

**“I don’t have a six-pack, but I sure have a two-pack!”:
Obese children’s use of humor in rehabilitation**

Journal:	<i>Childhood</i>
Manuscript ID	CHD-17-0086
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Social studies of children and childhood, Childhood obesity, Humor, Biopedagogies, Medicalization
Abstract:	In this article, I explore the use of humor by children with severe obesity while attending long-term rehabilitation. In the children’s use of humor, I found a transition from the use of ‘fat jokes’ to ‘biopedagogical humor,’ which involved jokes about instructions relating to food and physical activity as conveyed by the rehabilitation team. I interpret their humor as signifying how they were affected by the biopedagogical messages involved in rehab.

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

“I don’t have a six-pack, but I sure have a two-pack!”: Obese children’s use of humor in rehabilitation

Abstract

Within the social studies of children and childhood, children’s humor is an under-explored area. In this article, I explore the use of humor by children with severe obesity while attending long-term rehabilitation together with their families. In the children’s use of humor, I found a transition from the use of ‘fat jokes’ to ‘biopedagogical humor,’ which involved jokes about instructions relating to food and physical activity as conveyed by the rehabilitation team. I interpret their humor as signifying how they were affected by the biopedagogical messages involved in rehab and how they started self-monitoring their food intake and physical activity. I claim that their humor also can point to a process of medicalization of their condition, where their understanding of themselves as ‘fat’ was set aside for ‘I suffer from obesity.’

Key words:

Social studies of children and childhood, childhood obesity, humor, biopedagogies, medicalization

Introduction

Humor is an important aspect of human behavior (Watson 2015), also of children’s. Even though some sociological and anthropological studies have explored humor indirectly while researching children’s play¹(McGhee, 1983), humor is an under-explored area within childhood studies (Kunze, 2014). The phenomenon has been more extensively explored in psychology as an indicator of children’s overall development (cognitive skills) (Bergen, 2007, Wimsatt, 2014). Research on children’s use of humor in more naturalistic settings is lacking (McGhee, 1983, Loizou, 2007, Wimsatt 2014) In this article, I try to fill this gap by examining the joking culture of children with severe obesity (6-11 years old) while attending a two-year rehabilitation program together with their families. I explore their spontaneous and situation-specific humor, meaning their

¹ See for example Strandell (1997), Varga (2000) and Bergen (2002).

1
2
3 use of sarcasm, irony, and witty remarks (Janhonen, 2017) during rehab.
4 Highlighting my overall focus on the children's experiences, I ask: Were the
5 children with obesity's humor influenced by their social context, and if so—in
6 what way, and what did their humor signify?
7

8
9 My study shows that the children's jokes revolved around the jocular
10 themes of 'the fat body', 'food,' and 'physical activity.' I claim that their humor
11 can be interpreted in light of their overall experiences during rehab, and that
12 their jokes reveal, or give a glimpse into, how the children were affected by the
13 biopedagogical messages that the rehab team tried to convey.
14
15

16
17 My work is situated within the social studies of children and childhood, in
18 which childhood is understood as socially constructed and in which children are
19 seen as social actors with agency and the ability to influence the world they live
20 in (James et al., 2009). This framework is combined with the framework of
21 biopedagogies. This is a critical sociological approach that is based on the
22 argument that the 'obesity epidemic' and its associated practices depend on a
23 range of biopedagogies that places individuals under constant surveillance and
24 press them towards self-surveillance in relation to food intake and physical
25 activity (Wright 2009).
26
27

28
29 Most children in my study did indeed adopt self-monitoring strategies by
30 embracing the biopedagogies involved in rehab. This happened even though the
31 parents, and not the children, were considered the main targets for the
32 intervention. It was the parents who were objected to lessons in biopedagogical
33 '*bios of instructions*'², while the children mostly attended physical activities
34 arranged by the rehab team. Still, the children picked up the biopedagogical
35 discourses, concepts, and instructions used by the rehab team and started using
36 them actively. According to the parents, the children also started using their new
37 knowledge about food and physical activity to try to change their own, and their
38 families', life styles. The changes in the children's language was also noticeable in
39 the children's humor, which gradually relied more and more on subtle
40 incongruities of language and concepts connected to the biopedagogies involved
41 in rehab.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55
56 ² Bios of instructions involves pedagogical instructions on how to live 'a healthy life'; for example eating
57 healthy and being sufficiently physically active (Harwood, 2009).
58
59

Humor, biopedagogies, and the social studies of children and childhood

Humor is a difficult subject to study (Apte, 1985). Laughter does not necessarily indicate the presence of humor, and people do not laugh at the same things (Janhonen, 2017). Also, humor may serve many *functions* at the same time (Chapman, 1983), for example creating group solidarity (Apte, 1983), strengthening peer relationships (Cunningham, 2005), separating insiders from outsiders (Fine and Soucey, 2005), regulating people's social behavior (Fine, 1983), relieving social tension, or be used as a bonding mechanism (Bergen, 2007). A single joke can consist of many levels of meaning simultaneously, which can be hard to grasp (Anonymised 2007). Despite humor having many functions for people (Chapman, 1983, Apte, 1985), research on children's humor has to a large degree focused on exploring humor as an indicator of children's overall development in psychology (Bergen, 2007).

The most prominent researcher within the field of humor in children is the developmental psychologist Paul McGhee. Inspired by Piaget, he put forward a developmental stage model for children's humor (McGhee, 1983, 2002). In short, he explored children's humor in relation to underlying cognitive developmental changes, meaning that when new levels of cognitive skills are achieved, it leads to new forms of appreciation and comprehension and the production of humor (McGhee, 2013). Several experiments have demonstrated these developmental shifts and there is a general consensus that children do not have the cognitive capacity of modulating their use of humor according to social context before they reach school age (Cunningham 2005).

Some researchers have challenged McGhee's developmental stage model. For example, Loizou (2005a, 2005b, 2007) found that infants appreciated incongruity and other kinds of 'surprises' that resulted in laughter, indicating that their humor reflected more advanced cognitive abilities than formerly believed (Loizou, 2007). Her research showed that the infants' display of a sense of humor signified an awareness of rules and expectations of their environment, and that they empowered themselves by for example using humor as a means to attract the attention of caregivers and peers (Loizou, 2007). Kunze (2014) has criticized the notion within humor research that younger children's humor is different from adults,' which tends to be perceived as more 'advanced' and

1
2
3 relying on recognition of subtle incongruities in language and concepts. Instead,
4 Kunze (2014) claims that also children's humor "can go beyond wordplay and
5 nonsense to draw upon satire, intertextuality and irony" (Kunze, 2014: 7) and
6 that they can "perform original comic content" (Kunze, 2014: 7). Even McGhee
7 (1983) has questioned his own developmental schemata by saying that
8 children's humor, at any age level, might vary and be influenced by the social
9 situation in which it occurs. He states that more studies are needed to explore
10 whether this is the case (McGhee, 1983). Studying children's use of humor in
11 more naturalistic settings can therefore provide new insights into humor studies
12 as well as children's worlds and experiences. The social studies of children and
13 childhood, which is occupied with exploring children's worlds and point of views
14 (Prout & James 1997), are therefore an applicable site for research.

22
23 Within the field of humor studies, it is agreed that humor is a social
24 phenomenon (Loizou, 2007, Carty and Musharbash, 2008). A characteristic of
25 humor is that it is a spontaneous restructuring of sociocultural elements—it
26 involves some form of discrepancy or incongruity (Apte, 1985)—a manipulation
27 with existing cultural codes results in a humorous response (Porteus, 1989). If
28 humor involves a manipulation with such codes, we can discover the underlying
29 norms by analysing the infractions (Porteus, 1989). In accordance with these
30 arguments, analysis of children's humorous activity can give insight into
31 children's understandings of "appropriate behaviour and rules and their
32 attitudes towards institutional norms" (Janhonen, 2017: 3). It is adults who often
33 present such rules and norms, and they become 'behavioural scripts' for where
34 children maintain relationships with peers (Koch, 2017). Still, children should
35 not be understood as passive subjects to such scripts (Koch, 2017). For example,
36 Koch (2017) has written about children's use of humor to challenge adult norms
37 and rules in order to affect their own status in their peer-group.

47 The children in my study should also not be understood as passive
48 subjects to the 'behavioural scripts' during rehab. Even though they did not
49 receive lessons in food and physical activity like their parents, they picked up the
50 team's biopedagogical instructions and starting using them actively to try to
51 change their own, and their family's, life style according to the parents. This
52 suggests that they were affected by the biopedagogical messages conveyed by
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the rehab team. This was also obvious in their jokes, which revolved around
4 food, physical activity, and their bodies, indicating that the social setting they
5 found themselves within influenced the children's use of humor and behaviour
6 to a great extent.
7

8
9 The notion of biopedagogies builds on Foucault's concept of biopower,
10 which involves the regulation and governance of populations and individuals
11 through practices associated with the body (Wright 2009). Biopedagogies is a
12 framework used within critical health sociology (Wright 2009) that brings
13 together the idea of biopower and pedagogies (Wright 2009, Harwood 2009).
14 The ideas and discourses involved in the practices of biopedagogies connected to
15 'the obesity epidemic' are believed to place individuals under constant
16 surveillance, which can lead to increased and unhealthy self-monitoring³ (Wright
17 2009, Harwood 2009). I have previously challenged the assumption that such
18 self-monitoring is always negative by pointing to the fact that there is a lack of
19 studies of children's health related behavior in biopedagogical settings⁴.
20 Previous research from other disciplines has also shown that children receiving
21 treatment for obesity achieve *better* self-esteem, become *less* depressed, and
22 have a *positive* change in their eating behavior⁵. In this article, I aim to explore
23 how and in what way the children were affected by the biopedagogies involved
24 in rehab by exploring their humor and what it signified. My findings suggest that
25 the children's humor can give a glimpse into the process of increased self-
26 monitoring and how their 'fatness' was transformed into 'obesity' due to a
27 process of medicalization of their condition.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Methodological and analytical approach**

43 This article draws on my study of children's (6-11 years old) experiences of
44 attending rehab for their medical classified condition of severe obesity. They
45 attended rehab together with their siblings and parents. The rehab period
46 extended over one summer camp and additional four long weekend stays spread
47 over a two years period. Families were recruited through a randomized trial.
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 ³ See for example Wright (2009), Alexander et al. (2015), Powell and Fitzpatrick (2015), Burrows (2017)
55 and Welch et al (2012).

56 ⁴ This point is extensively elaborated in Anonymised (2017).

57 ⁵ See for example Rimke et al (2011) and Knöpli et al (2008).
58
59
60

1
2
3 My fieldwork took place at two different rehabilitation centres in two
4 different places in Norway with three different sets of families attending (all in
5 all 32 families, including drop-outs). I attended three summer camps and four
6 additional long-weekend stays. I used participant observation as main method
7 for data collection. Child-friendly methods⁶ often used within the social studies
8 of children and childhood were not used because they were considered too close
9 to the intervention and as potentially affecting the outcome of the medical trial. I
10 performed preparatory studies in order to design my methodological approach.
11 Then, I found it important to gain insight into child-adult-rehab teams' relations
12 and point of views in order to grasp essential elements embedded in and
13 affecting the children's experiences of rehab. During my main fieldwork, I
14 observed and participated in parental activities during one summer-camp and
15 two long weekend stays. The parents attended individual and group
16 consultations, lessons in diet and physical activity, and some common activities
17 together with the children (most often physical activities arranged by the rehab
18 team). I also worked alongside the team during a summer camp with another set
19 of families were attending rehab. To grasp the rehab teams' point of views, I used
20 their methods and biopedagogical approaches for encouraging life style for the
21 families.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 In accordance with the social studies of children and childhood, my main
35 focus was on exploring and collecting data on children's experiences and points
36 of views and to do so I lived with a third set of families in their common lodgings
37 during their rehab stays over a period of almost two years. Then, my focus was
38 on gaining insight into the children's worlds. To achieve, this, I used the role
39 configuration 'the least adult,' which means to try to put as many aspects as
40 possible of being an adult aside and trying to enter children's world(s) as a fully
41 active participatory member (Mandell, 1991). This method also involves trying
42 to submit to other adults' authority (Mandell, 1991). I ate the same food and
43 attended the children's activities, and I spent time with them during evenings
44 and participated in their self-initiated activities until bedtime. While being in the
45 role configuration of the least adult, I did not initiate conversations about their
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 ⁶ Children are often involved in research in different ways within the social studies of children and
56 childhood, like taking photographs, performing role plays, write diaries or create drawing as a means to
57 explore their views of different topics (Clark 2005).
58
59
60

1
2
3 size, nor did I initiate any activities or put boundaries for the children's
4 interactions. Rather, I tried to blend in by imitating their behaviour and bodily
5 actions in a manner that felt natural to me, and I tried, inspired by Solberg
6 (1996), to put my ideas about age aside, treating the children as my 'peers'.
7 Because I am slim, I tried to compensate for my body size by performing to the
8 maximum of my physical abilities and by being a good team player. I suffered
9 daily from muscle and stomach aches due to the high level of physical activity
10 and fiber-rich diet, and I lost weight, as did the children. Slowly but surely I was
11 accepted as a member of the children's group—or maybe as 'a different adult' or
12 an 'ageless' person and playmate. In this way, I gained unique insight into the
13 children's worlds.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 As mentioned, the aim of my analysis for this article was to bring forth
22 how children with severe obesity were affected by the biopedagogies involved in
23 rehab through exploring their spontaneous and situation-specific humor (their
24 sarcastic, ironic and witty remarks). Laughter from others (other children,
25 parents, rehab team members, and myself) was used to identify jokes. I used a
26 thematic analysis (Fielden and others, 2011). The first step in this analysis
27 consisted of a process of repeated reading (Braun and Clarke, 2006) and
28 subsequent coding phase, where I sorted the data according to their' repeated
29 pattern (Fielden and others, 2011). Moments of laughter were highlighted for
30 further analysis. The data was then categorized by theme followed by a detailed
31 analysis (Fielden and others, 2011). This revealed that jokes repeatedly referred
32 to 'food' (intake), 'physical activity,' and 'the fat body.' I also discovered that
33 these jokes were related to the social contexts of the children and I carried out an
34 additional coding phase, where I connected jokes to relevant situations or
35 experiences in order to explain the reasons for the laughter in response to the
36 joke. This was followed by another detailed analysis, and lastly I related my
37 findings to theoretical concepts and perspectives and previous research.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The study was evaluated and considered non-notifiable by the Regional
50 Committees for medical and health research ethics in Norway, and was approved
51 by the Norwegian Social Science Data Services. I obtained informed consent from
52 parents. Children and parents received written and verbal information about my
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 project and were informed that they could opt out at any time without any
4 consequences for treatment.
5

6 The randomized trial was initiated by the Norwegian specialist health
7 care services. My research was conducted as an independent doctoral project,
8 and was financed by the Norwegian Centre for Child Research.
9
10

11 **From fatjokes to jokes involving 'bios of instructions'**

12
13 Amongst the children, a joking culture developed over time. It is somewhat hard
14 to grasp how this joking culture manifested, but jokes in the beginning of
15 fieldwork indicate that the content of humor played upon the fact that the
16 children had a common understanding of attending 'fat camp'⁷. For example, one
17 child uttered the first day, "Welcome to the place of evil!", resulting in laughter
18 and giggling from the other children. Another child jokingly classified it as "a
19 concentration camp"⁸. At the first information meeting with parents and children
20 attending the summer camp, one of the rehab team members talked about what
21 would happen to the children's bodies if they increased their levels of physical
22 activity—amongst other things "you will build muscles." A boy then stated:
23 "Well, I don't have a six-pack, but I sure have a two-pack!", followed by explosive
24 laughter. These examples show that already in the beginning of rehab, the
25 children's humor was related to their biopedagogical context.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Already during the first summer camp, a silent consensus seemed to
36 develop about what the children could joke about: The fat body, physical activity,
37 and food. Handelman and Kapferer (1972) identified similar jocular themes in
38 different cultures, and claim they exist within 'category-routinized joking
39 frames.' In such cases, people's joking activity are interpreted as anchored in
40 cultural and normative social conventions and connected to the actors' identities
41 in a particular social setting (Handelman and Kapferer 1972). Within these
42 frames, there is a high degree of consensus amongst participants about *when* you
43 can joke, *who* is permitted to joke, and *what* you can joke about (Handelman and
44 Kapferer 1972). This issuing of 'licenses to joke' is rooted in shared experiences,
45 and there is no need for negotiating every new joking sequences because they
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 ⁷ Only the children termed the summer camp as 'fat camp'. The parents and the rehabilitation team used the
56 'proper' term of 'summer camp'.

57 ⁸ This rehab centre was located in what was previously a military camp.
58
59
60

1
2
3 are already known by the participants of the group (Handelman and Kapferer
4 1972). Put differently, one can say that the comic discourse becomes
5 'historicized' for the group (Fine and De Soucey 2005).
6
7

8 The 'two-pack'-joke mentioned above is only one of many examples from
9 the early days of fieldwork for when the children's fat bodies were the source of
10 jokes. Another example of a 'fat joke' happened during a canoeing trip. One of the
11 canoes overturned close to shore, and the whole family got wet from the waist
12 down. Before the adults managed to clear out the water, a boy with severe
13 obesity went back into the canoe, which then sank. This made the other children
14 laugh. Then the boy stood up, held up his arm and started doing hip-hop while
15 the canoe slowly sank to the bottom of the sandbank, until only its top end was
16 visible above the water. This made the children laugh even more, but instead of
17 laughing at him, they were now laughing with him.
18
19

20 Another example of a fat joke from the first summer camp came from a
21 boy who fell off his bike. The following day, he shared in plenum that he had
22 visited the emergency ward for stitches, and he said: "I got a hole in my pants as
23 well—and the fat came *pouring* out of it!" (laughter). Later that day, another boy
24 shared that he often went to the local swimming pool, and that he sometimes
25 jumped from a three meters high diving board. "And then, I wash all the old
26 ladies onto shore!" (laughter). Another example is when one day when the
27 children, their siblings, and I were playing 'slåball'—a Norwegian game that
28 resembles baseball and cricket. A boy knew that he would not be able to catch
29 the ball should it come his way. Instead of trying when it did, he 'ran' in slow
30 motion, making the other children laugh. By joking, he avoided potential
31 disapproval for not catching the ball.
32
33

34 These examples illustrate how 'the fat body' became a 'historicized' and
35 repeated jocular theme within the children's joking culture. The examples also
36 indicate that the children had a license to joke and make fun of their fat bodies
37 when they found themselves in situations where they otherwise may have been
38 exposed to ridicule or bullying. This license to joke was embedded in the
39 emergent negotiated joking frame, which had roots in the children's common
40 experiences—not only in rehab, which put a special focus on their large bodies,
41 but also from past experiences, which helped them foster functional strategies to
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 avoid bullying and ridicule. This can explain why the license for fat jokes was
4 established again and again amongst the children.
5

6 Within the children's joking culture, it was clear *who* had a license to fat
7 jokes (the children with obesity) and *what* they could joke about (the fat body).
8 One example that shows a violation of the joking license illustrates this point⁹.
9 One evening when the children and their siblings were playing slåball at the
10 football field located at the rehab center, one of the siblings used his mobile
11 phone to play a Norwegian famous song where the chorus goes like this¹⁰:
12
13

14
15
16 Oh, so fat you have become!
17 Yes you've put on a little weight
18 You used to be as thin as a tile
19 Now you have become fat like a Christmas pig
20 Oh, so fat you've become
21

22
23 Two other siblings standing close by started giggling along with the
24 music-playing sibling, but none of the children with obesity laughed or giggled.
25 Instead they responded with a wall of silence and accusatory glances. They found
26 it quite upsetting, interpreting it as a bullying episode. But just a few days later, a
27 boy with severe obesity sung in front of the other children "Oh, *I* have become
28 sooo fat!, yes *I* have put on a little weight. *I* used to be as thin as a tile, but now *I*
29 have become fat like a Christmas pig...". This time, both children with obesity as
30 well as the normal weight siblings laughed. This example shows how the license
31 to make fat jokes belonged only to the children with obesity.
32
33

34
35
36
37 Fat jokes were part of the *communitas* that developed amongst the
38 children. Their jokes about the fat body mediated and created *social rupture* by
39 drawing borders between 'us' (the children with obesity) and 'them' (their
40 normal weight siblings) and demarcated difference (Carty and Musharbash
41 2008) based on the characteristics of, or the social identity, as 'the fatsos.' As a
42 consequence, the children's fat humor contributed to group solidarity and group
43 identity in the first summer camp. It became a bonding mechanism, making it
44 easier for them to share common experiences and negotiating understandings of
45 their 'fatness.' An important finding in my empirical data is how the children's
46 perception of social identity as 'fat' changed as time went by due to the
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 ⁹ No adults were present during this experience (except me).

56 ¹⁰ The chorus is from a song made by the Norwegian band Ole Ivars, and is translated into English by the
57 author.
58
59
60

1
2
3 biopedagogies involved in rehab. This became notable in the children's language,
4 when the phrasing 'I am fat' after a while was set aside and replaced with 'I
5 suffer from obesity'¹¹. I claim that this indicates a process of *medicalization* of
6 their condition¹². Medicalization is a process "when certain behaviors or
7 conditions become defined as medical problems (rather than moral or legal
8 problem)" (Ourahmoune, 2017), often presented as a 'disorders' or an 'illness'
9 (Paradis 2016). Through a biomedical lens, people with an excessive percentage
10 of body fat are diagnosed as 'obese' and become targets for medical treatment
11 and rehabilitation (Ourahmoune, 2017). This was the case for the children with
12 severe obesity and their families' in my study, and they were subject to specific
13 'bios of instructions' as means of rehabilitation due to their medically classified
14 condition.
15

16
17
18 After a while, the children's fat jokes seemed to wear off and they were
19 gradually replaced with humor involving manipulations of language and
20 concepts connected to the biopedagogies involved in rehab. For example, a girl
21 wanted to show me her family's lodgings during summer camp. Amongst other
22 things, she showed me their bedroom, and pointed to the upper bunk bed that
23 had the sign "100 kg max." and said, while laughing: "Well, my father cannot
24 sleep there for sure!" This example indicates that the girl was well aware that her
25 father was obese and weighed more than 100 kilos, indicating an understanding
26 of the concept of (excessive) body weight. Weight became a topic that was
27 increasingly discussed amongst the children during the rehab period. Most often,
28 this happened when no adults (except me) were present. For example, during
29 the first summer camp, the children started to discuss how their pants had
30 become too big around the waist. They also compared how much they needed to
31 tighten their belts. During long weekend stays, I also overheard children asking
32 each other how much weight they had lost since their previous stay at the rehab
33 centre. They also exchanged life style tips for maintaining or increasing weight
34 loss.
35

36
37
38 Another example signifying how the children were affected by the bios of
39 instructions, is how a girl jokingly stated one morning that "Here (at camp), you
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 ¹¹ 'Obesity' (Norwegian: fedme) is not commonly used in Norwegian, but rather a term used within a
56 medical discourse.

57 ¹² See also Anonymised (2017).
58
59
60

1
2
3 have to cycle two kilometers before you can have breakfast” (laughter). The
4 audience’s laughter in response originates from a common understanding of the
5 concept of ‘energy in equals energy out,’ which was a point of instruction,
6 repeatedly conveyed by the rehab team, trying to establish an understanding of
7 the importance of a ‘balance’ between *food intake* and *physical activity*.
8
9

10
11 The observable changes in the children’s behavior are also supported by
12 stories told by the parents during parental group conversations with the team.
13 Here, they shared stories about how most children took, or tried to take, a lot of
14 responsibility for the family’s life style change process between rehab stays, for
15 example by harassing their parents on the importance of buying the healthiest
16 options at the grocery store or trying to make the whole family become more
17 physically active. Many parents admitted to struggle to maintain the rehab
18 team’s recommended life style changes over time, making the children use
19 different strategies to get the family to do or uphold the changes.
20
21

22
23 Empirical examples of ‘food jokes’ also show that the children were
24 affected by biopedagogies involved. In the beginning of rehab, jokes involving
25 food was mostly performed while eating or in relation to conversations about
26 food amongst the children, suggestive of their ‘common love of food.’ For
27 example, a girl made a ‘beard’ out of pasta (without sauce), creating a light
28 humorous atmosphere amongst the children present during the first day at
29 camp. The second day I overheard some children discussing what was for dinner,
30 and a girl said that it was veal¹³. Then a boy joked: “Too bad for the calf, hurray
31 for us!” (laughter). Another day during early days of fieldwork a boy stated that
32 he wanted to rebuild a soft ice cream machine into a lasagna machine, followed
33 by affirmative grins by the children. But as time passed, such food jokes were
34 replaced with food jokes involving elements from ‘bios of instructions’ as
35 conveyed by the rehab team. For example, halfway through the summer camp, a
36 boy yelled out loud before going on a long outdoor trip, “I need motivation!!! I
37 need Burn¹⁴!!!”, causing hysterical laughter in the children. The children’s
38 overwhelming laughter response is hard to understand without knowing about
39 their previous experiences at camp. Firstly, it was clearly associated with how
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55
56 ¹³ In Norwegian, veal is termed as ‘kalvekjøtt’, i.e. ‘calf meat’.

57 ¹⁴ Burn is an energy drink.
58
59
60

1
2
3 the rehab team often used the word 'motivation' in relation to 'physical
4 activities.' The children picked up these biopedagogical concepts and their
5 interrelation and started using them actively (and humorously). Secondly, it was
6 related to how the rehab team often talked about the importance of avoiding
7 high calorie drinks. Again, this joke signifies an awareness of the link between
8 food intake and physical activity, pointing to the biopedagogical message of
9 'energy in equals energy out'.
10
11
12
13

14 I also observed a family rehearsing making a weekly plan for all their
15 meals as instructed by the rehab team. When discussing what to eat for
16 Saturday¹⁵, the mother suggested popcorn. The dad disapproved by stating it
17 could not be classified as 'food'. Then their son with severe obesity said: "Well,
18 corn is a vegetable!", making the whole family (and me) laugh. The boy's
19 statement was especially funny because this specific family hardly ate any
20 vegetables before starting rehab—a topic that had been discussed with the rehab
21 team on several occasions.
22
23
24
25
26

27 In general, *all* the children attending rehab were urged to eat more
28 vegetables. For dinner, they were supposed to follow 'the plate model'
29 recommended for children struggling with obesity and which is supposed to hold
30 a quarter carbohydrates, on quarter proteins, and half vegetables. One day
31 during the end of summer camp, a boy made a witty remark in the canteen to his
32 mother, holding his plate of dinner above his head and yelling: "Look mommy,
33 look mommy—I am eating the plate model!", making people grin and giggle.
34 Instead of eating dinner (together with his mother) he was claiming to eat an
35 abstract concept, which originated from the bios of instructions conveyed by the
36 rehab team (Anonymised, 2017).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Jokes about food and food constituents became increasingly common as
45 time passed. For example, a boy proclaimed during a long weekend stay that "I
46 have a new nickname now: Brelett!¹⁶". During a trip to the local go-cart park
47 close to the end of the first summer camp, one boy proclaimed that everyone
48 should drive with their mouths open to eat the vast amount of mosquitos in the
49 air "to get enough proteins" (laughter) (Anonymised, 2017). The children's
50
51
52
53
54

55
56 ¹⁵ In Norway, Saturday is considered the only day during the week when children can eat sweets.

57 ¹⁶ Brelett is a light margarine that contains fewer calories than 'ordinary' butter.
58
59
60

1
2
3 laughter suggests a rather advanced, and common, understanding of the concept
4 of animal protein, which stemmed from their' new knowledge about the plate
5 model and its constituents (Anonymised, 2017). Yet another episode happened
6 while a team member talked about the importance of drinking milk (but within
7 certain limits). A girl then made this ironic remark: "And if you don't like milk,
8 you can eat a milk chocolate bar!¹⁷". Her joke was followed by laughter due to
9 her creative wordplay separating the word 'milk' from 'chocolate bar', making an
10 'unhealthy' food item into partly 'healthy' and in this way somewhat fulfilling the
11 rehab team's bios of instructions of food.
12
13

14
15
16
17
18 Many of the examples mentioned above illustrate how the children's
19 humor also was a form of *ridicule* of the biopedagogies involved in rehab. One
20 can therefore ask if their humor represented a form of resistance towards the
21 bios of instructions conveyed by the rehab team, indicating that the children did
22 not internalize them uncritically. On the other hand, this ridicule might just
23 represent a 'break' from the social structure surrounding them (Douglas 1968)—
24 as a means of relieving social pressure or tension (Driessen 2001). In many
25 ways, rehab represented a 'made up-world' for the families—an 'ideal world,'
26 aiming at learning them how to become 'healthy citizens.' The behavioral scripts
27 were strict and very different from their everyday lives. Many parents talked
28 about rehab stays as 'being in a bubble,' indicating that it was a different world
29 than their own. The children with obesity also seemed to be aware of this.
30 During a fishing trip, one of the rehab team members gutted and prepared a fish,
31 and at a certain point, he cut out the eyes and showed the children how it was
32 possible to see the world "upside down through it's eyes." Then a boy stated:
33 "Well, the world [here] *is* up-side down!", followed by affirmative grins and
34 giggling by the other children.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Discussion and concluding remarks

47 In this study, I have explored the use of humor by children with severe obesity
48 while attending long-term rehabilitation for their medical classified severe
49 obesity together with their families. The goal was to explore if, and in what way,
50 the children's humor was affected by the social context (rehab), by using
51
52
53

54
55
56 ¹⁷ In Norwegian we use the frazing 'melkesjokolade' for 'chocholate bar,' which is directly translated as
57 "milk chocholate".
58
59

1
2
3 participant observation as method to explore the children's world(s). Scholars
4 from the social studies of children and childhood are occupied with the
5 importance of listening to children's voices in order to gain insight into their
6 lives, learning, and experiences (Harcourt and Einarsdottir, 2011). As my data
7 show, the children's humor during rehab changed from using fat jokes to
8 involving humorous incongruities originating from the bios of instructions
9 involved in rehab. I claim that this change can be understood as signifying how
10 the children were affected by the biopedagogies conveyed by the rehab team and
11 developed self-monitoring strategies to cope with their condition. Their
12 biopedagogical humor can also offer a glimpse of insight into how the children
13 went through a transformation in their social identity from 'being fat' to
14 'suffering from obesity' due to the medicalization of their condition. The
15 identifiable changes in and within the jocular themes suggest this, as they
16 gradually relied more on the biopedagogies involved. An important point is that
17 these biopedagogical discourses relied on a biomedical constructed view of
18 obesity as an 'illness' or 'condition'.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 My findings have several implications. Firstly, my research can provide
30 new insight into humor studies in regards to how children's humor is linked to
31 the social environments children are located in, i.e studies of children's humor in
32 naturalistic settings. Secondly, it can contribute to the social studies of children
33 and childhood, where children's humor is an under-explored area (Louizou,
34 2007). Above all, it can contribute to future studies exploring humor and
35 *children's learning* within different childhood settings and how it might affect
36 them, creating new knowledge about children's worlds. Third, my research offers
37 insight into how children with obesity are affected by the biopedagogies within
38 rehabilitation of child obesity settings.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Within the theme of children's humor and the social studies of children
47 and childhood, there are many gaps that could be explored further, for example if
48 there are observable gender differences in joking. Research suggests that boys
49 express more humor than girls (Bergen, 2007). This was also the case for the
50 children in my study, but beyond the scope of examination in this article.
51 Another interesting approach could be using insights from 'children's play' in
52 order to develop a framework for exploring children's humor in naturalistic
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 settings. Louizou (2005a) claims that humor is closely connected to play because
4 it is a form of 'playful activity', involving playful production of fantasy
5 incongruities (Louizou, 2005). Still, play does not trigger laughter the same way
6 as humor does. Insight from children's learning in other childhood settings
7 within the field of the social studies of children and childhood might be another
8 interesting approach.
9
10
11

12
13 What is clear from my research is that children's humor is complex and
14 advanced, and that the children performed 'original comic content' as stated by
15 Kunze (2014). My research also shows that their humor can signify processes of
16 how children strengthen or maintain peer relations or use humor as a means of
17 reflection over experiences of their surrounding social environments. Humor *is*
18 an important tool for communication for children and should be taken more
19 seriously.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Anonymised (2007)
- Anonymised (2017)
- Apte M (1985) *Humor and Laughter. An Anthropological Approach*. London: Cornell University Press.
- Braun V and Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3(3): 77-101.
- Bergen D (2007) Humor as a facilitator of social competence in early childhood. In: Saracho O and Spodek B (eds) *Contemporary Perspectives on Socialization and Social Development in Early Childhood Education*. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing, pp. 19-38.
- Carty J and Musharbash Y (2008) You've Got to be Joking: Asserting the Analytical Value of Humor and Laughter in Contemporary Anthropology. *Anthropological Forum* 18(3): 209-217.
- Chapman A (1983) Humor and Laughter in Social Interaction and some Implications for Humor Research. In: McGhee P and Goldstein J (eds) *Handbook of humor research*. Springer-Verlag New York Inc., pp. 135-157.
- Cunningham J (2005) Children's humor. In: Scarlett G, Naudeau S, Saloni-Pasternak, Ponte I (eds) *Children's play*. London: Sage Publications Ltd, pp. 93-109.
- Douglas, Mary (1968): The social control of cognition. Some factors in joke perception. *Man* 3(3): 361-376.
- Driessen H (2001) Jokes and Joking. In Smelser N and Baltes P (eds) *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences*: Exeter, UK: Elsevier Science, pp. 7992-7995.
- Fielden A, Sillence E and Little L (2011) Children's understandings' of obesity, a thematic analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being* 6(3): 1-14.
- Fine G (1983) Sociological Approaches to the Study of Humor. In: McGhee P and Goldstein J (eds) *Handbook of humor research*. Springer-Verlag New York Inc., pp. 159-181.
- Fine G and De Soucey M (2005) Joking cultures: Humor themes as social regulation in group life. *Humor* 18(1): 1-22.
- Freud S (1960) *Jokes and their relation to the unconscious*. New York: Norton.
- Handelman D and Kapferer B (1972): Forms of Joking Activity: A comparative Approach. *American Anthropologist* 74(3): 484-517.
- Harcourt D and Einarsdottir J (2011) Introducing children's perspectives and participation in research. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal* 19(3): 301-307.
- Harwood V (2009) Theorizing biopedagogies. In: Wright J and Harwood V (Eds) *Biopolitics and the 'Obesity Epidemic'*. Routledge, New York, pp. 15-20.
- James A, Kjörholt A and Tingstad V (2009): Introduction: Children, Food and Identity in Everyday Life. In: James A, Kjörholt A and Tingstad V (eds) *Children, Food and Identity in Everyday Life*. London: PALGRAVE MACMILLAN, pp. 1-12.
- Janhonen K (2017) The roles of humour and laughter in youth focus groups on school food. *Journal of Youth Studies*. DOI: 10.1080/13676261.2017.1311404
- Koch A (2017) Children's Perspectives on Happiness and Subjective Well-being in Preschool. *Children & Society* DOI:10.1111/chso.12225.

- 1
2
3 Kunze P (2014) Kidding around: children, comedy and social media. *Comedy*
4 *Studies* 5(1): 2-11.
- 5 Loizou E (2005a) Humor: A different kind of play. *European Early Childhood*
6 *Education Research Journal* 13(2): 97-109.
- 7 Loizou E (2005b) Humor as a means of regulating one's social self: two infants
8 with unique humorous personas. *Early Child Development and Care* 177(2): 195-
9 205.
- 10 Loizou (2007) Infant humor: the theory of the absurd and the empowerment
11 theory. *International Journal of Early Years Education* 13(1): 43-53.
- 12 Mandell N (1991) The Least-Adult Role in Studying Children. In F. Waksler (eds.)
13 *Studying the Social Worlds of Children*. London: Falmer Press, pp. 38-59.
- 14 McGhee P (1983) Humor Development: Toward a Life Span Approach. In:
15 McGhee P and Goldstein J (eds) *Handbook of humor research*. Springer-Verlag
16 New York Inc., pp. 109-134.
- 17 McGhee P (2002) *Understanding and promoting the development of children's*
18 *humor*. Dubuque, IA: Kendall-Hunt.
- 19 McGhee P (2013) Humor across the Life Span: Sources of Developmental Change
20 and Individual. In: Nahemow L, McCluskey-Fawcett, K and McGhee, P (eds)
21 *Humor and aging*. Orlando: Academic Press, Inc, pp. 27-51.
- 22 Ourahmoune N (2017) Embodied transformations and food restrictions: The
23 case of medicalized obesity. *Journal of Business Research* 75: 192-201.
- 24 Paradis E (2016): "Obesity" as Process: The Medicalization of Fatness by
25 Canadian Researchers, 1971-2010. In: Ellison J, McPhail D, Mitchinson W (eds)
26 *Obesity in Canada*. Toronto, Buffalo, London: University of Toronto Press, pp. 56-
27 88.
- 28 Porteous, J. (1989): Humor and social life. *Philosophy East and West* 39(3): 279-
29 288.
- 30 Prout A og James A (1997): A new Paradigm for the Sociology of Childhood?
31 Provenance, Promise and Problems. In: James A & Prout A (eds) *Constructing and*
32 *Reconstructing Childhood: Contemporary Issues in the Sociological Study of*
33 *Childhood*. London and New York: RoutledgeFalmer, pp. 7-33.
- 34 Solberg A (1996) The Challenge in Child Research from 'Being' to 'Doing.' In:
35 Combrinck-Graham L and Sack W (eds) *Children in Families: Research and Policy*.
36 Falmer Press, London, Washington, D.C, pp. 53-65.
- 37 Strandell H (1997) DOING REALITY WITH PLAY. Play as children's resource in
38 organizing everyday life in daycare centres. *Childhood* 4(4): 445-464.
- 39 Watson C (2015) A Sociologist Walks into a Bar (and Other Academic Challenges):
40 Towards a Methodology of Humour. *Sociology* 49(3): 407-421.
- 41 Wimsatt M (2014) *Humor and friendship quality in middle childhood*. PhD Thesis,
42 University of Maryland, College Park, US.
- 43 Wright J (2009) Biopower, Biopedagogies and the Obesity Epidemic. In: Wright J
44 and Harwood V (Eds) *Biopolitics and the 'Obesity Epidemic'*. Routledge, New York,
45 pp. 1-14.
- 46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60