

Manuscript Number: NIMB_PROCEEDINGS-D-18-00049R1

Title: First Be-10 measurements at Trondheim 1 MV AMS

Article Type: SI: NIMB_AMS-14

Section/Category: SI: NIMB_AMS-14

Keywords: AMS; Low-energy AMS; 10Be; Accelerator mass spectrometry

Corresponding Author: Mr. Martin Seiler, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: NTNU

First Author: Martin Seiler, PhD

Order of Authors: Martin Seiler, PhD; Johanna Anjar, PhD; Einar Værnes; Marie-Josée Nadeau, Associate Professor; Grazia Scognamiglio, PhD

Abstract: The performance of the Trondheim 1 MV AMS system for 10Be measurements has been investigated. While the initial acceptance tests were done using the 1+1+ charge state, we concluded that the 2+2+ charge state offers superior measurement conditions. With this setting, the source-to-detector efficiency is 5.4 ± 0.2 % and a 10Be/9Be background level of $3.4 \pm 0.8 \cdot 10^{-15}$ was achieved. Standard samples (n=14) with a high 10Be content of $10\text{Be}/\text{Be} = 2.7 \cdot 10^{-11}$ could be reproduced to a 0.4 % precision, leaving counting statistics as the limiting uncertainty for most samples. The accuracy of the system was also tested using 5 secondary standards of different 10Be content. The results corroborate the precision achieved with the standard sample and demonstrate the accuracy of the system.

We went through the comments of the reviewers and addressed their points in the revised manuscript. Here we outline the changes that we made to improve the issues pointed out by the reviewers:

Reviewer 1

1. Why +1+2 got high blank values? Reasons or opinions should be added.

- We addressed this point more detailed in the article. The main reason is the more abundant boron in the 2+ state after the degrader foil, which leads to a saturation in the detector.

2. Sample description and treatment in discussion should be moved to experiments.

- The description of the samples has been moved to sections 2 (experiments). The paragraphs were slightly adjusted to fit the narrative.

3. Three peaks appear in Fig. 3. They are more apparent in Fig. 6. What is the peak besides boron and beryllium? Sum peak? Annotation may be need in the figures.

- A deeper discussion about the spectra, especially the not mentioned oxygen peak, as well as the boron and pile-up events, was added to the article. Since this could not be compressed into some small sentences, we did not add this to the figure captions.

4. By the Fig.7, ESA bias voltage of 44.45 - 44.5 kV seems better than 44.3 kV. Why was 44.3 kV selected as the optimum condition?

- While the boron count rate at these voltages are indeed lowest, it also has a significantly reduced ^{10}Be yield. This is not very apparent on the log-plot at first sight, so that a statement in the article was added. We considered using a linear scale for the Be counts in this plot to make this point more visible, but we came to the conclusion that the figure is not well readable using two different scales.

Reviewer 3

- Several language issues that were pointed out by the reviewer, have been fixed.
- A comment about the separation of boron in the 1+2+ charge state was addressed by further explaining that the problem is actually the more abundant boron in comparison to the 1+1+ state.
- A comment about a possible cause for differences in the 1+ transmission among laboratories was incorporated in the discussion.
- Plots have been reworked to provide better visibility of the axes.

1
2 **First ^{10}Be measurements at Trondheim 1 MV AMS**
3

4 Martin Seiler^a, Johanna Anjar^{a,b}, Einar Værnes^a, Marie-Josée Nadeau^a, Grazia Scognamiglio^c
5
6

7
8 ^aNational Laboratory for Age Determination, NTNU, 7491 Trondheim, Norway
9

10
11 ^bUniversity of South-East Norway, Gullbringvegen 36, 3800 Bø, Norway
12
13

14
15 ^cCentro Nacional de Aceleradores, Universidad de Sevilla, 41092 Seville, Spain
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Corresponding author:
23

24
25 Martin Seiler
26

27
28 martin.seiler@ntnu.no
29
30

31
32 National Laboratory for Age Determination, NTNU, 7491 Trondheim, Norway
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Declarations of interest: none
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Abstract

The performance of the Trondheim 1 MV AMS system for ^{10}Be measurements has been investigated. While the initial acceptance tests were done using the 1+1+ charge state, we concluded that the 2+2+ charge state offers superior measurement conditions. With this setting, the source-to-detector efficiency is $5.4\pm 0.2\%$ and a $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ background level of $3.4\pm 0.8\cdot 10^{-15}$ was achieved. Standard samples ($n=14$) with a high ^{10}Be content of $^{10}\text{Be}/\text{Be}=2.7\cdot 10^{-11}$ could be reproduced to a 0.4 % precision, leaving counting statistics as the limiting uncertainty for most samples. The accuracy of the system was also tested using 5 secondary standards of different ^{10}Be content. The results corroborate the precision achieved with the standard sample and demonstrate the accuracy of the system.

Keywords: AMS; Low-energy AMS; ^{10}Be ; Accelerator mass spectrometry

1 Introduction

Downsizing accelerators and spectrometers was an important development in the history of accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS). This trend was led by instruments designed for radiocarbon measurement [1, 2] as it is the largest application of AMS. While these instruments were also used for different isotopes, their initial design is limiting the performance and requires adjustments [3]. Some AMS systems, such as the 1 MV AMS from High Voltage Engineering (HVE), which is in use in Trondheim, are specifically designed for the measurement of different isotopes [4], but have primarily been used for radiocarbon measurements [5, 6]. Extended investigations of other isotopes are therefore rare and often come to different results in the achievable performance [7-9].

We concluded that the performance depends on details either of the instruments or of its tuning. Therefore, we decided to investigate the behavior and performance of our AMS system to identify critical parameters that influence the results.

2 Experiment

The design of the 1 MV AMS system at NTNU is similar to systems in other laboratories, e.g. Centro Nacional de Aceleradores (CNA) in Sevilla. It is built for measuring ^{14}C , ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al . The system would also allow measurements of other isotopes, such as ^{41}Ca and ^{129}I , if additional magnet power supplies were provided. The method suggested for Be measurements is the extraction of BeO from the ion source (SO-110B) and the use of a degrader foil for the separation of boron interferences. The bouncing injector allows fast (≈ 100 Hz) sequential injection of different ion masses (^9BeO , ^{10}BeO) into the accelerator where the molecular break up happens within the Ar stripper gas. The analyzing magnet

1 separates the ^9Be beam for measurement in an off-axis Faraday cup and allows the selection
2 of the desired charge state. The mass 10 beam passes a silicon nitride (SiN) degrader foil
3 where it undergoes an element specific energy loss such that ^{10}B and ^{10}Be can be separated
4 in the electrostatic analyzer (ESA). The ESA has a plate gap of 25 mm and is symmetrically
5 powered by two unipolar 60 kV power supplies. The final particle identification is done in the
6 gas ionization detector (GIC) at the end of the beam line (Fig. 1). A detailed description of
7 the instrument is given in previous publications [4, 5, 7, 8].
8
9

10 The Cs sputtering ion source is used to extract BeO^- ions. We used BeO mixed into a Nb
11 matrix as target material. A Nb matrix has previously been found to provide high output
12 currents, as well as a good ionization yield in comparison to other metals [9, 10]. We found
13 that a Nb/BeO mass mixing ratio of 4 is optimal for our ion source by measuring the ion
14 current for targets with mixing ratios in the range of 0.3 to 6. From this mixture, we
15 extracted a BeO^- ion beam of about $3\ \mu\text{A}$. The current starts to decrease after a total
16 measurement time of about 1800 s on an individual target.
17
18

19 The 01-5-1 standard sample from K. Nishiizumi et al. [11], with a nominal $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio of
20 $2.709 \cdot 10^{-11}$, was used for normalization during the measurements. The other dilutions of the
21 Nishiizumi standard were used as known-ratio. The material was provided by the CNA in
22 Sevilla.
23
24

25 Two different background materials were used. One was the carrier material which is used at
26 the University of Bern in their Be extraction procedure for cosmogenic exposure dating
27 (7 targets). This material has undergone the complete chemical extraction procedure and is
28 therefore a good representation of the blank for the measurement of unknown samples. For
29 each of these samples, 0.5 mg of carrier ^9Be were treated following the standard protocol of
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the laboratory [12]. The addition of Fe and Ag in the end of the procedure was omitted and
2 the precipitated Be was burnt to BeO at 1000 °C before mixing it with Nb powder to achieve
3 an optimal target matrix. S. Merchel of Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)
4 provided the other material (4 targets), which consists of Be extracted from deep minerals
5 (phenakite), which contains a very little ^{10}Be [13]. Its $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio was measured at other
6 AMS facilities to be in the 10^{-16} range.
7

8 In order to determine the ion transmission through the accelerator, the ion current was
9 measured in a movable on-axis Faraday cup in front of the accelerator. After the accelerator,
10 the ion current can be measured either in an on-axis cup or in the off-axis cup, which is used
11 for ^9Be measurement under normal measurement conditions. Both cups on the high-energy
12 side are biased with voltage of -300 V in order to contain secondary electrons and guarantee
13 a correct current measurement. The cup on the low-energy side does not have a bias
14 voltage.
15

16 For determining the ion yield in the degrader foil, the measured ratio of $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ is
17 normalized to the nominal ratio of the reference sample used. This method does not
18 account for ion optical transmission in the ESA, but simulates actual measurement
19 conditions. However, scanning the beam profile with the adjustable slits indicates that the
20 ion optical losses are negligible. We set the slits to a width of 8 mm for our tests. This width
21 corresponds to the size of the detector entrance window (8x8 mm, 75 nm thickness). Due to
22 the maximal electric field of 4.8 kV/mm and the bending radius of 650 mm of the ESA, not all
23 charge states can be investigated. In particular, charge states lower than the injected ones
24 cannot be bent sufficiently at a 1 MV terminal voltage. The degrader foils are mounted on a
25 moveable arm with four position for different foils. The degrader foils used are made of
26 silicon nitride and the manufacturer recommends a thickness of 150 nm for this application.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 To demonstrate the measurement performance for unknown samples, a regular
2 measurement with standard samples of different ratios was also performed.
3
4

5 **3 Results**

6 **3.1 Transmission**

7
8 The accelerator transmission was measured at a terminal voltage of 1 MV and charge states
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
The accelerator transmission was measured at a terminal voltage of 1 MV and charge states
1⁺, 2⁺ and 3⁺ were investigated. The measurement was done in both Faraday cups on the
high-energy side, but the results were the same. The 1⁺ state showed the highest yield with a
transmission of 49.7 %. The 2⁺ state transmission was at 24.6 % whereas there was no signal
in the 3⁺ state. The stripper transmission includes charge state yield as well as ion optical
losses and represents the best values achieved during the tests. A stripper gas inlet pressure
of 0.014 mbar was set during these measurements.

A direct measurement of the ratio without a degrader foil is not possible because the
overlapping ¹⁰B beam is saturating the detection system. Instead, we investigated the 1⁺ and
2⁺ charge states after the degrader foil to identify the best performance. The transmission of
the degrader foil also includes ion optical losses in the ESA and at the detector window.
These losses are also influenced by the setting of the slits and the ESA voltage, which is
crucial for the boron suppression. The results are listed in table I.

66 **3.2 Blank**

In addition to the efficiency of the degrading process, the blank level and therefore the
separation between beryllium and boron is important for a precise measurement. Closing of
the slits in front of the detector obviously reduces the total number of counts in the
detector. However, the background to standard ratio is not affected by this. The blank values
were normalized to the reference samples at the corresponding settings.

1 Individual blank values for several targets in the 2+2+ charge state are plotted in Fig. 2. The
2 results were similar for both materials with a mean ratio of $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be} = 3.4 \pm 0.8 \cdot 10^{-15}$. The
3 blank level of the other charge states was also investigated. A summary of the results is
4 given in table I. However, the ratios for these states were only based on a single target each
5 because their overall performance was not good enough to compete with the 2+2+ setting.
6
7 The 1+1+ settings has a normalized blank value of $1.08 \pm 0.38 \cdot 10^{-14}$. The 1+2+ setting has an
8 even higher blank level of $1.36 \pm 0.38 \cdot 10^{-13}$ which is mostly due to the boron content of the
9 sample as the peaks in the detector spectrum are almost overlapping (Fig. 3). Another
10 contribution to background in this setting can be ^9Be which undergoes charge exchanges and
11 scattering [14].
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 3.3 Measurement precision

27 After the initial tests, we decided to continue the investigation with the 2+2+ charge states,
28 i.e. choosing the 2+ charge state after the stripper as well as after the degrader foil. This
29 operation mode has shown the best performance concerning both blank level and
30 measurement efficiency. A total of 14 targets of the 01-5-1 standard have been prepared
31 and were measured in the same batch to check the reproducibility of the measurement. The
32 results are plotted in Fig. 4 and show that the different targets are measured at the same
33 ratio within the single measurement uncertainties derived from counting statistics
34 ($\chi^2 = 1.037$), which is the dominant source of uncertainty. A precision of 0.4 % could be
35 reached before the samples were depleted. Since the $^{10}\text{Be}/\text{Be}$ ratio of this material is high
36 compared to usual sample material, the achievable precision for other materials is lower.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 3.4 Measurement accuracy

55 In order to test the accuracy of the measurement, the other dilutions of the Nishiizumi
56 standard were treated as unknowns. A total of 22 targets have been measured and
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 normalized to the Nishiizumi 01-5-1 samples. The results are presented in Fig. 5 as deviation
2 from nominal value according to their nominal ^{10}Be concentration. A more detailed analysis
3 of the variation is given with the results in table II.
4
5
6

7
8 We see that the measured ratios are in good agreement with the nominal values throughout
9 the whole range that is covered by these samples. The uncertainties of these samples are
10 larger than the ones of the 01-5-1 samples. This is primarily caused by their lower ^{10}Be
11 content, which leads to a reduced counting statistics. The additional uncertainty due to
12 normalization is small compared to this.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **4 Discussion**

22 The high yield of the 1+ charge state in the stripper suggests that it would provide efficient
23 measurements. However, the limited energy of the ions hitting the degrader foil in the 1+
24 charge state leads to large beam losses due to angular scattering. In addition, the charge
25 state distribution after the degrader foil only provides a low yield in the 1+ state, while the
26 use of the more abundant 2+ state is prohibited by the high background rate. The separation
27 between B and Be, both spatially after the ESA and in the detector spectrum, is rather small
28 for these energies. While the separation is enough to allow the measurements in the 1+1+
29 state, the more abundant boron in the 2+ state is creating a much larger interference
30 (Fig. 3). In addition, the boron tail is also increased which we assume is coming from the
31 increased number of ions hitting the outer ESA plate, e.g. the 1+ ions. There also seems to be
32 a peak like structure within the tail, which probably originates from scattering at a certain
33 place, but we were not able to identify it. Due to the large number of counts, there is also a
34 large number of pile-up events throughout the spectrum.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Compared to the 1+, the 2+ charge state gives only about half the transmission through the
2 accelerator. However, the ion energy of about 2.3 MeV when hitting the degrader foil leads
3 to a higher transmission there, which actually reduces the total loss. In addition, by carefully
4 optimizing the system, it is possible to achieve a higher separation in the detector spectrum
5 (Fig. 6, bottom left) and a lower blank level compared to the 1+ charge state. We identified
6 two main points that need optimization.
7
8
9

10 The first is the optimization of the detector pressure. While optimizing the pressure to
11 achieve a good separation is straight forward, a higher detector pressure is also desirable
12 because it stops some of the ions in the first anode and therefore helps to reduce pile-ups in
13 the detector electronics.
14
15

16 The other part is the setting of the ESA before the detector. The ^{10}Be peak is lying on a
17 shoulder of the boron beam (Fig. 7). The boron intensity is exponentially decreasing towards
18 higher settings of the ESA. This means that even a small adjustment can lead to a major
19 change in the boron count rate. Meanwhile, the ^{10}Be peak is relatively wide and therefore
20 allows some flexibility when setting the ESA for the measurement. This allows optimizing the
21 setting to achieve a low background from boron counts with a high ^{10}Be efficiency. The
22 lowest amount of boron is achieved for ESA voltages of about 44.45 kV or higher.
23 Unfortunately, the ^{10}Be detection efficiency at these settings is also reduced by more than
24 50 %.
25
26

27 Careful optimization of these two elements are required to achieve a low background. The
28 detector spectrum for an “unoptimized” setting shows a large amount of boron events and
29 related pile-ups (Fig. 6, top plots). The background level corresponded to $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}=2\cdot 10^{-14}$.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 voltage, lead to a background reduction of almost one order of magnitude while only
2 reducing the ^{10}Be counting efficiency by about 4 % (Fig. 6, lower plots). In comparison to the
3
4
5 1+2+ measurement, the spectrum shows an additional peak, which lies above the main
6
7 boron peak. This peak is coming from oxygen that is part of the injected ions. The ion energy
8
9 of about 2.6 MeV for the 2+ charge state is in good agreement with the detector signal.
10
11 While these ions should be well rejected by the analyzing magnet, they can pass the magnet
12
13 relatively well in charge state 3+, which can be achieved in a collision with the residual gas
14
15 after the accelerator.
16
17
18
19
20

21 An investigation of a similar AMS system has previously been done at CNA [9]. While their
22
23 tests investigated the same charge states, their results were slightly different. The stripper
24
25 transmission in the 1+ charge state was reported to be 58 % by CNA [9]. We were not able to
26
27 reproduce this and were limited to a transmission of about 50 %, which could be explained
28
29 by an ion optical loss, which might be caused by small alignment variations between the
30
31 systems and would affect the 1+ beam the most. However, the results of the 2+ charge state
32
33 are in very good agreement, indicating that this is a rather minor issue. A difference in the
34
35 stripper pressure could explain the difference. While we did see a decreased transmission,
36
37 about 2 % less, for a higher stripper pressure of 0.02 mbar, we observe an increase in
38
39 transmission for values lower than 0.014 mbar. A badly tuned system would be another
40
41 explanation for the lower transmission value. However, since we went through all ion optical
42
43 elements iteratively during optimization, trying to reach the 58 %, we found it unlikely that
44
45 tuning would be the main reason for the observed difference. For the CNA system a
46
47 transmission of 55 % has previously been reported as well [7], whereas KIGAM (Korea
48
49 Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources) reports a transmission of 47 % on a similar
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 system [6]. As we identified better measurement conditions for the 2+ charge state, we did
2 not investigate the different transmission for the 1+ further.
3

4
5 AMS systems with a terminal voltage of 1 MV or lower are usually using the 1+ charge state
6 for Be measurements, commonly resulting in background levels in the order of 10^{-14} [6, 9].
7
8 This matches with the acceptance test of the NTNU system [5], which was carried out using
9 the 1+1+ charge state. There has been lower blank ratios, in the 10^{-15} or 10^{-16} range,
10 reported for low-energy AMS systems but these systems are using an extended
11 spectrometer design, e.g. an additional magnet on the high-energy side, for further
12 background reduction [15, 16]. Although somewhat higher than the background levels
13 achieved using extended spectrometer designs, the $3.4 \cdot 10^{-15}$ background measured on our
14 simpler spectrometer is low enough for many applications. The achievable precision of 0.4 %
15 is not limiting for most applications and instead the low counting statistics limits the
16 precision for most of the samples. Variations of ^{10}Be results in cosmogenic analysis are
17 reported to be in order of several percent [17]. In addition, further processing of the results,
18 e.g. to determine exposure ages, add larger uncertainties such that the measurement error
19 is not of major concern [18].
20
21

22
23 The standards that we treated as unknowns for testing the system had lower ^{10}Be content
24 than the normalization sample so that the precision is slightly lower. However, several
25 targets of the same material were used to demonstrate the accuracy and the mean values
26 are in good agreement with the nominal values. Provided there is enough material, it can be
27 an option to use several targets for a single sample to get a more precise result, which would
28 otherwise be limited by the overall efficiency.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

5 Conclusion

1
2
3 The acceptance test of the NTNU AMS system for ^{10}Be measurements were done at the 1+1+
4
5 charge state selection, which only allowed a limited performance. Investigating the other
6
7 charge states led us to use the 2+2+ setting for our measurements instead. This way, we
8
9 could raise the efficiency by a factor of 2, and lower the background level by a factor of 3.
10
11 The background level of $3.4 \cdot 10^{-15}$ is the smallest that has been measured in a similar
12
13 instrument. Combined with an overall efficiency of 5.4 %, our system is ready for
14
15 measurements of samples from many research fields. The efficiency is limiting the counting
16
17 statistics, and therefore the achievable precision for $^{10}\text{Be}/\text{Be}$ ratios. When the ^{10}Be content is
18
19 high enough, a precision of 0.4 % could be demonstrated. The accuracy of the system has
20
21 been demonstrated using 5 standards of different ^{10}Be content. The results are well within
22
23 the precision of the system.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

6 Acknowledgement

31
32 We thank S. Merchel for providing ^{10}Be blank material.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- [1] M. Suter, S.W.A. Jacob, H.A. Synal, Tandem AMS at sub-MeV energies – Status and prospects, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 172 (2000) 144-151, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X\(00\)00359-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(00)00359-1)
- [2] H.A. Synal, S. Jacob, M. Suter, The PSI/ETH small radiocarbon dating system, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 172 (2000) 1-7, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X\(00\)00376-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(00)00376-1)
- [3] M. Stocker, et al., A universal and competitive compact AMS facility, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 240 (2005) 483-489, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2005.06.224>
- [4] M.G. Klein, D.J.W. Mous, A. Gott dang, A compact 1 MV multi-element AMS system, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 249 (2006) 764-767, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2006.03.135>
- [5] M.J. Nadeau, et al., Status of the "new" AMS facility in Trondheim, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 361 (2015) 149-155, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2015.06.002>
- [6] W. Hong, et al., A NEW 1MV AMS FACILITY AT KIGAM, Radiocarbon, 52 (2010) 243-251, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200045276>
- [7] M.G. Klein, et al., Performance of the compact HVE 1 MV multi-element AMS system, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 259 (2007) 184-187, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.157>
- [8] E. Chamizo, et al., Status of the compact 1 MV AMS facility at the Centro Nacional de Aceleradores (Spain), Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 266 (2008) 2217-2220, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2008.02.061>
- [9] A. Ruiz-Gómez, et al., On the measurement of ^{10}Be on the 1 MV compact AMS system at the Centro Nacional de Aceleradores (Spain), Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 268 (2010) 733-735, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.10.017>
- [10] A.L. Hunt, et al., Metal matrices to optimize ion beam currents for accelerator mass spectrometry, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 243 (2006) 216-222, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2005.07.220>
- [11] K. Nishiizumi, et al., Absolute calibration of ^{10}Be AMS standards, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 258 (2007) 403-413, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.297>
- [12] N. Akçar, et al., The AD 1717 rock avalanche deposits in the upper Ferret Valley (Italy): a dating approach with cosmogenic ^{10}Be , J. Quat. Sci., 27 (2012) 383-392, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1558>
- [13] S. Merchel, et al., Towards more precise ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl data from measurements at the 10^{-14} level: Influence of sample preparation, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 266 (2008) 4921-4926, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2008.07.031>
- [14] G. Scognamiglio, Optimization of ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al detection with low-energy accelerator mass spectrometry (PhD thesis), Universidad de Sevilla, 2017.
- [15] A.M. Müller, et al., ^{10}Be AMS measurements at low energies ($E < 1$ MeV), Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 266 (2008) 2207-2212, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2008.02.067>
- [16] J. Heinemeier, et al., The new extended HVE 1 MV multi-element AMS system for low background installed at the Aarhus AMS Dating Centre, Nucl. Instrum. Methods B, 361 (2015) 143-148, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2015.05.039>
- [17] A.J.T. Jull, E.M. Scott, P. Bierman, The CRONUS-Earth inter-comparison for cosmogenic isotope analysis, Quat. Geochronol., 26 (2015) 3-10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2013.09.003>

[18] G. Balco, et al., A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al measurements, *Quat. Geochronol.*, 3 (2008) 174-195, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Tables

Table I Transmissions and blank values for different charge states after the stripper and the 150 nm degrader foil.

Stripper		Degrader			Overall	
Charge state	Transmission	Energy / MeV	Charge state	Transmission	Transmission	Blank value
1+	49.7±0.5%	1.3	1+	5.7±0.3%	2.8±0.2%	1.08±0.38·10 ⁻¹⁴
			2+	15.4±0.6%	7.7±0.3%	1.36±0.38·10 ⁻¹³
2+	24.6±0.3%	2.3	2+	22.1±0.6%	5.4±0.2%	3.4±0.8·10 ⁻¹⁵


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table II Results from the standard samples that were treated as unknowns in the measurement.

sample	$^{10}\text{Be}/\text{Be}$ ratio / 10^{-12}			
	nominal	measured	1σ	1σ (%)
01-5-2	8.558	8.47	0.10	1.1 %
		8.49	0.10	1.1 %
		8.55	0.06	0.7 %
		8.64	0.05	0.6 %
		mean:	8.566	0.035
		χ_v^2 : 1.05		
01-5-3	6.32	6.30	0.08	1.2 %
		6.32	0.08	1.2 %
		6.28	0.07	1.2 %
		6.45	0.06	1.0 %
		6.41	0.07	1.2 %
		mean:	6.357	0.032
		χ_v^2 : 1.06		
01-5-4	2.851	2.85	0.04	1.3 %
		2.82	0.04	1.4 %
		2.89	0.04	1.3 %
		2.94	0.04	1.4 %
		2.96	0.05	1.5 %
		mean:	2.883	0.018
		χ_v^2 : 1.46		
01-6-1	0.9718	0.987	0.019	1.9 %
		0.963	0.019	2.0 %
		0.949	0.024	2.5 %
		0.970	0.030	3.1 %
		mean:	0.969	0.011
		χ_v^2 : 0.75		
01-6-2	0.5349	0.549	0.015	2.7 %
		0.545	0.014	2.6 %
		0.521	0.015	2.8 %
		0.545	0.012	2.2 %
		mean:	0.540	0.007
		χ_v^2 : 0.87		

Figures

Figure 1 Schematic of the Trondheim 1 MV AMS system. Only the most important components and the ion trajectories for regular Be measurements are shown.



Uncolored version for printing:






Figure 2 Blank results for both blank materials. There is no statistically relevant difference between the two materials, suggesting that the background level is given by the spectrometer of the AMS instrument and is not coming from the samples.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 3 Detector spectrum with a reference sample for the 1+2+ charge state. The ^{10}Be gate is indicated with a black outline. The separation between the boron interference and the ^{10}Be peak is small which leads to a high background level. The recording time for this spectrum was 200 s.



Uncolored version for printing:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65






Figure 4 Deviation of the 01-5-1 standard samples, which were used for referencing in the measurement. The 1σ error bars are representing the counting statistics of the individual samples, which is on average 0.42 %. The standard deviation is 0.4 %.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65


Figure 5 Deviation of the measured values from the literature values for the standard samples. The 1σ error bars include the counting statistics of the single samples as well as the error from normalization.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 6 GIC energy spectra for reference samples (left) and blanks (right) for two settings. The top spectra were recorded at an ESA voltage of ± 44.244 kV and a detector pressure of 8.0 mbar. The optimized setting (bottom spectra) used ± 44.344 kV and 9.1 mbar respectively. The black contour line shows the ^{10}Be gate defined for the measurement.



Uncolored version for printing:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65








Figure 7 Count rate for ^{10}Be and ^{10}B in the detector vs. ESA voltage. For ESA voltages lower than 44.1 kV, the high boron intensity leads to a saturation of the detector. The ^{10}B peak shows an exponential decrease in this range whereupon the ^{10}Be peak is comparably flat.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65