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## Abstract
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## Chapter 1

## Super computers

### 1.1 Why super computers exist?

Traditionally computation was done serially, one instruction at a time. In many situations this was and still is adequate. In example when doing simple algorithms on small datasets. However, scientific project and businesses often have complex algorithms with large datasets, taking forever to calculate serially on one CPU. Algorithms and data is often dependent on other data, however large parts of the data and algorithms may be independent, not demanding a specific order of execution, making it ideal to be executed at the same time [14].
By making multiple parallel processors cooperate you increase the throughput solving larger problem in a shorter time. Done right, the problem can be solved linearly faster divided on the number of processor, making a near linear speedup (see Chapter 1.3.1).

### 1.2 Performance limitations for computers

Parallel computing also is one way to solve the performance limitations processors have. For many years the CPU makers made PCs faster by increasing the clock rate, and therefor the number of calculations per second. However, around 2004 Intel hit the "Power Wall" (see Chapter 1.2.1) making it impractical to draw more calculation power out of a single CPU. Intel was forced to start making multi-core CPUs (CPUs with multiple cores).

### 1.2.1 Pattersons Three Walls

There are limitations in how high performance a computer can have. David Patterson called the limitations for walls[15]. Memory, instruction level parallelism
(ILP) and Power. All connected,so if an engineer optimizes one wall (limitation) he aggravates the other two walls. Together making Patterson's "brick wall": "Power Wall + Memory Wall + ILP Wall = Brick Wall" [7].

## The Power Wall

The Power Wall is the limit where the clock rate for a single computer get so high that it get difficult to cool the processor. Either you have to use a material that can withstand higher temperatures or you have to separate the components making the heat. However separating components can make delays by the increased distance between the compontents.
Intel broke the power wall in 2004 with the Teja processor that was supposed to run at 7 Ghz , but never reached that speed, because the microprocessors got to hot and quit working[7]. They then had to change their approach, releasing multi-core processors. The first multi-core processor was a chip with two slower processors connected together.

## The Memory Wall

The Memory Wall is the gap between the processor speed and the speed of the memory. That gap grows since the processor speed increases more rapidly than the memory speed. One solution to this problem was the computer cache. The cache temporarily stores copies of data from the most frequently used memory locations. But are of limited use for large data applications since only a part of the dataset can fit in the cache. Having a larger cache will increase both the physical size of the CPU and the power consumption[7].

## The ILP wall

ILP (instruction level parallelism) means to run several instructions on different parts of the processor(functional units) at the same time to increase efficiency. Pipelining and multiple issue are the main approaches. In pipelining individual pieces of hardware or functional units processes in sequence. In multiple issue functional units are replicated to execute different instructions at the same time[14].
"ILP Wall means a deeper instruction pipeline really means digging a deeper power hole"[7]

### 1.3 Performance measurement

### 1.3.1 Speedup and efficiency

Speedup (S) is defined to be

$$
S=\frac{T_{\text {serial }}}{T_{\text {parallel }}}
$$

Where $T_{\text {serial }}$ is the time used by the serial version of the program to run and $T_{\text {parallel }}$ is the time used by the parallel version on the program to run. The best speedup possible is linear Speedup. Where $S=p$ and $p$ is no of processes. That means that the time used to run the parallel version of the program is the time for the serial divided on the number of processors used.

$$
T_{\text {parallel }}=\frac{T_{\text {serial }}}{p}
$$

Efficiency of the parallel program tells how close to linear speedup the program is.

$$
E=\frac{S}{p}=\frac{\frac{T_{\text {serial }}}{T_{\text {parallel }}}}{p}=\frac{T_{\text {serial }}}{p \times T_{\text {parallel }}}
$$

### 1.3.2 Amdahl's law

Gene Amdahl observed in the 1960s that speedup is limited since not all of the serial program can be parallelized.
If $x \%$ of the serial program can be parallelized then runtime of the parallelized part with $p$ processors will be $\frac{x}{100} \times \frac{T_{s e r i a l}}{p}$. The unparallelizable part will take $\left(1-\frac{x}{100}\right) \times T_{\text {serial }}$. The speedup S will then be

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=\frac{T_{\text {serial }}}{\frac{x}{100} \times \frac{T_{\text {serial }}}{p}+\left(1-\frac{x}{100}\right) \times T_{\text {serial }}}=\frac{1}{\frac{x}{100 \times p}+1-\frac{x}{100}} \\
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{x}{100 \times p}+1-\frac{x}{100}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{x}{100}}
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that when the parallelized part is $50 \%$ then the speedup can be no bigger than 2 . For $x=75$ the max speedup is 4 . For $x=90$ it is 10 and for $x=95$ it is 20 .

This means that bigger the percentage that is parallelized, the bigger speedup. When the percent goes towards 100 the curve goes toward infinity as seen in Figure 1.2

This gives that very few problems will experience the larger speedups.


Figure 1.1: The speedup defined by Amdahl's law as the number of processes grow from 1 to 100

Limits of Speedup by Amdahl's law
Up to $99,8 \%$


Figure 1.2: The limit of speedup by Amdahl's law from 90 to $99.8 \%$ parallelization

### 1.3.3 Gustafson's law

In 1988 Gustafson came with a reevaluation of Amdahl's law after experiencing several speedups bigger that what the Amdahl's law claimed he would get. [8]
Gustafson thinks that the parallel or vector part scales with the problem size. For example by doubling the number of processors when adding degrees of freedom in a physical simulation. In Amdahl's law the problem is fixed, and the parallel part time is dependent on the number of processors used.
Gustafson's law:
$S=$ Speedup
$T_{\text {serial }}=$ Serial time spent on the parallel system
$T_{\text {parallel }}=$ Parallel time spent on the parallel system
$p=$ number of processors

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\left(T_{\text {serial }}+T_{\text {parallel }} \times p\right) /\left(T_{\text {Serial }}+T_{\text {parallel }}\right) \\
& =T_{\text {serial }}+T_{\text {parallel }} \times p \\
& =p+(1-p) \times T_{\text {serial }}
\end{aligned}
$$

This speedup is linear and with different percentages of $T_{\text {Serial }}$ the graph look like:

Gustafson's law


Figure 1.3: The speedup defined by Gustafson's law as the number of processes grow from 1 to 100 for different percentages of $T_{\text {Serial }}$

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} p+(1-p) \times T_{\text {serial }}=\infty
$$

The Speedup has no limitations by Gustafson's law.

### 1.4 Flynn's taxonomy

Flynn's taxonomy is a way to classify computer architectures.[14] Flynn divides the computer architectures into 4 classifications.

### 1.4.1 SISD

SISD, or Single Instruction, Single Data stream, is a sequential computer with no parallelism. The architecture does one single type of instruction on one single data at a time. One example of SISD is add 1 to variable A.

### 1.4.2 SIMD

SIMD (Single Instruction, Multiple Data stream) is the second architecture with the simplest type of parallelism. With one single type of instruction run on multiple data at a time. One example of SIMD is add 1 to variable A, B and C.

### 1.4.3 MISD

MISD (Multiple Instruction, Single data) is a less common architecture, applying multiple instructions to one single data at a time. One example of MISD is to add 1 to variable A, Subtract 3 to variable A, Multiply variable A with 4. All at the same time.

### 1.4.4 MIMD

The last of Flynn's architectures is MIMD (Multiple Instructions, Multiple Data). This is now the most common architecture, making it possible to run multiple instructions on different multiple data. This is the typical multi core super scale system.

There are two types of MIMD systems, shared-memory and distributed-memory systems.

## Shared-memory systems

In a shared-memory system each processor can access any memory location through the interconnect.

## Distributed-memory systems

In a distributed-memory system each processor has its own private memory. All the processor-memory must be paired through messaging like MPI(see Chapter 1.6).

### 1.5 Software categorizations

Flynn's taxonomy did not fit perfect with the real world, requiring two new subcategory of MIMD: SPMD and MPMD[14].

### 1.5.1 SPMD

Single Program, Multiple Data is a software category where a single program runs on multiple data. This single program behaves different on what data it gets. $\mathrm{MPI}($ Chapter 1.6) is typically SPMD, running the same program on different data. An example of an SPMD code is timed_heat found in Appendix C.1.

### 1.5.2 MPMD

The last software category is Multiple Program, Multiple Data which is multiple programs on multiple data.
MPI has the possibility to run MPMD, but SPMD is the most used way to run MPI[12].

### 1.6 Message Passing Interface (MPI)

MPI, or Message Passing Interface is a API for message passing on distributed memory systems. [14, p. 83][13]
In this thesis MPI is used to make it possible for different processes to talk between them, making it possible to divide the problem into parallel parts.
MPI is available for multiple programming languages, but here it is used with C. An example of MPI code is shown in Chapter C.1.

A common problem when dividing problems into a grid is when some of the needed information is in the neighbor grid. Luckily this is quite easy in MPI.
MPI uses the SPMD (single program multiple data, Chapter 1.5.1) approach to parallel programming.[14, p. 83]

### 1.6.1 Send and Receive

All communication between nodes are done through messages. There are a number of different send and receive methods for different purposes. MPI_Send, MPI_Recv, MPI_Sendrecv and MPI_Isend are the ones used by the timed_heat code in Chapter C.1.

### 1.6.2 Functionality

MPI does not try to solve every parallel programming problem, but rather only the problem of synchronizing data.[13]

### 1.6.3 Structure

MPI is just a library. However it requires some structure. MPI calls should only be written between the MPI_Init and the MPI_Finalize calls. Also the mpi.h file has to be included. All of the functions, types, macros and constants in MPI start with MPI_ and a capital letter after the underscore, and the whole name in capital letters for the macros and constants. This makes it easier to differentiate between user and MPI stuff.
After the initialization MPI_Comm_size and MPI_Comm_rank are called to get the size and rank for the processes. Size is the total number of processes and rank is the process number that are unique for each process and is a number from 0 to size-1. The rank is the identification of each process. The rank and size is used for messaging purposes.

### 1.6.4 Master / slave

When dividing data between nodes (processes) in MPI, it is common to use the master / slave strategy, with one master node that controls the data flow. The master divides the original problem into multiple data grids and sends one grid to each slave.

A slave can talk to another slave, but it is that master who receives the end result and stitches it all together.

### 1.6.5 Common pitfalls

A common pitfall in MPI is that every send and receive must match[14], or the process will hang, waiting for a matching message. Matching means that the parameters like datatype, tag and rank numbers(source and dest) must be identical. The MPI_Send method must also be posted before the MPI_Recv method which can lead to cyclic dependencies. There are several solutions to solve this problem like different sending modes and the MPI_Sendrecv method.

## Chapter 2

## Benchmarking

Benchmarking is to assess the relative performance of an object. It can be used to tell if a program is effective. Benchmarking has nothing to do with the correctness of a program, only how well it performs.
A example of benchmarking is FLOPs of CPU (floating-point operation per second). It tells how many linear algebra problems a supercomputer can solve in a second.

The difference in architecture and complexity of modern CPUs and compilers makes it hard unpredictable and hard to write useful benchmarks.
Benchmarking efforts 3 inter-related elements: workload, metrics and methodology. Workload is the application or benchmark software for testing the HPC system. Metrics is the basis for comparison that are used as a measure. Methodology is the system of methods that make out the measurement procedure [17].

### 2.1 Workload Efforts

### 2.1.1 the High-Performance Linpack Benchmark

The first "LINPACK Benchmark" appeared in the appendix of th LINPACK Users Guide in 1979. It was originally designed for the users of the LINPACK package for estimating execution time. The Workload was a single 100 by 100 system of linear equations of the form

$$
Y(I)=Y(I)+T * X(I)
$$

This was done on a 23 of the most used computers. They used a 75 by 75 system for the computers that was not big enough to handle a 100 by 100 system and used extrapolation to obtain the results. [10] This Benchmark is called LINPACK 100.

Due to the use of scalable computers with distributed memory in the HPC field they made the Highly-Performance Linpack NxN Benchmark. [4] HPL is the portable implementation of the benchmark. It generate and solves a random dense linear system

$$
A x=b ; \quad A \in R^{n \times n} ; \quad x, b \in R^{n}
$$

HPL then use first LU factorization then backward elimination to solve the system before it checks for correctness of the solution.

The performance given by HPL is not reflect the overall performance of the given system but reflect the performance of solving dense systems of linear equations on the system. [18] Linear equations is a regular problem for hpc.

## The Top500 List

The Top500 supercomputers list ranks all commercial accessible supercomputers using HPL as a measure. [18]
The measurements for each of the supercomputers in list are:
Rmax - Maximal LINPACK performance achieved
Rpeak - Theoretical peak performance
Nmax - Problem size for achieving Rmax
N1/2 - Problem size for achieving half of Rmax

The NTNU computer Vilje scored 82th place on this list November 2013.

## The Green500 List

The Green500 list ranks the most energy-efficient supercomputers in MegaFLOPS/Watt using TOP500 performance results and wattage use given from the manufacture.

Vilje scored 105th place on this list in November 2013, and 154th place in June 2014 with 738.73 MFLOPS/watt and total power of 537 kW .

### 2.1.2 GigaTEPS

GigaTEPS was developed as a counterweight to FLOPS.
FLOPS(floating-point operation per second) are raw number-crunching power and tell how many linear algebra problems a supercomputer can solve in a second. GigaTEPS(billions of traversed edges per second) tells how fast the computer can search in large datasets. An Edge is a connection between two data points. An example of two data points is how many that buys book number 1 also buy book number 2.

The hope is that GigaTEPS will spur both researchers and industry toward mastering architectural problems to develop the next generation supercomputers.
Current cluster implementation suffer from high latency data communication witch leads to inefficiency in performance and energy consumption. Scaling graph traversal to multi-node cluster is challenging, w'seq $120^{\prime}$;hich has led to the creation of alternative metric of supercomputer performance like the Graph500. To achieve better GigaTEPS memory accessibility for CPUs is important since big machines with a high FLOP result gets bad GigaTEPS results. [16].

## Graph 500 Benchmark

The Graph 500 benchmark was created by Richard Murphy at the Sandia National Laboratory. The Graph500 uses Breadth-First Search for their Benchmark [16].
The creator of Linpack Jack Dongarra has said that the Graph500 may add to the list of metrics for rating supercomputers but it can not be seen as a definitive number of performance any more than the Linpack is today [1].

## HPC Challenge

HPC Challenge is a new benchmark-group that test both computing and widespread memory accessibility. HPC Challenge is under The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, the U.S. Department of Energy, and the U.S. National Science

## SPEC

SPEC set of computing benchmarks(aimed at better measuring the performance of more everyday components like Web servers) Standard Performance Evaluation Corp. [17]

## Green computing

Energy-Aware Big Data Computing is becoming more important [2]. One of the largest problems with computer farms in the heat they produce and have to went away to avoid melting.

## Chapter 3

## Heat Equation by FTCS

The reason for using the FTCS heat equation in this thesis is that I knew this algorithm well from an exercise in the course "TDT4240-Paralell computing". Knowing that it fit well to run on a super computer and has its practical uses in the real world.

### 3.1 Heat equation

The heat equation describes the distribution of heat in a given region over time. It is a partial differential equation that is a equation with one or more partial derivatives of an function $u$ [11, p. 535]. Where $u$ is a function that describes the temperature. The heat equation in two dimensions can be seen as a cut of the three dimensional space.
The two dimensional heat equation is[9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=c\left(u_{x x}+u_{y y}\right), 0 \leq x, y \leq 1, t \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.1 Boundary conditions

For the heat equation the boundary conditions describe the heat on the edges. There are three types of boundary conditions or so called Boundary Value problems for partial differential equations [11, p. 558]:

Dirichlet Problem $u$ is prescribed on C (boundary) meaning that $f(x)$ is a known function on the boundary.

Neumann Problem $u_{n}=\partial u / \partial n$ meaning that $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})$ is a known function on the boundary.

Mixed Boundary Value Problem or Robin Problem if $u$ is prescribed on a portion of C and $u_{n}$ on the rest of C (boundary)

### 3.1.2 Calculate the constant c

In heat transfer the constant c is alpha that is the thermal diffusivity[5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{k}{\rho c_{p}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $\alpha$ is the thermal diffusivity (the rate at which heat diffuces through a body) measured in $\frac{\text { meter }^{2}}{\text { seconds }}$
- $k$ is the thermal conductivity that describes the rate at which heat flows within a body for a given temperature difference measured in $\frac{\text { Watt }}{\text { meter Kelvin }}$
- $c_{p}$ is the specific heat capasity that is the amount of energy a body stores for each degree increase in temperature measured in $\frac{k J}{\text { kgKelvin }}$
- and $\rho$ is the density tha amount of mass per unit volume measured in $\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\text { meter }^{3}}$


### 3.2 Numerical solution the heat equation by FTCS

Forward Time and Central Space(FTCS) is a Finite Difference Method for solving partial differential equations numerically. To solve a equation numerically means to approximate it.
$u(t, x, y)$ can be approximated by replacing any derivative by finite differences. Then for any discreet points $\left(t_{k}, x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t} \approx \frac{u_{i, j}^{k+1}-u_{i, j}^{k}}{\Delta t} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x} \approx \frac{u_{i+1, j}^{k}-2 u_{i, j}^{k}+u_{i-1, j}^{k}}{(\Delta s)^{2}}, u_{y y} \approx \frac{u_{i, j+1}^{k}-2 u_{i, j}^{k}+u_{i, j-1}^{k}}{(\Delta s)^{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the heat equation $u_{t}=c\left(u_{x x}+u_{y y}\right)$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{i, j}^{k+1}-u_{i, j}^{k}}{\Delta t}=c\left(\frac{u_{i+1, j}^{k}-2 u_{i, j}^{k}+u_{i-1, j}^{k}}{(\Delta s)^{2}}+\frac{u_{i, j+1}^{k}-2 u_{i, j}^{k}+u_{i, j-1}^{k}}{(\Delta s)^{2}}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

witch gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i, j}^{k+1}=u_{i, j}^{k}+c \cdot \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta s)^{2}}\left(u_{i+1, j}^{k}+u_{i-1, j}^{k}+u_{i, j+1}^{k}+u_{i, j-1}^{k}-4 u_{i, j}^{k}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the spatial mesh points are:
$\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)=(i \cdot \Delta s, j \cdot \Delta s), i, j=0,1,2 \ldots, n+1$ where $\Delta s=\frac{1}{n+1}$
Where the temporal mesh points are:
$t_{k}=k \cdot \Delta t, k=0,1,2, \ldots$, for suitably chosen $\Delta t$
Where $\Delta t \leq \frac{(\Delta s)^{2}}{2 c}$ for the solution to be stable. [9]

### 3.3 Implement the numerical solution for a single processor

The serial version is straight forward from Equation 3.6 [9] The serial version is basically to first initialize the $\mathbf{u}_{-} \mathrm{k}+1$ and $\mathrm{u}_{-} \mathrm{k}$ matrixes and then for all $i$ and $j \in$ $[1, n] u \_k+1=u \_k+c \times \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta s)^{2}} \times\left(u \_k[i+1, j]+u \_k[i-1, j]+u \_k[i, j+1]+\right.$ $\left.u \_k[i, j-1]-4 \times u \_k[i, j]\right)$. The pseudocode can be seen in Appendix 1.
$u \_k[i+1, j]+u \_k[i-1, j]+u \_k[i, j+1]+u \_k[i, j-1]-4 \times u \_k[i, j]$ can be seen as a stencil that is applied along the $u \_k$ matrix. The stencil is seen in Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1: The stencil

The border condition must be implemented as well. But since there are three types of border conditions there are different ways the border condition must be implemented.

### 3.3.1 Dirichlet Problem

This is easy to implement. The matrix is allocated $n+2$ by $n+2$ such that it has a border of thickness 1 around itself where the values of the given function is stored.

### 3.3.2 Neumann Problem

For the Neumann Problem the relation between the values are calculated for each step. For example the code "timed_heat" in Appendix C. 1 is an implementation with the Neumann Problem that results in a perfect insulated border the heat is mirrored such that one arm in the opposite side of the stencil in Figure 3.1 is used twice instead of the one on the outside of the matrix.

## Chapter 4

## Heat Equation solution in parallel

### 4.1 The timed_heat code

The code found in Appendix C. 1 simulates a heat equation solution using the FTCS(Forward-Time Central-Space) method. The simulated system is a piece of copper, tin and aluminum emerged in mercury as shown in Figure 4.1. At the beginning, the copper and tin is at 60 degrees Celsius, the mercury is at 20 degrees Celsius, while the Aluminum is at a 100 degrees Celsius.


Figure 4.1: The heat system

The system consists of a n times n floats matrix. Each float uses its neighbors to calculate the next step with by applying a stencil. There are 125000 steps in total. Snapshots are taken every 160 step. The aluminum is kept at the same temperature at first part of the simulation, and is turned of at step 75000.

The n by n floats are split into smaller areas that are computed by one process or rank. The local area has a border surrounding it that are used for storing data sent from the neighbor. The neighbors send the borders to each other within the border exchange function.

### 4.1.1 Global variables

- SIZE is number of floats. The system is nxn floats big where n is SIZE.
- WRITETOFILE is if the program should write to file or not
- NSTEPS is number of steps. I have used 125000 for the benchmarking
- CUTOFF is when to cut of the heat. Meaning when to stop setting the aluminum to 100 degrees. I have used 75000 for the benchmarking
- BORDER is the thickness of the border
- temperature is the matrix that rank 0 uses to store all temperature data in before writing to file
- local_material is the local matrix for storing the material constants
- local_temp is the local matrices for storing temperatures. Swaps between two for odd and even numbered steps.


### 4.2 Methods

### 4.2.1 Main method

The main method is the core of the program. All other methods are called from the main method. Here the MPI is initialized and finalized, time measures is taken, the heat system is set up and the steps are executed.

## Initialization

As explained in Chapter 1.6.3 every MPI needs to have a MPI_Init and MPI_Finalize call. Initialization in timed_heat[C.1] also includes finding rank and process count.

## Splitting the workload

Splitting the workload is done by creating a layout of processes by creating dimensions out of the number of processes that are used. Different layouts can be seen in Chapter 4.4.
The dimensions are then set up using MPI_Dims_create before cart communication is set up. The dimensions are then used to calculate the local dimensions(local_dims) by dividing SIZE on the dimensions. If the SIZE is not equally divided on the dimension not all of the numbers will be calculated or sent to rank 0 for writing to file. This error is shown in Chapter 4.3.2.

## Solving the non-evenly dividable size problem

One solution to the problem of size being non-evenly divided is to pad the local_dims in the direction that the problem occur such that all the local areas are the same size. This makes it possible for all the processes to use the same datatype for sending their areas to rank 0 for collection data. This also makes the load balance as equal as possible for small grid $x$ and $y$ values but do not fully utilize all the threads for larger dimensions like 31 times 1 . The result is sent to rank 0 that only write the first SIZE numbers in each row and the first SIZE rows to file.

## Allocate space

When the space needed for local matrices and the temperature matrix are found the space for them are allocated. The local matrices are initialized right before the vector types for border exchange is created and committed.

## Execute the steps

The steps are iterated NSTEPS time and consist of:

- If the step is smaller than the CUTOFF constant the external_heat method are called resulting that the area of the aluminum are set to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{Celsius}$
- The border_exchange method(Chapter 4.2.2) is called on every nth step, where n is BORDER.
- On the remaining steps the update_border method(Chapter 4.2.4) is called.
- The ftcs_solver method are then called(see Chapter 4.2.3).
- The boundaries method are called(see Chapter 4.2.5)
- The Filename is created and the collect_area method is called for every nth step, where n is SNAPSHOT.

The time measure are then ended before the space matrices are freed up, the MPI_Finalize method are called and the result are printed to screen or logged.

### 4.2.2 Border exchange

In the border_exchange method the content in the local data of BORDER size of one rank is sent to another ranks border so that rank can use the border to compute their local areas.

|  |  |  | Column <br> local_ <br> dims[1]-2 | Column <br> local_ <br> dims[1]-1 | column 0 <br> from rank <br> in west | column 1 <br> from rank <br> in west |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 4.2: East border with border thickness 2 after calling border_exchange.

### 4.2.3 FTCS solver

The FTCS solver method takes the step as an argument and calculates the local temperature matrix for the next step. This is the same equation as Equation 3.6 in Chapter 3.2 where local_temp $[$ step +1$][y][x]=u_{x, y}^{k+1}$.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
\text { local_temp }[\text { step }+1][y][x]=\text { local_temp }[\text { step }][y][x]+\text { local_material }[y][x] \times \\
(\text { local_temp }[\text { step }][y-1][x]+ \\
\text { local_temp }[\text { step }][y+1][x]+  \tag{4.1}\\
\text { local_temp }[\text { step }][y][x-1]+ \\
+ \\
-4 * \text { local_temp }[\text { step }][y][x+1] \\
\end{array}+\text { local_temp }[\text { step }][y][x]\right) .
$$

### 4.2.4 Border update

The border update is a method for applying the FTCS stencil in the borders instead of using the border update.
The border updated is called on steps that has a rest-value of 1 to BORDER- 1 when divided on BORDER. For step with rest-value of 1 the BORDER-1 rows or columns closest to the local area is calculated. For step with rest-value 2 the BORDER-2 rows or columns closest the local area are calculated... For step with rest-value BORDER-1 the row or column closest to the local area are calculated. This is an optimization since it is the column or row closest to the local area that are needed for the FTCS solver but that takes its values from the column that are one column or row further from the local area.

### 4.2.5 Boundaries

The boundaries method implements the Neumann boundary condition.

### 4.3 Visual results

Visual result are very useful for error-checking. When you know how it should look like it is easy to see if something is not correctly computed.

### 4.3.1 Correct output for size 256

"0000.dat" binary


Figure 4.3: The heat system at step 0: Mercury is the black field. The copper is at the left and the tin is at the right. The Aluminum is at the back.
"0008.dat" binary


Figure 4.4: The heat system at step 1280: Here you can see that the copper is a better heat conductor than tin. The Aluminum is kept at 100 degrees Celsius. The mercury is receiving heat from the other metals.

## "0033. dat" binary



Figure 4.5: The heat system at step 5280: Here is the temperature for the copper and tin almost the same as for the mercury.
"0140.dat" binary


Figure 4.6: The heat system at step 22400: The aluminum is still kept at 100 degrees Celsius.

## "0471 dat" binary



Figure 4.7: The heat system at step 75360: Here the aluminum is now longer kept at 100 degrees celsius


Figure 4.8: The heat system at step 87200: The system has almost found equilibrium.

### 4.3.2 Error examples

Here are some errors, and how the visualization gives clue of what is wrong. The sizes 256, 512 and 1024 are not evenly divided into the grid sizes(see Chapter 4.4 Figure 4.12). This can be seen in Figure 4.9, where not all the rows or columns are computed and sent to rank. Here you can see how the problem looks for 3 processes when only the $y$ directions is affected. In figure 4.10 you see both $y$ and $x$ direction is affected. The local area is shifted one left for each line and there is zero-values in the end of where the areas should go. Changing the number of processor used will often change how data is distributed, giving some headache if you haven't though of all the corner cases.
"0000.dat" binary


Figure 4.9: Row 256 not computed or sent to rank 0 for writing to file for 3 processes. See the sudden drop in the back

In Figure 4.11 there is a problem with update_border method resulting in valleys in the heat-distribution, where the borders are. This error was a result of using the wrong local_temp buffers. The stencil was applied for local_temp[step+1] using local_temp[step] when the correct buffers are to apply the stencil to local_temp[step] using the data in local_temp[step-1]. Since the border thickness was 2 , the border_update method overwrote the results in the next step, decreasing the shared border temperatures rapidly.

## "0000.dat" binary



Figure 4.10: Row 256 and column 256 not computed or sent to rank 0 for writing to file for 9 processes


Figure 4.11: Error in the update_border method for step no. 1280, size 256 and border thickness 2.

### 4.4 Process layout

MPI are used to set up the dimensions using MPI_Dims_create as explained in Chapter A.3.2. The Cartesian grid in the code is two-dimensional. The grids for no of processes from 1 to 50 can be seen in Figure 4.12. Here you can see that the processes are grouped as closely together as possible and still make an rectangle. Therefore are grids with no processes that are prime numbers only 1 process wide.

| no. of Processes | y | x | no. of processes | y | x |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 13 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 9 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 28 | 7 | 4 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 29 | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 5 |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 31 | 31 | 1 |
| 7 | 7 | 1 | 32 | 8 | 4 |
| 8 | 4 | 2 | 33 | 11 | 3 |
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 34 | 17 | 2 |
| 10 | 5 | 2 | 35 | 7 | 5 |
| 11 | 11 | 1 | 36 | 6 | 6 |
| 12 | 4 | 3 | 37 | 37 | 1 |
| 13 | 17 | 1 | 38 | 19 | 2 |
| 14 | 7 | 2 | 39 | 13 | 3 |
| 15 | 5 | 3 | 40 | 8 | 5 |
| 16 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 41 | 1 |
| 17 | 17 | 1 | 42 | 7 | 6 |
| 18 | 6 | 3 | 43 | 43 | 1 |
| 19 | 19 | 1 | 44 | 11 | 4 |
| 20 | 5 | 4 | 45 | 9 | 5 |
| 21 | 7 | 3 | 46 | 23 | 2 |
| 22 | 11 | 1 | 47 | 47 | 1 |
| 23 | 23 | 1 | 48 | 8 | 6 |
| 24 | 6 | 4 | 49 | 7 | 7 |
| 25 | 5 | 5 | 50 | 10 | 5 |

Figure 4.12: Process layout for 1 to 50 processes with MPI where $y$ is height and $x$ is width

In Figure 4.13 you can see a layout for 3 processes. The grid chosen for the 3 processes is a 3 by 1 grid. For 3 processes all the processes have boundaries in the west and east with coords[1] $=\operatorname{dims[1]-1=1-1=0.~}$
Rank 0 have a north border with coords[0] $=0$ and rank 2 have a south border with coords[1] $=\operatorname{dims}[0]-1=3-1=2$ This means that borders are sent over north and south borders. For a 256 times 256 system with border thickness 1 each local_temp is $258 \times 87$ (SIZE $+2^{*}$ BORDER) $\times\left(\right.$ SIZE $/ 3+1+2^{*}$ BORDER).


Figure 4.13: Layout with 3 processes.

In Figure 4.14 you can see a layout for 8 processes. The grid chosen for 8 processes is a 4 by 2 grid. Here borders are sent bought west/east and north/south. Here rank 0 , rank 2 , rank 4 and rank 6 has coords[1] $=0$ and therefore has a boundary in the west. Rank 1,3, 5 and 7 has coords[1] = dims[1]-1 = 2-1 = 1 and a boundary in the east. Here the local area is 32 by 128 floats large with local_temp 34 by 130 floats large for BORDER 1 and SIZE 256.


Figure 4.14: Layout with 8 processes.

The grid for 16 processes is 4 by 4 as seen in Figure 4.15. Here we have 4 processes that have 4 borders(rank 5,6,9 and 10) they need to send receive for each border exchange.


Figure 4.15: Layout with 16 processes.

### 4.5 Changing global variables

### 4.5.1 Changing size of the system

Changing the SIZE variable gives a bigger problem to solve since there are more numbers to compute for each step. For example by changing the size from 256 to 512 are there four times more numbers to compute for each step. In a serial version this would mean that the program would take four times more time to solve the program with size 256 than with 512 . The results for the parallel version can be seen in Chapter 6.

### 4.5.2 Changing border thickness

Changing the border thickness means that the borders exchange method are called fewer times but more data are sent each times. The values in the border are calculated on the steps where the borders exchange method are not called. This means that the same amount of data are sent, but more numbers are calculated. The results can be seen in 6.3.

### 4.5.3 Writing to file

Changing the WRITETOFILE constant makes the program create a file with the given filename and write the content of the temperature matrix to that file.

### 4.5.4 NSTEPS and CUTOFF

Changing NSTEPS changes the number of steps the program executes. The more steps are executed, the more time passes.
CUTOFF is the when to cut of the heat to the aluminum. Bigger size of CUTOFF leads to that the external heat method being called more times.

### 4.6 Other examples of implementations on multiple processors

Parallelizing the serial version can be done by splitting the area into a grid or strips. Here two examples of parallelization of heat solution with stripe-partition is discussed.

### 4.6.1 HEAT2D Example - Parallelized C Version

One example is using a master / slave strategy to split the work up among the slave processes [3]. This example is for a Dirichlet Problem where the edges are kept at value 0 .
The main matrix is split into strips of size n /slave-count by the master process where slave-count is the number of slaves that is the number of processes minus one $(\mathrm{p}-1)$. It the size is not evenly dividable the first $\mathrm{n} \bmod (\mathrm{p}-1)$ get one extra row. Then the neighbors (left and right) are sent to the slaves by the master together with start and end point to compute, numbers of rows to compute, where those rows start (offset) and initial values for $u$. The master process then waits until the slaves are finished with the step and gets the result back by using standard blocking MPI_Recv. When the result is received at the end and written to disk.
After that the slaves receive the data sent to them set up the start and end row to compute out from the offset before it starts the steps. Each step starts with exchanging boundaries before updating the local $u$ matrix. When the steps are done the result are returned to the master.

### 4.6.2 Horak and Gruber Parallel Numerical Solution of 2D Heat Equation

The paper by Horak and Gruber [9] splits the matrix into strips that are ( $\mathrm{n}+2$ )/(number of processes) high and $\mathrm{n}+2$ wide, where n is the size of the matrix with borderthickness 1. The borders are used for storing the border condition in, adding an extra border where the row from the neighbor border is stored, so the stencil can use the values locally, decreasing the need for message passing.

### 4.7 Parallelized Versions Compared

The timed_heat version uses the MPI_Dims_create method discussed in Appendix A.3.2 to create a grid that is as dense as possible. This makes it possible to test with both gridded and striped partitions since dims can be preset before calling the MPI_Dims_create method. For striped partitions only it is probably better to do it like in the examples in Chapter 4.6 since the smaller code is faster to run.
The timed also uses a different solution to the system size not being evenly dividable by padding the local areas. This was done to ensure that the Data-type was equal for all the processes but it is probably not necessary for them to be equal. It seemed like a good idea at the time when the code was written. This does probably not affect the runtime since the fastest process must wait on the slowest anyway. But this does affect the use of memory so this a optimization problem that should be fixed in the future.
Like the Horak and Gruber version[9] the timed_heat uses a border. But the border in timed_heat can be changed. This is discusses in Chapter 4.5.2. The timed_heat also uses a offset as in the form of the world coordinates local_origin and rows as local area variable in the form of local_dims.
Like the "HEAT2D Example" the timed_heat as a sort of master slave strategy where rank 0 collect the results and write them to file but rank 0 also does as much work as the others.

## Chapter 5

## The Clustis3 and Numascale

The computers used in this thesis is named Clustis3 and Numascale and belongs to NTNU IDI (Department of Computer Information Science).

### 5.1 Clustis3

Clustis3 is a cluster. Clusters are supercomputers that are a system of computers connected together by a network. [14, p. 35]

Clusters have distributed memory so all communication must be done by messaging. MPI (Message Passing Interface see Chapter 1.6) is made for distributed memory systems like clusters.


Figure 5.1: Clustis3 architecture the computing part

Clustis3 was installed in 2009 so it is old in computer years and consist of 9 nodes where 8 of them are used for computing and the last one is used for logging into the computer. Each of the nodes is a ProLiant DL160GS Server with two E5405 2.0 GHz Quad-Core ,9GB FB-DIMM memory, 160GB SATA harddrive and two GbE
network cards. [6] This gives that Clustis has 8 cores times 8 nodes equals to 64 cores altogether, but only 5 of the nodes worked at the time of my testing which resulted in only 40 cores being available. The architecture of the computing part of Clustis3 can be seen in Figure 5.1.
In Chapter 6.1 you can see the run times at Clustis3.

### 5.2 Numascale

The Numascale is a mainframe computer meaning that the hardware is created specifically for to be used for this. It is a shared memory system, but it also can be used with MPI.

The Numascale has 5 nodes connected together with a hypertransport network. Each node has two sockets with a AMD processor connected to it. Each processor has 8 cores. Each node has 128GB of memory and a NVIDIA GTX980 Graphics card. Each of these has 4GB of GDDR5 and uses PCI-E 3.0.


Figure 5.2: Numascale architecture

Numascale has two virtual CPUs per core by using SMT(simultaneous multithreading). With SMT threads switches after each instruction to make use of the multiple functional units of the processor [14, p. 29]. This can give better or worse runtimes depending on the program.

## Chapter 6

## Running Heat Equation on Clustis3 and Numascale

The code used in these benchmark runnings on the two super computers Clustis3 and Numascale is discussed in this chapter. The code ran on multiple processors can be found in Appendix C.1. For one process the heat.c code found in Appendix C. 2 is used.

### 6.1 Running heat equation on Clustis3 with different Sizes

On Clustis3 the code is run best with one process per core. This because of the process context switch who is too slow, only making processes wait on each other. This is common for older systems that doesn't support rapid threadswitching[14, p. 29]. To guarantee one processor per rank, I used one node for 1 to 8 processes, two nodes for 9 to 16 processes, three for 17 to 24 , four for 25 to 32 and five nodes for 33 to 40 processes.

## Runtime for size 256-1024 on Clustis3



Figure 6.1: The runtime of different sizes on Clustis3

In Figure 6.1 the runtimes for one to forty processes for every sizes are compared. You can see the runtime slowly decreases from one to eight processes and then increases for higher number of processes with a lot of peaks and valleys.
When the size is doubled the amount of numbers to compute are quadrupled since the system is of size $n$ by $n$. However, even if the data set is quadrupled, the time is only doubled between 256 and 512, and some more than doubled between 512 and 1024. This may be a sign that the processors aren't using $100 \%$ of each CPU.
Node layouts with width of 2 ( 10,14 and 18 processes) are typically faster. This is explained in section 6.1.1.

One reason for 256 having higher runtimes for more than one node, can be that the size is too small to benefit from using more processes on several nodes. The communication costs are probably larger than the computational.
See figure 6.2 and 6.3 for more details.

Runtime for size 256 on clustis3


Figure 6.2: The runtime with size 256 on Clustis 3 using 1 to 5 nodes

Runtime for size 512 on clustis 3


Figure 6.3: The runtime with size 512 on Clustis3

## Speedup for different sizes on clustis3

## Borderthickness 1



Figure 6.4: The speedup of different sizes on Clustis3

In Figure 6.4 the speedup $\left(\frac{T_{\text {Serial }}}{T_{\text {Parallel }}}{ }^{1}\right)$ for different sizes are posted. Here you can see that size 1024 benefits more than one node.
In Figure 6.5 the efficiency $\left(\frac{T_{\text {Serial }}}{T_{\text {Parallel }} \times p}{ }^{1}\right.$ ) of different sizes is posted. An efficiency of $100 \%$ would be linear speedup. The speedup is almost linear for 1 node, but when communication comes into the picture, the speedup staggers. It is clear that the processors aren't fully utilized for the smaller sizes. On the larger sizes the CPU is utilized better, making the communication cost a smaller part of the runtime, as seen as speedup gaps between the sizes.

[^0]
## Efficiency with Different Sizes on Clustis3

Border-thickness 1


Figure 6.5: The efficiency of Different Sizes on Clustis3

### 6.1.1 The Node Layout

At first the results when using more than one node were slow. I decided to check which node each of the processes ran on. This can be seen in Appendix D.1: "Node Layout First Run".
I found out that for most layouts the processors all had neighbors on another node. This was the worst possible layout, since all the traffic went from one node to another, no internal node communication. Only the layouts with a width same as the number of nodes, had neighbors on the same node. This explains why 10 , $14,16,18,21,28,3235$ and 40 processes had faster runtimes.

### 6.1.2 Using rankfiles

To decide the process layout, which node each process is located, one may use a rankfile. There also exists execution flags for mpirun, but none of those gave the desired effect for Clustis3.
The rankfile maps each processes to a node, a slot and a core that they run on. The new layout is listed in Appendix D. 2 "Node Layout Using Rankfiles". In the rankfiles the processes is distributed in a way, such that the first 8 processes runs on the first node, the next 8 on the second and so on. For number of processes that are 3 wide like 9, 12 and 18 the first 6 goes on the first node and the next 6 on the seconds. This way you minimize the messaging between the node.

Runtimes without and with rankfiles


Figure 6.6: The runtime without and with using rankfile on Clustis3
The runtime is seen in Figure 6.6. Here you see that the curve is smoother for the runs marked "256 rf", "512 rf" and "1024 rf" (where rf is rankfile).
8 processes still got the fastest runtime for size 256 . For size 512 the fastest runtime is for 37 processes and for size 1024 there is a decrease for up to 28 processes with 23 as the fastest. The speedup in Figure 6.7 and the efficiency in Figure 6.8 give a better picture of this.
$15,21,25,27,30,33,35,36,39$ and 40 processes has a bad layout with the rankfiles because of corners that make the process send two borders between different nodes. This is easy to see as valleys for size 256 and 512 in the speedup graph in Figure 6.7. Size 1024 is different. There is a large speedup for up to 24 processes. Above 24 processors the speedup drops suddenly. This is most likely because the cost of communication is higher than the benefit of more computational nodes.

Speedup for different sizes on Clustis3
using rankfile


Figure 6.7: The runtime without and with using rankfile on Clustis3

Efficiency for different sizes on Clustis3
using rankfile


Figure 6.8: The runtime without and with using rankfile on Clustis3

### 6.2 Running heat on Numascale with different sizes

On Numascale the code is run with the "bind-to core"-flag for mpirun. The "bindto core"-flag binds each process to a core. I.e. rank 0 runs on CPU 0, rank 1 runs on CPU $1, \ldots$, rank $n-1$ runs on CPU $n-1$ and rank $n$ runs on CPU $n$. Each CPU is a virtual CPU, where each physical CPU has 2 virtual CPUs using SMT (simultaneous multithreading, see Chapter 5.2).

Runtime for different sizes on Numascale size 256 to 1024


Figure 6.9: The runtime of different sizes on Numascale


Figure 6.10: The runtime of different sizes on Numascale

The Figure 6.9 and 6.10 shows the results of running the heat system with size 256 to 1024 on Numascale. Here there is a decrease in time from 1 to 32 processes with higher runtimes for processes that have a dense layout like 25 and a low for the striped ones with odd-numbered processor count. The lowest runtime is for 29 processes. For more than 32 processes there is are higher runtimes because more than 1 node is used. The difference is largest for size 256 since the cost of communicating over more than 1 node is much larger than the benefit of getting more
computer power. The largest speedup can be seen around 32 processes Figure 6.13.

29 is a prime number. There is a small decrease for prime-numbered processes that have a striped partition (y by 1 grid). There is also lower runtimes for primenumbered processes over 32 . This is probably because some most send data to 2 to 4 other processes for each step while for the odd-numbered there is 1 to 2 processes with a larger amount of data instead. The processes that are communicated with are also closer for striped than dense because of the distribution of processes to CPUs.

Speedup for different sizes on Numascale


Efficiency for diffrent sizes on Numascale


Figure 6.11: The speedup and efficiency of running different sizes on Numascale

The efficiency in Figure 6.11 also show that size 1024 is the most efficient with better than linear speedup (efficiency is over $100 \%$ compared to the serial version). Size 512 also have near $100 \%$ efficiency with 1 node. This probably comes from that the serial times for size 512 and 1024 being a bit big since size 512 is 4.3 times larger than size 256 and size 1024 is 7.5 times larger when both should be 4 . But general it is still that the bigger the size is the bigger the efficiency is.

### 6.2.1 Compared to Clustis3

The Numascale is newer and has faster hardware, making it the fastest of the two computers. Clustis3 uses about twice the time on the serial version than Numascale. The fastest time for each size is also 5 times slower with size 256 and 512. For size 1024 it is about 4 times slower.
Both the Clustis3 runs and Numascale runs with different sizes shows that running on 1 node gives the best time if the size is not big as size 1024 on Clustis3 is. In that way Numascale is better since it has 16 cores on each node while Clustis3 has 8 .

The curve for Numascale is smooth like for the rankfiles on Clustis3(see Chapter 6.1.2) since the distribution of processes among cores is more favorable. Numas-
cale however favors striped partitions more than Clustis3 does.
It is visible that the network between the node is faster since there is a smaller drop in the efficiency graph in Figure 6.11 after 32 than for 8 with Clustis3 in Figure 6.5. For the smallest size 256 at Clustis3 there is a $60 \%$ drop after 8 processes, but for Numascale there is only a $20 \%$ drop after 32 processes.

### 6.2.2 Splitting the workload into striped partitions on Numascale

When testing partition types, it looked like Numascale favored the striped partitions best with different sizes. I also tested striped partitions with different sizes, using number of processes that create a evenly dividable local area. The evenly dividable numbers are $1,2,4,8,16,32,64$ and 128 .
The layout is changed for each runs with setting dims[0] to 1 or setting dims[1] to 1 . For $2561^{*} \mathrm{p}, 5121^{*} \mathrm{p}$ and $10241^{*} \mathrm{p}$ (vertical striped partitions) the layout is with $\operatorname{dims}[0]=1$ meaning that the grid is 1 process high and $p$ processes wide, where p is no of processes. For $256 \mathrm{p}^{*} 1,512 \mathrm{p}^{*} 1$ and 1024 p*1 (horizontal striped partitions) the layout is with $\operatorname{dims}[1]=1$ meaning that the grid is 1 process wide and p processes high.

## Speedup with Different Partitions on Numascale



Figure 6.12: The speedup of running different sizes with horizontal and vertical striped partitions on Numascale

In Figure 6.12 the speedup for a run with number of processes that create a evenly dividable local areas are posted. The speedup shows that 32 processors that only use 1 node gives the best speedup. Using 64 processes is really bad, even the largest size. To get a better speedup, some of the tricks discussed in future work (see Chapter 7.2) has to be used.
The horizontal striped has the best speedup overall for size 512 and 1024. For size

256 the horizontal striped grids have the best for up to 32. The horizontal stripes are the easiest to send computing wise, that is probably why it got the best results. This is because the messages is of a simultaneous part of the local memory area. 32 processors for size 512 and 1024 got better time than 29 processors in the earlier runs.

The vertical has the worst speedup for all sizes, except for 128 processes where it is the same as for horizontal striped. The vertical stripes are the most complex to send computing wise since the message consists of floats that are strided in the local memory area.

The dense grid has a average speedup for all sizes, except for size 256 at 64 and 128 processes. The dense grid has both vertical and horizontal messages, explaining the average speedup.

Efficiency with Different Partitions on Numascale


Figure 6.13: The efficiency of running different sizes with horizontal and vertical striped partitions on Numascale

The efficiency in Figure 6.13 show what the largest sizes are most efficient with the horizontal striped partition towards 32 processes, when the efficiency drops.

### 6.3 Changing size of Border

### 6.3.1 Without using rankfiles on Clustis3



Figure 6.14: The speedup and efficiency with different border thicknesses and size 256 on Clustis 3 without using rankfiles

In Figure 6.14 you see the speedup $\left(\frac{T_{-} \text {Serial }}{T_{-} \text {Parallel }}\right)$ and efficiency $\left(\frac{\text { Speedup }}{p}\right)$ for size 256 on Clustis3. For up to 8 threads there is almost none difference in using borders or not. For processes using more than one node there is a increase in speedup and efficiency. Those of the processes that have neighbor processes on the same node has a increase in speedup (that is for $10,14,16,18,21,28,32,35$ and 40 ). The bigger the border, the bester the speedup is.
Border thickness 2 saves in average 10,35 seconds while 3 saves 3.45 , 4 saves 1.7 and border thickness 5 saves 1.01 seconds in average for process 9 to 40 between itself and the border thickness that is one narrower. This shows that border thickness 2 is the most effective for this setup.


Figure 6.15: The speedup with different border thicknesses and size 512 on Clustis3

Most of result for size 256 can also be seen for size 512 in Figure 6.14. 10, 14, 16, 18 and 32 has a large increase in speedup but 38 and 40 doesn't have this large increase the larger the borders are.


Figure 6.16: The speedup with different border thicknesses and size 1024 on Clustis3

In Chapter 6.1 we saw that the graph for size 1024 was different. 21 has still the highest speedup except from with border thickness .

### 6.3.2 With Rankfile on Clustis3

Figure 6.17 shows the border use gives a higher speedup with the rankfiles as well. For size 256 and border thickness 4 and 5 a higher speedup than for 8 is achieved. It is smoother with thicker borders. It is also lesser speedup increase to achieve the thicker the borders get. By example is 4 and 5 very close but 1 and 2 is far apart.


Figure 6.17: The speedup with different border thicknesses and size 256 on Clustis3

For size 512 seen in Figure 6.17 there is the same results as for size 256. The curve is even more smooth since layout becomes less important for bigger border thicknesses.
For most of the results that good results in 6.3.1 there is a valley because of the rankfile creating worse layouts. For example 35 processors has a big valley since it has a corner where it has to send to another node two times.


Figure 6.18: The speedup with different border thicknesses and size 512 on Clustis3

Size 1024 in Figure 6.19 has a visible increase in speedup with border thickness 2 but for each increase in border thickness the increase is reduces. The graphs are
similar as for the border thickness 1 but gets a bit smoother as for size 256 and 512. There is one exception with border thickness 3 on 21 processors that may be a disturbance since the rest of the border thicknesses has an improvement for 21 processes.


Figure 6.19: The speedup with different border thicknesses and size 1024 on Clustis3

| Size | Average 1 to 2 | Average 2 to 3 | Average 3 to 4 | Average 4 to 5 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 256 | 10.35 sec | 3.45 sec | 1.70 sec | 1.01 sec |
| 512 | 10.60 sec | 4.18 sec | 0.57 sec | 1.61 sec |
| 1024 | 11.20 sec | 0.17 sec | 4.12 sec | 1.76 sec |

Figure 6.20: Average difference between border thicknesses for 9 to 40 processes
Figure 6.20 shows that border thickness 2 is the most effective and that the time saved decreases for each increase in border thickness. The timed saved is about the same for all the sizes witch gives that the time saved is not directly related to size.

### 6.3.3 Numascale

For size 256 seen in Figure 6.21 the border thickness 2 and 3 is an improvement for all number of processes. With border thickness 4 there is an improvement for dense layouts and decline in speedup for the ones that are one wide witch create a more smooth graph since the layout gets less important. Border thickness 5 is even slower for up to 32 processes. For over 33 processes the border thicknesses 4 and 5 is slower for the layouts that are 1 wide but gives a higher speedup elsewhere. 5 has the highest speedup most of the time.


Figure 6.21: The speedup and efficiency with different border thicknesses and size 256 on Numascale

For size 512 the border with thickness 2 gives increase in speedup for most number of processes. Higher border thickness gives decreasing or small speedups.
Number of Processes that are prime-numbered and that are 23 or higher has a decrease or very small increase in speedup with border thickness over 1.


Figure 6.22: The speedup and efficiency with different border thicknesses and size 512 on Numascale

For size 1024 gives border thickness 2 an increase in the speedup while 3 gives a worsening. Border thickness 4 gives the best speedup for some of the number of processes while border thickness 5 is worse than 4 for all number of processes.
Using thicker borders have a better effect for over 32 processes on Numascale with size 1024.


Figure 6.23: The speedup and efficiency with different border thicknesses and size 1024 on Numascale

| Size | Average 1 to 2 | Average 2 to 3 | Average 3 to 4 | Average 4 to 5 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 256 | 3.35 sec | 1.88 sec | 1.70 sec | 1.01 sec |
| 512 | 0.38 sec | -0.09 sec | 0.81 sec | -0.87 sec |
| 1024 | 2.92 sec | 0.17 sec | 0.96 sec | -1.74 sec |

Figure 6.24: Average difference between border thicknesses for 33 to 50 processes

Figure 6.24 shows that the time saved on using border with different sizes. Size 256 saved the most time in using borders on more than 32 processes.

## Compared to Clustis3

Borders on Numascale doesn't make such a big difference as on Clustis3. That is probably because the cores on Numascale is already well utilized with the multithreading(see Chapter 5.2).

### 6.4 Writing to file

The number of processes run should not impact writing to file times since writing to file is done by rank 0 . All the is sent to rank 0 for all the runs so the extra time needed is actually the time rank 0 uses to write to file since the rest of the processes must wait on rank 0 to finish the task.

### 6.4.1 Numascale

For Numascale there is used 29 threads.

| Size | Time 29 processes with write to file | Time 29 processes | Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 256 | 3.88 sec | 3.00 sec | 0.88 sec |
| 512 | 11.60 sec | 9.50 sec | 2.10 sec |
| 1024 | 44.96 sec | 36.42 sec | 8.55 sec |

The difference in time between size 256 and 512 is 2,4 times slower and between 512 and 1024 it is 4 times slower. The $4 x$ between 512 and 1024 indicates that this can be linear but more data is needed for determining that.

### 6.4.2 Clustis3

For Clustis3 there is used 8 processes.

| Size | Time 8 processes with write to file | Time 8 processes | Difference |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 256 | 27.57 sec | 14.81 sec | 12.76 sec |
| 512 | 90.86 sec | 54.85 sec | 36.02 sec |
| 1024 | 256.03 sec | 213.94 sec | 42.09 sec |

The difference in time between size 256 is 2.82 times bigger than for 512 and the time between size 512 and 1024 is 1.17 times bigger. This indicates that the differences in time is not linear.

### 6.4.3 Write time compared

The differences in time between Numascale and Clustis3 are large. The Clustis3 uses 5 to 17 more time to store than Numascale. This is because of the storing device for Clustis3 is farther away since Clustis3 is a cluster and Numascale is a mainframe.

### 6.5 Max min and runtimestabilty

All results are a mean of at least 20 runs. This amount is kept as low as possible to have time to run the code with as many different changes as possible and high enough to avoid that single runs make a big impact on the results. The parts with the large differences gives runtimes that are the least trustworthy timings.


Figure 6.25: Min, max and average runtimes for size 1024 on Clustis3


Figure 6.26: Min, max and average runtimes for size 1024 on Numascale
Size 1024 had the biggest time differences for both the computers with the larger number of processes seen in Figure 6.25 for Clustis3 and Figure 6.26 for Numascale. For Numascale is there is also some runs with the smaller number of processes that created a small dent in the average graph.

## Chapter 7

## Conclusions and future work

### 7.1 Conclusions

Comparing Numascale and Clustis3, you can see that larger and newer computers are faster, however the design of the parallel program is also important to achieve effective and low runtimes. An example can be seen in Chapter 6.1, where the the distribution of processes among cores was important for the best runtime for Clustis3. Numascale gets the best results with horizontal striped partitions using one node, as discussed in Chapter 6.2.2. Increasing the border thickness on Clustis3 gives faster runs, but does not work well for Numascale, probably because of simultaneous multithreading (SMT), see Chapter 6.3.
When calculating small samples (heat equation sizes) of data, both Clustis3 and Numascale does a lot better only using one node with maximum CPUs on that node. This is because of the communication cost has a higher time penalty than doing the calculations locally. Keeping communication low between nodes is crucial, optimizing and minimizing the exchanged data. On larger data sets the distribution costs grows short compared to the calculations. Distribute the data in such a way that every node and core has an equal amount of work, is another way to decreasing communication.
Benchmarking is hard. A lot of variables makes it difficult to find what is really interesting. The difference computer architectures makes it hard to compare different computers. However there are many good benchmarking tools, but all require optimization to get the best results.

### 7.2 Future work

### 7.2.1 Testing without SMT

Turning SMT (simultaneous multithreading) off on Numascale might give better runtimes since SMT depends on the problem [14, p. 29]. Increasing borderthickness probably gets better results on Numascale with the SMT off.

### 7.2.2 Different benchmarking

Using different benchmarking techniques and comparing the results between the to computers. Other benchmarking could be FLOPS (Floating points per second), Linpack and many more. It is important to remember that different super computers have different architecture, making a direct number compare between two computers wrong.

### 7.2.3 Measuring communication

Optimize memory and communication usage. By focusing on the memory usage and how much time is spent communicating, you could find how effective the programs are in those terms, and most likely get more power out of the computers.

### 7.2.4 Benchmark power usage

Green computing is on the rise and energy usage is now more critical than ever. The effectiveness of a super computer per Watt is increasingly important to help with the worlds pollution problems. It can also be an economical initiative to keep the power consumption lower.

### 7.2.5 Comparing MPI to P-threads or OpenMP on Numascale

Since Numascale has a shared memory architecture, it would be interesting to compare the MPI version with a P-thread version. Most likely the P-thread and OpenMP versions would be faster, since they are made for shared memory.

### 7.2.6 Optimizations

Here are some suggested optimizations for the code.

### 7.2.7 Striped partitions

The code tested uses different dimension for splitting up the workload. A program that only splits the area in horizontal stripes is much easier to compute since it is easier to write and does less in the set up phase. It would probably therefore
take less time to compute. This was also the split up that gave the best result for Numascale, see Chapter 6.2.2.

## Non-even dividable areas solution

The size of the system is only evenly dividable on a few numbers $(1,2,4,8,16$, $32,64, \ldots$ ). Therefore there is some rows or columns that are leftover. This can be solved by adding one more row or column to the local area. To get equal size for the local areas the local area can be padded.

A solution that may be better, and is easy to implement with the striped partitions, is to start with the first processor and give one more row until there are no more leftovers left so the processors have different local areas. This would be nice to compare with the padded solution.

### 7.2.8 Optimizing for $L$ cache

Optimizing for the different L1-L3 caches may increase the performance of the program and give you a better speedup.
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## Appendices

## Appendix A

## MPI functions

## A. 1 Structure functions

## A.1.1 MPI_Init

MPI_Init takes in pointers to argc and argv and initializes MPI.[12] No MPI routines should be called before MPI_Init. (tells the MPI system to do all of the necessary setup[14, p. 86] like define MPI_COMM_WORLD)
After the initialization MPI_Comm_size and MPI_Comm_rank are called to get the size and rank for the processes. Size is the total number of processes and rank is the process number that are unique for each process and is a number from 0 to size-1. The rank is the identification of each process. The rank and size is used for messaging purposes.

## A.1.2 MPI_Finalize

MPI_Finalize cleans up all the MPI states and must be called by all processes before exit.[12] No MPI routines should be called after MPI_Finalize.

## A. 2 Send and receive

All communication between nodes are done through messages. There are a number of different send and receive methods for different purposes. MPI_Send, MPI_Recv, MPI_Sendrecv and MPI_Isend are the ones used by the timed_heat code in Chapter C.1.

## A.2.1 Modes

There are four modes for MPI sends. Standard, synchronous, ready and buffered. [14, p. 323]

## Standard

In standard mode the MPI implementation chooses between blocking or copy the message to its own storage[14, p. 323]. MPI_Send is a standard send and is blocking in open-mpi[12].

## Synchronous

Blocks until a matching receive is posted[14, p. 323]. MPI_Ssend is a synchronous send.

## Ready

Recieve must be posted before the send[14, p. 323]. MPI_Rsend is a ready send.

## Buffered

A copy of the message is buffered if a matching receive hasn't been posted[14, p. 323]. The buffer is provided by the user not the MPI implementation. MPI_Bsend is a blocking send.

## A.2.2 MPI_Send

MPI_Send is a blocking send. [12]. With blocking means that the program will hang until receive is posted. This method has six parameters: buf, count, datatype, dest, tag and comm.

- buf is the address to the buffer you are sending from
- count is the number of elements you are sending
- datatype is the MPI data-type of the elements you are sending
- dest is process you are sending to
- tag is an integer message tag
- comm is the communicator (see chap A.2.5)


## A.2.3 MPI_Recv

MPI_Recv is a standard mode blocking receive[12]. With blocking means that the program will hang until the receive has a matching send(see 1.6.5). This method has seven parameters: count, datatype, source, tag, comm, buf and status

- count is the maximum number of element to receive
- datatype is the data-type of the elements you are receiving
- source is the process you are receiving from
- tag is a integer message tag
- comm is the communicator (see chap A.2.5)
- buf is the buffer to save the elements in
- status is a structure explained in chap A.2.3.


## MPI_Status

The MPI Status is a structure with at least three variables: MPI_SOURCE, MPI_TAG and MPI_ERROR. MPI_SOURCE is the rank that sent the message and MPI_TAG is the tag sent. MPI_ERROR is an code for identifying errors. MPI_Get_count method can be used to get the count of elements received.[14, p. 92-93] With the MPI_STATUS_IGNORE argument this part of functionality of the MPI_Recv method is ignored.

## A.2.4 MPI_Sendrecv

MPI_Sendrecv is method that is a combination of MPI_Send and MPI_Recv and prevents deadlocks when dealing with cyclic dependencies since the subsystem deals with instead of the user [12]. MPI_Sendrecv has ten parameters: sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, dest, sendtag, recvcount, recvtype, source, recvtag and comm.

- sendbuf is the buffer to send from
- sendcount is number of elements to send
- sendtype is the send MPI datatype
- dest is the destination rank
- sendtag is the integer message tag for sending
- recvcount is the max number of elements to receive
- recvtype is the datatype of the elements to be received
- source is rank that you are receiving from
- recvtag is the integer receive message tag
- comm is the communicator (see chap A.2.5)


## A.2.5 Communicator

MPI_Comm_size and MPI_Comm_rank uses a communicator as an argument. A communicator is a collection of processes that can send messages to each other[14, p. 87]. MPI_COMM_WORLD is the communicator used for all the process and is set up by MPI_Init.

## MPI_Cart_create

Set up a new communicator for Cartesian topology with information for the topology attached.[12] Takes in six parameters: old_comm, ndims, dims, periods, reorder and comm_cart:

- old_comm is the old communicator
- ndims is the number of dimensions in the Cartesian grid
- dims is the size of the dimensions in the grid
- periods is a array with booleans of ndims size to specify if the grid is periodic or not
- reorder is a boolean to tell if the ranks can be reordered or false if the new group is identical to the old one.
- comm_cart is the new communicator

The MPI_Cart_create method is used in timed_heat to create a communicator called cart that is used in the MPI_Sendrecv methods in border_exchange and to get information about the topology through using the MPI_Cart_coords method that is used to store the data in the right place in the collect_area method.

## MPI_Cart_coords

MPI_Cart_coords returns the coordinates for a process in a Cartesian topology communicator. [12] Has four parameters: comm, rank, maxdims and coords

- comm is the communicator for the Cartesian topology
- rank is the process number
- maxdims is the length of vector coordinate in the calling program
- coords is the out-parameter and is a integer array of size ndims(as created with MPI_Cart_create )


## MPI_Cart_shift

MPI_Cart_shift returns the shifted source and destination ranks and is often used together with MPI_Sendrecv in a Cartesian process topology. [12] Has five parameters: comm, direction, disp, rank_source and rank_dest

- comm is a communicator with an Cartesian structure
- direction is the direction the shift is performed and is a coordinate dimension
- disp is the displacement. Negative numbers gives upward shift and positive downward shift.
- rank_source is the rank of the source process
- rank_dest is the rank of the destination process

MPI_Cart_shift is used in timed_heat to get the north, south, west and east rank by shifting downward in both directions.

## A.2.6 Collective Communication

Collective communication is when more than two processes are involved in the same communication function.

## MPI_Reduce

Perform a global reduce operation on all members on a communicator and stores the result on the root specific rank[12]. Exists also a MPI_Allreduce that stores the result on all the processes[14, p. 106].

## MPI_Bcast

Broadcast data from one process to all the other processes in the communicator [14, p. 106].

## MPI_Scatter

Split a vector into pieces and scatter them among the processes starting with process 0[14, p. 111].

## MPI_Gather

Gather pieces of vector on one process[14, p. 112]. Opposite of MPI_Scatter. Exist also a Allgather method that gathers from all processes and distributes it to all processes[12].

## MPI_Alltoall

All processors send same type and amount to each other[12].

## A. 3 Other functionality used

## A.3.1 Data-types

Datatypes is used to make it easier to send areas of data between processes.

## MPI_Type_vector

MPI_Type_vector takes in five parameters: count, blocklength, stride, oldtype and create a vector data-type. A vector data-type means a data-type that are blocks of equal data-type in strides. [12]

- count is number blocks in the new datatype
- blocklength is number of elements in each block
- stride is the number of elements between start of each block
- oldtype is the datatype of the elements
- newtype is the handle to the datatype


## MPI_Type_commit

MPI_Type_commit takes in a data-type as a parameter and is called so that datatype can be used to communicate the the content of the matrices in timed_heat(Chapter C.1) with different addresses.[12]

## A.3.2 Setting up dimensions

MPI_Dims_create are used to set up the dimensions in the code.

## MPI_Dims_create

MPI_Dims_create takes in three parameters: nnodes, ndims and dims.

- nnodes is an integer and is the number of nodes in a grid.
- ndimes is an integer and is the number of Cartesian dimensions.
- dims is an integer array of size ndimes that specifies the number of nodes in each dimension

MPI_Dims_create helps to select a balanced distribution of processes in Cartesian grid there the dimensions are set to be as close to each other as possible. If a number in ndimes are set to be a positive integer before calling MPI_Dims_create that number will not be changed. Negative numbers will cause an error. [12]

## A.3.3 Time measurement

Time measurement is taken using MPI_Wtime. MPI_Wtime is called right after initiation of MPI and right before finalization of MPI to create a time measure that include as much of the program as possible. MPI_Barrier(MPI_COMM_WORLD) is called before each MPI_Wtime call to ensure that all the ranks are at place in the program. Time from rank 0 is used in measurements since rank 0 is used as the master(see Chapter 1.6.4)

## MPI_Wtime

Has no parameters and return the time since an arbitrary time in seconds as a floating-point number. Times returned are local to the different nodes that called them[12].

## MPI_Barrier

MPI_Barrier takes in a communicator as a parameter and blocks until all processes in the communicator has called it[14, p. 122].

## Appendix B

## Pseudo Code

```
Algorithm 1 Serial version of the numerical solution
    double[n+2][n+2] u_k1, u_k;
    double c, delta_t, delta_s;
    Initalize \(u \_k 1, u_{-} k\) with initial values
    for all steps do
        for \(\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{i}++\) do
            for \(\mathrm{j}=0 ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{j}++\) do
            \(u \_k 1=u \_k+c \times \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta s)^{2}} \times\left(u \_k[i+1, j]+u \_k[i-1, j]+\right.\)
                        \(\left.u \_k[i, j+1]+u \_k[i, j-1]-4 \times u \_k[i, j]\right)\)
            end for
        end for
        Update boundary conditions
        Swap u_k and u_k1
    end for
```

```
Algorithm 2 main method
    function MAIN(argc argv)
        Initialize MPI
        Start Timing
        Set up dimension
        Set up cart communication
        Find local_dims
        if SIZE is not evenly divided into dims[0] then
            Increment local_dims[0] for all ranks
            Find new SIZE for height
            Find computing area for ranks at the bottom for all the padding
        end if
        if SIZE is not evenly divided into dims[1] then
            Increment local_dims[1] for all ranks
            Find new SIZE for width
            Find computing area for ranks at the rightmost side for all the padding
        end if
        Set up and Initialize matrices
        Initialize values for local matrices
        Commit Vector types for border exchange
        for all steps do
            if step < CutOff then
                Set heated area to 100 degrees Celsius on local matrix
            end if
            if step \(\% B O R D E R==0\) then
                BORDER_EXCHANGE(step)
            else
                BORDER_UPDATE(step)
            end if
            FTCS_SOLVER(step)
            BOUNDARIES(step)
            if step \(\%\) SHAPSHOT \(=0\) then
                Create Filename for file to be printed
                COLLECT_AREA(step, filename)
            end if
        end for
        End Timing
        Free up memory
        Finalize MPI
        print out the result of the timing to screen
    end function
```

```
Algorithm 3 Border exchange
    function BORDER_EXCHANGE(step)
        Send my content of border size to the west and receive from the east
        Send my content of border size to the east and receive from the west
        Send my content of border size to the north and receive from the south
        Send my content of border size to the south and receive from the north
    end function
```

```
Algorithm 4 FTCS_solver
    function FTCS_SOLVER(step)
        for \(y=0 \rightarrow\) largest local \(y\) do
            for \(x=0 \rightarrow\) largest local \(x\) do
                Apply stencil for local_temp[step+1][y][x]
            end for
        end for
    end function
```

```
Algorithm 5 Border update
    function BORDER_UPDATE(step)
        \(m y=\) largest local \(y\) and \(m x=\) largest local \(x\)
        if has neighbor in the west then
            for \(x=\) step \(\%\) BORDER \(-B O R D E R \rightarrow x=-1\) do
            for all y do
                    Apply stencil for local_temp[step][y][x]
            end for
            end for
        end if
        if has neighbor in the west then
            for \(x=m x+B O R D E R-\) step \(\% B O R D E R \rightarrow m x+1\) do
            for all y do
                    Apply stencil for local_temp[step][y][x]
            end for
            end for
        end if
        if has neighbor in the north then
            for \(y=\) step \(\%\) BORDER \(-B O R D E R \rightarrow-1\) do
            for all \(x\) do
                Apply stencil for local_temp[step][y][x]
            end for
        end for
        end if
        if has neighbor in the north then
        for \(y=m y+B O R D E R-\) step \(\% B O R D E R \rightarrow m y+1\) do
            for all \(x\) do
                        Apply stencil for local_temp[step][y][x]
            end for
        end for
        end if
    end function
```

```
Algorithm 6 Collect Area
    function COLLECT_AREA(step, filename)
        Send local_temp data to rank 0
        if rank \(==0\) then
            receive and store data in temperature matrix
            if ( thenWRITETOFILE)
                open new out file with name filename
                write content of temperature matrix to out file
                close file
            end if
        end if
        Wait for rank 0 to finish
    end function
```

```
Algorithm 7 Boundaries
    function BOUNDARIES(step)
        if Rank has a west boundary then
            Apply stencil for local_temp[step+1][y][0] using local_temp[step][y][1]
            twice instead of local_temp[step][y][-1]
        end if
        if Rank has a east boundary then
            Apply stencil for local_temp[step+1][y][largest local x] using
            local_temp[step][y][largest local \(x-1]\) twice instead of
            local_temp[step][y][largest local x + 1]
        end if
        if Rank has a north boundary then
            Apply stencil for local_temp[step+1][0][x] using local_temp[step][1][x]
            twice instead of local_temp[step][-1][x]
        end if
        if Rank has a south boundary then
            Apply stencil for local_temp[step+1][largest local y][x] using
            local_temp[step][largest local y-1][x] twice instead of
            local_temp[step][largest local \(\mathrm{y}+1][\mathrm{x}\) ]
        end if
        if my local_temp contains the 0,0 corner of the whole system then
            Apply stencil for that corner using local_temp[step][1][0] and
            local_temp[step][0][1] twice
        end if
        if my local_temp contains the 0 , SIZE- 1 corner of the whole system then
            Apply stencil for that corner using local_temp[step][1][largest local x ]
            and local_temp[step][0][largest local \(x-1]\) twice
        end if
        if my local_temp contains the SIZE-1, 0 corner of the whole system then
            Apply stencil for that corner using local_temp[step][largest local y][1]
            and local_temp[step][largest local y -1][0] twice
        end if
        if my local_temp contains the SIZE-1, SIZE-1 corner of the whole system
    then
        Apply stencil for that corner using
                local_temp[step][largest local y-1][largest local \(x\) ] and
                local_temp[step][largest local y][largest x-1] twice
        end if
    end function
```


## Appendix C

## Source Code

The following chapter includes the most important source code files.

## C. 1 Benchmarkingexample: Heat equation solved by FTCS

The Code is from TDT4200 Parallel Programming course fall 2010 and is written by Jan Christian Meyer with exception of methods that I have added that are update_border and logTime plus line 437 to 458 for reading arguments for the program and starting timing, 468 to 515 for padding the local areas, 561 to 563 for end time measuring and 570 to 574 for calling logTime method.

Listing C.1: Heat

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdbool.h>
#include <tgmath.h>
#include <mpi.h>
/*
    * Physical quantities:
    * : thermal conductivity [Watt / (meter Kelvin)]
    * rho : density [kg / meter^3]
    * cp : specific heat capacity [kJ / (kg Kelvin)]
    * rho * cp : volumetric heat capacity [Joule / (meter^3 Kelvin)]
    * alpha = / (rho*cp) : thermal diffusivity [meter^2 / second]
    * Mercury:
    * cp = 0.140, rho = 13506, k=8.69
    * alpha = 8.69 / (0.140*13506) =~ 0.0619
    *
    * Copper:
    * cp = 0.385, rho = 8960,k=401
    * alpha = 401.0/(0.385* 8960) =~ 0.12 [0.1162453618]
    * Tin:
    * cp = 0.227, k=67, rho = 7300
    * alpha = 67.0 / (0.227 * 7300) =~ 0.040
    *
    * Aluminium
```

```
* cp = 0.897, rho = 2700, k=237
* alpha = 237 / (0.897 * 2700) =~ 0.098 [0.097857054]
*/
#define MERCURY 0.0619
#define COPPER 0.116
#define TIN 0.040
#define ALUMINIUM 0.098
/* Size of the computational grid - 256x256 square */
#define SIZE 256
/* Write to File 1=true and 0=false */
#define WRITETOFILE 0
/* Parameters of the simulation: how many steps, and when to cut off the heat */
#define NSTEPS 125000
#define CUTOFF 75000
/* How often to dump state to file (steps).
    * 16 is realtime at 25fps, this is in 10x time
    */
#define SNAPSHOT 160
/* Indexing macros for the global view on rank 0 */
#define TEMP(i,j) temperature[(i)*WidthSIZE +(j)]
/* Test condition to see if a global coordinate is in my local area
    * BOX is within main area
    * BORBOX is whole local area
    */
#define }\operatorname{BOX}(y,x) 
    (y)>=local_origin[0] &&
    (y)<local_origin[0]+local_dims[0] &&
    (x)>=local_origin[1] &&
    (x)<local_origin[1]+local_dims[1]
)
#define BORBOX(y,x)(
            (y)>=(local_origin[0]-border ) &&
    (y)<(local_origin[0]+local_dims[0]+border) &&
    (x)>=(local_origin[1]- border ) &&
    (x)<(local_origin[1]+local_dims[1]+border )
)
/* Local material constant (LMAT) and temperature (LTEMP) indexing macros */
#define LMAT(i,j) local_material[
    ((i)+\mathrm{ border )*(local_dims[1]+2* border )}+(\textrm{j})+\mathrm{ border}
]
#define LTEMP(s,i,j) local_temp[((s)%2)][
    ((i)+border)*(local_dims[1]+2* border )+(j)+border
]
/* Arrays for the simulation data */
float
    *temperature, // Temperature field (in global domain on rank 0)
    *local_material, // Local part of the material constants
    *local_temp[2]; // Local part of the temperature (2 buffers)
/* Variables for time measurement */
double start, end;
/* Discretization: 5cm square cells, 2.5ms time intervals */
const float
    h = 5e-2, // was 5e-2
    dt = 2.5e-3;
/* Local state */
int
    size, rank, // World size, my rank
    dims[2], // Size of the cartesian
```

```
    periods[2] = { false, false }, // Periodicity of the cartesian
    coords[2], // My coordinates in the cartesian
    north, south, east, west, // Neighbors in the cartesian
    local_dims[2], // Size of local subdomain
    local_origin[2], // World coordinates of (0,0) local
    local_realdims[2], // Computing area
    padding[2] = {0,0}, // Size of padding added
    border = 1,
    systemSIZE = SIZE,
    widthSIZE = SIZE,
    heightSIZE = SIZE;
MPI_Comm cart ;
MPI_Datatype
    global_area, local_area, // Vectors for collecting subdomains
    border_row, border_col; // Vectors for border exchange
void logTime( void );
void ftcs_solver ( int step );
void update_border( int step );
void boundaries ( int step );
void border_exchange ( int step );
void commit_vector_types ( void );
void external_heat ( int step );
void configure_geometry ( void );
void collect_area ( int step, char *filename );
void write_matrix ( FILE *out, float *data );
void
ftcs_solver ( int step )
{
    /* The FTCS solution */
    for ( int y=0; y<local_realdims[0]; y++ )
        for ( int x=0; x<local_realdims[1]; x++ )
            LTEMP(step +1,y,x) = LTEMP(step,y,x) + LMAT(y,x) * (
                    (LTEMP(step , y -1,x) + LTEMP(step, y+1,x) +
                    LTEMP(step , y,x-1) + LTEMP(step,y,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step,y,x)
            );
}
void
boundaries ( int step )
{
    /* The Neumann boundary condition */
    /* my and mx are the largest }y\mathrm{ and }x\mathrm{ numbers in the main area of the local_temp
        and local_material matrices */
    int my = local_realdims[0]-1, mx = local_realdims[1]-1;
    // I have a west boundary
    if ( coords[1] == 0 ){
        //Apply the stencil for the west column
        for ( int i=0; i<local_realdims[0]; i++ )
            LTEMP(step +1,i,0) = LTEMP(step,i,0) + LMAT(i,0) * (
                (2*LTEMP(step ,i,1) + LTEMP(step ,i - 1,0) + LTEMP(step , i + 1,0))
                - 4.0*LTEMP(step,i,0)
            );
    }
    // I have an east boundary
    if ( coords[1] == dims[1]-1 ){
        //Apply the stencil for the east column
        for ( int i=0; i<local_realdims[0]; i++ )
            LTEMP(step +1,i,mx) = LTEMP(step,i,mx) + LMAT(i,mx) * (
                (2*LTEMP(step, i,mx-1) + LTEMP(step,i - 1,mx) + LTEMP(step,i +1,mx))
                    -4.0*LTEMP(step ,i,mx)
                );
    }
```

```
    // I have a north boundary
    if ( coords[0] == 0 ){
        // Apply the stencil for the northern row
        for ( int i=0; i<local_realdims[1]; i++ )
            LTEMP(step +1,0,i) = LTEMP(step,0,i) + LMAT(0,i) * (
                2*LTEMP(step,1,i) + LTEMP(step,0,i - 1) + LTEMP(step ,0,i+1)
            - 4.0 * LTEMP(step,0,i)
            );
    }
    // I have a south boundary
    if ( coords[0] == dims[0]-1 ){
        //Apply the stencil for the southern row
        for ( int i=0; i<local_realdims[1]; i++ )
            LTEMP(step +1,my, i) = LTEMP(step ,my, i) + LMAT(my, i ) * (
                2*LTEMP(step ,my-1,i) + LTEMP(step ,my,i - 1) + LTEMP(step ,my, i +1)
                - 4.0 * LTEMP(step ,my, i)
            );
    }
    // Apply the stencil for the corners
    if ( BOX (0,0) )
        LTEMP( step +1,0,0) = LTEMP(step,0,0) + LMAT (0,0) * (
            2*LTEMP(step,1,0) + 2*LTEMP(step ,0,1) - 4.0 * LTEMP(step ,0,0)
        );
    if ( BOX(0,systemSIZE - 1) )
        LTEMP(step +1,0,mx) = LTEMP(step ,0,mx) + LMAT(0,mx) * (
            2*LTEMP(step,1,mx) + 2*LTEMP(step,0,mx-1) - 4.0 * LTEMP(step ,0,mx)
        );
    if ( BOX(systemSIZE - 1,0) )
        LTEMP(step +1,my,0) = LTEMP(step,my,0) + LMAT(my,0) * (
            2*LTEMP(step ,my,1) + 2*LTEMP(step ,my - 1,0) - 4.0 * LTEMP(step ,my,0)
        );
    if ( BOX(systemSIZE - 1,systemSIZE - 1) )
        LTEMP(step +1,my,mx) = LTEMP(step,my,mx) + LMAT(my,mx) * (
            2*LTEMP(step ,my-1,mx)+2*LTEMP(step ,my,mx-1) - 4.0*LTEMP(step ,my,mx)
        );
}
void
update_border ( int step ){
        /* my and mx are the largest }y\mathrm{ and }x\mathrm{ numbers in the main area of
    the local_temp and local_material matrices */
    int my = local_realdims[0]-1, mx = local_realdims[1]-1;
    int startPos = (step%border )-border ;
        //Update west border if there is a neighbor in west
        if (coords[1] != 0){
            //apply the stencil for the numbers in the border this must be done for
        // the one columns closest to main area
            for(int x=startPos; x<0; x++){
                                    if (coords[0] == 0){
            //can not use north border for y=0
            LTEMP(step,0,x) = LTEMP(step -1,0,x) + LMAT(0,x) * (
                    (2.0*LTEMP(step - 1,1,x) + LTEMP(step - 1,0,x-1) +
                    LTEMP(step -1,0,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,0,x)
            );
            }else{
            LTEMP(step ,0,x) = LTEMP(step ,0,x) = LTEMP(step - 1,0,x) + LMAT(0,x) * (
                    (LTEMP( step -1,-1,x) + LTEMP( step -1,1,x) +
                                    LTEMP(step -1,0,x-1) + LTEMP(step -1,0,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,0,x)
            );
            }
            if (coords[0] == (dims[0]-1)){
                //can not use south border for y=my since my+1 is wrong
                LTEMP(step, my,x) = LTEMP(step - 1,my,x) + LMAT(my,x) * (
                    (2.0*LTEMP(step -1,my-1,x) +
                                    LTEMP(step - 1,my,x-1) + LTEMP(step - 1,my,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,my,x)
            );
            }else{
            LTEMP(step ,my,x) = LTEMP(step - 1,my,x) + LMAT(my,x) * (
                    (LTEMP(step - 1,my-1,x) + LTEMP(step -1,my+1,x) +
```

```
                                    LTEMP(step - 1,my,x-1) + LTEMP(step - 1,my,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,my,x)
    );
    }
        for ( int y=1; y<my; y++ ){
        LTEMP(step,y,x) = LTEMP(step -1,y,x) + LMAT(y,x) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,y-1,x) + LTEMP( step -1,y+1,x) +
            LTEMP( step -1,y,x-1) + LTEMP(step -1,y,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,x)
        );
    }
    }
}
//Update eastborder if there is a neighboor in east
if(coords[1] != (dims[1]-1)){
    for(int x=(mx-startPos); x>mx; x--){
            if(coords[0] == 0){
        // can not use north border for y=0
        LTEMP(step ,0,x) = LTEMP(step -1,0,x) + LMAT(0,x) * (
                (2.0*LTEMP(step -1,1,x) + LTEMP(step - 1,0,x-1) +
                LTEMP(step - 1,0,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,0,x)
        );
    }else{
        LTEMP(step ,0,x) = LTEMP(step ,0,x) = LTEMP(step - 1,0,x) + LMAT(0,x) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,-1,x) + LTEMP(step -1,1,x) +
            LTEMP(step -1,0,x-1) + LTEMP(step -1,0,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,0,x)
        );
    }
    if(coords[0] == (dims[0]-1)){
        //can not use south border for y=my since my+1 is wrong
        LTEMP(step, my,x) = LTEMP(step - 1,my,x) + LMAT(my,x) * (
            (2.0*LTEMP(step -1,my-1,x) +
            LTEMP(step - 1,my, x - 1) + LTEMP(step - 1,my,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,my,x )
        );
    }else{
        LTEMP(step ,my,x) = LTEMP(step - 1,my, x ) + LMAT (my, x ) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,my-1,x) + LTEMP(step -1,my+1,x) +
            LTEMP(step - 1,my,x-1) + LTEMP(step - 1,my,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,my,x)
        );
    }
            for ( int y=1; y<my; y++ )
                    LTEMP(step , y, x ) = LTEMP(step - 1,y,x) + LMAT( y,x) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,y-1,x) + LTEMP( step -1,y+1,x) +
            LTEMP(step -1,y,x-1) + LTEMP(step -1,y,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,x)
    );
    }
}
//Update northborder if there is a neighboor in north
if (coords[0] != 0){
    for(int y=startPos;y<0;y++){
        if (coords[1] == 0){
                        //can not use westborder for x=0
                        LTEMP(step ,y,0) = LTEMP(step -1,y,0) + LMAT(y,0) * (
            (LTEMP(step - 1,y - 1,0) + LTEMP(step - 1,y+1,0) +
            2.0*LTEMP(step -1,y,1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,0)
    );
            }else{
            LTEMP(step , y,0) = LTEMP(step - 1,y,0) + LMAT(y,0) * (
            (LTEMP(step - 1,y - 1,0) + LTEMP(step - 1,y+1,0) +
            LTEMP(step -1,y, - 1) + LTEMP(step -1,y,1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step -1,y,0)
    );
            if (coords[1] == (\operatorname{dims[1]-1)){}
                    //can not use eastborder for }x=m
                    LTEMP(step , y,mx) = LTEMP(step -1,y,mx) + LMAT(y,mx) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,y-1,mx) + LTEMP( step -1,y+1,mx) +
            2.0*LTEMP(step -1,y,mx-1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx)
```

```
            );
        }else{
                            LTEMP(step, y,mx) = LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx) + LMAT(y,mx) * (
                (LTEMP( step -1,y -1,mx) + LTEMP(step - 1,y+1,mx) +
        LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx-1) + LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx)
            );
            }
            for(int x=1;x<mx;x++){
            LTEMP(step , y,x) = LTEMP(step -1,y,x) + LMAT(y,x) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,y-1,x) + LTEMP(step - 1,y+1,x) +
            LTEMP(step -1,y,x-1) + LTEMP(step -1,y,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,x)
            }
    }
    //Update southborder if there is a neighboor in south
    if (coords[0] != (dims[0]-1)){
            for(int y=(my-startPos);y>my; y--){
                if (coords[1] == 0){
                            //can not use westborder for x=0
                            LTEMP(step , y,0) = LTEMP(step - 1,y,0) + LMAT(y,0) * (
                (LTEMP( step - 1,y - 1,0) + LTEMP( step - 1,y+1,0) +
                2.0*LTEMP(step -1,y,1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,0)
            );
                }else{
                            LTEMP(step , y,0) = LTEMP(step - 1,y,0) + LMAT(y,0) * (
                (LTEMP( step - 1,y - 1,0) + LTEMP(step - 1,y+1,0) +
                LTEMP(step -1,y, - 1) + LTEMP(step - 1,y,1)) - 4.0*LTEMP( step - 1,y,0)
            );
                }
            if(coords[1] == (dims[1]-1)){
                            //can not use eastborder for x=mx
                            LTEMP(step , y,mx) = LTEMP(step -1,y,mx) + LMAT(y,mx) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,y -1,mx) + LTEMP( step - 1,y+1,mx) +
            2.0*LTEMP(step -1,y,mx-1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx)
            }else{
                    LTEMP(step , y,mx) = LTEMP(step -1,y,mx) + LMAT(y,mx) * (
            (LTEMP( step -1,y -1,mx) + LTEMP( step -1,y+1,mx) +
            LTEMP(step -1,y,mx-1) + LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,mx)
            );
            }
            for(int x=1;x<mx; x++){
                    LTEMP(step , y,x) = LTEMP(step -1,y,x) + LMAT(y,x) * (
            (LTEMP(step - 1,y-1,x) + LTEMP(step - 1,y+1,x) +
            LTEMP(step -1,y,x-1) + LTEMP(step -1,y,x+1)) - 4.0*LTEMP(step - 1,y,x)
            );
            }
            }
    }
}
void
commit_vector_types ( void )
{
MPI_Type_vector ( heightSIZE/dims[0], local_dims[1], dims[1]*local_dims[1],
            MPI_FLOAT, &global_area
);
MPI_Type_vector ( heightSIZE/dims[0], local_dims[1], local_dims[1]+2*border ,
        MPI_FLOAT, &local_area
    );
MPI_Type_commit (&local_area );
MPI_Type_commit ( &global_area );
/* Commit the types for the border exchange */
MPI_Type_vector ( border , local_dims[1]+2*border, local_dims[1]+2*border ,
        MPI_FLOAT, &border_row
    );
```

```
    MPI_Type_vector ( local_dims[0], border , local_dims[1]+2* border ,
        MPI_FLOAT, &border_col
    );
    MPI_Type_commit ( &border_row );
    MPI_Type_commit ( &border_col );
}
void
border_exchange ( int step )
{
    /* east ->> me ->> west */
    MPI_Sendrecv (
        &TEMP(step ,0,0), 1, border_col, west, 0,
        &LTEMP(step,0,local_dims[1]), 1, border_col, east, 0,
        cart, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE
    );
    /* west ->> me ->> east */
    MPI_Sendrecv (
        &TEMP(step,0,local_dims[1]-border ), 1, border_col, east, 0,
        &LTEMP(step ,0,-border ), 1, border_col, west, 0,
        cart, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE
    );
    /* south ->> me -> north */
    MPI_Sendrecv (
        &TEMP( step ,0,- border ), 1, border_row, north, 0,
        &LTEMP(step,local_dims[0],-border ), 1, border_row, south, 0,
        cart, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE
    );
    /* north ->> me -> south */
    MPI_Sendrecv (
        &LTEMP(step,local_dims[0]-border ,-border ), 1, border_row, south, 0,
        &LTEMP(step,-border,-border), 1, border_row, north, 0,
        cart, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE
    );
}
void logTime( void){
        char* filename= "log.txt";
        FILE *out = fopen ( filename, "a" );
        if (out == NULL)
        {
            printf("Error_opening_file!\n");
            exit(1);
        }
        fprintf ( out, "%f;%d;%d;%d;%d;%d;%d;%d;%d;\n", end-start, systemSIZE,
            border, NSTEPS, CUTOFF, size, dims[0], dims[1], WRITETOFILE);
        fclose ( out );
}
int
main ( int argc, char **argv )
    MPI_Init ( &argc, &argv );
    MPI_Comm_size ( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &size );
    MPI_Comm_rank ( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank );
    if(argc > 1){
        if (( atoi (argv[1])%256)==0){
                        systemSIZE = atoi(argv[1]);
            widthSIZE = systemSIZE;
            heightSIZE = systemSIZE;
        }else{
            if(rank == 0)
```



```
        }
        if (atoi (argv[2])<=10){
                            border = atoi(argv[2]);
        }else{
            if(rank == 0)
```



```
        }
}
/* Start timing */
MPI_Barrier (MPI_COMM_WORLD);
start = MPI_Wtime();
MPI_Dims_create ( size, 2, dims );
MPI_Cart_create ( MPI_COMM_WORLD, 2, dims, periods, 0, &cart );
MPI_Cart_coords ( cart, rank, 2, coords );
MPI_Cart_shift ( cart, 0, 1, &north, &south );
MPI_Cart_shift ( cart, 1, 1, &west, &east );
local_dims[0] = local_realdims[0] = systemSIZE / dims[0];
local_dims[1] = local_realdims[1] = systemSIZE / dims[1];
//Pad the matrixes so they are diviable by the dimensions
    if((local_dims[0]* dims[0])!= systemSIZE){
    //padding to make it bigger
    local_realdims[0] += 1;
                local_dims[0] += 1;
    heightSIZE = local_dims[0]*\operatorname{dims[0];}
    padding[0] = heightSIZE - systemSIZE;
    if (coords[0] == (dims[0]-1)){
                //threads at the bottom should not compute the padding
                local_realdims[0] -= padding[0];
                if(padding[0]> local_dims[0]){
                                    local_realdims[0] = 0;
            }
    }
    if(padding[0]> local_dims[0]){
            //fix padding bigger than local_dims[0] problem
            if((padding[0] - (local_dims[0]*(dims[0]-coords[0]))) > 0){
                local_realdims[0] -= padding[0] -(local_dims[0]*(dims[0] - coords[0]));
                    if(local_realdims[0]<0)
                                    local_realdims[0] = 0;
            }
        }
}
if((local_dims[1]*dims[1])!= systemSIZE){
    //padding to make it bigger
    local_realdims[1] += 1;
                local_dims[1] += 1;
    widthSIZE = local_dims[1]* dims[1];
    padding[1] = widthSIZE - systemSIZE;
    if (coords[1] == (dims[1]-1)){
        // rightmost threads should not compute the padding
        local_realdims[1] -= padding[1];
    }
    if (padding[1]> local_dims[1]){
        //fix padding bigger than local_dims[0] problem
            if ((padding[1]-(local_dims[1]*(dims[1] - coords[1]))) > 0){
                local_realdims[1] -= padding[1] -(local_dims[1]*(dims[1] - coords [1]));
                if(local_realdims[1]<0)
                        local_realdims[1] = 0;
            }
        }
}
local_origin[0] = coords[0]*local_dims[0];
local_origin[1] = coords[1]*local_dims[1];
size_t lsize_full = (local_dims[0]+2* border )}*(\mathrm{ local_dims[1]+2* border );
```

```
    local_material = malloc ( lsize_full * sizeof(float) );
    local_temp[0] = malloc ( lsize_full * sizeof(float) );
    local_temp[1] = malloc ( lsize_full * sizeof(float) )
    if ( rank == 0 ){
        temperature = calloc(widthSIZE*heightSIZE, sizeof(float));
    }
    commit_vector_types (); //Commit Vector types for borderexchange
    configure_geometry(); // Set up the LMAT and LTEMP
    /* Main integration loop: NSTEPS iterations, impose external heat
    * until CUTOFF iterations have passed
        */
        MPI_Barrier (MPI_COMM_WORLD);
    /* Imposed temperature from outside */
    for ( int step=0; step<NSTEPS; step++ )
    {
        if ( step < CUTOFF )
            external_heat ( step );
        if (( step%border)==0){
            //Exchange the border every borderth step
            border_exchange ( step );
        }else{
            //Need to be updated so the FTCS solver can use the row or column closest to itself
            update_border( step );
        }
        ftcs_solver ( step );
        boundaries ( step );
        if((step % SNAPSHOT) == 0 )
            char filename[15];
            sprintf ( filename, "data/%.4d.dat", step/SNAPSHOT );
            collect_area ( step, filename );
        }
    }
    /* End timing */
    MPI_Barrier (MPI_COMM_WORLD);
    end = MPI_Wtime();
    if ( rank == 0 )
        free (temperature);
    free (local_material), free (local_temp[0]), free (local_temp[1]);
    MPI_Finalize();
    /* Print out timing */
    if (rank == 0) {
```



```
                end-start, systemSIZE, NSTEPS, CUTOFF, size, dims[0], dims[1]);
            logTime();
    }
exit ( EXIT_SUCCESS );
}
void
external_heat ( int step )
{
    /* Imposed temperature from outside */
    for ( int y=(systemSIZE/2)-(systemSIZE / 16); y<=(systemSIZE /2)+(systemSIZE / 16); y++ )
        for ( int x=(systemSIZE/4); x<=(3*systemSIZE/4); x++ )
        {
            if ( BORBOX (y,x))
            LTEMP ( step, y-local_origin[0], x-local_origin[1] ) = 100.0;
        }
}
```

```
void
configure_geometry ( void )
{
    /* Initialization: fill the pool with mercury */
    for ( int y=-border; y<(local_dims[0]+border); y++ )
    |
        for ( int x=-border; x<(local_dims[1]+border); x++ )
        {
            LMAT(y,x) = MERCURY * (dt/(h*h));
            LTEMP(1,y,x) = LTEMP (0,y,x) = 20.0;
        }
    }
    /* Set up the two blocks of copper and tin */
    for ( int y=(systemSIZE / 8); y<(3*systemSIZE / 8); y++ )
        for ( int x=(systemSIZE / 8); x<(systemSIZE /2) -(systemSIZE / 8); x++ )
        {
            if ( BORBOX(y,x) )
            {
            LMAT(y-local_origin [0],x-local_origin [1]) =
                COPPER * (dt/(h*h));
            LTEMP(0,y-local_origin[0],x-local_origin[1]) = 60.0;
            }
            if ( BORBOX(y,systemSIZE-x) )
            {
                    LMAT(y-local_origin [0],(systemSIZE-x)-local_origin[1]) =
                    TIN * (dt/(h*h));
                    LTEMP(0,y-local_origin[0],(systemSIZE-x)-local_origin[1]) = 60.0;
            }
        }
    /* Set up the heating element in the middle */
    for ( int y=(systemSIZE/2) - (systemSIZE/16); y<=(systemSIZE /2)+(systemSIZE / 16); y++ )
        for ( int x=(systemSIZE / 4); x<=(3*systemSIZE / 4); x++ )
        {
            if ( BORBOX(y,x) )
            LMAT(y-local_origin[0],x-local_origin [1]) =
                ALUMINIUM * (dt/(h*h));
            }
}
void
collect_area ( int step, char *filename )
{
    MPI_Request req;
    MPI_Isend ( &LTEMP((step%SNAPSHOT),0,0), 1, local_area, 0, 0, cart, &req );
    if (rank == 0)
    {
        int co[2];
        for ( int r=0; r<size; r++ )
        {
            MPI_Cart_coords ( cart, r, 2, co );
            MPI_Recv (
                    &TEMP( co[0]*local_dims[0], co[1]*local_dims[1] ),
                            1, global_area, r, 0, cart, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE
            );
        }
        if (WRITETOFILE) {
                    FILE *out = fopen ( filename, "w" );
                    write_matrix ( out, temperature );
                    fclose ( out );
                    printf ( "Snapshot}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{Sat}}{4}{}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ step % %d\n", step );}}{4}{
        }
    }
    MPI_Wait ( &req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE );
}
```

```
void
write_matrix ( FILE *out, float *data )
{
    float size = (float) systemSIZE;
    fwrite (&size, sizeof(float), 1, out );
    for ( float x=0; x<systemSIZE; x+=1.0 )
            fwrite (&x, sizeof(float), 1, out );
    for ( int y=0; y<systemSIZE; y++ )
    l
            float len = (float) y;
            fwrite ( &len, sizeof(float), 1, out );
            fwrite ( &data[y*widthSIZE], sizeof(float), systemSIZE, out );
    }
}
```


## C. 2 Heat equation solved by FTCS serial version

This code is a simplification of timed_heat (Appendix C.1) this is run on the systems to get the timing with 1 process.

## Listing C.2: Serial Heat

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdbool.h>
#include <tgmath.h>
#include <mpi.h>
/*
    * Physical quantities:
    * k : thermal conductivity [Watt / (meter Kelvin)]
    * rho : density [kg / meter^3]
    * cp : specific heat capacity [kJ / (kg Kelvin)]
    * rho * cp : volumetric heat capacity [Joule / (meter^3 Kelvin)]
    * alpha = k / (rho*cp) : thermal diffusivity [meter^2 / second]
    * Mercury:
    * cp = 0.140, rho = 13506, k = 8.69
    * alpha = 8.69 / (0.140*13506) =~ 0.0619
    * Copper:
    * cp = 0.385, rho = 8960, k=401
    * alpha = 401.0 / (0.385 * 8960) =~ 0.12 [0.1162453618]
    * Tin
    * cp = 0.227, k = 67, rho = 7300
    * alpha =67.0 / (0.227* 7300) =~ 0.040
    * Aluminium:
    * cp = 0.897, rho = 2700, k=237
    * alpha = 237 / (0.897 * 2700) =~ 0.098 [0.097857054]
    */
#define MERCURY 0.0619
#define COPPER 0.116
#define TIN 0.040
#define ALUMINIUM 0.098
/* Size of the computational grid - 256x256 square */
#define SIZE 256 //was 256
/* Write to File 1=true and 0=false */
#define WRITETOFILE 0
/* Parameters of the simulation: how many steps, and when to cut off the heat */
#define NSTEPS 125000 //was 125000
```

```
#define CUTOFF 75000 // was 75000
/* How often to dump state to file (steps).
    * 16 is realtime at 25fps, this is in 10x time
    */
#define SNAPSHOT 160 // was 160
/* Local material constant (LMAT) and temperature (LTEMP) indexing macros */
#define MAT(i,j) material[
    ((i)*systemSIZE )+( j )
]
#define TEMP(s,i,j) temperature[((s)% 2)][((i)*systemSIZE )+(j)]
/* Arrays for the simulation data */
float
    *temperature[2], // Temperature field (in global domain on rank 0)
    *material; // Local part of the material constants
/* Variables for time measurement */
double start, end;
int systemSIZE = SIZE;
/* Discretization: 5cm square cells, 2.5ms time intervals */
const float
    h = 5e-2,
    dt = 2.5e-3;
void logTime(void);
void ftcs_solver ( int step );
void external_heat ( int step );
void configure_geometry ( void );
void collect_area ( int step, char *filename );
void write_matrix ( FILE *out, float *data );
void
ftcs_solver ( int step )
{
    /* my and mx are the largest y and x numbers in the main area of the local_temp and local_material matrices */
    int my = systemSIZE - 1, mx = systemSIZE - 1;
    /* The FTCS solution */
    for ( int y=1; y<my; y++ ){
        for ( int }x=1;x<mx; x++ ){
            TEMP}(\mathrm{ step +1,y,x) = TEMP(step ,y,x) + MAT(y,x) * (
                    (TEMP(step ,y-1,x) + TEMP(step ,y+1,x) +
                    TEMP(step,y,x-1) + TEMP(step,y,x+1)) - 4.0*TEMP(step,y,x)
                );
        }
    }
    for ( int i=1; i<my; i++ )
        TEMP(step +1,i,0) = TEMP(step , i,0) + MAT(i,0) * (
                (2*\operatorname{TEMP}(\mathrm{ step ,i,1) + TEMP( step ,i -1,0) + TEMP(step , i +1,0))}
                - 4.0*TEMP(step ,i,0)
        );
    for (int i=1; i<my; i++ )
                TEMP(step +1,i,mx) = TEMP(step ,i,mx) + MAT(i ,mx) * (
                (2*TEMP(step ,i,mx-1) + TEMP(step,i -1,mx) + TEMP(step , i +1,mx))
                - 4.0*TEMP(step , i ,mx)
                );
    for ( int i=1; i<mx; i++ )
        TEMP(step +1,0,i) = TEMP(step ,0,i) + MAT(0,i) * (
                2*TEMP(step,1,i) + TEMP(step,0,i - 1) + TEMP(step , 0, i +1)
                -4.0 * TEMP(step ,0,i)
        );
    for ( int i=1; i<mx; i++ )
        TEMP(step +1,my, i ) = TEMP(step ,my, i ) + MAT(my, i ) * (
                2*TEMP(step ,my-1,i) + TEMP(step ,my,i - 1) + TEMP(step ,my, i +1)
            -4.0 * TEMP(step ,my, i )
```

```
    );
    TEMP(step +1,0,0) = TEMP(step ,0,0) + MAT(0,0) * (
        2*TEMP(step,1,0) + 2*TEMP(step,0,1) - 4.0 * TEMP(step ,0,0)
    );
    TEMP(step +1,0,mx) = TEMP(step ,0,mx) + MAT(0,mx) * (
        2*TEMP(step,1,mx) + 2*TEMP(step ,0,mx-1) - 4.0 * TEMP(step ,0,mx)
    );
    TEMP(step +1,my,0) = TEMP(step ,my,0) + MAT(my,0) * (
        2*TEMP(step ,my,1) + 2*TEMP(step,my-1,0) - 4.0 * TEMP(step ,my,0)
    );
    TEMP(step +1,my,mx) = TEMP(step ,my,mx) + MAT(my,mx) * (
        2*TEMP(step ,my-1,mx)+2*TEMP(step ,my,mx-1) - 4.0*TEMP(step ,my,mx)
    );
}
void logTime( void){
    char* filename= "log.txt";
    FILE *out = fopen ( filename, "a" );
    if (out == NULL)
    {
            printf("Error_opening}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{file!\n");}}{\bullet}{
            exit(1);
        }
        fprintf ( out, "Runtime= =`%f_systemSIZE % %d_NSTEPS_%d_CUTOFP%/d_THREADS_%d_in
                " y=%d,x=%d_WriteTofile(%d)\n", end-start, systemSIZE, NSTEPS,
                CUTOFF, 1, 1, 1, WRITETOFILE);
    fclose ( out );
}
int
main ( int argc, char **argv )
{
    MPI_Init ( &argc, &argv );
    if(argc>1){
        int argv1 = atoi(argv[1]);
        if ((argv1%256) == 0){
            systemSIZE = argv1;
        }
    }
    start = MPI_Wtime();
    material = malloc ( systemSIZE * systemSIZE * sizeof(float) );
    temperature[0] = malloc(systemSIZE*systemSIZE * sizeof(float));
    temperature[1] = malloc(systemSIZE*systemSIZE * sizeof(float));
    configure_geometry(); // Set up the LMAT and LTEMP
    /* Main integration loop: NSTEPS iterations, impose external heat
        * until CUTOFF iterations have passed
        */
    /* Imposed temperature from outside */
    for ( int step =0; step <NSTEPS; step++ )
    {
        if ( step < CUTOFF )
            external_heat ( step );
        ftcs_solver ( step );
        if ((step % SNAPSHOT) == 0 )
        {
            char filename[15];
            sprintf ( filename, "data/%.4d.dat", step/SNAPSHOT );
            collect_area ( step, filename );
        }
    }
    /* End timing */
    end = MPI_Wtime();
    free (temperature[0]);
    free (temperature[1]);
```

```
    free (material);
```



```
        end-start, systemSIZE, NSTEPS, CUTOFF, 1, 1, 1);
    //logTime();
    MPI_Finalize();
    exit ( EXIT_SUCCESS );
}
void
external_heat ( int step )
{
    /* Imposed temperature from outside */
    for ( int y=(systemSIZE/2)-(systemSIZE / 16); y<=(systemSIZE /2)+(systemSIZE / 16); y++ )
        for ( int x=(systemSIZE / 4); x<=(3*systemSIZE/4); x++ )
        {
            TEMP ( step, y, x) = 100.0;
        }
}
void
configure_geometry ( void )
{
    /* Initialization: fill the pool with mercury */
    for ( int y=0; y<systemSIZE; y++ )
    {
        for ( int x=0; x<systemSIZE; x++ )
        for
            MAT (y,x) = MERCURY * (dt/(h*h));
            TEMP}(1,y,x)=\operatorname{TEMP}(0,y,x)=20.0
        }
    }
    /* Set up the two blocks of copper and tin */
    for ( int y=(systemSIZE / 8); y<(3*systemSIZE / 8); y++ )
        for ( int x=(systemSIZE / 8); x<(systemSIZE/2)-(systemSIZE / 8); x++ )
        {
            MAT(y,x) = COPPER * (dt/(h*h));
            TEMP(0,y,x) = 60.0;
            MAT(y,( systemSIZE-x)) = TIN * (dt/(h*h));
            TEMP}(0,y,(systemSIZE-x))=60.0
        }
    /* Set up the heating element in the middle */
    for ( int y=(systemSIZE/2)-(systemSIZE / 16); y<=(systemSIZE/2)+(systemSIZE / 16); y++ )
        for ( int x=(systemSIZE/4); x<=(3*systemSIZE/4); x++ )
        {
            MAT}(\textrm{y},\textrm{x})=\operatorname{ALUMINIUM * (dt/(h*h));
        }
}
void
collect_area ( int step, char *filename )
{
    if((WRITETOFILE == 1)){
        //FILE *out = fopen ( filename, " w" );
        FILE *out = fopen ( "testwrite.dat", "w" );
        write_matrix ( out, temperature[((step)%2)] );
        fclose ( out );
        printf ( "Snapshot_at_step_%d\n", step );
    }
}
void
write_matrix ( FILE *out, float *data )
{
    float size = (float) systemSIZE;
```

fwrite ( \&size, sizeof(float), 1, out );
for ( float $x=0$; $\mathrm{x}<$ systemSIZE; $\mathrm{x}+=1.0$ ) fwrite ( \&x, sizeof(float), 1 , out );
for ( int $y=0$; $\mathrm{y}<$ systemSIZE; $\mathrm{y}++$ )
1
float len $=($ float $) \mathrm{y}$;
fwrite ( \&len, sizeof(float), 1 , out );
fwrite ( \&data[y*systemSIZE], sizeof(float), systemSIZE, out );
\}
\}

## Appendix D

## Node Layouts for Clustis3

In this chapter which node each of the processors used when running on Clustis3 are listed. The ranks are distributed so that the first is rank 0 , the next is rank 1 like:

| $\operatorname{rank} 0$ | $\operatorname{rank} 1$ | $\operatorname{rank} 2$ | $\ldots$ | $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{x}-1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{x}$ | $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{x}+1$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\operatorname{rank} 2 \mathrm{x}-1$ |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| $\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{y}-1) \mathrm{x}$ | $\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{y}-1) \mathrm{x}+1$ | $\ldots$ | $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{n}-2$ | $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{n}-1$ |

where n is the number of processes, y is the dimension height and x is the dimension width so that $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{y}$.

## D. 1 Node Layout First Run

## D.1.1 9 Processes

9 processes has a layout that are 3 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 |

## D.1.2 10 Processes

10 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |

## D.1.3 11 Processes

11 processes has a layout that are 11 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.1.4 12 Processes

12 processes has a layout that are 4 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 |
| 7 | 6 | 7 |

## D.1.5 13 Processes

13 processes has a layout that are 13 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.1.6 14 Processes

14 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |

## D.1.7 15 Processes

15 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 |
| 7 | 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 |

## D.1.8 16 Processes

16 processes has a layout that are 4 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |

## D.1.9 17 Processes

17 processes has a layout that are 17 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.1.10 18 Processes

18 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |

## D.1.11 19 Processes

19 processes has a layout that are 19 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.1.12 20 Processes

20 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 |

## D.1.13 21 Processes

21 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |

## D.1.14 22 Processes

22 processes has a layout that are 11 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 |

## D.1.15 23 Processes

23 processes has a layout that are 23 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.1.16 24 Processes

24 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 |

## D.1.17 25 Processes

25 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 |

## D.1.18 26 Processes

26 processes has a layout that are 13 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 |

## D.1.19 27 Processes

27 processes has a layout that are 9 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |

## D.1.20 28 Processes

28 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

## D.1.21 29 Processes

29 processes has a layout that are 29 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## D.1.22 30 Processes

30 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 |

## D.1.23 31 Processes

31 processes has a layout that are 31 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## D.1.24 32 Processes

32 processes has a layout that are 8 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

## D.1.25 33 Processes

33 processes has a layout that are 11 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |

## D.1.26 34 Processes

34 processes has a layout that are 17 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |

## D.1.27 35 Processes

35 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

## D.1.28 36 Processes

36 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 6 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 |

## D.1.29 37 Processes

37 processes has a layout that are 37 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

## D.1.30 38 Processes

38 processes has a layout that are 19 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 |

## D.1.31 39 Processes

39 processes has a layout that are 13 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |

## D.1.32 40 Processes

40 processes has a layout that are 8 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

## D. 2 Node Layout Using Rankfiles

## D.2.1 9 Processes

9 processes has a layout that are 3 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.2 10 Processes

10 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 |

## D.2.3 11 Processes

11 processes has a layout that are 11 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.2.4 12 Processes

12 processes has a layout that are 4 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.5 13 Processes

13 processes has a layout that are 13 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.2.6 14 Processes

14 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 |

## D.2.7 15 Processes

15 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.8 16 Processes

16 processes has a layout that are 4 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.9 17 Processes

17 processes has a layout that are 17 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.2.10 18 Processes

18 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |

## D.2.11 19 Processes

19 processes has a layout that are 19 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.2.12 20 Processes

20 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

## D.2.13 21 Processes

21 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |

## D.2.14 22 Processes

22 processes has a layout that are 11 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |

## D.2.15 23 Processes

23 processes has a layout that are 23 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## D.2.16 24 Processes

24 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

## D.2.17 25 Processes

25 processes has a layout that are 5 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |

## D.2.18 26 Processes

26 processes has a layout that are 13 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 |

## D.2.19 27 Processes

27 processes has a layout that are 9 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.20 28 Processes

28 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.21 29 Processes

29 processes has a layout that are 29 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## D.2.22 30 Processes

30 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.23 31 Processes

31 processes has a layout that are 31 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## D.2.24 32 Processes

32 processes has a layout that are 8 processes high and 4 processes wide.

| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.25 33 Processes

33 processes has a layout that are 11 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 |

## D.2.26 34 Processes

34 processes has a layout that are 17 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 |

## D.2.27 35 Processes

35 processes has a layout that are 7 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.28 36 Processes

36 processes has a layout that are 6 processes high and 6 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.29 37 Processes

37 processes has a layout that are 37 processes high and 1 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |

## D.2.30 38 Processes

38 processes has a layout that are 19 processes high and 2 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 |

## D.2.31 39 Processes

39 processes has a layout that are 13 processes high and 3 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |

## D.2.32 40 Processes

40 processes has a layout that are 8 processes high and 5 processes wide.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

## Appendix E

## Runtime results in seconds

## E. 1 Runtime for size 256 on Clustis3 with border-thickness 1-5

| 256 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 101.53 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 51.33 | 51.9 | 52.44 | 52.43 | 52.76 |
| 3 | 35.01 | 35.5 | 36.33 | 36.32 | 36.79 |
| 4 | 27.36 | 27.2 | 27.45 | 27.45 | 27.68 |
| 5 | 21.85 | 22.19 | 23.04 | 23.03 | 23.45 |
| 6 | 19.05 | 18.97 | 19.29 | 19.3 | 19.53 |
| 7 | 16.04 | 16.36 | 17.15 | 17.17 | 17.52 |
| 8 | 14.59 | 14.41 | 14.75 | 14.78 | 14.96 |
| 9 | 53.03 | 35.79 | 31.08 | 28.74 | 26.85 |
| 10 | 30.78 | 23.53 | 20.62 | 19.37 | 18.47 |
| 11 | 38.53 | 30.81 | 27.08 | 24.37 | 23.88 |
| 12 | 53.57 | 34.52 | 28.59 | 25.75 | 23.49 |
| 13 | 37.69 | 30.45 | 26.96 | 23.92 | 23.61 |
| 14 | 28.23 | 19.31 | 16.59 | 15.32 | 14.65 |
| 15 | 52.16 | 33.62 | 27.43 | 24.57 | 22.34 |
| 16 | 32.25 | 21.05 | 17.66 | 16.05 | 15.41 |
| 17 | 36.11 | 29.26 | 25.52 | 23.1 | 22.81 |
| 18 | 28.82 | 19.01 | 16.14 | 14.74 | 13.97 |
| 19 | 36.06 | 29.23 | 25.67 | 23.18 | 23.15 |
| 20 | 50.37 | 30.8 | 24.64 | 21.32 | 20.41 |
| 21 | 28.61 | 18.69 | 15.3 | 13.84 | 12.99 |
| 22 | 50.49 | 31.62 | 27.18 | 23.42 | 21.18 |
| 23 | 36.72 | 31.32 | 26.96 | 24.1 | 24.07 |


| 256 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 24 | 50.76 | 30.51 | 23.99 | 20.8 | 20.08 |
| 25 | 50.79 | 30.51 | 24.02 | 20.79 | 19.08 |
| 26 | 48.29 | 30.77 | 25.82 | 21.93 | 20.03 |
| 27 | 49.91 | 30.62 | 23.48 | 22.02 | 19.07 |
| 28 | 29.01 | 17.67 | 14.23 | 12.92 | 11.76 |
| 29 | 34.81 | 28.84 | 25.32 | 22.88 | 22.89 |
| 30 | 50.48 | 29.58 | 22.65 | 19.49 | 17.72 |
| 31 | 35.69 | 30.19 | 26.76 | 23.46 | 23.69 |
| 32 | 28.8 | 17.57 | 13.99 | 12.21 | 11.32 |
| 33 | 46.75 | 28.27 | 22.59 | 20.82 | 18.82 |
| 34 | 47.79 | 29.97 | 25.73 | 21.87 | 19.64 |
| 35 | 28.46 | 17.48 | 14.04 | 12.19 | 11.14 |
| 36 | 48.7 | 28.74 | 21.81 | 18.67 | 16.86 |
| 37 | 34.68 | 28.53 | 26.04 | 22.89 | 22.84 |
| 38 | 48.4 | 30.04 | 26.53 | 22.11 | 20.14 |
| 39 | 48.39 | 28.88 | 22.72 | 21.46 | 19.03 |
| 40 | 28.59 | 17.35 | 13.5 | 11.77 | 10.73 |

## E. 2 Runtime for size 512 on Clustis3 with border-thickness 1-5

| 512 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 401.31 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 202.19 | 204 | 205.4 | 205.54 |  |
| 3 | 136.43 | 138.19 | 139.47 | 140.05 |  |
| 4 | 104.13 | 104.47 | 105.13 | 105.29 |  |
| 5 | 83.95 | 85.22 | 86.38 | 86.8 |  |
| 6 | 71.46 | 71.86 | 72.48 | 72.78 |  |
| 7 | 61.66 | 62.53 | 63.55 | 64.06 |  |
| 8 | 53.93 | 54.15 | 54.73 | 55.12 |  |
| 9 | 96.33 | 81.76 | 74.55 | 70.91 | 68.78 |
| 10 | 69.56 | 60.2 | 57.67 | 57.24 | 56.24 |
| 11 | 82.85 | 68.22 | 63.95 | 63.21 | 62.53 |
| 12 | 88.73 | 69.83 | 64.7 | 59.81 | 57.5 |
| 13 | 79.81 | 64.89 | 61.3 | 59.47 | 59.08 |
| 14 | 55.67 | 47.53 | 45.12 | 44.57 | 44.62 |
| 15 | 83.07 | 64.16 | 57.64 | 54.93 | 52.79 |
| 16 | 57.75 | 47.26 | 46.66 | 43.36 | 42.05 |
| 17 | 73.62 | 59.16 | 55.28 | 53.06 | 53.05 |


| 512 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 18 | 52.04 | 42.97 | 39.51 | 38.45 | 39.02 |
| 19 | 71.55 | 57.45 | 54.72 | 52.16 | 51.96 |
| 20 | 75.51 | 54.67 | 50.19 | 47.94 | 44.69 |
| 21 | 49.66 | 39.91 | 36.38 | 35.1 | 36.64 |
| 22 | 74.08 | 57.18 | 50.12 | 45.7 | 45.17 |
| 23 | 72.89 | 56.97 | 55.19 | 53.57 | 52.49 |
| 24 | 75.01 | 53.26 | 48.11 | 43.85 | 43.25 |
| 25 | 73.79 | 55.21 | 49.15 | 48.5 | 45.98 |
| 26 | 72.34 | 54.74 | 47.26 | 42.96 | 41.22 |
| 27 | 71.17 | 50.24 | 44.74 | 41.13 | 39.31 |
| 28 | 50.54 | 39.88 | 36.2 | 35.85 | 35.36 |
| 29 | 67.16 | 52.28 | 49.79 | 48.92 | 48.56 |
| 30 | 70.15 | 49.04 | 42.24 | 40.4 | 39.35 |
| 31 | 69.17 | 54.22 | 51.41 | 49.71 | 49.97 |
| 32 | 45.48 | 33.68 | 29.99 | 28.54 | 27.52 |
| 33 | 72.26 | 51.3 | 46.37 | 42.4 | 40.61 |
| 34 | 70.47 | 52.31 | 46.15 | 42.59 | 39.81 |
| 35 | 69.76 | 61.95 | 57.56 | 55.17 | 53.53 |
| 36 | 74.25 | 52.97 | 49.82 | 42.52 | 42.47 |
| 37 | 65.33 | 50.94 | 48.49 | 47.42 | 46.8 |
| 38 | 69.67 | 52.41 | 45.4 | 41.65 | 39.14 |
| 39 | 70.44 | 49.48 | 46.51 | 41.87 | 39.8 |
| 40 | 61.88 | 58.06 | 53.97 | 50.22 | 51.76 |

## E. 3 Runtime for size 512 on Clustis3 with border-thickness 1-5

| 1024 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1708.83 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 813.52 | 828.59 | 829.28 | 830.13 | 831.42 |
| 3 | 544.08 | 554.53 | 556.24 | 557.24 | 558.99 |
| 4 | 408.86 | 415.08 | 416.5 | 416.65 | 418.2 |
| 5 | 331.61 | 338.78 | 340.75 | 341.93 | 343.96 |
| 6 | 280.73 | 285.45 | 286.7 | 287.13 | 288.65 |
| 7 | 242.15 | 246.98 | 248.31 | 249.87 | 251.28 |
| 8 | 210.02 | 213.57 | 214.9 | 215.28 | 216.68 |
| 9 | 262.55 | 239.56 | 230.11 | 227.2 | 225.14 |
| 10 | 209.65 | 204.01 | 200.11 | 198.58 | 198.32 |
| 11 | 219.24 | 206.66 | 200.7 | 200.59 | 200.13 |


| 1024 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 12 | 215.37 | 194.83 | 186.99 | 182.56 | 181.32 |
| 13 | 200.25 | 187.63 | 182.09 | 180.04 | 179.29 |
| 14 | 162.24 | 155.21 | 153.87 | 151.6 | 151.59 |
| 15 | 190.09 | 171.98 | 162.72 | 158.48 | 155.98 |
| 16 | 154.64 | 145.89 | 141.71 | 139.62 | 139.05 |
| 17 | 174.59 | 161.29 | 158.15 | 156.22 | 155.77 |
| 18 | 143.13 | 133.87 | 132.79 | 130.47 | 130.52 |
| 19 | 167.22 | 152.98 | 149.8 | 147.72 | 146.71 |
| 20 | 163.48 | 149.74 | 140.61 | 136.34 | 134 |
| 21 | 133.49 | 123.13 | 160.57 | 120.52 | 120.16 |
| 22 | 166.65 | 139.25 | 134.53 | 132.54 | 131.01 |
| 23 | 161.29 | 146.36 | 141.95 | 139.71 | 140.22 |
| 24 | 153.91 | 134.71 | 129.58 | 122.34 | 123.87 |
| 25 | 204.61 | 188.45 | 185.51 | 174.19 | 171.28 |
| 26 | 178.3 | 148.7 | 190.43 | 134.32 | 131.57 |
| 27 | 213.15 | 173.14 | 186.72 | 152.75 | 143.89 |
| 28 | 205.69 | 193.14 | 197.49 | 190.39 | 194.23 |
| 29 | 157.09 | 136.82 | 132.89 | 129.75 | 130.06 |
| 30 | 217.93 | 194.75 | 191.37 | 174.05 | 165.85 |
| 31 | 155.03 | 138.59 | 131.81 | 131.51 | 131.11 |
| 32 | 209.31 | 203.5 | 193.91 | 192.56 | 188.77 |
| 33 | 225.48 | 188.14 | 195.27 | 173.16 | 171.15 |
| 34 | 176.25 | 160.79 | 155.46 | 150.82 | 134.82 |
| 35 | 213.81 | 204.65 | 206.46 | 195.39 | 208.71 |
| 36 | 238.11 | 217.86 | 205.09 | 191.84 | 188.18 |
| 37 | 157.36 | 139.57 | 134.93 | 129.16 | 127.93 |
| 38 | 175.69 | 147.36 | 142.07 | 142.04 | 138.79 |
| 39 | 215.25 | 184.72 | 187.34 | 172.91 | 163.66 |
| 40 | 227.42 | 207.53 | 206.63 | 203.03 | 204.92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## E. 4 Runtime for size 256 on Clustis3 with border-thickness 1-5 using rankfile

| 256 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 101.53 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 51.33 | 51.9 | 52.44 | 52.43 | 52.76 |
| 3 | 35.01 | 35.5 | 36.33 | 36.32 | 36.79 |
| 4 | 27.36 | 27.2 | 27.45 | 27.45 | 27.68 |
| 5 | 21.85 | 22.19 | 23.04 | 23.03 | 23.45 |


| 256 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 6 | 19.05 | 18.97 | 19.29 | 19.3 | 19.53 |
| 7 | 16.04 | 16.36 | 17.15 | 17.17 | 17.52 |
| 8 | 14.59 | 14.41 | 14.75 | 14.78 | 14.96 |
| 9 | 32.95 | 24.57 | 21.85 | 20.67 | 19.61 |
| 10 | 30.02 | 22.46 | 20.93 | 18.77 | 17.81 |
| 11 | 30.31 | 23.41 | 20.53 | 19.04 | 18.43 |
| 12 | 30.83 | 22.25 | 19.72 | 18.55 | 17.47 |
| 13 | 28.66 | 21.86 | 18.99 | 17.55 | 16.95 |
| 14 | 27.91 | 20.47 | 18.9 | 16.87 | 16 |
| 15 | 39.24 | 26.15 | 21.29 | 19.52 | 17.99 |
| 16 | 30.11 | 20.51 | 17.56 | 16.22 | 15.34 |
| 17 | 27.7 | 20.97 | 18.23 | 16.44 | 15.84 |
| 18 | 28.76 | 19.85 | 17.09 | 16.94 | 16.05 |
| 19 | 27.63 | 20.12 | 17.13 | 15.65 | 15.27 |
| 20 | 30.08 | 20.12 | 16.79 | 15.21 | 15.17 |
| 21 | 40.97 | 23.73 | 18.8 | 17.32 | 15.9 |
| 22 | 27.08 | 19.02 | 16.67 | 15.07 | 14.43 |
| 23 | 26.09 | 19.38 | 16.12 | 14.84 | 14.3 |
| 24 | 28.95 | 18.89 | 15.7 | 14.1 | 14.08 |
| 25 | 38.84 | 24.27 | 19.25 | 16.75 | 15.32 |
| 26 | 26.07 | 19.1 | 16.39 | 13.94 | 13.17 |
| 27 | 40.26 | 22.83 | 18.57 | 17.18 | 14.59 |
| 28 | 27.65 | 17.96 | 14.81 | 13.28 | 13.46 |
| 29 | 24.75 | 18.63 | 14.99 | 13.99 | 12.87 |
| 30 | 39.25 | 24.16 | 18.67 | 15.79 | 14.24 |
| 31 | 24.83 | 18.61 | 15.01 | 13.79 | 12.93 |
| 32 | 27.14 | 17.29 | 14.27 | 12.87 | 12.75 |
| 33 | 39.5 | 21.85 | 17.87 | 16.63 | 14.82 |
| 34 | 24.67 | 17.98 | 15.55 | 13.21 | 12.32 |
| 35 | 39.2 | 23.71 | 18.44 | 15.41 | 13.82 |
| 36 | 38.13 | 22.92 | 17.54 | 15.32 | 13.78 |
| 37 | 24.14 | 17.7 | 14.52 | 13.06 | 12.1 |
| 38 | 24.13 | 17.24 | 15.06 | 12.82 | 11.73 |
| 39 | 38.23 | 21.08 | 16.94 | 15.84 | 13.4 |
| 40 | 39.07 | 22.97 | 17.43 | 14.6 | 12.94 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

## E. 5 Runtime for size 512 on Clustis3 with border-thickness 1-5 using rankfile

| 512 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 401.31 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 202.19 | 204 | 205.4 | 205.54 |  |
| 3 | 136.43 | 138.19 | 139.47 | 140.05 |  |
| 4 | 104.13 | 104.47 | 105.13 | 105.29 |  |
| 5 | 83.95 | 85.22 | 86.38 | 86.8 |  |
| 6 | 71.46 | 71.86 | 72.48 | 72.78 |  |
| 7 | 61.66 | 62.53 | 63.55 | 64.06 |  |
| 8 | 53.93 | 54.15 | 54.73 | 55.12 |  |
| 9 | 72.38 | 64.3 | 60.64 | 58.44 | 59.74 |
| 10 | 66.22 | 58.5 | 54.19 | 52.27 | 51.22 |
| 11 | 65.09 | 56.06 | 52.06 | 51.3 | 51.42 |
| 12 | 63.49 | 55.46 | 51.94 | 50.02 | 49.73 |
| 13 | 60.07 | 51.2 | 47.25 | 46.48 | 46.83 |
| 14 | 57.07 | 49.56 | 45.43 | 44.04 | 43.66 |
| 15 | 68.76 | 54.25 | 50.14 | 47.91 | 45.46 |
| 16 | 56.43 | 47.09 | 43.59 | 41.62 | 40.43 |
| 17 | 55.27 | 45.96 | 42.23 | 40.95 | 40.74 |
| 18 | 54.69 | 46.2 | 43.05 | 40.78 | 40.61 |
| 19 | 52.1 | 42.54 | 38.98 | 37.55 | 37.48 |
| 20 | 52.35 | 43.4 | 41.78 | 39.05 | 37.69 |
| 21 | 59.98 | 45 | 40.93 | 38.48 | 37.35 |
| 22 | 48.66 | 41.9 | 36.55 | 35.82 | 34.92 |
| 23 | 48.21 | 39.01 | 35.54 | 34.1 | 33.86 |
| 24 | 48.1 | 39.59 | 37.86 | 35.11 | 33.7 |
| 25 | 58.39 | 43.61 | 38.41 | 36.31 | 34.07 |
| 26 | 45.22 | 39.25 | 33.86 | 32.99 | 32.36 |
| 27 | 54.86 | 41.07 | 35.82 | 33.02 | 31.79 |
| 28 | 45.25 | 36.86 | 34.94 | 32.06 | 30.64 |
| 29 | 45.75 | 35.9 | 31.25 | 30.34 | 30.44 |
| 30 | 55.5 | 41.3 | 36.36 | 33.89 | 32.01 |
| 31 | 44.96 | 34.97 | 30.79 | 29.77 | 29.76 |
| 32 | 44.02 | 35.46 | 33.78 | 30.75 | 29.29 |
| 33 | 56.4 | 39.27 | 34.8 | 31.67 | 29.57 |
| 34 | 43.26 | 35.47 | 31.21 | 32.78 | 32.94 |
| 35 | 61.59 | 44.68 | 36.14 | 39.09 | 33.71 |
| 36 | 63.15 | 52.17 | 43.69 | 53.25 | 41.71 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 512 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 37 | 41.56 | 32.7 | 28.75 | 27.3 | 26.96 |
| 38 | 41.63 | 33.12 | 29.67 | 41.83 | 29.91 |
| 39 | 53.83 | 37.18 | 32.52 | 29.73 | 27.3 |
| 40 | 67.46 | 49.43 | 44.52 | 51.63 | 51.63 |

## E. 6 Runtime for size 1024 on Clustis3 with border-thickness 1-5 using rankfile

| 1024 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1708.83 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 813.52 | 828.59 | 829.28 | 830.13 | 831.42 |
| 3 | 544.08 | 554.53 | 556.24 | 557.24 | 558.99 |
| 4 | 408.86 | 415.08 | 416.5 | 416.65 | 418.2 |
| 5 | 331.61 | 338.78 | 340.75 | 341.93 | 343.96 |
| 6 | 280.73 | 285.45 | 286.7 | 287.13 | 288.65 |
| 7 | 242.15 | 246.98 | 248.31 | 249.87 | 251.28 |
| 8 | 210.02 | 213.57 | 214.9 | 215.28 | 216.68 |
| 9 | 223.01 | 210.76 | 211.68 | 209.97 | 211.05 |
| 10 | 202.82 | 189.8 | 187.26 | 186.9 | 187.79 |
| 11 | 189.52 | 178.09 | 177 | 176.17 | 176.34 |
| 12 | 186.67 | 174.61 | 172.49 | 171.97 | 172.22 |
| 13 | 168.82 | 157.86 | 156.49 | 155.28 | 155.09 |
| 14 | 163.04 | 150.22 | 147.22 | 147.13 | 147.18 |
| 15 | 171.6 | 158.02 | 150.7 | 146.95 | 145.47 |
| 16 | 153.65 | 141.84 | 137.92 | 138.22 | 137.7 |
| 17 | 148.93 | 138.42 | 136.02 | 134.8 | 134.54 |
| 18 | 149.94 | 138.34 | 135.33 | 133.41 | 132.49 |
| 19 | 138.09 | 126.18 | 125.17 | 124.13 | 123.92 |
| 20 | 140.78 | 131.58 | 126.72 | 124.61 | 126.13 |
| 21 | 143.73 | 130.77 | 163.02 | 122.88 | 121.1 |
| 22 | 131.04 | 119.67 | 116.36 | 115.66 | 115.08 |
| 23 | 124.67 | 112.67 | 111.38 | 110.16 | 109.78 |
| 24 | 126.72 | 117.22 | 112.44 | 110.13 | 109.96 |
| 25 | 136.95 | 128.84 | 132.07 | 133.78 | 125.72 |
| 26 | 137.68 | 143.26 | 170.61 | 139.1 | 129.52 |
| 27 | 205.88 | 177.51 | 194.88 | 193.95 | 192.28 |
| 28 | 187.05 | 175.43 | 175.86 | 198.61 | 196.32 |
| 29 | 187.13 | 182.21 | 174.16 | 171.47 | 173.1 |
| 30 | 218.76 | 199.42 | 192.64 | 187.86 | 187.18 |


| 1024 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 31 | 200.61 | 180.75 | 185.72 | 169.34 | 145.65 |
| 32 | 222.89 | 214.67 | 207.3 | 215.83 | 212.36 |
| 33 | 213.9 | 192.62 | 214.11 | 207.97 | 206.39 |
| 34 | 205.83 | 222.52 | 202.44 | 194.7 | 192 |
| 35 | 244.07 | 228.81 | 225.49 | 215.93 | 225.7 |
| 36 | 251.83 | 232.29 | 223.07 | 219.26 | 215.96 |
| 37 | 221.56 | 202.02 | 195.46 | 190.85 | 198.42 |
| 38 | 213.06 | 219.2 | 215.61 | 209.82 | 204.67 |
| 39 | 226.2 | 219.88 | 225.06 | 211.57 | 208.79 |
| 40 | 250.87 | 233.33 | 221.65 | 223.22 | 215.4 |

## E. 7 Runtime size 256 on Numascale with border-thickness 1-5

| 256 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 47.94 | 25.23 | 27.56 | 25.11 |  |
| 2 | 23.99 | 22.73 | 23.75 | 23.04 | 24.03 |
| 3 | 16.97 | 15.68 | 16.39 | 16.12 | 16.98 |
| 4 | 13.68 | 12.49 | 13.07 | 12.53 | 13.57 |
| 5 | 11.17 | 10.33 | 10.79 | 10.68 | 11.27 |
| 6 | 10.59 | 9.50 | 9.89 | 9.46 | 9.97 |
| 7 | 8.73 | 7.87 | 8.39 | 8.22 | 8.75 |
| 8 | 8.31 | 7.38 | 7.66 | 7.36 | 7.79 |
| 9 | 8.94 | 7.66 | 7.76 | 7.15 | 7.90 |
| 10 | 7.40 | 6.57 | 6.78 | 6.46 | 6.81 |
| 11 | 6.54 | 5.56 | 5.80 | 5.97 | 6.30 |
| 12 | 6.72 | 5.66 | 5.80 | 5.52 | 5.90 |
| 13 | 5.16 | 4.73 | 5.03 | 5.23 | 5.61 |
| 14 | 5.92 | 5.18 | 5.35 | 5.02 | 5.33 |
| 15 | 6.81 | 5.45 | 5.43 | 4.98 | 5.43 |
| 16 | 5.59 | 4.93 | 4.72 | 4.60 | 4.74 |
| 17 | 4.39 | 3.92 | 4.14 | 4.43 | 4.82 |
| 18 | 5.23 | 4.30 | 4.35 | 4.12 | 4.43 |
| 19 | 3.94 | 3.66 | 3.97 | 4.20 | 4.41 |
| 20 | 5.24 | 4.36 | 4.45 | 4.05 | 4.35 |
| 21 | 5.43 | 4.80 | 4.27 | 3.91 | 4.27 |
| 22 | 4.55 | 3.86 | 3.94 | 3.81 | 4.06 |
| 23 | 3.75 | 3.35 | 3.55 | 3.89 | 4.15 |
| 24 | 4.63 | 3.69 | 3.68 | 3.43 | 3.68 |


| 256 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 25 | 5.70 | 4.35 | 4.14 | 3.76 | 4.14 |
| 26 | 4.14 | 3.49 | 3.78 | 3.42 | 3.68 |
| 27 | 5.00 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.47 | 3.84 |
| 28 | 4.54 | 3.67 | 3.70 | 3.36 | 3.67 |
| 29 | 3.00 | 2.83 | 2.90 | 3.26 | 3.53 |
| 30 | 4.39 | 3.48 | 3.40 | 3.22 | 3.46 |
| 31 | 3.25 | 2.73 | 2.89 | 3.26 | 3.54 |
| 32 | 4.05 | 3.17 | 3.10 | 2.88 | 3.16 |
| 33 | 9.05 | 5.19 | 4.57 | 3.96 | 3.86 |
| 34 | 9.43 | 8.00 | 5.69 | 5.22 | 4.84 |
| 35 | 12.07 | 7.70 | 6.32 | 5.38 | 4.97 |
| 36 | 13.75 | 8.74 | 6.62 | 5.93 | 5.30 |
| 37 | 7.28 | 5.35 | 6.71 | 6.78 | 6.31 |
| 38 | 10.23 | 10.82 | 6.21 | 5.69 | 5.49 |
| 39 | 16.25 | 8.62 | 7.13 | 7.08 | 6.04 |
| 40 | 16.28 | 9.64 | 8.61 | 7.06 | 6.33 |
| 41 | 7.69 | 5.73 | 5.07 | 7.03 | 6.54 |
| 42 | 16.15 | 10.79 | 8.37 | 9.35 | 6.57 |
| 43 | 7.63 | 5.71 | 5.13 | 6.67 | 7.59 |
| 44 | 12.63 | 9.39 | 6.79 | 6.17 | 5.86 |
| 45 | 10.71 | 10.23 | 8.31 | 8.20 | 6.90 |
| 46 | 10.45 | 7.38 | 6.41 | 5.93 | 5.65 |
| 47 | 7.72 | 5.74 | 6.43 | 6.89 | 6.60 |
| 48 | 17.04 | 15.18 | 8.87 | 10.77 | 6.89 |
| 49 | 18.47 | 14.65 | 9.32 | 8.18 | 16.00 |
| 50 | 16.62 | 10.36 | 8.75 | 7.87 | 6.81 |

E. 8 Runtime size 512 on Numascale with border-thickness 1-5

| 512 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 206.74 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 94.26 | 89.69 | 92.24 | 90.43 | 95.27 |
| 3 | 64.33 | 61.81 | 64.41 | 61.40 | 64.69 |
| 4 | 50.38 | 46.93 | 49.56 | 47.24 | 49.90 |
| 5 | 42.05 | 39.60 | 41.22 | 39.30 | 41.75 |
| 6 | 37.14 | 34.56 | 36.06 | 35.05 | 36.78 |
| 7 | 32.17 | 30.04 | 31.15 | 29.77 | 32.17 |
| 8 | 28.27 | 26.34 | 27.53 | 26.97 | 28.33 |
| 9 | 27.98 | 26.56 | 26.64 | 25.92 | 32.32 |
| 10 | 24.65 | 22.99 | 23.87 | 23.46 | 24.60 |


| 512 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 11 | 21.23 | 20.45 | 21.36 | 20.30 | 22.20 |
| 12 | 20.58 | 19.69 | 20.10 | 19.56 | 19.79 |
| 13 | 18.06 | 17.73 | 18.51 | 17.65 | 20.50 |
| 14 | 19.36 | 17.74 | 18.28 | 18.16 | 18.99 |
| 15 | 19.07 | 17.94 | 17.91 | 18.30 | 17.75 |
| 16 | 16.88 | 15.26 | 15.92 | 15.14 | 15.69 |
| 17 | 14.77 | 14.44 | 14.91 | 14.29 | 15.52 |
| 18 | 15.27 | 14.35 | 14.23 | 14.21 | 14.38 |
| 19 | 13.25 | 12.95 | 13.32 | 12.88 | 13.88 |
| 20 | 15.66 | 14.22 | 14.45 | 13.86 | 14.19 |
| 21 | 15.65 | 14.22 | 15.36 | 13.41 | 13.38 |
| 22 | 13.55 | 12.52 | 12.84 | 13.01 | 13.64 |
| 23 | 11.52 | 11.83 | 12.24 | 12.02 | 13.14 |
| 24 | 13.12 | 11.64 | 11.84 | 11.40 | 11.76 |
| 25 | 14.78 | 13.23 | 12.85 | 12.84 | 12.85 |
| 26 | 12.05 | 11.09 | 11.29 | 11.57 | 12.30 |
| 27 | 13.46 | 12.24 | 11.90 | 11.76 | 11.92 |
| 28 | 13.16 | 11.76 | 11.78 | 11.31 | 11.48 |
| 29 | 9.50 | 10.22 | 10.17 | 9.88 | 10.67 |
| 30 | 12.05 | 10.81 | 10.67 | 10.61 | 10.88 |
| 31 | 9.05 | 9.54 | 9.80 | 9.63 | 10.59 |
| 32 | 11.12 | 9.71 | 9.72 | 9.51 | 9.68 |
| 33 | 14.00 | 11.42 | 10.93 | 10.42 | 10.40 |
| 34 | 15.49 | 12.64 | 11.94 | 14.10 | 14.19 |
| 35 | 17.66 | 13.57 | 12.90 | 12.58 | 12.86 |
| 36 | 18.37 | 13.50 | 12.63 | 11.88 | 13.67 |
| 37 | 12.54 | 14.76 | 14.76 | 13.33 | 13.59 |
| 38 | 16.66 | 13.88 | 13.28 | 16.02 | 15.73 |
| 39 | 18.70 | 15.25 | 14.21 | 13.69 | 13.77 |
| 40 | 20.63 | 17.12 | 14.79 | 14.31 | 14.27 |
| 41 | 12.96 | 23.89 | 25.05 | 23.18 | 25.10 |
| 42 | 21.89 | 23.95 | 25.47 | 23.41 | 24.81 |
| 43 | 12.90 | 22.71 | 23.72 | 21.79 | 23.71 |
| 44 | 24.50 | 23.62 | 23.52 | 21.90 | 23.94 |
| 45 | 20.83 | 23.21 | 24.10 | 22.11 | 24.11 |
| 46 | 30.56 | 23.29 | 24.26 | 22.38 | 23.99 |
| 47 | 13.28 | 24.69 | 25.46 | 24.18 | 25.39 |
| 48 | 22.58 | 25.05 | 25.53 | 24.28 | 25.50 |
| 49 | 45.59 | 25.28 | 26.31 | 25.88 | 25.79 |
| 50 | 21.41 | 25.87 | 26.49 | 25.32 | 25.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## E. 9 Runtime size 1024 on Numascale with border-thickness 1-5

| 1024 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1541.08 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 687.89 | 532.51 | 470.75 | 373.92 | 391.52 |
| 3 | 343.33 | 292.98 | 310.01 | 265.98 | 271.75 |
| 4 | 242.37 | 241.23 | 261.36 | 209.29 | 217.13 |
| 5 | 236.34 | 177.07 | 207.58 | 170.98 | 174.35 |
| 6 | 190.30 | 179.73 | 189.33 | 144.60 | 154.73 |
| 7 | 135.86 | 131.31 | 129.20 | 122.84 | 128.26 |
| 8 | 112.32 | 109.29 | 115.66 | 104.91 | 109.79 |
| 9 | 104.53 | 98.63 | 103.81 | 97.46 | 105.08 |
| 10 | 94.87 | 91.31 | 95.18 | 89.30 | 93.43 |
| 11 | 85.70 | 81.79 | 82.26 | 79.98 | 81.69 |
| 12 | 75.84 | 72.29 | 76.22 | 73.42 | 77.44 |
| 13 | 72.23 | 69.39 | 69.01 | 67.52 | 68.90 |
| 14 | 71.93 | 69.24 | 71.92 | 67.26 | 69.74 |
| 15 | 68.36 | 64.93 | 68.42 | 64.92 | 68.19 |
| 16 | 60.87 | 56.56 | 58.24 | 57.19 | 59.17 |
| 17 | 56.77 | 54.66 | 54.19 | 53.55 | 55.01 |
| 18 | 53.55 | 50.85 | 53.84 | 51.60 | 53.84 |
| 19 | 50.34 | 49.36 | 49.24 | 48.17 | 49.86 |
| 20 | 56.88 | 52.85 | 53.56 | 52.30 | 53.92 |
| 21 | 53.14 | 49.48 | 52.31 | 48.26 | 49.43 |
| 22 | 48.56 | 47.02 | 48.56 | 45.84 | 48.50 |
| 23 | 44.03 | 43.27 | 43.39 | 42.72 | 44.50 |
| 24 | 44.51 | 41.50 | 42.52 | 40.55 | 41.93 |
| 25 | 48.45 | 46.27 | 45.53 | 45.18 | 46.64 |
| 26 | 42.03 | 40.76 | 43.04 | 39.36 | 41.55 |
| 27 | 44.55 | 41.81 | 44.49 | 42.23 | 44.27 |
| 28 | 45.81 | 42.53 | 42.15 | 41.70 | 42.26 |
| 29 | 36.42 | 35.48 | 35.58 | 34.90 | 36.42 |
| 30 | 37.88 | 36.73 | 36.66 | 36.11 | 37.63 |
| 31 | 34.81 | 33.88 | 34.18 | 33.72 | 35.65 |
| 32 | 37.03 | 34.10 | 34.41 | 34.20 | 34.66 |
| 33 | 39.59 | 35.86 | 37.83 | 35.55 | 36.67 |
| 34 | 39.23 | 40.01 | 39.81 | 38.03 | 38.91 |
| 35 | 45.84 | 43.53 | 42.78 | 41.40 | 43.96 |
| 36 | 41.03 | 38.67 | 38.36 | 37.75 | 43.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1024 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 37 | 42.58 | 38.64 | 38.12 | 37.79 | 38.26 |
| 38 | 41.57 | 44.22 | 42.67 | 40.54 | 40.90 |
| 39 | 44.55 | 40.51 | 44.46 | 42.30 | 42.43 |
| 40 | 45.24 | 41.09 | 40.56 | 39.69 | 42.62 |
| 41 | 48.19 | 39.94 | 39.51 | 39.36 |  |
| 42 | 49.47 | 46.40 | 46.42 | 44.82 |  |
| 43 | 45.55 | 42.11 | 40.58 | 40.15 |  |
| 44 | 46.32 | 43.07 | 42.86 | 43.60 |  |
| 45 | 49.40 | 46.87 | 45.04 | 44.81 |  |
| 46 | 45.46 | 46.45 | 45.67 | 43.00 |  |
| 47 | 45.69 | 41.28 | 41.17 | 41.03 |  |
| 48 | 48.77 | 44.24 | 45.71 | 44.11 |  |
| 49 | 51.83 | 49.27 | 48.79 | 48.31 |  |
| 50 | 49.58 | 45.27 | 43.98 | 44.70 |  |

## E. 10 Runtime for dense layout on Numascale

| Dense | 256 | 512 | 1024 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 47.94 | 206.74 | 1541.08 |  |
| 2 | 23.99 | 94.26 | 687.89 |  |
| 4 | 13.68 | 50.38 | 242.37 |  |
| 8 | 8.31 | 28.27 | 112.32 |  |
| 16 | 5.59 | 16.88 | 60.87 |  |
| 32 | 4.05 | 11.12 | 37.03 |  |
| 64 | 20.72 | 25.65 | 60.05 |  |
| 128 | 133.18 | 183.08 | 241.18 |  |

## E. 11 Runtime for horzontal striped layout on Numascale

| Striped horizontal p 1 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 47.94 | 206.74 | 1541.08 |  |
| 2 | 23.99 | 94.26 | 687.89 |  |
| 4 | 12.65 | 48.60 | 244.04 |  |
| 8 | 7.39 | 27.16 | 111.16 |  |
| 16 | 4.43 | 14.93 | 57.52 |  |
| 32 | 3.00 | 8.73 | 32.66 |  |
| 64 | 11.29 | 16.58 | 53.54 |  |
| 128 | 44.89 | 77.87 | 275.12 |  |

## E. 12 Runtime for vertical striped layouts on Numscale

| Striped vertical 1 p | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 47.94 | 206.74 | 1541.08 |
| 2 | 23.99 | 94.26 | 687.89 |
| 4 | 14.37 | 53.08 | 231.92 |
| 8 | 9.40 | 32.12 | 124.50 |
| 16 | 6.68 | 20.49 | 73.48 |
| 32 | 5.64 | 15.39 | 50.50 |
| 64 | 10.86 | 25.99 | 79.52 |
| 128 | 39.82 | 96.56 | 256.87 |

## E. 13 Write to file runtime Numascale

| size | 29 processes write to file | 29 not write to file | difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 256 | 3.881135 | 2.99786 | 0.883275 |
| 512 | 11.601198 | 9.504451 | 2.096747 |
| 1024 | 44.960638 | 36.4152 | 8.545438 |

## E. 14 Write to file runtime Clustis3

| size | 8 processes write to file | 8 not write to file | difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 256 | 27.569904 | 14.80545 | 12.764454 |
| 512 | 90.864921 | 54.846053 | 36.018868 |
| 1024 | 256.028561 | 213.941491 | 42.08707 |

## E. 15 Avg, min and max runtime for size 1024 on Clustis3

| 1024 | 1024 avg | 1024 min | 1024 max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2422.378979 | 2421.768586 | 2422.9345 |
| 2 | 813.519605 | 812.694452 | 814.842656 |
| 3 | 544.077871 | 543.766894 | 544.681657 |
| 4 | 408.858205 | 408.523244 | 409.819141 |
| 5 | 331.613308 | 331.37566 | 332.007108 |
| 6 | 280.727989 | 279.973628 | 281.767408 |
| 7 | 242.145639 | 241.919111 | 242.293015 |
| 8 | 210.024925 | 209.443913 | 210.861328 |
| 9 | 262.553683 | 261.311 | 264.734173 |
| 10 | 209.648175 | 208.968582 | 210.717832 |
| 11 | 219.242048 | 216.852869 | 224.759808 |
| 12 | 215.374302 | 213.079243 | 220.723745 |
| 13 | 200.253474 | 198.265569 | 204.140066 |
| 14 | 162.243683 | 161.579098 | 163.663311 |
| 15 | 190.088177 | 186.713366 | 196.903126 |
| 16 | 154.643041 | 153.280083 | 156.004919 |
| 17 | 174.586739 | 173.215009 | 177.279032 |
| 18 | 143.128051 | 141.615983 | 144.844975 |
| 19 | 167.221949 | 165.317552 | 168.907659 |
| 20 | 163.477 | 161.21619 | 168.419689 |
| 21 | 133.486495 | 131.051969 | 138.845156 |
| 22 | 166.652463 | 155.582566 | 172.933967 |
| 23 | 161.285173 | 154.28603 | 164.836688 |
| 24 | 153.910379 | 148.081592 | 156.921367 |
| 25 | 204.608912 | 192.239084 | 230.120314 |
| 26 | 178.299863 | 158.574112 | 195.769827 |
| 27 | 213.145683 | 198.751118 | 226.698795 |
| 28 | 205.693586 | 194.731913 | 218.791786 |
| 29 | 157.093172 | 146.914952 | 177.303474 |
| 30 | 217.930828 | 200.647688 | 236.336393 |
| 31 | 155.025522 | 146.670998 | 181.533802 |
| 32 | 209.3131 | 191.120429 | 225.460724 |
| 33 | 225.48484 | 201.267986 | 245.646857 |
| 34 | 176.249794 | 152.694141 | 200.727538 |
| 35 | 213.80691 | 198.262178 | 236.912395 |
| 36 | 238.107908 | 222.344429 | 253.487872 |


| 1024 | 1024 avg | 1024 min | 1024 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 37 | 157.361371 | 140.935038 | 185.702443 |
| 38 | 175.691343 | 146.972283 | 206.643026 |
| 39 | 215.2497 | 187.98071 | 238.478398 |
| 40 | 227.415573 | 205.080118 | 243.61974 |

## E. 16 Avg, min and max runtime for size 1024 on Numascale

| 1024 | 1024 avg | 1024 min | 1024 max |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1541.078063 | 1464.526123 | 1684.711531 |
| 2 | 687.885181 | 598.883633 | 1438.87211 |
| 3 | 343.330976 | 286.939379 | 1339.612725 |
| 4 | 242.367617 | 224.493612 | 575.330802 |
| 5 | 236.336981 | 180.109697 | 346.236028 |
| 6 | 190.297526 | 159.378185 | 247.624921 |
| 7 | 135.864616 | 130.275795 | 143.953006 |
| 8 | 112.317986 | 111.561871 | 114.3686 |
| 9 | 104.532985 | 104.329174 | 105.041151 |
| 10 | 94.87049 | 93.731297 | 95.333164 |
| 11 | 85.704242 | 85.527797 | 85.884439 |
| 12 | 75.839616 | 75.682307 | 75.97157 |
| 13 | 72.228493 | 72.038277 | 72.544747 |
| 14 | 71.934975 | 70.959715 | 72.389219 |
| 15 | 68.35869 | 68.104127 | 68.670578 |
| 16 | 60.867865 | 60.720927 | 61.166346 |
| 17 | 56.767441 | 56.69845 | 56.881022 |
| 18 | 53.54689 | 53.30568 | 53.761994 |
| 19 | 50.339546 | 50.23431 | 50.462416 |
| 20 | 56.879542 | 56.790041 | 56.971877 |
| 21 | 53.13514 | 52.921525 | 53.270293 |
| 22 | 48.564998 | 47.93172 | 49.12428 |
| 23 | 44.033014 | 43.961474 | 44.219269 |
| 24 | 44.5108 | 44.474359 | 44.558255 |
| 25 | 48.447748 | 48.349805 | 48.61348 |
| 26 | 42.03183 | 41.236813 | 42.390491 |
| 27 | 44.553432 | 44.454208 | 44.623591 |
| 28 | 45.811985 | 45.734629 | 45.935369 |
| 29 | 36.4152 | 36.367895 | 36.507541 |
| 30 | 37.880413 | 37.786346 | 37.941231 |


| 1024 | 1024 avg | 1024 min | 1024 max |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 31 | 34.80898 | 34.75953 | 34.876979 |
| 32 | 37.02857 | 36.922462 | 37.165665 |
| 33 | 39.594942 | 39.299406 | 39.885415 |
| 34 | 39.229388 | 39.119241 | 39.361703 |
| 35 | 45.843063 | 45.099635 | 46.320982 |
| 36 | 41.026257 | 40.881199 | 41.142444 |
| 37 | 42.580639 | 42.395435 | 42.913026 |
| 38 | 41.573295 | 41.40543 | 42.059404 |
| 39 | 44.549339 | 44.033859 | 51.667259 |
| 40 | 45.250978 | 45.114912 | 45.486224 |
| 64 | 60.04845 | 52.397297 | 118.948531 |
| 128 | 241.179272 | 95.921863 | 592.762949 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ where $T_{\text {Serial }}$ is runtime for 1 processor and $T_{\text {Parallel }}$ is runtime for p processors

