- 1 Spatial variation in senescence rates in a bird metapopulation
- 2
- 3 H. Holand^{1*}, T. Kvalnes¹, M. Gamelon¹, J. Tufto², H. Jensen¹, H. Pärn¹, T. H.
- 4 Ringsby¹ and B.-E. Sæther¹.
- ⁵ ¹ Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics,
- 6 Department of Biology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway.
- ⁷ ² Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics,
- 8 Department of Mathematics, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway.
- 9
- 10 * Corresponding author (Name: Håkon Holand, Address: Norwegian University of Science
- 11 and Technology, Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, NTNU, NO-
- 12 7491 Trondheim, Norway, Email: <u>hakon.holand@ntnu.no</u>)
- 13

14 Abstract

15 Investigations into factors that affect the rate of actuarial senescence are important in order to understand how demographic rates may vary in wild populations. Although the evidence for 16 the occurrence of actuarial senescence in wild populations is growing, very few studies have 17 18 compared actuarial senescence rates between wild populations of the same species. We used 19 data from a long-time study of demography of house sparrows to investigate differences in 20 rates of actuarial senescence between habitats and sub-populations. We also investigated if 21 rates of actuarial senescence differed between males and females. We found that rates of 22 actuarial senescence showed large spatial variation. We also found that the onset of actuarial 23 senescence varied between sub-populations. However, these differences were not 24 significantly explained by general difference in habitat type. We also found no significant 25 difference in senescence rates between males and females. This study shows that senescence 26 rates in natural populations may vary significantly between sub-populations and that failing 27 to account for such differences may give a biased estimate of senescence rates at the 28 metapopulation level.

29

30

31 Key words: Actuarial senescence, aging, capture mark recapture, habitat, house sparrow,

34 Introduction

35 The evidence supporting the hypothesis that senescence (e.g. decline in survival and/or 36 reproduction with age) does occur in wild populations has become substantial in recent 37 decades. This has also led to an increasing interest into the underlying mechanisms that may 38 influence senescence (see Nussey et al. 2013 for a review). The fundamental evolutionary 39 mechanism(s) explaining the occurrence of actuarial senescence (a.k.a. survival senescence) 40 has been attributed to the decline of natural selection with age (Medawar 1952; Hamilton 41 1966). Williams (1957) expanded this work and provided the antagonistic pleiotropy theory 42 of aging, which states that an allele with a positive effect on reproduction early in life may be 43 selected even if it has a negative effect on survival later in life. Later, Kirkwood (1977) 44 proposed the disposable soma theory of aging. Both the antagonistic pleiotropy theory and 45 the disposable some theory share the same prediction of a trade-off between reproduction 46 and/or growth during early life and intensity of ageing later in life (Nussey et al. 2013; 47 Lemaitre et al. 2015). Stated briefly; as the energy available to an individual is not infinite, 48 senescence may be expected to start at the age of maturity (but see Brunet-Rossinni and 49 Austad 2006) and manifest itself within the normal lifespan of the species (Nussey et al. 50 2013).

Rates of actuarial senescence (hereafter senescence) in wild populations may be significantly influenced by the environment. Specifically, if a population is exposed to environments that increase mortality, this may amplify the rate of senescence under particular circumstances (Caswell 2007). For instance, it has been shown that a high level of predation (e.g. Dhondt et al. 1998) or an increased competition between individuals for resources (i.e. density dependence, e.g. Altwegg et al. 2003) may increase the rate of senescence (Nussey et al. 2007). Predation and density may even interact with each other in affecting senescence

58	rates (e.g. Balbontin and Møller 2015). As these sources of mortality may vary between
59	populations, one may expect senescence rates to vary accordingly. For example, Kawasaki et
60	al. (2008) found that the rate of aging in stalk-legged flies (Telostylinus angusticollis) in wild
61	populations was significantly faster compared to laboratory populations founded from the
62	same wild population. Similarly, Austad (1993) found that an island population of Virginia
63	opossums (Didelphis virginiana) had a shallower senescence slope compared to the mainland
64	population. This difference coincided with a lack of predators on the island (Austad 1993).
65	Despite of this empirical foundation, there has been a lack of studies investigating
66	intraspecific spatial variation in senescence rates between different habitats/populations in the
67	wild (but see Austad 1993; Baker and Thompson 2007; also see Bouwhuis et al. 2010;
68	Balbontin et al. 2012 for studies of inter-population variation in rates of reproductive
69	senescence). The lack of studies may be caused by the requirement for long-term monitoring
70	of known-aged animals from different populations of the same species
70 71	of known-aged animals from different populations of the same species The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and
71	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and
71 72	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and females). The difference in senescence rates between males and females has become a topic
71 72 73	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and females). The difference in senescence rates between males and females has become a topic of increased interest in evolutionary biology (Maklakov and Lummaa 2013; Regan and
71 72 73 74	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and females). The difference in senescence rates between males and females has become a topic of increased interest in evolutionary biology (Maklakov and Lummaa 2013; Regan and Partridge 2013). Life-history theory predicts that the sex with the higher mortality rates
71 72 73 74 75	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and females). The difference in senescence rates between males and females has become a topic of increased interest in evolutionary biology (Maklakov and Lummaa 2013; Regan and Partridge 2013). Life-history theory predicts that the sex with the higher mortality rates should be the one exhibiting the higher rates of senescence (Williams 1957). Therefore, as
71 72 73 74 75 76	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and females). The difference in senescence rates between males and females has become a topic of increased interest in evolutionary biology (Maklakov and Lummaa 2013; Regan and Partridge 2013). Life-history theory predicts that the sex with the higher mortality rates should be the one exhibiting the higher rates of senescence (Williams 1957). Therefore, as males from polygynous and dimorphic species suffer from high mortality rates during the
71 72 73 74 75 76 77	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and females). The difference in senescence rates between males and females has become a topic of increased interest in evolutionary biology (Maklakov and Lummaa 2013; Regan and Partridge 2013). Life-history theory predicts that the sex with the higher mortality rates should be the one exhibiting the higher rates of senescence (Williams 1957). Therefore, as males from polygynous and dimorphic species suffer from high mortality rates during the mating season due to male-male combat for instance, they should exhibit higher senescence
71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78	The rate of senescence may vary among groups in a given population (e.g. males and females). The difference in senescence rates between males and females has become a topic of increased interest in evolutionary biology (Maklakov and Lummaa 2013; Regan and Partridge 2013). Life-history theory predicts that the sex with the higher mortality rates should be the one exhibiting the higher rates of senescence (Williams 1957). Therefore, as males from polygynous and dimorphic species suffer from high mortality rates during the mating season due to male-male combat for instance, they should exhibit higher senescence rates than females (Bonduriansky et al. 2008; Festa-Bianchet 2012). An interspecific

between males and females appeared to be less pronounced (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran
2007). However, it is noteworthy that until now, many of the studies investigating senescence
patterns in the wild have focused on females only (but see: Reed et al. 2008; Brown and Roth
2009; Nussey et al. 2009; Pardo et al. 2013; Cornwallis et al. 2014; Gamelon et al. 2014;
Hayward et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015) and evidence for sex differences in rates of
senescence in the wild remains somewhat scarce in the literature (Clutton-Brock and Isvaran
2007; Bonduriansky et al. 2008).

89 Here, we aimed at filling these gaps in our knowledge by investigating intraspecific 90 spatial variation and also sex differences in rates and onset of senescence in a wild 91 metapopulation of house sparrows (Passer domesticus) in a Norwegian archipelago (66.5 ° N 92 12.5 ° E). This metapopulation has been intensively monitored by annual capture, mark and 93 resight of both males and females since 1993. An important feature of this metapopulation is 94 that some islands contained farms where the birds had the option of sheltering inside cattlefarm buildings whenever the weather is harsh (e.g. during winter). In contrast, other islands 95 96 do not have any cattle-farms and the birds have to find shelter around the human settlements. 97 Therefore, according to the current evolutionary theory of senescence, we expected: i) inter-98 population variation in rates and onset of senescence with faster and/or earlier senescence in 99 the populations inhabiting the islands free of cattle-farms compared to the populations living 100 in more sheltered environments; ii) no sex difference in senescence rates within a given 101 population for this socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006).

102

103 Materials and methods

104 Study area and habitats

105	The study was carried out in an archipelago consisting of 18 islands covering ca. 1600 km ² in
106	the Helgeland district in northern Norway (see map in Baalsrud et al. 2014). The house
107	sparrows on these islands have been systematically captured, marked and resighted several
108	times during their lifetime since 1993 (e.g. Ringsby et al. 2002; Jensen et al. 2008; Pärn et al.
109	2012). In this study, we compared two sets of islands which differed in habitat: two islands
110	with cattle farms (Gjerøy and Hestmannøy) and two islands without cattle farms (Selvær and
111	Træna). On the farm islands, house sparrows lived in association with dairy farms where they
112	reproduced, foraged and sheltered (under harsh weather conditions) inside barns and cow-
113	sheds. On these farm islands, the cattle food and seeds from cultivated crops were readily
114	available for house sparrows throughout the year. On the non-farm islands, where house
115	sparrows live in association with small human settlements, the shelter provided by the barns
116	was lacking. In addition, the main food resource on the non-farm islands was seeds from
117	birdfeeders provided by the local human inhabitants. Although we focus on 4 islands,
118	observations from the other islands were used to identify and exclude emigrants and
119	immigrants from the dataset ($n = 330$). This was done to ensure that the effect of
120	habitat/island on individual survival remained as constant as possible throughout the lifespan
121	of individuals. We were thus also able to separate mortality from migration in our analyses.

122

123 Field work and datasets

Field work was carried out during the summer (1 May - 15 August) and autumn (1 September - 1 November). During field work, house sparrows were captured using mist nets. Upon first capture, they were banded with a metal ring engraved with a unique id-number and three plastic color rings (two rings on each tarsus). In addition, we visited nests (nest boxes or under barn roofs) and marked fledglings (age = 8 - 14 days old). Thus, after individuals had

129	been marked, they could be resighted by capturing them, or by observing their unique
130	combination of color rings through a telescope or binoculars. For detailed description on field
131	work, see Ringsby et al. (1998), Sæther et al. (1999) and Pärn et al. (2009).
132	Our datasets only included individuals that had a known age (i.e. individuals marked
133	as fledglings or juveniles during May - August). The dataset from farm islands included the
134	years 1993 – 2013 and contained the resighting history of 3543 individuals (6574
135	observations). A continuous time series of observations from non-farm islands was available
136	from 2003 – 2013 (1539 individuals, 2035 observations). Before 2003, populations on the
137	non-farm islands had experienced a severe decline in population size (Baalsrud et al. 2014).
138	The dataset used to compare senescence rates among males and females, contained only
139	individuals that had been resighted and sexed as adults. The sex of individuals was
140	determined by visual inspection of plumage characteristics. This dataset contained the
141	resighting history of 1005 individuals (1715 observations).

142 Survival Analyses

143 We estimated survival probabilities with capture-mark-recapture (CMR) models (Lebreton et 144 al. 1992; Kéry and Schaub 2011). Previous studies have found that resighting probabilities may vary between islands and years in the metapopulation (Ringsby et al. 1999; Holand et al. 145 146 2014). We therefore included island, year and the interaction between islands and years in all 147 models of resighting probability. To account for temporal variation in survival estimates, we 148 included the effect of years as a random factor in all survival models. An investigation by 149 Jones et al. (2008) indicated that senescence in house sparrows at Helgeland started at the 150 mean age of first reproduction (age = 1). However, as the onset of senescence may occur later 151 than the age of first reproduction (e.g. Weimerskirch 1992; Nussey et al. 2008; Peron et al. 152 2010), we tested for linear effect of age and also non-linear (i.e. quadratic) change in survival

153 probability with increasing ages (i.e. senescence) either starting at age = 1, 2, 3 or 4 in 154 separate models. Due to low sample sizes at ages > 4 (see Fig. 2), we did not test for onsets 155 starting at later ages. In details, our analyses have been divided into three parts. First, at the 156 metapopulation level (i.e. all 4 islands pooled together), we investigated the relationship 157 between survival probability (on the logit-scale) and ages. Secondly, we investigated the 158 difference in senescence rates (i.e. difference in slopes) between the two habitats (farm- vs. 159 non-farm islands). Thirdly, we investigated if there were significant differences in senescence 160 rates between islands (Gjerøy, Hestmanøy, Selvær and Træna) in the metapopulation. The 161 rates of senescence were thus estimated separately for each habitat/island by including the 162 interaction between habitat/island and age on survival. To examine how survival probability 163 varied among age classes, we used age as a factor instead of a continuous variable (see Fig. 1 164 and 2).

165 To investigate sex-specific pattern of survival, we re-performed the previous analyses 166 at the metapopulation level, within habitats and within islands by adding "sex" as a factor 167 (male/female) and tested for differences in senescence rates between males and females by ...

168 We used the model fitting options provided by the programing language BUGS (Lunn 169 et al. 2000). This language offers several options for creating CMR models in a Bayesian 170 framework using MCMC simulations to obtain posterior stationary distributions of 171 parameters (Kéry and Schaub 2011). The models were run in JAGS (Version 3.2.0, Plummer 172 2003) controlled from R (Version 3.1.1, R Core Team 2014) using the package "JagsUI" 173 (Version 1.1). This package allows for easy parallel computation of multiple chains on 174 computers using a cpu with multiple cores. For all models, we used three chains each with 175 120 000 iterations and a thinning rate of six; where the first 90 000 iterations were discarded 176 ("burn-in"). Mixing and convergence of chains to a stationary distribution was evaluated by

177	visual inspection of time-series plots produced by JAGS and by the Brooks-Gelman-Rubin
178	criterion (R-hat, Brooks and Gelman 1998). Parameter estimates were obtained as the mean
179	from the respective stationary posterior distributions and lower/upper limits of the 95 $\%$
180	Bayesian Credibility Interval (CRI). We applied vague priors for all parameters (see Kéry and
181	Schaub 2011).

182

183 **Results**

- 184 The first part of our main analysis did not indicate a significant linear or non-linear decline in
- survival probability with age in the metapopulation (see Fig. 1, Table 1 and Electronic

186 Supplementary Material Table 1A). We also found no significant difference in senescence

- 187 rates (linear or non-linear) between males and females at the metapopulation level (Electronic
- 188 Supplementary Material Table 2A and 3A).
- 189 The second part of our main analysis indicated that linear senescence rates were not
- significantly different between the two habitat types (see Table 1), starting at age = 1 ($\Delta \beta$ = -

191 0.01 95% CRI: (- 0.25, 0.22)), age = 2 ($\Delta \beta$ = - 0.18 95% CRI: (- 0.61, 0.22)), age = 3 ($\Delta \beta$ =

192 - 0.35 95% CRI: (- 1.25, 0.414)) or age = 4 ($\Delta \beta$ = - 1.29 95% CRI: (- 2.99, 0.311)). We also

193 found no significant difference in non-linear senescence rates between habitats (Electronic

194 Supplementary Material Table 1A). In addition, we did not detect a significant difference in

senescence rates (linear or non-linear) between males and females either on farm islands or

196 non-farm islands (Electronic Supplementary Material Table 2A and 3A).

- 197 The results from third part of the main analysis indicated that there were significant
- 198 differences in linear senescence rates between islands (see Fig. 2 and Electronic
- 199 Supplementary Material Table 4A and 5A). Specifically, the senescence rates on Gjerøy and

200	Træna were found to be significantly steeper compared to Hestmannøy. There was a
201	significant linear decline in survival probability on Træna starting at age = 1 and on Gjerøy
202	starting at age = 2 (see Table 1). We found no significant difference in non-linear senescence
203	rates between islands (Electronic Supplementary Material Table 1A). We also found no
204	significant difference in senescence rates (linear or non-linear) between males and females on
205	any of the four islands (Electronic Supplementary Material Table 2A and 3A).

206

207 **Discussion**

208 This study has shown that senescence rates and onset of senescence may vary spatially in a 209 wild metapopulation. Although the lack of mean difference in senescence rates between 210 habitats did not support our initial hypothesis, the results of this study suggest that local 211 environmental conditions may have an important effect on the aging patterns of wild animals. 212 Failure to account for such variation may lead to an oversimplified view of senescence rates 213 of a species (Fig. 1 vs Fig. 2). Although the specific causes of heterogeneous senescence rates 214 between populations may be difficult to detect, the resulting effect on local demography may 215 influence the population dynamics of the sub-population and the metapopulation as a whole. 216 Accounting for such heterogeneities may be important for predicting future population 217 fluctuations of fragmented populations (i.e. metapopulations) in the wild.

Although the pattern found on one non-farm island fitted the expected pattern of faster senescence rates and earlier onset, this was not the case on the other non-farm island (see Fig. 2). In addition, senescence rates on the two farm islands were significantly different (see Table 1 and Electronic Supplementary Material Table 4A and 5A) even though these islands are only ca. 11 km apart. A distinct difference between the two farm islands was the mean survival probabilities of the first two adult age classes (see Fig. 2 and Table 1). The relatively

224	high survival probability of these age classes on the farm island of Gjerøy may point out a
225	difference in investment strategies between the two islands. Individuals that invest a
226	relatively large amount of energy in early reproduction and/or survival may also be expected
227	to suffer more pronounced senescence in later life (McCleery et al. 1996; Orell and Belda
228	2002; Reid et al. 2003; Reed et al. 2008; Hammers et al. 2013). However, the lack of
229	differences in fledgling survival probability among populations (see Fig. 2) appears to
230	exclude the possibility that the variation observed was caused by a substantial difference in
231	mortality before maturation (e.g. stronger selection for quality individuals). Alternatively,
232	differences in natal environments may have caused subsequent changes in the senescence
233	pattern between the islands that manifested in the adult age classes (Nussey et al. 2007; Reed
234	et al. 2008; Millon et al. 2011; Cartwright et al. 2014). These differences may be subtle and
235	very difficult to observe in the wild (Nussey et al. 2013).
235 236	very difficult to observe in the wild (Nussey et al. 2013). As the house sparrow is a socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006), the lack of
236	As the house sparrow is a socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006), the lack of
236 237	As the house sparrow is a socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006), the lack of difference in senescence found between adult males and adult females appears to support the
236 237 238	As the house sparrow is a socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006), the lack of difference in senescence found between adult males and adult females appears to support the pattern found by Clutton-Brock and Isvaran (2007). Although one might expect a general
236 237 238 239	As the house sparrow is a socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006), the lack of difference in senescence found between adult males and adult females appears to support the pattern found by Clutton-Brock and Isvaran (2007). Although one might expect a general female biased mortality pattern in birds (Liker and Szekely 2005) to cause a different
236 237 238 239 240	As the house sparrow is a socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006), the lack of difference in senescence found between adult males and adult females appears to support the pattern found by Clutton-Brock and Isvaran (2007). Although one might expect a general female biased mortality pattern in birds (Liker and Szekely 2005) to cause a different senescence rate in females compared to males, this is not the case in our populations (see
236 237 238 239 240 241	As the house sparrow is a socially monogamous species (Anderson 2006), the lack of difference in senescence found between adult males and adult females appears to support the pattern found by Clutton-Brock and Isvaran (2007). Although one might expect a general female biased mortality pattern in birds (Liker and Szekely 2005) to cause a different senescence rate in females compared to males, this is not the case in our populations (see Electronic Supplementary Material Table 2A and 3A). Indeed, the overall result from our

245

246 Acknowledgements

247	We would like to thank everyone involved in the house sparrow project for help with
248	fieldwork. We are also grateful to everyone at Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics at the
249	Department of Biology, NTNU, for helpful comments and help with statistics in R. This
250	study was supported by grants from the Research Council of Norway (FRIMEDBIO 204303
251	and 221956, SFF 223257), the European Research Council (ERC-2010-AdG 268562), and
252	NTNU. The research was carried out in accordance with permits from the Norwegian
253	Environment Agency and the Bird Ringing Centre at Stavanger Museum, Norway.
254	
255	Author contributions
256	HH, THR, BES conceived of the study. HH, TK, HJ, HP, THR contributed to field work and
257	data collection. HH, TK, MG, JT discussed about the methods and HH (and others if any ⁽³⁾)
258	performed the analyses. HH wrote the first draft and all authors contributed to the
259	interpretation of results and revisions of the manuscript.
260	Ethical approval
261	All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were
262	followed.
263	Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
264	

References

266	Altwegg R, Roulin A, Kestenholz M, Jenni L (2003) Variation and covariation in survival,
267	dispersal, and population size in barn owls Tyto alba. Journal of Animal Ecology
268	72:391-399
269	Anderson TR (2006) Biology of the ubiquitous house sparrow: from genes to populations.
270	Oxford University Press, New York, NY
271	Austad SN (1993) Retarded senescence in an insular population of Virginia opossums
272	(Didelphis virginiana). Journal of Zoology 229:695-708
273	Baalsrud HT et al. (2014) Effects of population characteristics and structure on estimates of
274	effective population size in a house sparrow metapopulation. Molecular Ecology
275	23:2653-2668
276	Baker JD, Thompson PM (2007) Temporal and spatial variation in age-specific survival rates
277	of a long-lived mammal, the Hawaiian monk seal. Proceedings of the Royal Society
278	B-Biological Sciences 274:407-415
279	Balbontin J, Moller AP, Hermosell IG, Marzal A, Reviriego M, de Lope F (2012)
280	Geographical variation in reproductive ageing patterns and life-history strategy of a
281	short-lived passerine bird. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 25:2298-2309
282	Bonduriansky R, Maklakov A, Zajitschek F, Brooks R (2008) Sexual selection, sexual
283	conflict and the evolution of ageing and life span. Functional Ecology 22:443-453
284	Bouwhuis S, van Noordwijk AJ, Sheldon BC, Verhulst S, Visser ME (2010) Similar patterns
285	of age-specific reproduction in an island and mainland population of great tits Parus
286	major. Journal of Avian Biology 41:615-620
287	Brooks SP, Gelman A (1998) General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative
288	simulations. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics 7:434-455
289	Brown WP, Roth RR (2009) Age-specific reproduction and survival of individually marked
290	Wood Thrushes, Hylocichla mustelina. Ecology 90:218-229

291	Brunet-Rossinni AK, Austad SN (2006) Senescence in Wild Populations of Mammals and
292	Birds, The Handbook of the Biology of Aging
293	Cartwright SJ, Nicoll MAC, Jones CG, Tatayah V, Norris K (2014) Anthropogenic Natal
294	Environmental Effects on Life Histories in a Wild Bird Population. Current Biology
295	24:536-540
296	Caswell H (2007) Extrinsic mortality and the evolution of senescence. Trends in Ecology &
297	Evolution 22:173-174
298	Clutton-Brock TH, Isvaran K (2007) Sex differences in ageing in natural populations of
299	vertebrates. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 274:3097-3104
300	Cornwallis CK, Dean R, Pizzari T (2014) Sex-Specific Patterns of Aging in Sexual
301	Ornaments and Gametes. American Naturalist 184:E66-E78
302	Dhondt AA, Kempenaers B, Clobert J (1998) Sparrowhawk Accipiter nisus predation and
303	Blue Tit Parus caeruleus adult annual survival rate. Ibis 140:580-584
304	Festa-Bianchet M (2012) The cost of trying: weak interspecific correlations among life-
305	history components in male ungulates. Canadian Journal of Zoology-Revue
306	Canadienne De Zoologie 90:1072-1085
307	Gaillard JM, Festa-Bianchet M, Yoccoz NG, Loison A, Toigo C (2000) Temporal variation
308	in fitness components and population dynamics of large herbivores. Annual Review
309	of Ecology and Systematics 31:367-393
310	Gamelon M et al. (2014) Do age-specific survival patterns of wild boar fit current
311	evolutionary theories of senescence? Evolution 68:3636-3643
312	Hamilton WD (1966) Moulding of senescence by natural selection. Journal of Theoretical
313	Biology 12:12-&

314	Hammers M, Richardson DS, Burke T, Komdeur J (2013) The impact of reproductive
315	investment and early-life environmental conditions on senescence: support for the
316	disposable soma hypothesis. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26:1999-2007
317	Hayward AD et al. (2015) Asynchrony of senescence among phenotypic traits in a wild
318	mammal population. Experimental Gerontology 71:56-68
319	Holand H et al. (2014) Lower survival probability of house sparrows severely infected by the
320	gapeworm parasite. Journal of Avian Biology 45:365 - 373
321	Jensen H, Steinsland I, Ringsby TH, Sæther B-E (2008) Evolutionary dynamics of a sexual
322	ornament in the house sparrow (Passer domesticus): The role of indirect selection
323	within and between sexes. Evolution 62:1275-1293
324	Jones OR et al. (2008) Senescence rates are determined by ranking on the fast-slow life-
325	history continuum. Ecology Letters 11:664-673
326	Kawasaki N, Brassil CE, Brooks RC, Bonduriansky R (2008) Environmental effects on the
327	expression of life span and aging: An extreme contrast between wild and captive
328	cohorts of Telostylinus angusticollis (Diptera : Neriidae). American Naturalist
329	172:346-357
330	Kéry M, Schaub M (2011) Bayesian population analysis using WinBUGS. Academic Press,
331	London, UK
332	Kirkwood TBL (1977) Evolution of aging. Nature 270:301-304
333	Lebreton JD, Burnham KP, Clobert J, Anderson DR (1992) Modeling survival and testing
334	biological hypotheses using marked animals - a unified approach with case-studies.
335	Ecological Monographs 62:67-118
336	Lemaitre JF et al. (2015) Early-late life trade-offs and the evolution of ageing in the wild.
337	Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 282:10

- Liker A, Szekely T (2005) Mortality costs of sexual selection and parental care in natural
 populations of birds. Evolution 59:890-897
- Lunn DJ, Thomas A, Best N, Spiegelhalter D (2000) WinBUGS A Bayesian modelling
- framework: Concepts, structure, and extensibility. Statistics and Computing 10:325337
- Maklakov AA, Lummaa V (2013) Evolution of sex differences in lifespan and aging: Causes
 and constraints. Bioessays 35:717-724
- McCleery RH, Clobert J, Julliard R, Perrins CM (1996) Nest predation and delayed cost of
 reproduction in the great tit. Journal of Animal Ecology 65:96-104
- 347 Medawar PB (1952) An unsolved problem of biology. Lewis, London
- 348 Millon A, Petty SJ, Little B, Lambin X (2011) Natal conditions alter age-specific
- 349 reproduction but not survival or senescence in a long-lived bird of prey. Journal of350 Animal Ecology 80:968-975
- 351 Nussey DH, Coulson T, Festa-Bianchet M, Gaillard JM (2008) Measuring senescence in wild
- animal populations: towards a longitudinal approach. Functional Ecology 22:393-406
- 353 Nussey DH, Froy H, Lemaitre JF, Gaillard JM, Austad SN (2013) Senescence in natural
- 354 populations of animals: Widespread evidence and its implications for bio-
- 355 gerontology. Ageing Research Reviews 12:214-225
- 356 Nussey DH, Kruuk LEB, Morris A, Clements MN, Pemberton JM, Clutton-Brock TH (2009)
- Inter- and Intrasexual Variation in Aging Patterns across Reproductive Traits in a
 Wild Red Deer Population. American Naturalist 174:342-357
- 359 Nussey DH, Kruuk LEB, Morris A, Clutton-Brock TH (2007) Environmental conditions in
- active active

362	Orell M, Belda EJ (2002) Delayed cost of reproduction and senescence in the willow tit Parus
363	montanus. Journal of Animal Ecology 71:55-64
364	Pardo D, Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H (2013) Females better face senescence in the
365	wandering albatross. Oecologia 173:1283-1294
366	Pärn H, Jensen H, Ringsby TH, Sæther B-E (2009) Sex-specific fitness correlates of dispersal
367	in a house sparrow metapopulation. Journal of Animal Ecology 78:1216-1225
368	Pärn H, Ringsby TH, Jensen H, Sæther B-E (2012) Spatial heterogeneity in the effects of
369	climate and density-dependence on dispersal in a house sparrow metapopulation.
370	Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 279:144-152
371	Peron G, Gimenez O, Charmantier A, Gaillard JM, Crochet PA (2010) Age at the onset of
372	senescence in birds and mammals is predicted by early-life performance. Proceedings
373	of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 277:2849-2856
374	Plummer M (2003) JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs
375	sampling Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Distributed Statistical
376	Computing, Vienna, Austria
377	R Core Team (2014) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
378	Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
379	Reed TE, Kruuk LEB, Wanless S, Frederiksen M, Cunningham EJA, Harris MP (2008)
380	Reproductive senescence in a long-lived seabird: Rates of decline in late-life
381	performance are associated with varying costs of early reproduction. American
382	Naturalist 171:E89-E101
383	Regan JC, Partridge L (2013) Gender and longevity: Why do men die earlier than women?
384	Comparative and experimental evidence. Best Practice & Research Clinical
385	Endocrinology & Metabolism 27:467-479

386	Reid JM, Bignal EM, Bignal S, McCracken DI, Monaghan P (2003) Age-specific
387	reproductive performance in red-billed choughs Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax: patterns
388	and processes in a natural population. Journal of Animal Ecology 72:765-776
389	Ringsby TH, Sæther B-E, Altwegg R, Solberg EJ (1999) Temporal and spatial variation in
390	survival rates of a house sparrow, Passer domesticus, metapopulation. Oikos 85:419-
391	425
392	Ringsby TH, Sæther B-E, Solberg EJ (1998) Factors affecting juvenile survival in House
393	Sparrow Passer domesticus. Journal of Avian Biology 29:241-247
394	Ringsby TH, Sæther B-E, Tufto J, Jensen H, Solberg EJ (2002) Asynchronous spatiotemporal
395	demography of a house sparrow metapopulation in a correlated environment. Ecology
396	83:561-569
397	Sæther B-E, Ringsby TH, Bakke O, Solberg EJ (1999) Spatial and temporal variation in
398	demography of a house sparrow metapopulation. Journal of Animal Ecology 68:628-
399	637
400	Weimerskirch H (1992) REPRODUCTIVE EFFORT IN LONG-LIVED BIRDS - AGE-
401	SPECIFIC PATTERNS OF CONDITION, REPRODUCTION AND SURVIVAL IN
402	THE WANDERING ALBATROSS. Oikos 64:464-473
403	Williams GC (1957) Pleiotropy, natural-selection, and the evolution of senescence. Evolution
404	11:398-411
405	Zhang H, Rebke M, Becker PH, Bouwhuis S (2015) Fitness prospects: effects of age, sex and
406	recruitment age on reproductive value in a long-lived seabird. Journal of Animal
407	Ecology 84:199-207

409 Figure legends:

Fig.1 : The mean survival probability of age classes in a metapopulation of house sparrows
on four islands in the Helgeland archipelago, northern Norway. Age = 0 denotes the mean
survival of fledglings on the four islands. Lines indicate upper and lower limit of a 95 %
Bayesian credibility interval of the mean value. Numbers at the upper end of the figure
indicate observed sample sizes for each age class.

416	Fig. 2 : The change in survival probability with age in four island populations of house
417	sparrows in the Helgeland archipelago, northern Norway (1993 – 2013). The dashed line
418	indicates the predicted linear decline in survival probability starting at age = 1 (Træna) or age
419	= 2 (Gjerøy). Solid lines indicate upper and lower limit of a 95 % Bayesian credibility
420	interval of the mean value (open points). Numbers at the upper end of the figures indicate
421	observed sample sizes for each age class.