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## Introduction

An increasing number of jacket platforms, both in the North Sea and other parts of the world, are approaching or has passed their original design life. The oil and gas industry is constantly developing techniques to ensure safe use of these assets. Online monitoring of environmental data, improved analysis tools, development of inspection technologies, re-analysis tools and inspection planning are important in this respect. In addition, on-going developments within sensor technology open for new opportunities with regards to structural monitoring of offshore structures. Increasing sensor robustness, accuracy, efficiency and lower cost make it possible to collect valuable data of structural response. These data may be used primarily for two purposes:

1. Online structural monitoring to ensure safe use, prevent failures and control further degradation.
2. Assessment of the accuracy of the structural models used in design and verification.

It is the first item that is the focus of this thesis proposal as it is important to understand how interaction between connected jackets may influence acceleration measurements. This understanding needs to be established before the measurements of such systems are assessed.

Description of how to perform instrumented condition monitoring can be found in NORSOK $\mathrm{N}-005 / 2 /$, and summaries from some monitoring projects are given in NORSOK N-006/3/. The Kvitebjørn jacket was instrumented to assess its dynamic behaviour /4/. In other cases, topside accelerations are measured as part of a monitoring scheme in order to detect possible defects. However, if two or more jackets are connected by bridges, the dynamic behaviour of a jacket might be affected by neighbouring jacket(s).

## Aim of the Project and Master thesis work

The aim of the project (fall 2017) and master thesis work (spring 2018) is to assess how/if the behaviour jackets connected with bridges is affected by the neighbouring jacket(s).

## Scope of work:

The work is proposed carried out in the following steps

1. Conduct a dynamic analysis of the response of one, two and three simplified "jacket" models by means of USFOS. It is suggested that the jacket be modelled as cantilever beams with topside masses where the stiffness is scaled such that a realistic eigenperiod and topside displacement are obtained. The water depth shall be realistic. The cantilever beams can be connected with 2 -node springs at the top representing the bridge/piping system. Initially, the environmental force may be represented by concentrated harmonic forces at the top nodes with given phase lags. Compare the results those obtained with the matlab program developed in the project work. In addition to deck displacements other response quantities relevant for condition monitoring should also be considered. To ease parametric studies it is recommended to use scripting techniques for USFOS analyses.
2. Analyse the structure subjected to Morrison type environmental loads from waves. The hydrodynamic coefficient and /or the hydrodynamic diameter may be varied to obtain the desired load level. Wave phase lags may be adjusted by varying the distance between the "jackets" Analysis should be carried out for wave loads integrated up to (approximately) mean surface level (small amplitude) and true surface level. Initial calculations shall be done with an inertia dominated structure and small amplitude (linear system). Next, for a dragdominated structure. Identify and discuss any super - or sub-harmonic response component due top nonlinearity in wave load formulation and integration to true surface level.
3. A brief review of structural configurations, weight and stiffnesses for representative bridges that support process piping between two jackets. Estimate by analyses and simplified models representative springs for interconnecting bridges.
4. Establish finite models of real jacket(s) to be used in numerical studies. To reduce the computational effort, it shall be considered to reduce the extent and the fineness of the models without compromising accuracy. Verify that the wave loads obtained are reasonable. Estimate the phase lag of the resultant forces for given separation distances and wave frequencies. Platforms may be connected with realistic bridges and piping models or equivalent springs. Perform eigenvalue analysis of the platforms alone and interconnected. Estimate the period needed to obtain stationary response for harmonic loading. Perform parametric, dynamic simulation of the response to harmonic loading. Discuss the results with special reference to those obtained for the simple models. Can 2 or 3 DOF models be applied? Can pseudo transfer functions be developed?
5. Conduct simulations of interconnected jacket response subjected to irregular waves. Dynamic - and static response histories should be compared. Estimate the statistical
properties and power spectra of key response data. Can an equivalent DAF be defined?
Discuss how thew resulst can be used in condition monitoring.
6. Conclusions and recommendations for further work.
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#### Abstract

The dynamic behavior of jacket platforms connected by a bridge has been studied by time-domain simulations in USFOS, which is a nonlinear finite element program. The main motivation behind studying this, is to be able to do condition monitoring of connected jackets by assessing acceleration measurement. In order to do this, it is essential to gain an understanding of how the interaction between connected jackets may influence the acceleration measurements. The simulations have been done for a system consisting of two identical jackets, connected by a linear spring representing the bridge. The system has been subjected to waves from one direction only.

Initially, the simulations were done on a simplified model with jackets modelled as cylindrical cantilevered beams. The response was plotted in the frequency domain by running many simulations at different force frequencies. The phase lag of the force on the second jacket was kept constant by varying the distance between the jackets. Results from the simplified model were compared with results obtained in the specialization project. The hydrodynamic loads have been calculated by Morison's equations, and the nonlinear effects due to the wave load formulation have been studied.

A finite element model of two connected "real" jackets has been developed, and this model has been subjected to irregular waves. The response data from both static and dynamic analyses have been compared, to investigate to what extent the system is affected by dynamics. Additionally, it has been conducted a sensibility study on how the bridge stiffness influences the response values. The responses from the irregular waves were compared with respect to fatigue, extreme long term response and mean square response.


## Sammendrag

Den dynamiske oppførselen til fagverksplattformer sammenkoblet av ei bru har blitt analysert ved å kjøre simuleringer i tidsplanet i programvaren USFOS. Hovedmotivasjonen for å studere dette, er å kunne gjøre tilstandsovervåkning av sammenkoblede jacketplattformer ved å følge med på endringer i akselerasjonsmålinger. For å kunne gjøre dette, er det essensielt å forstå hvordan interaksjonen mellom de sammenkoblede jacketplattformene påvirker akselerasjonsmålingene. Simuleringene har blitt gjort for et system bestående av to identiske jacket-plattformer, sammenkoblet med en lineær fjær. Systemet har blitt påtrykt bølger fra bare én retning.

Innledningsvis ble analysene gjort for en forenklet modell, hvor jacket-plattformene var modellert som sylindriske utkragerbjelker. Responsen ble plottet i frekvensplanet ved å kjøre mange simuleringer med ulike frekvenser for kraften. Faseforsinkelsen (phase lag) for kraften på den andre plattformen ble holdt konstant ved å variere avstanden mellom plattformene. Resultater fra analyser for den forenklede modellen ble sammenlignet med resultater fra prosjektoppgaven. De hydrodynamiske kreftene har blitt gitt ved Morisons ligning, og ikke-lineære effekter fra formuleringen av bølgelasten har blitt studert.

En elementmetodemodell for to reelle jacket-plattformer har blitt påtrykt irregulære bølger. Responsdata fra statiske og dynamiske analyser har blitt sammenlignet for å undersøke i hvilken grad systemet er påvirket av dynamikk. Det var også gjort en sensitivitetsstudie av hvordan brustivheten påvirker responsene. Responsene fra irregulære bølger ble sammenlignet med hensyn på utmatting, ekstrem langtidsrespons og som gjennomsnittsverdi av kvadratet til responsen for de ulike tidsstegene.

## Nomenclature

$\ddot{r}_{i} \quad$ Acceleration of oscillatory translation motion for degree of freedom $i$
$\dot{r}_{i} \quad$ Velocity of oscillatory translation motion for degree of freedom $i$
$\omega_{n i} \quad$ Eigenfrequency $[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]$ corresponding to mode shape $\phi_{i}$
$\phi_{i} \quad$ Mode shape corresponding to eigenfrequency $\omega_{n i}$
$\alpha \quad$ Parameter in Gumbel distribution
$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ Coefficients used for Rayleigh damping
$\beta \quad$ Parameter in Gumbel distribution
$\beta_{j} \quad$ Phase lag of the excitation acting on jacket $j$ due to the force acting on jacket 1
$\delta \quad$ Constant in JONSWAP spectrum
$\Delta \sigma_{i} \quad$ Stress range at the center of stress range interval $i$
$\gamma \quad$ Constant in JONSWAP spectrum
$\lambda \quad$ Wave length
u Flow velocity of fluid
$\mu \quad$ Stiffness ratio $=\frac{k_{b}}{K_{j}}$
$\omega \quad$ Wave frequency
$\omega_{l}, \omega_{u}$ Lower and upper frequency limits in wave spectrum
$\omega_{p} \quad$ Peak frequency in JONSWAP spectrum
$\Phi \quad$ Velocity potential
$\rho \quad$ Water density
$\sigma \quad$ Constant in JONSWAP spectrum
$\sigma^{2} \quad$ Variance
C Damping matrix for multi degree of freedom system
K Stiffness matrix for multi degree of freedom system
M Mass matrix for multi degree of freedom system
$\theta_{j} \quad$ Random phase angle for describing irregular waves
$v \quad$ Constant in JONSWAP spectrum
$\xi \quad$ Damping ratio
$\zeta \quad$ Wave elevation
$\zeta_{a j} \quad$ Amplitude for wave component $j$
a Constant used to define straight line from linear regression
$\mathrm{a}_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, \ldots$ Coefficients of Fourier series
$\mathrm{a}_{n} \quad$ Acceleration component normal to the pipe longitudinal axis, used in Morison's equation
b Constant used to define straight line from linear regression
$\mathrm{b}_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}, \ldots$ Coefficients of Fourier series
C Fatigue damage
c Damping coefficient for single degree of freedom system
$\mathrm{C}_{D} \quad$ Drag coefficient in Morison's equation
$\mathrm{C}_{M} \quad$ Inertia/mass coefficient in Morison's equation
D Diameter of cylinder
$\mathrm{E}_{j} \quad$ Energy per unit area for wave component $j$
F(t) External load
$\mathrm{F}_{D} \quad$ Force from drag term in Morison's equation
$\mathrm{F}_{I} \quad$ Force from inertia term in Morison's equation
$\mathrm{F}_{0, q} \quad \mathrm{q}$ - annual probability force response
g Gravitational acceleration constant $=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$
h Water depth
$\mathrm{H}(\omega)$ Complex frequency response function
$\mathrm{h}, \Delta t$ Time step used in time domain method
$\mathrm{H}_{j} \quad$ Jacket height
$\mathrm{H}_{s} \quad$ Significant wave height in JONSWAP spectrum
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {cyl }}$ Height of cylinders used in simplified model
k Stiffness coefficient for single degree of freedom system
$\mathrm{k}_{b} \quad$ Stiffness coefficient for bridge modelled as a spring
$\mathrm{k}_{j} \quad$ Stiffness coefficient for jacket displacement in deck
$\mathrm{L}_{\pi} \quad$ Length between jackets corresponding to phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi$
$\mathrm{L}_{\pi} / 2$ Length between jackets corresponding to a phase lag $\beta=\pi / 2$
$m \quad$ Mass for single degree of freedom system
N Number of wave frequencies used to describe irregular waves
$\mathrm{N}_{i} \quad$ Number of cycles to failure for stress range $\Delta \sigma_{i}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\zeta}(\tau)$ Autocorrelation function for the wave elevation
$\mathrm{r}_{i} \quad$ Oscillatory translation motion for degree of freedom $i$
$\mathrm{r}_{0, q} \quad \mathrm{q}$ - annual probability displacement response
$\mathrm{r}_{0 i} \quad$ Amplitude of oscillatory translation motion for degree of freedom $i$
$S_{\zeta}(\omega)$ Wave spectrum
$\mathrm{S}_{F}(\omega)$ Force spectrum
$\mathrm{S}_{r}(\omega)$ Response spectrum
T Wave period
t Time
$\mathrm{t}_{k} \quad$ Discrete points of time where solution is found in time domain method
$\mathrm{T}_{p} \quad$ Peak period in JONSWAP spectrum
$\mathrm{T}_{n j} \quad$ Eigenperiod corresponding to mode shape $\phi_{j}$
$\mathrm{u}_{n} \quad$ Velocity component normal to the pipe longitudinal axis, used in Morison's equation
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ Coordinates used to describe the model, with x pointing to the right, y into the paper and z upwards
z' Scaled vertical coordinate used in stretched Airy theory (Wheeler stretching)
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background and Motivation

Many jacket offshore platforms around the world are approaching their design life. During their service time, the jackets have been exposed to environmental loads which have gradually weakened the structure and made them more vulnerable to defects.

To ensure adequate safety of a jacket platform, the operator is responsible for doing structural integrity management. NORSOK N-005 [2] is a standard of how this can be performed, and is applicable for all types of offshore structures. In this standard, integrity management is defined as: "a continuous process to manage all changes that will occur during service life (from fabrication until decommissioning) that may affect the integrity of structures and marine systems". This can be performed by inspection and/or monitoring. Monitoring is when instruments are used to collect data for integrity assessment. New technology and increasingly accurate sensors, has opened for new methods of doing structural monitoring of jackets. According to the latest revision of NORSOK N-005, accelerometers may be used for monitoring changes in response. This can be done by assessing the power spectrum obtained from the measured accelerations. A change in the power spectrum, e.g. a shifted eigenfrequency, may indicate a defect in the structure. To do this kind of monitoring, it is essential to understand how the interaction between connected jackets may influence the acceleration measurements. This understanding needs to be established before measurements of such systems can be assessed.

### 1.2 Objective and Scope

This master thesis aims to provide a basic understanding on how the behavior of jackets connected with bridges are affected by the neighboring jacket. This has been done both by examining how the bridge stiffness affects the response plotted in the frequency domain, as well as comparing key response values for different bridge stiffnesses. The simulations have been done in the computer program USFOS, where the responses are solved in the time domain. The main work of this master thesis has been carried out in the following steps:

1. The work presented in this thesis, builds upon a preparatory work that was carried out in the specialization project (TMR 4500) during the autumn/winter of 2017. In the specialization project, Matlab was used to analyze a very simplified model by means of the frequency response method. Hence, a natural first step for this master thesis was to verify the results from the specialization project by use of USFOS. This was also done in order to get an initial simplified USFOS model, verified by the Matlab simulation.
2. The simplified model was subjected to wave loads given by Morison's equation. The super-harmonic force components from the drag term in Morison's equation and integration up to true surface level were studied. This was carried out by doing simulations for both inertia dominated systems and drag dominated systems, separately.
3. A brief review was done for the structural configurations of a representative bridge.
4. A finite element model of "real" connected jackets was established, by copying an already established model, and connecting them with a linear sprig.
5. Similar simulations as was done for the simplified model, were carried out for the complete jacket model.
6. The "real" jacket model was subjected to irregular waves, and key response data from static and dynamic analyses were compared. Additionally, it was conducted a sensitivity study on how the bridge stiffness influences the responses. The responses were compared with respect to fatigue, extreme long term response and mean square response.

The study is limited to a very simplified system. However, when studying the behavior of a complex system, it is essential to first establish a good understanding of the behavior of a simplified system. Thus, this thesis will hopefully provide an understanding of some basic concepts, which can be used in further studies.

The initial task given by Jørgen Amdahl, included studies of three connected jackets. In this work, a system of three connected jackets has only been used to verify the Matlab simulations from the specialization project. Other than this, no other simulations have been conducted on three connected jackets, due to the already existing complexity in a system with two connected jackets.

### 1.3 Software Tools

The response simulations were carried out with USFOS, a computer program which can be used for static or dynamic analysis in the time domain. USFOS is especially designed for nonlinear progressive collapse and accident analysis for frame structures [3]. Even though this is not the field of study in this thesis, USFOS was chosen due to several reasons:

- USFOS is well suited for analysis of jacket platforms.
- USFOS has a built-in hydrodynamic load module using Morison's equation.
- A jacket FE-model for use in USFOS was available.
- The response is solved by nonlinear analysis in the time domain. In this way, the super-harmonic force components from hydrodynamic loads can be captured.

To streamline the parametric studies, scripting techniques were used by calling the USFOS simulations with various parameters. The software Cygwin was used as a shell to get UNIX-like commands on the Windows operating system. Appendix X contains the command line scripts used to automate the simulations.

Matlab was used for the post-processing of the data from USFOS. The USFOS module Dynres was used to extract the time series data from USFOS into txt.files, which were used as input in the Matlab programs.

The bridge stiffness from the pipes was estimated with GeniE, which is a module in the Sesam suite provided by DNV GL. GeniE is a FEM software which among other things may be used for analyses of beam, plate and shell structures [4].

### 1.4 A Brief Review of Structural Configurations for Connected Jackets

A jacket platform is a bottom-fixed platform made up of tubular steel members to a truss of the shape of a truncated pyramid, see Figure 1. They are widely used in the North Sea (i.e. Ekofisk and Valhall field), and are mostly installed on water depths of less than 100 meters [5]. There are also examples of jackets installed on deeper water, such as the Kvitebjørn platform which is installed on 190 m [6]. The main task for the tubular members is to take axial forces (tension and compression), and the members are normally dimensioned against buckling[7]. On the other hand, the joints which connect the tubular members are dimensioned against fatigue. Jacket platforms have typically between three and eight vertical tubular legs with large diameter. These are connected by smaller tubular members called braces. The bracing can be arranged in different ways, as shown in Figure $2[8]$.


Figure 1: A four-legged jacket, as used in this thesis


Figure 2: Different types of bracing

A typical weight of a four-legged jacket as shown in Figure 1 is between 5000 t and 8000 t. The topside, where equipment are installed, is connected to the top of the jacket above the splash zone. The weight of the topside can typically be between 10000 t and 25000 t. In this report, a system with jackets connected with bridges that support process piping is studied. Normally the bridge carrying the piping is also a truss made of steel. Most of the axial bride stiffness comes from the piping, since the bridge is connected to one of the platform with a roller support. In reality, there is some friction in the roller support, but still most of the axial stiffness comes from the piping. To ensure that the bridge is not too stiff and to allow thermal expansion, the piping has expansion loops as shown in Figure 3. In this way, most of the stiffness is related to bending of the piping, rather than stretching/compressing the piping.


Figure 3: An example of expansion loops given by DNV GL. In reality there are many pipes of different dimensions

## 2 Theory

This chapter aims to cover the most important theory needed in order to read this thesis. Initially, techniques for solving the dynamic equation of motion (2.1) are presented, with focus on the time domain method which is used in this thesis. Subsequently, theory behind the hydrodynamic loading is given, and then the concept of spectral density is described, together with how it can be applied as a measurement of the response. Finally, the chapter gives a brief discussion on when a structure can be considered quasi-static versus dynamic.

### 2.1 Equation of Motion

The motion $r$ of a single degree of freedom (SDOF) system subjected to external loading can be described by the dynamic equation of motion given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{r}(t)+c \dot{r}(t)+k r(t)=F(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $m$ : mass of the system, including the added mass for structures moving in water
- $c$ : damping coefficient, which in this case is assumed to be viscous
- $k$ : stiffness coefficient
- $F(t)$ : external load
- $t$ : time

The equation of motion (2.1) can be generalized to a multi-degree-of-freedom (MDOF) system by introducing matrices including the properties listed above for all the degrees of freedom, together with the couplings between them. The solution to the equation of motion (2.1) can be found in two different ways: in the frequency domain and in the time domain. In the specialization project, the frequency domain method was used, while in this master thesis, the time domain method is used. The following subsection describes the applicability of the frequency domain method, and subsequently the time domain method will be described.

### 2.2 Applicability of the Frequency Domain Method

Due to the simple relation between the wave spectrum and response spectrum, (2.65), the frequency domain method is well suited for analyses of response from stochastic loads. Additionally, the method is useful for systems with frequency-dependent mass, damping or stiffness [9]. Since the statistically properties of the response can be calculated directly from the response spectrum, the frequency domain method is suited for
fatigue analysis. However, the method assumes linearity. This means that nonlinear effects, like the drag term from Morison's equation ${ }^{1}$, must be neglected or linearized, such that the response becomes proportional to the wave amplitude. In addition, all transient effects are neglected. In this way the response is oscillating harmonically with the same frequency as the load. A system can also have several other nonlinear effects ${ }^{2}$. Some of them can be linearized, while other are highly nonlinear, and then a time domain analysis is necessary.

### 2.3 Time Domain Method

In this method, the dynamic analysis is done in the time domain. This means that the solution is obtained during a given time interval. The time interval is divided into many small subintervals (time steps) $\Delta \mathrm{t}$, normally with equal length $\Delta \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{h}$. When the initial conditions are known (displacement, velocity and/or acceleration), the solution at the end of the first time step can be determined by assuming a certain variation of the motion during the interval. Further, this solution can be used as starting values for the next time step, and so on [9]. In this way, an approximated solution is obtained for given points along the time axis. Obviously, the smaller time step, the more accurate the solution will be. The time domain method demands much more computer resources than the frequency domain method. On the other hand, it can be used to capture nonlinear effects, and should therefore be used when these are important. Examples of such effects are [11]

- Nonlinear drag term in Morison's equation
- Integration up to the exact surface, see Section 2.4.2
- Transient slamming response
- Simulation of low-frequency motions (slow drift)
- Highly non-linear high-frequency response (e.g. ringing)
- Coupled floater, riser and mooring response

The solution is given directly as a function of time, and it is therefore convenient to use this method with deterministic loading given as a function of time. In the following, two different methods for obtaining the solution in the time domain will be presented.

### 2.3.1 Methods Based on a Difference Formulation

For these methods, the derivatives in (2.1) are replaced by a difference expression of the order that is required.

[^0]
### 2.3.1.1 Second Central Difference

The velocities and accelerations at the current time step are approximated by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{k} & =\frac{1}{2 \Delta t}\left(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}-\mathbf{r}_{k-1}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{k} & =\frac{1}{\Delta t^{2}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}-2 \mathbf{r}_{k}+\mathbf{r}_{k-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting this into (2.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{\Delta t^{2}} \mathbf{M}+\frac{1}{2 \Delta t} \mathbf{C}\right] \mathbf{r}_{k+1}=\mathbf{F}_{i}-\mathbf{K r}_{k}(t)+\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} \mathbf{M}\left(2 \mathbf{r}_{k}-\mathbf{r}_{k-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \Delta t} \mathbf{C r}_{k-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the displacements at step $k+1$ can be calculated from the two foregoing steps. This method is conditionally stable and requires that [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t<\frac{2}{\omega_{\max }} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{\max }$ is the highest eigenfrequency.

### 2.3.2 Methods Based on Numerical Integration

Considering first a SDOF system, and the dynamic equilibrium for a discrete point of time $t_{k}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{r}_{k}+c \ddot{r}_{k}+k r_{k}=F_{k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Between $t_{k}$ and $t_{k+1}$ we have no exact representation of the displacement $r(t)$ and the dynamic equilibrium. The displacement at time $t_{k+1}$ is found by introducing assumptions regarding the acceleration between $t_{k}$ and $t_{k+1}$. These assumptions give the basis for the different methods based on numerical integration.

### 2.3.2.1 Constant Average Acceleration

In this method, the acceleration is assumed to be the average value for the acceleration within the interval.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{r}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ddot{r}_{k}+\ddot{r}_{k+1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a very simple model, which is given here to illustrate how numerical time integration can be done. In modern computer programs, more sophisticated and accurate methods are used. In USFOS, the numerical time integration is based on the HHT- $\alpha$ method. ${ }^{3}$ From the assumed acceleration (2.6), the velocity is found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}(t)=\dot{r}_{k}+\frac{t}{2}\left(\ddot{r}_{k}+\ddot{r}_{k+1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]The velocity and displacement at time $t_{k+1}$ are found by integrating the assumed acceleration $\ddot{r}(t)$ and the corresponding velocity $\dot{r}(t)$ over $\Delta t=h$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{r}_{k+1}=\dot{r}_{k}+\int_{0}^{h} \ddot{r}(t) d t=\dot{r}_{k}+\frac{h}{2}\left(\ddot{r}_{k}+\ddot{r}_{k+1}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
r_{k+1}=r_{k}+\int_{0}^{h} \dot{r}(t) d t=r_{k}+h \dot{r}_{k}+\frac{h^{2}}{4}\left(\ddot{r}_{k}+\ddot{r}_{k+1}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\ddot{r}_{k+1}$ is given by requiring dynamic equilibrium at step $\mathrm{k}+1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{r}_{k+1}=\frac{1}{m}\left(F_{k+1}-c \dot{r}_{k+1}-k r_{k+1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three equations (2.8) - (2.10) are sufficient to find the three unknowns $\ddot{r}_{k+1}, \dot{r}_{k+1}$ and $r_{k+1}$. From (2.8) and (2.9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{r}_{k+1} & =\frac{4}{h^{2}}\left(r_{k+1}-r_{k}\right)-\frac{4}{h} \dot{r}_{k}-\ddot{r}_{k} \\
\dot{r}_{k+1} & =\frac{2}{h}\left(r_{k+1}-r_{k}\right)-\dot{r}_{k} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting this into (2.10), and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{4}{h^{2}} m+\frac{2}{h} c+k\right) r_{k+1}=F_{k+1}+\left(\frac{4}{h^{2}} m+\frac{2}{h} c\right) r_{k}+\left(\frac{4}{h} m+c\right) \dot{r}_{k}+m \ddot{r}_{k} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{k+1}$ is the only unknown value.

### 2.3.2.2 MDOF-Systems

The methods based on numerical integration can also be used directly on coupled MDOFsystems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{r}}+\mathbf{C} \dot{\mathbf{r}}+\mathbf{K r}=\mathbf{F}(t) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve (2.13) directly by a stepwise method is equivalent to solve $N$ uncoupled equations, using the same method and same the time step for all mode-shapes. The stability requirements for the different methods for solving (2.5), can also be applied for solving (2.13). This means that a method is stable with respect to the highest eigenfrequency, even though the mode-shape corresponding to this frequency has no influence on the solution. Hence, to solve a MDOF-system in the time domain requires very small time steps and is therefore computationally heavy. [9]

### 2.3.3 Eigenfrequencies with Corresponding Mode-Shapes for a 2-DOF System

A system consisting of two equal jackets connected with a bridge modelled as a linear spring, will behave like the system in Figure 4. A N-DOF system will generally have


Figure 4: Oscillating system with 2 DOF, used to illustrate the behaviour of two connected jacket. $k_{j}$ represents the jacket stiffness in sway, while $k_{b}$ represents the bridge stiffness

N eigenfrequencies $\left(\omega_{n 1}, \omega_{n 2}, \ldots, \omega_{n N}\right)$ with corresponding mode shapes $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{N}\right)$. The eigenfrequencies are found by setting the damping and the external load in (2.1) to zero, i.e. $c=F(t)=0$. The equations of motion are then found by equilibrium of forces acting on the two masses

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \ddot{r_{1}}+\left(k_{j}+k_{b}\right) r_{1}-k_{b} r_{2}=0  \tag{2.14}\\
& m \ddot{r_{2}}-k_{b} r_{1}+\left(k_{b}+k_{j}\right) r_{2}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which in matrix form becomes

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
m & 0  \tag{2.16}\\
0 & m
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{r_{1}} \\
\ddot{r_{2}}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(k_{j}+k_{b}\right) & -k_{b} \\
-k_{b} & \left(k_{j}+k_{b}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{1} \\
r_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The motion is assumed to be harmonic, and can be expressed in complex form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}=r_{01} e^{i \omega t} \\
& r_{2}=r_{02} e^{i \omega t} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

with second derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{r_{1}}=\omega^{2} r_{01} e^{i \omega t} \\
& \ddot{r_{2}}=\omega^{2} r_{02} e^{i \omega t} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.17) and (2.18) into (2.16)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
k_{j}+k_{b}-\omega^{2} m & -k_{b}  \tag{2.19}\\
-k_{b} & k_{j}+k_{b}-\omega^{2} m
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
r_{01} \\
r_{02}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equation (2.19) has its non-trivial solution when the determinant of the matrix is equal to zero

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
k_{j}+k_{b}-\omega^{2} m & -k_{b}  \tag{2.20}\\
-k_{b} & k_{j}+k_{b}-\omega^{2} m
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

This gives the characteristic equation for the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{j}+k_{b}-\omega^{2} m\right)^{2}-k_{b}^{2}=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the result part of this thesis, the bridge stiffness $k_{b}$ is given by the jacket stiffness $k_{j}$ through the stiffness ratio defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{k_{b}}{k_{j}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing (2.22) into (2.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{j}(1+\mu)-\omega^{2} m\right)^{2}-\left(\mu k_{j}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which on expanded form becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{4}-2(1+\mu) \frac{k_{j}}{m} \omega^{2}+(1+2 \mu)\left(\frac{k_{j}}{m}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $\omega^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}=(1+\mu \pm \mu) \frac{k}{m} \Rightarrow \omega_{n 1}^{2}=\frac{k}{m}, \quad \omega_{n 2}^{2}=(1+2 \mu) \frac{k}{m} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first line of (2.19) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{01}}{r_{02}}=\frac{k_{b}}{k_{j}+k_{b}-\omega^{2} m}=\frac{\mu k_{j}}{k_{j}(1+\mu)-\omega^{2} m} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two mode-shapes are now found by introducing the two eigenfrequencies, $\omega_{n 1}$ and $\omega_{n 2}$, into (2.26)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{r_{01}}{r_{02}}\right|_{\omega_{n 1}}=\frac{\mu k_{j}}{k_{j}(1+\mu)-\frac{k_{j}}{m} m}=\frac{\mu k_{j}}{\mu k_{j}}=1  \tag{2.27}\\
\left.\frac{r_{01}}{r_{02}}\right|_{\omega_{n 2}}=\frac{k_{j} \mu}{k_{j}(1+\mu)-(1+2 \mu) \frac{k_{j}}{m} m}=\frac{\mu k_{j}}{-\mu k_{j}}=-1 \tag{2.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

This means that for $\omega_{n 1}$ the displacements will be in phase with each other, while for $\omega_{n 2}$, the displacements will be in counter-phase with each other. Thus, the two mode shapes, $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$, can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.29}\\
\phi_{2} & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

when the amplitudes are normalized such that $r_{01}=1$. [14]

### 2.4 Hydrodynamic Loading

### 2.4.1 Governing Equations for Potential Flow

In potential flow theory, the flow velocity $\mathbf{u}$ is described by the velocity potential $\Phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=\nabla \mathbf{\Phi} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fluid motion is irrotational, which mathematically can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{u}=0 \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, the fluid is assumed to be incompressible, which mathematically can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the velocity potential $\Phi$ satisfies the Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \Phi=0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be solved by introducing the kinematic free-surface condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad z=\zeta(x, y, t) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the dynamic free-surface condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \zeta+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}\right)^{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad z=\zeta(x, y, t) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

These free-surface conditions (2.35) and (2.36) are non-linear, and can therefore not be implemented directly in USFOS.

### 2.4.2 Airy Wave Theory

In Airy wave theory, the free-surface conditions (2.35) and (2.36) are linearized, which means that the velocity potential is proportional to the wave amplitude. By a Taylor expansion the free-surface condition from the free-surface position $z=\zeta(x, y, t)$ is transferred to the mean free-surface $z=0$. By keeping the linear terms, the new free-surface conditions becomes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} & \text { on } & z=0 \\
g \zeta+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}=0 & \text { on } & z=0 \tag{2.38}
\end{array}
$$

which can be combined to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial t^{2}}+g \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad z=0 \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Airy wave theory, the velocity potential is oscillating harmonically with time, such that (2.39) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2} \Phi+g \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad z=0 \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last condition that is needed to find a complete mathematically solution to the velocity potential corresponding to Airy theory, is the sea bottom condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad z=-h \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the water depth. Now, it can be shown (e.g. Newman, 1977, chapter 6) that the velocity potential for Airy theory is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi=\frac{g \zeta_{a}}{\omega} \frac{\cosh k(z+h)}{\cosh k h} \cos (\omega t-k x) \quad \text { (for finite water depth) }  \tag{2.42}\\
\Phi=\frac{g \zeta_{a}}{\omega} e^{k z} \cos (\omega t-k x) \quad \text { (for infinite water depth) } \tag{2.43}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the velocity potential, the dynamic pressure in the fluid can be determined by [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{d y n}=-\rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}=\rho g \zeta_{a} e^{k z} \sin (\omega t-k x) \quad \text { (for infinite water depth) } \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The x - and z-component of the fluid velocity can also be found directly from the velocity potential by

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}=\omega \zeta_{a} e^{k z} \sin (\omega t-k x) & & \text { (for infinite water depth) }  \tag{2.45}\\
w & =\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}=\omega \zeta_{a} e^{k z} \cos (\omega t-k x) & & \text { (for infinite water depth) } \tag{2.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the x - and z -component of the acceleration are found from the corresponding velocity components

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
a_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\omega^{2} \zeta_{a} e^{k z} \cos (\omega t-k x) & \text { (for infinite water depth) } \\
a_{z}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}=-\omega^{2} \zeta_{a} e^{k z} \sin (\omega t-k x) & \text { (for infinite water depth) } \tag{2.48}
\end{array}
$$

Since Airy theory is based on a Taylor expansion at the mean free-surface $z=0$, the theory is only valid for infinitesimal waves. Hence, assumptions regarding the wave kinematics have to be made when the waves have finite amplitudes. One option, which is used in this thesis, is called extrapolated Airy theory. This theory assumes the velocity potential to be constant from the mean free-surface and up to the free-surface level, while the "true" velocity potential is used below the mean free-surface, see Figure 5. Figure 5 shows that the hydrostatic pressure cancel the hydrodynamic pressure at the wave crest, while there is a higher order error at the wave trough.


Figure 5: Hydrodynamic and hydrostatic pressure under waves with extrapolated Airy theory. The figure is taken from [1]

### 2.4.2.1 Stretched Airy Theory (Wheeler Stretching)

The representation of the free-surface conditions can be improved by introducing Wheeler stretching. In this thesis, Wheeler stretching was applied in the irregular wave analysis. In this method, the vertical coordinate $z$ is replaced by the scaled coordinate $z^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=(z-\eta) \frac{d}{d+\eta} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Wheeler stretching method has the advantage that it has fast computation time since it is based on linear theory. However, since it is based on linear theory, it will lead to inaccurate wave kinematics due to the nonlinear free-surface condition. To get a more accurate description of the wave kinematics, higher order terms can be introduced. An example of this is Stoke's $5^{\text {th }}$ order theory, where the velocity potential is given as a series expansion with five terms. See the USFOS hydrodynamics manual [1] section 1.2.2.3 for further details.

### 2.4.3 Morison's Equation

The hydrodynamic forces in USFOS are calculated by Morison theory, which states that the wave force on a slender cylindrical element can be expressed as a linear combination of two components:

- An inertia force proportional to the acceleration of the wave particles
- A drag force proportional to the square of the velocity of the wave particles.

Mathematically, the wave force per unit length $d F$ is given by [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d F=\left\{\rho \frac{\pi D^{2}}{4} C_{M} a_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \rho C_{D} D u_{n}\left|u_{n}\right|\right\} d s \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $\rho$ is the water density
- D is the diameter of the cylinder
- $C_{M}$ is the inertia/mass coefficient
- $C_{D}$ is the drag coefficient
- $a_{n}$ is the instantaneous acceleration component normal to the pipe longitudinal axis
- $u_{n}$ is the instantaneous velocity component normal to the pipe longitudinal axis

When applying Morison's equation, it is assumed that the fluid field characteristics are not affected by the presence of the structure. This implies that the equation only is valid for structures which are much smaller than the wave length, where the limit is normally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D}{\lambda} \leq 0.2 \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For structures with larger diameter than this, Mac-Camy and Fuchs theory based on linear potential theory may be applied ${ }^{4}$. An inherent uncertainty when using Morison's equation is the choice of the hydrodynamic coefficients $C_{M}$ and $C_{D}$. They must be determined empirically, and the main factors influencing them are: [10]

- Reynolds number $R n=U D / \nu$
- Roughness number $=K / D$
- Keulegan-Carpenter number $K C=U_{M} T / D$
- Relative current number $=U_{c} / U_{M}$
- Body form
- Free-surface effects
- Sea-floor effects
- Nature of ambient flow relative to the structure's orientation
- Reduced velocity $U_{R}=U /\left(f_{n} D\right)$

[^2]Since the inertia force is given by the acceleration (2.47), it has its maximum when the wave elevation is at mean water level. On the other hand, the drag force is given by the velocity (2.45), meaning that the drag force has maximum absolute values at the wave crest and wave trough. It can be shown [10] that the inertia force decays with $e^{2 \pi z / \lambda}$ and the drag force decays with $e^{4 \pi z / \lambda}$. This means the drag force is more concentrated near the free-surface than the inertia force.

### 2.4.3.1 Non-linearities from Hydrodynamic Loading

When applying Morison's equation, there are two sources to non-linearity: the quadratic drag term and integration of forces up to the true water level. The drag and inertia forces on a cylinder piercing the wave surface, subjected to extrapolated Airy waves can be shown to be [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{D} \propto u_{n}\left|u_{n}\right| \cdot H[\zeta(t)] \propto \sin (\omega t)|\sin (\omega t)| \cdot H[\sin (\omega t)] \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{I} \propto a_{n} H[\zeta(t)] \propto \cos (\omega t) H[\sin (\omega t)] \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{x}]$ is the Heaviside function, defined as

$$
H[x]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { when } x<0  \tag{2.54}\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text { when } x=0 \\ 1 & \text { when } x>0\end{cases}
$$

By use of Fourier series, the periodic force components(2.52) and (2.53) can be represented as infinite series of cosines and sines. Generally, the Fourier series of a periodic function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})$ with period $2 \pi / \omega$ can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos (n \omega t)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} \sin (n \omega t) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}=\frac{\omega}{\pi} \int_{-\pi / \omega}^{\pi / \omega} f(t) \cos (n \omega t) d t  \tag{2.56}\\
& b_{n}=\frac{\omega}{\pi} \int_{-\pi / \omega}^{\pi / \omega} f(t) \sin (n \omega t) d t \tag{2.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Fourier series expansion applied on the two force components (2.52) and (2.53), gives the following results

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{D} & \propto \frac{1}{4}(1-\cos (2 \omega t))+\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-(-1)^{n}}{\left(4-n^{2}\right) n} \sin (n \omega t)  \tag{2.58}\\
& =0.25+0.424 \sin (\omega t)-0.25 \cos (2 \omega t)-0.085 \sin (3 \omega t)-0.012 \sin (5 \omega t)-\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{I} & \propto \frac{1}{2} \cos (\omega t)+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}-1}{1-n^{2}} n \sin (n \omega t)  \tag{2.59}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \cos (\omega t)+0.424 \sin (2 \omega t)+0.170 \sin (4 \omega t)+0.109 \sin (6 \omega t)+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that non-linearity creates super-harmonic force components with frequency at multiples of the wave frequency $\omega$. Thus, waves of lower frequencies than the eigenfrequency may have force components with frequency equal to the eigenfrequency.

### 2.5 Spectral density

Since the sea surface $\zeta(t)$ is composed of random waves with random amplitude and frequency, they need to be described statistically. This is done by introducing the wave spectrum, which gives all necessary statistical information for the waves.

It is well known that the arbitrary sea surface can be broken down to a sum of harmonic wave components with different frequency, amplitude and phase angle. The energy per unit area of wave component $j$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}=\frac{1}{2} \rho g \zeta_{a j}^{2} \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho$ and $g$ are constants, $\frac{\zeta_{a j}^{2}}{2}$ will be a measure of the energy for wave component $j$. Now the wave spectrum is introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\zeta}\left(\omega_{j}\right) \Delta \omega=\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{a j}^{2} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means that the area of the spectrum inside a small frequency interval $\Delta \omega$ is equal to the total energy of all the wave components inside this frequency interval. The total energy is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{\rho g}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{a j}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} S_{\zeta}\left(\omega_{j}\right) \Delta \omega \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recognize the left hand side of (2.62) as the Riemann sum, and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} S_{\zeta}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \Delta \omega=\int_{0}^{\infty} S_{\zeta}(\omega) d \omega \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.63) and (2.62), the total amount of energy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{\rho g}=\sum \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{a j}^{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} S_{\zeta}(\omega) d \omega \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the wave spectrum gives the distribution of energy as a function of the frequency $\omega$. [16] A structure excited by random waves will also have a random response which can be described statistically by the response spectrum. For a SDOF system, it can be shown that the relation between the wave spectrum and the response spectrum is given by ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}(\omega)=|H(\omega)|^{2} S_{\zeta}(\omega) \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(\omega)$ is the complex frequency response function.

### 2.5.1 Relation Between the Variance of the Wave Elevation and the Wave Spectrum

By assuming that the wave elevation is Gaussian with zero mean, the variance is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=E\left[\zeta(t)^{2}\right] \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between the variance of the wave elevation and the wave spectrum is found by introducing the autocorrelation function $R_{\zeta}(\tau)$ which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\zeta}(\tau)=E[\zeta(t) \zeta(t+\tau)] \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\zeta}(0)=E\left[\zeta(t)^{2}\right] \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.66) and (2.68) which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=R_{\zeta}(0) \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown [17] that the autocorrelation function is related to the spectrum by the inverse Fourier transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\zeta}(\tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\zeta}(\omega) e^{i \omega \tau} d \omega \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\zeta}(0)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\zeta}(\omega) d \omega \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting (2.71) into (2.69) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\zeta}(\omega) d \omega \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the variance is equal to the area under the graph of the wave spectrum $S_{\zeta}(\omega)$ against $\omega$. [17]
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### 2.5.2 Mean Square Response

The relation between the variance and the spectrum derived in Section 2.5.1 does only apply to Gaussian processes with zero mean. It was shown in Section 2.4.3.1 that the mean drag force due to waves with zero mean is not equal to zero, and the relation in (2.72) can therefore not be used for the response spectrum $S_{r}(\omega)$. However, (2.68) and (2.71) can be combined and applied for the response spectrum, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[r(t)^{2}\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{r}(\omega) d \omega \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E\left[r(t)^{2}\right]$ is denoted as the mean square response and will be used as to a measurement of the responses in the result part.

### 2.6 Quasi-static vs. Dynamically Behaving Jackets

When a structure is subjected to oscillating loads with periods well above the highest eigenperiod of the structure, it may be considered as a quasi-static structure. For quasistatic structures the mass term and the damping term in (2.1) can be neglected, and the equation of motion becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=\frac{F(t)}{k} \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is way less demanding to solve than the full dynamic equation of motion (2.1). As a rule of thumb, structures exposed to wave forces can be considered quasi-static if the largest natural period is lower than $2-3 \mathrm{~s}[18]$. For jacket structures, the stiffness will generally decrease with the water depth. Consequently, the natural period will increase with the water depth, and jackets can typically be considered as quasi-static for water depths up to around 150 m , depending on the design.

## 3 Method

This chapter describes the different models analyzed in this thesis, including their physical properties and assumptions made. The assumptions made for the input to the USFOS simulations are presented, together with related theory. In the result part, the key response data will be compared with respect to:

- Mean square response
- Fatigue damage
- Extreme long term response

What is meant by these three terms, and how they are found, is presented in the end of this method chapter.

### 3.1 Simplified Model

The same simplified model that was used in the Matlab program in the specialization project, has been studied by use of USFOS. This was done to verify the results in the specialization project. Figure 6 shows a sketch of the simplified model for two connected jackets, while Figure 7 shows how the model appears in USFOS. It is emphasized that the stiffnesses $k_{j}$ act in the horizontal direction, as shown in Figure 4.


Figure 6: A sketch of the simplified model for two connected jackets


Figure 7: Simplified model in USFOS representing two connected jackets

The assumptions/simplifications made are:

- The jackets and the bridges are identical to each other.
- The bridges are massless springs with stiffness $k_{b}$.
- The mass of the jackets are concentrated in the decks and equal to $m_{j}=15000 \mathrm{t}$.
- Rayleigh-damping is assumed, which means that the damping matrix $\mathbf{C}$ is expressed as a linear combination of the mass matrix $\mathbf{M}$ and the stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}$ : [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}=\alpha_{1} \mathbf{M}+\alpha_{2} \mathbf{K} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0.01$.

- The jackets are modelled as cylindrical cantilever beams, such that the deflection in the deck can be expressed as a linear spring with stiffness $k_{j}$, see Figure 8. To ensure linearity, the displacements are kept small by scaling the applied force.
- The height of the cylinders were set to be $H_{c y l}=100 \mathrm{~m}$. The diameter and the wall-thickness were tuned in order to give the same eigenperiod as was used in the specialization project, $T_{n j}=2.0 \mathrm{~s}$. The tuned dimensions used for the cylinders are given in Table 1.

| Height, $H_{c y l}$ | 100 m |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter, $D$ | 12 m |
| Thickness, $t$ | 0.39 m |

Table 1: Dimensions of the cylinders, giving eigenperiod in sway equal to $T_{n 1}=2.0 \mathrm{~s}$


Figure 8: Jackets modelled as a cantilever beam

- The bridge stiffness was set to be half of the jacket stiffness: $\mu=\frac{k_{b}}{k_{j}}=\frac{1}{2}$.
- The jacket stiffness was calculated from the eigenperiod by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j}=m_{j} \omega_{n j}^{2}=m_{j}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{T_{n j}}\right)^{2}=15 \times 10^{6} k g\left(\frac{2 \pi}{2 s}\right)^{2}=148.04 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This stiffness was verified by applying a point load $F=148.04 \times 10^{6} N$ to the top node of one single cylinder, see Figure 9.


Figure 9: Verification of the calculated bridge stiffness

### 3.1.1 Simplified Model Subjected to Wave Loads

The simplified model has also been subjected to wave loads. In this case, the model was placed at a water depth of $h=80 \mathrm{~m}$, and needs to move away water when
accelerating. This leads to an added mass which depends on the mass coefficient $C_{M}$ in Morison's equation. The added mass increases the eigenperiods, but not sufficient to be in the range of the lowest wave periods applied to the model. To be able to include the eigenfrequencies in the frequency plots (see Section 3.4) the eigenperiods were increased by increasing the topside mass, and reducing the jacket stiffness by decreasing the diameter of the cylinder. The updated data for the simplified model subjected to wave loads are found in table 2.

| Height, $H_{c y l}$ | 100 m |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter, $D$ | 10 m |
| Thickness, $t$ | 0.5 m |
| Stiffness, $k_{j}$ | $106.06 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Topside mass, $m_{j}$ | 20000 t |

Table 2: Updated data for the simplified model subjected to wave loads

### 3.2 Jacket Model

The jacket model consists of two equal jacket platforms connected by a linear spring, see Figure 10. The FE-model of the jackets is the DS jacket taken from the appendix of the PhD thesis of Katrine van Raaij [19]. The water depth is $h=80 \mathrm{~m}$. The topside is modelled as a pyramid, with topside weight 11000 t given as node mass in the uppermost node. When the jacket model is subjected to irregular waves, the distance between them is set to be $L_{\text {jacket }}=80 \mathrm{~m}$. The beams in the top pyramid were set to have E-module and yield stress 1000 times the other beams of the jacket. In this way, they can transfer the force from the self-weight of the topside and the force transmitted from the spring down to the jacket.


Figure 10: Jacket model used in USFOS

### 3.2.1 Jacket Stiffness in Sway

The jacket stiffness in sway is found by applying a point load to the uppermost node. Figure 11 shows that the stiffness is linear until a displacement of 0.22 m , where one the braces starts to yield. To ensure linearity, the maximum displacement in all the simulations done in this report has been kept lower than 0.22 m. From Figure 11, the stiffness is found to be $k_{j}=182.65 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}$.


Figure 11: Applied force as function of displacement. The jacket stiffness $k_{j}$ was found from the slope of the linear part

### 3.2.2 Damping

In the jacket model, the damping is given by the damping ratio $\xi$ at two frequencies, rather than the two damping coefficients $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ connected to Rayleigh damping. This was chosen to get a more intuitive input value of the magnitude of the damping. The damping ratio is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{c}{c_{c r}}=\frac{c}{2 m \omega_{0}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{c r}$ is the critical damping, which is a characteristic measure of the structure. The equivalent modal damping ratio for a MDOF system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=\frac{\bar{c}_{i}}{2 \bar{m}_{i} \omega_{0 i}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the relation between Rayleigh damping and the damping ratio can be found. The modal damping coefficients from Rayleigh damping are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{c}_{i}=\alpha_{1} \bar{m}_{i}+\alpha_{2} \bar{k}_{i}=\bar{m}_{i}\left(\alpha_{1}+\omega_{0 i}^{2} \alpha_{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.5) into (3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\omega_{0 i}}+\alpha_{2} \omega_{0 i}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When knowing the damping ratio for two frequencies in the domain of interest, $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}}{\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}}\left(\xi_{1} \omega_{2}-\xi_{2} \omega_{1}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}=\frac{2\left(\omega_{2} \xi_{2}-\omega_{1} \xi_{1}\right)}{\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ into (3.6), the damping ratio can be given as function of eigenfrequency. Figure 12 shows an example of this with the values given in Table 3. These values are used to describe the damping of the jacket model used in this thesis. It is observed that the damping ratio becomes asymptotically proportional to $\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} \omega_{i}$ for large frequencies and increases quickly towards infinity for frequencies lower than $\omega_{1}$.

| Specified frequency | Damping ratio $\xi$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \pi / 15 s$ | 0.02 |
| $2 \pi / 1.5 s$ | 0.02 |

Table 3: Damping ratio specified at two frequencies, used to describe the damping of the jacket model


Figure 12: Damping ratio as function of eigenfrequency with $\omega_{1}=\pi, \omega_{2}=\pi / 5, \xi_{1}=0.1$ and $\xi_{2}=0.1$

### 3.3 Structural Configurations of the Bridge

Figure 13 shows the bridge connecting the two Draupner platforms. In this report the FE-model of the Drapner S platform (the one in the front) is used on both sides of the bridge. The exact structural configurations of the bridge have not been provided. Hence, several assumptions to the structural configurations have been made. The weight of the bridge was set to be $m_{\text {bridge }}=430$ tons, and the length $L_{\text {bridge }}=80 \mathrm{~m}$. The bridge is pinned to one of the jackets, and is allowed to move with a friction pad at the other jacket, see Figure 14. The processing piping are connected directly to the top sides, and are therefore assumed to be fixed at both ends.


Figure 13: The bridge connecting the Draupner platforms. The picture is taken from the photo library on www.equinor.com


Figure 14: Schematic drawing of the bridge

Hence, the two main mechanisms from the bridge that restrict the two jackets from moving relative to each other are: stiffness from the piping and the friction force from the sliding support. To get a vague idea of the magnitudes of these two contributions, rough estimates are presented in the result part, while the parameters/dimensions used are presented in the following.

### 3.3.1 Friction Force

The static friction coefficient $\mu_{s t a t}$ at the sliding support is assumed to be $\mu_{\text {stat }}=0.1$. This assumption is based upon the catalogue of bearings provided by the company Oiles [20], which has delivered bearings to bridges in the North Sea. ${ }^{6}$
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### 3.3.2 Stiffness from Piping with Expansion Loops

The stiffness contribution from the pipes is estimated by several analyses in GeniE, with representative dimensions of the pipes. The stiffness of each pipe is given by the reaction force due to a prescribed displacement. All of the pipes are assumed to follow the shape shown in Figure 15. The loop shown is an expansion loop which lower the stiffness.


Figure 15: Shape of the piping used in GeniE analyses

Table 4 shows different pipe dimensions that were considered, together with the number of each pipe. When the dimensions were chosen, it was ensured that the pipes can

| Diameter $[\mathrm{m}]$ | Thickness $[\mathrm{m}]$ | \# Pipes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 0.012 | 2 |
| 0.5 | 0.006 | 4 |
| 0.2 | 0.003 | 10 |

Table 4: Pipe dimensions and the number of each dimension used in the estimation of total stiffness from piping
withstand an internal pressure of 5 MPa . In the FE-model used in this thesis, the bridge was modelled as a linear massless spring. Hence, the static friction from the sliding support was neglected.

### 3.4 Plot in the Frequency Domain

In USFOS, finite element analysis is used to simulate response in the time domain, see Figure 16.


Figure 16: Time series of displacement due to a harmonic load

The solution to a nonhomogeneous differential equation consists of two parts, a homogeneous and a particular part. The homogeneous part is transient and dies out with time, while the particular solution continues to oscillate with the excitation frequency. It is the response from the particular solution that is of interest in this thesis, and it is therefore important to do the time series until the homogeneous solution has died out. In Figure 16, it is seen that the homogeneous solution has died out after about 100 s . The maximum absolute value of the response amplitude is found by DynMax, which is a built-in utility in USFOS. Each time series is excited by an excitation with a given frequency. Hence, several time series are needed to represent the behavior in the frequency domain. To do this effectively, scripting techniques were used to automatically call the USFOS simulations with different parameters. The desired responses are written to a file together with their corresponding excitation frequency. In this way, plots of the response amplitude as function of frequency can be made in a program like Excel. Since the responses are calculated in the time domain, the frequency plots may include higher order peaks.

### 3.5 Application of Loads

### 3.5.1 Concentrated Harmonic Excitation

Concentrated harmonic excitation is applied on the top nodes of the jackets. Each harmonic excitation is connected to a time series in USFOS, and the phase lags are given by varying the start time of the time series. E.g., consider a system of two jackets subjected to harmonic excitation with period 6 s , a start time of 3 s for the second jacket will then give a phase lag equal to $\beta_{2}=\pi$.

### 3.5.2 Wave Loads

The relative velocity between the structure and the wave particles has not been accounted for in the calculations of drag forces. When the system is subjected to regular wave loads,
the phase lag is adjusted by varying the distance between the jackets. E.g., a distance of half a wave length corresponds to a phase lag of $\beta_{2}=\pi$. For high frequencies, the wave length $\lambda$ might be lower than the width of the jacket. To overcome this, a number $k$ of wavelengths can be added to the distance between the jackets. In Figure 17b, one additional wave length is added to the distance, such that the distance is $1.5 \lambda$. The distance $L_{\pi}$ between the jackets to obtain a phase lag of $\beta_{2}=\pi$ is generally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\pi}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+k\right) \lambda, \quad k=0,1,2 \ldots \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a suitable value of $k$ is selected based on the wave frequency. Similarly, for a phase lag of $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$, the distance between the jackets is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\pi / 2}=\left(\frac{1}{4}+k\right) \lambda, \quad k=0,1,2 \ldots \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$


(a) Distance between jackets given by $L_{\pi}=\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ (b) Distance between jackets given by $L_{\pi}=\frac{3}{2} \lambda$

Figure 17: Phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi$ for two different wave frequencies
The wave length is calculated from the wave period $T$ according to the USFOS hydrodynamics theory manual [1]

$$
\lambda= \begin{cases}\frac{g}{2 \pi} T^{2} & \text { if } T<T_{\text {lim }}  \tag{3.11}\\ 2 d\left(2 \frac{T}{T_{\text {lim }}}-1\right) & \text { if } T<T_{\text {lim }}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{l i m}=\sqrt{\frac{2 d}{g / 2 \pi}}=10.12[\mathrm{~s}] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.5.2.1 Irregular Waves

For analyses where dynamic effects and/or nonlinear effects are significant, irregular wave analysis in the time domain represents the reality in the best way. As explained in Section 2.5 , a sea state can be described statistically by an appropriate wave spectrum.

The wave spectrum gives all the necessary statistical information for the waves. The JONSWAP spectrum is widely used in the North Sea, and was therefore chosen as an appropriate spectrum for this work. In USFOS, the spectrum is described by the spectral peak period $T_{p}$ and the significant wave height $H_{s}$ [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\zeta}(\omega) & =v \frac{g^{2}}{\omega^{5}} \exp \left[-\frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega}\right)\right] \gamma^{r} \\
r & =\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_{p}}{\sigma \omega_{p}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{p}$ is the peak frequency, and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}=\frac{2 \pi}{T_{p}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\gamma$ is the peak enhancement factor. The higher $\gamma$ the more energy is concentrated near the peak frequency $\omega_{p} . \gamma$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma=\exp \left[3.483\left(1-\frac{0.1975 \delta T_{p}^{4}}{H_{s}^{2}}\right)\right]  \tag{3.15}\\
& \delta=0.036-\frac{0.0056 T_{p}}{\sqrt{H_{s}}}
\end{align*}
$$

$v$ determines the shape of the spectrum for high frequencies, and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=5.061(1-0.2871 \log \gamma) \frac{H_{s}^{2}}{T_{p}^{4}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sigma$ describes the spectrum width, and is given by

$$
\sigma= \begin{cases}\sigma_{a}=0.07 & \text { for } \omega \leq \omega_{p}  \tag{3.17}\\ \sigma_{b}=0.09 & \text { for } \omega>\omega_{p}\end{cases}
$$

The JONSWAP spectrum should only be used for combinations of $H_{s}$ and $T_{p}$ that satisfies the following requirement [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
3.6 \sqrt{H_{s}} \leq T_{p} \leq 5 \sqrt{H_{s}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on this, the following two sea states have been used in this thesis: The sea states have a duration of three hours, which is a standard period for sea states [11]. Within these three hours the sea sate is assumed to be a stationary random process. For the first sea state, the waves have almost no energy, however this sea state was chosen to

| Sea State no. | $T_{p}[\mathrm{~s}]$ | $H_{s}[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 2 |
| 2 | 10 | 5 |
| 3 | 15 | 10 |
| 4 | 16.3 | 14.9 |

Table 5: Sea states used in this thesis
see if the system behaves more dynamically for a low peak period. For the second and the third sea state, the peak period increases, and the system should therefore behave less dynamically. The fourth sea state is the $10^{-2}$ annual probability sea state, which is applied in the extreme response analysis, see Section 3.6.3. Figure 18 shows the JONSWAP spectrum for $H_{s}=5 \mathrm{~m}$ and $T_{p}=10 \mathrm{~s}$.


Figure 18: JONSWAP spectrum for $H_{s}=5 \mathrm{~m}$ and $T_{p}=10 \mathrm{~s}$

The surface elevation is described as a sum of many harmonic waves with a given amplitude $\zeta_{A j}$, angular frequency $\omega_{j}$ and a random phase angle $\theta_{j}$. The surface elevation at a particular location $(x=0)$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{A j} \cos \left(\omega_{j} t+\theta_{j}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the random phase angle $\theta_{j}$ is uniformly distributed between 0 and $2 \pi$. From the definition of the wave spectrum in Section 2.5, the amplitude of each wave component can be determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{A j}=\sqrt{2 \int_{\omega_{l, j}}^{\omega_{u, j}} S_{\zeta}(\omega) d \omega} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{l, j}$ and $\omega_{u, j}$ are the lower and upper frequency limits for wave component $j$. There are several options in USFOS on how to discretize the wave components.

In this work, the range of frequencies is divided into $N=120$ intervals of equal length, $\Delta \omega=\frac{\omega_{u}-\omega_{l}}{N}$. The upper and lower limits for angular frequencies in the wave spectrum are chosen to be $\omega_{u}=\frac{2 \pi}{2}$ and $\omega_{l}=\frac{2 \pi}{20}$, respectively. The built-in dicretization option in USFOS uses the midpoint in each interval as the frequency from where corresponding amplitudes in the JONSWAP spectrum is given. In this way, the intervals between these points will also be constant and equal to $\Delta \omega$ and the time series will repeat itself after an amount of time. To avoid this, the frequencies are selected randomly within each interval. See Matlab script in Appendix C.3.

### 3.6 Key Response Data from Irregular Wave Analysis

To investigate the influence from the bridge on the system, a sensitivity study has been performed for various bridge stiffnesses. This has been done for both quasi-static and dynamic analysis, to investigate to what extent the system is affected by dynamics. The following responses have been studied:

- Horizontal displacement in deck
- Force in diagonal brace (part of K-stiffener) located between elevation 40 m and 21 m below mean free surface

These responses are given as time series in USFOS. Two Matlab scripts have been made for post-processing of the data from the time series into different comparable quantities/magnitudes of the responses, see Appendix C. 1 and C.2. How the responses were quantified will be described in the following subsections. The program Dynres was used to extract the data from USFOS to a .txt-file, which was used as input in the Matlab program.

### 3.6.1 Mean Square Response $E\left[r(t)^{2}\right]$

Equation (2.73) shows that the mean square response is equal to the area under the response spectrum. Responses from different configurations of the analysis, have been compared in terms of their mean square response. The mean square responses were calculated both directly from the time series, and from the area under the response spectrum. Both of the methods gave the same results, which verifies the Matlab program that calculates the response spectrum from the time series, see Appendix C.1.

### 3.6.2 Fatigue Damage $C$

The fatigue damage can be studied from the force in the selected brace by the following procedure:

1. The beam stress was calculated from the beam force divided by the cross section area. A stress concentration factor has not been included since it is the relative fatigue damage that is of importance in this study.
2. The irregular beam stress was "counted" by use of rainflow counting algorithm ${ }^{7}$.
3. A histogram was made to express the stress distribution, see Figure 19. The histogram consists of 30 bars $^{8}$, meaning that the stress ranges from the rainflowcounting are ordered into 30 intervals. The height of bar number $i$, is the number of cycles $n_{i}$ with stress range within the limits of the bar.
4. For the stress range corresponding to the centre of each of the bars, $\Delta \sigma_{i}$, the number of cycles to failure $N_{i}$ is calculated from the S-N curve given by ${ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log N_{i}=16.13-5 \Delta \sigma_{i} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ is the stress range
5. The fatigue damage $C$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{n_{i}}{N_{i}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.6.3 Extreme Response Analysis

Extreme response analysis was performed for all of the three key responses by the following procedure: [18]

1. The worst sea state along a $10^{-2}$ annual probability contour line is found. In [23], a sea-state with $T_{p}=16.3 \mathrm{~s}$ and $H_{s}=14.9 \mathrm{~m}$ was identified to be appropriate. To limit the work, this sea-state was used for the extreme response analysis in this report.
2. The extreme response from 203 -hour simulations with different seeds were found. The extremes were ordered in an increasing order $\left\{x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \ldots \leq x_{i} \leq \ldots \leq x_{n}\right\}$, and the sample distribution was found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}^{\star}=\frac{i}{20+1} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 19: An example of a histogram that shows the stress distribution for the selected brace, for both quasi-static and dynamic analysis
3. The Gumbel distribution is assumed to be an appropriate model for the long term extreme value, and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{X}(x)=\exp \left[-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\alpha}{\beta}\right)\right] \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. The sample distribution is plotted in a Gumbel probability paper, with coordinate system that has $x_{i}$ on the horizontal axis and $y_{i}=-\ln \left[-\ln \left(F_{i}^{\star}\right)\right]$ on the vertical axis. If the data plotted in the probability paper seem to lie more or less along a straight line, the Gumbel distribution may be a good model.
5. A straight line $y=a x+b$ is fitted by use of linear regression. The parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are found from the regression line by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta=\frac{1}{a}  \tag{3.25}\\
& \alpha=-\beta \cdot b=-\frac{b}{a} \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

6. The 90 -percentile is assumed to be a good estimate for the 100 year extreme response. The 100 year extreme response is then found by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{100}=\alpha-\beta \ln (-\ln (0.9)) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.6.3.1 Equivalent Dynamic Amplification Factors, EDAFs

EDAFs are defined as the q-probable dynamic response divided by the q-probable quasistatic response: [23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E D A F=\frac{r_{q, d}}{r_{q, s}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the dynamic q-probability response can be estimated from the quasistatic q-probable response by multiplying the quasi-static q-probable response with the EDAF. In this way, EDAFs can be used to estimate ALS and ULS of the platform by performing quasi-static analysis, which requires less computer time than dynamic analysis.

## 4 Results and Discussion

Before presenting the results, different magnitudes/parameters that are used as inputs and outputs for the results are clarified, such that there will be no doubt about which physical properties they represent:

- Displacement response, $r_{0}[\mathrm{~m}]$ : The amplitude of the horizontal response at the topside of the first jacket.
- Phase lag, $\beta_{j}[\mathrm{rad}]$ : The phase lag of the excitation acting on jacket $j$ due to the excitation acting on jacket 1 . For simplicity, the values of $\beta$ given in the results are written without the unit [rad].
- Stiffness ratio, $\mu[-]$ : The ratio between the bridge stiffness and the jacket stiffness, i.e. $\mu=\frac{k_{b}}{k_{j}}$.
- Excitation frequency/wave frequency, $\omega[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]$ : When the harmonic excitation is given by concentrated nodal loads, $\omega$ refers to excitation frequency. When the load is given by wave loads, $\omega$ refers to wave frequency. For simplicity, the values of $\omega$ given in the results are written without the unit $[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]$.


### 4.1 Simplified Model Subjected to Concentrated Harmonic Excitation

The simplified model described in Section 3.1 has been subjected to concentrated harmonic excitation acting on the top nodes of the jackets. The stiffness of the jackets $k_{j}$ was scaled to give the eigenperiod in sway equal to $T_{n, j}=2.0 \mathrm{~s}$, which is same eigenperiod as used in the specialization project. Figure 20 compares results from the Matlab program made in specialization project with results from USFOS. Both of the plots are given for a system with two jackets, phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$. The USFOS plot is made in Excel where each of the dots in Figure 20b corresponds to a simulation in USFOS. The dots are combined with smoothed lines made by Excel. Figure 20 shows that USFOS and the Matlab program give nearly identical results. Figure 21 shows that this is also valid for a system with three jackets.

### 4.2 Simplified Model Subjected to Extrapolated Airy Waves

The same simplified model is now subjected to extrapolated Airy waves. The water depth is set to be $d=80 \mathrm{~m}$ and the jackets are 100 m tall. The bridge stiffness is kept constant to $\mu=0.5$. Wave forces are calculated in USFOS by Morison's equation (2.50). The drag term and the inertia term of this equation have been studied individually by varying the hydrodynamic coefficients $C_{M}$ and $C_{D}$. It was also considered to study the effect of integrating up to true wave height by doing simulations for small and large wave heights ( 0.1 m and 15 m ), but this didn't show any difference other than scaling


Figure 20: Response amplitude as function of excitation frequency for a system with two jackets, phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$


Figure 21: Response amplitude as function of excitation frequency for a system with three jackets, $\mu=0.5$ and phase lags $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and $\beta_{3}=\pi$
the values of the results. Thus, a wave height of 10 m was chosen to be used in the simulations of the simplified model. As explained in Section 2.4.3, the non-linear nature of the wave load gives harmonic excitations at multiplies of the wave frequency. In the following section, these super-harmonic force components are investigated and compared with the analytic expressions (2.58) and (2.59).

### 4.2.1 Super-Harmonic Force Components

Figure 22 shows a time series of the total force from extrapolated Airy waves with period $\mathrm{T}=5 \mathrm{~s}$ acting on a single pipe piercing the sea surface. The forces are calculated by

Morison's equation with only the inertia term present. By use of fast Fourier transform in Matlab ${ }^{10}$, the time series was transformed into the frequency domain, as seen in Figure 23.


Figure 22: Time series of total wave load on a pipe piercing the sea surface. The load is calculated by Morison's equation (2.50) with only the inertia term present

The highest peak in Figure 23, at frequency $f=0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, corresponds to the wave period $T=5 \mathrm{~s}$. This means that most of the wave force acts with the wave frequency $f_{\text {wave }}=0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The two peaks at $2 f_{\text {wave }}$ and $3 f_{\text {wave }}$ are explained by the superharmonic force components, see Section 2.4.3.1. However, the analytic expression for the force components due to inertia loading, (2.59), does not include a force component at $3 f_{\text {wave }}$. Additionally, in the analytic expression the force component with frequency $2 f_{\text {wave }}$ is almost as high as the force component with frequency $f_{\text {wave }}$, while in Figure 23 the force component with frequency $f_{\text {wave }}$ is governing. This shows that (2.59) is not valid for calculating the super-harmonic force components from inertia loads given by USFOS.

Figure 24 shows the force components when only the drag term is present. It is observed that the super-harmonic forces are more important for drag-dominated systems than for inertia-dominated systems. This is due to the squared velocity term in the drag term of Morison's equation. The peak at $\omega=0$ is related to the constant positive force term from drag forces, see (2.58). By comparing Figure 24 with the analytic expression for the force components due to drag loading (2.58), it is seen that (2.58) is not valid for calculating the super-harmonic force components from drag loads given by USFOS.

### 4.2.2 Inertia dominated system, $C_{M}=2$ and $C_{D}=0$

### 4.2.2.1 Phase lag equal to $\beta_{2}=\pi$

When the distance between the jackets gives a phase lag of $\beta_{2}=\pi$, the wave forces on the two jackets act in counter-phase with each other. This corresponds to the second eigenmode $\phi_{2}$, see (2.30). Figure 25 shows a high peak at $\omega=3.1$ which corresponds to
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Figure 23: Force components with only the inertia term $C_{M}$ present


Figure 24: Force components with only the drag term $C_{D}$ present
the second eigenfrequency $\omega_{n 2}$. There is no peak at the first eigenfrequency $\omega_{n 1}=2.2$ since the system is excited with the second eigenmode $\phi_{2}$. However, there is a smaller peak at $\omega=1.1$ which is half of the first eigenfrequency. This is explained by the first super-harmonic force component with frequency $2 \omega$. Hence, this frequency coincides with the first eigenfrequency when the wave frequency is $\omega=\omega_{n 1} / 2$. On the other hand, there is no peak at half of the second eigenfrequency.
Figure 26 is given to explain that the super-harmonic force component with frequency $2 \omega$ gives a peak at $\omega_{n 1} / 2$ not at $\omega_{n 2} / 2$, although the system is excited with the second eigenmode $\phi_{2}$. The blue line represents force acting with the wave frequency $\omega$ and the red line represents the force component acting with double the wave frequency $2 \omega$. It


Figure 25: Response amplitude as function of wave frequency for a inertia dominated system with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$
is seen that the excitation from the red line has maximum at both of the jackets, while the blue line has maximum and minimum at the two jackets (counter-phase). Thus, the excitation from the blue line excites the system with the second mode shape $\phi_{2}$, while the excitation from the red line excites the system with the first mode shape $\phi_{1}$.


Figure 26: The two first force components for a system with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi$. The blue line represents force acting with the wave frequency and the red line represents the force acting with double the wave frequency

### 4.2.2.2 Phase lag equal to $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$

In Figure 27 the phase lag is $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$. This phase lag lies in between the two eigenmodes, and there is therefore a peak present at both the two eigenfrequencies, $\omega_{n 1}$ and $\omega_{n 1}$. The
smaller peak at $\omega=1.54$ corresponds to half of the second eigenfrequency. It is observed that there is no peak at half of the first eigenfrequency. This result can be explained by looking at Figure 28. The blue curve represents the force component acting with the the wave frequency $\omega$ while the red line is the force component with frequency equal to double the wave frequency, $2 \omega$. It is seen that the forces from the red line act in counter-phase with each other, meaning that they excite the system with the second mode shape $\phi_{2}$. Thus, the red line does only give a peak at $\omega_{n 2} / 2$, and not at $\omega_{n 1} / 2$.


Figure 27: Response amplitude as function of wave frequency for a inertia dominated system with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$


Figure 28: The two first force components for a system with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$. The blue line represents force acting with the wave frequency and the red line represents the force acting with double the wave frequency

### 4.2.3 Drag Dominated System, $C_{M}=0$ and $C_{D}=2$

### 4.2.3.1 Phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$

By comparing Figure 29 with Figure 27, the drag dominated system shows similar behaviour as the inertia dominated system for high frequencies, but the drag dominated system shows additional peaks at lower frequencies. This is in compliance with the results obtained in Section 4.2.1, where it was shown that a drag dominated system gives considerable force components with frequency $3 \omega$ and $4 \omega$, in contrast to a inertia dominated system. The peaks at $\omega=0.76$ and $\omega=1.05$ correspond to $\omega_{n 1} / 3$ and $\omega_{n 2} / 3$, respectively, and there are also observed small peaks at $\omega_{n 1} / 4$ and $\omega_{n 2} / 4$.

$\rightarrow$-Jacket 1 --Jacket 2

Figure 29: Response amplitude as function of wave frequency for a drag dominated system with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$

### 4.2.3.2 Phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.05$

Figure 30 shows that for a lower stiffness ratio, the second eigenfrequency is moved to the left, which is in compliance with (2.25). Consequently, the peaks at $\omega=\omega_{n 2} / 2$ and $\omega=\omega_{n 2} / 3$ are also moved to the left, towards waves with more energy. On the other hand, it is seen that a lower stiffness ratio smaller range of critical frequencies.

### 4.2.3.3 Single Jacket

By comparing Figure 31 with Figure 30, it is seen that the main difference between a single jacket and jackets connected with a low stiffness ratio, is the difference in response of the two jackets around the eigenfrequency $\omega_{n 1}$. The reason for this, is that at the two eigenfrequencies, the system is forced oscillate with their corresponding mode shapes. The excitation on the second jacket will follow better the two mode shapes than the

$\rightarrow$ Jacket $1 \rightarrow$ Jacket 2

Figure 30: Response amplitude as function of wave frequency for a drag dominated system with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.05$
excitation on the first jacket. Hence, the response will be largest on the second jacket. A more detailed explanation to this is found in Appendix A and B.


Figure 31: Response amplitude as function of wave frequency for a drag dominated single jacket

### 4.3 Stiffness from Piping

To get a feeling of the magnitude of the stiffness from the piping, an estimate was found by use of GeniE, see Section 3.3.2. The total piping stiffness together with the contribution from each pipe type is shown in Table $6 .{ }^{11}$

| Diameter $[\mathrm{m}]$ | Thickness $[\mathrm{m}]$ | Reaction Force $[\mathrm{N}]$ | \# Pipes | Total Stiffness $[\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 0.012 | $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ | 2 | $2.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.006 | $64.0 \times 10^{3}$ | 4 | $256.0 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 0.2 | 0.003 | $7.8 \times 10^{3}$ | 10 | $78.0 \times 10^{3}$ |
|  |  |  | $2.3 \times 10^{6}$ |  |

Table 6: Estimated total stiffness from piping, together with pipe dimensions and the number of each pipes used in the estimation.

In Section 3.2.1, the jacket stiffness was estimated to be $k_{j}=182.65 M N / m$. By assuming that all of the bridge stiffness comes from the piping, the stiffness ratio is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{k_{b}}{k_{j}}=\frac{2.3 \times 10^{6}}{182.65 \times 10^{6}}=1.3 \% \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 Friction

In Section 3.3 the mass of the bridge was set to be $m_{\text {bridge }}=430 \mathrm{t}$ and the friction coefficient was assumed to be $\mu_{f}=0.1$. By assuming that the weight is identically distributed on the two supports, the friction force in the sliding support is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f}=\mu_{f} F_{n}=0.1 \cdot 215 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kg} \cdot 9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}=211 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A force of 211 kN is not large in this context. This suggests that the friction force can be neglected and the bridge can be modelled as a linear spring, as done in the simulations of this thesis.

### 4.5 Jacket Model Subjected to Point loads

In the same way as for the simplified model, the jacket model was initially subjected to point loads at the uppermost nodes of the jackets. This was done to verify that the jacket model behaves in the same way as the simplified model. Figure 32 shows the displacement response as function of excitation frequency for a system with two connected jackets, phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$. This is the same configurations that were used in Section 4.1 for the simplified model. By comparing Figure 32 with Figure 20 it is observed that the two models display similar behaviour in

[^7]the frequency domain, and that the jacket model has higher eigenfrequencies than the simplified model.


Figure 32: Response amplitude as function of wave frequency for jacket model subjected to point loads with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$

### 4.6 Jacket Model Subjected to Extrapolated Airy Waves

The jacket model was subjected to wave excitation due to extrapolated airy waves. The wave height is $h=5 \mathrm{~m}$, the water depth is $d=80 \mathrm{~m}$ and the hydrodynamic coefficients in Morison's equation are set to be $C_{D}=0.7$ and $C_{M}=2$. In reality the hydrodynamic coefficients are influenced by many parameters, such as Reynolds number $R_{e}$, the Keulegan-Carpenter number and the roughness, see Section 2.4.3. This means that the hydrodynamic coefficients will vary over the structure and with different loads. By a mistake, the mass of the topside was set to be 10000 tons instead of 11000 tons for this particular simulation. Figure 33 shows the displacement response as function of wave frequency for a system with two jackets, phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$. The two eigenfrequencies from this simulation are $\omega_{n 1}=4.10$ and $\omega_{n 2}=5.74$, which is a bit higher than the eigenfrequencies obtained when the correct mass is used. The eigenfrequencies are not included in Figure 33, since waves with frequencies this high have insignificant energy. In reality, frequencies higher than $\omega=1.9$ are not physical for the given wave height. However, frequencies up to $\omega=3$ are included to show the presence of all the higher order peaks. The peak at $\omega=2.87$ is equal to half of $\omega_{n 2}=5.74$. The small peaks at $\omega=1.37$ and $\omega=1.91$ corresponds to one third of $\omega_{n 1}$ and $\omega_{n 2}$ respectively. Note that there is no peak at $\omega_{n 1} / 2$. These results are in compliance with the results obtained from the simplified model with phase lag $\pi / 2$. In addition to the super-harmonic peaks, there are peaks at $\omega=2.7, \omega=2.4$ and $\omega=1.7$. These peaks are explained in the following subsection.


Figure 33: Response amplitude as function of wave frequency for two connected jackets with stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$ subjected to extrapolated Airy waves

### 4.6.1 Amplification and Cancellation Effects

Waves with frequency higher than $\omega=1.7$, have wave lengths that are shorter than the width of the jacket ${ }^{12}$. Figure 34 shows that the wave length corresponding to $\omega=2.4$ is half of the jacket width. This means that the forces, acting with the wave frequency, on the front legs and the back legs are in phase with each other, and the response becomes amplified. On the other hand, when the forces on the front legs and the back legs are in counter-phase with each other, they give cancellation effects. Due to these additional effects, the jacket model shows a more irregular plot in the frequency domain than the simplified model.


Figure 34: Wave length corresponding to wave frequency $\omega=2.4$

[^8]The amplification/cancellation effects are presented in another way in Figure 35, which shows the power spectrum for the total wave force acting on one single jacket. The jacket is subjected to irregular waves given by a JONSWAP spectrum with $T_{p}=6 \mathrm{~s}$. This means that there is a concentration of energy around $\omega_{p}=\frac{2 \pi}{6 s}=1.05$, which explains the first peak in Figure 35. The three other peaks at higher frequencies correspond to the three peaks in Figure 33 which could not be explained by super-harmonic force components. The troughs in Figure 35 where there is almost no energy, correspond to frequencies giving forces on the front legs and back legs in counter-phase with each other. It is observed that the second trough is coinciding with the super-harmonic force component $2 \omega_{p}$, which explains why there is no energy concentration around this frequency.


Figure 35: The power spectrum for the total wave force acting on one single jacket

### 4.7 Jacket Model Subjected to Irregular Waves

### 4.7.1 Comparing Quasi-Static and Dynamic Responses

The simulations presented in this subsection are done on a model consisting of two jackets connected by a spring with stiffness ratio $\mu=0.5$. The stiffness was chosen to be this high to clearly demonstrate how the bridge affects the results. The eigenfrequencies for the model are $\omega_{n 1}=3.92$ and $\omega_{n 2}=5.54$.

### 4.7.1.1 Comparing Quasi-static and Dynamic Responses Visually by Time Series and Response Spectra

Figure 36 shows the displacement response as function of time from both quasi-static and dynamic analyses in USFOS. The jackets are subjected to irregular waves described
by a JONSWAP spectrum with $T_{p}=10 \mathrm{~m}$ and $H_{s}=5 \mathrm{~m}$. The dynamic and the quasistatic equation of motion are found in (2.1) and (2.74). It is seen from Figure 36b that the dynamic system oscillates with the fundamental eigenperiod $T_{n 1}=1.6 \mathrm{~s}$, on top of the oscillation from the quasi-static analysis, Figure 36a. This gave the motivation to investigate to what extent the fatigue damage is affected by dynamics, see Section 4.7.1.3.


Figure 36: Displacement response as function of time from quasi-static and dynamic analysis in USFOS

Figure 37 shows the response spectrum of the displacement from dynamic and quasistatic analyses, for both a single and two connected jackets. The simulations were done for three hours, with sea state $T_{p}=10 \mathrm{~m}$ and $H_{s}=5 \mathrm{~m}$. By comparing Figure 37a and Figure 37b, it is seen that the main difference between quasi-static and dynamic analysis for a single jacket is the dynamic amplification with peak close to 0.6 Hz . This frequency is close to the first eigenfrequency, $f_{n 1}=0.62$. It is seen that the spectrum from two connected jackets have more irregular shape than the spectrum from a single jacket. This is explained by the interaction between the jackets. The additional peaks and bottoms correspond to frequencies where the waves hitting the jackets are in phase and counter-phase, respectively. The dynamic analysis for the connected jackets, Figure 37d, shows an additional low peak around $f=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$. This peak is probably related to the second eigenfrequency $f_{02}=0.88 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Again, the peak is given at a frequency lower than the eigenfrequency, in the same way as for the peak related to the first eigenfrequency. The reason to this is unknown.


Figure 37: Displacement response plotted in the frequency domain from dynamic and quasi-static analyses, for both a single and two connected jackets

### 4.7.1.2 Displacement Response

Table 7 shows the ratio between the mean square displacement response from dynamic and quasi-static analyses, $\frac{E\left[r_{0, d y n}^{2}\right]}{E\left[r_{0, s t a t}^{2}\right]}$, for three different sea states. As expected, the system behaves less dynamically for increasing wave periods. When the peak period is $T_{p}=6 \mathrm{~s}$, the mean square response from dynamic analysis is more than double the mean square response from quasi-static analysis. However, waves with periods in the range of $T_{p}=6 \mathrm{~s}$, do not have much energy, and it is therefore not likely that they will give a considerable effect to the dynamics of the structure. The larger waves with more energy are associated with less dynamic amplification. Thus, the system may after all behave almost quasistatically.

Figure 38 shows the extreme displacement responses from 20 time series of 3 hours plotted in a Gumbel paper, together with their fitted regression lines. The data are located more or less along a straight line, which proposes that the Gumbel model is well

| Sea State | $\frac{E\left[r_{0 \text { dyn }}^{2}\right]}{E\left[r_{0, \text { stat }}^{2}\right]}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T_{p}=6, H_{s}=2$ | 2.16 |
| $T_{p}=10, H_{s}=5$ | 1.19 |
| $T_{p}=15, H_{s}=10$ | 1.06 |

Table 7: Ratio between the mean square displacement response from dynamic and quasi-static analysis, for three different sea states
suited for the analysis. The regression line for the dynamic analysis lies lower than the line for quasi-static analysis, which means that the dynamic analysis will give the largest q-probable response. Table 8 gives the values of the estimated parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ used in the Gumbel distribution, together with the $10^{-2}$ annual probability response $r_{0,100}$.


Figure 38: Gumbel plot of the extreme displacement response from 20 time series of 3 hours from both quasi-static and dynamic analyses

| Analysis | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $r_{0,100}[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quasi-static | 0.0197 | 0.0018 | 0.0239 |
| Dynamic | 0.0204 | 0.0018 | 0.0245 |

Table 8: Estimated parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ used in the Gumbel distribution and $10^{-2}$ annual probability response $r_{0,100}$

The last column in Table 8 together with (3.28) give EDAF $=0.0245 / 0.0239=1.025$. Thus, the dynamics amplification is almost insignificant for the extreme response of the displacement.

### 4.7.1.3 Force Response

In Table 9, the dynamic and quasi-static force response in the selected brace ${ }^{13}$ are compared. The responses are compared with respect to fatigue damage $C$, in addition to the mean square response. By comparing Table 9 with Table 7, it is seen that there is less dynamic amplification, in terms of mean square response, for the force response than for the displacement response. Table 9 shows that the fatigue damage is highly affected by the dynamics for low values of $T_{p}$. Again, waves with low periods do not have much energy, so it is not sure that the fatigue lifetime of the system is highly affected by dynamics after all.

| Sea State | $\frac{E\left[F_{, \text {dyn }}^{2}\right]}{E\left[F_{0, s t a t}^{2}\right]}$ | $C_{\text {dyn }} / C_{\text {stat }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{p}=6, H_{s}=2$ | 1.19 | 1.83 |
| $T_{p}=10, H_{s}=5$ | 1.03 | 1.12 |
| $T_{p}=15, H_{s}=10$ | 1.01 | 1.04 |

Table 9: Ratio between dynamic and quasi-static analyses in terms of mean square force response and fatigue damage, for three different sea states

Figure 39 shows a Gumbel plot for extreme force responses from 20 times series of 3 hours. As for the displacement response, the data are located more or less along a straight line, which proposes that the Gumbel model is a suited model. Table 10 shows the Gumbel parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ obtained from the regression analysis, together with the $10^{-2}$ annual probability response $F_{0,100}$.


Figure 39: Gumbel plot of the extreme force response from 20 time series of 3 hours for both quasi-static and dynamic analysis

The last column in Table 10 together with (3.28) give EDAF $=2.811 / 2.811 \times 10^{6}=$

[^9]| Analysis | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $F_{0,100}[\mathrm{~N}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quasi-static | $2.349 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.945 \times 10^{5}$ | $2.787 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Dynamic | $2.372 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.953 \times 10^{5}$ | $2.811 \times 10^{6}$ |

Table 10: Estimated parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ used in the Gumbel distribution and $10^{-2}$ annual probability response $F_{0,100}$
1.009. Thus, the dynamics amplification for the force is even lower than for the displacement, and can be neglected in the calculation of the long term extreme response. In this context, it can be said that the system behaves quasi-statically.

### 4.7.2 Sensitivity Study of the Bridge Stiffness

A sensitivity study of how the bridge stiffness affects the displacement response and the force response has been performed. The jackets are exposed to irregular waves described by JONSWAP spectra, and the responses are calculated by dynamic analyses. The mean square response and the fatigue damage have been found for several stiffness values of the bridge. The long term extreme response was found for the stiffness ratios $\mu=0.5$, $\mu=0.05$ and $\mu=0.0$. The latter stiffness ratio is equivalent to the case of a single jacket.

### 4.7.2.1 Displacement Response

Figure 40 shows the mean square displacement response as function of the stiffness ratio $\mu$. The jackets are subjected to a sea state with $T_{p}=10 \mathrm{~s}$ and $H_{s}=5 \mathrm{~m}$. The estimated bridge stiffness ratio for a representative bridge done in Section 4.3, was found to be $\mu=0.013$. Even though this was a very rough estimate including many assumptions, the author will not expect the stiffness ratio to be higher than $\mu=0.1$. It is seen that for $\mu<0.1$, the response decreases nearly linearly with the stiffness. This means that the presence of the bridge reduces the repose of the jackets. The logic behind this is that one single jacket must absorb all the energy by itself, while two connected jackets can work together since they have maximum displacement at different time. On the other hand, we have seen that with a bridge present, a second eigenfrequency is introduced with additional peaks due to super-harmonic forces. This gives a larger range of critical frequencies. However, the dynamic amplification around the eigenfrequencies becomes lower, and in addition, the additional eigenfrequency is higher than the first, and is therefore of less significance.

For $\mu=0$, the mean square response is $1.73 \times 10^{-6}$, which is in compliance with the result obtained by doing the analysis on a single jacket. As the stiffness ratio becomes very high, the two jackets will behave like a SDOF system which explains why the graph goes towards an asymptotic value.

Table 11 shows the ratio between jackets connected with stiffness ratio $\mu=0$ and $\mu=0.05$, in terms of the mean square displacement response. The table gives this ratio for two


Figure 40: Mean square displacement response as function of the stiffness ratio $\mu$
chosen sea states, as well as for both quasi-static and dynamic analysis. Again, it is seen that the dynamics do not play a big role, and that a single jacket has larger response than two connected jackets.

| Sea State | $\left(E\left[r_{0, \mu=0}^{2}\right] / E\left[r_{0, \mu=0.05}^{2}\right]\right]_{\text {static }}$ | $\left(E\left[r_{0, \mu=0}^{2}\right] / E\left[r_{0, \mu=0.05}^{2}\right]\right)_{\text {dynamic }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{p}=6, H_{s}=2$ | 1.0955 | 1.1458 |
| $T_{p}=10, H_{s}=5$ | 1.1393 | 1.1446 |

Table 11: Ratio of the mean square response between jackets connected with stiffness ratio $\mu=0$ and $\mu=0.05$

Figure 41 shows a Gumbel plot expressing the long term extreme displacement response, for jackets connected with bridges with stiffness ratios $\mu=0, \mu=0.05$ and $\mu=0.5$. Table 12 shows the Gumbel parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ obtained from the regression analysis, together with the $10^{-2}$ annual probability response $r_{0,100}$.

|  | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $r_{0,100}[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu=0$ | 0.0252 | 0.0040 | 0.0342 |
| $\mu=0.05$ | 0.0242 | 0.0038 | 0.0328 |
| $\mu=0.5$ | 0.0197 | 0.0031 | 0.0266 |

Table 12: Estimated parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ used in the Gumbel distribution and $10^{-2}$ annual probability response $r_{0,100}$

### 4.7.2.2 Force Response

Table 11 shows the response ratio between jackets connected with stiffness ratio $\mu=0$ and $\mu=0.05$. The responses are given with respect to mean square response and fatigue


Figure 41: Gumbel plot of the extreme displacement response from 20 time series of 3 hours for the stiffness ratios $\mu=0, \mu=0.05$ and $\mu=0.5$
damage. The ratio is given for two chosen sea states, from both quasi-static and dynamic analyses.

The ratio values from two sea states cannot be compared directly, since one of the sea states may include a larger range of wave lengths corresponding to phase lags close to one of the two eigenmodes. Additionally, one of the sea states may give more cancelling effects than the other. However, the ratio between the quasi-static and dynamic analyses can be investigated. It is seen that for the quasi-static analyses, the response ratios are largest for the second sea state, while for the dynamic analyses, the response ratios are largest for the first sea state. This is explained by that the first sea state contains small enough wave periods to excite the dynamics. Exactly how much of the dynamics that comes from the second eigenfrequency has not been quantified in this thesis. However, by comparing Figure 37b and Figure 37d, it seems like most of the dynamics have basis in the first eigenfrequency.

| Sea State | $\left(\frac{E\left[r_{\mu=0}^{2}\right]}{E\left[r_{0, \mu=0.05]}^{2}\right]}\right)_{\text {static }}$ | $\left(\frac{E\left[r_{0, \mu=0}^{2}\right]}{E\left[r_{0, \mu=0.05}^{2}\right]}\right)_{\text {dynamic }}$ | $\left(\frac{C_{\mu=0}}{C_{\mu=0.05}}\right)_{\text {static }}$ | $\left(\frac{C_{\mu=0}}{C_{\mu=0.05}}\right)_{\text {dynamic }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{p}=6, H_{s}=2$ | 1.031 | 1.055 | 1.079 | 1.137 |
| $T_{p}=10, H_{s}=5$ | 1.040 | 1.043 | 1.105 | 1.119 |

Table 13: Ratio between jackets connected with stiffness ratio $\mu=0$ and $\mu=0.05$ in terms of mean square response and fatigue damage

Figure 41 shows a Gumbel expressing the long term extreme force response, for jackets connected with bridges with stiffness ratios $\mu=0, \mu=0.05$ and $\mu=0.5$. Table 14 shows the Gumbel parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ obtained from the regression analysis, together with the $10^{-2}$ annual probability response. From Table 12 it is seen that the $10^{-2}$ annual
probability displacement response for a single jacket $(\mu=0)$ is $4 \%$ higher than for connected jackets with stiffness ratio $\mu=0.05$. For the force response shown in Table 14, the difference is only $2 \%$. Is it emphasized that a stiffness ratio of $\mu=0.05$ corresponds to a bride which probably is stiffer than a typical bridge. This means that a typical bridge will not have a large influence on the long term maximum response.


Figure 42: Gumbel plot of the extreme force response from 20 time series of 3 hours for the stiffness ratios $\mu=0, \mu=0.05$ and $\mu=0.5$

|  | $\alpha \times 10^{-6}$ | $\beta \times 10^{-6}$ | $F_{0,100} \times 10^{-6}[\mathrm{~N}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu=0$ | 2.647 | 2.254 | 3.15 |
| $\mu=0.05$ | 2.593 | 2.222 | 3.09 |
| $\mu=0.5$ | 2.372 | 1.952 | 2.81 |

Table 14: Estimated parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ used in the Gumbel distribution and $10^{-2}$ annual probability response $r_{0,100}$

## 5 Conclusions and Recommendations for Further Work

### 5.1 Conclusions

The frequency plot of the displacement response for the simplified system gave the same results as obtained from the Matlab program made in the preparatory specialization project. A system of two identical jackets will have two eigenfrequencies with corresponding mode shapes. The first eigenfrequency is the same as the eigenfrequency for a single jacket, and is independent of the bridge stiffness. The other eigenfrequency introduced by the bridge is equal to $\omega_{n 2}=\sqrt{1+2 \mu} \omega_{n 1}$. Thus, $\omega_{n 2}$ is always higher than $\omega_{n 1}$ and increases with higher bridge stiffness.

A jacket structure subjected to hydrodynamic loading of Morison type, will experience super-harmonic forces at multiples of the wave frequency, $2 \omega, 3 \omega, \ldots$, in addition to the force acting with the wave frequency $\omega$. The super-harmonic force components are more important for a drag dominated system than for an inertia dominated system. Even though the super-harmonic forces are much smaller than the wave frequency force, they may coincide with one of the eigenfrequencies, and thus be of significant relevance. As follows, a structure that would be considered quasi-static without the super-harmonic forces, may have some dynamics due to these force components.

The bridge stiffness is assumed to be governed by the stiffness from the piping. A rough estimation for a representative bridge gave a relative stiffness ratio between the bridge and the jacket equal to $\mu=1.3 \%$. For stiffness values this low, the second eigenfrequency will only be $1.3 \%$ higher than the first, and the peaks in the frequency plot will appear as one single peak. However, already from very low stiffness values of the bridge, the peak of the second jacket will be higher than the first.

The equivalent dynamic amplification factor for the $10^{-2}$ annual probability displacement response of the first jacket was found to be EDAF $=1.025$. This suggests that the dynamics are negligible for the long term extreme response. In terms of fatigue damage and mean square response, the system is more affected by dynamics. However, for waves with more energy, the dynamics become less significant. For a sea state with $T_{p}=15 \mathrm{~s}$ and $H_{s}=10 \mathrm{~m}$, the ratio between the fatigue damage from a dynamic analysis and static analysis is $C_{d y n} / C_{s t a t}=1.04$. In terms of the mean square force response, the ratio is only $E\left[F_{0, d y n}^{2}\right] / E\left[F_{0, s t a t}^{2}\right]=1.01$. It can therefore be concluded that the dynamics do not play a big role in the system, and that the system displays a nearly quasi-statically behaviour.

The responses are higher for a single jacket than for connected jackets, and decrease with higher bridge stiffness. The logic behind this is that one single jacket must absorb all the energy by itself, while two connected jackets can work together since they have maximum response at different times. On the other hand, the bridge introduces a second eigenfrequency, with additional peaks due to super-harmonic forces, which gives a larger range of critical frequencies. However, since the system behaves nearly quasi-statically,
the additional peaks are not of significant importance.

### 5.2 Recommendations for Further Work

This thesis has considered a simplified system. The complexity of the system should therefore gradually be further developed, to describe the reality in an improved way. The following steps are recommended for further work:

- Consider wave from different directions.
- Establish a more exact representation of the bridge, including shear stiffness.
- Study connected jackets that are not identical to each other.
- Expand the system from two to three (or more) different jackets.
- Use the understanding obtained in this study to do condition monitoring of connected jackets by assessing acceleration measurements.
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## Appendices

## A Analytic Derivation of the Difference of Response for the two Jackets

The dynamic equation of motion for a system consisting of two connected jackets with stiffness $k_{j}$ connected by a bridge with stiffness $k_{b}$, is given by:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
k_{j}+k_{b} & -k_{b} \\
-k_{b} & k_{j}+k_{b}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]+i \omega \alpha\left[\begin{array}{cc}
k_{j}+k_{b}+m & -k_{b} \\
-k_{b} & k_{j}+k_{b}+m
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]-\omega^{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
m & 0 \\
0 & m
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]} \\
=\left[\begin{array}{c}
Q_{0} \\
Q_{0}(\cos \beta+i \sin \beta)
\end{array}\right] \tag{A.1}
\end{array}
$$

In (A.1), Rayleigh damping is assumed with $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha$. Writing (A.1) on expanded form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rc}
(1+i \omega \alpha)\left(k_{j}+k_{b}\right)+\left(i \omega \alpha-\omega^{2}\right) m & -(1+i \omega \alpha) k_{b} \\
-(1+i \omega \alpha) k_{b} & (1+i \omega \alpha)\left(k_{j}+k_{b}\right)+\left(i \omega \alpha-\omega^{2}\right) m
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}  \tag{A.2}\\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Simplifying by introducing

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =(1+i \omega \alpha)\left(k_{j}+k_{b}\right)+\left(i \omega \alpha-\omega^{2}\right) m  \tag{A.3}\\
b & =-(1+i \omega \alpha) k_{b} \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and equation (A.2) can then be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& a x_{1}+b x_{2}=Q_{0}  \tag{A.5}\\
& b x_{1}+a x_{2}=Q_{0}(\cos \beta+i \sin \beta) \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this set of equations for $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\frac{-a Q_{0}+b Q_{0}((\cos \beta+i \sin \beta))}{b^{2}-a^{2}}  \tag{A.7}\\
& x_{2}=\frac{\left.b Q_{0}-a Q_{0}(\cos \beta+i \sin \beta)\right)}{b^{2}-a^{2}} \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The denominator is the same for $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, so it is sufficient to study the absolute value of the complex numerators. If the difference between the absolute value of the numerators is not equal to zero, then it is proved that the responses are not equal to each other. The absolute value for a complex number z is given by $|z|=\sqrt{z \cdot z^{*}}$, where
$z^{*}$ is the complex conjugate. By use of Maple, the absolute value of the numerator of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \text { num }_{x 1} \mid=\{ & {\left[Q_{0}\left(\omega^{2} m-k_{j}-k_{b}-k_{j} \cos (\beta)+\omega \alpha k_{b} \sin (\beta)\right)\right]^{2} } \\
& \left.+\left[Q_{0}\left(-\omega \alpha k_{b} \cos (\beta)-\omega \alpha k_{j}-\omega \alpha k_{b}-\omega \alpha m-k_{b} \sin (\beta)\right)\right]^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \text { num }_{x 2} \mid= & \left\{\left[Q_{0}\left(-k_{b}+\omega \alpha k_{j} \sin (\beta)+\omega \alpha k_{b} \sin (\beta)+\omega \alpha m \sin (\beta)+\omega^{2} m \cos (\beta)-k_{j} \cos (\beta)-k_{b} \cos (\beta)\right)\right]^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[Q_{0}\left(-\omega \alpha k_{b}+\omega^{2} m \sin (\beta)-\omega \alpha k_{j} \cos (\beta)-\omega \alpha k_{b} \cos (\beta)-\omega \alpha m \cos (\beta)-k_{j} \sin (\beta)-k_{b} \sin (\beta)\right)\right]^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The response amplitudes are not equal if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n u m_{x 1}\right|-\left|n u m_{x 2}\right| \neq 0 \Longrightarrow\left|n u m_{x 1}\right|^{2}-\left|n u m_{x 2}\right|^{2} \neq 0 \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use of Maple gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n u m_{x 1}\right|^{2}-\left|n u m_{x 2}\right|^{2}=4 Q_{0} \alpha m k_{b} \sin (\beta) \omega\left(\omega^{2}+1\right) \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the response amplitudes are not necessarily equal. Since the expression includes $\alpha$, it is shown that the difference is related to the Rayleigh damping. The response amplitudes are also equal for zero bride stiffness $\left(k_{b}=0\right)$ and for phase lag $\beta=0$ or $\beta=\pi$. This is consistent with the results from the Matlab program made in the specialization project.

## B Physical Explanation for the Difference in Response around the Eigenfrequencies

The difference in response amplitude can be explained physically by looking at Figure 43. The figure shows the phase angle as function of excitation frequency, with phase lag equal to $\pi / 2$. The phase angle $\theta$ is here defined as the phase between the response of jacket $j$ and the excitation acting of jacket 1. A negative phase angle results in a response acting behind the excitation. As explained in section 2.3.3, the jackets are forced at oscillate in phase with each other at $\omega_{n 1}$, which means that the phase angle $\theta$ will be the same. Figure 43 shows that they are $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=3 \pi / 4$ at $\omega_{n 2}$. At this point, jacket 1 oscillates with a phase $3 \pi / 4$ behind the excitation acting on jacket 1 , while


Figure 43: Phase angle as function of excitation frequency for a system with phase lag $\beta_{2}=\pi / 2$ and stiffness ratio $\mu=1$. The figure is obtained from the Matlab program made in the specialization project.
jacket 2 oscillates with a phase $\pi / 4$ behind the force excitation on jacket 2 . This means that the system oscillates with a phase angle that follows better the excitation on jacket 2 , than it follows the excitation on jacket 1 . Hence, it is reasonable that jacket 2 has the largest response at $\omega_{n 1}$.

At the second eigenfrequency $\omega_{n 2}$, the jackets are forced to oscillate in counter-phase with each other. Figure 43 shows that the jackets oscillate in counter-phase with the their respective excitation forces at high values of $\omega$. This means that the jacket that follows the counter-phase motion with excitation in the best way, will be the jacket with largest response at $\omega_{n 2}$. Figure 43 shows that jacket 1 oscillates with a phase $3 \pi / 4$ behind the counter-phase motion, while jacket 2 oscillates with a phase $\pi / 4$ ahead the counter-phase motion. Hence, jacket 2 will also have the highest response amplitude at $\omega_{n 2}$.

## C Matlab Codes

## C. 1 Code for Calculation of Key Response Data

```
clear all
%Loading data from file and write to time vector and displacement vector
load elem_force3.plo
t = elem_force3(:,1);
d = elem_force3(:,2);
n = length(t);
```



```
%Time step used in USFOS analysis
dt = (t (end) - t(1))/(n-1);
%Finding zero-up-crossing points
k = 0; %counting the number of zero-up-crossing points
Z = [];
for i = 1:n-1
    if d(i)<0 && d(i+1)>0
        k = k+1;
        Z(k) = i; %Last point before zero-up-crossing
        TZ(k)= Z(k)*dt-d(i)*dt/(d(i+1)-d(i)); %Time for zero-up-crossing
                                    %assuming linear increasment
                                    %between the two points
    end
end
m = length(Z); %Number of zero-up-crossings
%Storing the wave periods in T
T = zeros(1,m-1);
for i = 1:m-1
    T(i) = dt*(Z(i+1)-Z(i)); %Wave periods
end
%Finding max and min diplacement in each interval
[mx,mn,H] = deal(zeros(1,m-1));
for i = 1:m-1
    displ = [];
    displ = d(Z(i):Z(i+1));
    mx(i) = max(displ);
    mn(i) = min(displ);
    H(i) = mx(i)-mn(i); %Response height
end
%Find mean of 1/3 of higheset response heights
H_sort = sort(H);
nr = round((m-1)/3);
H_sort (1:2*nr) = [];
H_s = mean(H_sort);
%Find maximum response ampitude
mx_extreme = max([mx abs(mn)]);
%When mean value of d is zero, the zeroth moment can be calculated from
%the variance of h
m_0 = var(d);
H_s_var = 4*sqrt(m_0);
%Spectral analysis
df = l/dt; %sample frequency
[pxx,f] = periodogram(d, [],[],df);
figure
```

```
plot(f(1:7000),pxx(1:7000))
%Solving integral m0=int{S(f)df}
%Using Trapezoidal numerical integration
m0 = trapz(f,pxx);
H_m0=4*sqrt(m_0);
%Pipe dimensions
D = 0.750; %m
r = D/2;
t = 0.035; %m
A=pi*(r^2-(r-t)^2); %m^2
% Rainflow counting
[c,hist,edges,rmm,idx] = rainflow(d/A,df);
% Stress ranges
range_dynamic = c(:,2);
% Load stress ranges from static analysis
load range_static.txt
% Make histogram
mx_val = max([max(range_dynamic) max(range_static)]);
figure
histogram(range_dynamic,0:mx_val/40:mx_val)
hold on
histogram(range_static,0:mx_val/40:mx_val)
xlabel('Stress Range')
ylabel('Cycle Counts')
legend('Dynamic','Static')
hold off
% Calculate number of cycles to failure for the stress range corresponding
% to the center of each bar, by use of SN-curve.
for i = 1:length(edges)-1
sigma(i) = edges(i)+(edges(i+1)-edges(i))/2;
end
sigma = sigma/1e6; %MPa
% Parameters used in SN-curve
lga1 = 12.48;
lga2 = 16.13;
m1 = 3;
m2 = 5;
%Solve for N
lgN = lga2 - m2*log10(sigma);
N = 10.^lgN;
% Number of cycles from in each stress range from rainflow counting
Num = sum(hist,2);
Num = Num';
% Calculating fatigue damage
rel = Num./N;
```


## C. 2 Code for Plotting Extremes Values in Gumbel Paper

```
clear all
% Load file with extreme response for 20 3-hours simulation with different
% seeds, for both static and dynamic analysis
load extreme_values_double.txt
% Order the extreme values in two vectors
Extremes_stat = extreme_values_double(:,2);
Extremes_dyn = extreme_values_double(:,3);
% Calculating sample distribution F_stat
Extremes_stat = sort(Extremes_stat);
Extremes_stat = Extremes_stat';
for i = 1:length(Extremes_stat)
F_stat(i) = i/(1+length(Extremes_stat));
end
% Regression analysis for data plotted in Gubel paper
Y_stat = -log(-log(F_stat));
[r_stat,m_stat,b_stat] = regression(Extremes_stat,Y_stat);
x_stat = 0.016:0.0001:0.025;
reg_stat = b_stat+m_stat*x_stat; %Regression line static analysis
% Find Gumber parameters
beta_stat = 1/m_stat;
alpha_stat = -b_stat*beta_stat;
%Calculate 100 anual probability response
r_stat_100 = alpha_stat - beta_stat*log(-log(0.9));
% Calculating sample distribution F_dyn
Extremes_dyn = sort(Extremes_dyn);
Extremes_dyn = Extremes_dyn';
for i = 1:length(Extremes_dyn)
F_dyn(i) = i/(1+length(Extremes_dyn));
end
% Regression analysis for data plotted in Gubel paper
Y_dyn = -log(-log(F_dyn));
[r_dyn,m_dyn,b_dyn] = regression(Extremes_dyn,Y_dyn);
x_dyn = 0.016:0.0001:0.025;
reg_dyn = b_dyn+m_dyn*x_dyn; %Regression line dynamic analysis
% Find Gumber parameters
beta_dyn = 1/m_dyn;
alpha_dyn = -b_dyn*beta_dyn;
%Calculate 100 anual probability response
r_dyn_100 = alpha_dyn -beta_dyn*log(-log(0.9));
```
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```
% Plot the data together with the regression lines
plot(Extremes_stat,Y_stat,'r*')
hold on
plot(Extremes_dyn,Y_dyn,'*')
plot(x_stat,reg_stat)
plot(x_dyn,reg_dyn)
grid on
xlabel('Displacement')
ylabel('-ln(-ln(F(x))')
legend('Data from static analysis','Data from dynamic analysis',...
'Regression line from static analysis','Regression line from dynamic ...
    analysis')
```


## C. 3 Finding Random Frequencies and Phases for JONSWAP Spectrum

```
clear all
% Lower and upper limits for JONSWAP spectrum
Tmin = 2;
Tmax = 20;
Omin = 2*pi/Tmax;
Omax = 2\starpi/Tmin;
% Number of wave components
N = 120;
% Length of intervals
\Delta_O = (Omax-Omin)/120;
% Random number within \Delta_O
r = \Delta_O.*rand (1,N);
% Defining vector with equally spaced frequencies
omega = zeros(1,N);
omega(1) = Omin;
for i = 2:N
    omega(i) = omega(i-1) + \Delta_O;
end
% Vector with random frequency value within each interval
omega_rand = omega + r;
% Corresponding time values used as input in USFOS
T_rand = 2*pi./omega_rand;
% Generating 120 radom phase angles
phase_rand = randi (360,120,1);
% Write random time values to txt.file
fileID = fopen('T_rand.txt','w');
fprintf(fileID,'%6.3f\n',T_rand);
```

```
fclose(fileID);
% Write random phase values to txt.file
fileID = fopen('Phase_rand.txt','w');
fprintf(fileID,'%6.3f\n',phase_rand);
fclose(fileID);
```


## D Files Used to Run USFOS in Batch Mode

Here, the input files to USFOS, together with batch files used to automate the analysis, are presented. Since many different types of analysis have been done, only the following two cases are presented here

- Simplified model subjected to Airy waves with several periods (Appendix D.1)
- Full jacket model subjected to irregular sea ((Appendix D.2))


## D. 1 Simplified Model, Subjected to Wave Loads with phase lag $\beta=\pi / 2$

## D.1. 1 Head file, head.fem

```
HEAD Simplified model
                Dynamic Analysis
                Tarjei Sandal
'
# Eigenvalue analysis
' KeyWord Value
Eigenval Time 1 # Perform eigenval at time = 1
Eigenval NumberOf 5 # Compute 5 vectors
Eigenval Algorithm Lanczos # Use Lanczos solver
Eigenval ModeScale 5 # Scale modes by 5 for visualization
'
# Global results to be saved
' Type ID
DYNRES_N Disp 1 1
DYNRES_N Disp 3 1
Dynres_G WaveElev
Dynres_G WaveLoad
'
# Dynamic analysis
' End_Time D_t dT_Res dT_pri
Dynamic endT 0.01 0.1 0.1
'' ID <type> Dtime Factor Start_time ! Hydro Forces
TimeHist 1 Switch 0.0 1.0 0.0
'
' L_Case TimeHist
LOADHIST 
'
# Wave load
    l_case Type Height Period Direct Phase Surflev Depth ...
    N_i
WAVEDATA 
'
# Number of integration points for wave calculation
Wave_Int 20
```

```
Wave_Int 30 1 102 2 102 103 
'
# Hydrodynamic coefficients
Hyd_CdCm Cd1 Cm1
'
# Sea dimension
SWITCHES WaveData SeaDim dimxy
'
# Control nodes
' ncnods
CNODES 1
' nodex idof dfact
            1 1 1.
' -------------------------- E O F ----------------------------------
```

D.1.2 Structure file, stru.fem

```
' Node ID X Y Boundary code
NODE 1 .000 .000 .000 0 1 0 0 0 0
NODE 2 .000 .000 -100.000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
NODE 3 N2 .000 .000 0 1 0 0 0
NODE 4 N2 .000 -100.000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
' Elem ID np1 np2 material geom
    BEAM 1 1 1 % 2 < l
    BEAM 1
    BEAM 3 1 1 % 3 10
'
# Riser element used for slender structures
    BeamType Riser Mat 1
'
# Refine each beam element into 10 elements
' nelem elem1 elem2
    Refine 10 1 2
'
# Cross section data 
' Geom ID 
'
# Node mass a top of each pipe
' NodeID M_x M_y M_z
    NODEMASS 1 20E6
NODEMASS 3 20E6
'
# Rayleigh damping
' alpha1 alpha 2
RAYLDAMP 0.01 0.01
# D Define material
# Define material matno E-mod poiss yield density
    MISOIEP 1 210000E6 0.3 355.0E+30 0.000 0.0
```

'

```
# Material properties for spring element
' Mat_ID 
'
# Define hyperelastic curve
' Mat_ID P Delta
HypElastic 101 -106.056E6*0.5 -1
                                106.056E6*0.5 1
' ------------- E O F ------------------------
```

D.1.3 Batch file to automate analysis, run_case

```
# ...
# || Batch file to automate analysis
    ||
# || Input parameters : Wave period, wave height, mass coefficient, drag ...
        coefficient ||
# || Usage: ./run_case Tp Hs Cm1 Cd1
    ||
# ...
    # - Make folder to store analysis
mkdir Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
'
# - Make file name defined by the input parameters
cp head.fem head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
cp stru.fem stru_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
'
# - Insert input parameters to head file
    sed -i 's/Tp/'$1'/g' head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
    sed -i 's/endT/'$2'/g' head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
    sed -i 's/Cm1/'$3'/g' head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
    sed -i 's/Cd1/'$4'/g' head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
'
# - Calculate wave length from wave period
    lambda=$(echo "$1^2*9.81/6.2832" | bc -l)
'
# - Limit for when the formula above is valid
T_lim=$(echo "sqrt ( 2*80*2*3.14159/9.81 )" | bc -l)
'
# - Calculate distance between jackets and sea dimension
if (( $(echo "$1 < 3" | bc -l) ));
    then
var=$(echo "$lambda*6.25" | bc -l)
seadim1=$(echo "$lambda*10" | bc -1)
elif (( $(echo "$1 > $T_lim" | bc -l) ));
then
lambda2=$(echo " 2*80*(2*$1/$T_lim-1)" | bc -l)
var=$(echo "$lambda2*0.25" | bc -l)
seadim1=$(echo "$lambda*2" | bc -l)
```

```
elif (( $(echo "$1 > 5" | bc -l) )) && (( $(echo "$1 < $T_lim" | bc -l) ));
then
var=$(echo "$lambda*1.25" | bc -l)
seadim1=$(echo "$lambda*4" | bc -l)
else
var=$(echo "$lambda*3.5" | bc -l)
seadim1=$(echo "$lambda*8" | bc -l)
fi
# - Inserte calculated values in input files
sed -i 's/dimxy/'$seadim1'/g' head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
sed -i 's/N2/'$var'/g' stru_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem
'
# - Run USFOS
./usfos.cmd << ENDIN
head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
stru_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
BT=USF
Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
ENDIN
'
# - Calculate starting time for Dynmax
if (( $(echo "$1 < 6" | bc -l) ));
then
TS1=$(echo "$2-5*$1" | bc )
else
TS1=$(echo "$2-3*$1" | bc )
fi
'
# - Run DynMax
./dynmax.cmd << ENDIN
1
0
Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.dyn
dynmax
$TS1 $2
1
2
0
ENDIN
'
# - Write stationary response amplitude and wave period to file
grep "PeakValue for DynRes 1" dynmax >> res1
grep "PeakValue for DynRes 2" dynmax >> res2
echo -n "$1 " >> result
awk 'FNR==NR{a[$1]=$7 FS $6; next}{ print $6, a[$1]}' res2 res1 >> result
'
# - Move files to folder
mv stru_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
mv Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.raf Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
mv Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.dyn Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
mv Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4_status.text Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
mv head_Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.fem Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
mv Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4.out Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
```

```
mv dynmax Tp=$1_endT=$2_Cm1=$3_Cd1=$4
# - Delete files
rm res1
rm res2
',-----------------------------------------
```


## D.1.4 Run Loop with Different Wave Periods, run_loop

The batch file run_case is automatically run for many wave periods as defined in the for-loops in the file run_loop.

```
# - Assign values to variables
H=5 # Wave height
Cm=2 # Hydrodynamic mass coefficient
Cd=0 # Hydrodynamic drag coefficient
'
# - Run loops
for i in $(seq 1.82 0.02 2)
do
var=$(echo "$i*100" | bc )
            ./run_case $i $H $var $Cm $Cd
    done
'
for i in $(seq 2.02 0.02 3)
do
var=$(echo "$i*150" | bc )
            ./run_case $i $H $var $Cm $Cd
    done
'
for i in $(seq 3.00 0.05 5.5)
do
var=$(echo "$i*100" | bc )
            ./run_case $i $H $var $Cm $Cd
    done
'
for i in $(seq 5.60 0.2 10)
    do
var=$(echo "$i*50" | bc )
            ./run_case $i $H $var $Cm $Cd
    done
'
for i in $(seq 10.20 0.2 15)
    do
    var=$(echo "$i*30" | bc )
            ./run_case $i $H $var $Cm $Cd
        done
'
for i in $(seq 15.50 0.5 20)
    do
    var=$(echo "$i*20" | bc )
            ./run_case $i $H $var $Cm $Cd
```

```
    done
'
mv result results
\prime ------------- E O F -----------------
```


## D. 2 Full Jacket Model, Subjected to Irregular Waves

## D.2.1 Head file, head.fem

```
HEAD Full Jacket Model
    Dynamic Analysis, Irregular Waves
    Tarjei Sandal
'
# - Eigenvalue analysis
' KeyWord Value
    Eigenval Time 1 # Perform eigenval at time = 1
    Eigenval NumberOf 5 # Compute 20 vectors
    Eigenval ModeScale 5 # Scale modes by 2 for visualization
    Eigenval Algorithm SubSpace # Use Lanczos solver
'
# - Global results to be saved
' Type ID
DYNRES_N Disp 40041 1
DYNRES_N Disp 140041 1
Dynres_G WaveElev
Dynres_G WaveLoad
DynRes_Elem Force 14105 2 1
'
# - Dynamic analysis
' End_Time D_t dT_Res dT_pri
    Dynamic endT 0.\overline{2}}10\mathrm{ - 1
'
' ID <type> Dtime Factor Start_time ! Hydro Forces
    TimeHist 2 Switch 0.0 1.0 0.0
'
' L_case Tim Hist
    LOADHIST 2 
'
# - Wave load from, with initialization of wave
                        Ildcs <type> Sign_H Period Dir Phase_Seed Surf_Lev ...
        Depth nIni
    WAVEDATA 2 Spect Hs Tp 0 1.0 80.0 80 ...
            4
                        -1000}1
        nFreq Type T_Min T_Max iGrid Gamma
            120 Read !
```



```
# - User defined wave spectrum
' Key Name
FileName Spec spect_120.txt
'
# - Number of integration points for wave calculation
Wave_Int 20
'
# Hydrodynamic coefficients
Hyd_CdCm 0.7 2
'
# - Sea dimension
SWITCHES WaveData SeaDim dimxy
'
# Control nodes
' ncnods
CNODES 1
' nodex idof dfact
# - Max number of steps in analysis
CMAXSTEP 100000
' -------------- E O F
```

D.2.2 Structure File, stru.fem



| 124 | NODE | 10619 | 10 | -11 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | NODE | 10620 | 12.546 | -11 | 80 |
| 126 | NODE | 10621 | 15.78 | -11 | 80 |
| 127 | NODE | 10622 | 21 | -11 | 80 |
| 128 | NODE | 10623 | 21 | 0 | 80 |
| 129 | NODE | 10624 | 17.7 | 7.7 | 80 |
| 130 | NODE | 10625 | 15.502 | 7.7 | 80 |
| 131 | NODE | 10626 | 13.21 | 7.7 | 80 |
| 132 | NODE | 10627 | 10.917 | 7.7 | 80 |
| 133 | NODE | 10628 | 17.2 | 9.313 | 80 |
| 134 | NODE | 10629 | 14.9 | 9.313 | 80 |
| 135 | NODE | 10630 | 12.6 | 9.313 | 80 |
| 136 | NODE | 10631 | 23.75 | 8.25 | 80 |
| 137 | NODE | 10638 | 21 | 5 | 80 |
| 138 | NODE | 20621 | 32 | -11 | 81.855 |
| 139 | NODE | 20624 | 32 | -11 | 94.45 |
| 140 | NODE | 20631 | 32 | 11 | 81.855 |
| 141 | NODE | 20634 | 32 | 11 | 94.45 |
| 142 | NODE | 20641 | 10 | 11 | 81.855 |
| 143 | NODE | 20644 | 10 | 11 | 94.45 |
| 144 | NODE | 20651 | 10 | -11 | 81.855 |
| 145 | NODE | 20654 | 10 | -11 | 94.45 |
| 146 | NODE | 20712 | 32 | 11 | 95.5 |
| 147 | NODE | 20715 | 21 | 11 | 95.5 |
| 148 | NODE | 20716 | 17.2 | 11 | 95.5 |
| 149 | NODE | 20717 | 15 | 11 | 95.5 |
| 150 | NODE | 20718 | 12.6 | 11 | 95.5 |
| 151 | NODE | 20719 | 10 | 11 | 95.5 |
| 152 | NODE | 20732 | 10 | -11 | 95.5 |
| 153 | NODE | 20734 | 15 | -11 | 95.5 |
| 154 | NODE | 20739 | 32 | -11 | 95.5 |
| 155 | NODE | 20750 | 32 | -5.5 | 95.5 |
| 156 | NODE | 20752 | 32 | 5.5 | 95.5 |
| 157 | NODE | 20760 | 21 | 5.5 | 95.5 |
| 158 | NODE | 20765 | 10 | -8.25 | 95.5 |
| 159 | NODE | 30210 | 17.2 | 20 | 2 |
| 160 | NODE | 30211 | 14.9 | 20 | 2 |
| 161 | NODE | 30212 | 12.6 | 20 | 2 |
| 162 | NODE | 30217 | 15.5 | -15.25 | 2 |
| 163 | NODE | 30421 | 17.464 | -10.089 | 55 |
| 164 | NODE | 30427 | 21 | 5 | 58 |
| 165 | NODE | 30428 | 29.626 | -5 | 58 |
| 166 | NODE | 30438 | 29.626 | 5 | 58 |
| 167 | NODE | 30440 | 25.063 | 9.563 | 58 |

'
${ }^{\prime}$ Elem ID np1 np2 material geom lcoor ecc1 ecc2
BEAM $1120110101 \quad 10201 \quad 100011000110193$
BEAM 11202101071020710001 10001 10193
BEAM 113021011310213100011000110195
BEAM 11302 10113 10213 10001 10001 10195
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 11402 & 10119 & 10219 & 10001 & 10001 & 10196 \\ \text { BEAM } & 12103 & 10219 & 10222 & 10001 & 10010 & 10197\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12103 & 10219 & 10222 & 10001 & 10010 & 10197 \\ \text { BEAM } & 12104 & 10222 & 10201 & 10001 & 10010 & 10197\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12104 & 10222 & 10201 & 10001 & 10010 & 10197 & & \\ \text { BEAM } & 12105 & 10319 & 10222 & 10001 & 10006 & 10199 & 10013\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12105 & 10319 & 10222 & 10001 & 10006 & 10199 \\ \text { BEAM } & 12106 & 10222 & 10322 & 10001 & 10009 & 10200\end{array}$

BEAM $12200 \begin{array}{lllllll}10204 & 10206 & 10001 & 10010 & 10202 \\ \text { BEAM } & 12201 & 10201 & 10223 & 10001 & 10001 & 10193\end{array}$
BEAM $12201 \quad 10201 \quad 1022310001 \quad 10001 \quad 10193$
BEAM $12202102071022410001 \quad 1000110194$
BEAM 122031020110202100011001010202
BEAM $12204102021020410001 \quad 1001010202$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12205 & 10301 & 10204 & 10001 & 10006 & 10207 & 10015 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12206 & 10206 & 10207 & 10001 & 10010 & 10202\end{array}$
BEAM $12207103071020410001 \quad 10006 \quad 1020910029 \quad 0$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12208 & 10223 & 10241 & 10001 & 10002 & 10193\end{array}$
BEAM $12209 \quad 102241024710001 \quad 10002 \quad 10194$

| BEAM | 12210 | 10241 | 10301 | 10001 | 10002 | 10193 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BEAM | 12211 | 10247 | 10307 | 10001 | 10002 | 10194 |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12302 & 10213 & 10225 & 10001 & 10001 & 10195\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12302 & 10213 & 10225 & 10001 & 10001 & 10195 \\ \text { BEAM } & 12303 & 10207 & 10209 & 10001 & 10010 & 10217\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12303 & 10207 & 10209 & 10001 & 10010 & 10217 \\ \text { BEAM } & 12304 & 10209 & 10213 & 10001 & 10010 & 10217\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 12304 & 10209 & 10213 & 10001 & 10010 & 10217 & & \\ \text { BEAM } & 12305 & 10307 & 10209 & 10001 & 10006 & 10219 & 10029 & 0\end{array}$
BEAM $12306102091030910001 \quad 10009 \quad 10220$
BEAM $12307103131020910001 \quad 1000610219$ 10031 0
BEAM 123091022510253100011000210195
BEAM $12311 \quad 1025310313100011000210195$
BEAM 124021021910226100011000110196
BEAM 124031021310216100011001010226
BEAM 12404
BEAM $12406102161031610001 \quad 1000910229$
BEAM $12407103191021610001 \quad 100061020710013 \quad 0$
BEAM $12409 \quad 10226 \quad 1025910001 \quad 10002 \quad 10196$


| 290 | BEAM | 14105 | 10419 | 10522 | 10001 | 10007 | 10263 | 10005 | 10006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 291 | BEAM | 14107 | 10401 | 10522 | 10001 | 10007 | 10263 | 10007 | 10008 |
| 292 | BEAM | 14200 | 10404 | 10406 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |  |
| 293 | BEAM | 14201 | 10401 | 10501 | 10001 | 10004 | 10193 |  |  |
| 294 | BEAM | 14202 | 10407 | 10507 | 10001 | 10004 | 10194 |  |  |
| 295 | BEAM | 14203 | 10401 | 10402 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |  |
| 296 | BEAM | 14204 | 10402 | 10404 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |  |
| 297 | BEAM | 14205 | 10401 | 10504 | 10001 | 10007 | 10319 | 10007 | 10038 |
| 298 | BEAM | 14206 | 10406 | 10407 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |  |
| 299 | BEAM | 14207 | 10407 | 10504 | 10001 | 10007 | 10321 | 10021 | 10040 |
| 300 | BEAM | 14302 | 10413 | 10513 | 10001 | 10004 | 10195 |  |  |
| 301 | BEAM | 14303 | 10407 | 10409 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |  |
| 302 | BEAM | 14305 | 10407 | 10509 | 10001 | 10007 | 10272 | 10021 | 10008 |
| 303 | BEAM | 14307 | 10413 | 10509 | 10001 | 10007 | 10272 | 10023 | 10006 |
| 304 | BEAM | 14322 | 10409 | 10412 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |  |
| 305 | BEAM | 14324 | 10412 | 10413 | 10001 | 10014 | 10217 |  |  |
| 306 | BEAM | 14402 | 10419 | 10519 | 10001 | 10004 | 10196 |  |  |
| 307 | BEAM | 14403 | 10413 | 10416 | 10001 | 10014 | 10226 |  |  |
| 308 | BEAM | 14404 | 10416 | 10419 | 10001 | 10014 | 10226 |  |  |
| 309 | BEAM | 14405 | 10413 | 10516 | 10001 | 10007 | 10321 | 10023 | 10040 |
| 310 | BEAM | 14407 | 10419 | 10516 | 10001 | 10007 | 10319 | 10005 | 10038 |
| 311 | BEAM | 14500 | 10417 | 10422 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 010083 | 3 |
| 312 | BEAM | 14501 | 10422 | 10429 | 10001 | 10034 | 10234 | 10082 | 0 |
| 313 | BEAM | 14502 | 10429 | 10404 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 | 01008 |  |
| 314 | BEAM | 14503 | 10404 | 10439 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 | 10079 | 0 |
| 315 | BEAM | 14504 | 10439 | 10409 | 10001 | 10034 | 10234 | 01008 |  |
| 316 | BEAM | 14505 | 10409 | 10416 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 10083 | 10079 |
| 317 | BEAM | 14506 | 10416 | 10417 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 10087 | 0 |
| 318 | BEAM | 14507 | 10402 | 10429 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |  |
| 319 | BEAM | 14508 | 10401 | 10429 | 10001 | 10012 | 10343 |  |  |
| 320 | BEAM | 14509 | 10406 | 10439 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |  |
| 321 | BEAM | 14510 | 10407 | 10439 | 10001 | 10012 | 10345 |  |  |
| 322 | BEAM | 14511 | 10409 | 10422 | 10001 | 10012 | 10220 |  |  |
| 323 | BEAM | 14600 | 10403 | 10402 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |  |
| 324 | BEAM | 14601 | 10403 | 10433 | 10001 | 10023 | 10348 |  |  |
| 325 | BEAM | 14602 | 10429 | 10433 | 10001 | 10015 | 10247 |  |  |
| 326 | BEAM | 14603 | 10403 | 10432 | 10001 | 10018 | 10350 |  |  |
| 327 | BEAM | 14604 | 10432 | 10433 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 |  |  |
| 328 | BEAM | 14605 | 10433 | 10529 | 10001 | 10015 | 10350 |  |  |
| 329 | BEAM | 14606 | 10433 | 10503 | 10001 | 10012 | 10353 |  |  |
| 330 | BEAM | 14607 | 10432 | 10503 | 10001 | 10018 | 10247 |  |  |
| 331 | BEAM | 14610 | 10405 | 10406 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |  |
| 332 | BEAM | 14611 | 10405 | 10443 | 10001 | 10023 | 10348 |  |  |
| 333 | BEAM | 14612 | 10439 | 10443 | 10001 | 10015 | 10247 |  |  |
| 334 | BEAM | 14613 | 10405 | 10442 | 10001 | 10018 | 10350 |  |  |
| 335 | BEAM | 14614 | 10442 | 10443 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 |  |  |
| 336 | BEAM | 14615 | 10443 | 10539 | 10001 | 10015 | 10350 |  |  |
| 337 | BEAM | 14616 | 10443 | 10505 | 10001 | 10012 | 10353 |  |  |
| 338 | BEAM | 14617 | 10442 | 10505 | 10001 | 10018 | 10247 |  |  |
| 339 | BEAM | 15102 | 10519 | 10520 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |  |
| 340 | BEAM | 15103 | 10520 | 10522 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |  |
| 341 | BEAM | 15104 | 10522 | 10501 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |  |
| 342 | BEAM | 15105 | 10519 | 10622 | 10001 | 10008 | 10263 | 010002 |  |
| 343 | BEAM | 15107 | 10501 | 10622 | 10001 | 10008 | 10263 | 01000 |  |
| 344 | BEAM | 15200 | 10504 | 10506 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |  |
| 345 | BEAM | 15201 | 10501 | 10601 | 10001 | 10005 | 10193 |  |  |
| 346 | BEAM | 15202 | 10507 | 10607 | 10001 | 10005 | 10194 |  |  |
| 347 | BEAM | 15203 | 10501 | 10502 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |  |
| 348 | BEAM | 15204 | 10502 | 10504 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |  |
| 349 | BEAM | 15205 | 10501 | 10604 | 10001 | 10008 | 10373 | 01003 |  |
| 350 | BEAM | 15206 | 10506 | 10507 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |  |
| 351 | BEAM | 15207 | 10507 | 10604 | 10001 | 10008 | 10375 | 010036 |  |
| 352 | BEAM | 15302 | 10513 | 10613 | 10001 | 10005 | 10195 |  |  |
| 353 | BEAM | 15303 | 10507 | 10509 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |  |
| 354 | BEAM | 15305 | 10507 | 10609 | 10001 | 10008 | 10272 | 01000 |  |
| 355 | BEAM | 15307 | 10513 | 10609 | 10001 | 10008 | 10272 | 010002 |  |
| 356 | BEAM | 15321 | 10509 | 10510 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |  |
| 357 | BEAM | 15322 | 10510 | 10511 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |  |
| 358 | BEAM | 15323 | 10511 | 10512 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |  |
| 359 | BEAM | 15324 | 10512 | 10513 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |  |
| 360 | BEAM | 15401 | 10513 | 10514 | 10001 | 10039 | 10226 |  |  |
| 361 | BEAM | 15402 | 10519 | 10619 | 10001 | 10005 | 10196 |  |  |
| 362 | BEAM | 15403 | 10514 | 10516 | 10001 | 10039 | 10226 |  |  |
| 363 | BEAM | 15404 | 10516 | 10519 | 10001 | 10031 | 10226 |  |  |
| 364 | BEAM | 15405 | 10513 | 10616 | 10001 | 10008 | 10375 | 010036 |  |
| 365 | BEAM | 15407 | 10519 | 10616 | 10001 | 10008 | 10373 | 01003 |  |
| 366 | BEAM | 15500 | 10521 | 10522 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 01008 |  |
| 367 | BEAM | 15501 | 10522 | 10529 | 10001 | 10040 | 10234 | 10082 | 0 |
| 368 | BEAM | 15502 | 10504 | 10528 | 10001 | 10012 | 10001 | 10087 | 0 |
| 369 | BEAM | 15503 | 10504 | 10538 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 | 10079 | 0 |
| 370 | BEAM | 15504 | 10539 | 10540 | 10001 | 10040 | 10001 |  |  |
| 371 | BEAM | 15507 | 10502 | 10529 | 10001 | 10037 | 10234 |  |  |
| 372 | BEAM | 15508 | 10501 | 10529 | 10001 | 10012 | 10397 |  |  |

BEAM $1550910506 \quad 1053910001 \quad 1003710234$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15510 & 10507 & 10539 & 10001 & 10012 & 10399\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15511 & 10527 & 10522 & 10001 & 10045 & 10220\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15512 & 10540 & 10509 & 10001 & 10040 & 10234 & 0 & 10082\end{array}$ BEAM $15514 \quad 10517 \quad 10521 \quad 10001 \quad 10032 \quad 10402$

| BEAM | 15515 | 10516 | 10517 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 10087 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | BEAM 155171052010521100011000910404 BEAM $15520 \quad 10525 \quad 1051610001 \quad 10032 \quad 100010010079$ BEAM $15521 \quad 10509 \quad 1052710001 \quad 1004510220$ BEAM $15522105381053910001 \quad 1001210407$ BEAM $15523105281052910001 \quad 1001210395$ BEAM $15524105091052510001 \quad 1003210001 \quad 100830$ BEAM 155251052510533100011002510410 BEAM 155261053310534100011002510410 BEAM $15527105341053510001 \quad 10025 \quad 10410$ BEAM $15528 \quad 10535 \quad 1051410001 \quad 10025 \quad 10410$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15529 & 10530 & 10533 & 10001 & 10043 & 10001\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15530 & 10510 & 10530 & 10001 & 10043 & 10415\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15531 & 10531 & 10534 & 10001 & 10044 & 10416\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15532 & 10511 & 10531 & 10001 & 10044 & 10415\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15532 & 10511 & 10531 & 10001 & 10044 & 10415 \\ \text { BEAM } & 15533 & 10532 & 10535 & 10001 & 10043 & 10418\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 15533 & 10532 & 10535 & 10001 & 10043 & 10418 \\ \text { BEAM } & 15534 & 10512 & 10532 & 10001 & 10043 & 10415\end{array}$ BEAM $15600105031050210001 \quad 1003710234$ BEAM 156101050510506100011003710234 BEAM $16100106001060210001 \quad 1001210422$ BEAM $16101 \quad 10619 \quad 1062010001 \quad 1003110197$ BEAM $1610210620 \quad 10621 \quad 10001 \quad 1003110197$ BEAM 161031062110622100011003110197 BEAM 161041062210600100011003110197 BEAM $1610510600 \quad 10601 \quad 10001 \quad 1003110197$ BEAM $162001060610608 \quad 10001 \quad 1001210407$ BEAM 162011060110602100011003110202 BEAM 162021060210603100011003110202 $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16203 & 10603 & 10604 & 10001 & 10031 & 10202\end{array}$ BEAM $16204 \quad 106041060510001 \quad 1003110202$ BEAM $16205 \quad 10605 \quad 10606 \quad 100011003110202$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16206 & 10606 & 10607 & 10001 & 10031 & 10202\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16206 & 10606 & 10607 & 10001 & 10031 & 10217 \\ \text { BEAM } & 16302 & 10607 & 10608 & 10001 & 10031 & 10217\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16303 & 10608 & 10609 & 10001 & 10031 & 10217\end{array}$ BEAM 163211060910610100011003110217 BEAM 163221061010611100011003110217 BEAM 163231061110612100011003110217 BEAM $16324106121061310001 \quad 1003110217$ BEAM 164001061810620100011001210402 BEAM $16403106141061610001 \quad 1003110226$ BEAM 164041061610618100011003110226 BEAM 164051061810619100011003110226 BEAM 164201061310614100011003110226 BEAM $16500106171062210001 \quad 1003210001 \quad 0 \quad 10069$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16501 & 10622 & 10604 & 10001 & 10032 & 10234 & 10068 & 10073\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16502 & 10604 & 10631 & 10001 & 10032 & 10234 & 10065 & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16503 & 10624 & 10616 & 10001 & 10032 & 10001 & 0 & 10065\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16504 & 10616 & 10617 & 10001 & 10032 & 10001 & 10073 & 0\end{array}$ BEAM $16511 \quad 10609 \quad 10638 \quad 10001 \quad 10009 \begin{array}{llllll}10220\end{array}$ BEAM 165121062310622100011000910220 BEAM $16513106041062310001 \quad 1000910410$ BEAM 165141062310616100011000910410 BEAM 165151063810623100011000910220 BEAM 1652010631106091000110032102340010068 BEAM 165211060910624100011003210001100690 BEAM $16522106241062510001 \quad 1002510234$ BEAM $16523106251062610001 \quad 1002510234$ BEAM $16524106261062710001 \quad 1002510234$ BEAM $1652510627106141000110025 \quad 10234$ BEAM 165261062810625100011004310463 BEAM $16527 \quad 10610 \quad 1062810001 \quad 1004310464$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BEAM } & 16528 & 10629 & 10626 & 10001 & 10044 & 10465\end{array}$ BEAM $16529 \begin{array}{lllllll}10611 & 10629 & 10001 & 10044 & 10001\end{array}$ BEAM $16530 \quad 10630 \quad 10627100011004310467$ BEAM $16531 \quad 1061210630100011004310464$ BEAM 261062065420621100012000320001 BEAM $2610710601 \quad 20621 \quad 10001 \quad 10005 \quad 10200$ BEAM $2610920621 \quad 2062410001 \quad 1000510200$ BEAM $26110 \quad 20624 \quad 2073910001 \quad 1000510200$ BEAM 262062062420631100012000320005 BEAM 263011060720631100011000510220 BEAM 263062063420641100012000320007 BEAM 263071061320641100011000510220 BEAM 263092064120644100011000510220 BEAM $26310 \quad 20644 \quad 2071910001 \quad 1000510220$ BEAM 264062064420651100012000320011 BEAM 266011061920651100011000510200 BEAM 266022065120654100011000510200


| 456 | BEAM | 26603 | 20654 | 20732 | 10001 | 10005 | 10200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 457 | BEAM | 26604 | 20631 | 20634 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |
| 458 | BEAM | 26605 | 20634 | 20712 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |
| 459 | BEAM | 30020 | 30217 | 10317 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |
| 460 | BEAM | 30021 | 30210 | 10310 | 10001 | 30001 | 30002 |
| 461 | BEAM | 30022 | 30211 | 10311 | 10001 | 30002 | 30002 |
| 462 | BEAM | 30023 | 30212 | 10312 | 10001 | 30001 | 30002 |
| 463 | BEAM | 30030 | 10317 | 10417 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |
| 464 | BEAM | 30040 | 10417 | 10517 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |
| 465 | BEAM | 30041 | 10310 | 10530 | 10001 | 30001 | 30010 |
| 466 | BEAM | 30042 | 10311 | 10531 | 10001 | 30002 | 30010 |
| 467 | BEAM | 30043 | 10312 | 10532 | 10001 | 30001 | 30010 |
| 468 | BEAM | 30044 | 30428 | 10528 | 10001 | 30812 | 30013 |
| 469 | BEAM | 30045 | 30438 | 10538 | 10001 | 30812 | 30014 |
| 470 | BEAM | 30046 | 30440 | 10540 | 10001 | 30005 | 10410 |
| 471 | BEAM | 30047 | 30427 | 10527 | 10001 | 30005 | 10410 |
| 472 | BEAM | 30049 | 30421 | 10521 | 10001 | 30006 | 10410 |
| 473 | BEAM | 30050 | 10517 | 10617 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |
| 474 | BEAM | 30051 | 10530 | 10628 | 10001 | 30001 | 10272 |
| 475 | BEAM | 30052 | 10531 | 10629 | 10001 | 30002 | 10272 |
| 476 | BEAM | 30053 | 10532 | 10630 | 10001 | 30001 | 10272 |
| 477 | BEAM | 30054 | 10528 | 10603 | 10001 | 30812 | 30022 |
| 478 | BEAM | 30055 | 10538 | 10605 | 10001 | 30812 | 30023 |
| 479 | BEAM | 30056 | 10540 | 10631 | 10001 | 30005 | 30024 |
| 480 | BEAM | 30057 | 10527 | 10638 | 10001 | 30005 | 10410 |
| 481 | BEAM | 30059 | 10521 | 10621 | 10001 | 30006 | 30026 |
| 482 | BEAM | 30060 | 10617 | 20765 | 10001 | 30003 | 30027 |
| 483 | BEAM | 30061 | 10628 | 20716 | 10001 | 30001 | 30028 |
| 484 | BEAM | 30062 | 10629 | 20717 | 10001 | 30002 | 30029 |
| 485 | BEAM | 30063 | 10630 | 20718 | 10001 | 30001 | 30028 |
| 486 | BEAM | 30064 | 10603 | 20750 | 10001 | 30812 | 30031 |
| 487 | BEAM | 30065 | 10605 | 20752 | 10001 | 30812 | 30032 |
| 488 | BEAM | 30066 | 10631 | 20760 | 10001 | 30005 | 30033 |
| 489 | BEAM | 30067 | 10638 | 20760 | 10001 | 30005 | 30034 |
| 490 | BEAM | 30069 | 10621 | 20734 | 10001 | 30006 | 30035 |
| 491 | BEAM | 30209 | 10209 | 10309 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |
| 492 | BEAM | 30309 | 10309 | 10409 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |
| 493 | BEAM | 30409 | 10409 | 10509 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |
| 494 | BEAM | 30509 | 10509 | 10609 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |
| 495 | BEAM | 30609 | 10609 | 20715 | 10001 | 31066 | 10410 |
| 496 | ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 497 ' Geom ID Do Thick Shear y Shear z | ' Geom ID Do Thick Shear_y Shear_z |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 498 | PIPE | 10001 | 3.000 | 0.050 |  |  |  |
| 499 | PIPE | 10002 | 3.000 | 0.075 |  |  |  |
| 500 | PIPE | 10003 | 2.400 | 0.050 |  |  |  |
| 501 | PIPE | 10004 | 2.400 | 0.040 |  |  |  |
| 502 | PIPE | 10005 | 1.800 | 0.040 |  |  |  |
| 503 | PIPE | 10006 | 1.300 | 0.030 |  |  |  |
| 504 | PIPE | 10007 | 1.300 | 0.035 |  |  |  |
| 505 | PIPE | 10008 | 1.100 | 0.035 |  |  |  |
| 506 | PIPE | 10009 | 0.650 | 0.020 |  |  |  |
| 507 | PIPE | 10010 | 1.000 | 0.025 |  |  |  |
| 508 | PIPE | 10011 | 0.900 | 0.025 |  |  |  |
| 509 | PIPE | 10012 | 0.800 | 0.025 |  |  |  |
| 510 | PIPE | 10013 | 1.000 | 0.030 |  |  |  |
| 511 | PIPE | 10014 | 1.200 | 0.030 |  |  |  |
| 512 | PIPE | 10015 | 1.200 | 0.025 |  |  |  |
| 513 | PIPE | 10016 | 1.200 | 0.020 |  |  |  |
| 514 | PIPE | 10017 | 1.600 | 0.045 |  |  |  |
| 515 | PIPE | 10018 | 1.600 | 0.035 |  |  |  |
| 516 | PIPE | 10019 | 1.600 | 0.030 |  |  |  |
| 517 | PIPE | 10020 | 0.800 | 0.020 |  |  |  |
| 518 | PIPE | 10021 | 0.900 | 0.020 |  |  |  |
| 519 | PIPE | 10022 | 1.100 | 0.030 |  |  |  |
| 520 | PIPE | 10023 | 1.000 | 0.020 |  |  |  |
| 521 | PIPE | 10024 | 1.940 | 0.095 |  |  |  |
| 522 | PIPE | 10025 | 0.800 | 0.035 |  |  |  |
| 523 | PIPE | 10031 | 1.200 | 0.035 |  |  |  |
| 524 | PIPE | 10032 | 0.800 | 0.030 |  |  |  |
| 525 | PIPE | 10034 | 1.000 | 0.035 |  |  |  |
| 526 | PIPE | 10036 | 1.200 | 0.040 |  |  |  |
| 527 | PIPE | 10037 | 1.100 | 0.025 |  |  |  |
| 528 | PIPE | 10038 | 1.000 | 0.040 |  |  |  |
| 529 | PIPE | 10039 | 1.200 | 0.055 |  |  |  |
| 530 | PIPE | 10040 | 0.800 | 0.040 |  |  |  |
| 531 | PIPE | 10043 | 0.560 | 0.025 |  |  |  |
| 532 | PIPE | 10044 | 0.510 | 0.025 |  |  |  |
| 533 | PIPE | 10045 | 1.000 | 0.045 |  |  |  |
| 534 | PIPE | 20003 | 0.750 | 0.035 |  |  |  |
| 535 | PIPE | 30001 | 0.935 | 0.038 |  |  |  |
| 536 | PIPE | 30002 | 0.722 | 0.033 |  |  |  |
| 537 | PIPE | 30003 | 0.780 | 0.033 |  |  |  |
| 538 | PIPE | 30005 | 0.55 | 0.025 |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { PIPE } & 30006 & 0.457 & 0.025\end{array}$

540
541
542
542
543
544
545

## 545

## 546

## 571

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { PIPE } & 30006 & 0.457 & 0.025\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { PIPE } & 30812 & 0.813 & 0.025 \\ \text { PIPE } & 31066 & 1.067 & 0.025\end{array}$
, Loc-Coo dx dy dz
$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Loc-Coo dx } & \text { dy } \mathrm{dz} \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10001 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 1.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10113 & 0.696 & -0.696 & 0.174\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10125 & 0.948 & 0.092 & 0.304\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10133 & 0.696 & 0.696 & 0.174\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10153 & -0.696 & 0.696 & 0.174\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10173 & -0.696 & -0.696 & 0.174\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10193 & 0.992 & 0.015 & 0.122\end{array}$
UNITVEC $10194 \quad-0.992 \quad 0.015-0.122$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10195 & -0.992 & -0.015 & 0.122\end{array}$
UNITVEC $10196 \quad 0.992-0.015-0.122$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10197 & 0.000 & -0.124 & -0.992\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10199 & 0.000 & -0.992 & 0.124\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10200 & 1.000 & 0.000 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10202 & 0.124 & 0.000 & -0.992 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10207 & 0.000 & -0.696 & -0.718\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10207 & 0.000 & -0.696 & -0.718 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10209 & 0.000 & -0.696 & 0.718\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllr}\text { UNITVEC } & 10209 & 0.000 & -0.696 & 0.718 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10217 & 0.000 & 0.124 & -0.992\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10217 & 0.000 & 0.124 & -0.992 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10219 & 0.000 & -0.992 & -0.124\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllrl}\text { UNITVEC } & 10219 & 0.000 & -0.992 & -0.124 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10220 & -1.000 & 0.000 & 0.000\end{array}$
UNITVEC $10220-1.000 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10226 & -0.124 & 0.000 & -0.992\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10229 & 0.000 & -1.000 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10234 & 0.000 & 0.000 & -1.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10241 & 0.865 & 0.502 & 0.000\end{array}$
UNITVEC $10243-0.865 \quad 0.502 \quad 0.000$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10246 & -0.609 & 0.000 & -0.793\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10247 & -0.992 & 0.000 & -0.124\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10251 & 0.733 & 0.000 & -0.680\end{array}$
UNITVEC 10263 0.000 $\quad 0.992-0.124$
UNITVEC 10268 0.000 $0.737-0.676$
UNITVEC 10270 0.000 $\begin{array}{lllll}0.737 & 0.676\end{array}$
UNITVEC 10272 0.000 0.992
UNITVEC 10290
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10290 & 0.819 & 0.574 & 0.000 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10292 & -0.819 & 0.574 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10292 & -0.819 & 0.574 & 0.000 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10295 & -0.753 & 0.000 & 0.659\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10295 & -0.753 & 0.000 & 0.659 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10300 & -0.753 & 0.000 & 0.658\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10300 & -0.753 & 0.000 & 0.658\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10319 & 0.000 & 0.767 & -0.642\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10321 & 0.000 & 0.767 & 0.642\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10343 & 0.740 & 0.672 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10345 & -0.740 & 0.672 & 0.000\end{array}$
UNITVEC 10348 -0.566 $0.000-0.824$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10350 & 0.992 & 0.000 & 0.124\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10353 & -0.802 & 0.000 & 0.597\end{array}$
UNITVEC 10373 0.000 $\begin{array}{lllll}0.839 & -0.545\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10375 & 0.000 & 0.839 & 0.545\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10395 & -0.707 & 0.707 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10397 & 0.619 & 0.785 & 0.000\end{array}$
UNITVEC 10397
UNITVEC 10402 -0.707 $\begin{array}{lllll}-0.707 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10404 & 0.699 & -0.715 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10404 & 0.699 & -0.715 & 0.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10407 & 0.707 & 0.707 & 0.000 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10410 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10410 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 0.000 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10415 & -0.708 & -0.706 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10415 & -0.708 & -0.706 & 0.000 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10416 & -0.690 & 0.724 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10416 & -0.690 & 0.724 & 0.000 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10418 & -0.691 & 0.723 & 0.000\end{array}$
UNITVEC 10418 -0.691 $0.723 \quad 0.000$
$\begin{array}{lllrr}\text { UNITVEC } & 10422 & 0.707 & -0.707 & 0.000 \\ \text { UNITVEC } & 10463 & -0.689 & 0.725 & 0.000\end{array}$
UNITVEC $10464-0.709$-0.706 0.000
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10465 & -0.690 & 0.723 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 10467 & -0.692 & 0.722 & 0.000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 20001 & -0.496 & 0.000 & -0.868\end{array}$
UNITVEC $20005 \quad 0.000-0.496-0.868$
UNITVEC 20007 0.496 0.000 0.868
UNITVEC $20007-0.496-0.000-0.868$
UNITVEC 200
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30002 & 0.000 & 0.997 & 0.083\end{array}$
UNITVEC $30010 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.978 \quad 0.209$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30013 & -0.588 & -0.809 & 0.005\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30014 & -0.588 & 0.809 & 0.005\end{array}$
UNITVEC 30022 -0.885 $0.449 \quad 0.126$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30023 & -0.885 & -0.449 & 0.126\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30024 & 0.704 & 0.704 & 0.088\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30026 & 0.876 & 0.474 & 0.091\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30027 & 0.831 & 0.416 & 0.369\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30028 & 0.000 & -0.994 & 0.108\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30029 & -0.059 & -0.992 & 0.108\end{array}$
UNITVEC $30031 \quad 0.000 \quad-0.999 \quad 0.045$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30032 & 0.000 & 0.999 & 0.045\end{array}$
UNITVEC $300330.686 \quad 0.686 \quad 0.243$
UNITVEC $30034 \quad 0.000 \quad-0.999 \quad 0.032$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UNITVEC } & 30035 & 0.999 & 0.000 & 0.050\end{array}$
' Ecc-ID Ex Ey Ez
ECCENT $100020.015 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ECCENT } & 10004 & -0.015 & 0.000 & 0.000\end{array}$
ECCENT $100050.026 \quad 0.026 \quad 0.207$
ECCENT 10006 -0.235 $\quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ECCENT } & 10007 & -0.026 & 0.026 & 0.207\end{array}$
ECCENT $10008 \quad 0.235 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10010-0.145 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10012 \quad 0.145 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT 10013-0.009 -0.009 -0.069
ECCENT 10015 0.009 -0.009 -0.069
ECCENT $10021-0.026-0.026 \quad 0.207$
ECCENT $10023-0.026-0.026 \quad 0.207$
ECCENT 10029 0.026 $00.026 \quad 0.207$
ECCENT 10029 0.009 $0.009-0.069$
ECCENT 10034 -0.009 0.015 -0.06
ECCENT 100340.000 0.015 0.000
ECCENT $10036 \quad 0.000-0.015 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10038 \quad 0.000-0.235 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10040 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.235 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $100420.000 \quad-0.1450 .000$
ECCENT $10044 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.145 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10065 \quad 0.000-0.080 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10068-0.080 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10069 \quad 0.080 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10073 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.080 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10079 \quad 0.000-0.105 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10082-0.105 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10083 \quad 0.105 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.000$
ECCENT $10087 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.105 \quad 0.000$
* - Material specifications

Mat ID E-mod Poiss Yield Density Thermal
$\begin{array}{lllllll} & \text { Mat ID } & \text { E-mod } & \text { Poiss Yield } & \text { Density } & \text { Thermal } \\ \text { MISOIEP } & 10001 & 2.100 \mathrm{E}+11 & 0.3 & 355.0 \mathrm{E}+6 & 7.850 \mathrm{E}+03 & .000 \mathrm{E}+00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { MISOIEP } & 40001 & 2.100 \mathrm{E}+14 & 0.3 & 355.0 \mathrm{E}+6 * 100 & 1.000 \mathrm{E}-05 & .000 \mathrm{E}+00\end{array}$
'
\#
- Dummy cross section for deck dummy structure
PIPE $40001 \quad 1.000 \quad 0.100$
Extra nodes for dummy structure attracting wave-in-deck loads
NODE $4002121.000 \quad 0.000 \quad 95.500$
NODE $40041 \quad 19.916$-1.107 99.000
'
\# - Extra elements for dummy structure representing topside
Elem ID np1 np2 material geom
BEAM $4002120719 \quad 207184000140001 \quad 10001$
BEAM $4002220718 \quad 20717400014000110001$
BEAM 400232071720716400014000110001
BEAM 400242071620715400014000110001
BEAM 400252071520712400014000110001
BEAM 400262073220765400014000110001
BEAM 400272076520719400014000110001
BEAM $40028 \quad 20732 \quad 20734400014000110001$
BEAM $4002920734 \quad 20739400014000110001$

BEAM 40030 |  | 20739 | 20759 | 40001 | 40001 | 10001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 40030 & 20739 & 20750 & 40001 & 40001 & 10001 \\ \text { BEAM } & 40031 & 20750 & 20752 & 40001 & 40001 & 10001\end{array}$
BEAM 40032 2075220712400014000110001
BEAM $40033 \quad 20719 \quad 40021400014000110001$
BEAM $4003440021 \quad 207124000140001 \quad 10001$
BEAM 40035
BEAM $4003640021207394000140001 \quad 10001$
BEAM $4003740021 ~ 20760 ~ 40001 ~ 40001 ~ 10001$
BEAM 40038 $20760 \quad 207154000140001$
BEAM $40041 \quad 2071940041400014000110001$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { BEAM } & 40042 & 40041 & 20712 & 40001 & 40001 & 10001 \\ \text { BEAM } & 40043 & 20732 & 40041 & 40001 & 40001 & 10001\end{array}$
BEAM 400444004120739400014000110001

- Node mass representing weight of topside

```
NODEMASS 40041 11000E +03
```





| 952 | BEAM | 113302 | 110313 | 110413 | 10001 | 10003 | 10153 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 953 | BEAM | 113303 | 110307 | 110309 | 10001 | 10034 | 10217 |  |
| 954 | BEAM | 113305 | 110307 | 110409 | 10001 | 10007 | 10272 | 010012 |
| 955 | BEAM | 113307 | 110313 | 110409 | 10001 | 10007 | 10272 | $0 \quad 10010$ |
| 956 | BEAM | 113321 | 110309 | 110310 | 10001 | 10038 | 10217 |  |
| 957 | BEAM | 113322 | 110310 | 110311 | 10001 | 10038 | 10217 |  |
| 958 | BEAM | 113323 | 110311 | 110312 | 10001 | 10038 | 10217 |  |
| 959 | BEAM | 113324 | 110312 | 110313 | 10001 | 10013 | 10217 |  |
| 960 | BEAM | 113402 | 110319 | 110419 | 10001 | 10003 | 10173 |  |
| 961 | BEAM | 113403 | 110313 | 110316 | 10001 | 10013 | 10226 |  |
| 962 | BEAM | 113404 | 110316 | 110319 | 10001 | 10013 | 10226 |  |
| 963 | BEAM | 113405 | 110313 | 110416 | 10001 | 10007 | 10270 | $0 \quad 10044$ |
| 964 | BEAM | 113407 | 110319 | 110416 | 10001 | 10007 | 10268 | 010042 |
| 965 | BEAM | 113500 | 110317 | 110322 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 010083 |
| 966 | BEAM | 113501 | 110322 | 110329 | 10001 | 10013 | 10234 | 100820 |
| 967 | BEAM | 113502 | 110329 | 110304 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 | $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 10087\end{array}$ |
| 968 | BEAM | 113503 | 110304 | 110339 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 | 100790 |
| 969 | BEAM | 113504 | 110339 | 110309 | 10001 | 10013 | 10234 | 010082 |
| 970 | BEAM | 113505 | 110309 | 110316 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 1008310079 |
| 971 | BEAM | 113506 | 110316 | 110317 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 100870 |
| 972 | BEAM | 113507 | 110302 | 110329 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 |  |
| 973 | BEAM | 113508 | 110301 | 110329 | 10001 | 10012 | 10290 |  |
| 974 | BEAM | 113509 | 110306 | 110339 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 |  |
| 975 | BEAM | 113510 | 110307 | 110339 | 10001 | 10012 | 10292 |  |
| 976 | BEAM | 113511 | 110309 | 110322 | 10001 | 10012 | 10220 |  |
| 977 | BEAM | 113600 | 110303 | 110302 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 |  |
| 978 | BEAM | 113601 | 110329 | 110332 | 10001 | 10013 | 10295 |  |
| 979 | BEAM | 113602 | 110329 | 110333 | 10001 | 10014 | 10247 |  |
| 980 | BEAM | 113603 | 110303 | 110332 | 10001 | 10018 | 10247 |  |
| 981 | BEAM | 113604 | 110332 | 110333 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 |  |
| 982 | BEAM | 113605 | 110333 | 110429 | 10001 | 10014 | 10247 |  |
| 983 | BEAM | 113606 | 110333 | 110403 | 10001 | 10020 | 10300 |  |
| 984 | BEAM | 113607 | 110332 | 110403 | 10001 | 10017 | 10247 |  |
| 985 | BEAM | 113610 | 110305 | 110306 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 |  |
| 986 | BEAM | 113611 | 110339 | 110342 | 10001 | 10013 | 10295 |  |
| 987 | BEAM | 113612 | 110339 | 110343 | 10001 | 10014 | 10247 |  |
| 988 | BEAM | 113613 | 110305 | 110342 | 10001 | 10018 | 10247 |  |
| 989 | BEAM | 113614 | 110342 | 110343 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 |  |
| 990 | BEAM | 113615 | 110343 | 110439 | 10001 | 10014 | 10247 |  |
| 991 | BEAM | 113616 | 110343 | 110405 | 10001 | 10020 | 10300 |  |
| 992 | BEAM | 113617 | 110342 | 110405 | 10001 | 10017 | 10247 |  |
| 993 | BEAM | 114103 | 110419 | 110422 | 10001 | 10014 | 10197 |  |
| 994 | BEAM | 114104 | 110422 | 110401 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 995 | BEAM | 114105 | 110419 | 110522 | 10001 | 10007 | 10263 | 1000510006 |
| 996 | BEAM | 114107 | 110401 | 110522 | 10001 | 10007 | 10263 | 1000710008 |
| 997 | BEAM | 114200 | 110404 | 110406 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |
| 998 | BEAM | 114201 | 110401 | 110501 | 10001 | 10004 | 10193 |  |
| 999 | BEAM | 114202 | 110407 | 110507 | 10001 | 10004 | 10194 |  |
| 1000 | BEAM | 114203 | 110401 | 110402 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |
| 1001 | BEAM | 114204 | 110402 | 110404 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |
| 1002 | BEAM | 114205 | 110401 | 110504 | 10001 | 10007 | 10319 | 1000710038 |
| 1003 | BEAM | 114206 | 110406 | 110407 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |
| 1004 | BEAM | 114207 | 110407 | 110504 | 10001 | 10007 | 10321 | 1002110040 |
| 1005 | BEAM | 114302 | 110413 | 110513 | 10001 | 10004 | 10195 |  |
| 1006 | BEAM | 114303 | 110407 | 110409 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |
| 1007 | BEAM | 114305 | 110407 | 110509 | 10001 | 10007 | 10272 | 1002110008 |
| 1008 | BEAM | 114307 | 110413 | 110509 | 10001 | 10007 | 10272 | 1002310006 |
| 1009 | BEAM | 114322 | 110409 | 110412 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |
| 1010 | BEAM | 114324 | 110412 | 110413 | 10001 | 10014 | 10217 |  |
| 1011 | BEAM | 114402 | 110419 | 110519 | 10001 | 10004 | 10196 |  |
| 1012 | BEAM | 114403 | 110413 | 110416 | 10001 | 10014 | 10226 |  |
| 1013 | BEAM | 114404 | 110416 | 110419 | 10001 | 10014 | 10226 |  |
| 1014 | BEAM | 114405 | 110413 | 110516 | 10001 | 10007 | 10321 | 1002310040 |
| 1015 | BEAM | 114407 | 110419 | 110516 | 10001 | 10007 | 10319 | 1000510038 |
| 1016 | BEAM | 114500 | 110417 | 110422 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 010083 |
| 1017 | BEAM | 114501 | 110422 | 110429 | 10001 | 10034 | 10234 | 100820 |
| 1018 | BEAM | 114502 | 110429 | 110404 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 | 010087 |
| 1019 | BEAM | 114503 | 110404 | 110439 | 10001 | 10010 | 10234 | 100790 |
| 1020 | BEAM | 114504 | 110439 | 110409 | 10001 | 10034 | 10234 | $0 \quad 10082$ |
| 1021 | BEAM | 114505 | 110409 | 110416 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 1008310079 |
| 1022 | BEAM | 114506 | 110416 | 110417 | 10001 | 10010 | 10001 | 100870 |
| 1023 | BEAM | 114507 | 110402 | 110429 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |
| 1024 | BEAM | 114508 | 110401 | 110429 | 10001 | 10012 | 10343 |  |
| 1025 | BEAM | 114509 | 110406 | 110439 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |
| 1026 | BEAM | 114510 | 110407 | 110439 | 10001 | 10012 | 10345 |  |
| 1027 | BEAM | 114511 | 110409 | 110422 | 10001 | 10012 | 10220 |  |
| 1028 | BEAM | 114600 | 110403 | 110402 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |
| 1029 | BEAM | 114601 | 110403 | 110433 | 10001 | 10023 | 10348 |  |
| 1030 | BEAM | 114602 | 110429 | 110433 | 10001 | 10015 | 10247 |  |
| 1031 | BEAM | 114603 | 110403 | 110432 | 10001 | 10018 | 10350 |  |
| 1032 | BEAM | 114604 | 110432 | 110433 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 |  |
| 1033 | BEAM | 114605 | 110433 | 110529 | 10001 | 10015 | 10350 |  |
| 1034 | BEAM | 114606 | 110433 | 110503 | 10001 | 10012 | 10353 |  |


| 35 | BEAM | 114607 | 110432 | 110503 | 10001 | 10018 | 10247 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1036 | BEAM | 114610 | 110405 | 110406 | 10001 | 10022 | 10234 |  |
| 1037 | BEAM | 114611 | 110405 | 110443 | 10001 | 10023 | 10348 |  |
| 1038 | BEAM | 114612 | 110439 | 110443 | 10001 | 10015 | 10247 |  |
| 1039 | BEAM | 114613 | 110405 | 110442 | 10001 | 10018 | 10350 |  |
| 1040 | BEAM | 114614 | 110442 | 110443 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 |  |
| 1041 | BEAM | 114615 | 110443 | 110539 | 10001 | 10015 | 10350 |  |
| 1042 | BEAM | 114616 | 110443 | 110505 | 10001 | 10012 | 10353 |  |
| 1043 | BEAM | 114617 | 110442 | 110505 | 10001 | 10018 | 10247 |  |
| 1044 | BEAM | 115102 | 110519 | 110520 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1045 | BEAM | 115103 | 110520 | 110522 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1046 | BEAM | 115104 | 110522 | 110501 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1047 | BEAM | 115105 | 110519 | 110622 | 10001 | 10008 | 10263 | 010002 |
| 1048 | BEAM | 115107 | 110501 | 110622 | 10001 | 10008 | 10263 | $0 \quad 10004$ |
| 1049 | BEAM | 115200 | 110504 | 110506 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |
| 1050 | BEAM | 115201 | 110501 | 110601 | 10001 | 10005 | 10193 |  |
| 1051 | BEAM | 115202 | 110507 | 110607 | 10001 | 10005 | 10194 |  |
| 1052 | BEAM | 115203 | 110501 | 110502 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1053 | BEAM | 115204 | 110502 | 110504 | 10001 | 10014 | 10202 |  |
| 1054 | BEAM | 115205 | 110501 | 110604 | 10001 | 10008 | 10373 | $0 \quad 10034$ |
| 1055 | BEAM | 115206 | 110506 | 110507 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1056 | BEAM | 115207 | 110507 | 110604 | 10001 | 10008 | 10375 | 010036 |
| 1057 | BEAM | 115302 | 110513 | 110613 | 10001 | 10005 | 10195 |  |
| 1058 | BEAM | 115303 | 110507 | 110509 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |
| 1059 | BEAM | 115305 | 110507 | 110609 | 10001 | 10008 | 10272 | $0 \quad 10004$ |
| 1060 | BEAM | 115307 | 110513 | 110609 | 10001 | 10008 | 10272 | $0 \quad 10002$ |
| 1061 | BEAM | 115321 | 110509 | 110510 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |
| 1062 | BEAM | 115322 | 110510 | 110511 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |
| 1063 | BEAM | 115323 | 110511 | 110512 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |
| 1064 | BEAM | 115324 | 110512 | 110513 | 10001 | 10036 | 10217 |  |
| 1065 | BEAM | 115401 | 110513 | 110514 | 10001 | 10039 | 10226 |  |
| 1066 | BEAM | 115402 | 110519 | 110619 | 10001 | 10005 | 10196 |  |
| 1067 | BEAM | 115403 | 110514 | 110516 | 10001 | 10039 | 10226 |  |
| 1068 | BEAM | 115404 | 110516 | 110519 | 10001 | 10031 | 10226 |  |
| 1069 | BEAM | 115405 | 110513 | 110616 | 10001 | 10008 | 10375 | 010036 |
| 1070 | BEAM | 115407 | 110519 | 110616 | 10001 | 10008 | 10373 | 010034 |
| 1071 | BEAM | 115500 | 110521 | 110522 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 010083 |
| 1072 | BEAM | 115501 | 110522 | 110529 | 10001 | 10040 | 10234 | 100820 |
| 1073 | BEAM | 115502 | 110504 | 110528 | 10001 | 10012 | 10001 | 100870 |
| 1074 | BEAM | 115503 | 110504 | 110538 | 10001 | 10012 | 10234 | 100790 |
| 1075 | BEAM | 115504 | 110539 | 110540 | 10001 | 10040 | 10001 |  |
| 1076 | BEAM | 115507 | 110502 | 110529 | 10001 | 10037 | 10234 |  |
| 1077 | BEAM | 115508 | 110501 | 110529 | 10001 | 10012 | 10397 |  |
| 1078 | BEAM | 115509 | 110506 | 110539 | 10001 | 10037 | 10234 |  |
| 1079 | BEAM | 115510 | 110507 | 110539 | 10001 | 10012 | 10399 |  |
| 1080 | BEAM | 115511 | 110527 | 110522 | 10001 | 10045 | 10220 |  |
| 1081 | BEAM | 115512 | 110540 | 110509 | 10001 | 10040 | 10234 | 010082 |
| 1082 | BEAM | 115514 | 110517 | 110521 | 10001 | 10032 | 10402 |  |
| 1083 | BEAM | 115515 | 110516 | 110517 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 100870 |
| 1084 | BEAM | 115517 | 110520 | 110521 | 10001 | 10009 | 10404 |  |
| 1085 | BEAM | 115520 | 110525 | 110516 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 0 10079 |
| 1086 | BEAM | 115521 | 110509 | 110527 | 10001 | 10045 | 10220 |  |
| 1087 | BEAM | 115522 | 110538 | 110539 | 10001 | 10012 | 10407 |  |
| 1088 | BEAM | 115523 | 110528 | 110529 | 10001 | 10012 | 10395 |  |
| 1089 | BEAM | 115524 | 110509 | 110525 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 100830 |
| 1090 | BEAM | 115525 | 110525 | 110533 | 10001 | 10025 | 10410 |  |
| 1091 | BEAM | 115526 | 110533 | 110534 | 10001 | 10025 | 10410 |  |
| 1092 | BEAM | 115527 | 110534 | 110535 | 10001 | 10025 | 10410 |  |
| 1093 | BEAM | 115528 | 110535 | 110514 | 10001 | 10025 | 10410 |  |
| 1094 | BEAM | 115529 | 110530 | 110533 | 10001 | 10043 | 10001 |  |
| 1095 | BEAM | 115530 | 110510 | 110530 | 10001 | 10043 | 10415 |  |
| 1096 | BEAM | 115531 | 110531 | 110534 | 10001 | 10044 | 10416 |  |
| 1097 | BEAM | 115532 | 110511 | 110531 | 10001 | 10044 | 10415 |  |
| 1098 | BEAM | 115533 | 110532 | 110535 | 10001 | 10043 | 10418 |  |
| 1099 | BEAM | 115534 | 110512 | 110532 | 10001 | 10043 | 10415 |  |
| 1100 | BEAM | 115600 | 110503 | 110502 | 10001 | 10037 | 10234 |  |
| 1101 | BEAM | 115610 | 110505 | 110506 | 10001 | 10037 | 10234 |  |
| 1102 | BEAM | 116100 | 110600 | 110602 | 10001 | 10012 | 10422 |  |
| 1103 | BEAM | 116101 | 110619 | 110620 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1104 | BEAM | 116102 | 110620 | 110621 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1105 | BEAM | 116103 | 110621 | 110622 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1106 | BEAM | 116104 | 110622 | 110600 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1107 | BEAM | 116105 | 110600 | 110601 | 10001 | 10031 | 10197 |  |
| 1108 | BEAM | 116200 | 110606 | 110608 | 10001 | 10012 | 10407 |  |
| 1109 | BEAM | 116201 | 110601 | 110602 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1110 | BEAM | 116202 | 110602 | 110603 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1111 | BEAM | 116203 | 110603 | 110604 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1112 | BEAM | 116204 | 110604 | 110605 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1113 | BEAM | 116205 | 110605 | 110606 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1114 | BEAM | 116206 | 110606 | 110607 | 10001 | 10031 | 10202 |  |
| 1115 | BEAM | 116302 | 110607 | 110608 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |
| 1116 | BEAM | 116303 | 110608 | 110609 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |
| 1117 | BEAM | 116321 | 110609 | 110610 | 10001 | 1003 | 1021 |  |


| 1118 | BEAM | 116322 | 110610 | 110611 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1119 | BEAM | 116323 | 110611 | 110612 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |  |
| 1120 | BEAM | 116324 | 110612 | 110613 | 10001 | 10031 | 10217 |  |  |
| 1121 | BEAM | 116400 | 110618 | 110620 | 10001 | 10012 | 10402 |  |  |
| 1122 | BEAM | 116403 | 110614 | 110616 | 10001 | 10031 | 10226 |  |  |
| 1123 | BEAM | 116404 | 110616 | 110618 | 10001 | 10031 | 10226 |  |  |
| 1124 | BEAM | 116405 | 110618 | 110619 | 10001 | 10031 | 10226 |  |  |
| 1125 | BEAM | 116420 | 110613 | 110614 | 10001 | 10031 | 10226 |  |  |
| 1126 | BEAM | 116500 | 110617 | 110622 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 010069 |  |
| 1127 | BEAM | 116501 | 110622 | 110604 | 10001 | 10032 | 10234 | 10068 | 10073 |
| 1128 | BEAM | 116502 | 110604 | 110631 | 10001 | 10032 | 10234 | 100650 | 0 |
| 1129 | BEAM | 116503 | 110624 | 110616 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 010065 |  |
| 1130 | BEAM | 116504 | 110616 | 110617 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 10073 | 0 |
| 1131 | BEAM | 116511 | 110609 | 110638 | 10001 | 10009 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1132 | BEAM | 116512 | 110623 | 110622 | 10001 | 10009 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1133 | BEAM | 116513 | 110604 | 110623 | 10001 | 10009 | 10410 |  |  |
| 1134 | BEAM | 116514 | 110623 | 110616 | 10001 | 10009 | 10410 |  |  |
| 1135 | BEAM | 116515 | 110638 | 110623 | 10001 | 10009 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1136 | BEAM | 116520 | 110631 | 110609 | 10001 | 10032 | 10234 | 010068 |  |
| 1137 | BEAM | 116521 | 110609 | 110624 | 10001 | 10032 | 10001 | 10069 | 0 |
| 1138 | BEAM | 116522 | 110624 | 110625 | 10001 | 10025 | 10234 |  |  |
| 1139 | BEAM | 116523 | 110625 | 110626 | 10001 | 10025 | 10234 |  |  |
| 1140 | BEAM | 116524 | 110626 | 110627 | 10001 | 10025 | 10234 |  |  |
| 1141 | BEAM | 116525 | 110627 | 110614 | 10001 | 10025 | 10234 |  |  |
| 1142 | BEAM | 116526 | 110628 | 110625 | 10001 | 10043 | 10463 |  |  |
| 1143 | BEAM | 116527 | 110610 | 110628 | 10001 | 10043 | 10464 |  |  |
| 1144 | BEAM | 116528 | 110629 | 110626 | 10001 | 10044 | 10465 |  |  |
| 1145 | BEAM | 116529 | 110611 | 110629 | 10001 | 10044 | 10001 |  |  |
| 1146 | BEAM | 116530 | 110630 | 110627 | 10001 | 10043 | 10467 |  |  |
| 1147 | BEAM | 116531 | 110612 | 110630 | 10001 | 10043 | 10464 |  |  |
| 1148 | BEAM | 126106 | 120654 | 120621 | 10001 | 20003 | 20001 |  |  |
| 1149 | BEAM | 126107 | 110601 | 120621 | 10001 | 10005 | 10200 |  |  |
| 1150 | BEAM | 126109 | 120621 | 120624 | 10001 | 10005 | 10200 |  |  |
| 1151 | BEAM | 126110 | 120624 | 120739 | 10001 | 10005 | 10200 |  |  |
| 1152 | BEAM | 126206 | 120624 | 120631 | 10001 | 20003 | 20005 |  |  |
| 1153 | BEAM | 126301 | 110607 | 120631 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1154 | BEAM | 126306 | 120634 | 120641 | 10001 | 20003 | 20007 |  |  |
| 1155 | BEAM | 126307 | 110613 | 120641 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1156 | BEAM | 126309 | 120641 | 120644 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1157 | BEAM | 126310 | 120644 | 120719 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1158 | BEAM | 126406 | 120644 | 120651 | 10001 | 20003 | 20011 |  |  |
| 1159 | BEAM | 126601 | 110619 | 120651 | 10001 | 10005 | 10200 |  |  |
| 1160 | BEAM | 126602 | 120651 | 120654 | 10001 | 10005 | 10200 |  |  |
| 1161 | BEAM | 126603 | 120654 | 120732 | 10001 | 10005 | 10200 |  |  |
| 1162 | BEAM | 126604 | 120631 | 120634 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1163 | BEAM | 126605 | 120634 | 120712 | 10001 | 10005 | 10220 |  |  |
| 1164 | BEAM | 130020 | 130217 | 110317 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |  |  |
| 1165 | BEAM | 130021 | 130210 | 110310 | 10001 | 30001 | 30002 |  |  |
| 1166 | BEAM | 130022 | 130211 | 110311 | 10001 | 30002 | 30002 |  |  |
| 1167 | BEAM | 130023 | 130212 | 110312 | 10001 | 30001 | 30002 |  |  |
| 1168 | BEAM | 130030 | 110317 | 110417 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |  |  |
| 1169 | BEAM | 130040 | 110417 | 110517 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |  |  |
| 1170 | BEAM | 130041 | 110310 | 110530 | 10001 | 30001 | 30010 |  |  |
| 1171 | BEAM | 130042 | 110311 | 110531 | 10001 | 30002 | 30010 |  |  |
| 1172 | BEAM | 130043 | 110312 | 110532 | 10001 | 30001 | 30010 |  |  |
| 1173 | BEAM | 130044 | 130428 | 110528 | 10001 | 30812 | 30013 |  |  |
| 1174 | BEAM | 130045 | 130438 | 110538 | 10001 | 30812 | 30014 |  |  |
| 1175 | BEAM | 130046 | 130440 | 110540 | 10001 | 30005 | 10410 |  |  |
| 1176 | BEAM | 130047 | 130427 | 110527 | 10001 | 30005 | 10410 |  |  |
| 1177 | BEAM | 130049 | 130421 | 110521 | 10001 | 30006 | 10410 |  |  |
| 1178 | BEAM | 130050 | 110517 | 110617 | 10001 | 30003 | 10199 |  |  |
| 1179 | BEAM | 130051 | 110530 | 110628 | 10001 | 30001 | 10272 |  |  |
| 1180 | BEAM | 130052 | 110531 | 110629 | 10001 | 30002 | 10272 |  |  |
| 1181 | BEAM | 130053 | 110532 | 110630 | 10001 | 30001 | 10272 |  |  |
| 1182 | BEAM | 130054 | 110528 | 110603 | 10001 | 30812 | 30022 |  |  |
| 1183 | BEAM | 130055 | 110538 | 110605 | 10001 | 30812 | 30023 |  |  |
| 1184 | BEAM | 130056 | 110540 | 110631 | 10001 | 30005 | 30024 |  |  |
| 1185 | BEAM | 130057 | 110527 | 110638 | 10001 | 30005 | 10410 |  |  |
| 1186 | BEAM | 130059 | 110521 | 110621 | 10001 | 30006 | 30026 |  |  |
| 1187 | BEAM | 130060 | 110617 | 120765 | 10001 | 30003 | 30027 |  |  |
| 1188 | BEAM | 130061 | 110628 | 120716 | 10001 | 30001 | 30028 |  |  |
| 1189 | BEAM | 130062 | 110629 | 120717 | 10001 | 30002 | 30029 |  |  |
| 1190 | BEAM | 130063 | 110630 | 120718 | 10001 | 30001 | 30028 |  |  |
| 1191 | BEAM | 130064 | 110603 | 120750 | 10001 | 30812 | 30031 |  |  |
| 1192 | BEAM | 130065 | 110605 | 120752 | 10001 | 30812 | 30032 |  |  |
| 1193 | BEAM | 130066 | 110631 | 120760 | 10001 | 30005 | 30033 |  |  |
| 1194 | BEAM | 130067 | 110638 | 120760 | 10001 | 30005 | 30034 |  |  |
| 1195 | BEAM | 130069 | 110621 | 120734 | 10001 | 30006 | 30035 |  |  |
| 1196 | BEAM | 130209 | 110209 | 110309 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |  |  |
| 1197 | BEAM | 130309 | 110309 | 110409 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |  |  |
| 1198 | BEAM | 130409 | 110409 | 110509 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |  |  |
| 1199 | BEAM | 130509 | 110509 | 110609 | 10001 | 31066 | 10272 |  |  |
| 1200 | BEAM | 130609 | 110609 | 120715 | 10001 | 31066 | 10410 |  |  |



## D.2.3 Batch file to automate analysis, run_case

```
# ...
# || Batch file to automate analysis
    ||
# || Input parameters : Peak period, significant wave height, time of ...
        analysis, stiffness ratio ||
# || Usage: ./run_case Tp Hs endT mu
    | |
# ...
'
7'
# - Make folder to store analysis
```

```
mkdir Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
'
# - Make file name defined by the input parameters
cp head.mal head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
cp stru.mal stru_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
'' - Insert input parameters to head file
sed -i 's/Tp/'$1'/g' head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
sed -i 's/Hs/'$2'/g' head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
sed -i 's/endT/'$3'/g' head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
sed -i 's/mu/'$4'/g' head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
'
# - Calculate wave length from wave period
    lambda=$(echo "$1^2*9.81/6.2832" | bc -l)
'
# - Calculate sea dimension
if (( $(echo "$1 < 4" | bc -l) ));
    then
    seadim1=$(echo "$lambda*8" | bc -l)
    elif (( $(echo "$1 > 10" | bc -l) ));
    then
    seadim1=$(echo "$lambda*2" | bc -l)
    else
    seadim1=$(echo "$lambda*4" | bc -l)
    fi
# - Insert sea dimension to head file
    sed -i 's/dimxy/'$seadim1'/g' head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
# - Insert distance between jackets = 80 m to struture file
    sed -i 's/J2/'80'/g' stru_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem
    #
# - Run USFOS
./usfos.cmd << ENDIN
head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
stru_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
BT=USF
Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
ENDIN
'
# - Run Dynres
./dynres.cmd << ENDIN
Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
3
2
res_$2
ENDIN
# - Move files to folder
mv stru_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
mv Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.raf Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
mv Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.dyn Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
mv Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4_status.text Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
mv head_Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.fem Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
mv Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4.out Tp=$1_Hs=$2_endT=$3_mu=$4
mv res_$2_Nodal_Displacement_Node_40041_Dof_1.plo displacement.plo
```

62 mv res_\$2_Nodal_Displacement_Node_140041_Dof_1.plo displacement2.plo
63 mv res_\$2_Surface_Elevation.plo elevation.plo
64 mv res_\$2_Total_Wave_Load.plo wave_load.plo
65 mv res_\$2_Element_Force_Elem_14105_End_2_Dof_1.plo elem_force3.plo
66 mv displacement.plo $\mathrm{Tp}=\$ 1 \_\mathrm{Hs}=\$ 2 \_$endT=\$3_mu=\$4
mv displacement2.plo $\mathrm{Tp}=\$ 1 \_\mathrm{Hs}=\$ 2 \_$endT=\$3_mu=\$4
mv elevation.plo Tp=\$1_Hs=\$2_endT=\$3_mu=\$4
mv wave_load.plo Tp=\$1_Hs=\$2_endT=\$3_mu=\$4
mv elem_force3.plo Tp=\$1_Hs=\$2_endT=\$3_mu=\$4
$\qquad$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Section 2.4.3
    ${ }^{2}$ See 2.3 or, for instance, Faltinsen, [10] ch. 5 for details

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See the USFOS Theory Manual [13] Section 14.4 for details

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ See USFOS Hydrodynamics [1] section 1.3.5 for further details

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ See, for instance, Newland [17] p. 71-72

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Due to Ole Gabrielsen, DNV GL

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ See, for instance, Schijve [21] p. 273-275 for detail regarding rainflow counting
    ${ }^{8}$ The number of bars should be at least 20 to ensure reasonably numerical accuracy [22]
    ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{N}$ curve for tubular joints from DNV GL - RP-C203 p. 25 [22]

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ See Appendix C. 1

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ The reaction force in Table 6 is the reaction force due to a prescribed displacement of 1 m

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ Jacket width: the distance between the center of the back legs and the center of the front legs at mean water level

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ See Section 3.6

