- 1 Building genomic infrastructure: Sequencing platinum-standard reference-quality genomes of all
- 2 cetacean species.
- 3
- 4 Phillip A. Morin<sup>1</sup>, Alana Alexander<sup>2</sup>, Mark Blaxter<sup>3</sup>, Susana Caballero<sup>4</sup>, Olivier Fedrigo<sup>5</sup>,
- 5 Michael C. Fontaine<sup>6,7</sup>, Andrew D. Foote<sup>8</sup>, Shigehiro Kuraku<sup>9</sup>, Brigid Maloney<sup>5</sup>, Morgan L.
- 6 McCarthy<sup>10</sup>, Michael R. McGowen<sup>11</sup>, Jacquelyn Mountcastle<sup>5</sup>, Mariana F. Nery<sup>12</sup>, Morten Tange
- 7 Olsen<sup>10</sup>, Patricia E. Rosel<sup>13</sup>, Erich D. Jarvis<sup>5,14</sup>
- 8
- 9
  10 1 Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 8901 La Jolla
  11 Shores Dr., La Jolla, CA 92037, USA
- 12 2 Department of Anatomy, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin, New13 Zealand
- 14 3 Wellcome Sanger Institute, 215 Euston Road, London, NW1 2BE, UK
- 15 4 Laboratorio de Ecología Molecular de Vertebrados Acuáticos (LEMVA), Biological Sciences
- 16 Department, Universidad de los Andes, Carrera 1E # 18 A 10, Bogota, Colombia
- 17 5 The Rockefeller University, Box 54, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA
- 18 6 Laboratoire MIVEGEC (Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD), Centre IRD de Montpellier,
- 1934394 Montpellier, France
- 20 7 Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences (GELIFES), University of Groningen, PO
- 21 Box 11103 CC, Groningen, The Netherlands.
- 22 8 Department of Natural History, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491
- 23 Trondheim, Norway.
- 9 RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, 2-2-3 Minatojima-minamimachi, Chuo-ku,
  Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan
- 26 10 Evolutionary Genomics, Globe Institute, University of Copenhagen, Oester Voldgade 5-7,
  27 1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark
- 28 11 Department of Vertebrate Zoology, Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, 10th
- 29 St. & Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, D.C. 20560, USA
- 30 12 Biology Institute, State University of Campinas, Rua Bertrand Russel, Caixa Postal 6109 –
- 31 CEP 13083-970 Campinas, Brazil
- 32 13 Southeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 646
- 33 Cajundome Boulevard, Lafayette, Louisiana 70506, U.S.A
- 14 The Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Rd, Chevy Chase, MD 20815,
   USA
- 36
- 37

38 In 2001 it was announced that the 3.1 billion base (Gigabase, Gb) human genome had been 39 sequenced, but after 13 years of work and \$2.7 billion, it was still considered to be only a draft, 40 missing over 30% of the genome and made up of over 100,000 sequence fragments (scaffolds) 41 with an average size of just 81,500 base-pairs (bp) (International Human Genome Sequencing 42 Consortium, 2004; Stein, 2004). As technologies improved, the draft human genome assembly 43 has been repeatedly refined and corrected. By the time the genome assembly was published in 44 2004, the average length of scaffolds had increased to over 38 million bp (Megabases, Mb) with 45 only a few hundred gaps in the chromosome-length scaffolds. However, the duplicated and 46 highly repetitive regions of the human genome remained unresolved due to limitations of short-47 read sequencing technology that required piecing the genome together from billions of shorter 48 sequences. Over the last decade, as highly parallel, much less expensive, short and long-read 49 sequencing technologies have revolutionized genomic sequencing, thousands of individual 50 human genomes have been re-sequenced, further refining the human genome assembly and 51 characterizing its diversity, resulting in a "reference-quality" genome assembly that covers 95% 52 of the genome with far fewer and smaller gaps compared to the initial version. Despite this vast 53 improvement, the human genome continues to be updated and refined (v. 39, RefSeq accession 54 GCF 000001405.39).

55

56 This example illustrates how all eukaryotic genome assemblies, even those of exemplar quality, 57 are drafts, varying in sequence quality (i.e. error rate), completeness (i.e. how much of the 58 genome is covered), how contiguous DNA sequences within scaffolds are, and what portions of 59 the genome remain unresolved or incorrect. The "platinum-standard reference genome" that 60 modern genomics strives for is distinguished from older draft assemblies by completeness, low 61 error rates, and a high percentage of the sequences assembled into chromosome-length scaffolds 62 (Anon., 2018; Rhie et al., in prep). For the remainder of this note, we use 'draft' to refer to the 63 less complete/contiguous 'draftier draft' genomes and 'reference-quality genomes' to refer to 64 "platinum-standard reference genome" draft genomes as characterized above.

65

66 Democratization of genome sequencing has yielded draft genomes across the diversity of life at a

67 rate that was unimaginable just a few years ago. As genome assemblies have become

68 increasingly common, titles of articles often tout "chromosome-level", "complete", "reference-

69 quality" and other adjectives to characterize the quality of a new genome sequence. These terms

offer little information about the level of completion or accuracy of genome assemblies, as even

71 "chromosome-level" genomes may consist of thousands to millions of sequence fragments (e.g.,

Fan et al., 2019), with significant amounts of missing data, assembly errors, and missing or

73 incomplete genome annotations.

74

75 The utility of draft genomes has been abundantly documented, and there is no doubt that draft 76 genomes provide sufficient data to address many biological questions. For cetaceans, highly 77 fragmented draft genomes have been useful references for mapping data from re-sequenced 78 individuals, and thus for characterization of variable markers (Morin et al., 2018), phylogenetics 79 and comparative genomics (Arnason, Lammers, Kumar, Nilsson, & Janke, 2018; Fan et al., 80 2019; Foote et al., 2015; Yim et al., 2014), characterization of intraspecific variability and 81 demographic history (Autenrieth et al., 2018; Foote et al., 2019; Foote et al., 2016; Morin et al., 82 2015; Westbury, Petersen, Garde, Heide-Jorgensen, & Lorenzen, 2019; Zhou et al., 2018), 83 molecular evolution of genes and traits (Autenrieth et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2019; Foote et al., 84 2015; Springer et al., 2016a; Springer, Starrett, Morin, Hayashi, & Gatesy, 2016b; Yim et al., 85 2014), epigenetic age determination (Beal, Kiszka, Wells, & Eirin-Lopez, 2019; Polanowski, 86 Robbins, Chandler, & Jarman, 2014), and skin and gut microbiome metagenomics (Hooper et al., 87 2019; Sanders et al., 2015). The field of conservation genomics has also demonstrated the many 88 applications of genomic data that aid in discovery of vulnerable species, identify extinction risks, 89 and implement appropriate management (Garner et al., 2016; Kraus et al., submitted; Tan et al., 90 2019).

91

However, the types of errors common to draft genomes can be at best misleading (e.g., structural
variation, Ho, Urban, & Mills, 2019), and at worst may result in years of lost time and effort
pursuing genes and variants that do not exist (Anderson-Trocme et al., 2019; Korlach et al.,
2017). Use of a related species reference genome to map sequencing reads (when the new
species genome is not available) reduces and biases mapping of the new species reads,
compromising estimates of variation (e.g., mapping reads to a distantly related species;
Gopalakrishnan et al., 2017). The completeness of a genome and of its coding and regulatory

99 annotation (e.g., coding regions and identified genes; Scornavacca et al., 2019) affect

100 downstream interpretation of analytic results. Recently, re-analysis of published genomes has

101 shown that appreciable portions of most genome assemblies (e.g., 4.3 Mb of a sperm whale

102 assembly) contain contaminating sequences (including full genes) from parasites and bacteria

103 (Challis, Richards, Rajan, Cochrane, & Blaxter, 2020; Steinegger & Salzberg, 2020).

104

105 Recent improvements in sequencing and bioinformatic technologies have changed our view of 106 what is possible in genome assembly, such that now it is credible to propose reference quality 107 genome sequencing for not just a few model taxa of interest, but rather for whole biomes, whole 108 clades and, ultimately all of the planet's biota. The Earth BioGenome Project (EBP; Lewin et al., 109 2018) proposes the reference genome sequencing of all eukaryotic life on earth. The EBP goals 110 are reflected in local biotic projects, such as the Darwin Tree of Life project 111 (darwintreeoflife.org), which aims to sequence all eukaryotic species in Britain and Ireland 112 (including several cetacean species), and clade-focused projects such as the Genome 10k 113 (Genome 10K Community of Scientists, 2009) and its Vertebrate Genomes Project (VGP; 114 vertebrategenomesproject.org), which propose sequencing of all Vertebrata. In an effort to 115 establish benchmark quality standards and best practices for reference-quality genome 116 sequencing, the VGP has developed combined sequencing technologies and assembly protocols 117 (Anon., 2018), and criteria for evaluation of genomes to meet "platinum-quality" standards (Rhie 118 et al., in prep). They find that vertebrate genome assemblies that lead to far fewer errors in 119 biological analyses are those that have a contig N50 (without gaps) of 1 Mb or more; chromosomal scaffold N50 of 10 Mb or more; base call accuracy of Q40 or higher (no more than 120 121 one nucleotide error per 10,000 bp); paternal and maternal sequences haplotype phased to reduce 122 false gene duplication errors; and manual curation to improve the genome assembly and reduce 123 errors further. These genome assemblies thus far have up to >99% of the genome assembled into 124 chromosomes, with some chromosomes having between 0 to fewer than 20 gaps. Both the VGP 125 and the Darwin Tree of Life projects aim to meet these quality standards for all of their genome 126 assemblies.

127

128 Such reference-quality genomes for each focal cetacean species would offer a platform for

analysis that will avoid the types of errors discussed above, obviating the need for cross-species

130 read mapping that is currently the norm. High-quality genomes make correct gene identification

possible (Korlach et al., 2017), help phasing of population genomic data (identifying paternal
and maternal chromosomes), contribute to identification of population-level structural variation
and permit informed analysis of genome architectures (e.g. centromeric and telomeric regions).

135 As of December 2019, there were 28 cetacean species present in public sequence databases as 136 draft assemblies, but only two species had VGP platinum-standard reference genome assemblies 137 and they were generated by the VGP: the vaquita and the blue whale (Table 1, Figure 1). The 138 vaquita genome, for example, has 99.92% of the assembly assigned to 22 nearly-gapless (0-35 139 gaps/scaffold) chromosome-level scaffolds, with accuracy Q40.88 (0.8 nucleotide errors per 140 10,000bp) (Morin et al., 2020). By contrast, the sperm whale chromosome-level genome 141 (accession GCA 002837175.2; Fan et al., 2019), assembled from short-read shot-gun, 10X 142 Genomics linked reads and Hi-C scaffolding, assigned 95% of the assembly to 21 chromosomes, 143 but contains 1513-9978 gaps per scaffold. The primary reason for the difference between the 144 VGP genomes and the sperm whale genome is the use of long-read sequencing to obtain 475X 145 and 140X larger contig N50s (vaguita and blue whale, respectively; Table 1), allowing assembly 146 of all but the most difficult regions (e.g., some centromeric and telomeric regions). We are aware 147 of whole-genome shotgun (WGS) sequencing projects underway for most of the 96 recognized 148 cetacean species. Most of these projects will result in highly fragmented and incomplete draft 149 genome assemblies that may include >90% of the genes, but are unlikely to resolve 150 chromosome-level scaffolds, let alone full gene or genome structure. A substantial effort is underway (DNAzoo.org) to improve contiguity in new and existing genome assemblies using 151 152 proximity-guided assembly methods (Hi-C; Dudchenko et al., 2017; Lieberman-Aiden et al., 153 2009). This approach generates chromosome-level scaffolds, and can yield highly contiguous 154 genomes when long reads are used. When used with short-read data, this approach is very cost-155 effective and can be used even with somewhat degraded tissue samples. However, these genome 156 assemblies remain highly fragmented with regions of unresolved structure (e.g., long or complex 157 repeats) and hence do not meet the reference quality standards recommended by the VGP. 158

159 The critical step needed to meet the platinum-level criteria set out by the VGP is long-read

160 sequencing (e.g., Pacific Biosciences or Oxford Nanopore technologies) that generates

161 contiguous raw data tens to hundreds of kilobases in length. Combined with long-range,

162 chromosome-scale scaffolding methods based on Hi-C chromatin contacts and optical mapping
163 (e.g., BioNano), these data allow repetitive regions within scaffolds to be resolved (Figure 2).
164

165 While this approach is now becoming feasible even on a moderate research budget, the major 166 limitation for many marine mammals is availability of fresh tissues that yield relatively large 167 amounts of ultra-high quality DNA for long-read sequencing (>40 Kb) and BioNano approaches 168 (>300 Kb) (e.g., Mulcahy et al., 2016) and intact chromatin preserving the 3D structure in nuclei for long-range Hi-C linking to build scaffolds. These technologies currently require fresh blood, 169 170 muscle or organ tissue, or cultured cells, preserved to maintain megabase-length DNA and 171 (preferably, for gene annotation) RNA. Although there are rare exceptions, this usually requires 172 rapid freezing and storage at  $\leq$  -80°C or culture of live cells, both of which have limited 173 feasibility for protected species (due to sampling methods) and in many field conditions (e.g., 174 mass strandings on remote beaches or locations with scarce infrastructure). Therefore, collection 175 and preservation of such samples is rare.

176

177 Given the manifest benefits of reference-quality genome sequencing from at least one specimen 178 of each species, and the extreme logistical difficulty in obtaining appropriate samples for long-179 read sequencing methods, we propose that a concerted effort should be made to coordinate and 180 facilitate ethical collection of cetacean samples immediately. We estimate that such samples are 181 currently available for about 25% of cetacean species in a few publicly accessible collections that 182 have already contributed samples for cetacean genomics (e.g., the Frozen Zoo® tissue culture 183 collection at San Diego Zoo Global's Institute for Conservation Research and the NOAA 184 National Marine Mammal Tissue Bank). Some of the remaining species may be obtained 185 relatively quickly from captive animals, but the majority will require broad outreach and 186 substantial logistical support to obtain culturable skin biopsies and take advantage of 187 opportunistic sampling (e.g., euthanized animals from beach strandings). This process will take 188 years or decades to complete, but the vast majority of species are likely to be represented within 189 a few years. We must be cognizant of the existing, and developing, international regulatory 190 systems in place that regulate handling of endangered species (e.g., the Convention on 191 International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora; CITES). Recognizing the 192 significant logistical constraints and time commitments needed for permitted international

transport of regulated species, VGP has obtained a broad CITES permit for most species, and iscurrently negotiating expansion to include marine mammals.

195

196 The exchange and transport of biological materials should also be underpinned by international 197 legislation such as the Nagoya protocols on Access and Benefit Sharing of the Convention of 198 Biological Diversity (https://www.cbd.int/abs/). In line with this, an important consideration is 199 that sampling (and downstream sequencing) of species sampled from the traditional waters of 200 Indigenous peoples is only carried out following respectful engagement and collaboration, to 201 ensure appropriate management of downstream data (including implementing 'gated access' if 202 desired by indigenous peoples), and equitable sharing of benefits and knowledge with these 203 communities (Buck & Hamilton, 2011; Carroll, Rodriguez-Lonebear, & Martinez, 2019; Collier-204 Robinson, Rayne, Rupene, Thoms, & Steeves, 2019; Gemmell et al., 2019). This requirement 205 also applies to samples collected previously from the waters of Indigenous peoples, but now 206 currently housed in institutional repositories. As part of this commitment to benefit sharing, we 207 strongly support international capacity building (e.g., conducting all or part of the sequencing in 208 countries with access to endemic species), training and facilitation of genome assembly and data 209 sharing (within international agreements) to provide benefits and resources, reduce logistical 210 limitations, and serve the regional scientific and conservation communities.

211

212 Although genomic sequencing is becoming widespread, expertise in the multiple technologies 213 and complex genome assembly methods required to generate a reference-quality genome 214 discourages most cetacean biologists. The few reference-quality genomes that have been 215 completed have been generated in collaboration with the VGP, an international consortium of 216 genome centers coordinated to optimize and streamline the process. The VGP protocols 217 incorporate existing data where possible, thereby reducing cost and redundancy. The VGP also 218 promotes open access, making raw data and assemblies immediately available as they are 219 completed (https://vgp.github.io/genomeark/ and NCBI BioProject ID PRJNA489243), narrowly 220 embargoed to ensure first publication rights while allowing rapid distribution of data for 221 additional research (https://genome10k.soe.ucsc.edu/data-use-policies/). The Darwin Tree of Life 222 project releases assemblies with fully open access at the time of deposition 223 (https://www.darwintreeoflife.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/DToL-Open-Data-ReleasePolicy.pdf). With a goal to produce hundreds, and eventually thousands of reference-quality
genomes per year, the VGP has been able to substantially reduce costs, currently estimated at
less than US\$20,000 per mammalian genome, from DNA to curated, annotated assembly. These
costs are already 50% lower than they were just two years ago, and are expected to continue to
decline.

229

230 For reference-quality genomes to become a reality for all cetacean species, a globally 231 coordinated effort among marine mammalogists is needed to obtain and preserve samples that 232 can yield ultra-high quality DNA and RNA, as well as the 3D genome structure for Hi-C 233 scaffolding. Furthermore, coordination with genome centers that can perform genome 234 sequencing, assembly, manual curation, and annotation is needed to produce reference-quality 235 genomes and disseminate data rapidly. To begin this process, we formed the Cetacean Genome 236 Project (CGP) in collaboration with the VGP and Darwin Tree of Life as a coordinated effort to: 237 (1) Assemble a database of samples available from accessible collections, and solicit appropriate 238 samples from the scientific community; (2) Coordinate and disseminate information on best 239 practices for sample collection and preservation (e.g., cell culture, appropriate short-term field 240 preservation methods), with facilitation of sample transportation, storage, and, where 241 appropriate, culture of live cells; (3) Coordinate available data (e.g., published short- or long-242 read data, genome assemblies) to avoid redundancy and reduce costs of completing the 243 reference-quality genomes; and (4) Seek funding for individual or groups of species, in 244 coordination with marine mammal researchers with near-term interests in genomic analysis. The 245 CGP will leverage the participation and expertise of the VGP and Darwin Tree of Life project, 246 while providing the focus and expertise necessary to obtain samples and funding, and 247 conduct/facilitate research on reference-quality genomes of all cetacean species. Although we 248 have chosen to focus on a single taxonomic group, cetaceans, the issues, needs, and 249 recommendations discussed here apply equally to other marine mammal species as well. 250 251 While we recognize that there is not a one-model approach to accomplishing the CGP goals, the

VGP model does provide a streamlined approach to generating the necessary data and releasing the curated reference-quality genome data through recognized genome databases. The interests of scientists, institutions, states, Indigenous peoples, and geopolitical entities will benefit from local involvement in some or all steps of the process, especially as an investment in training and capacity building for scientists and institutions. We foresee multiple approaches to building the

- 257 "platinum standard" set of cetacean genomes, and provide a nexus to coordinate and facilitate the
- international efforts necessary to reach those goals. Further information is available through the
- 259 VGP (https://vertebrategenomesproject.org) and CGP
- 260 (https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/content/cetacean-genomes-research).
- 261 262 Literature
- 262 Literature Cited
- Anderson-Trocme, L., Farouni, R., Bourgey, M., Kamatani, Y., Higasa, K., Seo, J. S., ...
   Gravel, S. (2019). Legacy data confounds genomics studies. *Molecular Biology &*
- 265 *Evolution*, *37*(1), 2-10. doi:10.1093/molbev/msz201
- Anon. (2018). A reference standard for genome biology. *Nature Biotechnology*, *36*(12), 1121.
   doi:10.1038/nbt.4318
- Arnason, U., Lammers, F., Kumar, V., Nilsson, M. A., & Janke, A. (2018). Whole-genome
   sequencing of the blue whale and other rorquals finds signatures for introgressive gene
   flow. *Science Advances*, 4(4), eaap9873. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aap9873
- Autenrieth, M., Hartmann, S., Lah, L., Roos, A., Dennis, A. B., & Tiedemann, R. (2018). Highquality whole-genome sequence of an abundant Holarctic odontocete, the harbour
  porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*). *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 18(6), 1469-1481.
  doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12932
- Beal, A. P., Kiszka, J. J., Wells, R. S., & Eirin-Lopez, J. M. (2019). The bottlenose dolphin
   epigenetic aging tool (BEAT): A molecular age estimation tool for small cetaceans.
   *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *6*, 561. doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00561
- Buck, M., & Hamilton, C. (2011). The Nagoya Protocol on access to genetic resources and the
   fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from their utilization to the Convention on
   Biological Diversity. *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law, 20*(1), 47-61. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9388.2011.00703.x
- Carroll, S. R., Rodriguez-Lonebear, D., & Martinez, A. (2019). Indigenous data gGovernance:
   Strategies from United States Native Nations. *Data Science Journal*, 18(1), 31.
   doi:10.5334/dsj-2019-031
- Challis, R., Richards, E., Rajan, J., Cochrane, G., & Blaxter, M. (2020). BlobToolKit –
   Interactive quality assessment of genome assemblies. *G3, in press.* doi:10.1101/844852
- Collier-Robinson, L., Rayne, A., Rupene, M., Thoms, C., & Steeves, T. (2019). Embedding
   indigenous principles in genomic research of culturally significant species: a conservation
   genomics case study. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology, 43*(3).
   doi:10.20417/nzjecol.43.36

- Dudchenko, O., Batra, S. S., Omer, A. D., Nyquist, S. K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N. C., ... Aiden,
  E. L. (2017). De novo assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C yields
  chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science*, *356*(6333), 92-95. doi:10.1126/science.aal3327
- Fan, G., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Wang, J., Sun, Z., Sun, S., . . . Liu, X. (2019). The first
   chromosome-level genome for a marine mammal as a resource to study ecology and
   evolution. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 19(4), 944-956. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13003
- Foote, A. D., Liu, Y., Thomas, G. W., Vinar, T., Alfoldi, J., Deng, J., . . . Gibbs, R. A. (2015).
  Convergent evolution of the genomes of marine mammals. *Nature Genetics*, 47(3), 272275. doi:10.1038/ng.3198
- Foote, A. D., Martin, M. D., Louis, M., Pacheco, G., Robertson, K. M., Sinding, M.-H. S., ...
   Morin, P. A. (2019). Killer whale genomes reveal a complex history of recurrent
   admixture and vicariance. *Molecular Ecology*, 28, 3427-3444. doi:10.1111/mec.15099
- Foote, A. D., Vijay, N., Ávila-Arcos, M. C., Baird, R. W., Durban, J. W., Fumagalli, M., . . .
  Wolf, J. B. W. (2016). Genome-culture coevolution promotes rapid divergence in the
  killer whale. *Nature Communications*, 7, Article No. 11693. doi:10.1038/ncomms11693
- Garner, B. A., Hand, B. K., Amish, S. J., Bernatchez, L., Foster, J. T., Miller, K. M., . . . Luikart,
   G. (2016). Genomics in conservation: case studies and bridging the gap between data and
   application. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *31*(2), 81-83.
   doi:10.1016/j.tree.2015.10.009
- Gemmell, N. J., Rutherford, K., Prost, S., Tollis, M., Winter, D., Macey, J. R., ... Board, N. T.
   (2019). The tuatara genome: insights into vertebrate evolution from the sole survivor of
   an ancient reptilian order. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/867069
- Genome 10K Community of Scientists. (2009). Genome 10K Community of Scientists. Genome
   10K: a proposal to obtain whole-genome sequence for 10,000 vertebrate species. *Journal* of Heredity, 100(6), 659-674. doi:10.1093/jhered/esp086
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Samaniego Castruita, J. A., Sinding, M. S., Kuderna, L. F. K., Raikkonen, J.,
  Petersen, B., . . . Gilbert, M. T. P. (2017). The wolf reference genome sequence (*Canis lupus lupus*) and its implications for *Canis* spp. population genomics. *BMC Genomics*, *18*(1), 495. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3883-3
- Ho, S. S., Urban, A. E., & Mills, R. E. (2019). Structural variation in the sequencing era. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0180-9
- Hooper, R., Brealey, J., van der Valk, T., Alberdi, A., Durban, J. W., Fearnbach, H., . . .
  Guschanski, K. (2019). Host-derived population genomics data provides insights into

- bacterial and diatom composition of the killer whale skin. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(2),
  484-502. doi:10.1111/mec.14860
- International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. (2004). Finishing the euchromatic
   sequence of the human genome. *Nature*, 431(7011), 931-945. doi:10.1038/nature03001
- Korlach, J., Gedman, G., Kingan, S. B., Chin, C. S., Howard, J. T., Audet, J. N., ... Jarvis, E. D.
  (2017). De novo PacBio long-read and phased avian genome assemblies correct and add
  to reference genes generated with intermediate and short reads. *Gigascience*, 6(10), 1-16.
  doi:10.1093/gigascience/gix085
- Kraus, R. H. S., Paez, S., Ceballos, G., Crawford, A., Fedrigo, O., Finnegan, S., . . . Jarvis, E. D.
  (submitted). The role of genomics in conserving biodiversity during the sixth mass
  extinction. *Nature Reviews Genetics*.
- Lewin, H. A., Robinson, G. E., Kress, W. J., Baker, W. J., Coddington, J., Crandall, K. A., ...
  Zhang, G. (2018). Earth BioGenome Project: Sequencing life for the future of life. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA, 115*(17), 4325-4333.
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1720115115
- Lieberman-Aiden, E., van Berkum, N. L., Williams, L., Imakaev, M., Ragoczy, T., Telling, A., .
  Dekker, J. (2009). Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding
  principles of the human genome. *Science*, *326*(5950), 289-293.
  doi:10.1126/science.1181369
- McGowen, M. R., Tsagkogeorga, G., Álvarez-Carretero, S., dos Reis, M., Struebig, M., Deaville,
   R., ... Rossiter, S. J. (2019). Phylogenomic resolution of the cetacean tree of life using
   target sequence capture. *Systematic Biology, syz068*. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syz068
- Morin, P. A., Archer, F. I., Balacco, J. R., Bukham, Y. V., Chaisson, M. J. P., Chow, W., . . .
  Jarvis, E. D. (2020). Reference Genome and demographic history of the most endangered
  marine mammal, the vaquita. *BioRxiv*.
- Morin, P. A., Foote, A. D., Hill, C. M., Simon-Bouhet, B., Lang, A. R., & Louise, M. (2018).
  SNP discovery from single and multiplex genome assemblies of non-model organisms. In
  S. R. Head, P. Ordoukhanian, & D. Salomon (Eds.), *Next-Generation Sequencing. Methods in Molecular Biology* (Vol. 1712, pp. 113-144): Humana Press.
- Morin, P. A., Parsons, K. M., Archer, F. I., Ávila-Arcos, M. C., Barrett-Lennard, L. G., Dalla
  Rosa, L., . . . Foote, A. D. (2015). Geographic and temporal dynamics of a global
  radiation and diversification in the killer whale. *Molecular Ecology, 24*, 3964-3979.
  doi:doi: 10.1111/mec.13284

- Mulcahy, D. G., Macdonald, K. S., 3rd, Brady, S. G., Meyer, C., Barker, K. B., & Coddington, J.
  (2016). Greater than X kb: a quantitative assessment of preservation conditions on
  genomic DNA quality, and a proposed standard for genome-quality DNA. *PeerJ*, 4,
  e2528. doi:10.7717/peerj.2528
- Polanowski, A. M., Robbins, J., Chandler, D., & Jarman, S. N. (2014). Epigenetic estimation of
  age in humpback whales. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 14(5), 976-987.
  doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12247
- Rhie, A., McCarthy, S., Fedrigo, O., Howe, K., Myers, E. W., Durbin, R., . . . Jarvis, E. D. (in
   prep). Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species.
   *Nature*.
- Sanders, J. G., Beichman, A. C., Roman, J., Scott, J. J., Emerson, D., McCarthy, J. J., & Girguis,
   P. R. (2015). Baleen whales host a unique gut microbiome with similarities to both
   carnivores and herbivores. *Nature Communications*, *6*, 8285. doi:10.1038/ncomms9285
- Scornavacca, C., Belkhir, K., Lopez, J., Dernat, R., Delsuc, F., Douzery, E. J. P., & Ranwez, V.
  (2019). OrthoMaM v10: Scaling-up orthologous coding sequence and exon alignments
  with more than one hundred mammalian genomes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*,
  36(4), 861-862. doi:10.1093/molbev/msz015
- Springer, M. S., Emerling, C. A., Fugate, N., Patel, R., Starrett, J., Morin, P. A., ... Gatesy, J.
  (2016a). Inactivation of cone-specific phototransduction genes in rod monochromatic
  cetaceans. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *4*, Article No. 61.
  doi:10.3389/fevo.2016.00061
- Springer, M. S., Starrett, J., Morin, P. A., Hayashi, C., & Gatesy, J. (2016b). Inactivation of
   C4orf26 in toothless placental mammals. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 95, 34 45. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2015.11.002
- 381 Stein, L. D. (2004). Human genome: end of the beginning. *Nature*, 431(7011), 915-916.
   382 doi:10.1038/431915a
- Steinegger, M., & Salzberg, S. L. (2020). Terminating contamination: large-scale search
   identifies more than 2,000,000 contaminated entries in GenBank. *bioRxiv doi* 10.1101/2020.01.26.920173.
- Tan, M. P., Wong, L. L., Razali, S. A., Afiqah-Aleng, N., Mohd Nor, S. A., Sung, Y. Y., ...
  Danish-Daniel, M. (2019). Applications of next-generation sequencing technologies and computational tools in molecular evolution and aquatic animals conservation studies: A short review. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics*, 15, 1-5. doi:10.1177/1176934319892284

- Westbury, M. V., Petersen, B., Garde, E., Heide-Jorgensen, M. P., & Lorenzen, E. D. (2019).
   Narwhal genome reveals long-term low genetic diversity despite current large abundance
   size. *iScience*, *15*, 592-599. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2019.03.023
- Yim, H.-S., Cho, Y. S., Guang, X., Kang, S. G., Jeong, J.-Y., Cha, S.-S., . . . Lee, J.-H. (2014).
  Minke whale genome and aquatic adaptation in cetaceans. *Nature Genetics*, *46*, 88-92.
  doi:10.1038/ng.2835
- Zhou, X., Guang, X., Sun, D., Xu, S., Li, M., Seim, I., ... Yang, G. (2018). Population genomics
   of finless porpoises reveal an incipient cetacean species adapted to freshwater. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), Article number: 1276. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03722-x
- 399

400 Table 1. Cetacean genome assembly information from assemblies in NCBI Genome Assembly database (<u>ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome</u>)

401 and DNAzoo (Assembly ID's ending with "HiC"; <u>dnazoo.org/assemblies</u>) as of January, 2020. The assembly level "scaffold" refers to

402 both unordered contigs and ordered scaffolds. Contig and Scaffold N50 are measures of assembly quality indicating that half of the

genome assembly is found in contigs or scaffolds equal to or larger than the N50 size. In addition to Contig and Scaffold N50 metrics,
 an assessment of whether a genome meets platinum quality standards also relies on other metrics such as genome-wide base-call

405 accuracy level ( $\geq$ Q40, or no more than 1 nucleotide error per 10,000bp), and phased maternal/paternal haplotypes to reduce false gene

406 duplication errors. Rhie et al. (2020) contains additional detail on VGP assembly methods and platinum genome quality standards.

			Number of		Number of	
Species name	Common name	Assembly ID	contigs	Contig N50	scaffolds	Scaffold N50
Balaenoptera bonaerensis	Antarctic Minke Whale	GCA_000978805.1	720,900	8,410	421,444	20,082
Lipotes vexillifer	Baiji	GCA_000442215.1	155,510	31,902	30,713	2,419,148
Delphinapterus leucas	Beluga	ASM228892v2_HiC	35,752	158,270	6,972	107,969,763
Delphinapterus leucas	Beluga	GCA_002288925.3	29,444	196,689	5,905	31,183,418
Delphinapterus leucas	Beluga	GCA_009917725.1	101,557	76,763	51,177	1,361,507
Delphinapterus leucas	Beluga	GCA_009917745.1	52,911	141,056	25,931	3,009,037
Balaenoptera musculus	Blue Whale	GCA_009873245.2*	1,050	5,963,936	130	110,470,125
Inia geoffrensis	Boto	GCA 004363515.1	1,218,682	24,570	1,213,610	26,707
Balaena mysticetus	Bowhead Whale	NA †	113,673	877,000	7,227	34,800
Balaenoptera edeni	Bryde's Whale	Balaenoptera edeni HiC	184,171	71,244	141,314	99,560,599
Tursiops truncatus	Common Bottlenose Dolphin	GCA 000151865.3	554,227	11,821	240,557	116,287
Tursiops truncatus	Common Bottlenose Dolphin	GCA_001922835.1	116,651	44,299	2,648	26,555,543
Tursiops truncatus	Common Bottlenose Dolphin	GCA_003314715.1	139,544	30,985	481	27,166,507
Tursiops truncatus	Common Bottlenose Dolphin	GCA_003435595.3	154,206	27,134	42,644	931,081
Tursiops truncatus	Common Bottlenose Dolphin	NIST <sup>–</sup> Tur tru v1 HiC	116,947	44,280	2,646	98,188,383
Balaenoptera acutorostrata	Common Minke Whale	GCA 000493695.1	184,072	22,690	10,776	12,843,668
Ziphius cavirostris	Cuvier's Beaked Whale	GCA_004364475.1	3,761,505	3,606	3,758,276	3,608
Balaenoptera physalus	Fin whale	GCA 008795845.1	1,270,025	4,493	62,302	871,016
Neophocaena asiaeorientalis	Finless Porpoise	GCA_003031525.1	66,346	86,003	13,699	6,341,296
Pontoporia blainvillei	Franciscana	GCA_004363935.1	1,885,701	2,541	1,885,058	2,541
Eschrichtius robustus	Gray Whale	GCA_002189225.1	375,256	10,066	57,203	187,455
Eschrichtius robustus	Gray Whale	GCA_002738545.1	1,595,257	2,656	1,213,011	10,674
Eschrichtius robustus	Gray Whale	GCA_004363415.1	1,046,770	68,559	1,036,148	94,414
Phocoena phocoena	Harbour Porpoise	GCA_003071005.1	2,347,235	2,773	142,029	27,499,337
Phocoena phocoena	Harbour Porpoise	GCA 004363495.1	1,338,272	89,111	1,331,158	115,969
Phocoena phocoena	Harbour Porpoise	Phocoena_phocoena_HiC	610,275	58,076	565,368	97,795,164
Megaptera novaeangliae	Humpback Whale	GCA 004329385.1	387,694	12,321	2,558	9,138,802
Tursiops aduncus	Indo-Pacific Bottlenose Dolphin	ASM322739v1_HiC	58,538	133,491	12,471	111,961,311
Tursiops aduncus	Indo-Pacific Bottlenose Dolphin	GCA_003227395.1	44,281	206,065	16,249	1,235,788
Sousa chinensis	Indo-Pacific Humpbacked Dolphin	GCA_003521335.2	46,900	182,701	20,903	9,008,636
Sousa chinensis	Indo-Pacific Humpbacked Dolphin	GCA_007760645.1	62,803	113,766	23,368	19,436,979
Platanista minor	Indus river dolphin	GCA_004363435.1	1,110,492	20,879	1,098,790	23,933
Orcinus orca	Killer Whale	GCA 000331955.2	80,100	70,300	1,668	12,735,091
Orcinus orca	Killer Whale	Oorc_1.1_HiC	80,502	70,204	1,617	110,405,485
Globicephala melas	Long-Finned Pilot Whale	ASM654740v1_HiC	21,252	332,801	6,090	106,927,605
Globicephala melas	Long-Finned Pilot Whale	GCA_006547405.1	21,236	332,801	6,637	18,102,937

Peponocephala electra	Melon-Headed Whale	Peponocephala_electra_HiC	222,071	84,924	185,978	102,795,557
Monodon monoceros	Narwhal	GCA_004026685.1	653,473	67,024	644,873	86,766
Monodon monoceros	Narwhal	GCA_004027045.1	890,705	70,965	882,704	88,921
Monodon monoceros	Narwhal	GCA_005125345.1	813,468	10,044	21,006	1,483,363
Monodon monoceros	Narwhal	GCA_005190385.2	25,295	255,327	6,972	107,566,389
Eubalaena glacialis	North Atlantic Right Whale	Eubalaena_glacialis_HiC	215,753	65,924	172,124	101,413,572
Eubalaena japonica	North Pacific Right Whale	GCA_004363455.1	1,361,057	34,866	1,353,963	39,813
Lagenorhynchus obliquidens	Pacific White-Sided Dolphin	ASM367639v1_HiC	21,805	255,779	5,162	107,447,310
Lagenorhynchus obliquidens	Pacific White-Sided Dolphin	GCA_003676395.1	21,793	255,779	5,422	28,371,583
Kogia breviceps	Pygmy Sperm Whale	GCA_004363705.1	1,258,125	26,201	1,252,072	28,812
Mesoplodon bidens	Sowerby's Beaked Whale	GCA_004027085.1	1,810,317	28,959	1,801,720	33,532
Physeter macrocephalus	Sperm Whale	GCA_000472045.1	110,443	35,258	11,710	427,290
Physeter macrocephalus	Sperm Whale	GCA_002837175.2	143,605	42,542	14,677	122,182,240
Physeter macrocephalus	Sperm Whale	GCA_900411695.1	140,250	43,829	14,676	122,182,240
Phocoena sinus	Vaquita	GCA_008692025.1*	273	20,218,762	65	115,469,292

\* VGP "platinum-quality" reference genomes.
† from Keane et al., 2015, Cell Reports 10, 112–122, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.008 410

- 411 Figure 1. Phylogeny of the extant cetaceans based on phylogenetic analysis of 3191protein-
- 412 coding nuclear loci, reproduced from McGowen et al. (2019) and modified to show phylogenetic
- 413 positions of species with published genome assemblies. Blue triangles mark the species
- 414 represented by platinum-quality VGP reference genomes. Orange triangles mark the species for
- 415 which draft genomes have been published (from Table 1). Parentheses around the triangles
- 416 indicate that the species is not shown in this phylogeny (but the triangle is placed near
- 417 congeneric species to indicate approximate position in the phylogeny). Illustrations by Carl
- 418 Buell.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of whole genome assembly using short-read or long-read sequencing methods, and combining them with Hi-C scaffolding to link and order contigs into scaffolds. *De novo* assemblies of short reads result in hundreds of thousands or millions of short, un-ordered segments. Long read assemblies provide longer, unordered segments that have higher error rates. Combined long and short read assemblies with Hi-C scaffolding orders the contigs to chromosome-length scaffolds, reduces the number of gaps to few per chromosome, resolves most repeat regions or duplicates, and improves sequence accuracy. Black dotted

426 segments represent gaps of unknown length. Blue and black segments within short-reads (e.g., the "yellow" chromosome reads)

427 indicate small differences between highly similar genes in a gene family or repeat region.

