

Concrete Operators

Research Article

Jing Zhao*

Iteration of composition operators on small Bergman spaces of Dirichlet series

<https://doi.org/10.1515/conop-2018-0003>

Received May 21, 2017; accepted October 23, 2017.

Abstract: The Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_w consisting of Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ that satisfy $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 / w_n < \infty$, with $\{w_n\}_n$ of average order $\log_j n$ (the j -fold logarithm of n), can be embedded into certain small Bergman spaces. Using this embedding, we study the Gordon–Hedenmalm theorem on such \mathcal{H}_w from an iterative point of view. By that theorem, the composition operators are generated by functions of the form $\Phi(s) = c_0 s + \phi(s)$, where c_0 is a nonnegative integer and ϕ is a Dirichlet series with certain convergence and mapping properties. The iterative phenomenon takes place when $c_0 = 0$. It is verified for every integer $j \geq 1$, real $\alpha > 0$ and $\{w_n\}_n$ having average order $(\log_j^+ n)^\alpha$, that the composition operators map \mathcal{H}_w into a scale of $\mathcal{H}_{w'}$ with w'_n having average order $(\log_{j+1}^+ n)^\alpha$. The case $j = 1$ can be deduced from the proof of the main theorem of a recent paper of Bailleul and Brevig, and we adopt the same method to study the general iterative step.

Keywords: Composition operators, Iteration, Bergman spaces, Dirichlet series

MSC: 47B33, 11N37

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{H}^2 be the Hilbert space of Dirichlet series with square summable coefficients. A theorem of Gordon and Hedenmalm [2] classifies the set of analytic functions $\Phi : \mathbb{C}_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ which generate composition operators that map \mathcal{H}^2 into itself. Let \mathbb{C}_θ denote the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_\theta := \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > \theta\}$. The Gordon–Hedenmalm theorem reads as follows, in a slightly strengthened version found in [6].

Theorem 1 (Gordon–Hedenmalm Theorem). *A function $\Phi : \mathbb{C}_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ generates a bounded composition operator $\mathcal{C}_\Phi : \mathcal{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$ if and only if Φ is of the form*

$$\Phi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s} = c_0 s + \phi(s), \quad (1)$$

where c_0 is a nonnegative integer, and ϕ is a Dirichlet series that converges uniformly in \mathbb{C}_ϵ ($\epsilon > 0$) with the following mapping properties:

- (i) If $c_0 = 0$, then $\phi(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$;
- (ii) If $c_0 \geq 1$, then either $\phi \equiv 0$ or $\phi(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_0$.

The set of such Φ is called the Gordon–Hedenmalm class and denoted by \mathcal{G} . With the same convergence and mapping properties, the Gordon–Hedenmalm theorem was extended to the weighted Hilbert spaces \mathcal{D}_α

*Corresponding Author: Jing Zhao: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway, E-mail: jingzh95@me.com

which consists of Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ that satisfy

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 / d(n)^\alpha < \infty$$

in [1]. Here $d(n)$ is the divisor function which counts the number of divisors of n and $\alpha > 0$. In particular, for $c_0 = 0$, the composition operators map \mathcal{D}_α into \mathcal{D}_β with $\beta = 2^\alpha - 1$. It should be noticed that \mathcal{D}_β are spaces that are smaller than \mathcal{D}_α when $0 < \alpha < 1$ and bigger when $\alpha > 1$.

We observe from the proof of this extension (see [1, Theorem 1]) that \mathcal{D}_α are actually mapped into weighted Hilbert spaces that consist of Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 / (1 + \Omega(n))^\beta < \infty,$$

where $\Omega(n)$ is the number of prime factors of n (counting multiplicities). We say that an arithmetic function f has average order g if

$$\frac{1}{X} \sum_{n \leq X} f(n) \asymp g(X).$$

Observe that $d(n)^\alpha$ has average order $(\log n)^\beta$ and $\Omega(n)^\beta$ has average order $(\log \log n)^\beta$ (see Proposition 1). We see that \mathcal{D}_α are in fact mapped into smaller weighted Hilbert spaces. In this paper, we show that the phenomenon of gaining one more fold of the logarithm persists for more general weights that have average order $(\log_j n)^\alpha$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and real $\alpha > 0$.

Let $\log_j x$ denote the j -fold logarithm of x so that $\log_1 x = \log x$ and $\log_j x = \log_{j-1}(\log x)$. For convenience, we define $\log_0 x := x$ and

$$\log^+ |x| := \max\{|x|, 0\}; \quad \log_j^+ |x| := \log^+(\log_{j-1}^+ |x|), \quad j \geq 2.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{H}_w := \left\{ F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} : \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_w}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{w_n} < \infty \right\}. \tag{2}$$

For every real number $\alpha > 0$ and integer $j \geq 0$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\log,j} := \left\{ F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} : \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\log,j}}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{(1 + \log_j^+ \Omega(n))^\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{H}_{\log,0}$ will be denoted by \mathcal{H}_Ω . Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 2. *Let $\alpha > 0$ be a real number and $j \geq 1$ be an integer. When the weight $\{w_n\}_n$ of \mathcal{H}_w has average order $(\log_j^+ n)^\alpha$, a function $\Phi : \mathbb{C}_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ of the form defined in (1) with $c_0 = 0$ generates a composition operator $\mathcal{C}_\Phi : \mathcal{H}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\log,j-1}$ if and only if $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}$.*

There are a few things we should make clear. First, it is proved in Section 4 that the average order of $(\log_j^+ \Omega(n))^\alpha$ is $(\log_{j+2}^+ n)^\alpha$, so that iterates of \mathcal{C}_Φ acting on \mathcal{H}_w fit into the scope of this theorem. Second, it is natural to replace \mathcal{D}_α with \mathcal{H}_w and $w_n = d_{\alpha+1}(n)$. Here, when α is a positive integer, $d_\alpha(n)$ is the number of representations of n as a product of α integers, so $d_2(n) = d(n)$. For general α , $d_\alpha(n)$ is the coefficient of the n th term of the Dirichlet series of $\zeta(s)^\alpha$, i.e.

$$d_\alpha(n) = \binom{k_1 + \alpha - 1}{k_1} \cdots \binom{k_r + \alpha - 1}{k_r}, \quad \text{for } n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}.$$

It can be checked that the proof of Theorem 1 of [1] carries through, so that \mathcal{C}_Φ maps \mathcal{H}_w with $w_n = d_{\alpha+1}(n)$ into \mathcal{H}_Ω . Notice that $d_{\alpha+1}(n)$ has average order $(\log n)^\alpha$ [7] and $d(n)^\alpha$ has average order $(\log n)^{2^\alpha - 1}$.

2 Preliminaries

In [3], \mathcal{H}^2 was identified with a space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, where \mathbb{D}^∞ is the infinite polydisk

$$\mathbb{D}^\infty := \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots), \quad |z_j| < 1\}.$$

This is obtained by using the Bohr lift of Dirichlet series that are analytic in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, which is defined in the following way. Let

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}, \quad \Re s > 1/2. \tag{3}$$

We write n as a product of its prime factors

$$n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r},$$

where the p_j are the primes in ascending order. We replace p_j^{-s} with z_j , set $\kappa(n) = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$, and define the formal power series

$$\mathcal{B}F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{\kappa(n)}$$

as the Bohr lift of F .

For $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$, we define a completely multiplicative function by requiring $\chi(n) = \chi^{\kappa(n)}$ when $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$ and $\kappa(n) = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$. For Φ of the form (1) with $c_0 = 0$,

$$\Phi_\chi(s) = \phi_\chi(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \chi(n) n^{-s}.$$

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $\Phi_\chi \in \mathcal{G}$ for any $\chi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}^\infty}$.*

Proof. This was proved in [1, Lemma 8]. □

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}$ of the form (1) with $c_0 = 0$. For every Dirichlet polynomial F , every $\chi \in \overline{\mathbb{D}^\infty}$ and every $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$, we have*

$$(F \circ \Phi)_\chi(s) = (F \circ \phi_\chi)(s). \tag{4}$$

Proof. It was proved in [1, Lemma 9] that

$$(F \circ \Phi)_\chi(s) = (F_{\chi^{c_0}} \circ \Phi_\chi)(s)$$

whenever $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}$. This is reduced to (4) when $c_0 = 0$. □

We shall now introduce a scale of Bergman spaces over \mathbb{D} , as well as the corresponding Bergman spaces over $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ which are induced by a certain conformal mapping $\tau : \mathbb{C}_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.

Let $e_j := \underbrace{\exp(\exp(\cdots \exp(e)))}_{j \text{ e's}}$ ($e_0 = 1$). For $\alpha > 0$ and $j \geq 1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} dv_{\alpha,1}(z) &:= dA_\alpha(z) = \alpha(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha-1} dA(z); \\ dv_{\alpha,j}(z) &:= \frac{\alpha}{1 - |z|^2} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{j-2} \log_\ell \left(\frac{e_\ell}{1 - |z|^2} \right) \right)^{-1} \left(\log_{j-1} \left(\frac{e_{j-1}}{1 - |z|^2} \right) \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} dA(z), \quad j > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})$ be the set of functions f that satisfy

$$\|f\|_{D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dv_{\alpha,j}(z) < \infty.$$

For $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$, we have

$$\|f\|_{D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})}^2 \asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_n|^2}{(1 + \log_{j-1}^+ n)^\alpha}.$$

Let

$$\tau(s) = \frac{s - 3/2}{s + 1/2} \tag{5}$$

which maps $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ to \mathbb{D} .

The measure $\mu_j(s)$ on $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ induced by τ is

$$d\mu_1(s) = 4^\alpha \alpha (\sigma - 1/2)^{\alpha-1} \frac{dm(s)}{|s + 1/2|^{2\alpha+2}};$$

$$d\mu_j(s) = \frac{\alpha}{(\sigma - 1/2)} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{j-2} \log_\ell^+ \frac{e_\ell |s + 1/2|^2}{2(2\sigma - 1)} \right)^{-1} \left(\log_{j-1}^+ \frac{e_{j-1} |s + 1/2|^2}{2(2\sigma - 1)} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dm(s)}{|s + 1/2|^2}, \quad j > 1.$$

Finally, let $D_{\alpha,j,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ consist of functions F that are analytic in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ such that

$$\|F\|_{D_{\alpha,j,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |F(s)|^2 d\mu_j(s) < \infty \tag{6}$$

and

$$D_{\alpha,1,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2}) =: D_{\alpha,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2}).$$

Then

$$\|F\|_{D_{\alpha,j,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F \circ \tau^{-1}(z)|^2 d\nu_{\alpha,j}(z) = \|F \circ \tau^{-1}\|_{D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})}^2$$

and

$$D_{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{D}) =: D_\alpha(\mathbb{D}).$$

The proof of the main theorem will be given in Section 3. We verify that the average order of $(\log_j^+ \Omega(n))^\alpha$ is $(\log_{j+2}^+ n)^\alpha$ in Section 4.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

As in [1, Subsection 3.1], we inherit the proof of the arithmetical condition of c_0 from [2, Theorem A]. For the mapping and convergence properties of ϕ , we follow Subsection 3.2 in [1] as well.

Lemma 3. *Assume that $w_n \geq 1$. There exists a function $F \in \mathcal{H}_w$ such that*

1. *For almost all $\chi \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$, F_χ converges in \mathbb{C}_0 and cannot be analytically continued to any larger domain;*
2. *For at least one $\chi \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$, F_χ converges in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ and cannot be analytically continued to any larger domain.*

Proof. It was shown in [2] that the function

$$F(s) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p} \log p} p^{-s}$$

satisfies conditions (1) and (2). Clearly, F is in \mathcal{H}_w because $w_n \geq 1$. □

The rest of the proof consists of two steps. We shall first embed \mathcal{H}_w into certain Bergman spaces, and then apply Littlewood’s subordination principle to functions in these Bergman spaces.

Lemma 4 (Embedding of \mathcal{H}_w). *Let the weight $\{w_n\}$ of \mathcal{H}_w have average order $(\log_j n)^\alpha$. Then \mathcal{H}_w is continuously embedded into $D_{\alpha,j,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$.*

For every $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $Q_\tau = (1/2, 1] \times [\tau, \tau + 1)$. It suffices to prove the following local embedding for \mathcal{H}_w ,

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{Q_\tau} |F(\sigma + it)|^2 dt d\mu_j^*(\sigma) \ll \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_w}^2.$$

The case when $j = 1$ was established in [5]. We shall use the same method to establish the general case.

It will suffice to prove the inequality

$$\int_{1/2}^1 \int_0^1 |F(\sigma + it)|^2 dt d\mu_j^*(\sigma) \ll \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_w}^2, \quad (7)$$

where

$$d\mu_j^*(\sigma) := \frac{\alpha}{(\sigma - 1/2)} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{j-2} \log_\ell^+ \frac{e_\ell}{(\sigma - 1/2)} \right)^{-1} \left(\log_{j-1}^+ \frac{e_{j-1}}{(\sigma - 1/2)} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} d\sigma, \quad j > 1.$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5. For $\alpha > 0$ and $j \geq 2$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_0^1 n^{-t} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{j-2} \log_l^+ \frac{e_l}{t} \right)^{-1} \left(\log_{j-1}^+ \frac{e_{j-1}}{t} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (\log_j^+ n)^{-\alpha} + \mathcal{O} \left((\log_j^+ n)^{-\alpha-1} \right).$$

Proof. We first prove the case $j = 2$ which is given by the integral

$$I := \int_0^1 e^{-t \log n} \left(\log \frac{e}{t} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

We split the integral at the point $t = 1/\log n$, which is dictated by the exponential decay of the integrand. This gives

$$I = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^{1/\log n} e^{-t \log n} \left(\log \frac{e}{t} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{1/\log n}^1 e^{-t \log n} \left(\log \frac{e}{t} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

For I_2 , we split it again at the point $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{1/\log n}^{1/\sqrt{\log n}} e^{-t \log n} \left(\log \frac{e}{t} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \ll \frac{1}{\left(\log e \sqrt{\log n} \right)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{1/\log n}^{1/\sqrt{\log n}} e^{-t \log n} \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \ll \frac{1}{(\log_2 n)^{\alpha+1}} \int_1^\infty e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{(\log_2 n)^{\alpha+1}};$$

$$\int_{1/\sqrt{\log n}}^1 e^{-t \log n} \left(\log \frac{e}{t}\right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t} \ll \sqrt{\log n} \int_{1/\sqrt{\log n}}^1 e^{-t \log n} dt$$

$$\ll \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\log n}}}{\sqrt{\log n}}.$$

For I_1 , we write $e^{-t \log n} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(t \log n)$

$$I_1 = \int_0^{1/\log n} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t \log n)) \left(\log \frac{e}{t}\right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \int_{\log n}^{\infty} (\log(et))^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dt}{t} + \mathcal{O} \left(\log n \int_0^{1/\log n} \left(\log \frac{e}{t}\right)^{-(\alpha+1)} dt \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} (\log_2 n)^{-\alpha} + \mathcal{O} \left((\log_2 n)^{-\alpha-1} \right).$$

For the general integral with $j > 2$ we can follow the same procedure. The main contribution comes from the term I_1 , and I_2 gives a negligible contribution, that is

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha} (\log_j^+ n)^{-\alpha} + \mathcal{O} \left((\log_j^+ n)^{-\alpha-1} \right),$$

$$I_2 \ll (\log_j^+ n)^{-\alpha-1} + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\log n}}}{\sqrt{\log n}}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Let $F \in \mathcal{H}_w$. Using duality, we have

$$\int_0^1 |F(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = \sup_{\substack{g \in L^2(0,1) \\ \|g\|_2=1}} \left| \int_0^1 \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-\sigma-it} g(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{w_n} n^{-2\sigma+1} (\log_j^+ n)^\alpha \right)}_{(i)} \underbrace{\left(\sup_{\substack{g \in L^2(0,1) \\ \|g\|_2=1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{g}(\log n)|^2 w_n}{n (\log_j^+ n)^\alpha} \right)}_{(ii)},$$

where \hat{g} is the Fourier transform of g . By the smoothness of \hat{g} and the assumption on w_n , the supremum on the right hand side is finite. Integrating both sides against $d\mu_j^*(\sigma)$ and applying Lemma 5, we get the inequality (7). □

Lemma 6. For $\omega : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})$, there exists some constant C_0 depending on $\omega(0)$ such that

$$\|f \circ \omega\|_{D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})}^2 \leq C_0 \|f\|_{D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})}^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\omega(z))|^2 dv_{\alpha,j}(z) \leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dv_{\alpha,j}(z). \tag{8}$$

Proof. Suppose $\omega(0) = a$, and let $\psi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be analytic such that $\psi(z) = \omega_a \circ \omega(z)$ with $\omega_a(z) = \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}$. Then we have $\omega(z) = \omega_a \circ \psi(z)$. Starting with Littlewood’s subordination principle,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(\omega(re^{i\theta}))|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\omega_a \circ \psi(re^{i\theta}))|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\omega_a(re^{i\theta}))|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

since $\psi(0) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\omega(z))|^2 dv_{\alpha,j}(z) &\leq (1 - |a|^2) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \log_{\ell}^+ \frac{e_{\ell}}{1 - |\omega_a(z)|^2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\log_j^+ \frac{e_j}{1 - |\omega_a(z)|^2} \right)^{-(\alpha+1)} \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)|1 - \bar{a}z|^2} \\ &\leq C_a \left(\frac{1 + |a|}{1 - |a|} \right) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dv_{\alpha,j}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for some C_a depending on a . □

Proof of Theorem 2. When $c_0 = 0$, by Lemma 2, $(F \circ \Phi_{\chi})_{\chi}(s) = (F \circ \Phi_{\chi})(s)$ for every Dirichlet polynomial F , $\chi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$. For fixed $s, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}, \chi \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ and $\lambda\chi := (\lambda\chi_1, \lambda\chi_2, \lambda\chi_3, \dots)$, we may view

$$\Phi_{\lambda\chi}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \lambda^{\Omega(n)} \chi(n) n^{-s} = \phi_{\lambda\chi}(s) := \eta_s(\lambda)$$

as an analytic map $\eta_s : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ with $\eta_s(0) = c_1$. Putting $\omega = \tau \circ \eta_s = \tau \circ \Phi_{\lambda\chi}$ and applying τ to the inequality (8) with $f = F \circ \tau^{-1}$ and being a Dirichlet polynomial, we have

$$\|F \circ \Phi_{\lambda\chi}\|_{D_{\alpha,j}(\mathbb{D})}^2 \leq C_1 \frac{1 + \tau(c_1)}{1 - \tau(c_1)} \|F\|_{D_{\alpha,j,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2. \tag{9}$$

As in [1], we assume $F \circ \Phi(s) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n n^{-s}$. To avoid negative arguments in the j -fold logarithm, we shall equip $\chi \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ with an indicator function with respect to the value of $\Omega(n)$ by defining

$$\chi_j(n) = \chi(n) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{n: \Omega(n) > e_{j-3}\}}(n).$$

Then we put $F \circ \Phi_{\lambda\chi_j} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \lambda^{\Omega(n)} \chi_j(n) n^{-s}$ into (9) and integrate against the Haar measure $d\rho(\chi)$ over \mathbb{T}^{∞} to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \lambda^{\Omega(n)} \chi_j(n) n^{-s} \right|^2 dv_{\alpha,j}(\lambda) d\rho(\chi) \asymp \sum_{\{n: \Omega(n) > e_{j-3}\}} \frac{|b_n|^2 n^{-2\sigma}}{(1 + \log_{j-1} \Omega(n))^{\alpha}}.$$

Upon letting $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\sum_{\{n: \Omega(n) > e_{j-3}\}} \frac{|b_n|^2}{(1 + \log_{j-1} \Omega(n))^{\alpha}} \leq C_{c_1} \frac{1 + \tau(c_1)}{1 - \tau(c_1)} \|F\|_{D_{\alpha,j,i}(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}^2$$

for some constant depending on c_1 . We get our conclusion by Lemma 4. □

Even though we may get $C_0 = 1$ in Lemma 6 by requiring $\omega(0) = 0 = \tau \circ \eta_s(0) = \tau(c_1)$, we cannot claim the contractivity due to the constant appearing in the embedding.

4 The average order

In this section, we will verify that the average order of $(\log_j^+ \Omega(n))^{\alpha}$ is $(\log_{j+2}^+ n)^{\alpha}$. It will suffice to give the details when $j = 0$.

Proposition 1. *For real $\alpha \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \Omega(n)^{\alpha} = X (\log_2 X)^{\alpha} + \mathcal{O} \left[X (\log_2 X)^{\alpha-1} \right]. \tag{10}$$

This estimation is consistent with the case when $\alpha = 1$ or 2 which can be found in [8]. Let

$$N_k(X) := \#\{n \leq X : \Omega(n) = k\}$$

and

$$S_\Omega^\alpha(X) = \sum_{n \leq X} \Omega(n)^\alpha.$$

We shall use some results of $N_k(X)$ to estimate $S_\Omega^\alpha(X)$, for which we need to rewrite $S_\Omega^\alpha(X)$ as

$$S_\Omega^\alpha(X) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{\log X}{\log 2}} k^\alpha N_k(X).$$

Proof of Proposition 1. The quantity $N_k(X)$ has several changes in its behaviour as k varies with X . These are described in [8] (see Theorems 5 and 6 in Chapter II.6) and [4]. Accordingly, we split the sum $S_\Omega^\alpha(X)$ into different parts with respect to k . These are given by:

- (i) $1 \leq k \leq (1 - \epsilon) \log_2 X$;
- (ii) $(1 - \epsilon) \log_2 X < k < (1 + \epsilon) \log_2 X$;
- (iii) $(1 + \epsilon) \log_2 X \leq k < A \log_2 X$;
- (iv) $A \log_2 X \leq k \leq \frac{\log X}{\log 2}$.

Here $A \geq 3$ and $\epsilon = \sqrt{2B \log_3 X / \log_2 X}$ with B some large constant. With this choice of ϵ the sum over the range (ii) is centred about the mean of $\Omega(n)$ and hence should give the main contribution. We first concentrate on this range.

Theorem 5 in Chapter II.6 of [8] states that

$$N_k(X) = \frac{X}{\log X} \frac{(\log_2 X)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left\{ v \left(\frac{k-1}{\log_2 X} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k}{(\log_2 X)^2} \right) \right\},$$

where

$$v(z) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(z+1)} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{z}{p} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^z, \quad |z| < 2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=(1-\epsilon)\log_2 X}^{(1+\epsilon)\log_2 X} k^\alpha N_k(X) &= \frac{X}{\log X} \sum_{k=(1-\epsilon)\log_2 X}^{(1+\epsilon)\log_2 X} k^\alpha \frac{(\log_2 X)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left(v \left(\frac{k-1}{\log_2 X} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{X}{\log X \log_2 X} \sum_{k=(1-\epsilon)\log_2 X}^{(1+\epsilon)\log_2 X} k^{\alpha+1} \frac{(\log_2 X)^k}{k!} \left(v \left(\frac{k-1}{\log_2 X} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Stirling’s formula gives the sum

$$\frac{X}{\sqrt{2\pi} \log X \log_2 X} \left(1 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X} \right) \right) \sum_{k=(1-\epsilon)\log_2 X}^{(1+\epsilon)\log_2 X} k^{\alpha+1/2} \frac{(\log_2 X)^k e^k}{k^k} \left(v \left(\frac{k-1}{\log_2 X} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X} \right) \right).$$

We now write $k = \log_2 X + \ell$ with

$$\ell \in (-\epsilon \log_2 X, \epsilon \log_2 X) = \left(-\sqrt{2B \log_2 X \log_3 X}, \sqrt{2B \log_2 X \log_3 X} \right)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} v \left(\frac{k-1}{\log_2 X} \right) &= v(1) + v'(1) \frac{\ell-1}{\log_2 X} + \frac{1}{2} v''(1) \left(\frac{\ell-1}{\log_2 X} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X} \right) \\ &= 1 + v'(1) \frac{\ell}{\log_2 X} + \frac{1}{2} v''(1) \left(\frac{\ell}{\log_2 X} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$k^{\alpha+1/2} = (\log_2 X)^{\alpha+1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\ell}{\log_2 X}\right)^{\alpha+1/2} = (\log_2 X)^{\alpha+1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha+1/2}{m} \left(\frac{\ell}{\log_2 X}\right)^m. \quad (11)$$

Upon forming the product of these two series, our sum becomes

$$\frac{X(\log_2 X)^{\alpha-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X}\right)\right) \sum_{\ell=-\epsilon \log_2 X}^{\epsilon \log_2 X} \frac{(\log_2 X)^{\log_2 X + \ell} e^{\ell}}{(\log_2 X + \ell)^{\log_2 X + \ell}} \times \left(1 + c_1 \frac{\ell}{\log_2 X} + c_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{\log_2 X}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X}\right)\right).$$

for some coefficients c_j .

We also expand the first factor of our sum as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\log_2 X)^{\log_2 X + \ell} e^{\ell}}{(\log_2 X + \ell)^{\log_2 X + \ell}} &= e^{\ell - (\log_2 X + \ell) \log(1 + \ell / \log_2 X)} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\ell^2}{2 \log_2 X}} \left[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\ell^n}{n(n-1)(\log_2 X)^{n-1}}\right)^m\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, our summand takes the form

$$e^{-\frac{\ell^2}{2 \log_2 X}} \left[1 + c_1 \frac{\ell}{\log_2 X} + c_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{\log_2 X}\right)^2 + \frac{\ell^3}{6(\log_2 X)^2} + \left(\frac{c_1}{6} \log_2 X + \frac{1}{12}\right) \left(\frac{\ell}{\log_2 X}\right)^4 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X}\right)\right]. \quad (12)$$

Upon performing the sum we only retain the terms with an even power of ℓ . Hence, we are led to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X(\log_2 X)^{\alpha-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X}\right)\right) \sum_{\ell=-\epsilon \log_2 X}^{\epsilon \log_2 X} e^{-\frac{\ell^2}{2 \log_2 X}} \left[1 + c_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{\log_2 X}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c_1}{6} \log_2 X + \frac{1}{12}\right) \left(\frac{\ell}{\log_2 X}\right)^4 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 X}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

On approximating the sum with an integral via the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula we gain an error term of order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\log_2 X)^B}\right)$. Then the leading term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{-\sqrt{2B \log_2 X \log_3 X}}^{\sqrt{2B \log_2 X \log_3 X}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2 \log_2 X}} dt}{\sqrt{2\pi \log_2 X}} &= \sqrt{2\pi \log_2 X} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{B \log_3 X}}^{\infty} e^{-u^2} du\right) \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi \log_2 X} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\log_2 X)^B}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_a^{\infty} e^{-u^2} du \leq \frac{1}{a} \int_a^{\infty} u e^{-u^2} du \ll \frac{1}{a} e^{-a^2}.$$

For the higher powers of ℓ we use the formulae

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2} u^{2n} du = \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$\int_a^\infty e^{-u^2} u^{2n} du \ll a^{2n-1} e^{-a^2}$$

which follow from

$$\int_a^\infty u^{2n} e^{-u^2} du \leq \frac{1}{a} \int_a^\infty u^{2n+1} e^{-u^2} du = \frac{1}{2} a^{2n-1} e^{-a^2} + \frac{n}{a} \int_a^\infty u^{2n-1} e^{-u^2} du.$$

These give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\sqrt{2B \log_3 X \log_2 X}}^{\sqrt{2B \log_3 X \log_2 X}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2 \log_2 X}} t^{2n} dt &= \left(\sqrt{2 \log_2 X}\right)^{2n+1} \int_{-\sqrt{B \log_3 X}}^{\sqrt{B \log_3 X}} e^{-u^2} u^{2n} du \\ &= \left(\sqrt{2 \log_2 X}\right)^{2n+1} \Gamma(n + 1/2) \\ &\quad + O\left((\log_2 X)^{n+1/2-B} (\log_3 X)^{n-1/2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together gives

$$\sum_{k=(1-\epsilon) \log_2 X}^{(1+\epsilon) \log_2 X} k^\alpha N_k(X) = X (\log_2 X)^\alpha + o\left(X (\log_2 X)^{\alpha-1}\right).$$

It should be clear from the above that with more precision one can obtain an asymptotic expansion to any required degree of accuracy.

For (i) and (iii), we shall use the Erdős–Kac theorem for $\Omega(n)$ [7], which states that

$$\#\left\{n \leq X : a \leq \frac{\Omega(n) - \log_2 n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} \leq b\right\} \sim \frac{X}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^b e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt. \tag{13}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\epsilon) \log_2 X} k^\alpha N_k(X) &\ll (\log_2 X)^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{(1-\epsilon) \log_2 X} N_k(X) \\ &= (\log_2 X)^\alpha \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{n \leq X \\ 1 \leq \Omega(n) \leq (1-\epsilon) \log_2 X}} 1}_{=:S_{1,X}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{k=(1+\epsilon) \log_2 X}^{A \log_2 X} k^\alpha N_k(X) \ll (\log_2 X)^\alpha \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{n \leq X \\ (1+\epsilon) \log_2 X \leq \Omega(n) \leq A \log_2 X}} 1}_{=:S_{3,X}}.$$

For X large, there exists $n_{0,X}$ such that for $n \geq n_{0,X}$

$$-C_1 \sqrt{\log_2 X} \leq \frac{\Omega(n) - \log_2 n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} \leq C_2 \epsilon \sqrt{\log_2 X}$$

and

$$C'_1 \epsilon \sqrt{\log_2 X} \leq \frac{\Omega(n) - \log_2 n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} \leq C'_2 A \sqrt{\log_2 X},$$

for some C_1, C_2, C'_1, C'_2 . Therefore,

$$S_{1,X} \sim \frac{X}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{C_1 \epsilon \sqrt{\log_2 X}}^{C_2 A \sqrt{\log_2 X}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \ll X \sqrt{\log_2 X} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \Big|_{t=C_1 \epsilon \sqrt{\log_2 X}} \\ \ll \frac{X}{(\log X)^{\epsilon_0}}$$

and

$$S_{3,X} \ll \frac{X}{(\log X)^{\epsilon'_0}}$$

for some $\epsilon_0, \epsilon'_0 > 0$, respectively.

For (iv), by Nicolas's result in [4], there exists the same constant C , such that

$$\sum_{k=A \log_2 X}^{\log X / \log 2} k^\alpha N_k(X) \ll \sum_{k=A \log_2 X}^{\log X / \log 2} k^\alpha \left\{ \left(\frac{CX}{2^k} \right) \log \left(\frac{X}{2^k} \right) \right\} \\ = CX \sum_{k=A \log_2 X}^{\log X / \log 2} k^\alpha 2^{-k} \log \left(\frac{X}{2^k} \right).$$

We may bound this last sum from above by the integral

$$\int_{A \log_2 X}^{\log X / \log 2} t^\alpha 2^{-t} \log \left(\frac{X}{2^t} \right) dt \leq \log X \int_{A \log_2 X}^{\infty} t^\alpha 2^{-t} dt \\ \leq \log X (A \log_2 X)^\alpha 2^{-A \log_2 X} \\ \ll \log X (\log_2 X)^\alpha (\log X)^{-A \log 2} \\ \leq (\log X)^{1-3 \log 2} (\log_2 X)^\alpha. \quad \square$$

For the average order of $(\log_j^+ \Omega(n))^\alpha$, when carrying through the proof of Proposition 1 for the range (ii), it can be seen from (11) that the main contribution $(\log_{j+2} X)^\alpha$ gets more and more centralised when j becomes bigger. Therefore, we eventually get

$$\sum_{n \leq X} (\log_j^+ \Omega(n))^\alpha = X (\log_{j+2} X)^\alpha + \mathcal{O} \left(X \frac{(\log_{j+2} X)^\alpha}{\log_2 X} \right).$$

Acknowledgement: I thank Ole Fredrik Brevig for introducing me to this topic and giving valuable information during my work. I also thank Winston Heap and Kristian Seip for crucial advice on the proof of the asymptotic approximation. I thank all of them for their kind suggestions on early drafts of this paper.

References

- [1] M. Bailleul, O. F. Brevig, The composition operators on Bohr–Bergman spaces of Dirichlet series, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 1, 41 (2016)
- [2] J. Gordon, H. Hedenmalm, The composition operators on the space of Dirichlet series with square summable coefficients, *Michigan Math. J.* 2, 46 (1999)
- [3] H. Hedenmalm, P. Lindqvist, K. Seip, A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in $L^2(0, 1)$, *Duke Math. J.* 1, 86 (1997)
- [4] J. L. Nicolas, Sur la distribution des nombres entiers ayant une quantité fixée de facteurs premiers, *Acta Arith.* 3, 44 (1984)
- [5] J. F. Olsen, Local properties of Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series, *J. Funct. Anal.* 9, 261 (2011)
- [6] H. Queffélec, K. Seip, Approximation numbers of composition operators on the \mathcal{H}^2 space of Dirichlet series, *J. Funct. Anal.* 6, 268 (2015)
- [7] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995)
- [8] P. Turán, On a theorem of Hardy and Ramanujan, *J. London Math. Soc.* 4, 274 (1934)