

Manuscript Details

Manuscript number	HMS_2017_242
Title	The Role of Speed and Incline in the Spontaneous Choice of Technique in Classical Roller-Skiing
Article type	Full length article

Abstract

Cross-country skiers change technique depending on terrain (incline) and effort (work rate; speed at a particular incline or resistance). The literature is not unequivocal about the influence of incline or speed on the choice of technique, i.e., which of these act as a 'control parameter'. Identifying task related control parameters for spontaneous technique shifts assists elucidating which mechanisms are active for triggering technique transitions. The aim of this study was to investigate whether speed or incline acted as such control parameter for technique shifts during classic style roller skiing. In this study, we kept the exercise intensity constant while changing two potential control parameters (speed and incline). Thus, any effect of work rate was excluded. Eight male competitive cross-country skiers performed roller skiing on a treadmill while incline was altered from 3 to 11% and back to 3% each minute by 1% and speed changed accordingly to obtain a constant work rate. This protocol was performed at three submaximal work rates (170, 200, and 230 W) to obtain various combinations of speed and incline. The athletes were free to choose their technique (double poling, double poling with kick and diagonal stride), which was identified using continuous phase analysis on the motion of the skis. Physiological response (heart rate, oxygen uptake) was recorded continuously. The incline seemed to affect choice of technique shift more than speed: the ANOVA for repeated measures on all work rates showed no significant effect of incline ($p > 0.2$) and an effect for speed ($p < 0.001$). No effect of protocol order (increasing versus decreasing incline) was found for transitions. The physiological response was lowest for conditions of steep incline-low speed and was affected by protocol order. Cycle rate was affected by incline only in the double poling technique. Possible mechanisms related to the triggering of technique transitions are discussed.

Keywords	Cross-country skiing; phase transitions; coordination
Taxonomy	Sport, Movement
Corresponding Author	Gertjan Ettema
Corresponding Author's Institution	NTNU
Order of Authors	Gertjan Ettema, Espen Kveli, Magne Øksnes, Øyvind Sandbakk

The Role of Speed and Incline in the Spontaneous Choice of Technique in Classical Roller-Skiing

Gertjan Ettema, Espen Kveli, Magne Øksnes, Øyvind Sandbakk

Centre for Elite Sports Research, Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science,
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
Trondheim, Norway

Corresponding author:

Gertjan Ettema

Email: gertjan.ettema@ntnu.no

Centre for Elite Sports Research

Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

7491 Trondheim, Norway

Keywords: Cross-country skiing; phase transitions; coordination

1 **Abstract**

2 Cross-country skiers change technique depending on terrain (incline) and effort (work rate;
3 speed at a particular incline or resistance). The literature is not unequivocal about the
4 influence of incline or speed on the choice of technique, i.e., which of these act as a ‘control
5 parameter’. Identifying task related control parameters for spontaneous technique shifts
6 assists elucidating which mechanisms are active for triggering technique transitions. The aim
7 of this study was to investigate whether speed or incline acted as such control parameter for
8 technique shifts during classic style roller skiing. In this study, we kept the exercise intensity
9 constant while changing two potential control parameters (speed and incline). Thus, any
10 effect of work rate was excluded.

11 Eight male competitive cross-country skiers performed roller skiing on a treadmill while
12 incline was altered from 3 to 11% and back to 3% each minute by 1% and speed changed
13 accordingly to obtain a constant work rate. This protocol was performed at three submaximal
14 work rates (170, 200, and 230 W) to obtain various combinations of speed and incline.

15 The athletes were free to choose their technique (double poling, double poling with kick and
16 diagonal stride), which was identified using continuous phase analysis on the motion of the
17 skis. Physiological response (heart rate, oxygen uptake) was recorded continuously.

18 The incline seemed to affect choice of technique shift more than speed: the ANOVA for
19 repeated measures on all work rates showed no significant effect of incline ($p > 0.2$) and an
20 effect for speed ($p < 0.001$). No effect of protocol order (increasing versus decreasing incline)
21 was found for transitions. The physiological response was lowest for conditions of steep
22 incline-low speed and was affected by protocol order. Cycle rate was affected by incline only
23 in the double poling technique.

24 Possible mechanisms related to the triggering of technique transitions are discussed.

1

2 **Highlights**

3 • Technique transitions in classical style cross-country skiing are guided by incline, not
4 speed.

5 • The incline at which technique shifts occur does not depend on the direction of
6 change.

7 • When exercising at a constant work rate in classic cross-country skiing, energy
8 consumption is lowest at steep incline – low speed condition.

9

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Cross-country ski training and competition is typically performed in varied terrain
3 where skiers use different techniques, both in freestyle (skating) and classical style, primarily
4 depending on that terrain. The different techniques are considered as a gear system to adapt to
5 changes in speed and incline (e.g., Nilsson, Tveit, & Eikrehagen, 2004), possibly in a similar
6 way as different gait forms (running vs walking) are preferred depending primarily on speed.
7 Parameters that trigger transitions in gait in general are well investigated. Metabolic rate
8 (Alexander, 1989; Hoyt & Taylor, 1981; Mercier et al., 1994), mechanical stress (Farley &
9 Taylor, 1991; Hreljac, 1995; Neptune & Sasaki, 2005) and subjective feeling of comfort
10 (Daniels & Newell, 2003; Prilutsky & Gregor, 2001; Thorstensson & Roberthson, 1987) have
11 been proposed as parameters that, when reaching critical values, trigger transitions.

12 In cross-country skiing in the classic style, the main techniques applied are diagonal
13 stride (DIA), double poling with a kick (DK) and double poling (DP). DIA follows a diagonal
14 coordinated pattern as known from walking and running, where arms and legs move
15 contralateral (Pellegrini et al., 2013). The DIA technique is primarily used in moderate to
16 steep uphill slopes, where the high propulsive phase ratio (the relation between propulsive
17 phase and recovery phase) provides advantages (Dahl, Sandbakk, Danielsen, & Ettema, 2017;
18 Pellegrini et al., 2013). DP is a symmetrical and synchronous movement of both arms, where
19 the propulsive forces are exerted only through the poles. The propulsion is supported by
20 considerable trunk flexion (Holmberg, Lindinger, Stoggl, Eitzlmair, & Muller, 2005). The
21 lower limbs contribute in the production of propulsive forces by elevating center-of-mass by
22 extending ankle- and knee joints, resulting in an increase of potential energy (Dahl et al.,
23 2017; Danielsen, Sandbakk, Holmberg, & Ettema, 2015; Holmberg, Lindinger, Stoggl,
24 Bjorklund, & Muller, 2006). DP is most frequently used in slight uphill, slight downhill and
25 flat terrain, but in recent years also in steeper uphill terrain when the friction is low and the

1 snow is hard-packed and allows for effective poling. In DK, the upper body movement is
2 quite similar to the movement in DP. In addition to the propulsive force from the poles, DK is
3 supported by propulsion from either a left or right leg kick, inserted between the double
4 poling actions to enhance the propulsive phase. DK is a combination of DIA and DP and is
5 commonly used slightly uphill or if snow conditions cause high resistance in flat terrain
6 (Smith, 2003). The inserted leg kick has the same characteristics as the lower limb movement
7 in DIA (Lindinger, Gopfert, Stoggl, Muller, & Holmberg, 2009). DK has a large propulsive
8 phase of about 52% of a cycle, including leg- and pole push offs. This is considerably higher
9 than that of DP at similar speeds, with a propulsive phase of 30-38% of a cycle (Göpfert,
10 Holmberg, Stöggl, Müller, & Lindinger, 2012), but lower than DIA with a phase of about
11 80% at high speed (Dahl et al., 2017). In addition to the large amount of propulsive phases,
12 DK shows the lowest cycle rate among the sub-techniques in classical cross-country skiing.

13 Although the conditions under which particular techniques are preferred are
14 reasonably well known, most studies that target this issue were not designed to identify,
15 independently of workload, the task related control parameter, i.e., slope or speed, for the
16 transition of technique. For example, Cignetti, Schena, Zanone, and Rouard (2009)
17 investigated transitions in classical cross-country skiing by letting the skiers ski “as naturally
18 as possible” while roller-skiing on a treadmill where speed was constant (10 km h⁻¹) and the
19 incline increased by 1° every 30 second, from 0° to 7°. Cignetti et al. (2009) suggested that
20 increasing incline caused a technique transition by a loss of stability. Pellegrini et al. (2013)
21 used the same test setup as Cignetti et al. (2009), but in addition varied speed at a constant
22 incline. In addition, they tried to identify the main trigger parameters regarding technique
23 transition in classical cross-country skiing. The results from this study suggested two
24 different primary parameters. They hypothesized that there is a limited force a skier would
25 like to exert through the poles and approaching this limit triggers a transition to another

1 technique where less of the propulsive forces are exerted through the poles. The other
2 suggested parameter was leg thrust time, i.e., the time where the ski stands still during a leg
3 stride. The thrust time was suggested to have a lower limit (0.1 s), which, if approached,
4 would trigger a technique transition allowing longer thrust time. This corresponds to Nilsson
5 et al. (2004) observations, where 0.15 seconds was the shortest leg thrust time. Although
6 these studies provide valuable information about the control parameter(s) that may play
7 central roles in shifts of technique, they are not (and cannot be) conclusive about whether
8 incline and/or speed is the key control parameter that determines technique shifts in varying
9 terrain. Therefore, it was our aim to explore this issue further by a protocol that changed both
10 incline and speed simultaneously to keep workload unaltered. By performing this protocol at
11 different workloads, i.e., studying different combinations of incline and speed, we attempted
12 to determine which of these two parameters, if any, could be regarded as the control
13 parameter for technique shifts. We recorded motion of skis and poles to identify the different
14 techniques and changes thereof, and cycle rate was determined to shed light on possible
15 mechanisms behind technique shifts. Furthermore, we recorded the physiological response
16 continuously to investigate if metabolic demand was altered throughout this protocol.

17

18 **2. Methods**

19 *2.1. Participants*

20 Eight male national level competitive cross-country skiers (age 22.4 ± 1.7 years, body height
21 183.7 ± 4.4 cm, body mass 80.3 ± 7.7 kg, and $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ 73.9 ± 6.4 ml kg⁻¹ min⁻¹) volunteered
22 to participate in this study. All procedures were explained verbally to each skier and written
23 informed consent was obtained and signed. All participants were informed that could
24 withdraw at any time without giving any reason. The study was registered, and approved by

1 Norwegian Social Science Data Services. The study was conducted in accordance with the
2 Declaration of Helsinki.

3 *2.2. Experimental Design*

4 All participants completed three sessions of warm-up and a test protocol at constant work
5 rate. The warm up was performed on the treadmill and consisted of a 5-minute self-paced
6 familiarization period before a standardized 12-minute warm-up at varying speed and incline
7 during which techniques could be employed. The test sequences (Figure 1A) were done at
8 three different submaximal aerobic work rates (target: 170, 200, and 230 Watts) in
9 randomized order and were executed on three different days. First, 11 minutes of constant
10 work rate where incline increased by 1% each minute from 3 to 11% incline, and speed
11 simultaneously decreased accordingly to obtain the same and constant external workload
12 ('upward' protocol). The first incline-speed combination was maintained 3 minutes rather
13 than one to give the athlete time to obtain steady state physiological conditions. Each shift of
14 incline and speed took about 2 seconds to complete. After a short 1-minute break, this was
15 followed by 11 minutes of the same work rate with decreasing incline (from 11 to 3%) and
16 corresponding increasing speed ('downward' protocol).

17 The speeds to obtain the target work rates were calculated according to Sandbakk, Holmberg,
18 Leirdal, and Ettema (2010):

$$19 \quad P_{target} = mg v (\sin\alpha + \mu \cos\alpha) \leftrightarrow v = P_{target} (mg (\sin\alpha + \mu \cos\alpha))^{-1}$$

20 With P_{target} the targeted work rate, v the target speed, m the participants' expected average
21 body mass (78 kg), μ (≈ 0.022) the friction coefficient as established by a towing test
22 (Sandbakk et al., 2010), g gravitational acceleration, and α incline. Thus, slightly different
23 work rates were used for each athlete depending on their body mass. This approach was
24 preferred above using identical workloads for all participants for practical reasons as well as

1 because this would resemble the usual testing and competitive situations in which all
2 participants undergo the same protocols based on speed and incline.

3 *2.3. Instruments and Materials*

4 The participants skied on a 5x3-meter treadmill (Forcelink Technology, Zwolle, The
5 Netherlands), optimized for roller skiing. All athletes used the same pair of roller skis
6 (Pro-Ski, Sterners, Nyhammar, Sweden) with wheels from IDT (IDT Sports, Lena, Norway,
7 resistance category 2). The poles (Madshus UHM 100, Biri, Norway) were available in five-
8 centimeter incremental lengths and all participants were allowed to choose their preferred
9 length, which subsequently was used in all tests. All three test-protocols were pre-
10 programmed using the treadmill's software.

11 2.3.1. Physiological variables

12 Oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$) was measured by using open-circuit indirect calorimetry (Oxygen
13 Pro apparatus, Jaeger GmbH, Hoechberg, Germany). The aerobic metabolic rate was
14 calculated as the product of $\dot{V}O_2$ and the oxygen energetic equivalent using the associated
15 respiratory exchange ratio (*RER*) and standard equations based on the conversion tables in
16 Peronnet and Massicotte (1991). Before each test-session, the system was calibrated using a
17 known mixture of gases (16.00% \pm 0.04% O_2 and 5.0% \pm 0.1% CO_2 , Riessner-Gase GmbH &
18 Co, Lichtenfels, Germany), and the expiratory flowmeter was calibrated with a 3-liter pump
19 (Hans Rudolph Inc, Kansas City, MO). Heart rate was recorded with a heart-rate monitor
20 (Garmin, USA), at a sampling rate of 1Hz. Respiratory variables were recorded continuously
21 during the entire session. Gross efficiency (*GE*) was calculated as the ratio of external work
22 rate, i.e., P_{target} , over aerobic metabolic rate.

23 2.3.2. Kinematical variables and technique identification

1 Kinematic data were collected during the periods of interest, i.e., 9 minutes during upward
2 and downward protocol using the Oqus motion capture system with six cameras (Qualisys
3 AB, Gothenburg, Sweden) at 50 Hz. Six passive reflective markers, one on each ski (rear),
4 one on each pole (about five cm below the pole grip), and two on the treadmill in longitudinal
5 direction. Figure 2 depicts the procedure for identification of skiing technique (DP, DK, DIA)
6 and cycle rate (*CR*): in a specially written script in Matlab (8.4.0 R2014b, Mathworks Inc.,
7 Natick, MA, USA), the mean continuous phase between the skis was determined for a
8 complete cycle (phase ≤ 30 degrees, in-phase = DP; phase ≥ 120 , out-of-phase = DIA;
9 $30 < \text{phase} < 120$ usually about 60 degrees phase = DK). Movement cycles were identified by
10 finding local minima and maxima of the ski movement in fore-aft direction relative to the
11 moving belt. These movement cycles (that were sinusoidal in nature) were normalized for
12 amplitude before being transformed to angle. The relative phase was determined as the
13 difference between the two angles. A moving average, with a window width of five complete
14 movement cycles was applied to the relative phase trace to handle noise. The relative phase
15 for DIA and DP is very consistent with little variation because the phase hardly changes
16 within one cycle. However, in DK, the phase changes within one cycle from out-of-phase to
17 in-phase, which after smoothing appears as a 'band' (Figure 2). Occasionally, an athlete
18 would switch to another technique to return to the original one within a few movement
19 cycles. Such shifts were ignored and only lasting shift, i.e., leading to the use of another
20 technique for at least three whole cycles were considered in further analysis.

21 The algorithm was quality checked by comparing the computed technique transition times
22 with the times that were registered during the experiments. A continuously running video
23 camera was used as a control if any disagreement between the two previously mentioned
24 methods. This was necessary only twice, and the video recordings showed that the algorithm
25 was correct.

1 2.4. *Statistical analysis*

2 All data were checked for normality and presented as means and standard deviations. To test
3 whether incline and/or speed were the control parameter, both incline and corresponding
4 speed at which technique shifts occurred were compared as outcome variables in a 2-way
5 ANOVA for repeated measures (three work rates \times two incline-speed orders) using the
6 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 24; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Local
7 differences between work rates were checked using ‘difference’ contrasts. Physiological
8 response variables were analyzed with respect to change over time (condition) during the
9 protocol using linear regression analysis, and order of protocol using Student’s t-test for
10 paired comparisons.

11

12 **3. Results**

13 Figure 3 exemplifies the time trace results for one participant. Permanent technique shifts
14 always occurred during or within a few seconds of a change of incline and speed. For all
15 athletes, no techniques shifts between DP and DIA occurred, only between DP-DK and DK-
16 DIA. In all cases DP was applied at the slighter inclines (higher speeds), DIA at the steeper
17 inclines (lower speeds), and DK at intermediate inclines and speed. In three (out of 54)
18 occasions, DIA was not applied.

19 *3.1. Technique shifts*

20 The incline and speed at which the two technique shifts (DP-DK and DK-DIA) occurred are
21 shown in Figure 4A. The effect of work rate on incline-of-shift was not significant (DP-DK
22 $p=0.886$; DK-DIA $p=0.212$). No order effect was found (DP-DK $p=0.084$; DK-DIA
23 $p=0.239$).

1 The effect of work rate on speed-of-shift was highly significant ($p < 0.001$ for both shifts), and
2 no order effect was found (DP-DK $p = 0.469$; DK-DIA $p = 0.371$). All local differences
3 (contrasts) for work rate were significant ($p \leq 0.027$). Because the protocol was designed to
4 obtain constant work rate, and speed was based on given inclines, small differences in the
5 programmed speeds between work rates existed. Thus, speeds of technique transition could
6 be similar but never identical between work rates (as inclines could be). To check if this
7 affected the statistical outcome, the same procedure was applied to the rounded speed values
8 (in whole km h^{-1} , which contained identical values between work rates). The mean difference
9 between actual and rounded speed amounted to 0.23 km h^{-1} , with a maximal difference of 0.5
10 km h^{-1} . For these rounded speeds, a similar outcome was obtained as for the original speed
11 values (main work rate effect $p < 0.001$ for both shifts; interaction DP-DK $p = 0.368$; DK-DIA
12 $p = 0.482$). This basically implies that the differences in speed among the three work rates
13 where technique shifts occurred was larger than the sensitivity introduced by the protocol.

14 3.2. Cycle rate

15 Changes in *CR* driven by incline-speed settings (as apparent in Figure 3) are small in
16 comparison to the changes driven by choice of technique. Furthermore, *CR* in DK and DIA
17 are very similar. Because *CR* is strongly affected by technique, the effect of incline-speed
18 combination was tested within each technique employed by the athletes. For DIA (four
19 inclines), no effect of incline was found; for DK the dataset with congruent inclines was too
20 small, i.e., too few athletes applied DK at same inclines for this purpose; for DP (two
21 inclines) a significant effect of incline was found ($p = 0.003$), for work rate ($p = 0.042$), as well
22 as interaction ($p = 0.007$): *CR* increased with incline, except for the highest work rate where
23 there was no effect.

24 3.3. Physiological response

1 The physiological response shows relatively steady conditions during the period of statistical
2 analysis, but gradual changes occur that are consistent among athletes. Fig. 5 shows the
3 physiological response (for ‘upward’ and ‘downward’ protocol, against incline-speed
4 condition in the protocol). The rate of change in the physiological response was small but
5 significant and depended on incline-speed condition rather than time per sé. Expressed as
6 mean \pm S.D. for all work rate and protocol order combinations, rate of change for $V\dot{O}_2$
7 amounted to $0.59 \pm 0.35 \text{ ml kg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-2}$, HR to $1.24 \pm 1.14 \text{ beats min}^{-2}$ (significant only in
8 ‘downward’ protocol), and GE to $0.25 \pm 0.13 \text{ \% min}^{-1}$. Noticeably, for all work rates, the
9 steep incline – low speed condition resulted in in the lower metabolic cost and higher
10 efficiency. Protocol order effects, i.e., ‘upward’ and ‘downward’ data deviating from each
11 other, were identified for most of the protocol. Generally, the ‘downward’ protocol led to
12 lower physiological response, particularly at the steep incline- low speed conditions. RER
13 never exceeded 1.0, indicating the exercise conditions were aerobic.

14

15 **4. Discussion**

16 The main findings of this study were that the spontaneous shifts between techniques in the
17 classic style in submaximal and constant work rate cross-country skiing is steered by incline
18 rather than speed. The protocol order did not influence the conditions of shifts, ruling out
19 large hysteresis effects or duration of the exercise. Furthermore, almost all transitions
20 occurred instantaneously at incline-speed changes, and were in line with findings by Cignetti
21 et al. (2009). Cycle rate was mostly determined by the choice of technique and affected by
22 incline-speed condition only in DP. The physiological response was affected by the incline-
23 speed combination: the steeper incline with lower speed combination tended to show lower

1 $V\dot{V}O_2$ and HR , and higher GE . The protocol order affected the physiological response, with
2 the second ('downward') protocol leading to a lower response $V\dot{V}O_2$ and higher GE .

3 *4.1. Choice of technique*

4 The first purpose of this study was to examine if incline or speed was the control parameter
5 for any transition in technique during constant work rate classic roller skiing. The results
6 clearly indicate that incline is the steering parameter. Our results regarding incline-speed
7 combinations and technique preferences seem to agree with findings by Pellegrini et al.
8 (2013). However, the fact that speed does not seem to play a role of significance contradicts
9 suggestions made by these authors and by Dahl et al. (2017) with regard to the mechanism
10 that may explain the choice of using DIA (and DK). Pellegrini et al. (2013) argue that with
11 increasing speed the time to propel becomes too short for ski propulsion using DIA. If speed
12 and related time for leg thrust is a key determinant, we cannot explain our findings depicted
13 in Figure 4: if the speed at which the shift to DIA occurs at 170 W is critical (i.e., it is less
14 than 8 km h⁻¹ for DIA), the shift at 230 at about 10 km h⁻¹ cannot be explained. The same
15 applies for the shift to DK for which attainable conditions are to be met at higher speeds
16 (Pellegrini et al., 2013).

17 The reason for why incline is the main steering parameter for techniques choice is open for
18 debate, but some suggestions are given here. The incline most likely affects the positioning of
19 the body relative to the ground surface. For example, small differences in joint angles may
20 exist depending on incline, which will affect dynamics and energy consumption (e.g., Stöggl
21 & Holmberg, 2016). A more straightforward aspect of incline is the effect via the resistive
22 component of gravity placing demands on propulsion forces. A way of approaching such
23 incline effect is that by di Prampero et al. (2005) who compared accelerated running (i.e.,
24 demanding high propulsion force for acceleration) with running uphill at constant speed. In

1 both cases, the acceleration vector of propulsion is obliquely oriented with regard to gravity,
2 creating mechanical similarities between these two conditions. Similarly, accelerated skiing
3 on the flat, like at the start of a race, may be mechanically comparable with skiing steep
4 uphill at a constant speed. At the start of a classic style race when acceleration is high, skiers
5 tend to start in DIA to change into DP after about 20-30 m (observations in world cup races).
6 It is likely that the magnitude of acceleration diminishes and at about 20 m in the race
7 ‘resembles’ a lesser incline at which skiers prefer DP. In DP, the propulsive force (and
8 power) required for a large acceleration vector, regardless its purpose (horizontal acceleration
9 or opposing gravity) can only be provided through the poles. It is tempting to argue that the
10 arms may be a limiting factor. However, Dahl et al. (2017) showed only marginal increases in
11 peak pole forces and strongly reduced peak pole power when increasing incline from 5% to
12 12% in DP at 200 Watts, indicating such limitations are not met in the current study. This is
13 substantiated by the large amount of power residing for the lower extremity in DP (e.g.,
14 Danielsen et al., 2015; Holmberg et al., 2006; Holmberg et al., 2005; Pellegrini, Zoppiroli,
15 Bortolan, Zamparo, & Schena, 2014). However, the utilization of this contribution via the
16 body’s mechanical energy may actually be another candidate that explains the preference of
17 technique at steep inclines. It may well be that in accelerated skiing the direction of
18 acceleration (and thus pole forces) limits the generation and/or utilization of this mechanical
19 energy. In other words, the perpendicular up- and downward motion of the body may be
20 restricted, posing a limitation on the musculature that is available for power generation in the
21 upper body only. Note that power generation (whole body) and transformation into
22 propulsion via the poles are two closely related but essentially different aspects of the DP
23 technique. Obviously, more research is required to test this idea.

24 Interestingly, no statistical indication for hysteresis was found. That is, the ‘upward’ and
25 ‘downward’ protocol led to very similar inclines of technique shift. On basis of theory of

1 motor control (e.g., Turvey, 1990), hysteresis was expected: a technique shift tends to occur
2 when the ‘disadvantage’ of the current technique (by which ever variable this disadvantage
3 may be indicated) exceeds the drawbacks of going through an unstable transition period with
4 high energy demand (Usherwood & Bertram, 2003). Thus, the point of transition lies past the
5 point of equality, and will therefore depend on the direction of transition (e.g., Dutt-
6 Mazumder & Newell, 2017; Hreljac, 1993; Raynor, Yi, Abernethy, & Jong, 2002; Turvey,
7 1990; Turvey, Holt, Lafiandra, & Fonseca, 1999). Our study was not designed to investigate
8 this issue in detail. Rather, by using both the ‘upward’ and ‘downward’ protocol, we ensured
9 that history or duration of the exercise did not play a role of importance. Still, if hysteresis
10 exists, it was not revealed because it apparently is smaller than the sensitivity in this study
11 (1% incline).

12 *4.2. Physiological response*

13 In addition to the obvious effect of work rate, it is difficult to determine if incline or speed
14 guided the details of the physiological response. In the current study, we could have
15 attempted this in a similar way as for technique shifts. However, we cannot ascertain that the
16 exercise history (protocol) did not affect the instantaneous physiological response, i.e., if the
17 response resembled a physiological steady state. Even though the rate of change in response
18 was low and near-constant response was achieved, the protocol order affected the response in
19 a significant manner. This makes it merely impossible to judge whether incline or speed is
20 responsible for the details in physiological response and even more difficult to generalize
21 such conclusions beyond the current experiment. Interestingly, where a clear effect of
22 protocol order was observed on the physiological response, this was not apparent for
23 technique shifts. In other words, the role that the technique shifts may have played for the
24 physiological response is difficult to ascertain. Still, the present results showed that skiing at
25 a steep incline at low speed (primarily using DIA) was done at lower physiological load than

1 at a small incline with high speed (using DP). This is in agreement with previous findings for
2 in classic style (Dahl et al., 2017), as well as in freestyle (Sandbakk, Ettema, & Holmberg,
3 2012; Sandbakk, Hegge, & Ettema, 2013). Thus, even though in this study the efficiency
4 differences are associated with technique (DP versus DIA), it may well be that the effect of
5 incline-speed condition on efficiency is actually independent of technique, which warrants
6 further investigation. This finding may have implications for practice with regard to pacing
7 strategies. If an athlete paces according to physiological load (or perceived rate of exertion),
8 the external work rate will not be constant and depend on the terrain.

9 The possible role of force-velocity (power-velocity) characteristics of skeletal muscle have
10 not yet been discussed. It may be well so that the different techniques allow for changes of
11 joint and related muscle shortening velocities (not recorded in this study) at the same speed of
12 movement. However, the minor but very clear incline dependent changes in *CR* within one
13 technique (DP) do not advocate this idea. In case that moving at energetic optimal joint
14 velocities, this is apparently handled by adjusting *CR*.

15 The current study dealt with constant work rate conditions and changes in the task conditions
16 were predictable. This may have, as in many others studies, affected the outcome. Thus,
17 extrapolating our findings to less predictable conditions like varying terrain should be done
18 with caution.

19

20 **Acknowledgements**

21 The authors wish to thank the athletes in participating in this study.

22

- 1 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 2 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

1 References

- 2 Alexander, R. M. (1989). Optimization and gaits in the locomotion of vertebrates. *Physiological*
3 *Reviews*, 69(4), 1199-1227.
- 4 Cignetti, F., Schena, F., Zanone, P. G., & Rouard, A. (2009). Dynamics of coordination in cross-country
5 skiing. *Human Movement Science*, 28(2), 204-217. doi:10.1016/j.humov.2008.11.002
- 6 Dahl, C., Sandbakk, Ø., Danielsen, J., & Ettema, G. (2017). The Role of Power Fluctuations in the
7 Preference of Diagonal vs. Double Poling Sub-Technique at Different Incline-Speed
8 Combinations in Elite Cross-Country Skiers. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8(94).
9 doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00094
- 10 Daniels, G. L., & Newell, K. M. (2003). Attentional focus influences the walk-run transition in human
11 locomotion. *Biological Psychology*, 63(2), 163-178.
- 12 Danielsen, J., Sandbakk, Ø., Holmberg, H. C., & Ettema, G. (2015). Mechanical Energy and Propulsion
13 in Ergometer Double Poling by Cross-country Skiers. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
14 *Exercise*, 47(12), 2586-2594. doi:10.1249/mss.0000000000000723
- 15 di Prampero, P. E., Fusi, S., Sepulcri, L., Morin, J. B., Belli, A., & Antonutto, G. (2005). Sprint running: a
16 new energetic approach. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 208(Pt 14), 2809-2816.
17 doi:10.1242/jeb.01700
- 18 Dutt-Mazumder, A., & Newell, K. (2017). Transitions of postural coordination as a function of
19 frequency of the moving support platform. *Human Movement Science*, 52, 24-35.
20 doi:10.1016/j.humov.2017.01.006
- 21 Farley, C. T., & Taylor, C. R. (1991). A mechanical trigger for the trot-gallop transition in horses. *Science*,
22 253(5017), 306-308.
- 23 Göpfert, C., Holmberg, H. C., Stöggl, T., Müller, E., & Lindinger, S. J. (2012). Biomechanical
24 characteristics and speed adaptation during kick double poling on roller skis in elite cross-
25 country skiers. *Sports Biomechanics*, 12(2), 154-174. doi:10.1080/14763141.2012.749939
- 26 Holmberg, H. C., Lindinger, S., Stoggl, T., Bjorklund, G., & Muller, E. (2006). Contribution of the legs to
27 double-poling performance in elite cross-country skiers. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
28 *Exercise*, 38(10), 1853-1860. doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000230121.83641.d1
- 29 Holmberg, H. C., Lindinger, S., Stoggl, T., Eitzlmair, E., & Muller, E. (2005). Biomechanical analysis of
30 double poling in elite cross-country skiers. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 37(5),
31 807-818.
- 32 Hoyt, D. F., & Taylor, C. R. (1981). Gait and the energetics of locomotion in horses. *Nature*, 192, 239-
33 240. doi:10.1038/292239a0
- 34 Hreljac, A. (1993). Preferred and energetically optimal gait transition speeds in human locomotion.
35 *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 25(10), 1158-1162.
- 36 Hreljac, A. (1995). Determinants of the gait transition speed during human locomotion: kinematic
37 factors. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 28(6), 669-677.
- 38 Lindinger, S. J., Gopfert, C., Stoggl, T., Muller, E., & Holmberg, H. C. (2009). Biomechanical pole and leg
39 characteristics during uphill diagonal roller skiing. *Sports Biomechanics*, 8(4), 318-333.
40 doi:10.1080/14763140903414417
- 41 Mercier, J., Le Gallais, D., Durand, M., Goudal, C., Micallef, J. P., & Prefaut, C. (1994). Energy
42 expenditure and cardiorespiratory responses at the transition between walking and running.
43 *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 69(6), 525-529.
- 44 Neptune, R. R., & Sasaki, K. (2005). Ankle plantar flexor force production is an important determinant
45 of the preferred walk-to-run transition speed. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 208(Pt 5), 799-
46 808. doi:10.1242/jeb.01435
- 47 Nilsson, J., Tveit, P., & Eikrehagen, O. (2004). Effects of speed on temporal patterns in classical style
48 and freestyle cross-country skiing. *Sports Biomechanics*, 3(1), 85-107.
49 doi:10.1080/14763140408522832

- 1 Pellegrini, B., Zoppiroli, C., Bortolan, L., Holmberg, H. C., Zamparo, P., & Schena, F. (2013).
2 Biomechanical and energetic determinants of technique selection in classical cross-country
3 skiing. *Human Movement Science*, 32(6), 1415-1429. doi:10.1016/j.humov.2013.07.010
- 4 Pellegrini, B., Zoppiroli, C., Bortolan, L., Zamparo, P., & Schena, F. (2014). Gait models and mechanical
5 energy in three cross-country skiing techniques. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 217(Pt 21),
6 3910-3918. doi:10.1242/jeb.106740
- 7 Peronnet, F., & Massicotte, D. (1991). Table of nonprotein respiratory quotient: an update. *Canadian*
8 *Journal of Sport Sciences*, 16(1), 23-29.
- 9 Prilutsky, B. I., & Gregor, R. J. (2001). Swing- and support-related muscle actions differentially trigger
10 human walk-run and run-walk transitions. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 204(13), 2277-
11 2287.
- 12 Raynor, A. J., Yi, C. J., Abernethy, B., & Jong, Q. J. (2002). Are transitions in human gait determined by
13 mechanical, kinetic or energetic factors? *Human Movement Science*, 21(5-6), 785-805.
14 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-9457\(02\)00180-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-9457(02)00180-X)
- 15 Sandbakk, Ø., Ettema, G., & Holmberg, H. C. (2012). The influence of incline and speed on work rate,
16 gross efficiency and kinematics of roller ski skating. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*,
17 112(8), 2829-2838. doi:10.1007/s00421-011-2261-0
- 18 Sandbakk, Ø., Hegge, A. M., & Ettema, G. (2013). The role of incline, performance level and gender on
19 the gross mechanical efficiency of roller ski skating. *Frontiers in Physiology*, in preparation.
- 20 Sandbakk, Ø., Holmberg, H. C., Leirdal, S., & Ettema, G. (2010). Metabolic rate and gross efficiency at
21 high work rates in world class and national level sprint skiers. *European Journal of Applied*
22 *Physiology*, 109(3), 473-481. doi:10.1007/s00421-010-1372-3
- 23 Smith, G. A. (2003). Biomechanics of Cross Country Skiing. In H. Rusko (Ed.), *Handbook of Sports*
24 *Medicine and Science: Cross Country Skiing* (pp. 32-61): Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, UK.
- 25 Stöggl, T. L., & Holmberg, H. C. (2016). Double-Poling Biomechanics of Elite Cross-country Skiers: Flat
26 versus Uphill Terrain. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48(8), 1580-1589.
27 doi:10.1249/Mss.0000000000000943
- 28 Thorstensson, A., & Robertsson, H. (1987). Adaptations to changing speed in human locomotion:
29 speed of transition between walking and running. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*, 131(2),
30 211-214. doi:10.1111/j.1748-1716.1987.tb08228.x
- 31 Turvey, M. T. (1990). Coordination. *American Psychology*, 45(8), 938-953.
- 32 Turvey, M. T., Holt, K. G., Lafiandra, M. E., & Fonseca, S. T. (1999). Can the transitions to and from
33 running and the metabolic cost of running be determined from the kinetic energy of running?
34 *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 31(3), 265-278. doi:10.1080/00222899909600993
- 35 Usherwood, J. R., & Bertram, J. E. (2003). Gait transition cost in humans. *European Journal of Applied*
36 *Physiology*, 90(5-6), 647-650. doi:10.1007/s00421-003-0980-6

37

38

1 **Figure captions:**

2

3 Figure 1. Description of the protocol. Time line showing how incline and speed are changed at three
4 work rates in two orders ('upward' and 'downward').

5

6 Figure 2. Analysis of continuous phase and technique determination. A. Time trace of position of left
7 and right skis relative to the moving belt in two periods in which transitions occur. B. Time trace of
8 relative phase (i.e., the difference between angle of left and right amplitude, after normalization
9 within each cycle – giving amplitudes between -1 and 1). Grey areas depict the transition periods
10 shown in detail in A. Horizontal lines indicate the critical values determining which technique is
11 applied.

12

13 Figure 3. Time traces of one athlete for 'upward' and 'downward' protocol. *CR* shows technique by
14 color signature for three work rates. Top diagram (170 W) also shows the *CR* for other work rates in
15 grey for comparison. Bottom diagrams showing $V\dot{V}O_2$ and *HR*, respectively. Thin vertical lines
16 indicate the 9-minute periods of analysis. Grey circle indicates a brief but not permanent shift; during
17 a change of incline-speed, the athlete briefly shifts from DP to DK, but returns to DP almost
18 immediately. Such shifts occasionally occurred for other athletes as well, and were not considered in
19 the statistical analysis.

20

21 Figure 4. Mean and SD (N=8) of transition point expressed as incline and speed at all intensities and
22 protocols ('upward' and 'downward').

23

24 Figure 5. Physiological response and gross efficiency as a function of protocol condition. Protocol
25 order is depicted by small arrows on top of the traces. Significant differences between order are
26 indicated by □ (p<0.05 for all work rates) and † (p<0.05 for two out of three work rates).









