

Profinite and discrete G -spectra and iterated homotopy fixed points

DANIEL G DAVIS
GEREON QUICK

For a profinite group G , let $(-)^{hG}$, $(-)^{hdG}$ and $(-)^{h'G}$ denote continuous homotopy fixed points for profinite G -spectra, discrete G -spectra and continuous G -spectra (coming from towers of discrete G -spectra), respectively. We establish some connections between the first two notions, and by using Postnikov towers, for $K \triangleleft_c G$ (a closed normal subgroup), we give various conditions for when the iterated homotopy fixed points $(X^{hK})^{hG/K}$ exist and are X^{hG} . For the Lubin–Tate spectrum E_n and $G <_c G_n$, the extended Morava stabilizer group, our results show that E_n^{hK} is a profinite G/K -spectrum with $(E_n^{hK})^{hG/K} \simeq E_n^{hG}$; we achieve this by an argument that possesses a certain technical simplicity enjoyed by neither the proof that $(E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K} \simeq E_n^{h'G}$ nor the Devinatz–Hopkins proof (which requires $|G/K| < \infty$) of $(E_n^{dhK})^{hdG/K} \simeq E_n^{dhG}$, where E_n^{dhK} is a construction that behaves like continuous homotopy fixed points. Also, we prove that (in general) the G/K -homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $\pi_*((E_n^{hK})^{hG/K})$, with $E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(E_n^{hK}))$ (continuous cohomology), is isomorphic to both the strongly convergent Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence of Devinatz for $\pi_*(E_n^{dhG})$ and the descent spectral sequence for $\pi_*((E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K})$.

55P42; 55S45, 55T15, 55T99

1 Introduction

If G is a (discrete) group acting on a spectrum X , one can form the homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hG} . The spectrum X^{hG} is defined as the G -fixed points of the function spectrum $F(EG_+, X)$, where EG is a free contractible G -space. If G carries a (nondiscrete) topology with respect to which the action on X is in some sense continuous, one would like to have constructions of (i) a continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum that respects the continuous action, and (ii) an associated homotopy fixed point spectral sequence whose E_2 -term consists of continuous cohomology groups. When G is a profinite group, this construction problem has been studied (a) for discrete and continuous G -spectra by Behrens and the first author [3; 1], and (b) for

profinite G -spectra by the second author [24]. This was done by building on earlier work, in the case of discrete objects, by Jardine [20; 21] and Thomason [29] (see the helpful paper [22] by Mitchell), and Goerss [14], and, in the case of profinite objects, by the second author [23; 25]. Motivated by the fact that a profinite G -set that is finite is also a discrete G -set, one of the purposes of this paper is to compare approaches (a) and (b) in certain cases and let the tools of one approach supplement the techniques of the other.

1A Iterated continuous homotopy fixed points and profinite G -spectra

It is a standard fact that if H is any (discrete) group and N is any normal subgroup, then for any H -space \mathcal{X} , the space \mathcal{X}^{hN} can be identified with the H/N -space $\text{Map}^N(EH, \mathcal{X})$ so that the iterated homotopy fixed point space $(\mathcal{X}^{hN})^{hH/N}$ is defined. Furthermore, it is well-known that $(\mathcal{X}^{hN})^{hH/N}$ is just \mathcal{X}^{hH} .

We continue to let G be a profinite group, and we consider the setting of profinite G -spectra. If K is a closed normal subgroup of G and X is any profinite G -spectrum, the fixed points satisfy the equality $X^G = (X^K)^{G/K}$. This identity and the aforementioned fact that $(\mathcal{X}^{hN})^{hH/N} \simeq \mathcal{X}^{hH}$ motivate the following question about continuous homotopy fixed points $(-)^{hG}$ for profinite G -spectra: is there an equivalence

$$(1) \quad X^{hG} \simeq (X^{hK})^{hG/K}$$

between these two spectra? (In (1) and henceforth, whenever the group P is profinite, the notation $(-)^{hP}$ denotes homotopy fixed points for profinite P -spectra.)

In the setting of profinite groups and for any object in some category of G -spectra, the above question was first asked by Devinatz [7, page 130], and for the category of discrete G -spectra, the question was studied in detail by the first author [5; 6] and by Behrens and the first author [1]. The equivalence (1) would simplify the analysis of the homotopy fixed points under G by reducing it to the study of those under proper closed normal subgroups K and the quotients G/K .

Unfortunately, it is not known in general that the homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hK} has the same topological characterization as the profinite G -spectrum X . For example, when the profinite group G/K is not finite, X^{hK} is not known in general to be a profinite G/K -spectrum. These basic issues in the problem of iteration are considered in more detail in this paper in Section 4A and Section 4B, and to make progress on them, the following terminology is helpful.

Definition 1.1 The profinite group G has finite cohomological dimension if there exists a positive integer r such that the continuous cohomology $H_c^s(G; M) = 0$ for all

$s \geq r$ and every discrete G -module M (this notion is more commonly referred to as finite strict cohomological dimension). We say that G has finite virtual cohomological dimension if G contains an open subgroup U that has finite cohomological dimension.

To address the situation described just before [Definition 1.1](#), we provide various sets of sufficient conditions on G and X that allow for the formation of the iterated continuous homotopy fixed points $(X^{hK})^{hG/K}$ and the obtaining of equivalence (1). A useful tool for this work is a comparison result between profinite and discrete homotopy fixed points: for certain X that are built out of simplicial finite discrete G -sets (see [Definition 3.1](#)), the two notions of continuous homotopy fixed points are each defined, and under the assumption that G has finite virtual cohomological dimension, [Theorem 3.2](#) gives an equivalence

$$X^{hG} \simeq X^{h_d G},$$

where on the right side, X is regarded as a discrete G -spectrum and $(-)^{h_d G}$ denotes homotopy fixed points for discrete G -spectra.

Another very helpful tool is the notion of a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, where K is a closed normal subgroup of G : such an object is a certain type of profinite G -spectrum that has well-behaved Postnikov sections with respect to K (see [Definition 4.8](#) for the details). For these objects, we have the following iteration result.

Theorem 1.2 ([Theorem 4.10](#)) *If G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension and X is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, then there is an equivalence*

$$X^{hG} \simeq (X^{hK})^{hG/K}.$$

For the duration of this paragraph, we assume that G is strongly complete (equivalently, every subgroup of G that has finite index is open in G), and we let Z be a (naive) G -spectrum with stable homotopy groups $\pi_*(Z)$ degreewise finite. These two conditions imply that Z can be realized by the profinite G -spectrum $F_G^s Z$; see [Theorem 3.5](#), which is recalled from [\[25\]](#), for the details. In [Theorem 4.21](#), we use [Theorem 1.2](#) to show that if G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension and $F_G^s Z$ is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, then the equivalence (1) is valid for $F_G^s Z$ and, as carefully explained (as the theory gradually develops) in [Section 4](#), it is natural to write

$$(Z^{hK})^{hG/K} \simeq Z^{hG}.$$

By [Lemma 4.22](#), whenever a profinite group G is a closed subgroup of a p -adic analytic profinite group, then, as needed in the above paragraph, G (and G/K) is strongly complete and G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension. In [Theorem 4.14](#), [Corollary 4.15](#) and [Remark 4.16](#), we give various conditions on a profinite G -spectrum

that imply it is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum. To illustrate the results mentioned in this paragraph and the preceding one, we give the following interesting result.

Theorem 1.3 *Let p be any fixed prime. If the profinite group G is p -adic analytic with a closed normal subgroup K , and Z is any G -spectrum with $\pi_t(Z)$ a finite p -torsion abelian group for every $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$(Z^{hK})^{hG/K} \simeq Z^{hG}.$$

Remark 1.4 *Theorem 1.3 is easily stated and compelling, but its brief proof involves a little page-turning. By Dixon, du Sautoy, Mann and Segal [12, Theorem 9.6], K is p -adic analytic, so it is of type p -FP $_{\infty}$; see Symonds and Weigel [28, page 377, Theorem 5.1.2]. By Theorem 3.5, for every t , there is an isomorphism $\pi_t(Z) \cong \pi_t(F_G^s Z)$ of G -modules and $\pi_t(F_G^s Z)$ is a discrete G -module; see just after Definition 3.1. By Remark 4.16, $H_c^s(K; \pi_t(F_G^s Z))$ is finite for all s and t . By Corollary 4.15, $F_G^s Z$ is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum.*

Let J be any small category. Our next step is to apply the above tools and results to the homotopy limit of $\{X_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in J}$, a diagram of K -Postnikov G -spectra that is natural in β . This concept (with matching name) is formalized in Definition 4.23; as explained in Remark 4.24, the definition just unpacks the meaning present in the name in a natural way. For such diagrams, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 4.25) *If G is a profinite group with G/K having finite virtual cohomological dimension, then there is an equivalence*

$$((\text{holim}_{\beta} X_{\beta})^{hK})^{hG/K} \simeq (\text{holim}_{\beta} X_{\beta})^{hG}$$

and a conditionally convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t((\text{holim}_{\beta} X_{\beta})^{hK})) \implies \pi_{t-s}((\text{holim}_{\beta} X_{\beta})^{hG}).$$

In the above spectral sequence, the E_2 -term is continuous cohomology with coefficients the profinite G/K -module $\pi_t((\text{holim}_{\beta} X_{\beta})^{hK})$.

In Corollary 4.29, we use Theorem 1.5 to give a result about when $\text{holim}_{\beta \in J} Z_{\beta}$ can be realized by a profinite G -spectrum for which the equivalence (1) holds; here J is a small category as above, $\{Z_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in J}$ is a diagram of G -spectra that have all homotopy groups finite, and each Z_{β} must be a fibrant spectrum.

Remark 1.6 If K is nontrivial and nonopen in a profinite group G and Y is a discrete G -spectrum that is not coconnective (that is, there is no k such that $\pi_t(Y) = 0$ whenever $t > k$), the most fruitful condition for obtaining the equivalence

$$(Y^{h_d K})^{h_d G/K} \simeq Y^{h_d G}$$

is the requirement that Y be a hyperfibrant discrete G -spectrum (see [5]; some details about this are recalled in Remark 4.5). But in general, hyperfibrancy is a highly nontrivial condition, and in practice, one of the properties that has been used to show that it holds is that of being a certain type of Galois extension (for example, the conclusion of the first sentence in [1, proof of Lemma 6.3.6] gives the first isomorphism in [5, proof of Lemma 7.1], and this last lemma is needed to prove the hyperfibrancy result [5, Corollary 7.2]). Our work in this paper shows that the Postnikov tower of a profinite G -spectrum is quite useful in the study of iterated continuous homotopy fixed points, and it has allowed us to avoid dealing with hyperfibrancy for noncoconnective spectra and Galois extensions.

1B An application of our theory: the action of G_n on E_n

For the study of continuous actions by profinite groups in homotopy theory, a fundamental and motivating example is the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group G_n on the Lubin–Tate spectrum E_n . We quickly review this example.

Let p be a fixed prime, $n \geq 1$ an integer and \mathbb{F}_{p^n} the field with p^n elements. Let S_n be the n^{th} Morava stabilizer group, that is, the automorphism group of the height n Honda formal group law over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . We denote by $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ the Galois group of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} over \mathbb{F}_p , and let

$$G_n = S_n \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p)$$

be the semidirect product. Let $K(n)$ be the n^{th} Morava K -theory spectrum with $K(n)_* = \mathbb{F}_p[v_n^{\pm 1}]$ and $|v_n| = 2(p^n - 1)$. The Lubin–Tate spectrum E_n is the $K(n)_*$ -local Landweber exact spectrum whose coefficients are given by

$$E_{n*} = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})\llbracket u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \rrbracket\llbracket u, u^{-1} \rrbracket,$$

where $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ is the ring of Witt vectors of the field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , $|u_i| = 0$ for all i , and $|u| = -2$. The group G_n acts on the graded ring E_{n*} , and by Brown representability, this action is induced by an action of G_n on E_n by maps of ring spectra in the stable homotopy category. Furthermore, by work of Goerss, Hopkins and Miller (see Goerss and Hopkins [16] and Rezk [27]), this homotopy action is induced by an action of G_n on E_n before passage to the stable homotopy category.

Now G_n is a profinite group and each homotopy group $\pi_t E_n$ has the structure of a continuous profinite G_n -module. From Morava’s change of rings theorem, we know that the continuity of the action of G_n on each $\pi_t E_n$ is an important property for stable homotopy theory (to view Morava’s theorem in action, see, for example, Devinatz and Hopkins [11, Section 2]). The most succinct way to convey the importance of this continuous action is to note that, for any finite spectrum Y , there is a strongly convergent homotopy fixed point spectral sequence that has the form

$$H_c^s(G_n; \pi_t(E_n \wedge Y)) \implies \pi_{t-s}(L_{K(n)}(Y)),$$

where the E_2 -term is continuous cohomology, $\pi_t(E_n \wedge Y)$ is a continuous profinite G_n -module (this structure is induced by G_n acting diagonally on $E_n \wedge Y$, with Y given the trivial G_n -action), and $L_{K(n)}(-)$ denotes Bousfield localization with respect to $K(n)$ (this result is due to [1, Corollary 8.2.4, Theorem 8.2.5] and [11, Theorem 1]; see also Hopkins, Mahowald and Sadofsky [17, Proposition 7.4]). Therefore, to make sense of E_n as a *continuous* G_n -spectrum is a fundamental problem.

For the closed subgroups G of G_n , Devinatz and Hopkins [11] gave a construction of commutative S -algebras, here denoted by E_n^{dhG} , that behave like continuous homotopy fixed point spectra. However, the construction of the E_n^{dhG} does not make use of a continuous action of G on E_n . Using the construction of E_n^{dhU} for open normal subgroups U of G_n , a new and systematic definition of homotopy fixed points with respect to a continuous G -action, for arbitrary closed subgroups G , was given in [3]: we denote these continuous homotopy fixed points by $E_n^{h'G}$. The formation of the $E_n^{h'G}$ is based on the notion of discrete G -spectrum (a spectrum that is built out of simplicial discrete G -sets) and homotopy limits of towers of discrete G -spectra (such homotopy limits are the continuous G -spectra of [3]).

In [24], a different construction for a continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum E_n^{hG} and its descent spectral sequence, independent of [11] and [3], has been obtained. The approach of [24] is to consider E_n as an object in the category of profinite G -spectra, which, in contrast to the discrete G -spectra mentioned above, are G -spectra that are built out of simplicial profinite G -sets. In this framework, the profinite G -spectrum E_n is a (homotopy) limit of certain spectra that are simultaneously discrete G -spectra and profinite G -spectra.

Each one of the above approaches has its own advantages (and drawbacks). But, as one might expect, for every closed subgroup G , there are equivalences

$$E_n^{dhG} \simeq E_n^{h'G} \simeq E_n^{hG}$$

by [1, Theorem 8.2.1] and [24, top of page 220], respectively.

It is no surprise that, for the profinite G_n -spectrum E_n , there are iteration issues related to those mentioned just before [Definition 1.1](#). For example, in [\[11\]](#) Devinatz and Hopkins did not obtain a construction of a continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum $(E_n^{dhK})^{hG/K}$ when G/K is not finite. Nevertheless, by a sophisticated study of the structure of E_n^{dhK} as a E_n^{dhG} -module, Devinatz [\[7\]](#) was able to construct a strongly convergent (Adams-type) Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$(2) \quad H_c^*(G/K; \pi_*(E_n^{dhK})) \implies \pi_*(E_n^{dhG}),$$

with E_2 -term given by continuous cohomology.

In [\[5\]](#), the first author was able to make sense of $E_n^{h'K}$ (as defined in [\[3\]](#)) as a continuous G/K -spectrum for an arbitrary closed normal subgroup K . Moreover, it was shown in [\[5\]](#) that there is an equivalence

$$E_n^{h'G} \simeq (E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K}$$

and a descent spectral sequence

$$(3) \quad H_c^*(G/K; \pi_*(E_n^{h'K})) \implies \pi_*(E_n^{h'G})$$

that is isomorphic to spectral sequence [\(2\)](#) by [\[5, Theorem 7.6\]](#).

Though it is somewhat of an oversimplification, let us describe the results of the preceding paragraph as taking place in the “world of continuous G -spectra” (this terminology is an adaptation of the “ G -world” terminology of Jardine [\[21\]](#); for example, see [\[21, page 211\]](#)). Then one of the purposes of this paper is to show that analogous results hold in the setting of profinite G -spectra by applying results that were described in [Section 1A](#) and by using the independent construction of continuous homotopy fixed points in [\[24\]](#). We accomplish this goal by obtaining the following two results.

Theorem 1.7 *Let G be an arbitrary closed subgroup of G_n , and let K be a closed normal subgroup of G . The continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum E_n^{hK} has a model in the category of profinite G/K -spectra, there is an iterated continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum $(E_n^{hK})^{hG/K}$, and there is an equivalence*

$$E_n^{hG} \simeq (E_n^{hK})^{hG/K}.$$

The proof of [Theorem 1.7](#) is given in [Section 5](#), and the helpful notion of “model” that is used in the theorem is explained in a precise way in [Definition 4.1](#).

Before stating [Theorem 1.8](#), we would like to be more explicit about the first two conclusions of [Theorem 1.7](#) and thereby quickly illustrate that profinite iteration problems

are not easy to solve. The proof of [Theorem 1.7](#) shows that the spectrum E_n^{hK} can be identified with the profinite G/K -spectrum

$$(4) \quad \text{holim}_{k \geq 0} \text{holim}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{G/K}^s \left(\text{colim}_{U \triangleleft_o G} \text{Map}(EG, P^q E'_{n, I_k})^{KU} \right),$$

so that

$$(E_n^{hK})^{hG/K} = \left(\text{holim}_{k \geq 0} \text{holim}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{G/K}^s \left(\text{colim}_{U \triangleleft_o G} \text{Map}(EG, P^q E'_{n, I_k})^{KU} \right) \right)^{hG/K}.$$

In expression (4), all the undefined notation is carefully explained in later sections, but to gain a fairly complete understanding of what spectrum (4) is describing, it suffices to say that (a) in each of its applications above (and as mentioned just after [Theorem 1.2](#)), $F_{G/K}^s(-)$ returns a profinite G/K -spectrum that is weakly equivalent to the G/K -spectrum that is its input, and (b) morally, $P^q E'_{n, I_k}$ is “the q^{th} Postnikov section of the G_n -spectrum E_n/I_k ”, where

$$\pi_*(E_n) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \pi_*(E_n)/I_k.$$

Theorem 1.8 *Let G and K be as in [Theorem 1.7](#). There is a strongly convergent spectral sequence for iterated continuous homotopy fixed points*

$$H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(E_n^{hK})) \implies \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{hG}),$$

with E_2 -term equal to the continuous cohomology of G/K with coefficients the profinite G/K -module $\pi_t(E_n^{hK})$. This spectral sequence is isomorphic to the spectral sequences of (2) and (3) from the E_2 -term onward.

The proof of [Theorem 1.8](#) is in [Section 5](#). Though this theorem is clearly the result that one desires to more fully tie together E_n^{dhG} , E_n^{hG} and $E_n^{h'G}$, its proof is quite intricate and a (very) brief road map might be useful: the proof can be described as consisting of a chain of isomorphisms between spectral sequences.

1C The importance of iterated homotopy fixed points in chromatic homotopy theory

It is worth noting that iterated continuous homotopy fixed points for E_n are not just of purely theoretical interest. For example, [[5](#), page 2883] (building on Devinatz [[8](#), page 133]) shows that certain instances of $(E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K}$ play a useful role in the work of Devinatz [[8](#); [9](#)] on the major conjecture in chromatic homotopy theory that $\pi_*(L_{K(n)}(\mathbb{S}^0))$ is a module of finite type over \mathbb{Z}_p . Also, given a continuous epimorphism $G_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ of groups with kernel K and the topological generator 1

of \mathbb{Z}_p , Devinatz and Hopkins [11, Proposition 8.1] show that a model for $(E_n^{dhK})^{h\mathbb{Z}_p}$, the continuous \mathbb{Z}_p -homotopy fixed points of E_n^{dhK} , is given by taking the homotopy fiber of the map

$$E_n^{dhK} \xrightarrow{\text{id}-1} E_n^{dhK}.$$

(This construction of the continuous \mathbb{Z}_p -homotopy fixed points is a special case of a well-known technique; for example, see Goerss, Henn and Mahowald [15, Section 2.2].) This homotopy fiber sequence plays a role in constructing an interesting element in $\pi_{-1}(L_{K(n)}(\mathbb{S}^0))$ for all n and p [11, Theorem 6].

Other examples of the value of $(E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K}$ are in Westerland [30, end of Section 1.1, Section 5.5]. In the last case, a doubly iterated homotopy fixed point spectrum

$$((E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K})^{h'G_n/G}$$

makes an appearance (see [30, Section 2.2, Corollary 3.25, Section 5.5] for the definitions of K and G). Given these examples, we expect there to be situations where $(E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K}$ will be a useful tool in chromatic theory.

1D A technical advantage of our proof of Theorem 1.7 that is not possessed by the Davis and Devinatz–Hopkins proofs

We close our introduction by pointing out a subtle feature of our proof (Theorem 1.7) that $(E_n^{hK})^{hG/K} \simeq E_n^{hG}$ is always valid, a feature that is not enjoyed (i) by the proof in [5] that $(E_n^{h'K})^{h'G/K} \simeq E_n^{h'G}$ always holds, or (ii) by the proof of Devinatz and Hopkins that, when G/K is finite, $(E_n^{dhK})^{hG/K} \simeq E_n^{dhG}$ (see [11, Theorem 4, proof of Proposition 7.1]). To see this subtlety, we begin by noting that [11, Corollary 5.5] implies that, if U is an open subgroup of G_n , then there is an equivalence

$$(5) \quad L_{K(n)}(E_n^{dhU} \wedge E_n) \simeq \prod_{G_n/U} E_n,$$

where the right-hand side is a finite product of $|G_n/U|$ copies of E_n . For our purposes here, we want to point out that the proof of (5) (see [11, pages 24–30]) is highly nontrivial, and in particular, it uses (see [11, page 29, the proof of Theorem 5.3]) the deep result due to Hopkins and Ravenel that there exists a finite spectrum W with torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -homology, such that the continuous cohomology groups

$$H_c^{s,*}(U; \pi_*(E_n \wedge W)/I_n \pi_*(E_n \wedge W))$$

vanish for all s greater than some s_0 (see Ravenel [26, Lemmas 8.3.5–8.3.7]). It is worth noting that this result of Hopkins and Ravenel played a key role in the proof of the very important smashing conjecture (which states that $L_{E(n)}(-)$ is a smashing

localization, where $E(n)$ is the Johnson–Wilson spectrum; see [26, Theorem 7.5.6, Chapter 8]).

(To keep our explanation of the above point from being too long, the rest of our discussion is written in a style that assumes the reader has certain portions of [5; 11] readily available.)

The proof in [11] of the iteration result in (ii) above depends on (5). The details for this assertion are as follows: [11, proof of Proposition 7.1] uses the isomorphism in [11, (6.5)], and the proof of this isomorphism uses (5) (see the second equality in [11, proof of Proposition 6.3]). Similarly, the proof of the iteration result in (i) applies (5). In detail: the result in (i) is [5, Theorem 7.3], its proof depends on [5, Lemma 7.1], the proof of this lemma uses [11, Proposition 6.3], and as noted above, the proof of this proposition uses (5).

However, the proof of [Theorem 1.7](#) avoids using (5): this is not hard to see by going over the proof and by noting that, in its use of the proof of [Theorem 4.10](#) (see the proof of [Theorem 4.25](#)), it is able to utilize [5, proof of Lemma 4.9] thanks to the use of Postnikov towers (the properties of Postnikov towers that are relevant here are discussed in the first paragraph of [Section 4C](#)). By contrast, the proof of the result in (i) (that is, [5, proof of Theorem 7.3]) also used [5, proof of Lemma 4.9], but to do so, it needed to apply (5), as described above. Thus, the proof of well-behaved iteration for E_n in the profinite setting has the interesting technical advantage that it is simpler than the proofs referred to in (i) and (ii), in that the proof in the profinite setting does not depend on the deep result of Hopkins and Ravenel.

Acknowledgements We thank Ethan Devinatz for helpful remarks about the proof of [Corollary 4.15](#), and the referee of the first version of this paper for helpful comments, including remarks that led to improvements in the paper’s presentation. Also, we thank the referee of a revised version of this paper for useful comments, including one that simplified the argument in the paragraph after [Remark 4.17](#). Davis was partially supported by a grant (LEQSF(2008-11)-RD-A-27) from the Louisiana Board of Regents during the first half of his work on this paper. Quick was partially supported by Research Fellowship QU 317/1 of the German Research Foundation (DFG).

2 Spectra with a continuous G -action

Everywhere in this paper, unless stated otherwise, G denotes a profinite group. In this section, we quickly review the categories of spectra with continuous G -action that we need for our work.

2A Discrete G -spectra

We summarize the most important properties of simplicial discrete G -sets and discrete G -spectra. More details can be found in Goerss [14], Davis [3], and Behrens and Davis [1].

A G -set S is called discrete if the action is continuous when S is given the discrete topology. This is equivalent to requiring that the stabilizer of any element in S be an open subgroup in G and to asking that S be equal to the colimit of fixed points

$$S = \operatorname{colim}_U S^U$$

over the open subgroups U of G . A simplicial discrete G -set is a simplicial object in the category of discrete G -sets. By defining morphisms as levelwise G -equivariant maps, we obtain the category of simplicial discrete G -sets, which we denote by \mathcal{S}_G .

In [14, Theorem 1.12], Goerss showed that there is a model structure on \mathcal{S}_G for which the cofibrations are the monomorphisms and the weak equivalences are the morphisms whose underlying maps of simplicial sets are weak equivalences in the standard model structure on the category \mathcal{S} of simplicial sets. The category \mathcal{S}_*G of pointed simplicial discrete G -sets inherits a model structure from \mathcal{S}_G in the usual way: a map is a weak equivalence (cofibration, fibration) if its underlying map in \mathcal{S}_G is a weak equivalence (cofibration, fibration, respectively).

In order to stabilize the category \mathcal{S}_*G , we consider the category $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*G)$ of discrete G -spectra. An object X of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*G)$ consists of a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of pointed simplicial discrete G -sets X_n together with structure maps

$$\sigma_n: S^1 \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$$

in \mathcal{S}_*G , where we consider S^1 as a pointed simplicial discrete G -set with trivial G -action. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of discrete G -spectra is a sequence of maps $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ in \mathcal{S}_*G which are compatible with the structure maps.

The model structure on \mathcal{S}_*G is left proper and cellular; see [14] or [1, Lemma 2.1.3]. This allows one to use Hovey’s stabilization methods [18] in order to prove the following theorem, as in [1, Theorem 2.2.1]; the initial proof using presheaves of spectra was given in [3].

Theorem 2.1 *The category $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*G)$ admits a model structure in which a map is a weak equivalence (cofibration) if its underlying map of Bousfield–Friedlander spectra is a weak equivalence (cofibration).*

Remark 2.2 For a discrete G -spectrum X , there is an induced action of G on $\pi_* X$ such that each stable homotopy group $\pi_k X$ is a discrete G -module; see [3, Corollary 3.12].

Remark 2.3 There is also a version of discrete G -spectra based on symmetric spectra; see [1, Section 2.3]. But for the purposes of this paper, it suffices to consider the model structure of the previous theorem on $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*G)$.

2B Mapping spectra I

In order to study homotopy fixed points we will need the following notion of a mapping spectrum. Let T be any set. Then the set $\mathrm{Map}_c(G, T)$ of continuous functions $G \rightarrow T$, where T is regarded as a space with the discrete topology, is a discrete G -set with G -action given by $(gf)(h) = f(hg)$. If Y is a simplicial set, the mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_c(G, Y)$ is defined to be the simplicial discrete G -set given in degree m by

$$\mathrm{Map}_c(G, Y)_m = \mathrm{Map}_c(G, Y_m).$$

Now let X be any spectrum. The continuous mapping spectrum $\mathrm{Map}_c(G, X)$ is defined to be the discrete G -spectrum whose n^{th} space is

$$\mathrm{Map}_c(G, X_n).$$

It is not hard to see that there is an isomorphism of spectra

$$\mathrm{Map}_c(G, X) \cong \mathrm{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G} \prod_{G/N} X,$$

where the colimit is over the open normal subgroups of G . Also, if X is a discrete G -spectrum, we again write $\mathrm{Map}_c(G, X)$ for the continuous mapping spectrum that is obtained as above, by just regarding X as a spectrum.

2C Profinite G -spectra

A profinite space is a simplicial object in the category $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ of profinite sets. Together with levelwise continuous maps, profinite spaces form a category that is denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$. If \mathcal{S} denotes the category of simplicial sets, then the forgetful functor $|\cdot|: \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ has a left adjoint $(\widehat{\cdot}): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ which we call profinite completion. There is a model structure on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ for which the cofibrations are the monomorphisms and a weak equivalence is a map f which induces isomorphisms on π_0 , the profinite fundamental group, and on continuous cohomology with finite local coefficients. We refer to Quick [23; 25] for the details.

Let S be a profinite set with a continuous map $\mu: G \times S \rightarrow S$ that satisfies the axioms of a group action. We call such an S a profinite G -set. If X is a profinite space and G acts continuously on each X_n such that the action is compatible with the structure maps, then we call X a profinite G -space. We use $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_G$ to denote the category of profinite G -spaces with G -equivariant maps of profinite spaces as morphisms. If X is a pointed profinite space with a continuous G -action that fixes the basepoint, then we call X a pointed profinite G -space. We denote the corresponding category by $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}$. Also, we let $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$ denote the category of pointed profinite spaces.

The category $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}$ carries a fibrantly generated left proper simplicial model structure for which a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if its underlying map is a weak equivalence in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$, and is a cofibration if and only if f is a levelwise injection and the action of G on $Y_n - f(X_n)$ is free for each $n \geq 0$. The corresponding homotopy category is denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{*G}$.

We would like to stabilize the category of pointed profinite spaces. Since the simplicial circle $S^1 = \Delta^1 / \partial \Delta^1$ is a simplicial finite set and hence an object in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$, we may stabilize $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$ by considering sequences of pointed profinite spaces together with bonding maps for the suspension. In more detail, a profinite spectrum X consists of a sequence of pointed profinite spaces $X_n \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$ and maps $\sigma_n: S^1 \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$ for $n \geq 0$. A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of spectra consists of maps $f_n: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$ for $n \geq 0$ such that $\sigma_n(1 \wedge f_n) = f_{n+1}\sigma_n$. We denote by $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*)$ the corresponding category of profinite spectra.

There is a stable simplicial model structure on the category $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*)$. Also, the levelwise profinite completion functor is a left Quillen functor from Bousfield–Friedlander spectra $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*)$ to $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*)$.

A profinite G -spectrum X is a sequence of pointed profinite G -spaces $\{X_n\}$ together with pointed G -equivariant maps $S^1 \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$, where S^1 is equipped with a trivial G -action. A map of profinite G -spectra $X \rightarrow Y$ is a collection of maps $X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}$ compatible with the structure maps of X and Y . The following theorem was proved in [25].

Theorem 2.4 *There is a stable left proper simplicial model structure on $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G})$ in which a map between fibrant profinite G -spectra is an equivalence if and only if it is an equivalence in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*)$.*

In general, equivalences in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G})$ do not have the nice characterization given in the above theorem, and we recall that an arbitrary morphism in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G})$ is an equivalence

exactly when any projective cofibrant replacement induces an equivalence of mapping spaces upon application of the functor

$$\text{map}_{\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G})}(-, E): \text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

for all Ω -spectra E in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G})$; we refer the reader to [25] for more detail. Also, to add a little to the use of equivalences in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*)$ in Theorem 2.4, we recall that an equivalence between fibrant objects in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*)$ is also an equivalence between fibrant objects in $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*)$.

Finally, if X is a profinite G -spectrum, then there is an induced action of G on each stable profinite homotopy group $\pi_k(R_G X)$, where R_G denotes a fibrant replacement functor for profinite G -spectra; this G -action is compatible with the profinite structure, and each stable profinite homotopy group $\pi_k(R_G X)$ is a continuous profinite G -module. Thus the topological G -module structure of $\pi_k(R_G X)$ reflects the character of X as a profinite G -spectrum, and to ease our notation, we will write just $\pi_k X$ for this G -module.

2D Mapping spectra II

For a detailed discussion of continuous mapping spectra for profinite spectra and profinite G -spectra, we refer the reader to Quick [24]. Here we summarize only the basic definitions.

For $X, Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$, the mapping space $\text{map}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*}(X, Y)$ is defined to be the simplicial set whose set of n -simplices is given as the set of maps

$$\text{map}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*}(X, Y)_n = \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*}(X \wedge \Delta[n]_+, Y).$$

For $X, Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}$, the mapping space $\text{map}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}}(X, Y)$ is defined to be the simplicial set whose set of n -simplices is given as the set of maps

$$\text{map}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}}(X, Y)_n = \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}}(X \wedge \Delta[n]_+, Y),$$

where $\Delta[n]_+$ is considered as a pointed profinite G -space with trivial G -action.

Let Y be a profinite space and W be a pointed profinite space. The functor $\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*$ that sends Y to Y_+ (defined by adding a disjoint basepoint) is the left adjoint of the functor that forgets the basepoint. As in [24], we will use the notation $\text{Map}(Y, W)$ for the pointed simplicial set $\text{map}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*}(Y_+, W)$ whose basepoint is the map $Y_+ \rightarrow * \rightarrow W$. This defines a functor

$$\text{Map}(-, -): \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{op}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*.$$

For a profinite space Y and a profinite spectrum X , we denote by $\text{Map}(Y, X)$ the spectrum whose n^{th} space is given by the pointed simplicial set $\text{Map}(Y, X_n)$. This defines a functor

$$\text{Map}(-, -): \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_*) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*).$$

Now let Y be a profinite G -space and let W be a pointed profinite G -space. The pointed simplicial set $\text{Map}_G(Y, W)$ is defined to be $\text{map}_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}}(Y_+, W)$, a pointed simplicial set with basepoint equal to the map $Y_+ \rightarrow * \rightarrow W$. This defines a functor

$$\text{Map}_G(-, -): \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_G^{\text{op}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*.$$

When Y is a profinite G -space and W is a pointed profinite G -space, we equip the pointed simplicial set $\text{Map}(Y, W)$ with a G -action by defining $(gf)(y) := gf(g^{-1}y)$. With this G -action, $\text{Map}_G(Y, W)$ is the pointed space of G -fixed points of the pointed space $\text{Map}(Y, W)$.

If Y is a profinite G -space and X is a profinite G -spectrum, then $\text{Map}_G(Y, X)$ is the spectrum whose n^{th} space is given by the pointed simplicial set $\text{Map}_G(Y, X_n)$. This construction yields a functor

$$\text{Map}_G(-, -): \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_G^{\text{op}} \times \text{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*).$$

The reader will have noticed that there are various mapping spectra “out of a profinite group” appearing in the theories of discrete and profinite G -spectra. In fact, there are other constructions besides just

$$\text{Map}_H(EG, X) = \text{Map}(EG, X)^H$$

for a profinite G -spectrum X and a closed subgroup H in G (this mapping spectrum was seen in (4); EG is defined in Section 3A) and $\text{Map}_c(G, Z)$ for any spectrum Z . There is the discrete G -spectrum $\text{Map}_c^\ell(G, Z)$: its underlying spectrum is the same as that of $\text{Map}_c(G, Z)$, but its G -action is induced by the G -action that is defined on each of the sets

$$\text{Map}_c(G, Z_n)_m = \text{Map}_c(G, (Z_n)_m)$$

by $(gf)(h) = f(g^{-1}h)$ (the notation “ $\text{Map}_c^\ell(G, Z)$ ” follows that of [3, page 328]; the motivation for this construction comes from Devinatz and Hopkins [11, Theorem 1(iii), Warning 4.19]). We also have the symmetric spectrum $\text{Map}^c(G, Y)$, where Y is any symmetric spectrum, that is defined in [1, Section 2.4] (and used throughout [1]). Some of the relationships between the various constructions in the mini-topic of continuous mapping spectra “out of G ” are considered in [24, Section 3.4 and page 219].

3 Comparing continuous homotopy fixed points

In this section we recall the definition of homotopy fixed points for each of discrete and profinite G -spectra and show that they agree in certain cases where they are both defined. Our recollections start with the profinite case; details can be found in Quick [24]. As usual, G denotes a profinite group.

3A Homotopy fixed points of profinite G -spectra

A very convenient feature of the profinite approach is that the universal classifying space EG of our profinite group (given, as usual, in degree n by G^{n+1}) is naturally a profinite G -space. Thus for a profinite G -spectrum X , it is possible to form the continuous mapping spectrum $\text{Map}_G(EG, X)$. Moreover, EG is a cofibrant profinite G -space since G acts freely in each degree, and hence we can consider EG as a cofibrant resolution of a point in \widehat{S}_G . If X is a fibrant profinite G -spectrum, then $\text{Map}_G(EG, X)$ is a fibrant spectrum, giving a homotopically well-behaved version of the fixed points $\text{Map}_G(\{*\}, X)$. Thus, we let R_G denote a fibrant replacement functor in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{S}_{*G})$. In [24], for any profinite G -spectrum X , the continuous homotopy fixed points of X under G were defined to be

$$(6) \quad X^{hG} := \text{Map}_G(EG, R_G X),$$

and it was shown that if $X \rightarrow Y$ is an equivalence in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{S}_{*G})$, then the induced map $X^{hG} \rightarrow Y^{hG}$ is an equivalence between Ω -spectra in $\text{Sp}(S_*)$.

One advantage of the construction in (6) is that the associated descent spectral sequence arises naturally from the filtration of EG just as in the classical case for finite groups. This descent spectral sequence has the form

$$(7) \quad E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(G; \pi_t X) \implies \pi_{t-s} X^{hG},$$

where the E_2 -term is the continuous cohomology of G with coefficients in the profinite G -module $\pi_t X$.

One way to describe the above spectral sequence is as follows. Let X be a fibrant profinite G -spectrum. We can consider $\text{Map}_G(EG, R_G X)$ as coming from a cosimplicial spectrum $\text{Map}_G(G^{\bullet+1}, X)$ whose n^{th} spectrum is $\text{Map}_G(G^{n+1}, X)$; here, G^{n+1} is the constant simplicial profinite G -space associated to the profinite group G^{n+1} . Then there is an equivalence

$$X^{hG} \simeq \text{holim}_\Delta \text{Map}_G(G^{\bullet+1}, X),$$

and spectral sequence (7) is isomorphic to the spectral sequence associated to the tower of spectra

$$\{\mathrm{Tot}_k(\mathrm{Map}_G(G^{\bullet+1}, X))\}_k.$$

We refer the reader to [24, Section 3] for the proofs and more details.

3B Homotopy fixed points of discrete G -spectra

For discrete G -spectra, the bad news is that, in general, EG is not a simplicial discrete G -set. But the good news (for example, see Goerss [14, Lemma 2.3, Corollary 2.4]) is that a one-point space is a cofibrant object in \mathcal{S}_G . Thus, instead of using EG , the homotopy fixed points of a discrete G -spectrum X are defined as the fixed points of a fibrant replacement $X_{f,G}$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_{*G})$. As stated in the introduction, we denote these homotopy fixed points by X^{haG} in order to distinguish them from the previous construction. Thus, as in Davis [3], we set

$$X^{haG} := (X_{f,G})^G.$$

A nice feature of this definition is that it is clear that homotopy fixed points are the right derived functor of the right Quillen functor $(-)^G: \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_{*G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*)$, so that if $k: X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence of discrete G -spectra, then the map

$$k^{haG}: X^{haG} = (X_{f,G})^G \xrightarrow{\cong} (Y_{f,G})^G = Y^{haG}$$

is an equivalence of spectra. In the definition of k^{haG} above, $X_{f,G}$ and $Y_{f,G}$ are the output of a fibrant replacement functor $(-)^G: \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_{*G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_{*G})$ for the model category of discrete G -spectra.

To obtain a descent spectral sequence in this setting, it is convenient to consider a description of homotopy fixed points that is different from the above definition. Let $\Gamma_G(-)$ be the endofunctor

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{Map}_c(G, X) =: \Gamma_G(X)$$

on discrete G -spectra (the object $\mathrm{Map}_c(G, X)$ is defined in Section 2B). The iterated application of $\Gamma_G(-)$ defines a cosimplicial object

$$(\Gamma_G X)^\bullet = \mathrm{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X)$$

in discrete G -spectra, with

$$(\Gamma_G X)^j \cong \mathrm{Map}_c(G^{j+1}, X)$$

for each $j \geq 0$.

Whenever G has finite virtual cohomological dimension and $X \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y$ is a weak equivalence in $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*G)$ with Y fibrant as a spectrum, it follows from [3] that there is an equivalence

$$(8) \quad X^{h_dG} \simeq \text{holim}_\Delta \text{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, Y)^G$$

and a descent spectral sequence

$$(9) \quad E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(G; \pi_t X) \implies \pi_{t-s} X^{h_dG}$$

whose E_2 -term is the continuous cohomology of G with coefficients in the discrete G -module $\pi_t X$.

3C Comparison of homotopy fixed points

In this section, we show that, under mild assumptions on the profinite group G , the two notions of continuous homotopy fixed points coincide in several situations in which they are both defined.

Definition 3.1 A fibrant profinite G -spectrum X is called an f - G -spectrum if each space X_n is a simplicial finite discrete G -set.

Let X be an f - G -spectrum. Since X is fibrant as a profinite G -spectrum, the homotopy groups of X are all finite discrete G -modules by Quick [25, proof of Proposition 3.9]. Thus an f - G -spectrum is an f -spectrum in the sense of Brown and Comenetz [2, page 5] (that is, each homotopy group of X is finite), which explains part of the motivation for the terminology of Definition 3.1. Since X is both a profinite and a discrete G -spectrum, we have our two different notions of continuous homotopy fixed points at hand.

Theorem 3.2 Let G be a profinite group with finite virtual cohomological dimension, and let X be an f - G -spectrum. There is an equivalence of spectra

$$(10) \quad X^{hG} \simeq X^{h_dG}.$$

Proof By [3], since X is fibrant as a spectrum and G has finite virtual cohomological dimension, we can use the homotopy limit $\text{holim}_\Delta \text{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X)^G$ as a model for X^{h_dG} . There is an isomorphism of cosimplicial spectra

$$(11) \quad \text{Map}_G(G^{\bullet+1}, X) \cong \text{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X)^G$$

(see [24, page 219, especially (16), and the proof of Lemma 4.9]). By [24, Proposition 3.23], this shows that we have equivalences

$$X^{hG} \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{Map}_G(G^{\bullet+1}, X) \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X)^G.$$

Hence there is an equivalence of spectra $X^{hG} \simeq X^{hdG}$, as desired. □

Remark 3.3 Let X be any f - G -spectrum. It is worth noting that, even when G does not have finite virtual cohomological dimension, Davis [4, Theorem 3.5] shows that the spectrum

$$\operatorname{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G} (\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X))^N,$$

a colimit over the open normal subgroups of G , is a fibrant discrete G -spectrum. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X^{hG} &\simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X)^G \\ &\cong \left(\operatorname{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G} (\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X))^N \right)^G \\ &\simeq \left(\operatorname{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G} (\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{Map}_c(G^{\bullet+1}, X))^N \right)^{hdG} \end{aligned}$$

so that X^{hG} can always be regarded as being the G -homotopy fixed points of some discrete G -spectrum.

After a few preparatory comments, we recall a theorem that gives an example of a way that f - G -spectra arise. We call a spectrum $X \in \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{S}_*)$ a G -spectrum (without taking any topology into account) if each space X_n is a pointed G -space and the G -actions are compatible with the bonding maps $S^1 \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$.

Definition 3.4 A G -spectrum Z is called π -finite if all its homotopy groups are finite.

Let X be an arbitrary f - G -spectrum; since the homotopy groups of X are all finite discrete G -modules, the underlying G -spectrum of X is π -finite. With respect to this conclusion, the following converse was proved in [25, Theorem 5.15] for the case when G is strongly complete (that is, if every subgroup of finite index is open in G).

Theorem 3.5 *Let G be a strongly complete profinite group, and let X be a π -finite G -spectrum. Then there is a G -equivariant map*

$$\varphi^s: X \rightarrow F_G^s X$$

of spectra from X to an f - G -spectrum $F_G^s X$ such that φ^s is a stable equivalence of underlying spectra.

The assignment $X \mapsto F_G^s X$ is functorial in the sense that, given a G -equivariant map $h: X \rightarrow Y$ between π -finite G -spectra, there is a map $F_G^s(h)$ in $\text{Sp}(\widehat{S}_{*G})$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_G^s X & \xrightarrow{F_G^s(h)} & F_G^s Y \end{array}$$

of underlying spectra commutes.

In [Theorem 3.5](#), if the map h is a stable equivalence of spectra, then the map $F_G^s(h)$ is too, and hence $F_G^s(h)$ is a weak equivalence of discrete G -spectra. However, when the map h is a stable equivalence of spectra, it is not known that $F_G^s(h)$ is a weak equivalence of profinite G -spectra (nevertheless, there is still the equivalence (12) below).

[Theorem 3.5](#) motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.6 Let G be a strongly complete profinite group and let X be a π -finite G -spectrum. Then both $(F_G^s X)^{hG}$ and $(F_G^s X)^{h_d G}$ can be formed and it is natural to define

$$X^{hG} := (F_G^s X)^{hG} \quad \text{and} \quad X^{h_d G} := (F_G^s X)^{h_d G}.$$

Each of the two notions of homotopy fixed points in [Definition 3.6](#) have a homotopy invariance property: whenever G is a strongly complete profinite group and $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivariant map between π -finite G -spectra that is a stable equivalence of spectra, then the map

$$(12) \quad (F_G^s(h))^{hG}: X^{hG} = (F_G^s X)^{hG} \xrightarrow{\cong} (F_G^s Y)^{hG} = Y^{hG}$$

is an equivalence (the verification that this map is an equivalence is delayed until [Remark 4.13](#) since the argument depends on some material that is developed later) and, since $F_G^s(h)$ is a weak equivalence of discrete G -spectra, the map

$$(F_G^s(h))^{h_d G}: X^{h_d G} = (F_G^s X)^{h_d G} \xrightarrow{\cong} (F_G^s Y)^{h_d G} = Y^{h_d G}$$

is an equivalence.

The following result, which is immediate from [Theorem 3.2](#), describes a case when the two notions of homotopy fixed points in [Definition 3.6](#) are equivalent to each other.

Corollary 3.7 *Let G be a strongly complete profinite group with finite virtual cohomological dimension, and let X be a π -finite G -spectrum. Then there is an equivalence*

$$X^{hG} \simeq X^{hdG}.$$

4 Iterated homotopy fixed point spectra in the profinite setting

4A Recollections of basic facts and the main problem

We begin by recalling some material about iterated homotopy fixed points from Quick [24]. Let K be a closed subgroup of G and let $N(K)$ be the normalizer of K in G . Also, let X be any fibrant profinite G -spectrum. The composition

$$(13) \quad \text{Map}_K(EG, X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Map}_K(EK, X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Map}_K(EK, R_K X) = X^{hK}$$

of weak equivalences of spectra shows that it is natural to make the identification

$$X^{hK} = \text{Map}_K(EG, X).$$

With this identification, it is clear that the profinite quotient group $N(K)/K$ acts on X^{hK} . Note that by setting $K = G$, our discussion shows that there is the useful identification

$$X^{hG} = \text{Map}_G(EG, X).$$

To simplify our notation, we now assume that K is a closed normal subgroup of G . There is a canonical map

$$X^{hG} \rightarrow X^{hK}$$

that is defined by

$$X^{hG} = \text{Map}_G(EG, X) = \text{Map}(EG, X)^G \rightarrow \text{Map}(EG, X)^K = X^{hK},$$

and it is easy to see that this map factors into the identity map

$$X^{hG} = \text{Map}(EG, X)^G \xrightarrow{=} (\text{Map}(EG, X)^K)^{G/K} = (X^{hK})^{G/K}$$

followed by the natural inclusion $(\text{Map}(EG, X)^K)^{G/K} \rightarrow X^{hK}$. When the G/K -spectrum X^{hK} is a profinite G/K -spectrum, then composition of the above identity map with the canonical map

$$(X^{hK})^{G/K} \rightarrow (X^{hK})^{hG/K}$$

yields a map

$$(14) \quad X^{hG} \rightarrow (X^{hK})^{hG/K}.$$

Thus a natural problem in the theory of profinite G -spectra is to show that whenever X^{hK} is a profinite G/K -spectrum, map (14) to the iterated continuous homotopy fixed points $(X^{hK})^{hG/K}$ is an equivalence.

The first issue in studying map (14) is that X^{hK} does not, in general, carry the structure of a profinite G/K -spectrum. This observation relies on the fact that the set of continuous maps between two profinite sets is not, in general, a profinite set itself. But there are interesting cases when it can be shown that (14) is an equivalence.

To understand the simplest case, we consider the situation when K is open in G , so that the group G/K is finite. Whether or not X^{hK} is a profinite G/K -spectrum, since G/K is a finite discrete space, the fibrant spectrum X^{hK} is automatically a discrete G/K -spectrum. Hence there is always the canonical map

$$X^{hG} \rightarrow F(E(G/K)_+, X^{hK})^{G/K} = (X^{hK})^{h_d G/K}$$

that is defined to be the composition of the aforementioned identity map with the canonical map $(X^{hK})^{G/K} \rightarrow (X^{hK})^{h_d G/K}$. (In the preceding sentence, $(X^{hK})^{h_d G/K}$ is equal to the “usual” homotopy fixed point spectrum for the discrete group G/K ; we remark that in forming this spectrum, no fibrant replacement of X^{hK} is needed since X^{hK} is already a fibrant spectrum.) Then an adjunction argument suffices to prove that the map $X^{hG} \rightarrow (X^{hK})^{h_d G/K}$ is an equivalence (see [24, Section 3.5]).

Furthermore, if X^{hK} is a fibrant profinite G/K -spectrum, then the fibrant replacement map $X^{hK} \rightarrow R_{G/K} X^{hK}$ of profinite G/K -spectra, when regarded as a map of spectra, is a weak equivalence between fibrant objects that is G/K -equivariant. Hence, the map $(X^{hK})^{G/K} \rightarrow (X^{hK})^{h_d G/K}$ can be identified with the map

$$(X^{hK})^{G/K} \rightarrow \text{Map}_{G/K}(E(G/K), R_{G/K} X^{hK}) = (X^{hK})^{hG/K}.$$

Therefore, whenever X^{hK} is a fibrant profinite G/K -spectrum, the weak equivalence $X^{hG} \xrightarrow{\cong} (X^{hK})^{h_d G/K}$ can be identified with map (14) to the iterated continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum $(X^{hK})^{hG/K}$, giving our first case of when (14) is a weak equivalence.

4B Some definitions and observations that help with understanding the problem of iteration

Now we give some preliminary considerations that lead to our second case of when map (14) is an equivalence.

Definition 4.1 Let G be a profinite group and X a G -spectrum. We say that X has a model in the category of profinite G -spectra (or that X has a profinite G -model X') if there exists a fibrant profinite G -spectrum X' and a zigzag (of any finite length) of G -equivariant morphisms of G -spectra between X and X' such that each underlying morphism of Bousfield–Friedlander spectra is a weak equivalence. For example, if the three arrows in the diagram

$$Y_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_1 \xleftarrow{\cong} Y_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_3$$

denote G -equivariant maps between G -spectra, with each a weak equivalence of spectra, then Y_0 has a profinite G -model Y_3 when Y_3 is a fibrant profinite G -spectrum, and Y_3 has a profinite G -model Y_0 when Y_0 is a fibrant profinite G -spectrum.

Remark 4.2 Let G and X be as in Definition 4.1. Since the homotopy groups of a fibrant profinite G -spectrum are profinite G -modules, when the homotopy groups $\pi_t X$ are not profinite G -modules, then the model described above cannot exist for X . Also, we point out that if G is strongly complete and X is π -finite, then the discrete G -spectrum $F_G^s X$ is a model for X as a profinite G -spectrum.

Definition 4.3 Let G be a profinite group and K a closed normal subgroup of G . Let X be a fibrant profinite G -spectrum such that X^{hK} has a profinite G/K -model $X'(K)$. Then we define the iterated continuous homotopy fixed points of X to be

$$(X^{hK})^{hG/K} := (X'(K))^{hG/K}.$$

Remark 4.4 Definitions 4.1 and 4.3 motivate the following question: if G is a profinite group and X is a G -spectrum that has X' and X'' as profinite G -models, are $(X')^{hG}$ and $(X'')^{hG}$ weakly equivalent? Though we do not have an answer to this general question, we are able to handle it in the following special case: if G is strongly complete and X' and X'' are f - G -spectra (thus, X , X' and X'' are π -finite G -spectra), then there is an equivalence

$$(X')^{hG} \simeq (X'')^{hG}.$$

For the subject matter of this paper, this assertion is quite pertinent: for example, it is applicable to situations that could occur in the settings of part (a) of Definition 4.8 when G/K is strongly complete, Theorem 4.14, and Remark 4.17. It is easy to see that a proof of this special case follows from the technique used in the following argument. Given G strongly complete and f - G -spectra X' and X'' , we suppose additionally that X' and X'' are models via the existence of the zigzag

$$X' \xleftarrow[\simeq]{h_1} X \xrightarrow[\simeq]{h_2} X''$$

of stable equivalences of spectra that are G -equivariant. It follows that there is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X' & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{h_1} & X & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{h_2} & X'' \\
 f_1 \downarrow \wr & & f_2 \downarrow \wr & & f_3 \downarrow \wr \\
 F_G^s X' & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{F_G^s(h_1)} & F_G^s X & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{F_G^s(h_2)} & F_G^s X''
 \end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are the usual ones obtained by applying F_G^s and every map is G -equivariant and a stable equivalence of spectra. Since the four spectra in the corners of the diagram are f - G -spectra, they are discrete G -spectra, and so f_1 and f_3 are morphisms of profinite G -spectra. Therefore, to each of the four morphisms $f_1, f_3, F_G^s(h_1)$ and $F_G^s(h_2)$, we can apply the following fact: if $W \rightarrow Z$ is a map of profinite G -spectra and a stable equivalence of spectra, with W and Z f - G -spectra, then the induced map $W^{hG} \rightarrow Z^{hG}$ is a stable equivalence (for a justification of this fact, we refer the reader to the proof of a more general result in [Remark 4.20](#)). We find that there is the zigzag

$$(X')^{hG} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (F_G^s X')^{hG} \xleftarrow{\simeq} (F_G^s X)^{hG} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (F_G^s X'')^{hG} \xleftarrow{\simeq} (X'')^{hG}$$

of equivalences, which yields the desired conclusion.

As at the beginning of [Section 4A](#), we let X be an arbitrary fibrant profinite G -spectrum. By letting $\{U_j\}$ be the collection of open normal subgroups of G , we can write $G = \lim_j G/U_j$. For each j , we let

$$K_j := KU_j,$$

an open normal subgroup of G . For indices i, j such that $U_j \subset U_i$, there is the canonical surjection $G/K_j \rightarrow G/K_i$, the natural G/K_j -equivariant map

$$X^{hK_i} = \text{Map}(EG, X)^{K_i} \rightarrow \text{Map}(EG, X)^{K_j} = X^{hK_j}$$

between fibrant spectra, and the isomorphism $G/K \cong \lim_j G/K_j$. Also, there is the induced map

$$(X^{hK_i})^{h_d G/K_i} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (X^{hK_j})^{h_d G/K_j}$$

that is equal to the canonical composition

$$(X^{hK_i})^{h_d G/K_i} \rightarrow F(E(G/K_i)_+, X^{hK_i})^{G/K_j} \rightarrow F(E(G/K_j)_+, X^{hK_j})^{G/K_j}$$

and is a weak equivalence (for each j , since G/K_j is a finite group, $(X^{hK_j})^{h_d G/K_j}$ is equivalent to X^{hG} by [Quick \[24\]](#), as discussed earlier). Hence by taking the colimit

over all j , we obtain an equivalence

$$X^{hG} \simeq \operatorname{colim}_j (X^{hK_j})^{hG/K_j}.$$

Hence, if X^{hK} is a profinite G/K -spectrum and the colimit on the right-hand side above is equivalent to $(X^{hK})^{hG/K}$ (this is plausible if there is an equivalence of the right-hand side with $F(\lim_j E(G/K_j)_+, \operatorname{colim}_j X^{hK_j})^{G/K}$), then it would follow that (14) is an equivalence for the closed normal subgroup K . Unfortunately, this is not always the case.

Remark 4.5 For the duration of this remark (and the next), we let K be an arbitrary closed subgroup of G . A problem similar to what was just described above occurs for discrete G -spectra: in Davis [5], a discrete G -spectrum Y is called hyperfibrant if the canonical map $\operatorname{colim}_j Y^{h_d K_j} \rightarrow Y^{h_d K}$ is an equivalence for all K . In [loc. cit.], it was shown that if Y is a hyperfibrant discrete G -spectrum and H is any closed subgroup of G that is normal in K , then the identification $Y^{h_d H} = \operatorname{colim}_j Y^{h_d H U_j}$ makes $Y^{h_d H}$ a discrete K/H -spectrum. Hence $(Y^{h_d H})^{h_d K/H}$ is defined, and if K is normal in G , then

$$(Y^{h_d K})^{h_d G/K} \simeq Y^{h_d G}.$$

Remark 4.6 As in Remark 4.5, let K be any closed subgroup of G . We recall how a version of the issue discussed above is handled in the corresponding “pro-setting” in Fausk [13, Section 11.1]. If Y is a pro- G -spectrum, in addition to considering the K -homotopy fixed point pro-spectrum Y^{hK} , Fausk uses a technique that was reviewed in Remark 4.5: he defines the K - G -homotopy fixed point pro-spectrum $Y^{h_G K}$ to be $\operatorname{hocolim}_j (Y_f)^{hK_j}$, where Y_f is a fibrant replacement of Y . Then Fausk shows that when K is normal in G , there is an equivalence

$$(Y^{h_G K})^{hG/K} \simeq Y^{hG}$$

in the Postnikov model structure on pro-spectra. In [13, Lemma 11.4], Fausk describes a situation when $Y^{h_G K}$ can be identified with Y^{hK} .

Given the difficulties described above, our next step in studying the problem of iteration is to consider a special case. To help with this, we observe that, because X is a fibrant profinite H -spectrum for each closed subgroup H of G , there is a canonical map

$$\operatorname{colim}_j X^{hK_j} \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Tot}(\operatorname{Map}(K^\bullet, X)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(\operatorname{Map}(K^\bullet, X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} X^{hK},$$

where K is any closed subgroup of G (for the isomorphisms, see [24, Proposition 3.23]). A version of the following result in the setting of discrete G -spectra was obtained in [5, end of Section 3].

Lemma 4.7 *Let G be a profinite group and K a closed subgroup. Let X be an f - G -spectrum and q an integer such that $\pi_t X = 0$ for all $t \geq q$. Then the canonical map*

$$\operatorname{colim}_j X^{hK_j} \xrightarrow{\cong} X^{hK}$$

is an equivalence of spectra.

Proof For each closed subgroup H of G , by [24, Proposition 3.23], the homotopy spectral sequence for $\operatorname{Tot}(\operatorname{Map}(H^\bullet, X))$ is a descent spectral sequence $E_r^{*,*}(H)$ that has the form

$$E_2^{s,t}(H) = H_c^s(H; \pi_t X) \implies \pi_{t-s}(X^{hH}),$$

where the continuous cohomology group has coefficients in the finite discrete H -module $\pi_t X$. For the subgroups K and all K_j , the associated spectral sequences assemble to yield a map of conditionally convergent spectral sequences

$$\operatorname{colim}_j E_r^{*,*}(K_j) \rightarrow E_r^{*,*}(K).$$

Since $K = \bigcap_j K_j$, the E_2 -terms satisfy

$$\operatorname{colim}_j E_2^{s,t}(K_j) = \operatorname{colim}_j H_c^s(K_j; \pi_t X) \cong H_c^s(K; \pi_t X) = E_2^{s,t}(K).$$

By Mitchell [22, Proposition 3.3], the spectral sequence $\operatorname{colim}_j E_r^{*,*}(K_j)$ has abutment equal to the colimit of the abutments $\pi_*(X^{hK_j})$ if there exists a fixed m such that $H_c^s(K_j; \pi_t X) = 0$ for all $t \geq m$, all $s \geq 0$ and all j . By hypothesis, this condition is satisfied. Hence, since the map of spectral sequences is an isomorphism from the E_2 -terms onward, we see that the map of abutments $\operatorname{colim}_j \pi_*(X^{hK_j}) \rightarrow \pi_*(X^{hK})$ is an isomorphism. □

4C The notion of a K -Postnikov G -spectrum and its use with iterated continuous homotopy fixed points

Let X be an f - G -spectrum and let K be a closed normal subgroup of G . As in Quick [24, Section 3.2], for each integer q , let

$$P^q X := \operatorname{cosk}_q X$$

be the q^{th} Postnikov section of X in $\operatorname{Sp}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{*G})$. Since X is an f - G -spectrum, each of X and the Postnikov sections $P^q X$, for every q , are f - H -spectra, where H is any closed subgroup of G . As explained in Davis [5, page 2888, end of Section 3], by applying the fact that each discrete G -spectrum $P^q X$ satisfies a coconnectivity condition, we see that

- for any closed subgroup H in G , by Davis [6, Theorem 7.2], there is an equivalence

$$(P^q X)^{h_d H} \simeq \operatorname{holim}_\Delta \operatorname{Map}_c(H^{\bullet+1}, P^q X)^H,$$

and hence Remark 3.3 shows that

$$(P^q X)^{h_d H} \simeq (P^q X)^{hH}$$

for every integer q ;

- each $P^q X$ is a hyperfibrant discrete G -spectrum; and thus,
- there is the natural identification

$$(P^q X)^{h_d K} = \operatorname{colim}_j (P^q X)^{h_d K_j},$$

showing that each $(P^q X)^{h_d K}$ is a discrete G/K -spectrum.

The above discussion shows that we can regard each G/K -spectrum $(P^q X)^{hK}$ as a discrete G/K -spectrum by the identification

$$(P^q X)^{hK} = (P^q X)^{h_d K}$$

(this identification can also be obtained by using Lemma 4.7).

Before giving our next result, we introduce some helpful terminology. We note that, though the following definition is not short, it is also not complicated: the crux of the notion it defines (“ K -Postnikov G -spectrum”) is almost completely captured by its property (a), and each of properties (b), (c) and (d) is just a basic compatibility condition that one would expect to be satisfied when the zigzags in the defining data satisfy a minimal level of naturality.

Definition 4.8 Let X be an f - G -spectrum and let K be a closed normal subgroup of G . Then we say that X is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum if there is an inverse system $\{X^q(K)\}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of G/K -spectra that has the following properties:

- for each q , the spectrum $X^q(K)$ is an f - G/K -spectrum and a model for the G/K -spectrum $(P^q X)^{hK}$ in the category of profinite G/K -spectra;
- for each q , there is an equivalence $((P^q X)^{h_d K})^{h_d G/K} \simeq (X^q(K))^{h_d G/K}$;
- there is an equivalence

$$\operatorname{holim}_q ((P^q X)^{h_d K})^{h_d G/K} \simeq \operatorname{holim}_q (X^q(K))^{h_d G/K};$$

- the fibrant profinite G/K -spectrum $\operatorname{holim}_q X^q(K)$ is a model for the G/K -spectrum $\operatorname{holim}_q (P^q X)^{hK}$ in the category of profinite G/K -spectra.

Remark 4.9 If X is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, then because each $X^q(K)$ is a discrete G/K -spectrum, it follows automatically that each map $X^q(K) \rightarrow X^{q-1}(K)$ has the requisite continuity properties for being a morphism in the category of profinite G/K -spectra, and hence the inverse system $\{X^q(K)\}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a diagram in that category.

Our next result illustrates the utility of Definition 4.8. After proving this result, we give a discussion of when an f - G -spectrum possesses the properties required by this definition.

Several key ingredients in the next result and its proof are from an unpublished manuscript by the first author (however, the proof below does not depend in any way on this manuscript) that uses Postnikov towers to study the problem of iterated homotopy fixed points in the setting of discrete G -spectra. The aforementioned ingredients and manuscript build on the idea that Postnikov towers are a helpful tool for building homotopy fixed point spectra for profinite group actions, and as far as we know, this idea is primarily due to Fausk [13] (and Goerss [14] and Jardine [21]).

Theorem 4.10 *Let G be a profinite group and let K be a closed normal subgroup of G such that G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension. If X is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, then there is an equivalence*

$$X^{hG} \simeq (X^{hK})^{hG/K}.$$

Proof There is the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{hG} &= \text{Map}_G(EG, \lim_q P^q X) \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q X)^{hG} \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q X)^{h_d G} \\ &\simeq \text{holim}_q (\text{colim}_j (P^q X)^{h_d K_j})^{h_d G/K} \simeq \text{holim}_q (X^q(K))^{h_d G/K} \\ &\simeq \text{holim}_q (X^q(K))^{hG/K} \cong \text{Map}_{G/K}(E(G/K), \text{holim}_q X^q(K)) \\ &= (\text{holim}_q X^q(K))^{hG/K} = (X^{hK})^{hG/K}. \end{aligned}$$

Above, the second equivalence is from an application of the fact that each $P^q X$ is a fibrant profinite G -spectrum and, as in [24, page 205, (9)], $\{\text{Map}_G(EG, P^q X)\}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a tower of fibrations of fibrant objects in spectra; the fourth equivalence follows from [5, proof of Lemma 4.9]; the sixth equivalence is due to Theorem 3.2; the fibrancy of $\text{holim}_q X^q(K)$ as a profinite G/K -spectrum implies the next-to-last equivalence (an equality); and the last equivalence, an identity that is an example of Definition 4.3, is because the equivalences

$$\text{holim}_q X^q(K) \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q X)^{hK} \simeq \lim_q (P^q X)^{hK} \cong \text{Map}_K(EG, \lim_q P^q X) = X^{hK}$$

show that $\text{holim}_q X^q(K)$ is indeed a model for X^{hK} in the category of profinite G/K -spectra. □

Remark 4.11 In the proof of [Theorem 4.10](#), we showed that $\text{holim}_q X^q(K)$ is a profinite G/K -model for X^{hK} . Now suppose that Y is another profinite G/K -model for X^{hK} . For this abstract situation, as explained in [Remark 4.4](#), we do not know in general that there must be an equivalence $(\text{holim}_q X^q(K))^{hG/K} \simeq Y^{hG/K}$. However, we do not regard this lack of an equivalence as problematic: we believe that in most specific situations, $\text{holim}_q X^q(K)$ and Y will be related in a concrete way that allows one to obtain an equivalence between their G/K -homotopy fixed point spectra. For example, in [Remark 4.19](#), two models $(F_G^s X$ and $F_H^s X)$ are related in a specific way that gives equivalent homotopy fixed point spectra by an argument that is, in an appreciable way, simpler than the abstract argument of [Remark 4.4](#) (which also gives the desired equivalence).

Remark 4.12 The first displayed line of the proof of [Theorem 4.10](#) shows that if G is an arbitrary profinite group and X is an f - G -spectrum, then there are equivalences

$$(15) \quad X^{hG} \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q X)^{hG} \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q X)^{h_d G}.$$

The equivalence between the first and third expressions in (15) gives

$$X^{hG} \simeq \text{holim}_{q \geq 0} (P^q X)^{h_d G} = \left(\text{holim}_{q \geq 0} P^q X \right)^{hG},$$

where the last expression, $(\text{holim}_{q \geq 0} P^q X)^{hG}$, is the G -homotopy fixed point spectrum of the continuous G -spectrum $\text{holim}_{q \geq 0} P^q X$ (we mean this in the sense of [Davis \[3\]](#); $\{P^q X\}_{q \geq 0}$ is a tower of discrete G -spectra that are fibrant as spectra). This observation gives a version of [Theorem 3.2](#) with the hypothesis on cohomological dimension removed.

Remark 4.13 Let G be a strongly complete profinite group. Just after [Definition 3.6](#) (see (12)), we stated that if $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivariant map between π -finite G -spectra such that h is a stable equivalence of spectra, then the map

$$(F_G^s(h))^{hG}: X^{hG} = (F_G^s X)^{hG} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (F_G^s Y)^{hG} = Y^{hG}$$

is an equivalence. Now we are able to give a proof of this assertion. First, as noted just after [Theorem 3.5](#), the map $F_G^s(h)$ is a stable equivalence of spectra. Also, if Z is a fibrant profinite G -spectrum, recall that its profinite homotopy groups $\pi_*(Z)$ are isomorphic to the stable homotopy groups $\pi_*(UZ)$ of the fibrant spectrum UZ that underlies Z ; see [Quick \[25, Proposition 4.8\(b\)\]](#). Then for all integers q and t , since

$P^q(F_G^s X)$ and $P^q(F_G^s Y)$ are fibrant profinite G -spectra,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t(UP^q(F_G^s X)) &\cong \pi_t(P^q(F_G^s X)) \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \pi_t(F_G^s X) \cong \pi_t(UF_G^s X) \cong \pi_t(UF_G^s Y) & t \leq q, \\ 0 & t > q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus each induced map $P^q(F_G^s X) \rightarrow P^q(F_G^s Y)$ is a weak equivalence of discrete G -spectra (because each such map induces an isomorphism on stable homotopy groups, and hence is an equivalence of spectra). It follows that, for every q , the map

$$(P^q(F_G^s(h)))^{h_d G} : (P^q(F_G^s X))^{h_d G} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (P^q(F_G^s Y))^{h_d G}$$

is an equivalence between spectra. Also, there is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F_G^s X)^{hG} & \xrightarrow{(F_G^s(h))^{hG}} & (F_G^s Y)^{hG} \\ \wr \uparrow & & \uparrow \wr \\ \text{Map}_G(EG, \lim_q P^q(F_G^s X)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_G(EG, \lim_q P^q(F_G^s Y)) \\ \wr \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ \text{holim}_q \text{Map}_G(EG, P^q(F_G^s X)) & \longrightarrow & \text{holim}_q \text{Map}_G(EG, P^q(F_G^s Y)) \end{array}$$

(as noted in (13), the two upward-pointing vertical maps are equivalences and, as in the proof of Theorem 4.10, the two downward-pointing vertical maps are equivalences). This reduces showing that $(F_G^s(h))^{hG}$ is an equivalence to showing that the bottommost horizontal map is an equivalence; therefore, we are done if we can show that for every q , the map

$$\mu^q := \text{Map}_G(EG, P^q(F_G^s(h))) : \text{Map}_G(EG, P^q(F_G^s X)) \rightarrow \text{Map}_G(EG, P^q(F_G^s Y))$$

is an equivalence (by [24, Lemma 3.10], the source and target of this map are fibrant spectra). Each map μ^q can be identified with the map

$$(P^q(F_G^s(h)))^{hG} = \text{Map}_G(EG, R_G(P^q(F_G^s(h)))) : (P^q(F_G^s X))^{hG} \rightarrow (P^q(F_G^s Y))^{hG},$$

and this last map is an equivalence because

$$(16) \quad (P^q(F_G^s X))^{hG} \simeq (P^q(F_G^s X))^{h_d G} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (P^q(F_G^s Y))^{h_d G} \simeq (P^q(F_G^s Y))^{hG},$$

where the first and last equivalences in (16) follow from the first bullet point in the discussion at the beginning of Section 4C. This proves the desired result.

As in [Theorem 4.10](#), we continue to let K be a closed normal subgroup of G and we let X be an f - G -spectrum. [Theorem 4.10](#) shows that it is quite useful when X is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, and so we now give a discussion about when this occurs.

Suppose that $(P^q X)^{hK}$ is a π -finite G/K -spectrum, for each integer q , with G/K strongly complete. (It is worth noting that if G is strongly complete, then so is G/K .) By [Lemma 4.7](#), the G/K -equivariant map

$$(P^q X)^{hK} = \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^K \xleftarrow{\simeq} \text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j} = \text{colim}_j (P^q X)^{hK_j}$$

is a weak equivalence of spectra. The continuous surjection $G/K \rightarrow G/K_j$ makes the discrete G/K_j -spectrum $\text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j}$ a discrete G/K -spectrum, so that the π -finite G/K -spectrum $\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j}$ is a discrete G/K -spectrum. Notice that the inverse system

$$(17) \quad \{F_{G/K}^s(\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j})\}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is a diagram of profinite G/K -spectra, each of which is an f - G/K -spectrum and a profinite G/K -model for $(P^q X)^{hK}$. Since $(P^q X)^{hH} \simeq (P^q X)^{h_d H}$ for all closed subgroups H , the discrete G/K -spectrum $\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j}$ can be identified with the discrete G/K -spectrum $(P^q X)^{h_d K} = \text{colim}_j (P^q X)^{h_d K_j}$. Because

$$\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j} \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_{G/K}^s(\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j})$$

is a weak equivalence of discrete G/K -spectra, there is a weak equivalence

$$(\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j})^{h_d G/K} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (F_{G/K}^s(\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q X)^{K_j}))^{h_d G/K}.$$

The preceding two sentences show that the system (17) satisfies property (b) of [Definition 4.8](#), and additionally, it is easy to see that properties (c) and (d) are satisfied, completing a proof of the following result.

Theorem 4.14 *Let K be a closed normal subgroup of the profinite group G , and let X be an f - G -spectrum. If G/K is strongly complete and, for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(P^q X)^{hK}$ has finite homotopy groups, then X is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum.*

Notice that, in [Theorem 4.14](#), the hypotheses of the first sentence imply that, for each $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $P^q X$ is an f - K -spectrum, $\pi_t(P^q X)$ is a finite discrete K -module for every integer t .

Corollary 4.15 *Let G , K and X be as in the first sentence of [Theorem 4.14](#) and suppose that G/K is strongly complete. If $H_c^s(K; \pi_t(X))$ is a finite group for all $s \geq 0$ and every integer t , then X is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum.*

Proof By [Theorem 4.14](#), it suffices to show that, for each integer q , the spectrum $(P^q X)^{hK}$ has finite homotopy groups. We consider the conditionally convergent descent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(K; \pi_t(P^q X)) \implies \pi_{t-s}((P^q X)^{hK}).$$

For all $s \geq 0$ and any $t > q$, the vanishing of $\pi_t(P^q X)$ implies that $E_2^{s,t} = 0$. It follows that the filtration on the abutment is finite; for example, see [Thomason \[29, Lemma 5.48\]](#). Since any nonzero terms on the E_2 -page of the above spectral sequence are finite, we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

Remark 4.16 In [Corollary 4.15](#), the hypotheses imply that $\pi_t(X)$ is a finite discrete K -module for every integer t . Then it is worth noting that, in [Corollary 4.15](#), the finiteness condition on the continuous cohomology groups is plausible: for example, by [Symonds and Weigel \[28, Proposition 4.2.2\]](#), if K is of type $p\text{-FP}_\infty$ and M is a finite discrete (p -torsion) $\mathbb{Z}_p\llbracket K \rrbracket$ -module, then $H_c^s(K; M)$ is finite for all $s \geq 0$; we refer the reader to [\[28\]](#) for more details about this result.

Let q be any fixed integer. We consider in more detail the condition that $(P^q X)^{hK}$ has finite homotopy groups. As above, we assume that K is closed and normal in G and X is an f - G -spectrum.

Suppose that K contains an open normal subgroup U_K such that the abelian groups $H_c^s(U_K; \pi_t(P^q X))$ are finite for all $s \geq 0$ and all $t \leq q$. As recalled earlier (from [\[24\]](#)), since $P^q X$ is a fibrant profinite K -spectrum, there is a weak equivalence

$$(P^q X)^{hK} \xrightarrow{\simeq} ((P^q X)^{hU_K})^{h_d K/U_K}.$$

By arguing as in the proof of [Corollary 4.15](#), the descent spectral sequence

$$H_c^s(U_K; \pi_t(P^q X)) \implies \pi_{t-s}((P^q X)^{hU_K})$$

yields that $(P^q X)^{hU_K}$ is a π -finite K/U_K -spectrum.

Remark 4.17 Without making any additional assumptions, we pause to consider the π -finite K/U_K -spectrum $(P^q X)^{hU_K}$. Since the finite group K/U_K is naturally discrete, it is strongly complete, and hence, by [Theorem 3.5](#), there is a K/U_K -equivariant map

$$(P^q X)^{hU_K} \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_{K/U_K}^s((P^q X)^{hU_K})$$

that is a weak equivalence, with target equal to an f - K/U_K -spectrum, yielding a particularly nice model for $(P^q X)^{hU_K}$. It follows that there are equivalences of spectra

$$(P^q X)^{hK} \simeq ((P^q X)^{hU_K})^{h_d K/U_K} \simeq \text{holim}_{K/U_K} F_{K/U_K}^s((P^q X)^{hU_K}).$$

The last spectrum above can be regarded as a homotopy limit of a fibrant profinite spectrum in the category of profinite spectra, showing that $(P^q X)^{hK}$ can be regarded as a profinite spectrum.

Now we go a little further with the above conclusion that $(P^q X)^{hU_K}$ is a π -finite K/U_K -spectrum. Since K/U_K is a finite group and $\pi_t((P^q X)^{hU_K})$ is finite for every integer t , the groups $H^s(K/U_K; \pi_t((P^q X)^{hU_K}))$ are finite for all s and t . Therefore, if for all integers t , $H^s(K/U_K; \pi_t((P^q X)^{hU_K}))$ is zero for all $s \geq r$, for some positive integer r , then once again, the descent spectral sequence

$$H^s(K/U_K; \pi_t((P^q X)^{hU_K})) \implies \pi_{t-s}((P^q X)^{hK})$$

yields that $(P^q X)^{hK}$ has finite homotopy groups, as desired.

4D More cases of well-behaved iteration via applications of Theorem 4.10

To obtain these additional cases, we begin with the following definition, a natural extension of Definition 3.6.

Definition 4.18 If G is a strongly complete profinite group and X is a π -finite G -spectrum, then $F_G^s X$ is both a fibrant profinite H -spectrum and a discrete H -spectrum for any closed subgroup H in G ; thus, for such H , we define

$$X^{hH} := (F_G^s X)^{hH} \quad \text{and} \quad X^{h_d H} := (F_G^s X)^{h_d H}.$$

In the above definition, when the closed subgroup H is a proper subgroup of G that is strongly complete, then since X is a π -finite H -spectrum, the definition also yields that $X^{hH} := (F_H^s X)^{hH}$ and $X^{h_d H} := (F_H^s X)^{h_d H}$. Thus, in the remark below, we show that our two definitions of X^{hH} agree with each other and that our two definitions of $X^{h_d H}$ are equivalent.

Remark 4.19 Let G and X be as in Definition 4.18, and let H be a strongly complete closed subgroup of G . Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F_H^s X \\ \wr \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ F_G^s X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F_H^s (F_G^s X) \end{array}$$

of weak equivalences in spectra that are H -equivariant. It is easy to see that

$$F_G^s X \xrightarrow{\cong} F_H^s (F_G^s X) \xleftarrow{\cong} F_H^s X$$

is a zigzag of weak equivalences in the category of discrete H -spectra, and hence

$$(F_G^s X)^{h_d H} \simeq (F_H^s X)^{h_d H},$$

as desired. Now, as in Quick [24, Section 3.2], for each integer q , we let P^q denote the functor

$$\text{cosk}_q: \text{Sp}(\widehat{S}_{*H}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\widehat{S}_{*H}), \quad Z \mapsto P^q Z := \text{cosk}_q Z.$$

Notice that

$$(F_G^s X)^{hH} \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q (F_G^s X))^{hH} \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q (F_H^s X))^{h_d H}$$

as in the proof of Theorem 4.10. Similarly, we have

$$(F_H^s X)^{hH} \simeq \text{holim}_q (P^q (F_H^s X))^{h_d H}.$$

Also, by considering homotopy groups, it is easy to see that, for each integer q ,

$$P^q (F_G^s X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} P^q (F_H^s (F_G^s X)) \xleftarrow{\simeq} P^q (F_H^s X)$$

is a zigzag of weak equivalences in the category of discrete H -spectra. It follows that

$$(P^q (F_G^s X))^{h_d H} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (P^q (F_H^s (F_G^s X)))^{h_d H} \xleftarrow{\simeq} (P^q (F_H^s X))^{h_d H}$$

is a zigzag of weak equivalences between fibrant spectra that is natural in q , and hence

$$(18) \quad (F_G^s X)^{hH} \simeq (F_H^s X)^{hH}.$$

Since $F_G^s X$ is an f - H -spectrum, the equivalence (18) can also be obtained by applying the argument in Remark 4.4 to the zigzag $F_G^s X \xleftarrow{\simeq} X \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_H^s X$. However, the argument given in the present remark is preferable to that of Remark 4.4 in the sense that the crux of the former argument is a zigzag of length two, whereas the latter argument requires a zigzag of length four.

Remark 4.20 In Definition 4.18, if $H = G$, we already know that the two notions of homotopy fixed points are homotopy invariant. In fact, as we now explain, these two notions are homotopy invariant for all H (even if H is not strongly complete). Let G be strongly complete, let H be any closed subgroup of G , and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a stable equivalence of spectra that is G -equivariant, with X and Y π -finite G -spectra. Since $F_G^s(h)$ is a weak equivalence of discrete G -spectra, it is a weak equivalence of discrete H -spectra; hence the map

$$(F_G^s(h))^{h_d H}: X^{h_d H} = (F_G^s X)^{h_d H} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (F_G^s Y)^{h_d H} = Y^{h_d H}$$

is an equivalence. Now suppose that W and Z are fibrant profinite G -spectra that are π -finite as G -spectra (these hypotheses are satisfied, for example, if W and Z

are f - G -spectra), with $\ell: W \rightarrow Z$ a map of profinite G -spectra that is a stable equivalence of spectra. Then W and Z are fibrant profinite H -spectra, ℓ is a map of profinite H -spectra and there is the induced morphism

$$\text{Map}(H^\bullet, \ell): \text{Map}(H^\bullet, W) \rightarrow \text{Map}(H^\bullet, Z)$$

of cosimplicial spectra, which induces a morphism from descent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(H; \pi_t W) \implies \pi_{t-s}(W^{hH})$$

to descent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(H; \pi_t Z) \implies \pi_{t-s}(Z^{hH}).$$

(The material in [24, Section 3.4 (after the proof of Lemma 3.21)] is a reference for the above induced morphisms.) For any $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, the induced map $\pi_t(\ell): \pi_t W \rightarrow \pi_t Z$ between the stable profinite homotopy groups is an isomorphism between finite discrete H -modules (for example, the stable profinite homotopy group $\mathcal{P}_t := \pi_t(R_G W)$ is Hausdorff and isomorphic to the finite stable homotopy group $\pi_t(W)$, so that the topology of the profinite space \mathcal{P}_t is the discrete topology). Hence the E_2 -terms of the two conditionally convergent spectral sequences above are isomorphic, yielding successively that the map $\pi_*(\ell^{hH})$ is an isomorphism and, finally, $\ell^{hH}: W^{hH} \rightarrow Z^{hH}$ is a stable equivalence. This conclusion implies that

$$(F_G^s(h))^{hH}: X^{hH} = (F_G^s X)^{hH} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (F_G^s Y)^{hH} = Y^{hH}$$

is an equivalence, as desired. With this argument and Remark 4.13, we now have two proofs that, given h as above, $(F_G^s(h))^{hG}$ is an equivalence; though the argument in this remark is shorter, we do not regard this situation as one of excess, since the observations and main techniques (utilizing Postnikov towers in the world of profinite G -spectra and homotopy fixed points for discrete G -spectra) of the proof in Remark 4.13 are interesting and useful.

Now that we have shown that Definition 4.18 is robust, we can put it to work with the following result.

Theorem 4.21 *Let G be a strongly complete profinite group with K a closed normal subgroup of G such that G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension. If X is a π -finite G -spectrum such that $F_G^s X$ is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, then there is an equivalence of spectra*

$$X^{hG} \simeq (X^{hK})^{hG/K}.$$

Proof By [Theorem 4.10](#), we have

$$X^{hG} = (F_G^s X)^{hG} \simeq ((F_G^s X)^{hK})^{hG/K} = (X^{hK})^{hG/K}. \quad \square$$

The following result (which is actually just an assemblage of well-known facts) gives an example of a single hypothesis that is sufficient to yield the group-theoretic conditions that are required by [Theorem 4.21](#) (in its first sentence).

Lemma 4.22 *Suppose that \mathbb{G} is a profinite group that is p -adic analytic. If G is a closed subgroup of \mathbb{G} and K is a closed normal subgroup of G , then G and G/K are strongly complete, and G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension.*

Proof Since \mathbb{G} is p -adic analytic, G and the quotient group G/K are p -adic analytic groups by Dixon, du Sautoy, Mann and Segal [[12](#), [Theorem 9.6](#)]. Since G is p -adic analytic, it contains an open pro- p subgroup H that is finitely generated. It follows that H is strongly complete, and hence G and G/K are strongly complete. Also, since G/K is p -adic analytic, it has finite virtual cohomological dimension; for example, see Davis [[3](#), [page 330](#)]. □

We point out that, besides implying the group-theoretic conditions of [Theorem 4.21](#), the single hypothesis of [Lemma 4.22](#) also implies (as stated explicitly in the lemma) that G/K is strongly complete, and this property is required in [Theorem 4.14](#) and [Corollary 4.15](#).

Now we want to apply [Theorem 4.10](#) to suitable homotopy limits of profinite G -spectra: to do this, we need the following definition, whose key components are properties (a) and (b) below.

Definition 4.23 Let K be a closed normal subgroup of G and let J be a small category. We say that $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ is a diagram of K -Postnikov G -spectra that is natural in β whenever

- (a) $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ is a J -shaped diagram of profinite G -spectra,
- (b) for each β , X_β is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum, with $\{X_\beta^q(K)\}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denoting the inverse system of profinite G/K -models associated to X_β ,
- (c) there is a $(J \times \{q\})$ -shaped diagram $\{X_\beta^q(K)\}_{\beta, q}$ of profinite G/K -spectra,
- (d) there is an equivalence

$$\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q ((P^q X_\beta)^{h_d K})^{h_d G/K} \simeq \text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q (X_\beta^q(K))^{h_d G/K},$$

- (e) the fibrant profinite G/K -spectrum $\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K)$ is a model for G/K -spectrum $\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q (P^q X_\beta)^{hK}$ in the category of profinite G/K -spectra.

Remark 4.24 In the above definition, we point out that properties (c), (d) and (e) are criteria that one would expect to be satisfied on the basis of just (a) and (b) alone: they are just expressing the requirement that the input data have enough naturality to be practically useful (for example, properties (d) and (e) are saying that, in Definition 4.8, properties (c) and (d), respectively, are natural in β).

Notice that if $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ is a diagram of K -Postnikov G -spectra that is natural in β , then $\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta$ is a fibrant profinite G -spectrum.

Theorem 4.25 *Let G be a profinite group and K a closed normal subgroup of G such that G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension. If $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ is a diagram of K -Postnikov G -spectra that is natural in β , then there is an equivalence of spectra*

$$(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hG} \simeq ((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})^{hG/K},$$

and there is a conditionally convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})) \implies \pi_{t-s}((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hG}),$$

where the E_2 -term is the continuous cohomology of G/K with coefficients the profinite G/K -module $\pi_t((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})$.

Proof The f - G -spectra X_β satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.10. Hence for each β , we have an equivalence

$$(X_\beta)^{hG} \simeq ((X_\beta)^{hK})^{hG/K} = (\text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K))^{hG/K}.$$

Since taking H -homotopy fixed points commutes with homotopy limits of fibrant profinite H -spectra for any profinite group H (by [24, Proposition 3.12]), and the G/K -spectrum $(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK}$ is easily seen to have $\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K)$ as a profinite G/K -model, it follows that there is an equivalence:

$$(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hG} \simeq (\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K))^{hG/K} = ((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})^{hG/K}.$$

The second assertion now follows from the above equivalence: by applying (7), there is a homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K))) \implies \pi_{t-s}((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hG}).$$

There is a G/K -equivariant isomorphism

$$\pi_t((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK}) \cong \pi_t(\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K))$$

of abelian groups, and thus $\pi_t((\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})$ can be identified with the profinite G/K -module $\pi_t(\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K))$. □

Remark 4.26 The discussion in [Remark 4.11](#) applies to the fact that, in [Theorem 4.25](#), we showed that $\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K)$ is a model, in the category of profinite G/K -spectra, for the G/K -spectrum $(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK}$. Thus, we just briefly note that if Y is another profinite G/K -model for $(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK}$, it is not known that there is an equivalence $(\text{holim}_\beta \text{holim}_q X_\beta^q(K))^{hG/K} \simeq Y^{hG/K}$ in general.

The following definition extends [Definition 4.18](#) to homotopy limits of diagrams.

Definition 4.27 Let G be a strongly complete profinite group. Also, let $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$, with J a small category, be a diagram of G -spectra such that each X_β is a π -finite G -spectrum that is fibrant as a spectrum. Then we define

$$(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hH} := (\text{holim}_\beta F_G^s(X_\beta))^{hH},$$

where H is any closed subgroup of G .

Remark 4.28 We point out that the construction in [Definition 4.27](#) has the following desired properties: (a) the canonical map

$$\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{holim}_\beta F_G^s(X_\beta)$$

is a weak equivalence of spectra that is G -equivariant, so that $\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta$ has the fibrant profinite G -spectrum $\text{holim}_\beta F_G^s(X_\beta)$ as a model in the category of profinite G -spectra; (b) if the closed subgroup H is finite, there are equivalences

$$(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{h_a H} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\text{holim}_\beta F_G^s(X_\beta))^{h_a H} \simeq (\text{holim}_\beta F_G^s(X_\beta))^{hH} = (\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hH},$$

yielding agreement between the “classical” and “profinite” homotopy fixed points (the first and last terms, respectively, above); and (c) if H is any closed subgroup that is strongly complete, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{holim}_\beta F_G^s(X_\beta))^{hH} &\simeq \text{holim}_\beta (F_G^s(X_\beta))^{hH} \simeq \text{holim}_\beta (F_H^s(X_\beta))^{hH} \\ &\simeq (\text{holim}_\beta F_H^s(X_\beta))^{hH}, \end{aligned}$$

so the two possible definitions of $(\text{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hH}$ (the first and last terms in the preceding chain of equivalences) are equivalent to each other.

Given [Definition 4.27](#), the following result is immediate from [Theorem 4.25](#).

Corollary 4.29 *Let G be a strongly complete profinite group, and let K be a closed normal subgroup of G with G/K having finite virtual cohomological dimension. Also, let $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$, where J is a small category, be a diagram of G -spectra, with each X_β both a fibrant spectrum and a π -finite G -spectrum. If the J -shaped diagram*

$\{F_G^s(X_\beta)\}_\beta$ of profinite G -spectra is a diagram of K -Postnikov G -spectra that is natural in β , then there is an equivalence of spectra

$$(\operatorname{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hG} \simeq ((\operatorname{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})^{hG/K}$$

and a conditionally convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t((\operatorname{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})) \implies \pi_{t-s}((\operatorname{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hG})$$

whose E_2 -term is the continuous cohomology of G/K with coefficients the profinite G/K -module $\pi_t((\operatorname{holim}_\beta X_\beta)^{hK})$.

By Lemma 4.22, the conditions in the first sentence of Corollary 4.29 are satisfied when the profinite group G is p -adic analytic.

5 Iterated continuous homotopy fixed points for E_n

We return to our main example of the extended Morava stabilizer group G_n and its continuous action on the Lubin-Tate spectrum E_n . Let BP be the Brown-Peterson spectrum for the fixed prime p . Its coefficient ring is $BP_* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$, where v_i has degree $2(p^i - 1)$. There is a map

$$r: BP_* \rightarrow E_{n*} = W(\mathbb{F}_p^n)[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]][u, u^{-1}],$$

defined by $r(v_i) = u_i u^{1-p^i}$ for $i < n$, $r(v_n) = u^{1-p^n}$ and $r(v_i) = 0$ for $i > n$, that makes E_{n*} a BP_* -module; for more information on this and the remaining details in this paragraph, see, for example, Devinatz and Hopkins [10, Introduction] and Hopkins, Mahowald and Sadofsky [17, Section 7]. Let I be an ideal in BP_* of the form $(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ that is G_n -invariant (that is, $G_n(IE_{n*}) = IE_{n*}$). Such ideals form a cofiltered system and their images in E_{n*} under r provide each $\pi_t E_n$ with the structure of a continuous profinite G_n -module: explicitly, we have

$$\pi_t E_n \cong \lim_I \pi_t E_n / I \pi_t E_n.$$

In fact, for t odd these groups vanish, for t even each quotient $\pi_t E_n / I \pi_t E_n$ is a finite discrete G_n -module (the G_n -action on each quotient is induced by the G_n -action on $\pi_t E_n$), and the above decomposition of $\pi_t E_n$ as a limit of these finite discrete G_n -modules is compatible with the G_n -action.

In the collection of ideals I , we can fix a descending chain of ideals

$$I_0 \supset I_1 \supset \dots \supset I_k \supset \dots$$

with an associated tower

$$M_{I_0} \leftarrow M_{I_1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow M_{I_k} \leftarrow \cdots$$

of generalized Moore spectra with trivial G_n -action such that

$$L_{K(n)}(\mathbb{S}^0) \simeq \operatorname{holim}_k (L_{E_n}(M_{I_k}))_f,$$

where $L_{K(n)}$ denotes Bousfield localization with respect to $K(n)$ and $(-)_f$ is a fibrant replacement functor for Bousfield–Friedlander spectra, and for each $k \geq 0$, $BP_*(M_{I_k}) = BP_*/I_k$ (see [17] and Hovey and Strickland [19, Section 4]). It is useful to note that the last condition (about Brown–Peterson homology) implies that for each k ,

$$\pi_t(E_n \wedge M_{I_k}) \cong \pi_t E_n / I_k \pi_t E_n$$

for all t . Also, as in [17], there is an equivalence

$$E_n \simeq \operatorname{holim}_k (E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f$$

which is induced by the isomorphism $E_n \cong E_n \wedge \mathbb{S}^0$ in the stable homotopy category and the G_n -equivariant map

$$E_n \wedge \mathbb{S}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{holim}_k (E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f$$

(in the source of this map, G_n acts trivially on \mathbb{S}^0), whose underlying map of spectra is a stable equivalence.

Now the profinite group G_n is strongly complete (for example, see Davis [3, page 330]) and each $E_n \wedge M_{I_k}$ is a π -finite G_n -spectrum. Thus, the functorial replacement of [Theorem 3.5](#) yields a fibrant profinite G_n -spectrum $F_{G_n}^s((E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f)$ built out of pointed simplicial finite discrete G_n -sets and a G_n -equivariant map

$$(E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f \xrightarrow{\cong} F_{G_n}^s((E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f) =: E'_{n, I_k},$$

which is a stable equivalence of underlying spectra. It follows that there is an equivalence

$$\operatorname{holim}_k (E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{holim}_k E'_{n, I_k}$$

of spectra, and the target of this equivalence can be regarded as a homotopy limit in the category of profinite G_n -spectra. Thus, because of the equivalence

$$E_n \simeq \operatorname{holim}_k E'_{n, I_k},$$

we denote by E'_n the fibrant profinite G_n -spectrum

$$E'_n := \operatorname{holim}_k E'_{n, I_k}.$$

In Quick [24], the continuous homotopy fixed points E_n^{hG} under a closed subgroup G of G_n are defined as

$$E_n^{hG} := (E'_n)^{hG}.$$

These homotopy fixed points satisfy

$$(19) \quad E_n^{hG} \simeq \operatorname{holim}_k (E'_{n,I_k})^{hG},$$

since each E'_{n,I_k} is a fibrant profinite G -spectrum.

Given the above setup, we are now ready to give a proof of Theorem 1.7. This proof is an application of Theorem 4.25 and involves the use of Corollary 4.15 and Remark 4.16 in a key way.

Proof of Theorem 1.7 Recall that G is an arbitrary closed subgroup of G_n and K is any closed normal subgroup of G . Since G_n is a p -adic analytic group, Lemma 4.22 implies that G and G/K are strongly complete and G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension.

Since E'_{n,I_k} is an f - G_n -spectrum, it is an f - G -spectrum, and it follows that $H_c^s(K; \pi_t(E'_{n,I_k}))$ is finite for all s and t from Symonds and Weigel [28, Proposition 4.2.2] (as recalled in Remark 4.16). Then, by applying Corollary 4.15, we can conclude that E'_{n,I_k} is a K -Postnikov G -spectrum for each k . For each k and any integer q , let

$$L_k^q = F_{G/K}^s(\operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, P^q E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j});$$

the diagram $\{L_k^q\}_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the inverse system of profinite G/K -models associated to the K -Postnikov G -spectrum E'_{n,I_k} . (To avoid any confusion, we remind the reader that $\{U_j\}$ is the collection of open normal subgroups of G (not G_n) and $K_j = KU_j$.) It is easy to see that $\{E'_{n,I_k}\}_k$ is a diagram of K -Postnikov G -spectra that is natural in k , and hence Theorem 4.25 yields the equivalence

$$E_n^{hG} = (\operatorname{holim}_k E'_{n,I_k})^{hG} \simeq ((\operatorname{holim}_k E'_{n,I_k})^{hK})^{hG/K} = (E_n^{hK})^{hG/K}. \quad \square$$

We now give the proof of Theorem 1.8. Our proof continues the setup and notation that was established above in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.8 Since $\{E'_{n,I_k}\}_k$ is a diagram of K -Postnikov G -spectra that is natural in k , Theorem 4.25 shows that there exists a conditionally convergent spectral sequence that has the form

$$(20) \quad H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(E_n^{hK})) \implies \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{hG}).$$

Now let H denote any closed subgroup of G_n and notice that, as at the end of the first paragraph of the proof of [Theorem 1.7](#), H has finite virtual cohomological dimension. Thus, by applying [Theorem 3.2](#) to the f - H -spectrum E'_{n,I_k} , we obtain, for each k , the equivalence $(E'_{n,I_k})^{hH} \simeq (E'_{n,I_k})^{hdH}$. We can build upon this equivalence to obtain, for each k , the equivalences

$$(21) \quad (E'_{n,I_k})^{hH} \simeq (E'_{n,I_k})^{hdH} \simeq \left(\left(\operatorname{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G_n} E_n^{dhN} \right) \wedge M_{I_k} \right)^{hdH} \simeq E_n^{dhH} \wedge M_{I_k},$$

where the above colimit is over the open normal subgroups of G_n . The second equivalence follows from the fact that the composition

$$\left(\operatorname{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G_n} E_n^{dhN} \right) \wedge M_{I_k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_n \wedge M_{I_k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f \xrightarrow{\simeq} E'_{n,I_k}$$

is a weak equivalence in the category of discrete H -spectra (the key ingredient here is that the first map above is a weak equivalence of spectra: this is due to Devinatz and Hopkins [11] and is made explicit in [3, Theorem 6.3, Corollary 6.5]). The last equivalence in (21) is by [3, Corollary 9.8] and Behrens and Davis [1, Theorem 8.2.1]. By Devinatz [7, proof of Lemma 3.5], the spectrum $E_n^{dhH} \wedge M_{I_k}$ has finite homotopy groups, and hence so does the spectrum $(E'_{n,I_k})^{hH}$.

Our last conclusion implies that $\pi_*((E'_{n,I_k})^{hK})$ and $\pi_*((E'_{n,I_k})^{hG})$ are degreewise finite. Therefore, it follows from (19) (and from a second application of (19) with G set equal to K) as in Hopkins, Mahowald and Sadofsky [17, proof of Proposition 7.4] (see also Davis [5, beginning of the proof of Theorem 7.6]) that the spectral sequence (20) is the inverse limit over $\{k\}$ of conditionally convergent spectral sequences $E_r(k) \equiv E_r^{*,*}(k)$ that have the form

$$E_2^{s,t}(k) = H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t((E'_{n,I_k})^{hK})) \implies \pi_{t-s}((E'_{n,I_k})^{hG}),$$

where $E_r(k)$ is constructed, for each k , as an instance of the descent spectral sequence (7), with abutment equal to $\pi_*((\operatorname{holim}_q L_k^q)^{hG/K})$.

We pause to introduce some helpful terminology: if Z^\bullet is a cosimplicial spectrum that is fibrant in each codegree, then we refer to the conditionally convergent homotopy spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^s[\pi_t(Z^*)] \implies \pi_{t-s}(\operatorname{holim}_\Delta Z^\bullet)$$

as the homotopy spectral sequence for $\operatorname{holim}_\Delta Z^\bullet$. For clarity later, we go ahead and point out that, as defined above, whenever we use this terminology, the relevant homotopy limit (that is, $\operatorname{holim}_\Delta Z^\bullet$) is always indexed by Δ .

By Quick [24, Proposition 3.20 and Lemma 3.21(b)], spectral sequence $E_r(k)$ can be regarded as the homotopy spectral sequence for

$$\text{holim}_\Delta \text{Map}_{G/K}((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, \text{holim}_q L_k^q).$$

Thus [24, Lemma 3.5] implies that $E_r(k)$ is the homotopy spectral sequence for

$$\text{holim}_\Delta \text{holim}_q \text{Map}_{G/K}((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, L_k^q).$$

Since L_k^q is an f - G/K -spectrum, the isomorphism (11) (in the proof of Theorem 3.2) shows that $E_r(k)$ is isomorphic to the homotopy spectral sequence for

$$\text{holim}_\Delta \text{holim}_q \text{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, L_k^q)^{G/K}.$$

It follows that $E_r(k)$ is isomorphic to spectral sequence $\mathcal{H}_r(k)$, which is defined to be the homotopy spectral sequence for

$$\text{holim}_\Delta \text{holim}_{q \geq 0} \text{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, L_k^q)^{G/K} \simeq \left(\text{holim}_{q \geq 0} L_k^q \right)^{hG/K}.$$

($\mathcal{H}_r(k)$ is an instance of the spectral sequence that is studied in [3, Theorem 8.8].) The above equivalence uses that L_k^q is a fibrant spectrum for each $q \geq 0$ and G/K has finite virtual cohomological dimension, and the expression on the right-hand side above is the G/K -homotopy fixed points of the continuous G/K -spectrum $\text{holim}_{q \geq 0} L_k^q$ (in the sense of [3]).

We want to compare spectral sequence $\mathcal{H}_r(k)$ with a certain other spectral sequence, and to accomplish this, we need to do some preliminary work. The first step is to note that, for each $q \geq 0$, there is a canonical map $E'_{n, I_k} \rightarrow P^q E'_{n, I_k}$ in the category of profinite G_n -spectra, and hence there is the induced G/K -equivariant map

$$(22) \quad \text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, E'_{n, I_k})^{K_j} \rightarrow \text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, P^q E'_{n, I_k})^{K_j}.$$

The source of the map (22) is the first term in the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, E'_{n, I_k})^{K_j} &= \text{colim}_j (E'_{n, I_k})^{hK_j} \simeq \text{colim}_j (E_n^{dhK_j} \wedge M_{I_k}) \\ &\simeq E_n^{dhK} \wedge M_{I_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equivalence follows from (21) and the last equivalence is due to Devinatz and Hopkins [11, Proposition 6.3] (for the details, see [5, Lemma 7.1]). As recalled earlier, the spectrum $E_n^{dhK} \wedge M_{I_k}$ has finite homotopy groups, and hence the source $\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, E'_{n, I_k})^{K_j}$ of the map (22) is a π -finite G/K -spectrum.

For each $q \geq 0$, there is a morphism

$$\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, E'_{n, I_k})^{K_j} \rightarrow L_k^q$$

of discrete G/K -spectra that is equal to the composition

$$\operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j} \rightarrow F_{G/K}^s(\operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j}) \rightarrow L_k^q,$$

where the first map in the above composition exists by [Theorem 3.5](#), since the source is a π -finite G/K -spectrum, and the last map is obtained by applying $F_{G/K}^s$ to the map [\(22\)](#). It follows that, for each $q \geq 0$, there is an induced map

$$\operatorname{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, \operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j})^{G/K} \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, L_k^q)^{G/K}$$

of cosimplicial spectra, and hence there is a map

$$\operatorname{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, \operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j})^{G/K} \rightarrow \lim_{q \geq 0} \operatorname{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, L_k^q)^{G/K}$$

of cosimplicial spectra. Composition of the last map above with the canonical map from the limit to the homotopy limit yields a map

$$\operatorname{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, \operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j})^{G/K} \rightarrow \operatorname{holim}_{q \geq 0} \operatorname{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, L_k^q)^{G/K}$$

that induces a morphism from $\mathcal{D}_r(k)$, which is defined to be the homotopy spectral sequence for

$$\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \operatorname{Map}_c((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, \operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j})^{G/K},$$

to the spectral sequence $\mathcal{H}_r(k)$.

The isomorphism between spectral sequences $\mathcal{H}_r(k)$ and $E_r(k)$, which we established earlier, implies that $\mathcal{H}_r(k)$ has E_2 -term isomorphic to

$$E_2^{s,t}(k) = H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t((E'_{n,I_k})^{hK})).$$

Also, as in [\[3, Theorem 7.9\]](#), spectral sequence $\mathcal{D}_r(k)$ has E_2 -term equal to

$$H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(\operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j})),$$

with $\pi_t(\operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j})$ equal to a discrete G/K -module. Since

$$\operatorname{colim}_j \operatorname{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j} \simeq E_n^{dhK} \wedge M_{I_k} \simeq (E'_{n,I_k})^{hK},$$

where the last equivalence above applies [\(21\)](#), and the finite profinite G/K -module $\pi_t((E'_{n,I_k})^{hK})$ is automatically a discrete G/K -module, the E_2 -terms of $\mathcal{D}_r(k)$ and $\mathcal{H}_r(k)$ are isomorphic. Therefore, spectral sequences $E_r(k)$ and $\mathcal{D}_r(k)$ are isomorphic to each other from the E_2 -terms onward.

In [5, proof of Theorem 7.6], there is a descent spectral sequence that is referred to as $E_r^{*,*}(K, G, k)$ and which has the form

$$(23) \quad H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(E_n^{h'K} \wedge M_{I_k})) \implies \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{h'G} \wedge M_{I_k}).$$

As done with the notation “ $(E_n \wedge M_{I_k})_f$ ”, if Z denotes an arbitrary spectrum, we let Z_f be a functorial fibrant replacement of Z in the stable model category of spectra. It follows from [5, (6.2)] that the spectral sequence $E_r^{*,*}(K, G, k)$ is the homotopy spectral sequence for

$$\text{holim}_\Delta \text{Map}_c\left((G/K)^{\bullet+1}, \text{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G_n} (E_n^{dhNK} \wedge M_{I_k})_f\right)^{G/K}.$$

Because

$$\text{colim}_j \text{Map}(EG, E'_{n,I_k})^{K_j} \simeq E_n^{dhK} \wedge M_{I_k} \simeq \text{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G_n} (E_n^{dhNK} \wedge M_{I_k})_f,$$

where the last equivalence follows from Devinatz and Hopkins [11, Definition 1.5] (for some details, see [5, Lemma 5.2]), there is an isomorphism between spectral sequences $\mathcal{D}_r(k)$ and $E_r^{*,*}(K, G, k)$ (to see this, it is helpful to recall the definition of $\mathcal{D}_r(k)$).

Since the spectral sequence (20) is the spectral sequence $\lim_k E_r(k)$, it is isomorphic to the spectral sequence $\lim_k \mathcal{D}_r(k)$; thus it is isomorphic to the spectral sequence $\lim_k E_r^{*,*}(K, G, k)$, from the E_2 -term onward. Since $\lim_k E_r^{*,*}(K, G, k)$ is the descent spectral sequence

$$H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(E_n^{h'K})) \implies \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{h'G})$$

of (3) (see [5, proof of Theorem 7.6]), which is isomorphic to the strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$H_c^s(G/K; \pi_t(E_n^{dhK})) \implies \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{dhG})$$

of (2) from the E_2 -term onward, it follows that the spectral sequence (20) is isomorphic to spectral sequences (2) and (3) from the E_2 -term onward, and its strong convergence follows from that of (2). □

References

- [1] **M Behrens, D G Davis**, *The homotopy fixed point spectra of profinite Galois extensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010) 4983–5042 [MR](#)
- [2] **E H Brown, Jr, M Comenetz**, *Pontrjagin duality for generalized homology and cohomology theories*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976) 1–27 [MR](#)
- [3] **D G Davis**, *Homotopy fixed points for $L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge X)$ using the continuous action*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 206 (2006) 322–354 [MR](#)

- [4] **D G Davis**, *Explicit fibrant replacement for discrete G -spectra*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. 10 (2008) 137–150 [MR](#)
- [5] **D G Davis**, *Iterated homotopy fixed points for the Lubin–Tate spectrum*, Topology Appl. 156 (2009) 2881–2898 [MR](#)
- [6] **D G Davis**, *Delta-discrete G -spectra and iterated homotopy fixed points*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11 (2011) 2775–2814 [MR](#)
- [7] **E S Devinatz**, *A Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for certain homotopy fixed point spectra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005) 129–150 [MR](#)
- [8] **E S Devinatz**, *Homotopy groups of homotopy fixed point spectra associated to E_n* , from: “Proceedings of the Nishida Fest”, (M Ando, N Minami, J Morava, W S Wilson, editors), Geom. Topol. Monogr. 10 (2007) 131–145 [MR](#)
- [9] **E S Devinatz**, *Towards the finiteness of $\pi_* L_{K(n)} S^0$* , Adv. Math. 219 (2008) 1656–1688 [MR](#)
- [10] **E S Devinatz, M J Hopkins**, *The action of the Morava stabilizer group on the Lubin–Tate moduli space of lifts*, Amer. J. Math. 117 (1995) 669–710 [MR](#)
- [11] **E S Devinatz, M J Hopkins**, *Homotopy fixed point spectra for closed subgroups of the Morava stabilizer groups*, Topology 43 (2004) 1–47 [MR](#)
- [12] **J D Dixon, M P F du Sautoy, A Mann, D Segal**, *Analytic pro- p groups*, 2nd edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 61, Cambridge Univ. Press (1999) [MR](#)
- [13] **H Fausk**, *Equivariant homotopy theory for pro-spectra*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008) 103–176 [MR](#)
- [14] **P G Goerss**, *Homotopy fixed points for Galois groups*, from: “The Čech centennial”, (M Cenk, H Miller, editors), Contemp. Math. 181, Amer. Math. Soc. (1995) 187–224 [MR](#)
- [15] **P Goerss, H-W Henn, M Mahowald**, *The homotopy of $L_2 V(1)$ for the prime 3*, from: “Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology”, (G Arone, J Hubbuck, R Levi, M Weiss, editors), Progr. Math. 215, Birkhäuser, Basel (2004) 125–151 [MR](#)
- [16] **P G Goerss, M J Hopkins**, *Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra*, from: “Structured ring spectra”, (A Baker, B Richter, editors), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 315, Cambridge Univ. Press (2004) 151–200 [MR](#)
- [17] **M J Hopkins, M Mahowald, H Sadofsky**, *Constructions of elements in Picard groups*, from: “Topology and representation theory”, (E M Friedlander, M E Mahowald, editors), Contemp. Math. 158, Amer. Math. Soc. (1994) 89–126 [MR](#)
- [18] **M Hovey**, *Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 165 (2001) 63–127 [MR](#)
- [19] **M Hovey, N P Strickland**, *Morava K -theories and localisation*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 666, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1999) [MR](#)

- [20] **J F Jardine**, *Simplicial presheaves*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 47 (1987) 35–87 [MR](#)
- [21] **J F Jardine**, *Generalized étale cohomology theories*, Progress in Mathematics 146, Birkhäuser, Basel (1997) [MR](#)
- [22] **S A Mitchell**, *Hypercohomology spectra and Thomason’s descent theorem*, from: “Algebraic K -theory”, (V P Snaith, editor), Fields Inst. Commun. 16, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997) 221–277 [MR](#)
- [23] **G Quick**, *Continuous group actions on profinite spaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011) 1024–1039 [MR](#)
- [24] **G Quick**, *Continuous homotopy fixed points for Lubin–Tate spectra*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 15 (2013) 191–222 [MR](#)
- [25] **G Quick**, *Profinite G -spectra*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 15 (2013) 151–189 [MR](#)
- [26] **D C Ravenel**, *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 128, Princeton Univ. Press (1992) [MR](#)
- [27] **C Rezk**, *Notes on the Hopkins–Miller theorem*, from: “Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations”, (M Mahowald, S Priddy, editors), Contemp. Math. 220, Amer. Math. Soc. (1998) 313–366 [MR](#)
- [28] **P Symonds, T Weigel**, *Cohomology of p -adic analytic groups*, from: “New horizons in pro- p groups”, (M du Sautoy, D Segal, A Shalev, editors), Progr. Math. 184, Birkhäuser, Boston (2000) 349–410 [MR](#)
- [29] **R W Thomason**, *Algebraic K -theory and étale cohomology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 18 (1985) 437–552 [MR](#)
- [30] **C Westerland**, *A higher chromatic analogue of the image of J* , preprint (2015) [arXiv](#)

Department of Mathematics, University of Louisiana at Lafayette

1403 Johnston Street, Maxim Doucet Hall, Room 217, Lafayette, LA 70504-3568, USA

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology

7491 Trondheim, Norway

dgdavis@louisiana.edu, gereon.quick@math.ntnu.no

<http://www.ucs.louisiana.edu/~dxd0799/>,

<http://www.math.ntnu.no/~gereonq/>

Received: 29 July 2015

Revised: 13 October 2015

