Effect of Mg on microstructure and mechanical properties of Al-Mg alloys produced by high pressure torsion
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Abstract

Al-Mg alloys are a series of low cost and low density Al alloys, which show remarkable strengthening during deformation. In this work, study of commercial purity Al, Al-0.5Mg and Al-4.1Mg alloys was carried out to investigate the effect of Mg on materials strengthening. Slight solid solution strengthening by Mg addition is found in the as-cast alloys. While further significant strengthening effect is achieved in the alloys produced by high pressure torsion. An extraordinarily high strength of \(~800\) MPa is achieved in the Al-4.1Mg alloy, as a result of deformation induced ultrafine grains, high density stacking faults and Mg segregation.
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Introduction

Environmental pollution and energy resource exhaustion pose major threats to the well-being of humanity. Thus, environmental protection and energy conservation are increasingly concerned in many industries. In recent years, aluminum alloys have been widely used on ships, vehicles and aircrafts due to its high specific strength, excellent
corrosion resistance, good formability and decent machinability [1-4]. Increasing efforts have been devoted to improve mechanical performance of Al alloys for expanding their applications [5].

Strain hardening, grain boundary strengthening, solid solution strengthening and precipitation strengthening are the four major mechanisms for improving strength of Al alloys [6-10]. 7xxx and 5xxx series Al alloys are popular materials for both industrial applications and scientific research due to their outstanding mechanical properties. 7xxx series Al alloy can be processed to have a yield strength (YS) up to 0.7 GPa by aging treatment [11]. The precipitation process in 7xxx series alloys follows the sequence of Solid solution → GP zones (GPZs) → Metastable η′→ Stable η (MgZn₂).

The η′ and η phases, which are both hexagonal lattice structures co-existing in the peak aged sample [12]. The metastable η′ phase is a semi-coherent phase within the Al-matrix, and has generally a hexagonal structure with lattice parameters of a=0.496 nm and c=1.402 nm [13]. The stable η-phase, is usually in the size range of 1 – 50 nm, has lattice parameters of a=0.515 nm and c=0.86 nm. These precipitates can impede dislocation slip effectively during deformation, thus strengthen the material [14].

Liddicoat et al. [7] reported a ultrahigh strength 7075 alloy produced by high pressure torsion (HPT), with a YS of ~1 GPa. The ultrahigh strength of the material is attributed to the hierarchical nanostructure containing nano-grains, a high density of dislocations, solute clusters and intergranular solute structures. However, complex alloy systems inevitably make the materials costly, resource-dependent and difficult to recycle [15]. Nevertheless, the addition of heavy elements in Al alloys is against the concept of weight reduction.

In contrast, the 5xxx series Al alloys have several advantages over 7xxx series Al alloys, including high ductility, low cost, low density, low alloying content, single phase structure (free of precipitates). In an attempt to improve the mechanical properties of 5xxx series Al alloys, Chang et al. [16] processed 5083 Al alloy with equal-channel angular pressing (ECAP). The ECAPed 5083 Al alloy possessed a nanostructure and enhanced YS of ~400 MPa. Note that both HPT and ECAP are popular severe plastic deformation (SPD) methods. The typical microstructural change made by SPD is grain size reduction down to ultrafine-grained regime [10, 17, 18]. Therefore, Hall-Petch type grain boundary strengthening effect is mostly considered when investigating the relationship between microstructures and mechanical properties [19, 20]. In recent years, much attention has been shifted to elemental segregation during plastic deformation and the resulting effect on the microstructural evolution and mechanical properties of structural materials [21, 22]. It has been noticed that slight increase of Mg content in Al-Mg alloys can effectively reduce the steady state grain size attained by SPD [23, 24]. However, there is still lack of the detail about the underlying physics which relates to stacking fault energy (SFE) and the segregation of Mg in the alloys.
In this work, three Al-Mg alloys with different Mg contents were studied in order to investigate the effect of Mg addition on microstructural evolution and mechanical properties of the Al alloys processed by SPD. High resolution TEM was employed for detailed analysis on the micro/nanostructures. The result shows that as the Mg content increases, the steady state grain size decreases and the density of stacking faults increases. The segregation of Mg to boundaries suppressed dynamic recovery, resulting in a large fraction of non-equilibrium grain boundaries [21, 25, 26].

Experiments

As-cast commercial purity Aluminum (CP-Al), Al-0.5Mg alloy and Al-4.1Mg alloy (wt.%) were selected as the model materials. Both Al-0.5Mg and Al-4.1Mg alloys were firstly heat treated at 380 °C for 2h (T4) to obtain a single phase solid solution. Then all the samples were cut into disks with a diameter of 20 mm and a thickness of 1mm. HPT processing of the disk samples was performed at room temperature under a pressure of 6 GPa. Each sample was HPTed to 10 revolutions at 1.5 rpm. HPT caused significant thickness reduction to the samples from 1.0 mm to 0.8 mm. X-ray diffraction analysis was conducted on the HPTed samples, revealing single phase structures (the X-ray diffraction analysis results are provided in the supplementary material). The dog-bone shaped specimens with a gauge length of 1.8 mm and width of 1mm were cut from the HPTed samples. The uniaxial tensile tests were performed with a LTM-20kN testing machine with a strain rate of 9×10^{-4} s^{-1}, at ambient temperature. TEM samples were taken at specific locations on the disks, to ensure the microstructure of the sample has a close relation with the tensile test result. Ion milling was carried out on a cold stage (-50 °C) with low beam energy (< 3 keV) to create electron transparent areas on the TEM samples [27]. Atomic-resolution TEM was conducted with an aberration-corrected TEM (FEI Titan G2) operating at 300 kV.

Results and Discussion

Figs. 1a-c show the tensile test results of CP-Al, Al-0.5Mg and Al-4.1Mg materials, respectively. It is clearly shown in the figures that HPTed samples have significantly improved strength but low ductility, comparing to as-cast samples. Table 1 lists the YS, ultimate tensile strength (UTS) and uniform elongation of the tested samples. CP-Al has a YS of 45 MPa. As the weight fraction of Mg is increased to 0.5% and 4.1%, the YS is increased to 57 MPa and 115 MPa, respectively. Thus, the solid solution strengthening effect is noticeable in the as-cast Al-Mg alloys. After HPT processing to 10 revolutions, the YS and UTS of CP-Al were increased by 100 MPa (from 45 MPa to 145 MPa) and 125 MPa (from 75 MPa to 200 MPa), respectively. In contrast, the YS and UTS of Al-0.5Mg were increased by 333 MPa (from 57 MPa to 390 MPa) and 385 MPa (from 105 MPa to 490 MPa), respectively; the YS and UTS of Al-4.1Mg were
increased by 575 MPa (from 115 MPa to 690 MPa) and 545 MPa (from 255 MPa to 800 MPa), respectively. This result reveals that Mg addition in Al can significantly enhance the SPD induced strengthening. It is also worth to mention that the HPTed Al-0.5Mg has 6% uniform elongation, which is decent for industrial applications.

![Fig. 1 Tensile stress-strain curves of the T4 and HPTed samples: (a) CP-Al, (b) Al-0.5Mg alloy, and (c) Al-4.1Mg alloy.](image)

Table 1 Mechanical properties of pure Al, Al-0.5Mg and Al-4.1Mg alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloys</th>
<th>Pure Al</th>
<th>Al-0.5Mg</th>
<th>Al-4.1Mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>YS (MPa)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTS (MPa)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UE (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPTed</td>
<td>YS (MPa)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTS (MPa)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UE (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to reveal the strengthening mechanism, detailed TEM analysis was conducted on the microstructures of the Al alloys. After HPT processing to 10 revolutions, the average grain sizes of the CP-Al, Al-0.5Mg alloy and Al-4.1Mg alloy are ~393 nm, ~285 nm and ~91 nm, respectively, consistent with literatures [28-30]. As shown in Figs. 2a and b, nearly all the grains have smooth grain boundaries (GBs), and grain interior is free of defect, in the HPT processed CP-Al. It is well-known that full dislocations are the major carriers of plastic strain in CP-Al [31]. Full dislocations are likely to cross-slip, which facilitates dynamic recovery [26, 32]. Thus, this result leads to a reasonable conclusion that strain induced dynamic recovery and dynamic recrystallization in CP-Al have been vigorous before the end of HPT processing [33].

![Fig. 2 shows grains of HPTed Al-0.5Mg alloy.](image)

By comparing the grain size distribution charts inserted in Figs. 2a and c, it can be noticed that the range of grain size distribution is reduced from 175 – 775 nm for HPTed CP-Al to 100 – 475 nm for HPTed Al-0.5Mg alloy. The peak of the grain size distribution is shifted from 375 nm to 225 nm as the Mg content is increased to 0.5 wt.%. While the steady state grain size of the HPTed Al-0.5Mg alloy are much smaller than that of the HPTed CP-Al, most of the grains have smooth boundaries. These results indicate that addition of a small
amount of Mg is very helpful for grain refinement, but does not significantly suppress dynamic recrystallization at such a high strain level. Fig. 2d shows a stacking fault (SF) at the interior of a grain. This indicates that the addition of Mg and the small grain size have led to the activation of SFs. For the HPTed Al-4.1Mg alloy, the GBs are mostly ill-defined as shown in Fig. 2e. Thus, the majority of the sub-GBs formed during HPT are non-equilibrium boundaries [34], which are a result of dislocation accumulation and delayed dynamic recovery. As shown by the grain size distribution chart inserted in Fig. 2e, the steady state grain size of the HPTed Al-4.1Mg alloy are within the range of 25 – 225 nm and the peak grain size is ~125 nm. Fig. 2f shows a high density of SFs at the interior of a grain, indicating an increased defect content at the grain interior of the HPTed Al-4.1Mg alloy comparing to HPTed CP-Al and Al-0.5Mg alloy. The ill-defined GBs, the existence of SFs and the small grain size close to the nanocrystalline regime indicating that the SFs are formed by partial dislocation emission from GBs and triple junctions [26, 35]. SFs in the dissociated form do not cross-slip, thus they may delay dynamic recovery. However, partial dislocation emission process is important to accommodate GB sliding and grain rotation, which may also facilitate dynamic recovery [36] and dynamic recrystallization [37] when the grain size is sufficiently small [26]. Since many of the GBs are still ill-defined, it is anticipated that dynamic recovery and dynamic recrystallization in the Al-4.1Mg alloy is much less pronounced than that in CP-Al and Al-0.5Mg alloy. By comparing the steady state microstructures demonstrated in Fig. 2, it can be found that as the Mg content increases in Al-Mg alloys, the steady state grain size decreases, dynamic recovery and dynamic recrystallization are suppressed to a certain extent, and the SF activities become more pronounced [10, 38].
Fig. 2 TEM images of the samples processed by HPT: (a) and (b) CP-Al, (c) and (d) Al-0.5Mg alloy, and (e) and (f) Al-4.1Mg alloy; the insets are grain size distribution charts.

The shear strain imposed by HPT to the three model materials are the same, but
the density of SFs increases with increasing Mg content. It is clear that Mg content has a significant effect on the SFE of the material. Fig. 3 shows a curve fitted to experimental data of the SFEs provided by literatures [39, 40]. The SFE of CP-Al is very high (>150 mJ·m⁻²). Thus, it is difficult to form SFs in CP-Al, even under the ultrahigh strain imposed by HPT [26]. According to Fig. 3, SFE exhibits a drastic reduction with increasing Mg content. The SFEs of Al-0.5Mg and Al-4.1Mg alloys are ~120 mJ·m⁻² and ~40 mJ·m⁻², respectively. The significantly decreased SFE led to different microstructures in the HPTed CP-Al, Al-0.5Mg alloy and Al-4.1Mg alloy.

![Fig. 3: Effect of Mg on stacking fault energy in aluminum alloys [39, 40]](image)

Fig. 3 shows typical results of HAADF-STEM analysis and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis of the HPTed Al-0.5Mg alloy and Al-4.1Mg alloy. A green straight line AB marks the EDX scanning path across two points on the GB as shown in Fig. 4a. Fig. 4b shows the corresponding EDX line scanning result. Although the total Mg content is only 0.5% in the alloy, segregation of Mg atoms at the GB is still evident. Similar phenomenon of Mg segregation at GBs are expectably found in HPTed Al-4.1Mg alloy, as demonstrated in Fig. 4c and d. Interestingly, as revealed by Fig. 4d, Mg segregation was not clearly detected at the intersection point close to the B end. Thus, the segregation of Mg is not uniform along GBs. According to literatures, during SPD processing both dislocations and vacancies continuously form and constantly flow towards GBs. Both dislocation slip and flux of vacancies are capable of dragging Mg atoms towards GBs. However, there are evidences showing that Mg atoms in Al matrix are much more likely to flow with vacancies than dislocations [41, 42]. Moreover, the solute drag effect by both vacancies flux and dislocation slip are inhomogeneous attributed to local stress variation [43], thus resulting in local composition fluctuations along GBs as revealed in Fig. 4d and another literature [44].

The ultrahigh UTS of ~800 MPa achieved in the Al-4.1Mg alloy is reaching the record-breaking upper limit of 980 MPa [7]. However, in the current case, the ultrahigh strength of the Al-4.1Mg alloy has been achieved without nanostructural hierarchy.
Hall-Petch type GB strengthening and solid solution strengthening are the two major contributing effects. The lowered SFE led to significantly reduced grain size to ~100 nm and a large amount of non-equilibrium sub-GBs. The strong segregation at the sub-GBs effectively delayed the dynamic recovery process and increased dislocation storage capacity by exerting strong pinning effect to the boundaries [21, 45-47] and dislocations [22]. However, Mg segregation to GBs dilutes the solid solution within the grains [41, 42], thus reducing the solid solution strengthening effect [48]. Nevertheless, the lowered SFE does lead to increased defect density at the grain interior (further increase of the dislocation storage capacity [49]) as shown in Fig. 2f, granting the materials with additional strain hardening effect. However, the defect density in the HPTed Al-4.1Mg alloy is not comparable to these representative materials with extremely high densities of defects [7, 50]. Therefore, it is concluded that the addition of Mg can dramatically amplify the Hall-Petch type GB strengthening and grant additional strain hardening effect, at the small expense of solid solution strengthening. This discovery has pointed out a new route for processing single-phase Al alloys with outstanding mechanical properties.

Fig. 4 Elemental composition across grain boundaries of the HPT processed Al alloys: (a) and (b) the HAADF-STEM image and the linear scanning EDX analysis of Al-0.5Mg alloy, respectively;
(c) and (d) the HAADF-STEM image and the linear scanning EDX analysis of Al-4.1Mg alloy, respectively.

Conclusion

Single phase Al-0.5Mg and Al-4.1Mg alloys have been processed by HPT to high strength of 490 MPa and 800 MPa, respectively. In comparison to CP-Al, the overall strengthening effect of SPD is significantly amplified in the alloys, owing to the addition of Mg. The addition of Mg is beneficial for strengthening Al-Mg alloys for two major reasons: (1) Mg addition is very effective in lowering the SFEs of the Al-Mg alloys, thus the steady state grain size is reduced, and the dislocation density and the dislocation storage capacity are increased; (2) Mg atoms easily segregate to GBs under plastic deformation, as a result the mobility of GBs is reduced and defect densities at GBs are increased.
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