

1 **Kelp and seaweed feeding by high-arctic wild reindeer under extreme winter conditions**

2

3 Authors: Brage Bremset Hansen¹ and Ronny Aanes^{2,3}

4

5 Addresses:

6 ¹Centre for Conservation Biology (CCB), Dept. of Biology, Norwegian University of Science
7 and Technology (NTNU), NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway

8 ²Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI), Polar Environmental Centre, NO-9296 Tromsø, Norway

9 ³Current address: Norwegian Directorate for Nature Management, Tungasletta 2, NO-7485
10 Trondheim, Norway

11

12 Correspondence:

13 Brage Bremset Hansen, CCB, Dept. of Biology, NTNU, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway. E-
14 mail: brage.hansen@bio.ntnu.no

15

16 **Abstract**

17 One challenge in current arctic ecological research is to understand and predict how wildlife
18 may respond to increased frequencies of "extreme" weather events. Heavy rain-on-snow
19 (ROS) is one such extreme phenomenon associated with winter warming that is not well
20 studied but has potentially profound ecosystem effects through changes in snow-pack
21 properties and ice formation. Here we document how ice-locked pastures following
22 substantial amounts of ROS forced coastal Svalbard reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*
23 *platyrhynchus*) to use marine habitat in late winter 2010. A thick coat of ground ice covered
24 98% of the lowland ranges, almost completely blocking access to terrestrial forage.

1 Accordingly, a population census revealed that 13% of the total population (n = 26 out of 206
2 individuals) and as much as 21% of one sub-population were feeding on washed-up kelp and
3 seaweed on the sea-ice foot. Calves were overrepresented among the individuals that applied
4 this foraging strategy, which probably represents a last attempt to avoid starvation under
5 particularly severe foraging conditions. The study adds to the impression that extreme
6 weather events such as heavy ROS and associated icing can trigger large changes in the
7 realised foraging niche of arctic herbivores.

8

9 **Keywords**

10 climate change, ground-ice, High Arctic, marine algae, terrestrial herbivore, ungulate

1 **Introduction**

2 Current and future climate trends in most of the Arctic include rising temperatures, changing
3 precipitation patterns and more frequent extreme weather events (ACIA 2004). The ecological
4 effects of extreme weather phenomena are potentially large yet poorly explored (Post et al.
5 2009). For instance, heavy rain-on-snow (ROS) events, which are expected to become more
6 frequent across the Arctic (Rennert et al. 2009), may strongly alter the snow-pack properties
7 and even generate thick ice layers on the frozen ground when followed by sub-zero
8 temperatures (Putkonen & Roe 2003; Kohler & Aanes 2004; Grenfell & Putkonen 2008;
9 Rennert et al. 2009; Bartsch et al. 2010; Hansen et al. 2010a). There are indications that such
10 ground-icing may have negative implications across trophic levels, including effects on plants
11 (Robinson et al. 1998; Bjerke in press), microbiota (Coulson et al. 2000), and small (Kausrud
12 et al. 2008; Gilg et al. 2009) and large herbivores (e.g. Kohler & Aanes 2004; Hansen et al.
13 2010a; Stien et al. 2010; Hansen et al. in press).

14 Despite its circumpolar relevance, our empirical knowledge of the ecological
15 responses to heavy ROS events is limited to a few “early warning” systems, particularly the
16 Ny-Ålesund area on the coast of Svalbard (Rennert et al. 2009). Here, heavy ROS and
17 ground-icing occur rather regularly and have been shown to strongly reduce the population
18 growth rates of the local meta-population of wild Svalbard reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*
19 *platyrhynchus*) (Kohler & Aanes 2004; Hansen et al. in press). Despite a remarkable ability to
20 locate ice-free microhabitat beneath the snow-pack (Hansen et al. 2010a), ground-icing can
21 generate large changes in the reindeer's behaviour, including exploratory movements across
22 natural barriers (Stien et al. 2010) and range expansion to steep mountainous habitat (Hansen
23 et al. 2010a). The present study documents how reindeer facing particularly icy conditions
24 may further expand their niche to include washed-up kelps and seaweed (hereafter

1 collectively referred to as kelp), a food source that is only occasionally included as a subsidy
2 in the diets of large terrestrial herbivores (Carlton & Hodder 2003).

3

4 **Materials and methods**

5 Study area and species

6 The study area is located at Brøggerhalvøya, Sarsøyra and Kaffiøyra on the north-western
7 coast of Spitsbergen (see e.g. Hansen et al. 2010b). Except for a small research settlement on
8 Brøggerhalvøya (Ny-Ålesund), there is low human activity, and no hunting. The climate is
9 oceanic and mild for this latitude (79°N), with average temperature of -11.1°C and average
10 total precipitation of 229 mm during November-April 1979-2010. The plant cover is scarce
11 and dominated by mosses, lichens, the dwarf willow *Salix polaris*, the purple saxifrage
12 *Saxifraga oppositifolia* and graminoids. The vegetation rarely becomes taller than ~3-5 cm
13 (except some graminoids) and is mainly confined to low altitudes.

14 Reindeer had been extinct in the area for almost a century due to past hunting, when
15 15 wild animals were transferred from Adventdalen to Brøggerhalvøya in 1978 (Aanes et al.
16 2000). The population irrupted and then crashed from ~360 to ~80 individuals during the
17 extremely icy winter of 1994 (Kohler & Aanes 2004), when ~40 individuals migrated to
18 Sarsøyra and established a new population (N.A. Øritsland, pers.comm.). The population on
19 Kaffiøyra further south was in turn established during ~1996-97.

20 Svalbard reindeer are more or less solitary and, in contrast to most other *Rangifer*, they
21 are highly sedentary and do not undertake long-distance migrations. Movement between the
22 study ranges is restricted by glaciers, steep mountains and open sea or thin sea-ice, but
23 spatiotemporal variation in foraging conditions may induce shorter migrations (Hansen et al.
24 2010b; Stien et al. 2010). Svalbard reindeer also differ from most other wild ungulates in that

1 they are not subject to predation (only a handful of killings by polar bear *Ursus maritimus* are
2 reported; Derocher et al. 2000; Sandal 2009), insect harassment (in our study area) or
3 significant interspecific competition. The population dynamics are shaped by variation in
4 climate and food availability (Reimers 1977, 1983; Aanes et al. 2000, 2002, 2003; Solberg et
5 al. 2001; Kohler & Aanes 2004; Hansen et al. 2007; Tyler et al. 2008; Hansen et al. in press),
6 particularly during winter. Individual behaviour and range use are closely related to the
7 distribution of food plants, both during summer (Hansen et al. 2009b) and winter (Hansen et
8 al. 2009a).

9

10 Data collection and analyses

11 As part of an annual monitoring programme, we surveyed the three sub-populations in late
12 winter (1-8 April) 2010 from two snow mobiles crossing the landscape. Because of the open
13 landscape and calm and stationary reindeer behaviour (see Hansen et al. 2009a), the animals
14 can be approached at rather short distances (typically 100-200 m in winter), and census errors
15 are assumedly small. Each separate sub-population was surveyed during one day, except for
16 Brøggerhalvøya (two days).

17 Following standard procedures (see Hansen et al. 2010a), we performed snow profile
18 transects distributed in a 900 x 1800 m grid system below 120 m a.s.l., providing a total of 57
19 snow profiles that reached the ground or, if present, ground-ice (profiles were not completed
20 when the snow-pack was >100 cm deep, n = 1). We performed ground-ice measurements in n
21 = 20 sites on Brøggerhalvøya, n = 22 sites on Sarsøyra, and n = 15 sites on Kaffiøyra.

22 Altitudes above 120 m a.s.l. were not examined as they are generally not accessible on snow
23 mobiles. Note that the ground-ice thickness was usually only measured down to 14-15 cm
24 depth for logistical reasons, but this did not influence our estimate of central tendency (i.e. the

1 median, see Results). Analyses were performed in R for Windows versions 2.12.0 (R
2 Development Core Team 2010).

3

4 **Results**

5 Winter 2010 was the fourth mildest (-8.9°C) and the fourth wettest ever recorded (326 mm
6 precipitation), with several heavy ROS events occurring during November-January (Fig. 1).
7 Ground-ice (Fig. 2a) was present in 98% ($n = 56$ out of 57) of the snow profiles (median
8 thickness 11 cm), and 89% of the profiles had ≥ 5 cm ground-ice. Under these severe foraging
9 conditions, the population survey revealed that 13% of the total reindeer meta-population
10 (Table 1) was feeding on washed-up kelps on the sea-ice foot (Fig. 2b,c). The proportion kelp
11 feeders during this population “snap-shot” was 0% on Brøggerhalvøya, 12% on Kaffiøyra,
12 and 21% on Sarsøyra, of which the latter area provided the best access to this type of habitat.
13 The demographic composition among kelp feeders (Table 1) differed significantly from the
14 non-kelp feeders (Fisher’s exact test: $P < 0.01$), and the few calves alive at this point in winter
15 were overrepresented (Fisher’s exact test: $P < 0.01$). The adult (>1 yr) male segment ($X^2 =$
16 0.066 , $df = 1$, $P = 0.797$), adult female segment ($X^2 = 2.494$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.114$), and unknown
17 sex and age segment (Fisher’s exact test: $P \approx 1$) were neither overrepresented nor
18 underrepresented among the kelp feeders.

19

20 **Discussion**

21 The present study has documented how parts of a coastal Svalbard reindeer meta-population
22 used kelp as food during a winter with extremely poor foraging conditions due to heavy ROS
23 and extensive ground-icing. To our knowledge, such use of non-terrestrial food has neither
24 been demonstrated nor quantified previously in Svalbard reindeer. Likewise, non-anecdotal

1 reports of other large terrestrial herbivores feeding on marine algae are few (Carlton &
2 Hodder 2003) and largely limited to introduced reindeer (*R. tarandus*) on South-Georgia
3 (Leader-Williams et al. 1981) and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) on the Isle of Rum (Conradt
4 2000), which occasionally fed on washed-up seaweed at low tide in winter.

5 Why should the reindeer use marine algae as food? Carlton & Hodder (2003)
6 suggested that terrestrial mammals may utilize the intertidal zone as a seasonal food subsidy
7 during resource-restricted periods of the year. Although quantitative data on kelp feeding are
8 not available from other years, we have only observed this foraging strategy during winters
9 when the accessibility of terrestrial forage has been particularly limited due to icing (R.
10 Aanes, pers.obs.). The nutritional value of kelp and seaweed for terrestrial herbivores is
11 largely unknown, but the *in vitro* digestibility of seaweed in the Isle of Rum system was
12 comparable to terrestrial forage (Conradt 2000), and high mineral contents may possibly also
13 have a significant nutritional value. On the other hand, roughly one fourth of the kelp feeders
14 in our study apparently had diarrhoea (R. Aanes, pers.obs.). This was observed only
15 occasionally among animals utilizing terrestrial foraging sites and could thus be associated
16 with e.g. high salt intake from marine algae. The overrepresentation of calves among the kelp
17 feeders further indicates that kelp is not a high-quality food source, as this demographic group
18 has the poorest ability to compete for (or dig their way down to) the few ice-free terrestrial
19 feeding sites.

20 Our results confirm that heavy ROS and icing can block access to winter forage
21 (Hansen et al. 2010a) and thereby generate important changes in the realised foraging niche of
22 arctic herbivores. In the Ny-Ålesund area, icing has been shown to induce sudden range
23 displacements (Stien et al. 2010) and force parts of the population to seek steep mountainous
24 habitat (Hansen et al. 2010a) or alternative marine food sources (this study). These refuges are

1 unlikely to provide resources sufficient to maintain the population in the long-term, and icing
2 has been shown to strongly suppress the reindeer population growth rates (Kohler & Aanes
3 2004; Hansen et al. in press). This was also confirmed during winter 2010 when there was an
4 18% reduction in population size (from summer 2009 to summer 2010) despite initially very
5 low animal densities (R. Aanes, unpubl.). Whether the reindeer can dig their way out of the
6 expected future increase in heavy ROS and icing (Rennert et al. 2009) is thus highly uncertain
7 (Hansen et al. in press). Nevertheless, the behavioural plasticity demonstrated in the rapidly
8 warming Ny-Ålesund area may serve as a bellwether of changes in range use and foraging
9 niche expansion in arctic herbivores facing an increase in extreme weather (such as heavy
10 ROS) or climate change in general. Furthermore, the present study adds to the impression that
11 warmer and wetter winters may reduce forage accessibility and have overall negative
12 implications for herbivore populations across the Arctic (Forchhammer and Boertmann 1993;
13 Aanes et al. 2000, 2003; Solberg et al. 2001; Miller and Gunn 2003; Kohler and Aanes 2004;
14 Tews et al. 2007; Hansen et al. 2010a; Stien et al. 2010; Hansen et al. in press).

15

16 **Acknowledgements**

17 The study was financed by the Norwegian Research Council (NORKLIMA and Arctic Field
18 Grant), the Norwegian Polar Institute and Centre for Conservation Biology (co-funded
19 through the Norwegian University of Science and Technology).

20

21 **References**

22 Aanes R., Sæther B.-E. & Øritsland N.A. 2000. Fluctuations of an introduced population of
23 Svalbard reindeer: the effects of density dependence and climatic variation.
24 *Ecography* 23, 437-443.

- 1 Aanes R., Sæther B.-E., Smith F.M., Cooper E.J., Wookey P.A. & Øritsland N.A. 2002. The
2 Arctic Oscillation predicts effects of climate change in two trophic levels in a high-
3 arctic ecosystem. *Ecology Letters* 5, 445-453.
- 4 Aanes R., Sæther B.-E., Solberg E.J., Aanes S., Strand O. & Øritsland N.A. 2003. Synchrony
5 in Svalbard reindeer population dynamics. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 81, 103-110.
- 6 ACIA. 2004. *Impacts of a warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*. Cambridge:
7 Cambridge University Press.
- 8 Bartsch A., Kumpula T., Forbes B.C. & Stammer F. 2010. Detection of snow surface
9 thawing and refreezing in the Eurasian Arctic with QuikSCAT: implications for
10 reindeer herding. *Ecological applications* 20, 2346-2358.
- 11 Bjerke J.W. in press. Winter climate change: Ice encapsulation at mild subfreezing
12 temperatures kills freeze-tolerant lichens. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*.
- 13 Carlton J.T. & Hodder J. 2003. Maritime mammals: terrestrial mammals as consumers in
14 marine intertidal communities. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 256, 271-286.
- 15 Conradt L. 2000. Use of a seaweed habitat by red deer (*Cervus elaphus* L.). *Journal of*
16 *Zoology* 250, 541-549.
- 17 Coulson S.J., Leinaas H.P., Ims R.A. & Soevik G. 2000. Experimental manipulation of the
18 winter surface ice layer: the effects on a High Arctic soil microarthropod community.
19 *Ecography* 23, 299-306.
- 20 Derocher A.E., Wiig O. & Bangjord G. 2000. Predation of Svalbard reindeer by polar bears.
21 *Polar Biology* 23, 675-678.
- 22 Forchhammer M.C. & Boertmann D. 1993. The muskoxen *Ovibos moschatus* in north and
23 northeast Greenland: population trends and the influence of abiotic parameters on
24 population dynamics. *Ecography* 16, 299-308.

- 1 Gilg O., Sittler B. & Hanski I. 2009. Climate change and cyclic predator-prey population
2 dynamics in the high Arctic. *Global change biology* 15, 2634-2652.
- 3 Grenfell T.C. & Putkonen J. 2008. A method for the detection of the severe rain-on-snow
4 event on Banks Island, October 2003, using passive microwave remote sensing. *Water*
5 *Resources Research* 44, 1-9.
- 6 Hansen B.B., Aanes R., Herfindal I., Kohler J. & Sæther B.-E. in press. Climate, icing, and
7 wild arctic reindeer: past relationships and future prospects. *Ecology*. doi:10.1890/11-
8 0095.1.
- 9 Hansen B.B., Aanes R., Herfindal I., Sæther B.-E. & Henriksen S. 2009a. Winter habitat-
10 space use in a large arctic herbivore facing contrasting forage abundance. *Polar*
11 *Biology* 32, 971-984.
- 12 Hansen B.B., Aanes R. & Sæther B.-E. 2010a. Feeding-crater selection by high-arctic
13 reindeer facing ice-blocked pastures. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 88, 170-177.
- 14 Hansen B.B., Aanes R. & Sæther B.-E. 2010b. Partial seasonal migration in high-arctic
15 Svalbard reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus platyrhynchus*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*
16 88, 1202-1209.
- 17 Hansen B.B., Henriksen S., Aanes R. & Sæther B.-E. 2007. Ungulate impact on vegetation in
18 a two-level trophic system. *Polar Biology* 30, 549-558.
- 19 Hansen B.B., Herfindal I., Aanes R., Sæther B.-E. & Henriksen S. 2009b. Functional response
20 in habitat selection and the tradeoffs between foraging niche components in a large
21 herbivore. *Oikos* 118, 859-872.
- 22 Kausrud K.L., Mysterud A., Steen H., Vik J.O., Østbye E., Cazelles B., Framstad E., Eikeset
23 A.M., Mysterud I., Solhøy T. & Stenseth N.C. 2008. Linking climate change to
24 lemming cycles. *Nature* 456, 93-97.

- 1 Kohler J. & Aanes R. 2004. Effect of winter snow and ground-icing on a Svalbard reindeer
2 population: Results of a simple snowpack model. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine*
3 *Research* 36, 333-341.
- 4 Leader-Williams N., Scott T.A. & Pratt R.M. 1981. Forage selection by introduced reindeer
5 on South Georgia, and its consequences for the flora. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 18,
6 83-106.
- 7 Miller F.L. & Gunn A. 2003. Catastrophic die-off of Peary caribou on the western queen
8 Elizabeth Islands, Canadian High Arctic. *Arctic* 56, 381-390.
- 9 Post E., Forchhammer M.C., Bret-Harte M.S. et al. 2009. Ecological dynamics across the
10 Arctic associated with recent climate change. *Science* 325, 1355-1358.
- 11 Putkonen J. & Roe G. 2003. Rain-on-snow events impact soil temperatures and affect
12 ungulate survival. *Geophysical Research Letters* 30, 4.
- 13 R Development Core Team 2010. *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*.
14 Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 15 Reimers E. 1977. Population-dynamics in 2 subpopulations of reindeer in Svalbard. *Arctic*
16 *and Alpine Research* 9, 369-381.
- 17 Reimers E. 1983. Mortality in Svalbard reindeer. *Holarctic Ecology* 6, 141-149.
- 18 Rennert K.J., Roe G., Putkonen J. & Bitz C.M. 2009. Soil thermal and ecological impacts of
19 rain on snow events in the circumpolar Arctic. *Journal of Climate* 22, 2302-2315.
- 20 Robinson C.H., Wookey P.A., Lee J.A., Callaghan T.V. & Press M.C. 1998. Plant community
21 responses to simulated environmental change at a high arctic polar semi-desert.
22 *Ecology* 79, 856-866.
- 23 Sandal T. 2009. Blant rein og bjørn (*Among reindeer and bears.*). *Villreinen* 24, 68-69.
- 24 Solberg E.J., Jordhøy P., Strand O., Aanes R., Loison A., Sæther B.-E. & Linnell J.D.C. 2001.

- 1 Effects of density-dependence and climate on the dynamics of a Svalbard reindeer
2 population. *Ecography* 24, 441-451.
- 3 Stien A., Loe L.E., Mysterud A., Severinsen T., Kohler J. & Langvatn R. 2010. Icing events
4 trigger range displacement in a high-arctic ungulate. *Ecology* 91, 915-920.
- 5 Tews J., Ferguson M.A.D. & Fahrig L. 2007. Potential net effects of climate change on High
6 Arctic Peary caribou: Lessons from a spatially explicit simulation model. *Ecological*
7 *Modelling* 207, 85-98.
- 8 Tyler N.J.C., Forchhammer M.C. & Øritsland N.A. 2008. Nonlinear effects of climate and
9 density in the dynamics of a fluctuating population of reindeer. *Ecology* 89, 1675-
10 1686.
- 11

1 **Tables**

2 Table 1. Distribution of kelp-feeders versus non-kelp feeders across populations and demographic groups in a Svalbard reindeer meta-population
 3 during a “snap-shot” (population survey) in late winter 2010. Total estimated population size was 206 animals.

Population	Males (>1 yr)		Females (>1 yr)		Calves (<1 yr)		Unknown		Total	
	Kelp feeders	Non-kelp feeders	Kelp feeders	Non-kelp feeders	Kelp feeders	Non-kelp feeders	Kelp feeders	Non-kelp feeders	Kelp feeders	Non-kelp feeders
Brøggerhalvøya (n)	0	9	0	21	0	3	0	15	0	48
Sarsøyra (n)	6	16	4	46	6	2	1	1	17	65
Kaffiøyra (n)	2	26	5	33	0	1	2	7	9	67
Total (n)	8	51	9	100	6	6	3	23	26	180

4

1 **Figure legends**

2 Figure 1. The weather in Ny-Ålesund during winter 2010. Bars represent daily total
3 precipitation (measured between 06 hrs day t-1 and 06 hrs day t), solid line indicates
4 fluctuations in daily average temperature (00-24 hrs day t). Arrows indicate when major
5 precipitation events (i.e. > 10 mm daily precipitation measured in day t) occurred at above-
6 zero temperatures (measured in day t-1), i.e. on 11-12 November (total 43 mm), 11 December
7 (17 mm), and 17-19 January (total 55 mm). Source: The Norwegian Meteorological Institute.

8

9 Figure 2. (a) Ridge habitat coated with ground-ice on Sarsøyra during April 2010. (b)
10 Reindeer feeding on kelps on the beaches of Sarsøyra, with blocks of sea-ice in the
11 background. (c) Reindeer feeding craters with kelp fragments on Sarsøyra. Photos: B. B.
12 Hansen (the Norwegian Polar Institute).

13