of dicyandiamide-cured DGEBA-based epoxy resins by CTBN liquid rubber, *Iranian Polymer Journal* 22 (2013) 313–324.
- [14] H. Jamshidi, R. Akbari, M.H. Beheshty, Toughening of dicyandiamide-cured DGEBA-based epoxy resins using flexible diamine, *Iranian Polymer Journal* 24 (2015) 399–410.
- [15] B. De Schoenmaker, S. Van der Heijden, I. De Baere, et al., Effect of electrospun polyamide 6 nanofibres on the mechanical properties of a glass fibre/epoxy composite, *Polymer Testing* 32 (2013) 1495–1501.
- [16] A. Zucchelli, M.L. Focarete, C. Gualandi, et al., Electrospun nanofibers for enhancing structural performance of composite materials, *Polymers for Advanced Technologies* 22 (2011) 339–349.
- [17] R. E. Neisiany, J.K.Y. Lee, S. N. Khorasani, et al., Facile strategy toward fabrication of highly responsive self-healing carbon/epoxy composites via incorporation of healing agents encapsulated in poly(methylmethacrylate) nanofiber shell, *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 59 (2018) 456–466.
- [18] Q. Chen, Y. Zhao, Z. Zhou, et al., Fabrication and mechanical properties of hybrid multi-scale epoxy composites reinforced with conventional carbon fiber fabrics surface-attached with electrospun carbon nanofiber mats, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 44 (2013) 1–7.
- [19] S.R. Dhakate, A. Chaudhary, A. Gupta, et al., Excellent mechan-

- ical properties of carbon fiber semi-aligned electrospun carbon nanofiber hybrid polymer composites, *RSC Advances* 6 (2016) 36715–36722.
- [20] R.E. Neisiany, J.K.Y. Lee, S.N. Khorasani, et al., Self-healing and interfacially toughened carbon fibre-epoxy composites based on electrospun core-shell nanofibres, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 134 (2017) 44956.
- [21] R.E. Neisiany, J.K.Y. Lee, S.N. Khorasani, et al., Towards the development of self-healing carbon/epoxy composites with improved potential provided by efficient encapsulation of healing agents in core-shell nanofibers, *Polymer Testing* 62 (2017) 79–87.
- [22] H. Khoramishad, S.M.J. Razavi, Metallic fiber-reinforced adhesively bonded joints, *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives* 55 (2014) 114–122.
- [23] M.R. Ayatollahi, A.N. Giv, S.M.J. Razavi, et al., Mechanical properties of adhesively single lap-bonded joints reinforced with multi-walled carbon nanotubes and silica nanoparticles, *The Journal of Adhesion* 93 (2017) 896–913.
- [24] S.M.J. Razavi, R.E. Neisiany, M.R. Ayatollahi, et al., Fracture assessment of polyacrylonitrile nanofiber-reinforced epoxy adhesive, *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics* (2018) (In Press).
- [25] E. Esmaili, S.M.J. Razavi, M. Bayat, et al., Flexural behavior of metallic fiber-reinforced adhesively bonded single lap joints, *The Journal of Adhesion* 94 (2018) 453–472.
- [26] S.M.J. Razavi, M.R. Ayatollahi, E. Esmaili, et al., Mixed-mode fracture response of metallic fiber-reinforced epoxy adhesive, *European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids* 65 (2017) 349–359.
- [27] A. Nemati Giv, M.R. Ayatollahi, S.M.J. Razavi, et al., The effect of orientations of metal macrofiber reinforcements on mechanical properties of adhesively bonded single lap joints, *The Journal of Adhesion* (2018) .
- [28] S.M.J. Razavi, M.R. Ayatollahi, A. Nemati Giv, et al., Single lap joints bonded with structural adhesives reinforced with a mixture of silica nanoparticles and multi walled carbon nanotubes, *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives* 80 (2018) 76–86.
- [29] Q. Chen, L. Zhang, M.-K. Yoon, et al., Preparation and evaluation of nano-epoxy composite resins containing electrospun glass nanofibers, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 124 (2012) 444–451.
- [30] Y. Zhao, T. Xu, X. Ma, et al., Hybrid multi-scale epoxy composites containing conventional glass microfibers and electrospun glass nanofibers with improved mechanical properties, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 132 (2015) 42731.
- [31] M.S. Enayati, T. Behzad, P. Sajkiewicz, et al., Fabrication and characterization of electrospun bionanocomposites of poly (vinyl alcohol)/nanohydroxyapatite/cellulose nanofibers, *International Journal of Polymeric Materials and Polymeric Biomaterials* 65 (2016) 660–674.
- [32] M.S. Enayati, T. Behzad, P. Sajkiewicz, et al., Crystallinity study of electrospun poly (vinyl alcohol) nanofibers: effect of electrospinning, filler incorporation, and heat treatment, *Iranian Polymer Journal*. 25 (2016) 647–659.
- [33] Q. Wang, W.-L. Song, L.-Z. Fan, et al., Facile fabrication of polyacrylonitrile/alumina composite membranes based on triethylene glycol diacetate-2-propenoic acid butyl ester gel polymer electrolytes for high-voltage lithium-ion batteries, *Journal of Membrane Science* 486 (2015) 21–28.
- [34] ASTM, 5528-94a, Standard test method for Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness of unidirectional fiber-reinforced polymer matrix composites, *Annual book of ASTM standards*, 15 (1994).
- [35] Q. Chen, L. Zhang, Y. Zhao, et al., Hybrid multi-scale composites developed from glass microfiber fabrics and nano-epoxy resins containing electrospun glass nanofibers, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 43 (2012) 309–316.
- [36] T. Brugo, R. Palazzetti, The effect of thickness of Nylon 6.6 nanofibrous mat on Modes I-II fracture mechanics of UD and woven composite laminates, *Composite Structures*. 154 (2016) 172–178.