This is a preprint of the chapter to be published Vladimir Uskov, Jeffrey P. Bakken, Robert J. Howlett, and Lakhmi C. Jain Eds., *Smart Universities: Concepts, Systems, Research*, 2017, Springer, Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies ISSN: 2190-3018. The final version will be available in the Springer Digital Library.

Practicing Interprofessional Team Communication and Collaboration in a Smart Virtual University Hospital

Ekaterina Prasolova-Førland[1], Aslak Steinsbekk[2], Mikhail Fominykh[3], Frank Lindseth[4]

[1] Department of Education and Lifelong learning, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway
[2] Department of of Public Health and General Practice, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway
[3] Faculty of Logistics, Molde University College, Molde, Norway
[4] Department of Computer and Information Science, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

{ekaterip, aslak.steinsbekk}@ntnu.no, mikhail.fominykh@himolde.no, frankl@idi.ntnu.no

Abstract. A smart university must utilize different technical solutions to offer its students varied and innovative learning environments optimizing the core learning activities. Contact with patients is at the core of medical and health care education and often takes place at a university hospital. However, students from one profession seldom get the chance to practice in hospital setting with students from other professions, and they seldom see the whole patient trajectory during clinical practice. Establishing a smart virtual university hospital mirroring a real life hospital can prepare students for direct patient contact, for example, practice placement and clinical rotation, and thus optimize and sometimes also increase their time on task. Such a virtual arena will support student learning by providing adaptive and flexible solutions for practicing a variety of clinical situations at the students' own pace. We present a framework for a smart virtual university hospital and our experiences on developing and testing solutions for training interprofessional team communication and collaboration. In the main part of the work reported here, medicine and nursing students worked in groups with the clinical scenarios in a virtual hospital using desktop PCs alone and with virtual reality goggles. In the evaluation, it was found that all the students agreed that they had learned about the value of clear communication, which was the main learning outcome. Using virtual reality goggles, almost all the students reported that they felt more engaged into the situation than using desktop PCs alone. At the same time, most also reported 'cyber sickness'. We conclude that a smart virtual university hospital is a feasible alternative for collaborative interprofessional learning.

Keywords: smart virtual university hospital, virtual reality, medical training, collaborative learning, virtual operating room, educational role-play

1 Introduction

Patient is the major focus of medical education at all levels. The majority of the student contact with the patient has traditionally been through practice placement and clinical rotation in different health care settings, primarily in hospitals. However, the availability of time for interacting with patients in real life situations is associated with a number of challenges.

First, the increase in number of students means less time for each student to interact with the patients, which is partly ameliorated by increasing the number of patients. Second, it has been a constant drive for many years to reduce the length of stay for each patient, meaning patients spend less and less time in hospitals and other health care institutions. This and improvement in the hospitals effectiveness, for example, by implementing clinical pathways (predefined patient trajectories optimizing the flow of selected patients through the hospital) and the increase in the number of day and outpatient patients, leads to significantly less time for contact between students and patients. Consequently, students get less time on the task, which is paramount for preparing them for their post-graduate work. Thus, there is a need for smart solutions that give the students more time on tasks or make the time with the patients more effective.

Another aspect relating to interaction with the patient is the increase in team-based work in health care. In a modern hospital, a patient is treated not by a single health-care specialist, but by an interprofessional team with complex collaborative procedures and practices. This means that medical and nursing students needs to practice on complex interactions within a team of professionals. Although this is increasingly acknowledged as a central competency by the practice field, it is not implemented in the universities due to practical challenges. Students from different professional health care educations typically have practice placement separately from each other to not overburden those in the clinic. This means that a hospital department can have students from medicine present at one time and students from nursing at another, just to avoid overcrowding of students in the ward. If there are more students than staff and patients, it would be very difficult to get the everyday work done.

The last aspect concerns the students' possibility to follow a patient over time. When students are on practice placement, they are in one unit at the time. This means that they only see patients while they are in direct contact with the unit. Even if the students have practice placement in other units, they will encounter different patients there. Thus, they are usually not given the opportunity to follow the individual patient trajectory. One consequence is that the students get little experience in understanding the totality of the patient's situation, but also a lack of understanding of how the health care system is organized, the complete process of transition from one unit to another (i.e. seeing it from the perspective of both units involved) and how this organization is experienced by the patients. It is especially the transitions that are found to be the weak points, and the students therefore need to be skilled in how to ensure the quality of services when the patient moves from one unit to another.

To meet these and similar challenges there is a need for smart flexible solutions that can utilize collaborative technologies and digital learning resources. These are some of the hallmarks of smart education [1], which is a central concept within Smart universities. By 'Smart university' we understand a university that 'involves a comprehensive modernization of all educational processes' [2]. A smart university exhibits a number of various 'smartness levels' or 'smartness features', such as adaptation, sensing, inferring, self-learning, anticipation, self-organization and restructuring [3].

In the work presented here, we focus primarily on the "Adaptation level" within the smart university framework [3]. The adaptation level concerns issues like the ability to modify teaching and learning strategies to better operate and perform the main business and educational functions. In our case, it is about adapting to the challenge of preparing the students for the changing situations in health care and how we can modify our learning strategies to give the student enough time on task with patients and preparing them better for team based work along various patient trajectories. In particular, we have focused on the adaptation to the new platforms and technologies, variation in interfaces and a new style of learning and teaching.

Our solution has been to use Virtual Reality (VR) and to create a smart virtual learning environment. We thus use virtual "technology supported learning environments that make adaptations and provide adequate support" [4]. We have aimed at making virtual

learning environment that will support student learning by providing adaptive and flexible solutions for practicing a variety of clinical situations at the students' own pace, adjusting to the student's level of expertise and scheduling limitations.

Based on information through contacts, web sites and publications referenced in the next section, it is evident that several world leading universities and hospitals, especially in the US, UK, Australia, and New Zealand have adopted 3D virtual simulation as a part of their educational programs. Examples include virtual hospitals/medical faculties at University of South Florida, Imperial College of London, and Auckland University Hospital. Such environments typically include an array of different facilities, such as emergency room, intensive care unit, nursing simulation, and general information for the public. Other examples include Maternity Ward at Nottingham University and Emergency Preparedness Training at University of Illinois (secondlife.com).

Our own initiative has been partly inspired by these projects but seeks to achieve a more coherent approach to the development of smart online virtual educational solutions that are embedded in a holistic system to make them more accessible and easier to use by being within a known and integrated framework.

We have worked with the long-term idea of establishing an online Virtual University Hospital (VUH) to create such a holistic system and to be a venue for learning, research, and development. The idea is to make a virtual mirror of the St. Olav's University Hospital (St. Olav), which is integrated with the faculty of Medicine at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in Trondheim, Norway. St. Olav is newly built and is one of the most modern university hospitals in the world with a state of the art technological platform and modern clinical buildings. Furthermore, the teaching and research facilities at the faculty is integrated and distributed within the hospital.

As the success of such a smart virtual university hospital is dependent on the activities provided within the framework, we have concentrated our efforts on developing and testing solutions. In short, we have made a virtual part of a hospital in the virtual world Second life (SL) with patient room, meeting room, operating theatre etc. We first developed mono-professional scenarios for training post-graduate nurses in a surgical environment, anesthesia and intensive care [5, 6]. Then we moved on to a larger project called VirSam (virtual communication and collaboration, in Norwegian VIRtuell SAMhandling), where we focused on training for third year nursing and fourth year medical students on interprofessional team communication and collaboration.

The VirSam project is the focus in this paper, but we will describe in some detail the activities leading up to this project as it shows the process we have gone through to adapt our teaching and learning to smarter solutions.

2 Background

2.1 Virtual reality in health care education

There are different modes for virtual learning, i.e. flexible low-cost 3D virtual simulations, 3D virtual environments, and associated infrastructure accessible over the Internet. This technology can benefit educational process due to low cost and high safety, three-dimensional representation of learners and objects, and interaction in simulated

contexts with a sense of presence [7, 8]. It has been suggested that this technology can "considerably augment, if not eventually, revolutionize medical education" [9].

Many studies reported the potential of 3D virtual worlds for educational activities when they have mostly been used on regular desktop computers [10]. Nowadays, such virtual environments can be used in combination with advanced VR technologies, such as motion tracking and head-mounted displays (HMD) / VR goggles to increase the sense of immersion and, therefore, improve the experience, making it more believable and transferable to the real life. VR goggles is a type of on-body VR devices that is worn on the head and has a display in front of the user's eyes [11, 12]. Most of these devices consist of a display and a tracking system. It allows much greater immersion, as the user can control the direction of the view in a virtual world in exactly the same way as in the physical world – by turning the head. The displays of VR goggles have larger field of view and provide a stereoscopic image, making the experience more believable. One example is the Oculus Rift (http://www.oculusvr.com/), which has characteristics making it stand out from its predecessors. At the same time, the device is relatively affordable and, therefore suitable for educational context [13, 14].

3D virtual environments have been widely used in the healthcare domain, including both desktop-based virtual worlds and other VR applications. Examples include training facilities for nurses and doctors [15-17], for example, in palliative care units [18], health information centers, and anatomy education [19, 20]. Such training is, on several occasions, reported to provide a cost-efficient and user-friendly alternative to real-life role-plays and training programs [18]. As demonstrated in several studies, "virtual worlds offer the potential of a new medical education pedagogy to enhance learning outcomes beyond that provided by more traditional online or face-to-face postgraduate professional development activities" [21].

Studies have shown that VR simulations contribute to enhanced procedural skills, with clear transfer of training to clinical practice [22]. A variety of surgical VR simulators have been developed (e.g., dental, laparoscopic and eye surgery) which have shown clear benefits for medical training [23]. The use of VR technologies goes beyond procedural skills. Possibilities for synchronous communication and interaction allow using these technologies, at the moment mostly with desktop interface, for various collaborative learning approaches [24], as well as facilitate situated learning [25] and project-based learning [26] approaches. Virtual learning environments can also support interprofessional and distributed medical teams working together on complex cases [27].

Desktop-based environments have been augmented with VR elements such as HMD for treatment of various neurological and psychiatric disorders such as autism, phobias, and post-traumatic stress syndrome, the latter especially in military settings. For example, Virtual Afghanistan/Iraq system has undergone successful clinical trials in using exposure therapy for treatment of combat-related post-traumatic stress syndrome among veterans [28]. Another example is VR for pain treatment [29].

However, there is still rather little research on smart virtual learning environment in the field of healthcare education. There are, as mentioned above, examples of individual projects, but there is a lack of research-based innovation and research on implementation where such solutions are integrated into existing everyday teaching. For example,

Cates [22] and Ruthenbeck [23] have requested more research on the use of VR / virtual environments for procedural training at different levels of medical education and exploration of solutions with greater level of interactivity and increased realism.

2.2 Communication and team training

While most of the existing VR simulations focus on training of cognitive and psychomotor skills, there is a lack of solutions that support team- and communication training that are essential in modern medical education [17]. For example, Pan et al. suggest further exploration of 'immersive VR' to increase understanding of the social dynamics between doctor and patient [30]. While medical simulation sessions often claim to include team training, they are generally not designed to achieve team training competencies [31]. Furthermore, many medical schools do not have expertise to implement team-training programs on their own [31].

This emphasizes the need to focus on interprofessional team training as an integral part of the educational program at a smart VUH. Weaver identifies the following most common team competencies targeted in medical team-training programs: communication, situational awareness, leadership and situation monitoring. Instructional methods include information-based methods such as lectures, demonstration-based methods such as videos and practice-based methods such as simulation and role-playing [32]. The latter is a common modality, found in 68 % training programs [33, 32].

Baker et al. looked at an array of existing medical training programs, including both simulation-based and classroom-based programs [34]. The former included Anesthesia Crisis Resource Management and Team-Oriented medical simulation and typically take place in a simulated operating room, with patient simulator/mannequin, and monitoring/video equipment and pre-post briefings [34]. The classroom-based training programs include MedTeams, Medical Team Management, Dynamic Outcomes Management and Geriatric Interdisciplinary Team Training. These programs typically include lectures, discussions and role-plays [34, 35].

Many existing programs focus on team-training within closed environments such as operating rooms and emergency care units [36] while interprofessional team training is important for improving patient safety and breaking down the traditional discipline-based barriers [36]. This motivated the development of the Triad for Optimal Patient Safety program (TOPS) [36].

Another interprofessional training program, originally developed by US Department of Defense and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, is TeamSTEPPS (Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety) [37]. This framework has gradually reached international acceptance, and has served as the main inspiration for our work with developing interprofessional team training simulation in this project. TeamSTEPPS is "an evidence-based framework to optimize team performance across the health care delivery system" [38]. It has several important features, with one of them being the four team competencies: Communication, Leadership, Mutual Support and Situation Monitoring [38].

It is necessary to develop reliable methodological tools for developing learning content and evaluation of learning effectiveness team-training in virtual learning arenas

[27, 17]. Research is also needed to gain knowledge of what are the good solutions and how they should be adapted to meet students' needs.

3 Project goal and objectives

The main goal of this project is to increase the students' time on task by offering smart online 3D virtual learning environments (with and without VR interface) that provide the learner with practice opportunities at their own pace when the access to patients is becoming more difficult to achieve. This is done through enhancing the PBL (problem based learning) approach and facilitating online environments for small tutor groups and flexible self-regulated learning, "anytime, anywhere".

3.1 Medical doctor study program at NTNU: the context

The medical doctor program at NTNU, where this study was based, has a PBL based curriculum and is based on "spiral learning" which means that the same topics are repeated throughout the study with increasingly advanced level. The system mainly follows the organ system, having one organ (or another topic) in the focus at the time. The teaching is based on learning objectives which are detailed for each semester and there are no fixed set books (students have to find literature themselves based on e.g. recommendations from teachers). Furthermore, and different from many other programs, there are no separate subjects. This means that when new learning activities are integrated, it is not done on the level of a subject. Instead the new learning activities are integrated into an ongoing learning activity according to which organ system / topic and the complexity level the activity concerns.

Referring to the McMaster University PBL model as developed by Barrows and Neufeld, which the medical school at NTNU partly has followed, the case-based and explorative methodology consists of three key components; PBL, self-regulated learning, and small group tutorial learning [39]. The method thus acknowledges the complex interplay between the social and individual aspects of learning, as later more fully elaborated and expanded in Nonaka and Tacheuchi's SECI (Socialization, Externalization, Combination, Internalization) model for learning and knowledge development [40].

While many medical schools, including NTNU, have adapted the PBL approach for curriculum development and teaching and learning strategies, there is little evidence to suggest that medical schools and postgraduate institutions yet are sufficiently successful in helping students becoming effective self-regulated learners [41]. Acknowledging that the medical profession is "in a perpetual state of unrest" [42], developing the self-regulated learner becomes an important aim in itself. The process of becoming an effective self-regulated learner can be greatly supported by technology [9] and offer new possibilities [21].

However, just focusing on the self-regulated learner would obviously be a dead end. The community aspect of learning and knowledge development also needs to be included. Drawing upon seminal work of Lave and Wenger [43], Engeström [44], and Brown and Duguid [45], we suggest that the learning process and creation of knowledge

is also characterized by narratives, collaboration, and social constructivism. An increasing body of research from different disciplines has suggested that the ability to visualize represents a particular difficulty for many learners. Amongst these disciplines are economics, electrical engineering, the medical disciplines, and biology [46, 47]. The common problem is the ability to perceive unseen forces, patterns and the transition from 2D (i.e. on paper) to 3D (i.e. "real life").

3.2 Smart Virtual University Hospital and VirSam

Based on the context and challenges presented above, we have a vision of a smart approach to integrate educational activities delivered virtually with other educational activities that prepare the students for practice. We have therefore worked within the VUH framework introduced in [6, 5]. We identified initial requirements, facilities and technological solutions for the VUH as an arena for educational activities, for personnel, students and for the public [5]. Some examples of these are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Educational Activities in a Virtual University Hospital.

Activity	Content, facilities and technological solutions
Patient simulation	Operating room, patient ward, emergency area, volunteers, interactive hospital equipment, VR interface
Procedure training	Various hospital departments (operating room, patient ward, emergency area), information using videos and posters, interactive hospital equipment, VR interface
Lectures, e.g. anatomy	Classrooms, lecture halls, 3D interactive models of organs and the human body, posters, videos, VR interface
Role-plays (team training, patient communication)	Operating room, patient ward, emergency area, reception / outpatient clinics, interactive hospital equipment, VR interface

To start the process of filling the VUH with content, some minor projects relating to mono-professional communication and collaboration were started. The first solutions were used for training nurses undergoing specialization in surgery, anesthesia and emergency care [5, 6]. This gave us valuable experience in both setting up the VR environment and planning a more complex infrastructure and logistics.

We decided to continue with the topic of communication and collaboration, and the pressing problem that students from one profession seldom get the chance to practice with students from other professions in a hospital setting and that they seldom see the whole patient trajectory during clinical rotation. This was the motivation for starting the VirSam project. We received funding from the NTNU's Top Education program for a demonstration project which is reported on here.

The primary objective of the part of VirSam reported here was: to provide fourth year medical and third year nursing students with the possibility of interprofessional communication training in a smart virtual environment. The secondary objective was to investigate the students' experience with different technological solutions for experiencing VR by having them use both a desktop version and VR goggles.

4 Methods and technologies for supporting interprofessional communication and collaboration

4.1 Developing technical solutions

We chose to use SL as the platform for developing the VR part of our VUH. SL remains one of the most stable, developed, and populated virtual environments, though there are without doubt certain limitations. We developed our virtual hospital on the base of NTNU virtual campus in SL, to make it easier for the students to navigate there. Another advantage of using an established platform was the possibility to buy ready-made models in the SL market place.

The virtual environment and avatars for role-playing have been designed in accordance with the learning goals we have set and after consultations with specialists and examinations of the corresponding facilities in the real hospital of St. Olav. We have made the following rooms:

- *Patient room* is the room designed for inpatients stays which typically contains a hospital bed, some medical devices, patient terminal (TV etc.) as well as a chair, table and washing basin.
- *Waiting area* is an ordinary waiting area that one can find within all ordinary hospital clinics, consisting of a reception desk, sitting chairs for patients and relatives, and a table with magazines and papers.
- *Sluice* is a room that health personnel use for the delivery of patients on their way to a surgery.
- *Operating theatre/room* is a place where the surgeries are conducted. The room is usually equipped with operation lamps, different medical equipment, and an operating table for the patient.
- *Emergency room* is a room where emergency patients are received for initial examination. The room bears several similarities to the operating room.
- *Intensive care unit* is a room with several patient beds divided by curtains, with some monitoring equipment, where the patients can be placed for observation after surgery.
- *Meeting room* where the personnel meet to discuss among themselves or where they have, for example, discharge meetings with patients.

The goal was to create a virtual environment realistic enough to give a feeling of being in a real hospital. For example, the virtual operating room was modelled after the one at the Department of Neurosurgery at St. Olav's hospital (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Virtual operating room (left) and real one (right)

The same design approach was applied to the entire interior. Several equipment artifacts were designed after those found in the real hospital as some of the virtual equipment purchased earlier on the SL marketplace had limited functionality and could mostly be used as an illustration.

An important aspect of using VR is that the "players" are represented by avatars. Thus, to make the experience as real as possible, effort was put into making the avatars and their appearance realistic in term of clothing, gender and general look. The surgical nursing avatars had originally pale green and the ward nurses – white. Additional uniforms for anesthesia, emergency and intensive care nurses in other colors have also been made. In addition, we purchased 'skins' (faces for old people) and other avatar accessories (e.g., a hijab and jewelry, patient gowns) at the SL marketplace.

We made avatars to represent different patient types (children, with foreign background, with serious condition etc.) and relatives. The avatars for the relatives and patients had to match the description in the scenarios, for example, a 'mother', 'pregnant woman' or a 'person with immigrant background' (Fig. 2, left). The patient and the staff avatars had more details, and were dressed in accordance with the standards adopted at the Norwegian hospitals.

Fig. 2. A pregnant virtual patient played by a student (left) and a dynamic artificial/programmed virtual patient with student avatars around (right)

Apart from avatars operated by the students themselves, we have made virtual patients (programmable agents). The virtual patients could be static or dynamic. The static avatars are placed in the waiting room, hospital corridors and some beds in the intensive care unit to create an illusion of a busy hospital. The dynamic virtual patients are agents programmed to simulate certain diseases for diagnostic training and include a 'boy'

avatar with diabetes and an ‘old man’ avatar with heart attack. These avatars exhibited certain symptoms (by body language and facial color) and were accompanied with blackboards and pop-up menus where the user can get additional information, order tests and choose a course of treatment (Fig. 2, right). Depending on the chosen treatment course, the virtual patients exhibit pain, recover or die.

4.2 Instructions to the students

The prerequisite for taking part is that the students have access to a computer with SL installed. Therefore, we made instructions for how the students could download the SL browser to their laptops, set up a user account and do some experimentation with the VUH environment beforehand. We also gave the students a presentation of the VirSam project and a one-hour long SL tutorial on such topics as camera controls, avatar navigation, familiarizing with the environment, voice communication, and fetching a gurney for patient transport. Later we developed a short (12 minutes) video lecture giving the same information in condensed form.

The students log into the VUH with designated avatars. To do the role-play, the students are provided with the description of the clinical situation and role cards for the different roles they can choose, which are described in detail below. Improvisation during the role-play is important to mitigate the technical limitations and make the role-play realistic. The technical aspect concerned things that are different to realize in a virtual environment. For example, it is not possible (or difficult) to control facial expressions and perform medical examinations and manipulations. In such situations, the students need to explain what they are doing / planning to do orally. The students are not given instructions on what to say, thus they must improvise continuously during the role-play. They are instructed to use their previous experience to play their role, meaning that there has to be some adaption to the role.

Although it is possible to develop solutions as described above with virtual patients (Fig. 2, right) with information given on blackboards, this solution is not technically elegant, and it is difficult to incorporate the required flexibility. Therefore, the students were informed that in one of the clinical scenarios there would be a game master who had information about the tests and examinations that ought to be done and the results to these. To activate or get access to this information, the students was instructed to clearly say which tests and examinations they would have done. The game master was instructed to only give information on what the students asked for, but not give out information not asked for.

4.3 Learning outcomes and clinical scenarios

Since the purpose of the project was to develop and evaluate smart solutions to give students competencies in interprofessional communication and collaboration, all the work was guided by the following learning outcomes which the students were expected to reach:

1. Practical skills in conducting role-playing in a virtual world

2. Understanding the importance of
   (a) clear and structured communication that is comprehensible to all parties
   (b) clarifying the patient's wishes to set common goals for treatment and follow-up
   (c) making sure that all roles and responsibilities in a team are properly clarified and distributed
   (d) asking questions and listening to each other in order to obtain joint understanding of the situation
   (e) making sure that everybody suggests solutions and contributes to the decision-making process
3. Knowledge of collaborative team processes along the patient trajectories at the respective departments and agencies involved

These outcomes have been mostly inspired by the TeamSTEPPS framework [37, 38] and consultations with subject experts. To achieve these learning outcomes, effort was put into developing clinical scenarios mirroring the real life work at hospital departments. Two clinical cases, geriatric and gynecological, were developed by specialists from the corresponding clinics at St. Olav's hospital, based on a template provided by the authors. The template was inspired by literature review in the previous section and focused on the communicative and collaborative aspects of interprofessional team work during different types of patient trajectories.

Furthermore, we wanted to explore the usability of VR in presenting a condensed version of different types of patient trajectories. It was therefore chosen to make the geriatric scenario an example of a long-term trajectory lasting several weeks. The gynecological scenario, which was a sub-acute condition, represent a short-term trajectory lasting only a few hours.

In the following the details of the two clinical scenarios are presented as it was presented to the students. In each scenario, there are several roles, including the role of the patient. A medical student is supposed to play the roles of a medical doctor or a surgeon and likewise a nurse student is supposed to play the roles of a nurse. Otherwise, there are no instructions as to who shall play the other roles, i.e. this is left to the students to organize.

In addition to the general description of the scenarios, the students are given one role card describing the role they shall play. For the role as nurses and medical doctors/surgeons, very little information is provided as the students shall use their own experience during the role-play. The reason is to challenge the students in a safe environment to try out their skills and knowledge. For the roles as patients or relatives, some more information is given regarding the behavior. For each role, there is some information on the corresponding role card which is not disclosed in the description of the scenarios, for example, what the players should get out of a situation.

4.4 Geriatric scenario: long-term patient trajectory

The geriatric case represents long-term patient trajectory from admission to home care.

Practical information. The role-play may have from 3 to 6 participants. With 6 participants the roles are patient, doctor at the geriatric department, nurse at the ward, the

relative of the patient, a municipal employee and a game master. With 5 participants, the role of the relative is omitted. With 4 participants, the municipality employee is also the game master. With 3 participants, there is no municipal employee and the patient is also the game master. The game master acts as an information provider who has information about test results etc., which may be disclosed to other participants upon request.

Clinical situation. The scenario takes place at the inpatient ward at the geriatric department. An elderly patient, 84 years, is hospitalized after a fall at home. The patient spent several hours at the hospital A&E (accident & emergency) department prior to transfer to the geriatric ward in the evening. According to information from the A&E department, the patient was found on the floor of his home by a home care employee. It is unclear how long the patient has been lying on the floor, probably for several hours. The patient is described as confused and anxious and cannot account for the events himself. The patient has severe pain in the back and is rather thin. It is reported that according to the home care services, the patient has recently complained about dizziness and feeling shaky. He usually uses a walker. The spouse of the patient died one year ago. He has two children and four grandchildren. He lives in an apartment on the 3rd floor with a lift. The patient is normally fairly self-reliant, but uses home care services for certain tasks such as taking on/off compression stockings, and gets his medicines in a so called multi-dose system where the medicines are pre-packed. He also has a remote control safety alarm.

Past diseases: hypertension, diabetes, osteoporosis with previous compression fracture. Drugs: Metoprolol depot, Albyl E, Furix, Metformin, Calcichew-D, Alendronate, Sobril, Imovane, Codeine when needed.

Role-play. There are 4 scenes to be played. Those who are not participants in the specific scenes are residing in the background / the waiting room in the virtual hospital and observe.

Scene 1: *The first evaluation.* The scene takes place inside the patient room at the geriatric department shortly after the arrival of the patient at the ward. Participants are the patient, doctor, nurse, relative of the patient (Figure 3). The main purpose of this scene is to make an initial assessment of the patient's situation. Doctor and nurse need to clarify who does what and inform the patient and the relative. The scene is concluded with the doctor and the nurse summing up what needs to be done to clarify the situation (e.g., which tests to be done) and then they leave the patient room and proceed to the meeting room.

Scene 2: *Interdisciplinary planning meeting*. The scene takes place inside the meeting room. Such meetings normally are held on the first business day after the patient admission to the geriatric ward at a fixed meeting time. Participants are the doctor and the nurse (who are often joined by a physiotherapist and an occupational therapist). The purpose is to present the patient (hospitalization cause, findings so far), clarify the treatment objectives and actions and start planning the discharge of the patient. A designated game master provides information about the tests results and findings from examinations that were agreed upon in scene 1 upon request. The scene is concluded by the doctor and nurse summing up a course of action and the doctor leaving the room.

Fig. 3. The opening scene in the geriatric case, the nurse and doctor talking to the patient and his daughter in the patient room

Scene 3: *Phone call to the municipality*. The scene takes place inside the meeting room. The time is some days before the planned discharge date (i.e. several weeks after scene 2). Participants are the nurse and a municipal employee. The goal of the call is to start the process of discharging the patient and clarify how the municipality might contribute with personalized home care or a temporary admission of the patient to a rehabilitation or nursing home. The scene is concluded by ending the telephone call.

Scene 4: *Discharge Meeting*. The scene takes place inside the meeting room some days after scene 3. Participants are the patient, the doctor and nurse at the ward, the relative(s) of the patient and a municipal employee. The purpose is to plan discharge and further action, such as home care. Towards the end of the meeting, the participants sum up the further action plan for the patient.

4.5 Gynecological case: short-term patient trajectory

The gynecological case represents a short-term sub-acute patient trajectory from admission to surgery preparations.

Practical information. The role-play takes place at the gynecological department and may have from 3 to 6 participants. Depending on the number of available players, the participants are patient, doctor of the gynecological department (also surgeon), nurse on the ward (core participants with 3 players), + surgical nurse (if 4 players), + husband (if 5 players) + anesthetic nurse (if 6 players). The ward nurse and surgical or anesthetic nurse can be played by the same person.

Clinical situation. The patient is a 30-years old woman with no previous children (Para 0), who arrives at the gynecological department with suspected ectopic pregnancy (pregnancy outside the uterus) with her husband, also in his 30s. She became acutely ill at work, came to the emergency room with severe pain in her lower abdomen. At the

emergency room a pregnancy test was taken that unexpectedly turned out to be positive. Therefore, a tentative diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy has been set. The findings on the examination include tenderness by bimanual palpation of the uterus, corresponding to the right adnexa (surroundings of the uterus). Vaginal ultrasound shows no intrauterine pregnancy. Some free fluid in the (ovarian) fossa and a donut-like structure corresponding to the right adnexa of the uterus can be seen on the ultrasound, confirming ectopic pregnancy that must be removed on the same day with laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. Prior to the operation the surgical team should go through 'safe surgery' checklist with the presentation of the team and the planned procedure.

Symptoms: severe pain, weak ongoing vaginal bleeding, paleness. Findings: Lab: u-hCG +, quantitative HCG> 1000 Hb 8 (low).

Role-play. There are three scenes to be played. Those who are not participants in the specific scenes are residing in the background / the waiting room / outside the operating room in the virtual hospital and observe.

Scene 1: *Assessment and informing the patient*. The scene takes place in the patient room and the participants are the patient, husband, ward nurse and doctor. The purpose of this scene is to make an assessment of the patient and explain the situation to her. The patient has just arrived to the gynecological department from the emergency unit and is lying in bed, the husband is sitting in a chair. The nurse enters the room (or is in the room from the beginning), 'takes' some tests and asks questions, explaining that the doctor is coming soon. The doctor enters the patient's room and the nurse presents her findings. Afterwards the doctor ‘performs ultrasound' and explains the diagnosis, the need for surgery that will follow immediately, and how this will be done. Shortly after the doctor leaves the room and the scene ends with the nurse also leaving the room to fetch a gurney to transport the patient to the operating room.

Scene 2: *Transfer and information exchange*. This scene takes place between the patient room and the sluice and the participants are the patient, husband, ward nurse, surgical nurse (and possibly anesthetic nurse). The goal of the scene is to transfer the patient to the sluice and provide information to the surgical staff. The nurse fetches the gurney, the patient is placed on it and is transported from the patient room in the ward to the sluice. The husband of the patient follows. In the sluice, they are met by the surgical nurse (and possibly the anesthetic nurse). In the sluice the nurses exchange information relevant for the upcoming surgery. The husband is not allowed to follow the patient further. The scene ends with the surgical and anesthetic nurse rolling the gurney into the operating room.

Scene 3: *Preparing for surgery*. The scene takes place in the operating room and participants are the patient, doctor/surgeon, surgical nurse, anesthetic nurse (Fig. 4). The patient is ‘placed’ on the operating table. The doctor and the surgical / anesthetic nurse explain to the patient everything they do during the preparation of the patient for surgery. The patient is 'put under general anesthesia' (e.g., being asked to count back from 10). The scene is concluded with the team undergoing 'safe operation' checklist.

Fig. 4. The last scene in the gynecological case with the nurse and doctor making the preparations for sedating the female patient

4.6 Methods for testing the solutions

All the cases and scenarios were tested during the same day and required data was acquired during the test run. The role-play was performed in two phases, with desktop (phase 1) and VR goggles (phase 2). The students were divided into groups and played both clinical scenarios.

In Phase 1 (desktop version), the students in one group were located in separate rooms (six students in six different rooms) with their own PC where SL was installed and they communicated with the others in the group through their avatars and used the voice chat function in SL. The remaining students stayed in the classroom and followed the play on their laptops through their own avatars or on a big screen connected to a laptop. After the first group had finished their first role-play, the players and observers switched places.

In Phase 2, the students in one group were placed in a lab where one PC for each student had been set up with SL and VR goggles (Oculus Rift development kit versions DK1 and DK2) (Fig. 5). In this setting, the students did not use SL's voice chat for communication due to the proximity to each other and that using the voice chat would have created feedback. Instead, they used their own voice to communicate. A microphone was placed on the table to transmit the sound to the classroom where the non-playing group was seated to observe the role-play.

Fig. 5. Students participating in role-play using VR goggles. They sit at different angles depending on where they are in the virtual environment

In the beginning of the role-playing session, the students were assigned one of the roles from each scenario and received role cards describing their characters and a general case description including the situation description, preliminary diagnosis, clinical data and the different scenes in the scenarios (as presented above). The students were told to follow the scenes but at the same time to improvise their role as best as they could by applying their knowledge and earlier experience.

After each role-play, each student group spent 5–10 minutes reflecting on their experience within the group. After finishing the role-plays, all the students gathered in the classroom and participated in a joint discussion/group interview session.

The data in this study was collected from several sources. The role-play in SL was recorded as a screen capture (with sound). The role-play was observed both in SL and in 'real life' by the authors. The group interviews were recorded with video camera and sound capture that was later transcribed verbatim. In addition, 16 of the students filled a questionnaire. It included both multiple-choice questions using a Likert scale, 'check-box' questions and open questions.

5 Outcomes

A total of 18 students, including 14 third-year nursing students and 4 fourth-year medical students, participated. The participants were divided into three groups, each group containing one or two medical students. Of these, 16 responded to the questionnaire.

Among these, there were three males and ten female nursing students and two males and one female medical student.

Ten students responded that they had some or little experience with virtual environments and 3D games. Only three students did not have any prior experience. When asked to give examples, the majority (10 of the respondents) mentioned Sims, otherwise games like World of Warcraft, Minecraft, Destiny, Runescape, Skyrim and other Oculus demos were mentioned. When asked to describe their computer expertise, the students almost equally divided between "somewhat good", "good", and "very good".

None of the students had experienced working with students from other health professions before.

5.1 Learning outcomes

There were three questions asking to what extend the students have achieved the learning outcomes (see details in section 4.3). The options given to the students were achieved/partly achieved/not achieved.

All the participants answered that they achieved the learning outcome we thought where the most important during our work: understanding the importance of a clear and structured communication that is comprehensible to all parties. Some of the other learning outcomes, especially (b) and less so (c) and (d) were not fully achieved (Figure 6). The majority of the students (14) reported that they achieved the learning outcome of understanding the importance of involving all parts in the decision-making process. As appears from the interviews, the roleplaying session allowed looking back and reflecting on own and other's roles and contributions, as one of the students puts it: "…Afterwards all feelings are like that: "Oh my God we did not think of the blood pressure and this and that …I should have had a greater role".

Most of the students (13) answered that they achieved practical skills in conducting role-playing in a virtual world, while only three students replied partly achieved.

More than half of the participants (9) answered that they achieved the knowledge of collaborative/team processes during the treatment and monitoring of patients at the respective departments. Seven students answered "partly achieved".



Fig. 6. Achieving learning outcomes

5.2 Experiences with the Second Life technology

This topic contained five questions, including four five-point Likert scale and one open question. Most of the students (14) agreed or strongly agreed that familiarizing with SL went quickly enough, and only two were neutral. Similarly, the majority (9) disagreed that it was difficult to move the avatar, and only four agreed or strongly agreed.

The next two questions received more equally distributed answers. It was easy or very easy for ten participants to observe others in the virtual environment, but five were neutral. Communicating with others was easy or very easy for nine, but difficult for five other participants.

When asked to comment on their positive and negative experiences in an open question, 11 participants provided input, focusing on various topics (the number of participants mentioning them is given in brackets). The positive topics included:

- Rich learning experience (2)
- Good practice for communication/dialog (1)
- Fun experience (1)
- Easy to use technology (1)
- 3D environment resembles real hospital spaces (1)
- Experience causes reflection and learning (1)

The negative topics included the following:

- Difficulties with sound, including identifying who is speaking (4)
- Difficulties with navigation in 3D and view camera (2)
- Annoyance because of delays caused by technical problems (2)
- General difficulty with technology without support personnel (1)
- Difficulties in getting subject information in "game" settings (1)
- Difficult to quickly get into a role (1)

5.3 Experiences with using VR goggles

All the participants did the role-play using VR goggles. Two Likert-scale questions were asked to measure participants' perception of presence and physical discomfort when using these (Fig. 7). It was clear that using VR goggles lead to increased immersion but at the same time also physical discomfort most commonly nausea.



Fig. 7. Experience with Oculus Rift: presence versus discomfort

In the 14 answers to an open question asked to provide comments about using VR goggles, we have identified the following positive and negative topics (the number of participants mentioning them is given in brackets). Positive feedbacks included:

- Experience is more realistic / easier to immerse in the scenario than on desktop (6)
- Smooth experience overall or in short periods (2)
- Fun experience (1)

During the interview, the students were asked to compare the VR experience with 'traditional' face-to-face PBL (problem-based learning) sessions. One of the students mentioned that during such sessions "you will need to create those images in your head yourself…It requires more acting skills in a way", while with the VR glasses "you get immersed in (the situation)". Another student noted: "when we had the glasses on, we had really a lot patient contact". The general feedback during the interview was also that it is positive that we (the project team) are early with adopting the VR technology. Negative feedbacks from the questionnaire included the following:

- Nauseous and dizzy feelings generally, over time, when looking around and when moving in VR (10)
- Technology is unstable and/or crushes (3)
- VR glasses are heavy (1)

- Experience is tiring for eyes (1)
- Difficulty controlling the avatar (1)
- Experience is confusing (1)

Apart from these issues, it was noted during the interviews that the novelty of the technology acted as a disruptive factor: "I think we have been more 'persons' when we used 2D … Because when we used 3D, there was too much focus on other things".

5.4 Preferred technical setup

In order to better understand how different role-playing modes functioned, we asked two questions suggesting alternatives and two open questions.

The participants gave the following answers when asked which visual interface they prefer for training interprofessional collaboration (number of answers in brackets):

- Oculus Rift / VR goggles (2)
- PC / Desktop VR (4)
- depending on the collaborative situation (10)

Regarding communication, the students were asked about which solution they preferred (number of answers in brackets):

- to be in the same room with other participants (8)
- to sit separately (4)
- depending on the collaborative situation (4)

When asked about how they preferred training interprofessional interaction the students answered the following (number of answers in brackets):

- in a student group with teacher (7)
- in a student group without a teacher (1)
- depending on the collaborative situation (8)

The open questions showed that the students' answers were mainly influenced by technical aspects. Most comment on the discomfort associated with the VR goggles, but that sitting in the same room (which they did when using the VR goggles) was better due to it being easier to hear what the others said. On the other hand, some students commented that sitting apart in separate rooms reduced the amount of small talk. For example, one of the students mentioned during the interviews: "When you sit at separate rooms you know that somebody listens. So you will have to read and think". Another student, preferring sitting in the same room with VR googles on, said, "I got nauseous, but felt to be more present … there was more 'flow'".

When asked about suggestions for technical improvements, especially regarding interprofessional interaction, the students' answers mainly concerned the lack of technical possibilities. Among the things mentioned were (a) making it easier to perform detailed maneuvers such as touching the patient or doing clinical examinations or tests,

(b) having a map showing the lay out, (c) automatically getting information like lab results, and (d) more interactive equipment.

5.5 Role-play in the virtual world

A set of seven Likert-scale questions was asked to collect feedback on the role-playing experience. The first three questions were related to the appearance of different key elements (Fig. 8). Most of the students agreed that the inpatient ward gave a good picture of the real one. This was the part of the virtual hospital where most effort had been put into to make it as equal as possible to real life in terms of interior, colors, texture etc. The participants were more neutral about the appearance of the operating room. The clothing of all avatars was mostly found appropriate.



Fig. 8. Role-play in the virtual world: appearance

Two questions considered the realism of the scenarios. All 16 participants agreed or strongly agreed that the geriatric scenario was realistic, while only five gave such answers to the gynecologic scenario. The rest of the groups were neutral or unsure about the gynecologic scenario. As one of the students stated during the interviews, "Everything I learnt, came out. So for me it was very real". At the same time, the fact that the roleplay took place in a virtual environment allowed some experimentation during the patient communication as noted by one of the participants: "In a real situation it would be hard to say (to a patient): "You have fallen before, it is important to prevent falls", but since it was not real, it was possible to say it anyway … You can train on saying things…if you have a patient who is in denial".

The last two questions evaluated how engaged the students felt in the role-play and how fun they thought it was. All the participants agreed or strongly agreed that they felt engaged, while all except one also answered that it was fun to play. One of the factors contributing to the engagement might be the freedom and flexibility the roleplay provided, as mentioned during the interview: "I think it was good that we had the time to talk. Because it differs how you are as a nurse and a doctor. Some doctors communicate

very little…some nurses chat. So I think it is good that we had some flexibility here. Because it gives more space for how you want it to be".

An additional open question was asked to collect suggestions for other similar simulators or scenarios. The suggestions included (a) to generally play more scenarios, (b) scenarios that are normally not trained in physical reality, (c) scenarios with difficult patients, and especially (d) emergency scenarios. Also, during the interviews, some improvement suggestions were given for roleplaying the existing scenarios. For example, it was noted that sometimes knowing one's role was somewhat difficult and it "requires a bit more exercise and warm-up to get into the role". The proposed solutions included "more information to each scene", watching a film about activities at the ward before roleplaying and spending more time on practicing.

5.6 Virtual simulation and learning

In a set of eleven Likert-scale questions the participants evaluated how much they learnt playing the scenarios in the simulator.

The majority of the participants agreed or strongly agreed to the general statements related to suitability of Virtual Hospital as a learning platform, with the largest proportion stating that it was relevant for their own study (Fig. 9).



Fig. 9. Virtual simulation and learning: general questions

The participants showed a similar overall experience when answering more specific subject matter questions. The majority agreed or strongly agreed that the simulation gave them a better understanding of workflow and work distribution in various clinical situations and gave a realistic picture of challenges in interprofessional teams (Fig. 9). This is also supported by interview feedbacks where one of the students remarked: "I think it is good to be able to see the whole picture… having done it virtually first and going into practice at the geriatric ward no so long afterwards.…These collaboration meetings…you understand what it is about and you can actually see that this is how this is actually done".

The majority of the students answered that they got a better understanding of the roles of the other professions (Fig. 10). In particular, as appears from the interviews, some nursing students felt that the virtual learning environment allowed them to define their roles in relation to the ones of the medical students in a 'safer' way: "People can hide a bit behind their roles and at the same time come with their opinions, be a bit tougher in a way…when you meet medical students, which might feel a bit stressing for us, as they are in a way are our superiors in a hospital…here we meet them a more neutral arena. I think this is a very nice learning base, one can express yourself but also joke a bit". At the same time, most of the participants believed that the virtual simulation could give the students better communication skills with colleagues and patients, as well as better understanding of group processes in interprofessional teams and clinical situations (Fig 10).



Fig. 10. Virtual simulation and learning: increased understanding

Most of the students agreed that virtual simulation should be used in their education. In the interviews, the students compared the immersive role-play approach suggested by VirSam and Skills lab with physical mannequins they are already using regularly. One of the students said: "I think this (VirSam) is much more educational/informative than the cases we get at the Skills lab. Where you got a patient that has this and that and you are supposed to roleplay. I think this quickly becomes very unserious, but here I think we managed to keep it pretty well". It was also suggested that VirSam should "target things we cannot do in the Skills lab".

Two final questions asked if the simulator can be used in practice. The answers indicate that the majority of the participants agreed and strongly agreed that (a) the simulator can be used as preparation for practice to become familiar with working tasks in advance and (b) it can prepare students for real clinical situations. As one of the students put it during the interview: "It (simulation) kind of 'defuses' the whole thing comparing to going into practice….You could actually train on this situation…Then going into practice is not going to be as scary as you thought". It was also mentioned that such a preparation would not only be useful for somebody "who has not been so much at the

hospital yet", but also "if one has been in this situation before" as roleplaying will allow going through and reflecting on the experience again.

6 Discussion

The most prominent finding, given our aim of providing interprofessional communication training in a virtual environment, was that all the students agreed that they had learned about the value of clear communication. Communication is one of the core team competencies in medical team training, as highlighted in the TeamSTEPPS framework [37]. It is described as a competency in how to effectively exchange information among team members [38]. As appears from the interviews, the students have reflected on several aspects of communication during the roleplaying sessions, such as own role, communication with the patient [30] and representatives from other health professions. Our work thus supports the notion that VR can be used successfully to make students aware of the need for clear communication but also practice it in a safe environment. However, this study cannot say anything about whether or not the students actually improved their communication skills as a result of the role-play in the VUH. At the same time, most of the students believed that the simulations provided by VUH could improve communication skills and understanding of various interprofessional collaborative processes in clinical settings. Moreover, the majority of students agreed that training provided by the VUH could be successfully integrated in their education and supplement and support existing educational activities. A detailed analysis of the support provided by different components of the VUH is presented below.

6.1 Analysis of the Smart Virtual University Hospital components

As a smart VUH is a part of a smart university and is based on the same major components (such as software systems, technology, hardware, smart curriculum and pedagogy [3]), we will in the following look at how these components support learning in the context of virtual St. Olav's hospital:

***Software systems and technology*.** The students appreciated the realism of the VUH facilities. Even if there are clear limitations when developing a smart VUH using commercial virtual worlds like SL, the students' evaluation shows that this did not hamper the possibility to make virtual representations that fulfill the expectation of mirroring real life [7, 8]. Due to different standards in the appearance in the virtual hospital (e.g., the inpatient ward which the student found most realistic had been given most resources to be developed) it can be suggested that although the overall impression is good, it is possible to improve it further. In particular, the major direction for improvement would be more natural interaction with the environment, such as performing examinations on the patient and operating the equipment. Another central aspect is the quality of voice / sound communication in SL and other virtual worlds. High quality sound is paramount for team and communication training. This illustrates the need for exploring additional software systems and platforms as well as more optimal ways of integration with hardware components. Also, creating adaptive solutions for presenting students with better

and personalized instructions, educational content, scheduling and feedback would alleviate some of the problems we have experienced during the roleplay.

Hardware. The most limiting hardware aspect turned out to be the VR goggles [13]. Despite the fact that the majority of the students felt that the use of VR goggles made the experience more realistic and allowed them to be more immersed in the role-play, almost all of them reported 'cyber sickness'. This is a practical problem that must be solved to take full advantage of the higher level of immersion. The 'cyber sickness' is likely to be remedied by using the new consumer versions of the VR googles instead of the development kits we used, which includes better tracking solutions. In addition, more powerful, stationary computers as opposed to laptops we used might alleviate this. Other types of VR equipment, such as HTC Vive and Oculus Touch, should be tried out to investigate to what extent they provide adequate support for capturing hand movements essential for medical manipulations. Different hardware solutions for quality of sound/voice, but also for general communication and collaborative work support in VR need to be explored. There should also be solutions for adjusting hardware interface and different devices/combinations of devices based on personal preferences, working mode (from home/in a lab), and the educational content (such as communication training vs. procedural training).

Smart curricula and pedagogy. The clinical scenarios presented to the students were chosen based on the possibility to explore different patient trajectories. It turned out that it was feasible to condense both longer (weeks) and shorter (hours) patient trajectories. However, there is a clear potential for improvement in how the whole clinical scenario is presented. The two clinical scenarios and the role cards were presented somewhat differently due to discussions on what was likely to function best. The students clearly preferred the geriatric case, and this will therefore be used as the main model for presenting the clinical scenarios.

It was observed that some students did not remember the scenarios themselves and needed help from the other players about what they should do. Even if this mirrors situations that might happen in real life (i.e. that one has to instruct some team members about what to do), part of this confusion was likely to be due to clarity in preparation and presentation. This is also probably connected to the fact that almost half of the students preferred to perform the training sessions with a teacher from whom they might receive instructions and feedbacks. If the students had been even more systematically exposed to similar training situations, which is what they will encounter in practice, it is likely that this type of problem would not have arisen.

To extend the learning portfolio of VUH, additional cases need to be developed and integrated. These cases will cover different fields of medicine and clinical situations such as procedural and communication training or both. Therefore, there is a need for a consistent model and template for developing clinical scenarios for specific learning goals. Apart from being medically correct and relevant for the students' teaching plan, the cases should contain some elements of flexibility and adaptability, making it possible for them to be adjusted to the needs of the concrete student groups (such as group composition and number of students representing different professions). Furthermore, there is a need for flexible and personalized solutions in terms of delivering instructions, guidance and feedbacks before, during and after the training sessions. For example, this

includes student profiles, recommendation systems and ways of integrating instructions in different interface modes such as inside VR goggles. Providing tailored and relevant information during all stages of learning process in VUH requires therefore full integration of smart curricula, software and hardware elements.

6.2 Further development of the Smart Virtual University Hospital

With respect to the further development of the Smart VUH, we think that the most important lesson has been that it must contain solutions for adaptability and personalization. We build further on the model outlined in Table 1 and envision that a future smart VUH should be thought as a constellation of software and hardware elements, physical spaces and learners/teachers. It also needs to possess a set of features and characteristics at different smartness levels [3] as outlined in Table 2.

Table 2. Important features of a smart virtual university hospital as an arena for smart education and training

Feature	Description	Smartness level
Scenario building	Methodologies for streamlining the process of creating scenarios from medical cases for different learning goals. Intelligent/adaptable or random scenarios to allow for unexpected changes so that playing the same scenario two times will not be the same. Better possibilities and functionalities for user-driven adaptation of scenarios based on their own teams, communication situations, workflow, procedure, skills, etc. including single and multiplayer modes.	Adaptation, inferring, self-learning
Personalized learning path	Recommendations of cases and roles to play by using agent technologies, based on the student's study program, preferences and earlier results. Providing individualized information during roleplays and other educational sessions.	Adaptation, inferring
Individual feedback	Evaluation facilities in the virtual hospital (e.g., online quizzes). An individual profile/webpage/app with overview of participation, performance, feedback given and received, suggestions for additional training. Personalized feedback during and after simulations.	Adaptation, inferring

Feature	Description	Smartness level
Personalized interface and interaction	Facilitating using different interfaces, especially VR interfaces, depending on user needs: desktop or VR goggles (ranging from Oculus Rift or HTC Vive to low-cost alternatives on mobile phones). Increasing the realism of interaction with the environment, other characters and equipment, e.g. through motion capturing devices and virtual patients/agents. Facilities for communication and collaborative work, workspace awareness, also in VR	Adaptation, sensing
Virtual agents	Automatic, autonomous and 'intelligent' avatars/virtual patients as well as recommendations systems. Smart virtual medical equipment, e.g. ultrasound images of a virtual patient that are connected to motion capturing devices (such as changing display of images due to change in player's movement).	Adaptation, self-learning
Modeling of virtual and physical environment	Developing virtual hospital environment based on BIM (Building Information Modeling) models of the real hospital when equality to a physical environment is important, e.g. pre-practice training. Real-time interaction between BIM-database and the virtual environment, i.e. using view-point and direction to extract what you need and not the whole BIM hospital model.	Sensing, self-organization
Mobile, ubiquitous and augmented	Linking materials and activities that are accessible through online and virtual system into the physical world, using location services, mobile and wearable devices, augmented reality, and internet of things.	Sensing, inferring
General hardware support	Easy plug-and-play support for new hardware devices. Dedicated areas (VUH labs) where hardware is installed and serviced to allow use of more sophisticated hardware equipment	Adaptation
Integration: portal, scheduling and runtime system	Integration of software, hardware and curriculum into a holistic and coherent set of facilities. Web and app-based portal containing all students need to know in order to participate in training, with individual student profiles, fully student/participant driven including scheduling of sessions. Adaptable and scalable run-time system, adjusting to different number of concurrent users, i.e. by allowing several role-plays in the constellation of facilities including virtual environments and physical spaces/labs. Facilitating self-organization of student groups and personalized flexible scheduling of learning sessions.	Adaptation, anticipation

Feature	Description	Smartness level
Science system	Automatically extracting information regarding what is working and what is not based on the scenarios played and the feedback given afterwards. Covers both technical features such as type of equipment used and subject-oriented issues such as type of scenarios and type of participants.	Self-learning, self-organization, anticipation

7 Conclusions and Future Work

Our work shows that a VUH has the possibility to give students more time on task and prepare them for direct patient contact and clinical rotation.

A smart VUH opens several possibilities for health care and medical education. For example, students reported that they found the virtual learning environment to mirror real life, and all agreed that they had learned about the necessity of clear and to the point interprofessional communication. Using realistic cases with different team dynamics made it possible to demonstrate the usefulness of a VUH in practicing team communication along different stages of the whole patient trajectory. In addition, giving students experience in team communication through different patient trajectories was facilitated by spending relatively little additional resources for the set up of the virtual hospital.

One of the assets of role-play in a VUH is the possibility for repetitions and the flexibility allowing the learning environment to be used at any time, making it independent of scheduled teaching. The role-playing facilities in the VUH also provide the students with a safe, and accessible environment for practicing some of their tasks. In addition, role-play with VR allows a greater degree of immersion into the situation, something that is especially beneficial in a problem-based learning situation. Based on our experiences and literature review, we can outline three major directions for future work: developing *smart educational content, technology and an overall VUH framework*.

VUH smart educational content. The major challenge of using smart university hospitals for education is in making realistic cases that mirror what the student will meet in practice. The cases also need to focus on various aspects of medical team training, something that is not straightforward given the existing ambiguity in the literature on medical team training [32, 31]. Therefore, there is a need for guidelines and methods for scenario development, including templates for new cases to be efficiently developed by health care professionals, templates for role descriptions etc. There is also a need for solutions for personalization and adaptation of cases and other educational materials for different students and learning contexts.

VUH smart technology. The immediate technological challenges for facilitating communication and collaboration team training in a VUH include physical discomfort caused by VR goggles and the need for better interactivity. The latter particularly ap-

plies to capturing hands movement and supporting manipulations on patients and equipment in the virtual learning environment. Apart from supporting training on procedures, such manipulations will create awareness of the learners' activities in a joint workspace. Supporting such awareness and collaborative activities in general in a fully immersive virtual environment constitutes yet another challenge. Such solutions are already emerging, and as the VR technology develops further, we believe that these challenges will be fully addressed in the near future by introducing new hardware devices and software platforms. In addition to the VR technologies, other technologies should be taken into account, such as mobile and ubiquitous technologies and augmented reality, which will also open another dimension of smartness [4]. Additional software elements should include cross-platform solutions linking web, social media, mobile devices and new software VR platforms as outlined in Table 2.

VUH framework. A fully functioning smart VUH needs to support a wide range of educational activities as outlined in Table 1. This requires integration of smart educational content, curricula, organizational aspects with smart hardware and software solutions into a holistic and coherent system. An important direction for future work will therefore be developing further the framework, principles and methods for such integration, in line with a similar framework for smart universities.

We are currently working on further development of Virtual St. Olav's hospital. We have received an additional grant from the Norwegian Research Council, as a part of FINNUT program (Research and Innovation in Educational Sector). Apart from creating more realistic hospital models, we are exploring new VR interfaces with better support for manual operations (with HTC Vive) and developing a portal (http://virsam.no). Future evaluations will include larger groups of students to evaluate suitability of the VUH for large-scale student training. We plan to extend the portfolio of cases to include additional clinics at St. Olav's hospital, such as oncology, palliative and especially emergency/intensive care. We will continue developing the Smart VUH of St. Olav, both the conceptual framework as well as the technological realization. We plan additional features such as more interactivity with medical equipment and patients (including virtual patients), additional locations (based on the existing plans and models for the physical hospital) and facilities within the virtual hospital to accommodate new cases, anatomical visualization's and various VR interfaces (including mobile ones).

Acknowledgements. The VirSam project has been funded by NTNU Toppundervisning. We would like to thank the students participating in our evaluation, as well as Marion Skallerud Nordberg, Inga Marie Røyset and Cecilie Therese Hagemann who contributed to the case development.

References

1. IBM: Smarter Education: Building the foundations of economic success, 1–4. (2012), ftp://ftp.software.ibm.com/la/documents/gb/mx/Smarter_Education.pdf
2. Tikhomirov V., Dneprovskaya N. (2015) Development of strategy for smart University. Paper presented at the Open Education Global international conference, Banff, Canada,

3. Uskov V.L., Bakken J.P., Pandey A., Singh U., Yalamanchili M., Penumatsa A.: Smart University Taxonomy: Features, Components, Systems. In: Uskov LV, Howlett JR, Jain CL (eds.) Smart Education and e-Learning 2016. pp. 3–14. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2016)
4. Hwang G.-J.: Definition, framework and research issues of smart learning environments - a context-aware ubiquitous learning perspective. Smart Learning Environments 1 (1), 1–14 (2014)
5. Kleven N.F., Prasolova-Førland E., Fominykh M., Hansen A., Rasmussen G., Sagberg L.M., Lindseth F.: Training nurses and educating the public using a virtual operating room with Oculus Rift. In: Thwaites H, Kenderdine S, Shaw J (eds.) International Conference on Virtual Systems & Multimedia (VSMM), Hong Kong, December 9–12, pp. 206–213. IEEE, New York, NY (2014)
6. Kleven N.F., Prasolova-Førland E., Fominykh M., Hansen A., Rasmussen G., Sagberg L.M., Lindseth F.: Virtual Operating Room for Collaborative Training of Surgical Nurses. In: Baloian N, Burstein F, Ogata H, Santoro F, Zurita G (eds.) 20th International Conference on Collaboration and Technology (CRIWG), Santiago, Chile, September 7–10, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 223–238. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2014)
7. Warburton S.: Second Life in higher education: Assessing the potential for and the barriers to deploying virtual worlds in learning and teaching. British Journal of Educational Technology 40 (3), 414–426 (2009)
8. Mckerlich R., Riis M., Anderson T., Eastman B.: Student Perceptions of Teaching Presence, Social Presence, and Cognitive Presence in a Virtual World. Journal of Online Learning and Teaching 7 (3), 324–336 (2011)
9. Spooner N.A., Cregan P.C., Khadra M.: Second Life for Medical Education. eLearn Magazine. ACM, New York (2011)
10. de Freitas S., Rebolledo-Mendez G., Liarokapis F., Magoulas G., Poulovassilis A.: Developing an Evaluation Methodology for Immersive Learning Experiences in a Virtual World. In: 1st International Conference in Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications (VS-GAMES), Coventry, UK, March 23–24, pp. 43–50. IEEE, New York (2009)
11. Cakmakci O., Rolland J.: Head-worn displays: a review. Display Technology, Journal of 2 (3), 199–216 (2006)
12. van Krevelen D.W.F., Poelman R.: A Survey of Augmented Reality Technologies, Applications and Limitations. The International Journal of Virtual Reality 9 (2), 1–20 (2010)
13. Antonov M., Mitchell N., Reisse A., Cooper L., LaValle S., Katsev M.: Oculus Software Development Kit. Oculus VR, Inc., CA, USA (2013)
14. Rubia E., Diaz-Estrella A.: ORION: One More Step in Virtual Reality Interaction. In: Penichet VMR, Peñalver A, Gallud JA (eds.) New Trends in Interaction, Virtual Reality and Modeling. Human–Computer Interaction Series, pp. 45–61. Springer London (2013)
15. Johnson C.M., Vorderstrasse A.A., Shaw R.: Virtual Worlds in Health Care Higher Education. Journal of Virtual Worlds Research 2 (2), 3–12 (2009)
16. Rogers L.: Simulating clinical experience: Exploring Second Life as a learning tool for nurse education. In: Atkinson RJ, McBeath C (eds.) 26th Annual ascilite International Conference Same places, different spaces, Auckland, New Zealand, December 6–9 pp. 883–887. Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education, Auckland, New Zealand (2009)
17. Khanal P., Gupta A., Smith M.: Virtual Worlds in Healthcare. In: Gupta A, Patel LV, Greenes AR (eds.) Advances in Healthcare Informatics and Analytics. pp. 233–248. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2016)

18. Lowes S., Hamilton G., Hochstetler V., Paek S.: Teaching Communication Skills to Medical Students in a Virtual World. Journal of Interactive Technology and Pedagogy (3), e1 (2013)
19. Jang S., Black J.B., Jyung R.W.: Embodied Cognition and Virtual Reality in Learning to Visualize Anatomy. In: Ohlsson S, Catrambone R (eds.) 32nd Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society, Portland, OR, August 12–14, pp. 2326–2331. Cognitive Science Society, Austin, TX (2010)
20. Huang H.-M., Liaw S.-S., Lai C.-M.: Exploring learner acceptance of the use of virtual reality in medical education: a case study of desktop and projection-based display systems. Interactive Learning Environments 24 (1), 3–19 (2016)
21. Wiecha J., Heyden R., Sternthal E., Merialdi M.: Learning in a Virtual World: Experience with Using Second Life for Medical Education. Journal of Medical Internet Research 12 (1), e1 (2010)
22. Cates C.U., Lönn L., Gallagher A.G.: Prospective, randomised and blinded comparison of proficiency-based progression full-physics virtual reality simulator training versus invasive vascular experience for learning carotid artery angiography by very experienced operators. BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning, 1–5 (2016)
23. Ruthenbeck S.G., Reynolds J.K.: Virtual reality for medical training: the state-of-the-art. Journal of Simulation 9 (1), 16–26 (2015)
24. Lee M.J.W.: How Can 3d Virtual Worlds be Used to Support Collaborative Learning? An Analysis of Cases from the Literature. Society 5 (1), 149–158 (2009)
25. Hayes E.R.: Situated Learning in Virtual Worlds: The Learning Ecology of Second Life. In: American Educational Research Association Conference, pp. 154–159. AERA, Washington, DC (2006)
26. Jarmon L., Traphagan T., Mayrath M.: Understanding project-based learning in Second Life with a pedagogy, training, and assessment trio. Educational Media International 45 (3), 157–176 (2008)
27. Alverson D.C., Caudell T.P., Goldsmith T.E.: Creating virtual reality medical simulations: a knowledge-based design and assessment approach. In: Riley RH (ed.) Manual of Simulation in Healthcare (2 ed.). 'Oxford University Press', Oxford, UK (2015)
28. Rizzo A.S., Difede J., Rothbaum B.O., Reger G., Spitalnick J., Cukor J., McLay R.: Development and early evaluation of the Virtual Iraq/Afghanistan exposure therapy system for combat-related PTSD. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1208 (1), 114–125 (2010)
29. Li A., Montano Z., Chen V.J., Gold J.I.: Virtual reality and pain management: current trends and future directions. Pain management 1 (2), 147–157 (2011)
30. Pan X., Slater M., Beacco A., Navarro X., Bellido Rivas A.I., Swapp D., Hale J., Forbes P.A., Denvir C., Hamilton A.F., Delacroix S.: The Responses of Medical General Practitioners to Unreasonable Patient Demand for Antibiotics - A Study of Medical Ethics Using Immersive Virtual Reality. PloS one 11 (2), 1–15 (2016)
31. Morrison G., Goldfarb S., Lanken P.N.: Team training of medical students in the 21st century: would Flexner approve? Acad Med 85 (2), 254–259 (2010)
32. Weaver S.J., Dy S.M., Rosen M.A.: Team-training in healthcare: a narrative synthesis of the literature. BMJ Quality & Safety, 1–14 (2014)
33. Weaver S.J., Lyons R., DiazGranados D., et al.: The anatomy of health care team training and the state of practice: a critical review. Acad Med 85 (11), 1746–1760 (2010)
34. Baker D.P., Gustafson S., Beaubien J.M., Salas E., Barach P.: Medical Team Training Programs in Health Care. In: Henriksen K, Battles JB, Marks ES, Lewin DI (eds.) Advances in Patient Safety: From Research to Implementation (Volume 4: Programs, Tools, and Products). Advances in Patient Safety. Rockville (MD) (2005)

35. Flaherty E., Hyer K., Kane R., Wilson N., Whitelaw N., Fulmer T.: Using Case Studies to Evaluate Students' Ability to Develop a Geriatric Interdisciplinary Care Plan. Gerontology & Geriatrics Education 24 (2), 63–74 (2004)
36. Sehgal N.L., Fox M., Vidyarthi A.R., Sharpe B.A., Gearhart S., Bookwalter T., Barker J., Alldredge B.K., Blegen M.A., Wachter R.M., Triad for Optimal Patient Safety P.: A multidisciplinary teamwork training program: the Triad for Optimal Patient Safety (TOPS) experience. Journal of general internal medicine 23 (12), 2053–2057 (2008)
37. King H.B., Battles J., Baker D.P., Alonso A., Salas E., Webster J., Toomey L., Salisbury M.: TeamSTEPPS: Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety. In: Henriksen K, Battles JB, Keyes MA, Grady ML (eds.) Advances in Patient Safety: New Directions and Alternative Approaches (Vol. 3: Performance and Tools). Advances in Patient Safety. Rockville (MD) (2008)
38. AHRQ: Pocket Guide: TeamSTEPPS. Team Strategies & Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD (2013)
39. Albanese M.A.: Problem-Based Learning. In: Understanding Medical Education. pp. 37–52. Wiley-Blackwell (2010)
40. Nonaka I., Takeuchi H.: The Knowledge-Creating Company: How Japanese Companies Create the Dynamics of Innovation. Oxford University Press, New York (1995)
41. White C.B., Gruppen L.D.: Self-Regulated Learning in Medical Education. In: Understanding Medical Education. pp. 271–282. Wiley-Blackwell (2010)
42. Cooke M., Irby D.M., Sullivan W., Ludmerer K.M.: American medical education 100 years after the Flexner report. The New England journal of medicine 355 (13), 1339–1344 (2006)
43. Lave J., Wenger E.: Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1991)
44. Engeström Y.: Activity theory and individual and social transformation. In: Engeström Y, Miettinen R, Punamäki R-L (eds.) Perspectives on Activity Theory. Learning in Doing: Social, Cognitive and Computational Perspectives, pp. 19–38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1999)
45. Brown J.S., Duguid P.: Organizational Learning and communities of Practice: Towards a Unified View of Working, learning, and innovation. Organization Science 2 (1), 40–57 (1991)
46. E. Lawson A., Drake N., Johnson J., Kwon Y.-J., Scarpone C.: How Good Are Students at Testing Alternative Explanations of Unseen Entities? The American Biology Teacher 62 (4), 249–255 (2000)
47. Korakakis G., Boudouvis A., Palyvos J., Pavlatou E.A.: The impact of 3D visualization types in instructional multimedia applications for teaching science. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 31, 145–149 (2012)