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ABSTRACT

Bibliographic or citation structure in a document contains a wealth of useful but implicit information. This rich source of information should be exploited not only to understand what and where to find the important documents, but also as a contextual evidence surrounding the important and not so important documents. This paper measures the effects of contextual evidences accumulated from the bibliographic structure of documents on retrieval effectiveness.

We propose a re-weighting model to contextualize bibliographic evidences in a query-independent and query-dependent fashion (based on Markovian random walks). The in-links and out-links of a node in the citation graph could be used as a context. Here we hypothesize that the document in a good context (having strong contextual evidences) should be a good candidate to be relevant to the posed query and vice versa.

The proposed models are experimentally evaluated using the iSearch Collection and assessed using standard evaluation methodologies. We have tested several variants of contextualization, and the results are significantly better than the baseline (indri run).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Documents’ bibliographic structure (i.e., inlinks and outlinks) provides both a wider context and a wider semantics to the content. This far-reaching context and semantics should possibly be used to boost or reduce the documents retrieval scores. Without using the structural information (citations graph), the search system would simply ignore the documents containing a wealth of implicit information in its context as irrelevant to the query topic in question.

Until recently, the importance of contextualization has been studied in several settings by [1, 2, 9, 7, 10] in a schema-agnostic environment. It has been found that by contextualizing the scores of the surrounding components, elements or parents (ancestors) or siblings in the scoring function of the element itself, the overall precision and recall of the focused retrieval system improves [2].

In this study we incorporate the idea of random walk together with contextualization on bibliographic structure of documents, inspired by the random surfer model of [4, 3] over XML documents and relational databases respectively. The hypothesis is that this would improve the search effectiveness in aggregated search.

Shortly, the contributions of this study include:

• The introduction of contextualization with random walk as a theoretically sound model (Section 2).
• Experimental validation of the ideas proposed using query-independent/-dependent random walks with in-links and out-links contextualization (Section 3).
• Evaluated the use of bibliographic information on (a subset of) the iSearch Collection [6] (Section 3.1). Section 4 concludes and highlights future work.

2. CONTEXTUALIZATION MODEL

Contextualization is a method exploring the features in the context of a retrievable unit [2]. In document retrieval, in turn, this means combining the evidences from a document and its context using different but plausible combination functions. The context of a document consists of other documents which point-to or are pointed-to (contextualizing documents) by the document in question (contextualized document, P2), see Figure 1(a). We use random walks to induce a similarity structure over the documents based on their bibliographic relationships. Hence, these relationships affect the weight each contextualizing document has in contextualization. A contextualization model is a re-scoring scheme, where the basic score, usually obtained from a fulltext retrieval model, of a contextualized document is re-enforced by the weighted scores of the contextualizing
The premise is that good context (identified by random walk and contextualization) provides evidence that a document is a good candidate for a posed query and therefore documents should be contextualized by their bibliographically similar documents. Good context is an evidence that should be used to deduce that a document is a good candidate for the posed query.

2.1 Random Walk for context materialization

There are enough empirical and intuitive proof for the premise that a good document in citation graph is good because it contains references to alot of good documents, and more importantly, a good document is good if it is contained in a good document as a reference (recursive definition) [6]. But here, the question is, can the evidences, lying loosely in the context surrounding the contextualized document, be intelligently materialized? Fortunately, the answer is yes, later in the section we will show a formalism that can be used to materialize and then utilize the contextual evidences for improving retrieval effectiveness.

Previous work [1, 2] presents a contextualization model where a binary vector represents the relevant context (a part of) a document. Here, we extend that work to use probabilistic information derived from a random walk over the citation structure. A random walk on the citation structure of the documents independent or dependent of a query topic will populate the contextualization vector with the probabilities that indicate authority of a document in the network of citations.

An alternative way to conceive the intuition behind the random walk model here is, to consider that authority and relevance information flows in the bibliographic structure of documents in the same fashion as that of the HITS model [5]. The authority flows in the bibliographic structure of documents until an equilibrium is established which specifies the relevance of citations. Here, we extend that work to use probabilistic information derived from a random walk over the citation structure of a document. Here, we extend that work to use probabilistic information derived from a random walk over the citation structure of the documents, irrespective of any query. This walk primarily captures the authority/ness of documents in the collection. The adjacency matrix \(A\) becomes huge in this case (342, 279 \(\times\) 342, 279, see Section 3.1). The contextualization vector \(g^k\) depicts the scores of each document in the massive citation graph for the entire collection iteratively calculated using Equation 4.

2.2 Query independent and query-dependent walks

A query independent random walk is conducted on the entire bibliographic structure of the documents, irrespective of any query. This walk primarily captures the authority/ness of documents in the collection. The adjacency matrix \(A\) becomes huge in this case (342, 279 \(\times\) 342, 279, see Section 3.1). The contextualization vector \(g^k\) depicts the scores of each document in the massive citation graph for the entire collection iteratively calculated using Equation 4.

A query dependent random walk is conducted on the rather smaller subset of the citation graph, corresponding to a specific query topic in question. Adjacency matrix \(A\) is in this case considerably smaller then the query-independent walk. The contextualization vector \(g^k\) depicts the stationary distribution of random walk (scores of documents) specific to a query. The focused subgraph can be constructed from the output of text-based search engine (indri in our case) which can be used to iteratively produce set of documents that are most likely considered to be relevant to the query topic. The Base-set \(S_q\) (which is used to form \(A\)) can be obtained by growing query results (Root-set \(R_q\)); which includes any document that pointed to by a document in Root-set \(R_q\), and any document that points to a document in \(R_q\), i.e., in-linking and out-linking documents from root-set \(R_q\) respectively (see Figure 1(b)).

2.3 Combination function

We now give a tailored re-ranking function \(CR\), which allows the contextualizing scores to be added to the basic scores. The function can be formally defined as follows:

\[
CR(x,f,C_x,g^k) = (1 - f) \times BS(x) + f \times \sum_{y \in C_x} BS(y) \times g^k(y) 
\]

\[
\sum_{y \in C_x} g^k(y) 
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

- \(BS(x)\) is the basic score of contextualized document \(x\) (text-based score, e.g., \(tf \cdot idf\))
- \(f\) is a parameter which determines the weight of the context in the overall scoring

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & \varepsilon \\
\varepsilon & 0 & \varepsilon & 1 \\
\varepsilon & 1 & 0 & \varepsilon \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & \varepsilon & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The random walk probabilities are then obtained by iteratively solving the following system of linear equations:

\[
g^k = A^T A g^{k-1} \hspace{1cm} (1)
\]

Finding the dominant Eigenvector of the system of linear equations, corresponding to the dominant eigenvalue, which is 1 in this case [8].
• $C_x$ is the context surrounding the contextualizing document $x$, i.e., $C_x \subseteq (\text{inlinks}(x) \cup \text{outlinks}(x))$, because we are only considering the set of inlinks and/or outlinks of $x$ in the top-$k$ retrieved documents ($k \leq 1500$ and $8k$), not all the inlinks and outlinks of $x$.

• $g^k(x)$ is the contextualization vector which gives the authority weight of $x$, the contextualizing documents of $x$.

We can have several variants of the combination function of Equation 2 as discussed in forthcoming Sections below.

2.4 Context as the authority

Do documents cited a lot, or documents containing more in-links or authoritative documents form a good context? Let’s assume that the context function $C_x$ in Equation 2 only contextualize based on the in-links. In this case the argument would be: $C_x \subseteq \text{inlinks}(x)$. The set $C_x$ only contains the in-links of the contextualizing document. The in-links of a document $x$ corresponds to its column in the adjacency matrix $A$. For example, the in-links of document $P2$ in the Figure 1(a) correspond to the non-zero cells of column 2 in the adjacency matrix $A$.

Section 3 presents experiments with two variants of contextualization:

1. first based on random walk conducted on query independent adjacency matrix $A$ (the entire bibliographic graph, see Section 2.3) and
2. second based on query dependent random walk on adjacency matrix $A$ (the base-set, see Figure 1(b)).

We have experimented with both of the approaches, see Section 3. In addition to the two variants, a third variant combines the query independent and query dependent random walk into a combination function:

$$CR(x, f, C_x, g^k_i, g^k_d) = (1 - f) \cdot BS(x) + \sum_{y \in C_x} BS(y) \cdot g^k_i(y) + f \cdot \alpha \cdot \sum_{y \in C_x} g^k_d(y) + f \cdot (1 - \alpha) \cdot \sum_{y \in C_x} g^k_d(y)$$

(3)

where

• $g^k_i(y)$ is the contextualization vector which gives the authority weight of the contextualizing documents of $x$ based on query independent walk.

• $g^k_d(y)$ is the contextualization vector which gives the authority weight of the contextualizing documents of $x$ based on query dependent walk.

• $\alpha$ is the parameter moderating the share of contextualization from query independent and query dependent.

2.5 Context for a better content description

Given the bibliographic structure of the iSearch collection, Figure 2 shows that the numbers of inlinks in the documents are not very stable along year and along the query topics. The existence of inlinks for contextualized document is certainly a positive indication, but outlinks also happen to occur in the contextualized document’s context. Inlinks together with outlinks provide a much wider context for the contextualized document. Combination functions, Equations 2 and 3 remain the same, only the interpretation of the contextualization function changes now to: $C_x \subseteq (\text{inlinks}(x) \cup \text{outlinks}(x))$. The set $C_x$ now contains the inlinks and outlinks of the contextualizing document, containing the query term. The outlinks of a document $x$ correspond to its row in the adjacency matrix $A$. For example, the outlinks of document $P2$ in the Figure 1(a) corresponds to the non-zero cells of row 2 in the adjacency matrix $A$.

3. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

3.1 Experimental Settings

The proposed approaches are evaluated using the newly released iSearch test collection, consisting of 65 queries with relevance assessments. The collection contains 18,443 book records in XML (BR), 291,246 metadata of articles are in XML (PN) as well as 143,571 full text articles are in PDF (PF). The query set is provided with a description of the information need, task, background, ideal answer and a few keywords. We have used the keywords as query text for our experiments, because that resulted in the highest effectiveness with our baseline system.

We believe that this is the first study to use the citation structure provided with the collection, based on Citebase semi-autonomous citation index

Citebase is created by Tim Brody from University of
tations to the citation structure extracted namely: (a) citations only covers citations among the PN and PF documents in iSearch. (b) citations has been extracted automatically.

We first evaluated our baseline system on the entire collection, and obtained a satisfactory result when compared to the related works: our Indri baseline gives a MAP of 0.1048 retrieving 1,667 relevant documents, a performance higher than earlier published results of [6].

We now define a subset of the collection that has sufficient coverage in citation structure, that we will refer to as iSearch-Citations. We keep only those documents that have citations (342,279 out of the original 434,817 PN and PF documents), discarding the rest from the experiments and evaluations. The baseline performance drops to a MAP of 0.0792 on this reduced data set, retrieving 974 relevant documents in the top 1,500 documents, and 1256 relevant documents retrieved in the top 8,000 documents retrieved per query. These choices are based on following reasons: (i) to widen the context, e.g., when we retrieve 1,500 documents per topic then we have a narrower context than, when 8,000 documents are retrieved per topic, (ii) to have a better coverage of the relevant documents and subsequently boost their rankings, based on their inlinks and outlinks, with the help of the proposed approaches (see next Section and Table 1 and 2).

A total of 3,768,410 citations contained in 219,242 PN documents and 123,637 PF documents. The original graded qrels contain 11,264 documents, out of which 2,878 have been assessed to be relevant. After pruning the documents without citation structure, we have 6,975 documents, of which 1,591 are relevant ones, in the modified graded qrels.

3.2 Results

We have tested seven different retrieval methods based on the propositions (see Section 2).

- No contextualization, indri run using #combine operator for combining beliefs and using the keywords field from queries provided, CRn (baseline)
- Query independent - inlinks contextualization, CRi
- Query dependent - inlinks contextualization, CRid
- Query independent and dependent - inlinks contextualization, CRiqd
- Query independent - inlinks and outlinks contextualization, CRio
- Query dependent - inlinks and outlinks contextualization, CRiod
- Query independent and dependent - inlinks and outlinks contextualization, CRioid

For each evaluation measure (Table 1) separately, we tuned the following parameters and report the best performance: (i) the contextualization force f from Equation 2 (f ∈ {0.015, 0.025, 0.035, 0.045, 0.055, 0.15}); (ii) the α parameter from Equation 3 (α ∈ {0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7}), a total of 96 runs (as each parameter contains 6 different values) per query independent and query dependent method. The α parameter is only involved in the CRiqd and CRioid runs, as reflected in Equation 3 i.e., runs involving both query-independent and -dependent walks. These optimal values for f and α are obtained training with the iSearch collection. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the behaviour of the methods as we change

Southampton, UK, http://citebase.org
mainly the $f$ parameter, from Equations 2 and 3, on some of the significant retrieval measures. Due to space limitations, we only report $\alpha = 0.5$ (which was one of the optimal values during training, see last column of Tables 1 and 2). As can be visually observed, the proposed methods out-perform the baseline, $\text{CR}_\text{bas}$, in almost all the figures.

Table 1 and 2 show the overview of the retrieval performance of our approaches against the baseline at 1,500 and 8,000 documents retrieved per topic. All the proposed contextualization models improves the performance over baseline. The improvements are statistically significant (2-tailed t-test) at $p < 0.05$ on $\text{rPrecision}$, $\text{nDCG}$ and $\text{P10}$ measures. Not that, queries having no relevant results in relevance assessments (queries 5, 17, 20, 54 and 56) are not removed during evaluations and statistical significance assessments. The improvements overall are not surprisingly good because of the connectivity of the relevant documents per topic, as can be seen graphically in Figure 2(c). The preliminary performance of our approaches against the baseline at 1

500 and 5,000 documents retrieved per topic. All the proposed contextualization models improves the performance over baseline. The improvements are statistically significant (2-tailed t-test) at $p < 0.05$ (on $\text{rPrecision}$. $\text{nDCG}$ and $\text{P10}$ measures).

The best overall results among the proposed methods are obtained with $\text{CR}_\text{pro}$ and $\text{CR}_\text{pro}$, in terms of highest mean average precision values. We conclude that, context provided by in- and out-links may indeed improve retrieval effectiveness, even though improvements are still small, but statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$\text{MAP}$</th>
<th>$\text{rPrecision}$</th>
<th>$\text{nDCG}$</th>
<th>$\text{BPREF}$</th>
<th>$\text{P5}$</th>
<th>$\text{P10}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{bas}$</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.0989</td>
<td>.1056</td>
<td>.1609</td>
<td>.2561</td>
<td>.2319</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.0975</td>
<td>.1056</td>
<td>.1609</td>
<td>.2561</td>
<td>.2319</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.025-.055</td>
<td>.0975</td>
<td>.1056</td>
<td>.1609</td>
<td>.2561</td>
<td>.2319</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.025-.055</td>
<td>.0987</td>
<td>.1056</td>
<td>.1609</td>
<td>.2561</td>
<td>.2319</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.025-.055</td>
<td>.0986</td>
<td>.1056</td>
<td>.1609</td>
<td>.2561</td>
<td>.2319</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Retrieval performance @1500 $\ast = \text{stat. significance at } p < 0.05$ (2-tailed t-test). $\triangle = \text{better than baseline}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$\text{MAP}$</th>
<th>$\text{rPrecision}$</th>
<th>$\text{nDCG}$</th>
<th>$\text{BPREF}$</th>
<th>$\text{P5}$</th>
<th>$\text{P10}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{bas}$</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.0803</td>
<td>.1041</td>
<td>.2563</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
<td>.1656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.0804</td>
<td>.1062</td>
<td>.2565</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
<td>.1656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.0805</td>
<td>.1062</td>
<td>.2565</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
<td>.1656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.0818</td>
<td>.1067</td>
<td>.2565</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
<td>.1656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CR}_\text{pro}$</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.0818</td>
<td>.1067</td>
<td>.2565</td>
<td>.2562</td>
<td>.1938</td>
<td>.1656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Retrieval performance @8k.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK

We have presented an exploratory study into the use of context from bibliographic information to improve retrieval performance on a document retrieval task. The approach is generic and maybe applied beyond the iSearch-Citations collection studied in this paper. The approaches proposed are particularly suited for collections with less textual evidences. The evidences are collected in a systematic way from the surrounding context of the document to be ranked. The importance of each single unit in the context is identified by the markovian random walk. Most of the proposed system are tested and found to be statistically significant against the baseline, which had a better mean average precision than the so far published results. The proposed methods both boost the rankings of the documents in good context and degrade the rankings of documents in not so good context. The effectiveness of random walk to materialize the context was tested with six different methods. We have found that the context from in- and out-links can indeed help improve retrieval results, albeit not by a large margin. Given that the collection has not a very steady citation structure based on the amount of context present in the relevant documents (assessed), still, contextualization together with random walk is significantly plausible, both theoretically and empirically. We consider our experiments on the iSearch-Citations collection sufficiently promising to consider different types of evidence in future work. Specifically, we would like to investigate the effects of context derived from tweet mentions that may help improve retrieval from video collections.
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