

1 **No evidence for thermal transgenerational plasticity in metabolism when**  
2 **minimizing the potential for confounding effects**

3

4 Ø. N. Kielland<sup>1</sup>, C. Bech<sup>2</sup>, S. Einum<sup>1\*</sup>

5

6 \*Corresponding author: Sigurd.einum@ntnu.no, telephone +47 73590564, telefax +47 73596100

7

8 <sup>1</sup> Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Norwegian Univ. of Science and  
9 Technology, Høgskoleringen 5, Realfagbygget, NTNU, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

10 <sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, Norwegian Univ. of Science and Technology, Høgskoleringen 5,  
11 Realfagbygget, NTNU, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

12

13

14 **Keywords:** Maternal effects, climate change, epigenetics, respiration, between-generation,  
15 acclimation

16

17 **Abstract**

18 Environmental change may cause phenotypic changes that are inherited across generations  
19 through transgenerational plasticity (TGP). If TGP is adaptive, offspring fitness increases with an  
20 increasing match between parent and offspring environment. Here we test for adaptive TGP in  
21 somatic growth and metabolic rate in response to temperature in the clonal zooplankton *Daphnia*  
22 *pulex*. Animals of the first focal generation experienced thermal transgenerational “mismatch”  
23 (parental and offspring temperatures differed), whereas conditions of the next two generations  
24 matched the (grand)maternal thermal conditions. Adjustments of metabolic rate occurred during  
25 the lifetime of the first generation (i.e. within-generation plasticity). However, no further change  
26 was observed during the subsequent two generations, as would be expected under TGP.  
27 Furthermore, we observed no tendency for increased juvenile somatic growth (a trait highly  
28 correlated with fitness in *Daphnia*) over the three generations when reared at new temperatures.  
29 These results are inconsistent with existing studies of thermal TGP, and we describe how  
30 previous experimental designs may have confounded TGP with within-generation plasticity and  
31 selective mortality. We suggest that the current evidence for thermal TGP is weak. To increase  
32 our understanding of the ecological and evolutionary role of TGP, future studies should more  
33 carefully identify possible confounding factors.

34 **Introduction**

35 Environmental change may cause phenotypic changes that are inherited across generations in the  
36 absence of concurrent changes in DNA sequences. More specifically, under transgenerational  
37 plasticity (TGP) the offspring environmental reaction norm changes in interaction with the  
38 parental [1], or even grandparental environment [2, 3]. If environmental conditions are correlated  
39 across generations, parental environments may serve as a reliable cue for the optimal offspring  
40 gene expression, and TGP may be adaptive [4, 5]. In this case, TGP represents active phenotypic  
41 plasticity, where phenotypes are optimized according to the environment experienced by the  
42 parents, in order to maximize the increase (or minimize the decline) in fitness when  
43 environments change [6, 7]. However, plasticity may also occur in passive forms [8], in which  
44 case it is not a mechanism that has evolved to increase fitness. In this case, it is rather a  
45 phenotypic response to environmental conditions that organisms cannot prevent through  
46 evolution. Such responses might occur due to resource limitation or environmental stress [sensu  
47 8, 9]. Thus, to understand the ecological role of TGP it is not sufficient to demonstrate its  
48 presence; one also needs to evaluate whether it confers fitness benefits and preferably link this  
49 mechanism to specific patterns in environmental variation. With predictable environmental  
50 variation across generations, models that predict TGP could potentially be a special scenario in  
51 models on phenotypic tracking [10-13].

52 For ectothermic animals, metabolic activity (metabolic rates, commonly measured as  
53 oxygen consumption:  $VO_2$ ) is under strong control by the ambient temperature through passive  
54 plasticity [14, 15]. However, studies of acclimation (within-generation) clearly demonstrate the  
55 ability to up- and down-regulate metabolic rate in a more active way, presumably to counteract  
56 such passive responses [16, 17]. The failure of adapting to new temperature regimes

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

57 metabolically could inflict an excessive expenditure of energy or inadequate levels necessary to  
58 maintain important physiological functions, which in turn could affect fitness [18, 19]. It is  
59 therefore a relevant quantitative trait to study for temperature-mediated TGP, especially as  
60 ecological impacts due to climate change becomes increasingly important.

61         Similar to the adaptive properties of within-generation phenotypic plasticity, a TGP  
62 response can potentially contribute to momentarily shield a population/species from extinction  
63 under changing thermal conditions and allow more time to adapt genetically [20, 21]. Some  
64 studies suggest thermal TGP responses in somatic growth rates [22, 23], but the role of metabolic  
65 adjustments remains unclear. Two recent studies in coral reef fish [24] and sticklebacks [25]  
66 investigated the role of TGP in metabolic capacity for ectotherms. However, the study design  
67 applied in those studies cannot rule out the possibility of genetic changes across generations due  
68 to effects of selective mortality and/or selective breeding (see *Discussion* for more details ).

69         Here we test for thermal TGP responses in both metabolism and somatic growth using the  
70 crustacean *Daphnia pulex*. *Daphnia sp.* reproduce asexually during growth periods. By using this  
71 clonal animal as a model organism, genetic changes occurring from one generation to the next  
72 are minimized (being limited to arising new mutations), enabling TGP effects to be studied in  
73 isolation. The transparency of the animal and the ovoviviparous system allow maturation status  
74 to be assessed continuously, which permits a clear-cut distinction between maternal and  
75 offspring environment. We measured metabolic rate and somatic growth responses, the latter  
76 being a proxy for fitness [26], through three generations after being transferred to stable new  
77 temperatures. Thus, in this case there is a declining mismatch between offspring environment  
78 and environment experienced by previous generations when going from the first to the third  
79 generation. The interaction between environment in the current and previous generations are thus

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

80 embedded in the study design and can be tested for by comparing performance in the three  
81 generations in the new environment. In the presence of adaptive TGP we predict changes in  
82 metabolic rate across generations following a transfer to new temperatures, and corresponding  
83 increases in growth rate.

## 84 **Methods**

### 85 *Animal husbandry*

86 Resting eggs (ephippia) of *Daphnia pulex* were sorted from sediment samples collected at Lake  
87 Asklundvatnet, central Norway (N 63.588, E 10.729), on November 1<sup>st</sup> 2013. Temperatures from  
88 this lake was logged at 0.5m depth between May 19<sup>th</sup> – September 9<sup>th</sup> 2016. Mean temperature  
89 during this period was 17.6 °C (range daily mean: 12 – 22.7 °C, see electronic supplementary  
90 material S1 for details). The ephippia were dried for one week on a filter paper before cleansing  
91 and activation in 5% hypochlorite solution for five minutes. After being rinsed thoroughly with  
92 distilled water, the ephippia were left to hatch in filtered lake water under continuous light at  
93 room temperature (22 °C).

94 Resulting hatchlings were kept individually in separate 250mL jars where they  
95 propagated by asexual reproduction. The animals were kept at a density of 10 animals in 250 mL  
96 glass jars and fed three times a week with Shellfish Diet 1800® (Reed mariculture Inc.) at a final  
97 concentration of  $2 \times 10^5$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to ca. 3.4 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>. If only juveniles were  
98 present, half of this concentration was used. The shellfish diet consisted of four different marine  
99 microalgae: *Isochrysis* sp., *Pavlova* sp., *Tetraselmis* sp., and *Thalassiosira pseudonana*. Culling

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

100 of the populations down to 10 individuals took place once a week when the medium was  
101 replaced.

102         These populations (hereafter clones) were kept for 8-15 months (22 to 30 generations) in  
103 climate cabinets at 17 °C on a 16:8 L/D light regime prior to the experiments. This extensive  
104 period ensured common garden settings, i.e. no environmental effects on phenotypes from the  
105 wild should remain. For sexually reproducing organisms such prolonged artificial rearing could  
106 result in evolutionary changes. For asexual organisms, however, the genetic background should  
107 stay more constant, being limited to new mutations and possibly within-clone selection at an  
108 epigenome level [27]. Although one cannot completely rule out these two selective forces,  
109 fixation of new advantageous mutations was made less likely by the random selection of  
110 individuals during the weekly culling. Furthermore, in the case of within-clone selection of  
111 epigenetic changes, the multiple-generation rearing at 17 °C of our clones would be expected to  
112 produce patterns of gene expression that maximises fitness at that temperature, and hence  
113 potentially increasing the ability to detect TGP (i.e. first generation offspring reared at a different  
114 temperature should perform worse than subsequent generations, see *Study design, TGP*). The  
115 artificial medium used was a modified selenium dioxide version of ADaM [28].

116

### 117 *Study design, TGP*

118 Five of the hatched clones from Lake Asklundvatnet, (abbreviated laboratory names: BP, HF,  
119 LP, PM, WH) were used for both metabolic and growth experiments. The parental generation  
120 consisted of adult females that had ovulated (released the eggs into their brood chamber within  
121 24 hours) for the second or third time. These parents were selected from each clone at their  
122 original temperature (17 °C) and transferred to a stable 12, 17 and or 22 °C temperature regimes

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

123 (Fig. 1). Feeding regimes were developed to ensure *ad lib* conditions while at the same time  
124 preventing overfeeding at the colder temperature (overfeeding in *Daphnia* cultures causes water  
125 quality problems). Based on a pilot study it was found that this was achieved by adjusting food  
126 rations down or up by 20% relative to that given at 17 °C (described above) for the 12 and 22 °C  
127 treatments, respectively.

128         The subsequent first clutch being born by the transferred animals thus became the F1  
129 generation. Individuals of this generation thus lived their entire life post-hatching as well as the  
130 majority of their post-ovulation egg stage at the new temperature, but were conceived and spent  
131 their pre-ovulation (i.e. gonadal) life at the original temperature (17 °C). Upon onset of  
132 reproduction by the F1 generation (producing F2), the clone's first week of offspring were  
133 discarded to avoid selecting for any potential mutations on age at maturation. Thus, the  
134 individuals that comprised the F2 generation were randomly selected from offspring born after  
135 one week of clonal reproduction. Epigenetic effects from the grandparental generation (all reared  
136 at 17 °C) may also affect the F2 generation, which required an additional F3 generation to be  
137 tested. The F3 generation was created in the same manner as the F2 generation.

138

### 139 *Study design, maternal effects*

140 One potential caveat with our design relates to maternal effects. Specifically, under TGP we  
141 predict F2 to perform better (i.e. grow faster) than F1 in a new environment. However, if growth  
142 rate is influenced by an additive maternal effect, and offspring from mothers reared at 17 degrees  
143 grow faster than those from mothers reared at *both* lower and higher temperatures, predictions  
144 may change. Under this scenario, this would give a positive effect on F1 in the new  
145 environments compared to F2, and hence counteract the predicted effect of TGP. Thus, a

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

146 separate experiment was conducted to test for such maternal effects. In this, mothers that had  
147 been born and developed at the high and low temperatures (12 and 22 °C) were transferred to the  
148 intermediate temperature (17 °C). The growth rates until maturation of their resulting offspring  
149 was compared to that of offspring from mothers born and developed at the intermediate  
150 temperature. As in the main experiment, the transferred animals were mothers that had ovulated  
151 within the previous 24 hours.

152

### 153 *Metabolic measurements*

154 Oxygen consumption of one animal from each of the five clones from all three temperatures of a  
155 given generation (15 in total) were tested in each replicate run at a common temperature of 17 °C  
156 (Fig. 1). This allowed us to quantify metabolic responses of being reared at new temperatures for  
157 one, two or three generations. Ten replicate runs were conducted per generation. The body  
158 lengths (BL, mm, measured from the base of the caudal spine to the apex of the eye) of the  
159 animals were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm using the software ImageJ [29]. Total dry weight  
160 (DW, mg) of each individual was calculated using the formula  $DW = 0.0084BL^{2.58}$  [30]. The  
161 number of eggs in the brood chamber and their development stage were also recorded for each  
162 individual. Eggs were defined as late in the development process if it was possible to observe the  
163 eyes inside the brood chamber (i.e. development stage 4-5 in [31]), and early if not. Individuals  
164 were excluded from the dataset (n = 56) if we could not determine the contents of the brood  
165 chamber or where the individual had an ephippium present.

166 Oxygen consumption rates were measured in a sealed glass micro plate equipped with  
167 planar oxygen sensor spots with optical isolation glued onto the bottom of 128-148 µL (mean:  
168 138 µL) wells (Loligo® Systems, Denmark) integrated with a 24-channel fluorescence-based



## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

169 respirometry system, the SDR SensorDish® Reader (PreSens, Germany). Such optode  
170 respirometry is known for its simplicity, high throughput, and high temporal resolution and  
171 sensitivity (Szela and Marsh 2005). The reader was placed inside a Memmert Peltier-cooled  
172 incubator IPP (Mettler-Toledo GmbH, Germany) that kept a stable 17 °C temperature. Adult daphnids  
173 (body lengths 1.7 – 2.9 mm) were measured individually in their respective wells. Pressure  
174 influenced the readings greatly, which meant that the measurements had to be done without any  
175 lid on the chambers. Oxygen content was therefore measured immediately following the  
176 placement of animals into the wells, after which the wells were sealed using a silicone lid, which  
177 was suppressed by a lead weight to keep the wells air-tight. At the end of the experimental period  
178 this lid was removed before taking the final oxygen measurements. The experimental period (30-  
179 60 minutes) depended on the experimental temperature, balancing sufficient consumption (~10-  
180 25% reduction in O<sub>2</sub>) while being well clear of hypoxia (>4 mg O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>). Nine of the 24 wells  
181 functioned as controls, using a representative amount of medium (ADaM) from which the  
182 animals originated in addition to fresh ADaM. The mean change of controls was accounted for  
183 when calculating oxygen consumption of the daphnids. Oxygen consumption rate was expressed  
184 as μg O<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup>.

185  
186 Individual oxygen consumption rates (VO<sub>2</sub>) were first standardized to a common dry body mass  
187 (DW) equal to the mean of all individuals (n=440,  $\overline{DW} = 0.071$  mg) and using our observed  
188 relationship between individual DW and VO<sub>2</sub> (VO<sub>2</sub> = 2.2 x DW<sup>0.97</sup>; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.23). The metabolic  
189 scaling exponent (0.97, SE 0.08) matches exponents reported in other studies of *Daphnia* [32],  
190 and did not differ between the different temperature treatments (tab. S2-1, Supplementary  
191 material S2, ANOVA, p = 0.1). Thus, size standardized metabolic rate (*s*VO<sub>2</sub>) for a given  
192 individual *i* was calculated as:

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

$$sVO_{2i} = e^{\ln(VO_{2i}) - 0.97(\ln(DW_i) - \ln(\overline{DW}))} \quad (1)$$

194 Based on these size standardized oxygen consumption rates (eq. 1) we calculated relative values  
195 (eq. 2) of metabolic rates for individuals reared at the new temperatures as:

$$rVO_{2ijk} = sVO_{2ijk} - \overline{sVO_{2jk\ 17^\circ C}} \quad (2)$$

197 , where indices  $j$  and  $k$  represent generation and clone, respectively. The relative metabolic rate  
198 thus quantifies the up- or down regulation of metabolism for animals reared at new temperatures  
199 compared to their clonal counterparts being maintained at 17 °C. The distributions of the  
200 individual observations, sorted by clone, temperature and generation ( $sVO_2$ , eq. 2), can be  
201 viewed in supplementary material S3.

202

### 203 *Growth measurements*

204 Somatic growth (G) was calculated as:

$$G = \frac{\ln(B_{w2}) - \ln(B_{w1})}{t} \quad (3)$$

206 , where  $B_{w1}$  and  $B_{w2}$  is dry mass (mg) at the start (mean  $3.1 \times 10^{-3}$  mg, SE  $4.3 \times 10^{-4}$  at 12 °C,  
207 mean  $2.9 \times 10^{-3}$  mg, SE  $6.9 \times 10^{-4}$  at 22 °C) and end (mean  $4.6 \times 10^{-2}$  mg, SE  $6.9 \times 10^{-3}$  at 12 °C,  
208 mean  $3.8 \times 10^{-2}$  mg, SE  $4.8 \times 10^{-3}$  at 22 °C). of the growth period, respectively, and  $t$  is the  
209 duration of the growth period in days (mean 13.2, SE 1.2 days at 12 °C, mean 9.45, SE 2.31 days  
210 at 17 °C and mean 5.3, SE 0.4 days at 22 °C). In the TGP experiment all generations were tested  
211 simultaneously within each of the two new temperatures, 12 and 22 °C (Fig. 1). Similarly, all the  
212 animals in the maternal effect experiment were tested simultaneously at 17 °C. All animals were  
213 size measured within 24 hours after birth, visually inspected for maturation status 1-3 times daily  
214 and once again measured as soon as they reached maturity. Maturation is defined as the first  
215 appearance of eggs in the brood chamber (ovulation). This measure of somatic growth is tightly

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

216 correlated with the intrinsic population growth rate  $r$  [26], and thus represents a measure of  
217 fitness. Protocols for feeding and measurements followed those used in the metabolism  
218 experiment (see above), except that animals were reared individually in 50 mL plastic tubes.

219

### 220 *Statistics*

221 When analysing metabolism; temperature during development, number of generations since the  
222 transfer to the new temperature, clone identity (all categorical variables), and number of eggs at  
223 early or late embryonic stages (continuous variables) were included as fixed effects in the full  
224 model. To test for G×E, TGP×Environment and G×TGP interactions, the interactions between  
225 clone and temperature, generation and temperature, and clone and generation were also included.  
226 Replicate number (15 animals representing all clones and temperatures, within a generation,  
227 were tested simultaneously) was included as a random effect (categorical variable).

228 For the main somatic growth analyses (investigating TGP effects), fixed effects in the full  
229 model included the number of generations, clone identity (both categorical), as well as the  
230 interaction between these two variables. As we were unable to start growth experiments for all  
231 individuals on the exact same day, experiment start date (categorical variable) was tested as a  
232 random effect. Within-clutch correlations were also analysed, using clutch ID as a random  
233 intercept. For the experiment at 22 °C, initial analyses suggested a bimodal distribution of growth  
234 rates which corresponded to the distributions of age at maturity (5 vs. 6 days, mean 5.25, SE  
235 0.46). Thus, considerable noise appeared to be due to an insufficient frequency of maturity  
236 checks at this temperature. Since all clones and generations were represented among both age  
237 classes (5 vs. 6 days), we included the number of days over which growth was measured as a  
238 fixed effect for this temperature treatment. For the subsequent 12 °C experiment the maturity

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

239 status was checked more regularly, and in addition the range of ages at maturity was  
240 considerably larger (10-17 days, mean 13.2, SE 1.2 days). Thus, in this treatment no such effects  
241 were noticed.

242 In the separate growth experiment at 17 °C (investigating additive maternal effects), the  
243 number of generations-variable was replaced with maternal temperature (categorical).  
244 Experimental start date was similarly treated as a random effect. However, clutch ID was in this  
245 experiment not used as a random effect for the maternal effect experiment, as only 1-2  
246 individual(s) represented a given clutch. Maturation status was checked 2-3 times daily and  
247 growth showed no signs of statistical noise due to maturation time, suggesting that it was not too  
248 infrequent.

249 All statistical analyses were done in the statistical software *R*, v. 3.2.1 [33]. Linear mixed  
250 models were fitted using the *lme4* package [34, 35]. Models that included significant random  
251 effects had estimates fitted with restricted maximum likelihood (REML). Model selection was  
252 conducted using a backwards selection procedure where variables were removed sequentially  
253 until no further simplification could be made without causing a significant decrease in log-  
254 likelihood (i.e. log-likelihood ratio test, [35], where the degrees of freedom were estimated by  
255 Satterthwaite approximation using the *lmerTest* package [36]). Thermal autocorrelation analysis  
256 of the study site was analysed using the *acf* package in *R*, using daily mean temperatures from  
257 the summer of 2016 (see supplementary material S2 for correlogram). For details regarding this  
258 analysis please see [37, 38].

259 **Results**

260 *Metabolism*

261 Overall across all generations, animals living at a lower temperature had up-regulated their  
262 metabolism (mean  $sVO_2 = 0.201 \mu\text{g O}_2 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , SE 0.007), and animals living at higher temperatures  
263 had down regulated their metabolism (mean  $sVO_2 = 0.142 \mu\text{g O}_2 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , SE 0.007), compared to the  
264 control group being maintained at 17 °C (mean  $sVO_2 = 0.162 \mu\text{g O}_2 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , SE 0.007).

265 When analysing metabolic rate in individuals from new temperatures relative to that of  
266 their counterparts being kept at their original temperature ( $rVO_2$ ), the random effect of replicate  
267 number could not be removed from the model without causing a significant decrease in log-  
268 likelihood ( $p < 0.001$ ). All interactions tested for could be removed (generation  $\times$  temperature  $p$   
269 = 0.23, generation  $\times$  clone  $p = 0.09$ , clone  $\times$  temperature  $p = 0.16$ , supplementary material S3).  
270 The effect of rearing temperature was significant ( $p < 0.001$ ), whereas the number of generations  
271 kept at the new temperature had no effect ( $p = 0.73$ ). Thus, adjustments of metabolic rate  
272 occurred during the first generation in a new thermal environment but then remained stable in  
273 subsequent generations (Fig. 2a). The final model also included a significant positive effect of  
274 the number of eggs at a late development stage ( $p < 0.001$ ), increasing the oxygen consumption  
275 by  $0.010 \mu\text{g O}_2 \text{ hour}^{-1} \text{ egg}^{-1}$  (SE 0.001). There was no such effect of number of eggs at an early  
276 development stage ( $p = 0.14$ ). Finally, there was a minor significant effect of clone identity ( $p <$   
277  $0.05$ , Fig. 2b).

278

279 *Growth, TGP*

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

280 In the growth data for animals experiencing 12 °C, there was no significant effect from using  
281 clutch ID as a random effect ( $p \sim 1$ ), whereas experiment start date effects were significant ( $p <$   
282 0.001). No significant interaction occurred between clones and TGP effects ( $p = 0.12$ ). There  
283 was no general response from TGP, with approximately equal growth in all generations (Fig. 3a,  
284  $p = 0.55$ ). The different clones showed significant difference in growth at 12 °C (Fig. 3b,  $p <$   
285 0.01). At 22 °C, the effect of clutch ID was significant ( $p < 0.001$ ), as was the effect of  
286 experimental start date ( $p < 0.01$ ). The interaction between clone and generation number did not  
287 show a significant influence on the growth ( $p = 0.32$ ). Genetic differences and TGP were absent,  
288 as neither the generation number variable nor clone variable proved significant in the final  
289 models (Fig. 3c & 3d,  $p = 0.10$  and  $p = 0.12$ , respectively).

290

### 291 *Growth, maternal effects*

292 Temporal laboratory fluctuations (experiment replicate number, see *Methods*) were also present  
293 in the growth experiment at 17 °C ( $p < 0.001$ ). As in the analyses of growth at 12 and 22 °C,  
294 animals grew equally at 17 °C regardless of maternal temperature (Fig. 4a,  $p = 0.46$ ). There were  
295 no differences among the clones in growth (Fig. 4b,  $p = 0.65$ ) at 17 °C, nor any significant  
296 interaction between clone and maternal temperature ( $p = 0.28$ ).

### 297 **Discussion**

298 In the present study, we tested for thermal TGP responses in *Daphnia*. We found no evidence for  
299 such effects, neither for metabolic rate nor for somatic growth rate. For the metabolic rates, up-  
300 and down-regulation was observed for the low and high temperature treatments, respectively.  
301 These patterns are consistent with the cold-adaptation hypothesis, whereby ectothermic

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

302 organisms living in cold environments are expected to up-regulate their metabolism relative to  
303 those living in warmer environments when tested at a common temperature. This represents a  
304 mechanism to counteract the direct passive effect of reduced temperature on their ability to feed,  
305 grow and reproduce [16, 17]. However, the patterns were consistent for all three generations,  
306 such that the level of up- or down-regulation was just as great for the first generation (having  
307 experienced only within-generation plasticity) as for the two subsequent generations (where  
308 additional TGP effects would be expected). Thus, the full possible extent of such adaptation was  
309 obtained through acclimation occurring during post-ovulation egg development and/or the  
310 juvenile stage. Consistent with this, we observed no tendency for increased somatic growth over  
311 the three generations when reared at new temperatures. Finally, we found no evidence for effects  
312 of temperatures experienced by mothers on the growth rate of their offspring. The negative  
313 results with respect to a TGP response was therefore not caused by counteracting additive  
314 maternal effects. Since our measure of somatic growth rates is highly correlated with fitness (i.e.  
315 population growth rate  $r$  [26]), this also suggests an absence of thermal TGP responses in traits  
316 other than metabolic rate that would provide fitness benefits.

317       Our results are inconsistent with previous studies reporting the presence of thermal TGP  
318 responses in animals [e.g. 22-24, 39]. One potential explanation of this discrepancy could be a  
319 difference in thermal autocorrelation patterns between study systems. One obvious prerequisite  
320 for expecting the evolution of TGP as an adaptive mechanism is that the environment  
321 experienced by mothers has some predictive value for the environments their offspring will  
322 encounter. In Lake Askundvatnet the water temperature on a given day is significantly  
323 positively correlated within a lag of up to 12 days, which is a period that exceeds the age of first  
324 reproduction during considerable periods of the summer (maturation time 5-14 days between 12

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

325 and 22 °C, electronic supplementary material S1). Thus, the thermal properties of our study  
326 system are similar to the temperature autocorrelation patterns observed in other study systems,  
327 both where thermal TGP in ectotherms is shown to be present [40] and absent [38]. Both these  
328 marine study systems showed significant autocorrelation in temperature for 9 and 15 days,  
329 respectively, which were relevant biological timescales for the ectotherms studies.

330 In attempting to explain the discrepancies between our results and previous studies  
331 demonstrating thermal TGP we suggest that one should consider the potential for confounding  
332 effects that may arise in tests of thermal TGP. The suggested confounding effects are not  
333 highlighted as to deny the existence of TGP, but intend to exemplify certain issues with  
334 commonly applied study designs in this field. This is necessary to improve the ability of future  
335 studies to disentangle TGP effects from other sources of variance. First, when animals are moved  
336 back and forth between temperatures to test for interactive effects, focal traits could be measured  
337 during different temporal periods due to logistical reasons. When measurements are disjointed on  
338 a temporal scale, significant differences might appear between treatments due to laboratory  
339 fluctuations [this study, 38]. Second, for highly fecund sexually reproducing organisms (such as  
340 those used in [3, 22]) there is often extensive mortality either at birth or through life (e.g. ~50-  
341 75% in [3], 60-90% in [40]), and/or individuals might fail to mature and/or reproduce at certain  
342 temperatures [24]. Even if some members within each family survive and reproduce, it will  
343 remain unknown to what extent genetic composition among treatment groups and generations  
344 remain constant. For clonally reproducing organisms, effects of selective mortality/breeding can  
345 usually be excluded. To some degree, one may also exclude genetic changes in strains of  
346 sexually reproducing organisms that are fully homozygous (e.g. *Arabidopsis thaliana* [41]).  
347 Finally, studies that aim to apply a certain environmental treatment to the parental generation



## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

348 may inadvertently expose early stages of the offspring generation to the same treatment. This  
349 may be particularly important for organisms with short life cycles. For example, for studies of  
350 *Daphnia*, a period of 24h post-birth may represent ~20% of the juvenile life span (and 100% of  
351 the embryonic development). Yet, it is not uncommon for experimental designs to allow F1  
352 offspring periods of 12-24 h following birth in the same environment as the parental generation  
353 before transferring the offspring to the new environment, and then use the comparison of  
354 phenotypes of F1 from different parental environments as a test of TGP. This design has recently  
355 been used to show thermal TGP in growth/fitness for *Daphnia* [23]. However, an alternative  
356 explanation could be that poikilothermic individuals having completed their egg development as  
357 well as the initial period after birth at the same temperature as their mothers perform best at that  
358 temperature due to within-generation phenotypic plasticity. Late transfer of offspring and within-  
359 generation phenotypic plasticity could also potentially confound other studies that investigate the  
360 role of TGP in asexual animals with respect to environmental variables such as food  
361 concentration [42, 43], pathogen abundance [43-45], exposure to toxic substances [46], predator  
362 presence [47] and temperature and salinity [48].

363         With our approach, where we transferred the parental generation to contrasting  
364 environments shortly after ovulation of eggs (rather than transferring their offspring at birth), and  
365 tested for changes in phenotypes of clones over the subsequent generations, we minimize the  
366 confounding effects identified above. To our knowledge, only in two previous studies have  
367 “pregnant” *Daphnia*, instead of neonates, been introduced into a new environment to study TGP.  
368 These studies show TGP effects of maternal predation exposure on offspring defensive  
369 morphology [49], and maternal food and photoperiod exposure on *ephippia* production by  
370 offspring [50]. Thus, there seem to be a clear potential for evolution of adaptive TGP in

## No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

371 *Daphnia*. The fact that we fail to find any differences among generations in the present study  
372 suggests that thermal TGP responses to maternal or grand maternal temperature are weak or  
373 absent with the given experimental settings. Within-generation phenotypic responses to  
374 temperature (e.g. metabolic rate [this study], haemoglobin concentrations [51]) could be  
375 sufficiently rapid, and the costs of responding rapidly sufficiently low, so that any additional  
376 TGP responses would be of marginal adaptive value.

377         In conclusion, our study provides strong evidence for within-generation phenotypic  
378 plasticity in *Daphnia* metabolic rates as a response to temperature regimes, but no indication that  
379 thermal TGP plays a role in improving fitness under changing temperatures. We suggest that  
380 future studies of thermal TGP should use designs that clearly separate between these two sources  
381 of variation, as well as avoiding effects of selective mortality, and caution that failing to do so  
382 may lead to an overly optimistic view on the ability of organisms to adapt to changing climates  
383 through TGP.

384 **Competing interests**

385 The authors have no conflicting interests to declare.

386 **Data accessibility**

387 Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.fp00p>

388 **Author contributions**

389 ØK participated in the study design, method development, animal husbandry, experimental  
390 procedures, data and statistical analysis and writing the manuscript. CB helped with the study  
391 design, method development, statistical analysis and manuscript revisions. SE initiated the  
392 project, developed the study design and methods, revised the manuscript and participated in the  
393 data and statistical analysis. All authors gave final approval for publication

394 **Acknowledgments**

395 The study received funding from The Research Council of Norway, projects 230482, and was  
396 partly supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence funding  
397 scheme, project number 223257/F50 and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology  
398 (NTNU). We thank D. Ebert and J. Stillman for information on culturing *Daphnia* sp., V.  
399 Yashchenko for culture maintenance, E. Fossen, E.G. Ofstad, K.Räsänen and C. Pelabon for  
400 comments.

401

402 **References**

- 403 [1] Salinas S, Brown SC, Mangel M, Munch SB. 2013 Non-genetic inheritance and changing  
 404 environments. *Non-Genetic Inheritance* **1**, 38-50. (doi:10.2478/ngi-2013-0005)
- 405 [2] Herman JJ, Sultan SE, Horgan-Kobelski T, Riggs C. 2012 Adaptive transgenerational  
 406 plasticity in an annual plant: grandparental and parental drought stress enhance performance of  
 407 seedlings in dry soil. *Integr Comp Biol* **52**, 77-88. (doi:10.1093/Icb/Ics041)
- 408 [3] Shama LNS, Wegner KM. 2014 Grandparental effects in marine sticklebacks:  
 409 transgenerational plasticity across multiple generations. *J Evolution Biol* **27**, 2297–2307.
- 410 [4] Galloway LF, Etterson JR. 2007 Transgenerational plasticity is adaptive in the wild. *Science*  
 411 **318**, 1134-1136. (doi:10.1126/science.1148766)
- 412 [5] Fox CW, Mousseau TA. 1998 Maternal effects as adaptations for transgenerational  
 413 phenotypic plasticity in insects. In *Maternal Effects as Adaptations* (eds TA Mousseau, CW  
 414 Fox), pp 159-177, Oxford University Press, USA.
- 415 [6] Via S, Gomulkiewicz R, Dejong G, Scheiner SM, Schlichting CD, Vantienderen PH. 1995  
 416 Adaptive Phenotypic Plasticity - Consensus and Controversy. *Trends Ecol Evol* **10**, 212-217.  
 417 (doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(00)89061-8)
- 418 [7] Forsman A. 2015 Rethinking phenotypic plasticity and its consequences for individuals,  
 419 populations and species. *Heredity* **115**, 276-284. (doi:10.1038/hdy.2014.92)
- 420 [8] Bell DL, Galloway LF. 2007 Plasticity to neighbour shade: fitness consequences and  
 421 allometry. *Funct Ecol* **21**, 1146-1153. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01327.x)
- 422 [9] Dorn LA, Pyle EH, Schmitt J. 2000 Plasticity to light cues and resources in *Arabidopsis*  
 423 *thaliana*: Testing for adaptive value and costs. *Evolution* **54**, 1982-1994. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-  
 424 3820.2000.tb01242.x)
- 425 [10] Tufto J. 2000 The evolution of plasticity and nonplastic spatial and temporal adaptations in  
 426 the presence of imperfect environmental cues. *Am Nat* **156**, 121-130. (doi:10.1086/303381)
- 427 [11] Reed TE, Waples RS, Schindler DE, Hard JJ, Kinnison MT. 2010 Phenotypic plasticity and  
 428 population viability: the importance of environmental predictability. *Proc R Soc B* **277**, 3391-  
 429 3400. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0771)
- 430 [12] Botero CA, Weissing FJ, Wright J, Rubenstein DR. 2015 Evolutionary tipping points in the  
 431 capacity to adapt to environmental change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**, 184-189.  
 432 (doi:10.1073/pnas.1408589111)
- 433 [13] Tufto J. 2015 Genetic evolution, plasticity, and bet-hedging as adaptive responses to  
 434 temporally autocorrelated fluctuating selection: A quantitative genetic model. *Evolution* **69**,  
 435 2034-2049. (doi:10.1111/evo.12716)
- 436 [14] Gillooly JF, Brown JH, West GB, Savage VM, Charnov EL. 2001 Effects of size and  
 437 temperature on metabolic rate. *Science* **293**, 2248-2251. (doi:10.1126/science.1061967)
- 438 [15] Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Allen AP, Savage VM, West GB. 2004 Toward a Metabolic Theory  
 439 of Ecology. *Ecology* **85**, 1771-1789. (doi:10.1890/03-9000)
- 440 [16] White CR, Alton LA, Frappell PB. 2012 Metabolic cold adaptation in fishes occurs at the  
 441 level of whole animal, mitochondria and enzyme. *Proc R Soc B* **279**, 1740-1747.  
 442 (doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.2060)
- 443 [17] Clarke A. 2003 Costs and consequences of evolutionary temperature adaptation. *Trends*  
 444 *Ecol Evol* **18**, 573-581. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2003.08.007)
- 445 [18] Pörtner HO *et al.* 2001 Climate induced temperature effects on growth performance,  
 446 fecundity and recruitment in marine fish: developing a hypothesis for cause and effect

- 447 relationships in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and common eelpout (*Zoarces viviparus*). *Cont*  
 448 *Shelf Res* **21**, 1975-1997. (doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(01)00038-3)
- 449 [19] Pörtner HO. 2002 Climate variations and the physiological basis of temperature dependent  
 450 biogeography: systemic to molecular hierarchy of thermal tolerance in animals. *Comparative*  
 451 *Biochemistry and Physiology a-Molecular and Integrative Physiology* **132**, 739-761.  
 452 (doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00045-4)
- 453 [20] Pfennig DW, Wund MA, Snell-Rood EC, Cruickshank T, Schlichting CD, Moczek AP.  
 454 2010 Phenotypic plasticity's impacts on diversification and speciation. *Trends Ecol Evol* **25**, 459-  
 455 467. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2010.05.006)
- 456 [21] Price TD, Qvarnström A, Irwin DE. 2003 The role of phenotypic plasticity in driving  
 457 genetic evolution. *Proc R Soc B* **270**, 1433-1440. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2372)
- 458 [22] Salinas S, Munch SB. 2012 Thermal legacies: transgenerational effects of temperature on  
 459 growth in a vertebrate. *Ecol Lett* **15**, 159-163. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01721.x)
- 460 [23] Walsh MR, Whittington D, Funkhouser C. 2014 Thermal transgenerational plasticity in  
 461 natural populations of *Daphnia*. *Integr Comp Biol* **54**, 822-829. (doi:10.1093/Icb/Icu078)
- 462 [24] Donelson JM, Munday PL, McCormick MI, Pitcher CR. 2012 Rapid transgenerational  
 463 acclimation of a tropical reef fish to climate change. *Nat Clim Change* **2**, 30-32.  
 464 (doi:10.1038/Nclimate1323)
- 465 [25] Shama LNS, Strobel A, Mark FC, Wegner KM. 2014 Transgenerational plasticity in marine  
 466 sticklebacks: maternal effects mediate impacts of a warming ocean. *Funct Ecol* **28**, 1482-1493.  
 467 (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12280)
- 468 [26] Lampert W, Trubetskova I. 1996 Juvenile growth rate as a measure of fitness in *Daphnia*.  
 469 *Funct Ecol* **10**, 631-635. (doi:10.2307/2390173)
- 470 [27] Jablonka E. 2013 Epigenetic inheritance and plasticity: The responsive germline. *Progress*  
 471 *in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* **111**, 99-107. (doi:10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2012.08.014)
- 472 [28] Kluttgen B, Dulmer U, Engels M, Ratte HT. 1994 ADaM, an Artificial Fresh-Water for the  
 473 Culture of Zooplankton. *Water Res* **28**, 743-746. (doi:10.1016/0043-1354(94)90157-0)
- 474 [29] Rasband WS. 1997-2014 *ImageJ*, US. Bethesda, Maryland, USA. (See  
 475 <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>).
- 476 [30] Gorokhova E, Kyle M. 2002 Analysis of nucleic acids in *Daphnia*: development of methods  
 477 and ontogenetic variations in RNA-DNA content. *J Plankton Res* **24**, 511-522.  
 478 (doi:10.1093/plankt/24.5.511)
- 479 [31] Threlkeld ST. 1979 Estimating Cladoceran Birth-Rates - Importance of Egg Mortality and  
 480 the Egg Age Distribution. *Limnol Oceanogr* **24**, 601-612.
- 481 [32] Glazier DS. 2006 The 3/4-power law is not universal: Evolution of isometric, ontogenetic  
 482 metabolic scaling in pelagic animals. *Bioscience* **56**, 325-332. (doi:10.1641/0006-  
 483 3568(2006)56[325:Tplinu]2.0.Co;2)
- 484 [33] R Core Team. 2016 *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna,  
 485 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. (See <http://www.R-project.org/>).
- 486 [34] Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker BM, Walker S. 2015 Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models  
 487 using lme4. *J Stat Softw* **67**, 1-48.
- 488 [35] Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009 *Mixed Effects Models and*  
 489 *Extensions in Ecology with R*. New York, USA, Springer Science; 574 p.
- 490 [36] Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. 2016 *lmerTest: Tests in Linear Mixed*  
 491 *Effects Models*. (See <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lmerTest>).
- 492 [37] Legendre P, Legendre L. 2012 Chapter 12 - Ecological data series. In *Developments in*  
 493 *Environmental Modelling* (eds L Pierre, L Louis), pp 711-783. Amsterdam; Boston, Elsevier.

- 494 [38] Burgess SC, Marshall DJ. 2011 Temperature-induced maternal effects and environmental  
 495 predictability. *J Exp Biol* **214**, 2329-2336. (doi:10.1242/jeb.054718)
- 496 [39] Shama LNS, Wegner KM. 2014 Grandparental effects in marine sticklebacks:  
 497 transgenerational plasticity across multiple generations. *J Evolution Biol* **27**, 2297-2307.  
 498 (doi:10.1111/jeb.12490)
- 499 [40] Shama LNS. 2015 Bet hedging in a warming ocean: predictability of maternal environment  
 500 shapes offspring size variation in marine sticklebacks. *Global Change Biol* **21**, 4387-4400.  
 501 (doi:10.1111/gcb.13041)
- 502 [41] Suter L, Widmer A. 2013 Phenotypic effects of salt and heat stress over three generations in  
 503 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plos One* **8**, 12. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080819)
- 504 [42] LaMontagne JM, McCauley E. 2001 Maternal effects in *Daphnia*: what mothers are telling  
 505 their offspring and do they listen? *Ecol Lett* **4**, 64-71. (doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00197.x)
- 506 [43] Mitchell SE, Read AF. 2005 Poor maternal environment enhances offspring disease  
 507 resistance in an invertebrate. *Proc R Soc B* **272**, 2601-2607. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3253)
- 508 [44] Little TJ, O'Connor B, Colegrave N, Watt K, Read AF. 2003 Maternal transfer of strain-  
 509 specific immunity in an invertebrate. *Curr Biol* **13**, 489-492. (doi:10.1016/S0960-  
 510 9822(03)00163-5)
- 511 [45] Gustafsson S, Rengefors K, Hansson LA. 2005 Increased consumer fitness following  
 512 transfer of toxin tolerance to offspring via maternal effects. *Ecology* **86**, 2561-2567.  
 513 (doi:10.1890/04-1710)
- 514 [46] Vandegheuchte MB, Lemiere F, Janssen CR. 2009 Quantitative DNA-methylation in  
 515 *Daphnia magna* and effects of multigeneration Zn exposure. *Comp Biochem Phys C* **150**, 343-  
 516 348. (doi:10.1016/j.cbpc.2009.05.014)
- 517 [47] Walsh MR, Cooley F, Biles K, Munch SB. 2015 Predator-induced phenotypic plasticity  
 518 within- and across-generations: a challenge for theory? *Proc R Soc B* **282**.  
 519 (doi:10.1098/Rspb.2014.2205)
- 520 [48] Chen X, Stillman JH. 2012 Multigenerational analysis of temperature and salinity variability  
 521 affects on metabolic rate, generation time, and acute thermal and salinity tolerance in *Daphnia*  
 522 *pulex*. *J Therm Biol* **37**, 185-194. (doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2011.12.010)
- 523 [49] Agrawal AA, Laforsch C, Tollrian R. 1999 Transgenerational induction of defences in  
 524 animals and plants. *Nature* **401**, 60-63. (doi:10.1038/43425)
- 525 [50] Alekseev V, Lampert W. 2001 Maternal control of resting-egg production in *Daphnia*.  
 526 *Nature* **414**, 899-901. (doi:10.1038/414899a)
- 527 [51] Lamkemeyer T, Zeis B, Paul RJ. 2003 Temperature acclimation influences temperature-  
 528 related behaviour as well as oxygen-transport physiology and biochemistry in the water flea  
 529 *Daphnia magna*. *Can J Zool* **81**, 237-249. (doi:10.1139/Z03-001)

531 **Figure captions**

532 **Figure 1 Schematic representation of the experimental design. Metabolic rate (at 17 °C)**  
533 **and growth (at 12 and 22 °C) were measured for 440 and 368 animals, respectively. Five**  
534 **unique clones of *D. pulex* were represented in each temperature treatment, and represented**  
535 **approximately equally at all factorial levels. This number of levels and animals yields ~10**  
536 **inds. clone<sup>-1</sup> generation<sup>-1</sup> temperature<sup>-1</sup> for metabolism measurements and ~13 inds. clone<sup>-1</sup>**  
537 **generation<sup>-1</sup> temperature<sup>-1</sup> for growth measurements. Online version in colour.**

538 **Figure 2 Size-standardized metabolic rate (rVO<sub>2</sub>, mean ± SE, measured at 17 °C) of *D.***  
539 ***pulex* reared at two new temperatures for one, two or three generations relative to controls**  
540 **being maintained at the original temperature (17 °C). Deviations from the zero baselines**  
541 **thus indicate an up- (positive values) or down-regulation in VO<sub>2</sub>. (a) Generation-specific**  
542 **mean rVO<sub>2</sub> across all clones (b) Clone-specific rVO<sub>2</sub> means across all generations**

543

544 **Figure 3 Mean (± SE) growth until maturity (G, eq. 3) in *D. pulex*. (a) and (b): Growth, in**  
545 **the 1st and two subsequent generations after being introduced to new thermal**  
546 **environments; 12 °C (a) and 22 °C (b), with n = 205 and n = 162 animals, respectively. The**  
547 **ancestors had reproduced asexually for 12-15 months at an original temperature (17 °C)**  
548 **prior to 1st generation. (c) and (d): Growth for the different clones at 12 °C (c) and 22 °C**  
549 **(d).**

550

551 **Figure 4**

552 **Mean (± SE) growth until maturity (G, eq. 3) in *D. pulex* at 17 °C (total n = 327 inds.; (a):**  
553 **77-128 inds. temperature<sup>-1</sup>; (b): 58-71 inds clone<sup>-1</sup>). The maternal generation (n = 226) were**

No evidence for adaptive thermal TGP

554 **all conceived at 17 °C, but spent their life (including embryogenesis) at different maternal**  
555 **temperatures.**

556