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Abstract:

Crude oil naphthenic acids can partition into the water phase during oil production. Variations in production parameters such as temperature, pressure, pH and water cut affect partitioning. In a previous article, a method has been developed to determine the partition ratio for mixtures of naphthenic acids and tested on a commercial acid mixture. In this study, the method is implemented on a naphthenic acid mixture extracted from an acidic crude oil. Compositional analysis of the extracted acid mixture reveals a broad structural distribution consisting mainly of saturated ring structures with 2 to 3 rings. Examination of the GC/MS method revealed a distribution bias towards low molecular weight compounds and a correction method was explained and applied. The equilibrium partitioning as a function of pH for the acid mixture and molecular mass ranges within the acid mixture was determined by GC/MS. An extracted crude oil acid mixture dissolved in toluene was used as oil phase and 3.5wt.% NaCl aqueous buffers were used as water phase. Dividing the acid mixture into molecular weight ranges, characterized by a single partition ratio $P_{wo}$ allowed the oil-water partitioning to be successfully modelled. The variation of the cologarithm of the partition ratio $pP_{wo}$ with naphthenic acid molecular weight was compared with previously published experimental and simulated data. The presence of calcium reduced the partitioning of the acid mixture at high pH for larger acid molecules. Analysis of the resulting oil phase revealed a calcium content consistent with oil-soluble calcium naphthenate, in agreement with results found for a commercial acid mixture.
1. **Introduction**

Production of acidic crude oil is increasing both worldwide and in the North Sea \(^1\). With expected growth in deep-sea and arctic production \(^2\), new subsea separator designs are in development to match the new requirements \(^3, 4\). It is therefore needed to have better tools to model the oil water separation as well as the quality of the separated phases. Variations in pressure and temperature during production can induce the partitioning of crude oil components such as naphthenic acids into the produced water. The presence of these components can alter the stability of water droplets in oil as recently shown \(^5, 6\) and oil droplets in water \(^7\). This paper is the second in a series of two which will discuss and explore the composition and equilibrium partitioning of naphthenic acid mixtures.

2. **Theory**

2.1. *Definition and prevalence*

Naphthenic acids are part of the resin group in crude oils. They are generally described by the raw formula \(C_nH_{2n+2}O_2\) \(^8\) with a molecular weight of around 200-700 g/mol \(^9\). As with other crude oil groups, naphthenic acid structures are complex. The analysis of some crude oil acids reveal that more than half of the identified acidic structures contained additional functional groups of oxygen, nitrogen, aromatics or sulphur like alkyl sulphonic acid \(^10-12\). A new term, naphthenic acid fraction components (NAFC), was defined by Headley, et al. \(^13\), allows the encompassment of a large
number of structures with functional groups containing hetero elements oxygen, sulphur, nitrogen, aromatic rings and unsaturations in crude oil.

Naphthenic acids have been linked to downstream corrosion, emulsion formation, deposition on processing units and formation pore blockage. Multiple authors have applied mass spectroscopy to characterize naphthenic acids. Mass spectroscopy have some limitations that need to be accounted for. For instance, Clingenpeel, et al. and Rowland, et al. analyzed surface active compounds including naphthenic acids in bitumen and deasphalted bitumen with FT-ICR MS. They stressed the importance of prior fractionation of the sample by demonstrating that easily ionizable low molecular weight compounds hide the presence of less ionizable and larger compounds. Clingenpeel, et al. demonstrated that it was these larger and previously hidden surface active compounds which contributed most to the emulsion stability. Ligiero studied the water-soluble compounds in resolved waters from several crude oils with ESI-MS and surprisingly found the crude oil with the lowest TAN value (0.1) to give the broadest naphthenic acid distribution in the resolved water, some of which had asphaltene-like characteristics (double bond equivalent (DBE) > 0.46Cn). However, the comparison involves only three crude oils, and therefore needs to be extended. When exact molecular structure is not essential, simpler naphthenic acid analysis methods have been developed for standard GC/MS instrumentation. Derivatization with N-methyl-N-(t-butyldimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (MTBSTFA) produces stable ion fragment [M+57] where M is the molecular weight of the naphthenic acid. This method allows for crude classification based on carbon number and hydrogen deficiency Z of naphthenic acids peaks within the unresolved GC/MS elution hump.

The partitioning of naphthenic acids to the water phase have been studied by several authors. Stanford, et al. extracted crude oil acids with pH neutral water and detected water soluble acids up to C41(~600 g/mol) with FT-ICR MS. Havre, et al. found that the partition ratio varied depending on the molecular weight of the acids and that naphthenic acids in crude oil water systems had a pKa around 4.9. Celsie, et al. simulated the effect of temperature on naphthenic acid partitioning and found that the partition ratio goes towards unity upon increasing temperature. This qualitatively correlates with the finding of Bostick, et al. studying the concentration of different water soluble organics as a function of pH, salinity, pressure and temperature, who found that increased temperature did not affect the total amount of polar organics in the water phase, but
increased the concentration of $C_{10}-C_{20}$ components while decreasing the concentration of $C_{6}-C_{10}$ components. Other factors like the presence of calcium has been shown to affect naphthenic acids behavior in oil water systems $^9,34-38$. In the presence of calcium, oil-soluble calcium naphthenate can form $^{39}$ which can cause problems in downstream processing $^{40}$. In order to combat the naphthenate problems $^{17}$, Dyer, et al. $^{41}$ made a huge matrix of experimental results on the topic by screening dozens of carboxylic acids in systems with various pH values, concentrations and water phase compositions, before it was discovered that a specific family of naphthenic acids named ARN was the main component behind the calcium naphthenate problems $^{42}$.

This article will study the equilibrium partitioning of an extracted crude oil acid mixture, determine acid partition ratios and present insight into at which pH the phase change occurs.

2.2. **Modelling of partition ratio with pH**

A thermodynamic model for the partitioning of naphthenic acids applied in previous work by Bertheussen, et al. $^{43}44$ is presented. All concentrations are in mol/L, unless otherwise stated.

In an oil-water system, protonated acids would partition themselves between the oil and water phase described by the following partition constant

$$K_{wo,HA} = \frac{[HA]_w}{[HA]_o} \quad (1)$$

where $[HA]_w$ represents the protonated acid concentration in the water phase, $[HA]_o$ represents the acid concentration in the oil phase and $K_{wo,HA}$ represents the partition constant of the acid. As described in previous work $^{43}$ it was favored to use the partition ratio, $P_{wo}$, instead to avoid considering dimers in the oil phase $^{45}$. Although the partition ratio is not a constant because of its dependence on concentration, it is a practical term with regards to measurements. The partition ratio of 4-heptylbenzoic acid was shown to remain almost constant with concentration $^{46}$. The partition ratio accounts for the non-ionized compounds in each phase as seen in Equation 2.

$$P_{wo} = \frac{[HA]_w}{[HA]_{o, tot}} = \frac{[HA]_w}{[HA]_o + 2([HA]_2)_o} \quad (2)$$

Water phase dissociation of acids are described by the following dissociation constant
\[ K_{a, HA} = \frac{[A^-]_w[H^+]}{[HA]_w} \]  

where \([A^-]_w\) represents the concentration of dissociated acid in the water phase and \(K_{a, HA}\) represents the dissociation constant of the acid.

The following mass balance is valid for a system without precipitation.

\[ [HA]_{o, init} V_o = [HA]_{w, tot} V_w + [HA]_{o, tot} V_o \]  

where \([HA]_{o, init}\) represents the initial concentration of acid in the oil phase, and \([HA]_{w, tot}\) represents the sum of dissociated and undissociated acids in the water phase. The terms \(V_o\) and \(V_w\) denote the volume of the oil and water phase.

Combining equations 2, 3, and 4 gives expressions for the total acid concentration in the oil and water phase.

\[ [HA]_{w, tot} = \frac{[HA]_{o, init}}{p_{w, acid}(K_{a, HA} + [H^+])} \frac{V_w}{V_o} \]  

\[ [HA]_{o, tot} = \frac{[HA]_{o, init}}{1 + \frac{V_w}{V_o} p_{w, acid}(K_{a, HA} + [H^+])} \]  

It is assumed that the deprotonated acid \([A^-]\) is completely insoluble in oil phase. The formation of aggregates was not taken into account in the model presented in Equations 5 and 6.

This study is expanding on and comparing with previous work performed on a commercial acid mixture. In this study, two crude oil acid mixtures will be characterized, mainly by GC/MS. Then the equilibrium partitioning of an extracted crude oil acid mixture will be considered over the pH range. The partition ratio \(P_{wo}\) will be obtained through fitting Equations 5 and 6 with a constant \(pK_a\) of 5. The use of this \(pK_a\) value will be justified in section 4.2. The effect of calcium on the partitioning will be also be evaluated. Then the \(P_{wo}\) obtained in this work will be compared with values from the literature, and with measurements using a model naphthenic acid, 4-heptylbenzoic acid.
3. Materials and methods

3.1. Chemicals

The chemicals used to perform the partitioning experiments and GC/MS analysis have already been presented in a part I article of this series. Additional chemicals were used in this new study. They were used as received and not further purified. Boron trifluoride-methanol (Sigma Aldrich, 14wt.%), 4-heptyl benzoic acid (VWR, 99%), tridecanoic acid (Fluka, 99.7%), heptane (Sigma Aldrich, >99%) and ultra-pure water (MilliQ resistivity of 18.2 MΩ·cm millipore). The extracted crude oil acid mixtures, A and B, were provided by Equinor. The acids were obtained through the Acid-IER method performed at Equinor Research Centre Rotvoll and were delivered as amber colored 1.5wt.% solutions in 1:1(wt.) toluene and isopropanol. The crude oils used for extraction come from the same North Sea reservoir, but from different wells. More data are listed in Table 1. The crude oil acid solutions were evaporated to a stable weight at 60°C under nitrogen convection to prepare toluene stock solutions. The color of the dried acids was dark brown.

Table 1 Characteristics of the extracted crude oil acids and their parent crude oil. Data kindly provided by Equinor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extracted crude oil acid mixture A</th>
<th>Extracted crude oil acid mixture B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average molecular weight</strong></td>
<td>438 g/mol</td>
<td>454 g/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraction yield</strong></td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAN of the parent crude oil [mgKOH/g]</strong></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water-cut well at sampling time</strong></td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average values calculated from titration of the isolated acids by assuming monoprotic acids as explained in Mediaas, et al. 47**

3.2. Characterization

A Bruker Avance nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectrometer (400 MHz) was used to obtain hydrogen 1 (1H) NMR spectra on a 1% solution in CDCl3. The number of scans used was 8. The Laboratory SGS Multilab (Evry, France) determined the elemental composition by thermal conductivity measurements for C, H, and N, by infrared measurements for O and S, by mineralization and ICP/AES for Ca and Na and potentiometric titration for Cl.
3.3. *Equilibrium partitioning*

The partitioning of naphthenic acids were determined according to a procedure developed in Bertheussen, et al. The procedure is briefly recalled below. At least 2 parallels were performed per condition tested.

3.3.1. In presence of monovalent cations

4 mL of 3.3 mM (1.4 g/L) for pH lower than 10, 1.65 mM (0.7 g/L) for pH higher than 10, extracted crude oil acid mixture A in toluene were mixed with 4 mL of aqueous solution containing 3.5 wt.% NaCl and a buffer (Table 2) for 1 day at 250 rpm. Aliquots of oil and aqueous phase were recovered after centrifugation (11000 rpm, 30 minutes). The pH of the aqueous phase was then measured, and 3 mL was adjusted to pH < 2. Then the, now protonated, naphthenic acids were back-extracted in toluene (3 mL, 24 h shaking). Note that, after centrifugation, the centrifugation tube used for the samples prepared at pH 11 and pH 12 had a light brown colored layer along the tube wall in the water phase.

3.3.2. In the presence of divalent cation, Ca\(^{2+}\).

The procedure was like the method described in part 3.3.1 with the following modification.
- In addition to NaCl 3.5 wt.% and buffers, the aqueous phase also contained 10 mM CaCl\(_2\).
- pH 11 samples were not buffered owing to the incompatibility between NaHCO\(_3\) and CaCl\(_2\). This solution did not contain buffer and the initial pH was 11.5 to obtain the correct equilibrium pH.
- Tests were performed by washing the equilibrated oil phase with low pH buffer to determine the influence of calcium naphthenate on the derivatization reaction, but results were similar as without washing.
- Finally, it was not observed any brown layer on the centrifugation tube wall when the water phase contained CaCl\(_2\).
Elemental analysis of the resulting oil phase after high pH partitioning was performed. High volumes (350 mL of both phases) were required to obtain enough dry sample. Only extracted crude oil acid B was used in this experiment due to the limited amount of extracted crude oil acids available (0.6 g of acid mixture A and 0.5 g of acid mixture B). Due to the similar origin and statistically insignificant mass distribution difference between acid mixtures A and B (see section 4.1.2.2, Figure 6 and Figure 7), elemental analysis results obtained with extracted crude oil acid B were assumed representable to explain partitioning results obtained with extracted crude oil acid A. Likewise, due to the limited amount of sample, only one elemental analysis determination was performed.

3.3.3. Model acid 4-heptylbenzoic acid

The equilibrium partitioning for the model acid 4-heptylbenzoic acid was performed similarly as in section 3.3.1, except the concentration of this acid was 1 mM in toluene and 10 mL of each phase were put into contact. Equal volumes of internal standard (tridecanoic acid 0.5 mM in toluene) was added to samples prior to solvent evaporation under nitrogen at 50 degrees. Dry samples were derivatized by addition of 1.5 mL 14wt.% Boron trifluoride-methanol solution before stirring in a 60°C waterbath for 1 h. 2 mL heptane and 1.5 mL MQ water was added to the sample vials before shaking for 30 min at 250 rpm. The final heptane phase was analyzed with GC/FID at Equinor Research Centre Rotvoll and quantitative results obtained by comparison with calibration curves.

Table 2 List of different aqueous buffers prepared. 3.5wt.% NaCl was also present in these buffers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Buffers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1 M CH₃COOH adjusted with NaOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7.9</td>
<td>0.1 M KH₂PO₄ adjusted with NaOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4-9</td>
<td>0.025 M Borax adjusted with HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5-10</td>
<td>0.025 M Borax adjusted with NaOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.05 M NaHCO₃ adjusted with NaOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01 M NaOH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. **GC/MS analysis of the extracted naphthenic acids.**

The GC/MS analysis was developed in Bertheussen, et al. The procedure is briefly summarized.

3.4.1. Derivatization and analysis

270 mg of a 0.23 mM capric acid in toluene solution (used as internal standard), was added to 1.6 g of the sample to be analyzed. 0.36 g of this solution was mixed with 0.04 g of N-tert-Butyldimethylsilyl-N-methyltrifluoroacetamide with 1% tert-Butyldimethylchlorosilane (MTBSTFA + 1% TBDMSI) and heated at 65°C for 30 minutes. Then the derivatized sample was analyzed (injection volume 1.5 µL) on an Agilent GC(7890)/MS(5977). The separation was performed on an Agilent J&W DB-1HT Non-polar, 100% Dimethylpolysiloxane, capillary column (30m×0.25mm×0.10 µm film thickness) in splitless mode and at a flow rate of 1 mL helium per minute. The inlet temperature was 360°C and the GC temperature program was the following. The temperature was initially 100°C for 5 minutes, then increased to 350°C at a rate of 5°C/min. This maximum temperature was finally held for 10 minutes.

3.4.2. Identification of naphthenic acid composition by GC/MS

Analysis were performed using the Masshunter Acquisition Data software. First mass spectra were extracted from the chromatogram peak, with a height filter, relative height > 0.1% of largest peak. Spectra from corresponding injection of blank solvents were subtracted from the sample data. The n, Z distribution of naphthenic acids was determined from m/z values using the following procedure: First if m/z is comprised between (x-1).7 (included) and (x).7, then it is rounded to x. For instance:

- m/z values of 237.4 or 237.6 would be rounded to 237.
- m/z values of 237.7 or 238.69 would be rounded to 238.

The integer m/z values then allow for the determination of n and Z using the table presented in the supplementary information (Table S1).
3.4.3. Quantification by GC/MS

Different chromatograms could be obtained from raw data:

- Total ion chromatograms (TIC) represent the sum of all intensities for all m/z values included in the mass scan.
- Extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) represent the sum of intensities for a specific mass or mass range.

EIC were obtained from TIC and used, after subtraction of solvent blanks and integration, to obtain naphthenic acid concentration after normalization with the peak of the capric acid (internal standard) and comparison with a calibration curve built beforehand. The calibration curves were performed as advised by the manufacturer. This determination is further explained in section 4.2.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Characterization

4.1.1. Characterization by elemental analysis and NMR

The average molecular weight of NA mixtures A and B was reported by Equinor as 438 g/mol and 454 g/mol respectively (Table 1) by determining the TAN and the mass of the extracted acids. The average molecular weight of the extracted acids can be determined by TAN titration by combining the two equations 7 and 8

\[
\begin{align*}
 n_{acid} &= \frac{(V_{EP-V_b})C_{titrant}}{1000} \quad (7) \\
 TAN &= \frac{(V_{EP-V_b})C_{titrant}M_{KOH}}{m_{sample}} \quad (8)
\end{align*}
\]

into Equation 9

\[
M_{acid} = \frac{m_{sample} \cdot 1000}{(V_{EP-V_b})C_{titrant}} = \frac{M_{KOH} \cdot 1000}{TAN} \quad (9)
\]
where \( n_{\text{acid}}, M_{\text{acid}} \) and \( m_{\text{sample}} \) is the number of mole, average molecular weight and mass of the extracted acid mixture respectively in the units moles, g/mol and g. \( M_{\text{KOH}} \) is the molecular mass of potassium hydroxide in g/mol. \( V_{E} \) and \( V_{b} \) are the volume of titrant required to the equivalence point for sample and pure solvent (blank) respectively, in mL. \( C_{\text{titrant}} \) is the concentration of the titrant used, in mol/L, and \( TAN \) is the total acid number in mg\(_{\text{KOH}}\)/g\(_{\text{sample}}\).

In house TAN titration was not performed due to the limited amount of sample. The elemental composition of acid mixtures A and B are listed in Table 3. Here it can be seen that the acid mixtures contain almost no nitrogen and a small amount of sulphur. By assuming an overarching raw formula \( C_{n}H_{2n+2}O_{2} \) for all acids in the sample the average molecular weight of the acid mixture can be calculated by finding \( n \) and \( Z \) values which matches the molar ratio between carbon and hydrogen. The percentages of C, H, O indicate an average molecular weight of 373 g/mol for acid mixture A and 395 g/mol for acid mixture B, assuming only monoacids, both of which are lower than the average molecular weights obtained by titration. As will be discussed in section 4.1.2.2, the difference between the molecular weight from titration and elemental analysis could come from pollution by non-acid compounds in the sample. The difference in average molecular weight between the two acids however, is consistent for both methods, i.e. the average molecular weight for acid mixture A is lower than for acid mixture B in both methods.

*Table 3 Elemental composition of the extracted crude oil acid mixtures*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Composition [wt.%] acid mixture A</th>
<th>Composition [wt.%] acid mixture B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 show the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum conducted on a 1wt.% solution of acid mixture A. The termination methyl groups give peaks with chemical shifts lower than 1 ppm, while CH\(_2\) methylene bridges have peaks between 1.15 - 2.33 ppm \(^{49, 50}\). It appears that there are few aromatic groups in the extracted crude oil acid mixture since aromatic groups would register as peaks in the 7-8 ppm range \(^{51}\). The absence of aromatic rings is a typical feature of crude oil naphthenic acids \(^{11, 51, 52}\). Saab, et al. \(^{51}\) reported that based on \(^1\)H NMR on 1.8% of the analyzed crude oil acids were aromatic. Different theories have been suggested regarding the small amount of aromatic groups found in crude oil acids. Tomczyk, et al. \(^{11}\) discussed this lack of aromatic acids by suggesting the higher polarity impeded migration from source rock to reservoir. Other authors discuss the role of biodegradation where aromatic hydrocarbons are less susceptible to biodegradation \(^{21, 53}\). However, due to their polar nature, aromatic compounds are more prominent in the water phase, Jones, et al. \(^{54}\) found 30% of oil sands process-affected water (OSPW) acids to have aromatic structures and Stanford, et al. \(^{23}\), comparing the water soluble acid fractions to the acid fractions of their parent crude oil, found aromatic groups to be more prominent in the water phase acids. Consequently, it is possible that smaller aromatic acids initially present in crude oil were partitioned into the produced water prior to crude oil sampling.
4.1.2. Characterization by GC/MS

4.1.2.1. Assessment of derivatization efficiency

Through GC/MS analysis more information about the acid mixture compositions can be obtained. Published mass spectra of crude oil and bitumen acids reveal broad distributions with a fraction of large and non-volatile molecules 29. 35. 55. Even if the GC is not able to analyze non-volatile molecules, the use of GC/MS is deemed valid for this work, due to its focus on compounds with partial water solubility, which mainly encompasses smaller acids. The derivatization agent MTBSTFA converts hydroxyl, carboxyl, thiol groups and primary and secondary amines and creates stable ion fragments [M+57], as shown in a previous publication 44, where M is the molecular weight of the acid 56. For clarity real molecular weight of acids will be denoted g/mol while mass fragments of derivatized acids ([M+57]) will be denoted m/z. The category [M+57] is used to denote all types of derivatized acids, even though molecules with various 1+x functional groups technically becomes a [M+57+x·114] mass fragment. Table S1 in the supplementary material lists the masses for the isomer C\textsubscript{n}H\textsubscript{2n+Z}O\textsubscript{2}. As explained in section 3.4.2 this table allows the ascertainment of \(n\) and \(Z\) from their molecular weight. The average unsaturation for naphthenic acids structures can be described by the double bond equivalent (DBE) as described in Equation 10 57. The hydrogen deficiency \(Z\) is linked to the DBE as described in Equation 11 58.

\[
DBE = \frac{2C+2-H}{2} \tag{10}
\]

\[
Z = -2DBE + 2 \tag{11}
\]

where \(C\) and \(H\) are the number of carbon and hydrogen atoms in the formula. Hydrogen deficiency \(Z\) is used to assign cyclic or aromatic structures due to the absence of alkenes in crude oil 59.

A hydrogen deficiency of -8 or lower in Table S1 could be attributed to either an aromatic ring or a ring structures with 4 rings or more. Aromatic acids have previously been discounted from mass distribution tables like Table S1 21. Larger aromatic acids have been reported in both OSPW, crude oil and bitumen 29, 54, 60. Low molecular weight aromatic acids are however, reportedly not present.
in petroleum acid extracts, an absence which might be caused by losses to the produced water during production prior to acids being extracted from the crude oil. To cover as diverse a range of carboxylic acids as possible, this article has included aromatic ring combinations to possible acid structures in Table S1.

A previous article discussed the development of the GC/MS method for analysis of naphthenic acid mixtures. Here it was found that all acid structures produced a dominant [M+57] peak. Fatty acids produced the most dominant ion peaks i.e. the low fragmentation, followed by alicyclic and aromatic structures.

4.1.2.2. GC/MS analysis of the extracted crude oil acid mixture

The extracted crude oil acid mixtures were analyzed by GC/MS to give the chromatogram presented in Figure 2. As usual with complex acid mixtures, the GC cannot separate all the molecules present, and the acids elute as an unresolved hump with one mode and few well-defined peaks. The peak at retention time 15 minutes belongs to the internal standard, capric acid. The most distinct peaks at 313 m/z and 341 m/z corresponds to C_{16} and C_{18} aliphatic saturated acids, shown to be respectively palmitic and stearic acids by comparison with the retention time of standard samples. The well-defined peak at 647 m/z at retention time 44 min (C_{40}, Z=-2) gives credibility to the methods ability to analyze relatively high molecular weight molecules. A response factor of 1 was assumed for all acids.
Figure 2: Extracted ion chromatogram of extracted crude oil acid mixture A (159 m/z - 750 m/z). Subtracted by blank solvent chromatogram. The peak at retention time 15 minutes corresponds to the internal standard capric acid C_{10}. Some major peaks listed have stable ion fragments consistent with saturated fatty acids. Their carbon number is indicated on the figure. C_{16} single peak corresponds to palmitic acids, C_{18} single peak correspond to stearic acid, C_{24} double peak is presumed to correspond to a 3 ringed acid, C_{40} single peak is presumed to correspond to an acid with one ring structure. There is an additional 316 m/z specie at the same retention time as C_{40} peak, assumed to be a fragment.

The chromatographic separation in a non-polar column is based on boiling point i.e. the molecular weight. Figure 3 show the elution humps of increasing EIC mass ranges. As the mass of the acids increase they elute successively and with broader peaks due to the increase in possible structural isomer combinations. The EIC mass range 159 m/z - 233 m/z (covering the smallest acids in Table S1) does not have an initial elution hump, but rather present a flat elution from retention time 18 - 60 minutes. These were deemed to be fragments of high molecular weight species and the analysis starts at the next mass range 234-258 m/z or C_{11}. The lack of smaller acids apparent absence of small acid molecules have also been noted previously and can be attributed to pretreatment production conditions like water-cut and pH. There are also fewer possible structures for lower molecular weight acids as seen in Table S1.

The exclusion of masses below 234 m/z is not enough to remove the influence of fragments. Figure 4 show the EIC for two mass ranges, 234 m/z - 258 m/z and 359 m/z - 383 m/z. Here it can be observed that the small acids after an initial elution hump, reemerge with a flat second elution at a retention time were larger acids elute. It is assumed that the initial elution hump for all EIC mass ranges would represent the actual acids in that range whilst the second and flat elution later in the...
chromatogram would be interpreted as fragments of higher molecular weight compounds caused by electron impact ionization or non-acid pollutants. For instance, the acids in the mass range 234 m/z – 258 m/z elute from 12 min - 21 min as seen in Figure 4. After 21 minutes signal responses from this EIC mass range in the chromatogram were disregarded.

Figure 3 Extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) with increasing mass ranges.

Figure 4 Chromatogram showing response for extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) with mass ranges 234 m/z-258 m/z and 359 m/z-383 m/z. The response of the EIC with mass range 234 m/z-258 m/z from minute 21 is considered as fragments.

Figure 5 displays the mass distribution of the entire elution hump of extracted crude oil acid A. To correct for the bias of fragmentation in the acid distribution the elution humps for different EIC
mass ranges were all given a time segment where the “real” acids elute. Based on these time segments the total chromatogram was split into time segments with increasing minimum m/z cutoff values as shown in Table 4, before the intensity averages of each time segment were normalized by the elution time range and summed up to reconstruct the mass distribution for the whole chromatogram. Consequently, the unwanted fragments are discarded from the distribution. However, this action would skew the reconstructed distribution to higher molecular weight as the false positives for low molecular weight acids are removed. Figure 6 and Figure 7 show the normalized mass distribution for extracted crude oil acid A and B respectively. Compared to the uncorrected distribution for the extracted crude oil acid mixture A shown in Figure 5, many of the registered naphthenic acids with carbon number C15 and below are absent in the normalized distribution in Figure 6. As shown previously 44, the higher the molecular weight the more likely it is that a fragment will have high enough mass to register as an acid in Table S1. The extracted crude oil acid mixtures contain many higher molecular weight compounds, which makes them prone to fragmentation bias towards lower molecular weight in this method of low resolution GC/MS and derivatizing with MTBSTFA. Although column bleed should be corrected for through subtracting blank chromatograms and spectra, this method with m/z cutoff also removes the influence of column bleed (207 m/z and 281 m/z for this column) which gets more prevalent at higher temperatures (later retention times).

By applying the time segment method, it is found that 34% of the extracted chromatogram area between 234 m/z and 750 m/z correspond to fragments. When the same method was applied to a commercial acid mixture 44, fragments only comprised 10% of the extracted chromatogram area (209 m/z - 600 m/z). As explained in the same work, fragments with masses high enough to falsely register as [M+57] naphthenic acid masses are more likely obtained with higher molecular weight and more hydrogen deficient (Z) acids. As the commercial acid mixture contains lower molecular weight acids with fewer ring structures the difference in fragment area compared to the fragment area obtained with an extracted acid mixture is consistent. The fragment area obtained on extracted acid mixtures is also consistent with the fragmentation observed in mass spectra obtained with model acids 44, where for example the [M+57] peak abundance for: 4-heptylbenzoic acid (C14, Z=−8) was 76%, behenic acid (C22, Z=0) was 80%, and 5β-cholanic acid (C24, Z=−8) was 44%.
Table 4 Table showing how the chromatogram segments were split to ensure that fragments did not skew the mass distribution in favor of lower molecular weight acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromatogram segment</th>
<th>Minimum m/z cutoff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 min - 21 min</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 min - 25 min</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 min - 29 min</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 min – 32 min</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 min – 35 min</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 min – 38 min</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 min – 40 min</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 min – 43 min</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 min – 45 min</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 min – 48 min</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 min – 50 min</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 min – 52 min</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 min – 54 min</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 min – 55 min</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 min – 60 min</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The effect of fragmentation was reduced by splitting up the mass spectrums with different mass range (Table 4) before recombination (see the text for details). Figure 6 and Figure 7 show that the naphthenic acid mixtures consists of a broad mixture with C_{11}-C_{40} and Z varying from 0 to -12. Z = 0 corresponds to aliphatic acids; Z-values between -2 and -6 corresponds to alicyclic acids. Finally, a Z lower or equal to -8 would indicate the presence of an aromatic ring or an acid with four or more ring structures. Considering the obtained NRM spectrum (Figure 1) indicating an absence of aromatic structures, these acids are most likely alicyclic ring structures with 4-6 rings. Most peaks appear in the C_{13} – C_{27} and 1-3 alicyclic ring range. This is similar to the results obtained by Hemmingsen, et al. 55, who found two ringed acids (Z = -4) to be the most abundant in his FT-ICR MS experiments on a North Sea Crude. Qian found three ringed acids (Z = -6) to be the most abundant in south American heavy crude. The two mass distributions for the acid mixtures seems to be similar. Clemente, et al. 62 developed
a statistical method to assess the similarities between two naphthenic acid samples by dividing the n, Z distribution into groups of different carbon number ranges. The groups from each acid mixture distribution are then compared with an independent two-sample t-test assuming equal variance. The groups are considered different if the P-value is lower than 0.05. Applying this method to the two distributions indicate there is no significant difference between them (Table S2 in supplementary materials) which is interesting as their parent crude oils come from the same field but from different wells and have different TAN values. This means the two crude oil acids differ by their concentration and not their composition.

From the GC/MS mass distributions the calculated number average molecular weight was 340 g/mol and 360 g/mol for acid mixtures A and B respectively. These numbers are somewhat lower the numbers obtained through elemental analysis, both of which are much lower than the average molecular weight determined by acid number as seen in Table 5. Some explanations could be proposed to explain this difference. The lower average molecular weight obtained through GC/MS was expected due to the inability of the GC to analyze the heaviest acid fraction and lower response factor of higher molecular weight compounds. None of this holds true for the number derived from the elemental composition however, although the calculations are sensitive to measured oxygen content. The number derived from TAN determination is found from the method and equations in the article by Mediaas, et al. 47 (equations 7, 8 and 9). A pollution by non-acid compounds would give an apparent higher molecular weight and provide a possible explanation. This explanation is strengthened by the data obtained in previous work on a commercial acid mixture from Fluka which show that the average molecular weight obtained from all three methods were similar. This would mean that the methodology is correct and that the discrepancy between the method comes from the composition of the extracted acid sample.
Table 5 Summary of results obtained by characterization of the extracted crude oil acid mixtures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Average molecular weight Acid mixture A [g/mol]</th>
<th>Average molecular weight Acid mixture B [g/mol]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elemental analysis</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titration</td>
<td>438*</td>
<td>454*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC/MS</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TAN determination performed at Equinor facilities

4.2. Determination of the partition ratio in presence of monovalent cation

Extracted crude oil acid mixture A in toluene was shaken to equilibrium with aqueous buffers and the separated phases were derivatized and analyzed with GC/MS. The values reported are measured after one day of shaking and it was assumed that equilibrium was reached, although this was not verified. From the total ion chromatogram of the derivatized naphthenic acids, extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) were created with masses between 233 m/z and 700 m/z (from Table S1 the mass range should have been 159 m/z – 700 m/z, however masses which registered as acids below C_{11} were discounted based on their absence in their expected elution time as mentioned in section 4.1.2.2). The area under the chromatogram was used to build calibration curves with the area of the internal standard peak. The calibration curve allows the relationship between the elution area of the internal standard and the elution area of sample to be linked to concentration as shown in Equation 12.

\[
\frac{\text{Elution area acid mixture}}{\text{Elution area internal standard}} = a \cdot \frac{\text{Concentration acid mixture}}{\text{Concentration internal standard}}
\]

(12)

where \(a\) is the slope between the two fractions. Here concentrations refer to the concentrations of the solutions prior to mixing sample and internal standard together and consequently before
derivatization. This relationship allows unknown concentrations in the oil phase to be calculated with Equation 13.

\[
\text{Concentration acid mixture} = \frac{\text{Concentration internal standard}}{a} \cdot \frac{\text{Elution area acid mixture}}{\text{Elution area internal standard}} \quad (13)
\]

For water the procedure is similar, except the volumes used for acidification and organic solvent extraction are taken into account to calculate the concentration in the water after equilibrium partitioning.

Figure 8 show the equilibrium partitioning for the acid mixture. At low pH values all the acids have a low affinity for the water phase. Partitioning starts at pH 7.2 and at the highest pH value, pH 11.5, around 65% of the acids remain in the oil phase. Only 20% of the total acids seems to end up in the water phase at the highest studied pH value.

![Figure 8 Equilibrium partitioning of the 234 m/z - 700 m/z fraction given as a function of equilibrium pH. Data was fitted with Equations 5 and 6, assuming a single \( pF_{w0} \) \((pF_{w0}=6.7, pK_w=5)\). The partitioning was calculated by integrating the entire hump from RT=12 min to RT=60 min. The values are the average of two or three measurements where the error bars represent the range of obtained values. Some of the error bars are smaller than the symbols. The mass balance error bars are not shown for clarity.](image-url)
Previous work on carboxylic acids and naphthenic acids indicates $pK_a$ values between 4 and 6\textsuperscript{30, 63, 64}. From more detailed study of previous research\textsuperscript{44} it was concluded that it would be a useful assumption to fix the $pK_a$ in all calculations to a value of 5. The apparent $pK_a'$, i.e. the point where the acid(s) is/are equally distributed between the two phases, equal to the sum of $pP_{wo}$ and $pK_a$ as shown in Equation 14, is used in the text or equations of several authors\textsuperscript{43, 45, 65}

$$pK'_a = pK_a + pP_{wo} \quad (14)$$

From the partitioning of the total acid mixture, a $pP_{wo}$ of 6.7 is calculated by Equations 5 and 6. An apparent $pK_a'$ of 11.7 gives an indication of the small fraction of acids that are able to partition into the water phase. The fit is quite good however, a closer look of the MS data reveals that only the naphthenic acids with a molecular weight lower than 327 g/mol (384 m/z) partition into the aqueous phase. Higher molecular weight naphthenic acids do not significantly partition at pH values lower than 12. It was therefore decided to focus on the lower molecular weight naphthenic acids. The equilibrium partitioning of these naphthenic acids is presented in Figure 9. As expected, these naphthenic acids present a more significant partitioning in aqueous phase than the whole crude oil naphthenic acid mixture. Their equilibrium partitioning could not be fitted with a single partitioning ratio $pP_{wo}$. 
Figure 9 Equilibrium partitioning of the 234 m/z - 383 m/z fraction given as a function of equilibrium pH. Data was fitted with Equations 16 and 17. The values are the average of two or three measurements where the error bars represent the range of obtained values. Some of the error bars are smaller than the symbols. The mass balance error bars are not shown for clarity.

It was decided to divide up the chromatogram into narrower molecular weight ranges using the same method as employed in previous work on a less polydisperse commercial acid mixture. This method will also provide information on the variations of naphthenic acid properties with their molecular weight. Table 6 summarizes the retention times and mass ranges of the different fraction of the chromatogram. This table is consistent with the start of Table 4. The heavier fractions which showed no quantifiable water solubility were disregarded. For every mass range considered in Table 6, a calibration curve was built, normalized by the internal standard. Correlation index $r^2$ values are also presented in Table 6 (automatically calculated from linear regression fit). In the following sections the partitioning of every mass range is considered and analyzed similarly to the analysis of the commercial acid mixture in Bertheussen, et al. 44.
Table 6 Mass ranges considered for quantitative partition analysis of extracted ion chromatograms (EIC’s) of the extracted crude oil acid mixture A and the elution time segment considered to be whole acids and not fragments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIC mass fragment range* [m/z]</th>
<th>Integrated time interval of EIC</th>
<th>$r^2$ linear range</th>
<th>Chromatogram area %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>234-700</td>
<td>12 min – 60 min</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234-258</td>
<td>12 min - 21 min</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259-283</td>
<td>16 min - 25 min</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284-308</td>
<td>18 min - 29 min</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309-333</td>
<td>19 min – 32 min</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334-358</td>
<td>20 min – 35 min</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359-383</td>
<td>22 min – 38 min</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Masses included in the mass ranges are based on naphthenic acid masses [M+57] from Table S1 including their isotope [M+57]+1.

The areas used for concentration determination for the different fractions encompassed 24% of the total chromatogram area spanning from 234 m/z to 700 m/z. The remaining 76% correspond to higher masses than 383 m/z (42%) which were not included due to their negligible water partitioning and non-included fragment humps (34%) as described in section 4.1.2.2.

Figure 10a and Figure 10b show the equilibrium partitioning of the mass ranges 234 m/z - 258 m/z and 259 m/z - 283 m/z, corresponding to C\textsubscript{11}/C\textsubscript{12} and C\textsubscript{13}/C\textsubscript{14} acids respectively as seen in Table S1. The EIC intensity of this fraction comprises 1% of the total chromatogram area. The acids in the 234 m/z - 258 m/z mass range are completely oil-soluble below pH 6 and has an almost complete transfer to the water phase from pH 6 to 10. Equations 5 and 6 gives an adequate fit with the data when the $pP_{wo}$ is 3.0, indicating that the molecular weight range is narrow enough to be modeled by a single $pP_{wo}$. This is confirmed by the $R^2$ values calculated from Equation 15 and reported in Table 7.

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum(y-y_{fit})^2}{\sum(y-y_{avg})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Here $R^2$ is the correlation index, $y$ is the data point, $y_{fit}$ is the calculated value from the fitted curve and $y_{avg}$ is the arithmetic average of all $y$ data points. The apparent $pK_a$ indicates a phase
transfer from pH 8.0. The EIC intensity of the 259 m/z -283 m/z mass range fraction comprises 3% of the total chromatogram area. Equations 5 and 6 give a good fit with the data when the $pP_{wo}$ is 3.8 (higher than the value found for the mass range 234-258 m/z) which indicates a phase transfer at the apparent $pK_a'$ of 8.8.

The equilibrium partitioning of the mass ranges 284 m/z -308 m/z and 309 m/z -333 m/z are shown in Figure 10c and Figure 10d. These mass ranges correspond to C_{15}/C_{16} acids and C_{17} acids respectively and likewise comprise 3% and 5% of the total chromatogram area. A $pP_{wo}$ of 4.6 is obtained for the mass range 284 m/z -308 m/z, giving an apparent $pK_a'$ of 9.6 as seen in Figure 10c. For the mass range 309 m/z -333 m/z, the obtained $pP_{wo}$ is 5.8 indicating a phase transfer (apparent $pK_a'$) at pH 10.8.

Figure 10e and Figure 10f show the equilibrium partitioning of the mass ranges 334 m/z -358 m/z and 359 m/z -383 m/z. These mass ranges correspond to C_{18}/C_{19} acids and C_{20}/C_{21} acids respectively and both comprise 6% of the total chromatogram area. Due to the incomplete partitioning, Equations 5 and 6 do not give a good fit with the data for these two higher mass ranges, consistent with the $R^2$ values seen in Table 7. The obtained $pP_{wo}$ values of 6.7 and 6.8 respectively does not accurately predict the system behavior at high pH.
Figure 10 Equilibrium partitioning of the naphthenic acid mass fractions, of the extracted crude oil acid mixture A, given as a function of equilibrium pH. a) 234 m/z - 258 m/z, b) 259 m/z - 283 m/z, c) 284 m/z - 308 m/z, d) 309 m/z - 333 m/z, e) 334 m/z - 358 m/z, f) 359 m/z - 383 m/z. Data was fitted with Equations 5 and 6, assuming $pK_a = 5$, a single $pP_{w_0}$, a) 3.0, b) 3.8, c) 4.6, d) 5.8, e) 6.7, f) 6.8. The values are the average of two or three measurements where the error bars represent the range of obtained values. Some of the error bars are smaller than the symbols. The mass balance error bars are not shown for clarity.

As can be seen the mass balance determined for all the systems in Figure 8 and Figure 10 are generally close to 100%. One exception comes when higher molecular weight acids partition at high pH (Figure 10e and Figure 10f). Here the mass balance is lower, most likely caused by the light brown colored layer in the water phase at the centrifugation tube wall after centrifugation for experiments with initial pH 11 and 12 ($pH_f = 10.4$ and 11.4). It was impossible to improve the separation. This trend was also observed for high acid molecular weights at high pH in previous
work. This loss of sample was attributed to the precipitation of sodium salt of naphthenate. The precipitation is only significant for the highest molecular weight acids in their carboxylate form as noticed previously. It can be noticed in Figure 8, 10d and 10e that the mass balances are slightly higher than 100%. This is most likely due to uncertainties in the different steps of the analysis like calibration curve buildup, water phase extraction and mass determination. The error bars presented in the different figures concern the reproducibility of the experiments.

Higher mass ranges (above 383 m/z), which corresponds to 42% of the total chromatogram area, does not have sufficient partitioning to the water phase to be considered with this method. The concentration of the water-soluble fraction of naphthenic acid in oil and water can be determined by the sum of every individual fraction in proportion to their ratios of the total water-soluble fraction chromatogram (24% for masses 234 m/z – 383 m/z) as seen in Equation 16 and 17. Here the \( \omega_i \) and \( P_{wo,acid,i} \) are the chromatogram area fraction and partition ratio for each mass range respectively.

\[
[HA]_{w,tot} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \frac{[HA]_{o,init}}{[H^+]_{P_{wo,acid,i}(K_a,HA+[H^+]})} \frac{V_w}{V_o}
\]  

(16)

\[
[HA]_{o,tot} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \frac{[HA]_{o,init}}{1+\frac{V_wP_{wo,acid,i}(K_a,HA+[H^+])}{V_o[H^+]}}
\]  

(17)

Figure 9 shows the summation model of the water-soluble fraction of the extracted crude oil acid mixture A. It can be seen that the model gives a good fit to the data.

A summary of the partitioning results for the extracted crude oil acid mixture A, are summarized in Table 7. The \( pP_{wo} \) and consequently the apparent \( pK_a^+ \) increases with the acid mass.
Table 7 Mass ranges and equivalent molecular weight shown in a summary along with their respective $pP_{wo}$ calculated by imposing $pK_a = 5$ in Equations 5 and 6. The area fraction of the elution hump of each mass range is also indicated. $pK_a = 5$ imposed for naphthenic acids in all mass ranges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass range</th>
<th>Molecular weight</th>
<th>Approximate carbon numbers</th>
<th>$pP_{wo}$</th>
<th>Chromatogram area %</th>
<th>$pK_a^*$</th>
<th>$R^2_{Oil phase}$</th>
<th>$R^2_{Water phase}$***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>234 – 258 m/z</td>
<td>177 – 201 g/mol</td>
<td>$C_{11}/C_{12}$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259 – 283 m/z</td>
<td>202 – 226 g/mol</td>
<td>$C_{13}/C_{14}$</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284 – 308 m/z</td>
<td>227 – 251 g/mol</td>
<td>$C_{15}/C_{16}$</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309 – 333 m/z</td>
<td>252 – 276 g/mol</td>
<td>$C_{17}$</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334 – 358 m/z</td>
<td>277 – 301 g/mol</td>
<td>$C_{18}/C_{19}$</td>
<td>6.7*</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359– 383 m/z</td>
<td>302 – 326 g/mol</td>
<td>$C_{20}/C_{21}$</td>
<td>6.8*</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-14.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;384 m/z</td>
<td>&gt;327 g/mol</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>42 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234–700 m/z</td>
<td>177-643 g/mol</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>100%**</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-2.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p$P_{wo}$ calculated on incomplete partitioning in water at high pH. ** Elution hump area do not add to 100% due to non-included fragment areas as can be seen in Figure 4. ***Low degree of partitioning makes the denominator of the fraction term in Equation 15 small, which gives low or negative $R^2$ values.

Figure 11 show the linear relationship of the cologarithm of the partition ratio as a function of molecular weight obtained for the extracted crude oil acid mixture A and previously obtained results for a commercial acid mixture from Fluka. In this article we have assumed a $pK_a$ of 5, based on previous works. Even if this value seems the most likely, we have conducted a small sensitivity analysis on the influence of $pK_a$ on $pP_{wo}$ on the data in Figure 10a. When the $pK_a$ is increased or decreased with 1, the $pP_{wo}$ decreases or increases with 1 respectively. The shape or location of the predicted oil and water concentration curves in Figure 10a are also unchanged by a change in the assumed $pK_a$. 
4.3 Discussion about partition ratio variation with molecular weight

4.3.1 Thermodynamic explanation

Over the years libraries of partition coefficients have been gathered and different models have been used to estimate the partitioning behavior of molecules based on structure. Although the most widely used partition coefficient, logP octanol, refers to an octanol-water system, Leo, et al. also presented solvent correlation to correct for partitioning coefficients between different solvents. Most of these models are dependent on more structural information than what can be obtained through the molecular weight alone due to the impressive number of structural isomers encountered in organic molecules. It should be mentioned that logP toluene is equal to the \( pP_{wo} \) used in this work.

Data from Figure 11 allows the determination of some thermodynamic parameters characterizing the partitioning of naphthenic acids between aqueous solution and toluene.

Cratin demonstrated Equation 18 which presents the variation of the partitioning ratio with the carbon number of homologue series.

\[
pP_{wo} = \frac{n \Delta \mu_L(-CH_2-)}{2.3RT} + \frac{\Delta \mu_{H(-COOH)}}{2.3RT} + \log \frac{\bar{V}_w}{\bar{V}_o}
\]  

(18)
Where $\Delta \mu_L(-CH_x^-)$ stands for the free energy change of one lipophilic group of a molecule when the transfer occurs from oil to water. $\Delta \mu_H(-COOH)$ stands for the free energy contribution of the hydrophilic group of the molecule when the transfer occurs from oil to water. $n$ stands for the number of lipophilic groups. $\bar{V}_o$ and $\bar{V}_w$ are the molar volumes of the solvent and water. $R$ and $T$ are the ideal gas constant and the temperature. Figure 12 shows how the number of lipophilic groups increase the $pP_{wo}$ of crude oil acids.

From the slope of 0.461 the free energy change per mole of methylene group $\Delta \mu_L(-CH_x^-)$, when the molecule is transferred from oil to water and the temperature is set to 25 °C, can be calculated to 2.63 kJ/mole. The positive number indicates that this group spontaneously partitions into the oil phase as expected. From the intercept the contribution for the chosen hydrophilic group $\Delta \mu_H(-COOH)$ can be calculated by using molar volumes for toluene and water to be -6.3 kJ/mole.

A value of the free energy change during water to heptane partition for some long chains saturated, linear fatty acids of 3.5 kJ/mole of CH$_2$ group has been determined by Mukerjee. This value is significantly higher than the one determined in the present study, even if Mukerjee has noticed that the value decreases for the longest tested acids. Two reasons can be pointed out to explain this difference. First, the difference of polarity between the oil phases used in the two study (heptane vs. toluene). Second, the presence of cycloalkyle rings in the commercial and crude oil naphthenic acid mixtures. These differences are consistent with a lower $\Delta \mu_L(-CH_x^-)$ compared with the system considered by Mukerjee.

Another interesting comparison to be performed is between oil-water partition coefficient and micellization. The free energy contribution per each CH$_2$ group is generally considered to be -3.0 kJ/mol. The difference with the CH$_2$ group contribution for the partition of naphthenic acid found in this article is mostly due to the same factors as previously mentioned i.e. oil phase polarity and presence of cycloalkyle rings in the naphthenic acid structure.

### 4.3.2 Comparison with model acid 4-heptylbenzoic acid

In previous work the partitioning of the model acids phenyl acetic acid and 4-heptylbenzoic acid between heptane and 3.5% NaCl water was mapped over the pH range. The small acid phenyl
Acetic acid was found to be almost completely water soluble even at low pH values and does not lend itself to comparative observations. The larger 4-heptylbenzoic acid had a sharp phase change around pH 8 (pKa'). As discussed in previous work, 4-heptylbenzoic acid would give a stable ion mass fragment covered by the mass range 259 m/z – 283 m/z. This mass range indicated a phase change at the apparent pK_a' of 8.7 for the commercial acid mixture and 8.8 for the extracted crude oil acids A. However, partitioning in was measured using heptane as the oil phase. To have a meaningful comparison, experiments were repeated in toluene. When 4-heptylbenzoic acid is partitioned in toluene as seen in Figure 13, Equations 5 and 6 gives a pP_w/o is 3.8, apparent pK_a' of 8.8 which overlaps perfectly with the partitioning from the mass range 259 – 283 m/z in the two acid mixtures. The figures also show the influence of the solvent on the pKa': the partition takes place at a lower pH when the polarity of the organic solvent is lower. We can also notice that the partition curve of 4-heptylbenzoic acid in toluene is well-fitted by equations 5 and 6 contrary to heptane. Other equilibria, not taken into account by the model presented in section 2.2, might have an influence in heptane.

Figure 13  Equilibrium partitioning of 4-heptyl benzoic acid given as a function of equilibrium pH. The system had initial concentration of 1 mM acids in toluene or heptane with aqueous buffer (3.5 wt.% NaCl). Data was fitted with Equations 5 and 6. (pP_w/o=3.43, pK_a=4.6 for heptane, pP_w/o=3.8, pK_a=5 for toluene). Heptane data taken and replotted from Bertheussen, et al. 43. The values are the average of two measurements where the error bars represent the range of obtained values. Some of the error bars are smaller than the symbols.
4.3.3 Comparison of partition ratio with literature

Zhang, et al. 75, using HPLC ESI/HRMS, reported octanol–water distribution ratios, $D_{ow}$, for carboxylic acids from OSPW with increasing carbon number. It is interesting to note a large change in partitioning with structure having increasing DBE number in the work of Zhang, et al. 75. In order to compare results from different studies, the reported data were converted into $pP_{wo}$ in toluene and the structure characterized by $n_c$ and $Z$ with the following relationships: data reported in octanol were calculated in toluene according to the relationship by Leo, et al. 68, $\log P_{toluene} = 1.135 \log P_{octanol} - 1.777$, distribution ratios were converted into partition ratios with equation 9 in Bertheussen, et al. 43 and DBE values are converted to Z number through Equation 11. As explained in section 4.3.1, $\log P_{octanol}$, commonly refers to an octanol-water system. $\log P_{toluene}$ describes the partition coefficient using toluene as the organic solvent instead of octanol.

Experimental data are first compared and can found in Table 8. In general the estimated numbers from Zhang, et al. 75, differ from the ones obtained for the acid mixtures analyzed in work. Even the most hydrophobic structures reported ($Z=4$), appears with $pP_{wo}$ 1-2 orders of magnitudes lower, indicating an overestimated water solubility. An argument could be made for the difference in source material. Extracted crude oil acids from a crude oil which has been in contact with water as done in this work, could contain different acid structure isomers compared to oil sands process-affected water (OSPW), as shown by the experiments by Stanford, et al. 23.

Havre, et al. 30 studied naphthenic acid equilibrium partitioning between a 2wt.% acid content crude oil and aqueous buffers using GC/MS. Reported values for naphthenic acid partitioning is listed in Table 8. As a $pK_a$ of $4.9\pm0.1$ was given for the acids, this value was used to calculate the $pK_a'$ values. It should be mentioned that the partition ratio in this instance was between crude oil and water, which is compared to $pK_a'$ values obtained with partition ratio between toluene and water. Crude oil is a different matrix with thousands of different saturates and aromatics, in addition to the presence of asphaltenes. Despite this limitation, the reported values are very similar to the ones obtained in this work for the acids listed in Table 8. This speaks to the applicability of the obtained results and method to describe crude oil acid mixture behaviors for all crude oils. The data obtained by Havre, et al. 30 show the influence of the number of alicyclic structures ($Z$) at similar carbon number: The higher the carbon number the lower the $pP_{wo}$. The values obtained in
the present study are average data for given mass ranges and the influence of $Z$ on the partitioning is therefore not visible.

Celsie, et al. \textsuperscript{32} used COnductor-like Screening MOdel for Realistic Solvents (COSMO-RS) to model how pH would affect naphthenic acid concentrations in water for 55 representative naphthenic acids. Scarlett, et al. \textsuperscript{76} reported the partitioning for organic acids based on quantitative structure activity relationship (QSAR) models. A comparison between simulated data and experimental data for some acids is shown in Table 8. All simulated data have been corrected for logP\textsubscript{octanol} to logP\textsubscript{toluene}. In general, the data simulated with COSMO-RS predict a more conservative water concentration while the data obtained with QSAR predict higher acid water solubility compared to the experimental data obtained in this work. In addition, COSMO-RS data assumes no salt in the water phase. From the salting out effect \textsuperscript{77}, one would assume salinity would decrease the partitioning which was shown by Celsie, et al. \textsuperscript{32} who calculated that salinity decreases the partitioning of naphthenic acids slightly. This was also demonstrated on the synthetic and complex tetraacid BP10 \textsuperscript{78}, made to mimic the properties of the ARN acid, where the partitioning coefficient $K_{wo}$ between chloroform and water decreased when salinity increases.
Table 8: Table comparing apparent pK_a values for carboxylic acids calculated from simulated data, COSMO-RS by Celsie, et al. 32, QSAR by Scarlett, et al. 76 and experimental values from this work and calculated values from experimental data reported by Havre, et al. 30 and Zhang, et al. 75. LogP values have all been converted from octanol to toluene using the correlation by Leo, et al. 68 logP_{toluene} = 1.135logP_{octanol} - 1.777. logP_{toluene} is equal to pP_{wo}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acids</th>
<th>Carbon number</th>
<th>Hydrogen deficiency</th>
<th>Z-value</th>
<th>Molecular weight [g/mol]</th>
<th>COSMO-RS ** 32</th>
<th>QSAR* 76</th>
<th>Acid mixtures toluene/water partitioning***</th>
<th>Crude oil/water partitioning 30****</th>
<th>OSPW, PDMS partitioning 75***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{10}</td>
<td>Z= 0</td>
<td>172.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z=4</td>
<td>168.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{11}</td>
<td>Z=2</td>
<td>184.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z=4</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{12}</td>
<td>Z=4</td>
<td>196.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{13}</td>
<td>Z=4</td>
<td>210.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{14}</td>
<td>Z=6</td>
<td>222.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z=0</td>
<td>228.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{16}</td>
<td>Z=6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{18}</td>
<td>Z= 0</td>
<td>284.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As only log(P) was reported the pK_a was assumed 5 for all acids. **Some reported pK_a values are much higher than 5, giving high apparent pK_a values. ***Average of results for matching naphthenic acid mass range obtained in this work and previously obtained results on a commercial acid mixture 44 as referenced in Figure 11. ****Used reported pK_a value of 4.9 for all reported partition ratios by Havre; due to the use of crude oil as oil phase solvent, no logP solvent correction was attempted.

4.3. Influence of divalent cation on partitioning

Adding a divalent cation like calcium to the water phase can affect the partitioning of naphthenic acids as seen in previous work for model acids 43 and a commercial acid mixture 44. In the case of model acids, calcium only affected the larger of the two acids, 4-heptylbenzoic acid, by forming calcium salt that precipitated at pH values over 7. The smaller of the two acids tested, phenylacetic acid, was not affected. A similar trend was seen for the commercial acid mixture from Fluka for acids with 13 or more carbon atoms, but the mechanism was different: Instead of being due to the formation of insoluble calcium naphthenate, the difference was shown to be caused by the formation of oil-soluble calcium naphthenate. This phenomenon was previously mentioned in the literature 31, 39, 79. To map how calcium would affect an acid mixture extracted from crude oil, the same experimental setup presented in section 4.2 was repeated with the difference that 10 mM CaCl_2 was added to the water phase. This concentration is much smaller than the NaCl...
concentration (~600 mM) and therefore the total ionic strength is similar to previous experiments without calcium. Another difference was that the final pH 11 was obtained through pure NaOH addition instead of the bicarbonate buffer due to CaCO₃ incompatibility. As mentioned the separated water phases from the experiments with the two highest pH values in section 4.2 in absence of CaCl₂, had a light brown colored layer along the tube wall in the water phase indicating the presence of particles. No such layer was observed in the partitioning experiments with calcium. The same effect was also observed for the commercial acid mixture. The overall effect of calcium on the whole acid mass range is shown in Figure 14. Here it can be observed that at high pH, calcium has an effect on the partitioning. Due to the low degree of overall partitioning for the crude oil acid mixture, trends are not as well defined. Better mass balances are also obtained when calcium is present, likely due to the clear oil and water phase obtained after centrifugation and no light brown layer.

![Equilibrium partitioning of the 234 m/z - 700 m/z fraction given as a function of equilibrium pH. The values are the average of two or three measurements where the error bars represent the range of obtained values. Some of the error bars are smaller than the symbols. The mass balance error bars are not shown for clarity.](image)

The mass ranges were evaluated individually as was done previously in section 4.2. Figure 14a show the partitioning of the acids in the mass range 234 m/z - 258 m/z (C₁₁/C₁₂) in comparison
with the partitioning in the system without calcium. As indicated by the similar partitioning, smaller acids are largely unaffected by the presence of calcium in the water phase, as was the case for the same acid fraction of a commercial acid mixture \cite{44} and the small model acid phenylacetic acid \cite{43}. Figure 14b compares the partitioning of the mass range $259 \text{ m/z} – 283 \text{ m/z}$ ($C_{13}/C_{14}$). Here it can be observed that in the system with calcium the partitioning is reduced at pH values higher than pH 9. The large model acid 4-hepylebenzoic acid, falls into this mass range.

![Figure 14b](image)

**Figure 14b** Equilibrium partitioning of the mass range $259 \text{ m/z} – 283 \text{ m/z}$ ($C_{13}/C_{14}$) for the system with calcium. Here it can be observed that the partitioning is reduced at pH values higher than pH 9.

![Figure 15a](image)

**Figure 15a** Equilibrium partitioning of the naphthenic acid mass fractions, of the extracted crude oil acid mixture A, given as a function of equilibrium pH. a) $234 \text{ m/z} – 258 \text{ m/z}$, b) $259 \text{ m/z} – 283 \text{ m/z}$, c) $284 \text{ m/z} – 308 \text{ m/z}$, d) $309 \text{ m/z} – 333 \text{ m/z}$, e) $334 \text{ m/z} – 358 \text{ m/z}$, f) $359 \text{ m/z} – 383 \text{ m/z}$. The values are the average of two or three measurements where the error bars represent the range of obtained values. Some of the error bars are smaller than the symbols. For the sake of clarity, figures without mass balances are presented here, and figures with mass balances are presented in the Supporting Information.
The acid partitioning with and without calcium present for the remaining mass ranges 284 m/z - 308 m/z (C<sub>15</sub>/C<sub>16</sub>), 309 m/z - 333 m/z (C<sub>17</sub>), 334 m/z - 358 m/z (C<sub>18</sub>/C<sub>19</sub>) and 359 m/z - 383 m/z (C<sub>20</sub>/C<sub>21</sub>) is shown in Figure 14 c-f. Here the same trend as shown before prevails. At pH 9, calcium does not affect the partitioning, while at higher pH values the partitioning is reduced as the molecular weight of the acids increases. The mass balances are close to 100%, which indicate little or no loss of sample, such as precipitation of calcium naphthenate particles, as confirmed by visual inspection of the samples after centrifugation. Overall these results are in good agreement with results obtained in previous work on a commercial acid mixture, where it was concluded that at high pH and in the presence of calcium, there is formation of oil-soluble calcium naphthenates. The tendency for calcium to form calcium naphthenate with higher molecular weight acids has been reported previously.

To learn more about the content of the oil phase after partitioning, a high-volume experiment was performed followed by elemental analysis of the oil phase. Due to the limited amount of sample, only one parallel was performed on the extracted crude oil acid mixture B. The results are considered representative for values obtained with the extracted crude oil acid mixture A, due to the statistically insignificant mass distribution difference between them.

Previous results with a commercial acid mixture showed that after partitioning with calcium present the elemental composition remained unchanged at pH 2, while at pH 10 and 11.5 the amount of oxygen was reduced by a factor of 2, indicating an increase in molecular weight for the acids remaining in the oil phase, in agreement with the relationship between pP<sub>wo</sub> and molecular weight seen in Figure 11. Regarding calcium, there was none present in the oil phase at pH 2, while at the higher pH values calcium was present in a calcium to O<sub>2</sub> ratio of 0.25 for pH 10 and 0.5 for pH 11.5. The calcium to O<sub>2</sub> ratio show how many of the carbonyl groups are bound to calcium in the oil (note that 2 RCOO<sup>-</sup> are needed per Ca<sup>2+</sup>).

Comparing the previously obtained results with the commercial acid mixture with the results obtained with the extracted crude oil acids it can be observed in Table 9 that the oxygen content is
half of its original value for the extracted crude oil acids as well. This result was surprising as in contrast to the commercial acid mixture only a small fraction of the total acids of the extracted crude oil acid mixture partition over to the water phase at high pH, as seen in Figure 14. The calcium to O₂ ratio for the extracted crude oil acids at high pH are around 0.5, the same ratio as obtained for the commercial acid mixture, indicating that all the available carboxyl groups in the oil phase are bound to calcium. This result is also surprising, as it seems that even the largest acids have dissociated and formed oil-soluble calcium naphthenates.

Studies by Havre 34 concluded that calcium naphthenate is not formed in the water phase, rather they are formed through interfacial reactions between calcium and dissociated carbonyl groups as discussed by Brandal 35. At final pH 11.5 the elemental composition indicates an average acid carbon number of C₄₆ and molecular weight 669 g/mol. This molecular weight is not initially present in the naphthenic acid sample (Figure 7) and indicates that our calculation most likely does not capture some of the reaction happening in the presence of calcium. Consequently, more analyses are required to fully understand the mechanism in presence of calcium.

*Table 9 Elemental composition of evaporated oil phase acids after shaken to equilibrium with pH 12 buffer with 3.5% NaCl and 10 mM CaCl₂.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final pH</th>
<th>Carbon [%]</th>
<th>Hydrogen [%]</th>
<th>Oxygen [%]</th>
<th>Calcium [%]</th>
<th>Sodium [%]</th>
<th>Chlorine [%]</th>
<th>Mol Calcium</th>
<th>Mol O₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH 11.5</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary it appears that results obtained with an extracted crude oil acid mixture are similar to the ones found with a commercial acid mixture. Calcium reduces the high pH partitioning of C₁₃-C₁₉ acids through the formation of oil-soluble calcium naphthenate, while the smaller acids are seemingly unaffected by calcium.
5. Conclusion

This article has continued and expanded previous work, detailing the equilibrium partitioning of a commercial acid mixture, by investigating the equilibrium partitioning of an extracted crude oil acid mixture. Two extracted crude oil acid mixtures from the same field were characterized and found to have statistically insignificant differences in mass distributions, even though their corresponding crude oils presented very different TAN values. A mass distribution bias for the GC/MS analysis method was corrected for and the acid mass distributions obtained reveal broad structural distributions consisting mainly of saturated ring structures with 2 to 3 rings. The partitioning of the extracted crude oil acid mixture A as a function of pH was analyzed with GC/MS. Only the acids with carbon numbers lower than C_{22} partitioned to the water phase over the pH range 7-12. To be able to model the partitioning with a single partition ratio, P_{wo}, the acid mixture analysis was split up into narrower molecular mass ranges with a width of 25 g/mol. Summing up normalized contributions from each mass range, a partitioning curve for the whole water-soluble acid mixture fraction was established. Partition ratios obtained in this work have a complete overlap with previously obtained partition ratios using a commercial acid mixture. The influence of solvents on partitioning was discussed and, through solvent correction factors, previously reported partitioning results, both experimental and simulated, were compared to results obtained in this work. Calcium affected the high pH partitioning of larger acids, while smaller acids were unaffected. Oil phase analysis indicate that all remaining oil phase carboxylic groups are bound to calcium. Results indicate some universal properties for crude oil acids.
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