1	Spatial representation in the hippocampal formation: a history
2	
3	Edvard I. Moser <sup>1</sup> , May-Britt Moser <sup>1</sup> , Bruce McNaughton <sup>2</sup>
4	
5	<sup>1</sup> Kavli Institute for Systems Neuroscience, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
6	Trondheim, Norway
7	
8	<sup>2</sup> Center for the Neurobiology of Learning and Memory, University of California at Irvine, Irvine CA,
9	USA, 92697-8439 and Canadian Centre for Behavioural Neuroscience, University of Lethbridge,
10	Lethbridge AB, Canada
11	
12	Main text (without Box, Figure Legends, and References: 10,818 words
13	8 figures (including timeline), 1 Box
14	
15	Acknowledgments. The work was supported by two Advanced Investigator Grants from the European
16	Research Council (GRIDCODE – grant no. 338865 to E.I.M.; 'ENSEMBLE', Grant Agreement no.
17	268598, to MB.M.), the Centre of Excellence scheme of the Research Council of Norway (Centre for
18	Neural Computation, grant number 223262 to MB.M. and E.I.M.), the Kavli Foundation (MB.M.
19	and E.I.M.), and National Science Foundation Grant 1631465 to B.L.M.
20	
21	

#### 23 ABSTRACT

24 Since the first place cell was recorded, and the subsequent formulation of the cognitive-map theory, 25 investigation of spatial representation in the hippocampal formation has evolved in stages. Early 26 studies sought to verify the spatial nature of place-cell activity and determine its sensory origin. A 27 new epoch started with the discovery of head direction cells and the realization of the importance of 28 angular and linear movement-integration in the generation of spatial maps. A third epoch began 29 when investigators turned their attention to the entorhinal cortex, which led to the discovery of grid 30 cells and border cells. This review will show how ideas about integration of self-motion cues have 31 shaped our understanding of spatial representation in hippocampal-entorhinal systems, from the 32 1970s until today. How specialized cell types of these systems work together is now investigable and 33 spatial mapping may become one of the first cognitive functions to be understood in mechanistic 34 detail.

35

37 Although the study of the cellular and circuit mechanisms of spatial representation in the brain today 38 is centered on the hippocampal and parahippocampal formation, the history of the study of spatial 39 coding did not begin there, but rather with the parietal cortex, in the form of early observations on patients with parietal damage<sup>1,2</sup>, and in many respects one takes a risk in attempting to limit the 40 discussion to the hippocampal formation<sup>3</sup>. Nevertheless, in studies of spatial coding, some of the 41 42 most 'paradigm shifting' discoveries and ideas have come from recordings within the greater network 43 of the hippocampal formation, particularly the dorsal parts of hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and 44 pre- and parasubiculum, where cells exhibit place-dependent activity independently of the animal's 45 behavior or the task that it is performing (Fig. 1). Key among these insights were the discoveries of 46 place cells (Fig. 2)<sup>4</sup>, head-direction cells (Fig. 3)<sup>5-7</sup>, and grid cells<sup>8,9</sup>, each of which represent quantum 47 jumps in our realization that there is a system in the brain which has evolved to produce a 48 representation manifold that can be linked to position (grid cells), an inertial compass (head direction 49 cells), and a system for mapping external features and events onto internal, and, at least locally, 50 metric coordinates (place cells). In broad terms, these components, and their interactions, were 51 predicted by O'Keefe in 1976<sup>10</sup>.

52 Also key to the emergence of a paradigm for spatial representation was a gradual understanding of 53 the role played by different spatial reference frames and their interactions. There exist three 54 reference frames in which space can be represented: egocentric (defined in relation to a body part 55 axis), allocentric (based on spatial relationships to or among external features), and inertial or 56 idiothetic (relative location and orientation based on direction and distance moved from an arbitrary 57 reference point). Navigation in an idiothetic reference frame is often referred to as 'path integration', 58 a process by which animals use self-motion cues – such as motor efference, optical flow, and vestibular information – to keep track of their own location relative to a starting point<sup>11-14</sup>. Decades 59 of investigation have shown that egocentric space is not represented primarily in the hippocampal 60 formation but rather in parietal cortex and associated regions<sup>15-17</sup>. O'Keefe's studies showed from the 61 62 outset that instead place cells encode an animal's location in an orientation-independent reference frame<sup>10</sup>. Although the term allocentric was applied to place-cell representations, O'Keefe recognized 63 64 early on that these representations may rely "on the fact that information about changes in position and direction in space could be calculated from the animal's movements"<sup>10</sup>. Yet, it was not until the 65 discovery of head direction cells in the 1980s<sup>5-7</sup>, and the realization that they were indeed performing 66 integration of head angular velocity<sup>18</sup>, that the concept emerged, in the 1990s, that the entire 67 hippocampal formation might be using an idiothetic reference frame – or path integration – as a 68 basis for the establishment of its coordinate system<sup>19</sup>. The possibility of a path integration 69 70 mechanism outside the hippocampus proper<sup>3,20,21</sup> was reinforced at this time by studies showing

that, unlike place cells, spatially modulated cells in the entorhinal cortex and subiculum had

recognized that path environment-independent spatial firing patterns<sup>22,23</sup>. Today it is generally recognized that path

73 integration plays a fundamental role in spatial coding in the hippocampal formation, although there

74 continues to be controversy as to whether path integration is the primary determinant of place and

- 75 grid cell firing or plays an equal or subordinate role to the integration of information from external
- 76 stimuli<sup>24-26</sup>.
- 77

78 Finally, a discussion of paradigm shifts would not be complete without some realization of the role 79 that technology has played (Fig. 4). Key technical advances have been the shift from recording single 80 cells in restrained, usually anesthetized, animals to freely behaving ones<sup>4,27-29</sup>; the development of quantitative video tracking methods for rodents during hippocampal recording experiments<sup>30,31</sup>; the 81 invention of stereo (tetrode) recording<sup>32</sup> (Fig. 4a), and its extension to large neuronal ensembles<sup>33</sup> 82 (Fig. 4b-d); the development of micro-machined silicon electrode arrays<sup>34</sup>; new cell-type specific 83 optical and chemical methods for stimulation<sup>35-37</sup>; and, most recently, the development of large-scale 84 Ca<sup>2+</sup> cellular imaging in both freely moving animals<sup>38</sup>, and restrained animals locomoting in 'virtual-85 reality' environments<sup>39,40</sup>. The importance of recording from substantial numbers of cells in 86 87 interpreting coding dynamics for the hippocampus or any other neural system cannot be 88 overemphasized. Apart from the obvious computational and statistical analysis power enabled by 89 data from large numbers of simultaneously active neurons, it is clear that many results that we now 90 understand as across-trial variations in population dynamics may have been attributed to differences 91 in single neuron classes in early single neuron recording studies.

92

93 We have taken on the task of trying to present, in a relatively small 'space', an historical overview of 94 some of the paradigm-shifting developments that led to our current understanding of spatial coding 95 in the hippocampal formation. This task is daunting for several reasons, not the least of which is that 96 the number of important experimental and theoretical contributions has risen (and continues to rise) 97 almost exponentially since 1971, when O'Keefe and Dostrovsky, after recording in freely behaving 98 rats from what today would be considered a very small sample of CA1 units, made the bold claim 99 that the hippocampus might construct a spatial map<sup>4</sup> (Fig. 2). Length restrictions have forced us to 100 focus the review on one particular set of ideas that has inspired the investigation of hippocampal 101 representations of space almost since the beginning of studies of place cells, namely that spatially localized firing to a large extent reflects the dynamic integration of self-motion - or path integration 102 103 - as animals move around in the environment. We shall demonstrate how the idea of a path-104 integration input explained many fundamental properties of place cells, and how this in turn led

- investigators in the single-cell recording field to identify a path integration-dependent neural system
   consisting of multiple functionally specialized cell types in the parahippocampal cortices.
- 107

108 We shall demonstrate that path integration appears as a leitmotiv that follows the history of spatial 109 representation in the hippocampal formation across generations of investigators. Yet, by directing 110 our spotlight to path integration, we are forced to leave out contributions and research directions 111 that have contributed critically to the broader understanding of place cells and hippocampal-systems 112 function, beyond the representation of self-location. First of all, the more than four decades of 113 hippocampal spatial mapping studies have developed alongside an equally productive line of 114 investigations, using a variety of methodological approaches, into the basis of memory in the same brain system<sup>3,41-47</sup>. The focus of this review is on the encoding of space, but as we will acknowledge, 115 116 this does not rule out a broader participation of hippocampal neurons, and place cells, in representation of experience<sup>48-50</sup>. In shying away from the memory functions of the hippocampus, 117 118 we shall also pass over the vast and growing literature on how replay and preplay of firing sequences 119 may enable consolidation and storage of hippocampal memory through interactions with neocortical neural networks<sup>51-54</sup>, and we shall not discuss the important but separate question of whether or 120 how place cells are used for goal-directed navigation and route planning<sup>55-59</sup>. We have also left out 121 122 dozens of pioneering studies of temporal coding and network oscillations, including theta rhythms, 123 that have shaped our current understanding of hippocampal function beyond the representation of space<sup>49,60-62</sup>. Finally, the review is dominated by work in rats and mice, reflecting the use of freely-124 125 moving rodents as subjects in nearly all studies of spatially modulated cells in the hippocampal 126 formation (see Box 1 for extensions to the primate brain).

127

#### 128 The Origin of the Spatial Signal

129 In 1971, O'Keefe and Dostrovsky observed that neurons in the rat hippocampus had what appeared 130 to be "spatial" receptive fields<sup>4</sup> (Fig. 2ab). In the 1971 paper, the number of place cells, and the 131 evidence for localized firing, was limited, but a lot more substantial data were presented by O'Keefe in 1976<sup>10</sup>. By this time, after thorough study of hippocampal activity in unrestrained rats<sup>29</sup>, Ranck had 132 133 also seen place cells<sup>63</sup>. The O'Keefe paper showed that place cells fired whenever the rat was in a 134 certain location in the local environment. Different cells had different place fields, such that, at all locations investigated in the hippocampus, the animal's location could, in principle, be inferred from 135 the joint activity of a fairly small sample of neurons<sup>10</sup> (for direct demonstration, see ref. 33 and Fig. 136 4cd). Based on this observation, and inspired by Tolman's proposal that navigation is guided by 137 138 internal "cognitive maps"<sup>64</sup>, O'Keefe and Nadel<sup>65</sup> suggested that place cells are the basic element of a 139 distributed allocentric cognitive map of the animal's environment (Fig. 2c). The spatial relations 140 between landmarks provided by this map was thought to enable animals to find their way 141 independently of local view or movement trajectories, using what O'Keefe and Nadel called a locale 142 strategy. This contrasted with route strategies, which do not take into account the relationship 143 between landmarks. The latter strategies included a spectrum of routines from simple beacon 144 navigation to more complex action sequences. O'Keefe and Nadel's proposal represented a major 145 landmark in the conceptualization of hippocampal function. Their book – "The Hippocampus as a 146 Cognitive Map" – synthesized and re-interpreted decades of discordant experimental studies using a 147 range of experimental approaches, particularly lesions, and put these studies into a coherent 148 theoretical framework organized around the concept of place cells as the cellular basis for 149 representation of space as well as events and experiences associated with space. The book proposed 150 a neural implementation of Tolman's concept of the cognitive map, with visionary perspectives on 151 how such a map might enable a breadth of cognitive functions in higher species including humans. 152 Today, 40 years after its publication, "The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map" remains the theoretical 153 pillar on which nearly all subsequent study of spatial coding in the hippocampal formation rests. 154

155 The early years of research on place cells, in the late 1970s and 1980s, were dominated by attempts 156 to prove that the place signal was indeed 'spatial' and, given this, to understand what caused place 157 cells to fire where they did, based on the idea that it was some constellation of external sensory 158 cues, rather than a single cue or some other cause (e.g., ref. 66). Two salient observations in this 159 period that both advanced knowledge and increased perplexity were the findings that place cells 160 appeared to be completely direction dependent when animals ran repeatedly on restricted paths<sup>30</sup> 161 but were unaffected by head direction during free foraging in a large cylinder<sup>67</sup>. Perplexity about the 162 mechanism of place cells was further increased by the fact that place cells had a sort of 'memory'; 163 they rotated their fields when external cues were rotated, but continued to fire in relation to the last-seen cue location when the cues were removed<sup>68,69</sup>. Indeed, early studies indicated that place 164 165 cells not only continued to fire in the 'correct' location in total darkness; fields could also be formed 166 when animals were introduced to an environment in darkness and they were minimally affected when the lights were subsequently turned  $on^{70}$ . Nevertheless, place fields became linked to external 167 cues and rotated to maintain registration with them when they were rotated between sessions<sup>68,71</sup>. 168 169

The foregoing studies were soon followed by a number of observations that cast further doubt on
the external sensory origin of place fields: most place fields had asymmetric firing fields in an
environment with a symmetric cue configuration<sup>72</sup>; place fields could dynamically shift between a

173 reference frame defined by a reward box that moved relative to the laboratory reference frame and

the lab reference frame itself<sup>73,74</sup>; the location and orientation of place fields followed the rat when 174 the rat was rotated independently of the environment<sup>75,76</sup>; place cells and head direction cells 175 exhibited coordinated drift error in a cylindrical environment<sup>77,78</sup>; the size of place fields was almost 176 completely independent of local cue density, spatial frequency or salience<sup>79</sup> but varied systematically 177 along the septo-temporal axis of the hippocampus<sup>80,81</sup>; in rats with age-related memory impairment<sup>82</sup> 178 or with NMDA receptors blocked<sup>83</sup>, place fields appeared perfectly normal in a novel environment, 179 180 but could be completely rearranged when the animals were returned to the same environment after 181 even a short delay; the place field map as a whole dynamically expanded when motor and vestibular 182 information about movement speed was disrupted, in the absence of changes in landmark inputs<sup>84</sup>; 183 place cells shut off completely when animals were restrained from locomotion<sup>85</sup>; and, finally, the 184 variation in scale of place fields along the hippocampal septo-temporal axis was strongly correlated with the gain of physiological speed signals<sup>86</sup>. 185

186

187 In spite of gradually accumulating evidence for an in many ways 'non-sensory' origin of spatial 188 receptive fields in the hippocampus, the lack of proper quantification prevented a general 189 acceptance of this idea and much of the initial effort was thus spent on proving that the signal was 190 indeed spatial. As this skepticism was gradually overcome, investigators began to focus on how place 191 cells might be synthesized as high-order integrators of sensory data, perhaps endowed with memory 192 properties. This sensory-integration approach changed, literally overnight, however, when James Ranck brought a video of a recorded head direction cell to the 1984 SfN meeting<sup>87</sup> (Fig. 3). Head 193 194 direction cells are cells that fire specifically when the animal faces a certain direction<sup>5-7</sup> (Fig. 3ab). 195 Ranck first encountered these cells in the dorsal presubiculum – almost by accident in an experiment where electrodes targeted to the subiculum went astray<sup>87</sup> – but they were later observed across a 196 wide network of cortical and subcortical regions<sup>88,89</sup>. In the same way that place cells covered all 197 198 locations of an environment, the preferred firing directions of head direction cells were distributed 199 evenly around angular space, enabling precise read-out of head direction in neural networks 200 downstream of head direction cells. If the brain was endowed so clearly with an internal compass, as 201 suggested by Ranck's 1984 movie, the idea that it also had a map became much more palatable. 202 However, the first full publication on the basic properties of head direction cells did not appear until 1990, in joint work by Ranck, Taube, and Muller<sup>6,7</sup>. At that time, it was already recognized that the 203 204 basis of the head direction signal was likely integration of head angular velocity, and the outline of a 205 model for how this integration was performed using conjunctive head direction × head angular 206 velocity cells (observed in dorsal presubiculum and parietal cortex) was proposed<sup>18</sup>.

207

208 To many investigators, the foregoing observations collectively pointed *almost* inescapably to the 209 hypothesis that the primary determinant of the 'cognitive map' is some form of coordinate system in 210 which head angular velocity and linear velocity are integrated over time to express displacement and orientation from a starting point (path integration)<sup>19-21,90,91</sup> (Fig. 5). According to this view, the path-211 integration mechanism assigns place fields based on motion integration. In the absence of external 212 213 stationary input, errors from noise in the self-motion integration process accumulate, and place 214 fields (and head direction tuning curves) would start to drift. However, in environments with salient 215 cues, rapidly formed associations between cues and place cells enable stabilization of the firing fields, and previously formed 'maps' can be recalled from session to session<sup>10,19-21,90</sup>, possibly cued by 216 217 landmark information conveyed through the dorsal presubiculum<sup>92</sup>. Nevertheless, there is also some 218 support for the idea that place cells were formed by integration of salient sensory inputs, 219 independently of movement. One of the main observations presented in favour of this concept was that place fields could be seen to expand<sup>71</sup> or stretch<sup>93</sup> in response to corresponding distortions of 220 221 the enclosure in which recordings took place. However, such distortions do not occur when the 222 animal is introduced *ab initio* into the distorted environment, but only when the animal has first 223 experienced the undistorted version. Stretching or expanding can thus be seen as a result of the 224 external inputs attempting to correct the path integrator based on prior associations<sup>90</sup>.

225

226 During the past decade, virtual environments have enabled investigators to dissociate with increased 227 rigor the relative contributions of self-motion inputs and stationary landmarks. Typically, head-fixed 228 mice or rats run on an air-cushioned ball or a circular treadmill while visual flow is projected onto an 229 immersive screen at a rate that directly reflects the animal's running speed and direction, emulating the sensory-motor coupling of the real world<sup>39,40</sup>. When the virtual environment is linear, as on a 230 treadmill, hippocampal place cells exhibit firing fields that depend on distance moved<sup>94,95</sup> or 231 stationary cues on the screen<sup>94</sup>, with some variation between cells<sup>94</sup>. Reducing the gain of ball-to-232 233 virtual scene movement causes place fields to move towards the start of the virtual track, as 234 expected if firing locations are determined by self-motion, but the shift is generally smaller than expected from movement distance alone, pointing to an additional role for visual inputs<sup>94</sup>. The dual 235 dependence on self-motion cues and external cues confirms earlier studies where these sets of 236 inputs were disentangled in real environments<sup>73,74,93</sup>. However, when the virtual environment is 237 238 made two-dimensional, and movement of the head remains restricted, localized firing breaks down, although a small influence of distance travelled is detectable<sup>96</sup>. In contrast, when body and head 239 240 rotation is unconstrained, stable position coding persists<sup>97</sup>. Together these studies point to vestibular 241 signals (which are impoverished during head fixation) as a critical source for integrating velocity and

- 242 direction signals into a coherent two-dimensional representation, in agreement with earlier work
- showing that place fields are disrupted following inactivation or lesions of the vestibular system<sup>98,99</sup>.
- 244

#### 245 'Remapping': global, partial, local and rate

In the late 1980's, Muller and Kubie began a series of investigations on the effects of changing the 246 most salient visual cues in a cylindrical environment and introducing various local cues<sup>71,72,100-102</sup> (Fig. 247 248 6). As alluded to above, cue-card rotations, changes in the size or color of the cue card, or even 249 removal of the cue card altogether, rarely changed the radial coordinate of the field, but could 250 change the angular coordinate, completely unpredictably in the case of complete removal of the cue 251 card when the rat was not present (Fig. 6b). They coined the term "remapping" to describe any 252 manipulation-induced changes in the firing of place cells. These could include mild changes in the 253 firing characteristics in a few cells, such as when new objects or walls were placed in a cell's place 254 field, up to radical changes in the location of firing, including the disappearance of a field altogether, 255 which was sometimes observed when the environmental shape was changed or visual cues radically 256 altered.

257

258 Whether sets of place cells remapped completely or only partially depended on the experimental 259 conditions. The terms "global", "partial" and "local" remapping were introduced by Knierim and 260 McNaughton<sup>103</sup> in an attempt to distinguish the situations in which only fields near a specific, 261 manipulated, cue changed from the situation in which there was a general (partial or complete) 262 rearrangement of fields throughout the environment, as is often seen when the animal is placed in non-uniform environments<sup>104,105</sup>, or as in the cases of deficient plasticity discussed above<sup>82,83</sup>. The 263 264 concept of remapping was clarified considerably by several experiments that followed. In 2005, 265 Leutgeb et al. showed that, when the cues in the recording chamber or its shape were radically 266 changed between sessions that took place in the same physical location, CA1 and CA3 place cells 267 underwent substantial changes in their firing rates, without changing their firing locations<sup>106</sup> (Fig. 6c). 268 These changes could be sufficient to make a field appear to be present in only one condition, unless 269 the rate map graphs were re-scaled. In contrast, when the recordings took place in identical apparati 270 located in two separate rooms, the place field distributions became completely uncorrelated. 271 Leutgeb et al. made the distinction between "rate remapping" for the former situation and "global 272 remapping" for the latter. Thus, it appears that, under conditions in which the path-integrator 273 coordinates likely remain consistent, changes in external input or, indeed, internal variables such as 274 motivation, working memory or action plans can result in dramatic changes in firing rate while firing location remains unaltered<sup>107-110</sup>. Leutgeb et al. suggested that rate remapping might be the cause of 275 276 apparent "partial" remapping or direction dependency on linear tracks. The role of the path

integrator coordinates in governing rate vs. global remapping was fairly decisively demonstrated by
Colgin et al.<sup>111</sup>, who showed that when environmental shape was gradually morphed between a
circle and a square, abrupt, global remapping only occurred if the rats had previously been allowed
to locomote between a circle and a square via a connecting tunnel. When rats were pre-trained on
the two shapes in the same location, only rate remapping was observed. Thus, it was the path
integrator that determined whether global or rate remapping was observed.

283

284 The presence of a non-spatial code on top of the place code ("rate remapping") is consistent with 285 dozens of studies, starting already in the 1980s, which show that place cells encode more than space. 286 Cells with clear place fields in one task were shown in other tasks to respond in a time-locked manner to various non-spatial features of the environment or the experience, such as odors<sup>112,-114</sup>, 287 textures<sup>115</sup>, conditioned tones<sup>28,116,117</sup>, or temporal stages of the experiment<sup>118</sup>. However, in 288 289 combination with the remapping studies, these observations suggest that hippocampal cells respond 290 conjunctively to spatial and non-spatial variables, with the latter represented as changes in the rate 291 distribution. Experience-related changes in rate distribution can also account for moment-tomoment variability of firing rates within place fields ('overdispersion')<sup>119</sup>. The conjunctive nature of 292 293 spatial and event-related firing is demonstrated elegantly in a more recent study of hippocampal 294 activity after systematic variation of location, food cups (objects), and color or pattern of the recording box (context)<sup>120</sup>. The majority of cells in this study fired at specific locations but with rates 295 296 depending on context and objects. Thus, when location is clamped, unique constellations of cues give 297 rise to unique rate patterns, implying that each experience is characterized by its own hippocampal-298 neocortical output, even when those experiences occur at a fixed location. This uniqueness is a 299 necessary condition for the widely held view that hippocampus may provide an index that links memory attributes distributed widely over neocortex<sup>121-123</sup>. The wide range of stimulus 300 301 configurations that activate hippocampal firing, over and above space, has been taken as evidence 302 for a broad involvement of the hippocampus in episodic memory, where space is just one of several 303 attributes of the encoded representation<sup>48</sup>.

304

Lest one conclude from the foregoing that the phenomenon of remapping or the necessity or dominance of path integration is now fully understood, it is necessary to consider some remaining flies in the ointment. First, Tanila, Shapiro and Eichenbaum<sup>124,125</sup>, and later Knierim<sup>126</sup>, have shown that, when an animal is highly familiarized with the local and distal cues in an environment, rotating these cue sets relative to each other can cause some CA1 cells to follow the local set while others simultaneously follow the distal set (still others may remap). Such discordant responses are stronger in CA1 than CA3<sup>127</sup>. These effects are not inconsistent with a path-integration based *origin* of the

312 place fields if one assumes that the subsequent, plasticity-dependent, association between cues and 313 place cells that leads to robust rate-remapping is also strong enough in some cases to move the fields 314 independently, depending on which type of inputs dominate the synaptic input vector of a given cell. 315 The fact that this effect occurs predominantly in CA1, which lacks the potential stabilizing effects of reciprocal excitatory connections present in CA3, tends to support such a view<sup>127</sup>. A second possible 316 challenge is the fact that place fields can be expressed in CA1 under conditions in which the MEC is 317 effectively silenced<sup>128-30</sup>. This suggests that localized firing may itself be generated from alternative 318 inputs, such as from weakly spatially-modulated neurons in the lateral entorhinal cortex (LEC)<sup>131</sup>, 319 320 which may provide hippocampal cells with path integration-independent sensory inputs necessary 321 for efficient rate coding<sup>132</sup>. However, even under conditions where MEC inactivation does not impair hippocampal place selectivity, the intervention causes instant remapping<sup>133,134</sup>, suggesting that MEC 322 323 is obligatory for activating the correct place map. This does not preclude, of course, that place maps are stored also in the CA3 network (e.g., the 'charts' of Samsonovich and McNaughton<sup>90</sup>), and that in 324 325 the absence of a strong MEC input, CA3 attractor dynamics may result in the recall of some 326 previously constructed chart in the novel context.

327

#### 328 Moving from hippocampus to entorhinal cortex

329 Until the 1990's, for primarily technical reasons, most recording studies had been confined to CA1 of 330 the dorsal hippocampus, in spite of the fact that hippocampal subfields may have distinct 331 computational functions. David Marr, had, in the early 1970s, already pointed to the unique 332 properties of area CA3 as a recurrent network capable of autoassociation, pattern formation and 333 pattern completion<sup>135</sup>. His work was followed by theoretical investigations pointing to the possible 334 role of the dentate gyrus in pattern separation processes needed to counteract memory interference at subsequent stages of the hippocampal circuit<sup>136-138</sup>. An additional, striking property that was 335 336 discovered to differentiate between hippocampal subfields was coding sparsity. Contrary to some 337 expectations, in the successive transformations from CA3 to CA1 to subiculum, mean firing rates increased and coding became less sparse and less spatially selective<sup>139,140</sup>. This observation led 338 339 Barnes et al. to conclude that "discrete spatial representations are constructed within early stages of 340 the process, for some purpose intrinsic to the hippocampus itself, possibly that of rapid information storage" and that "the information leaving the hippocampus through the subiculum seems to consist 341 342 of much more highly distributed representations, constructed perhaps through the convergence and disjunction of a number of unrelated hippocampal place cells"<sup>139</sup>. For a long time, however, these 343 344 pioneering ideas did not fully catch the attention of the place-cell community, which, with few 345 exceptions, retained its focus on the readily accessible CA1 area.

347 In a similar manner, until the 1990s, there was minimal focus on computational operations outside 348 the hippocampus and computations underlying place-field formation were at risk of being 349 erroneously be attributed to the hippocampus itself. The focus on a hippocampal origin of the place-350 cell signal was further influenced by the observations of a relatively small set of tetrode studies in the 351 entorhinal cortex, the major cortical input to the hippocampus. These studies showed that entorhinal 352 cells were spatially modulated, but their firing fields were broad and dispersed, with little spatial 353 selectivity in standard laboratory environments, and the fields seemed not to remap between 354 environments<sup>22,139,141</sup>. This, together with the observation that CA1 place fields persisted following large lesions of the dentate gyrus<sup>142</sup>, pointed to the remaining associative networks of CA3 as one 355 356 possible origin for the formation or learning of the sharply localized place signals seen in CA1. The 357 validity of this interpretation was questioned, however, by the fact that partial inactivation of CA3 358 cells, following inhibition of septal inputs, failed to remove spatial firing in CA1<sup>143</sup>.

359

360 Given the uncertainty about how CA3 contributed to the CA1 place signal, Brun and colleagues<sup>144</sup> 361 decided to record place cells in CA1 after the CA3 input to these cells had been entirely removed by 362 excitotoxins or by knife cuts that completely separated CA1 from CA3 as well as dentate gyrus and subcortical afferent regions. Retrograde tracer injections in CA1 verified that no input was spared. 363 364 Confirming the interpretation of the septal-inactivation work<sup>143</sup>, the study found, in 2002, that CA1 365 place cells do not require input from CA3 to maintain reasonably selective spatial firing. This 366 suggested that place fields were either generated within the limited circuitry of the CA1 itself, or 367 place cells in CA1 received spatial input from the entorhinal cortex via temporo-ammonic projections 368 that survived the CA3-CA1 transection. These observations were made only a few years after theoretical studies<sup>3,21,90,145</sup> proposed that the path integrator might located outside the hippocampus 369 370 - in the subiculum, the entorhinal cortex, or both - because correlations between firing fields in these regions appeared to be invariant across contexts<sup>22,23</sup>, as might be expected for a path 371 372 integration-based representation. At this time it was clear that the entorhinal cortex, the main 373 cortical input to the hippocampus, was worth a re-visit.

374

An important additional inspiration for the renewed interest in entorhinal cortex was Menno Witter's extensive review of entorhinal-hippocampal systems<sup>146</sup>. Witter pointed out that dorsal and ventral regions of the hippocampus receive inputs from, and project back to, different regions of the entorhinal cortex, in a topographical manner, with increasingly dorsal hippocampal regions mapping onto areas that were increasingly closer to the rhinal sulcus, or increasingly more dorsal within the medial entorhinal cortex (MEC). In 1990, based on his review, and after direct consultation with Witter, two of us (M.-B.M. and E.I.M.) realized that in earlier MEC recordings where histology was

- available<sup>22,141</sup>, cells had been recorded quite far outside the area of MEC that receives most visual-
- tactile information and projects most extensively to the dorsal hippocampus, where the most
- 384 sharply-tuned place cells of the hippocampus are located<sup>80,81</sup>. This led us eventually, after the turn of
- the millennium, to target tetrodes to the dorsal MEC, the origin of the majority of inputs to the
- dorsal hippocampus<sup>8,146</sup>, a region of MEC so far not touched by electrodes *in vivo*.
- 387

#### 388 Grid cells – a metric for space?

Recordings in dorsal MEC soon showed that cells in this region have sharply defined firing fields,
much like in CA1 of the dorsal hippocampus, except that each cell had multiple firing fields,
distributed all over the environment<sup>8</sup>. These findings, reported in 2004, pointed to the MEC as a key
element of a circuit for space but the nature of the entorhinal representation remained elusive.

394 A striking characteristic of many spatially modulated MEC cells was that the distribution of the 395 multiple firing fields of each cell was more regular than expected by chance<sup>8</sup>. When the data from 396 MEC were presented at the 2004 Society for Neuroscience meeting, they created considerable 397 excitement. Among those who were most excited were Bill Skaggs, who thought he saw hexagonal 398 symmetry, inspiring the Mosers, and their students Hafting, Fyhn and Molden, to increase the size of 399 the recording arena and visualize the firing pattern once and for all. Using a newly constructed 2-m 400 wide circular recording cylinder, these authors found, in a substantial fraction of MEC superficial-401 layer cells, that the firing fields of individual cells created a grid-like periodic hexagonal pattern tiling 402 the entire space available to the animal<sup>9</sup> (Fig. 7a). The cells were coined grid cells. For each cell, the 403 grid could be assigned a phase (the xy locations of the grid vertices), a wavelength or spacing (the 404 distance between the vertices), and an orientation (how much the axes through the vertices were 405 tilted compared to an external reference line). In addition, the peak firing rates varied between fields<sup>9,147</sup>. The spatial periodicity of the pattern was so striking that the authors were concerned, 406 407 initially, that it was some sort of artefact. However, the grid pattern soon appeared in other labs too<sup>131,148</sup>. 408

409

One of the most striking aspects of the grid-cell finding was that the spatial periodicity was maintained despite constant changes in the animal's running speed and running direction. The cells fired at the same vertices regardless of how much time and space the rat had travelled between each crossing, implying that grid cells had continuous access to information about distance and direction moved. The persistence of grid fields<sup>9</sup> and place fields<sup>70</sup> when rats run in darkness is consistent with the primary role that such self-motion information might have in determining firing locations, as is the fact that grid patterns unfold immediately in new environments<sup>9</sup> and are expressed with similar 417 phase relationships between cell pairs in all environments tested<sup>147</sup>. It should be added, for the sake 418 of balance, that stable grid fields have not yet been identified in darkness in mice<sup>149,150</sup>. The reason 419 for the possible species difference is not known. Associations between path integration coordinates 420 and stationary cues may be weaker in mice<sup>151</sup>, or grid fields of mice may simply be harder to visualize 421 at times of increased jitter, given their smaller field size and shorter grid spacing compared to rats<sup>152</sup>. 422

423 Based on the possible role of self-motion information in the formation of grid patterns, the three of us suggested, in 2006, that grid cells are part of an intrinsic path integration-based metric for space<sup>91</sup>. 424 A similar proposal was made the same year by a different group of investigators<sup>153</sup>. Both concepts 425 426 bore similarities to the mechanism proposed a decade earlier from studies of place cells<sup>19,90</sup>. In fact, 427 by implementing their attractor map model for path integration on a torus, Samsonovich and McNaughton<sup>90</sup> indirectly predicted periodic place fields, although, at the time, the idea seemed to 428 429 them too preposterous to publish, and an attempt to discover such periodicity in CA1 by running rats 430 down a long hallway concluded that "place field distributions can best be described by a random selection with replacement"<sup>154</sup>. A decade later, with the new data from the entorhinal cortex, it was 431 432 clear that grid cells may supply the brain's spatial map with a coordinate system not available from 433 place cells in the hippocampus, given the apparently random allocation of place fields to position<sup>155</sup> 434 and the related extreme remapping across environments.

435

436 It soon turned out that if grid cells supply a metric, this metric is not always constant over time or 437 locations. Experiments showed that when environments were stretched or rescaled, the spacing of the grid increased in the extended direction<sup>148,156</sup>, in concert with either scaling or remapping in 438 hippocampal place cells<sup>157</sup>. However, these distortions of the grid pattern were recorded when the 439 440 environment was changed after the animal was already familiarized with it, suggesting that grid maps 441 might be formed by path integration but linked to external cues in such a way that the latter can 442 override the path integration dynamics<sup>90</sup>. Yet, under some conditions, grid cells appear to be fragmented or distorted even after extended training in a constantly shaped environment. When rats 443 are tested in environments with discrete compartments<sup>158</sup> or in irregular geometric shapes<sup>159</sup>, the 444 445 strict periodicity of the grid pattern is often gone. In particular, it has been shown that walls exert strong local influences on the grid pattern<sup>159,160</sup>, causing distortions and rotations that can be 446 447 described effectively as a shearing process<sup>160</sup>. The common existence of fragmented and distorted grids have raised questions about whether grid cells are useful as a source of metric information<sup>159</sup>. 448 Speaking against these doubts, theoretical analyses have shown that precise symmetry may not be 449 450 necessary for accurate population-based decoding of position, distance and direction if the grid cells

451 are all distorted in the same way<sup>161</sup>. Direct behavioral evidence is needed, however, to establish how
452 well spatial metrics can be decoded from distorted grid patterns.

- 453
- 454

#### 455 Network properties of grid cells

456 Grid cells differed from place cells in more than one way. Not only did they have periodic firing fields 457 but also the relationship between the firing fields of different cells followed a different rule. Whereas 458 place cells often remap completely between environments and multiple fields can appear in large environments, with no more overlap in the subset of active cells than expected by chance<sup>106,155,162-164</sup>, 459 460 the ensemble activity of grid cells is normally maintained coherently from one environment to the next, without changing phase or orientation relationships between cells<sup>147,165</sup>, much like in early 461 recordings from MEC cells before grid cells were discovered<sup>22</sup>. The coherence of the grid map is 462 particularly strong within ensembles, or modules, of similarly scaled grid cells<sup>156</sup>. A similar degree of 463 coherence is present among head direction cells<sup>6,7,77,78,166</sup> as well as in the more recently discovered 464 populations of entorhinal border cells and speed cells<sup>167,168</sup>. The coherence of grid cells and head 465 direction cells is state-independent and persists during sleep<sup>169-171</sup>. Collectively, these findings point 466 to a fundamental difference between hippocampal and entorhinal spatial maps: hippocampal circuits 467 468 are high-dimensional, capable of storing a very large number of patterns, while MEC maps are low-469 dimensional and rigid, expressing the same intrinsic structure in all behavioral contexts, as would be 470 expected for a path integration-based map that keeps metric properties constant across contexts and 471 environments.

472

473 It was clear from the outset that grid cells come in different varieties – with different phases, 474 wavelengths, orientations and field amplitudes - and that the network of grid cells is anatomically organized according to some but not all of these variables<sup>8,9</sup>. While the phase of the grid pattern 475 476 appeared to be distributed randomly among cells on the same tetrode, the scale of the grid showed a 477 striking increase from dorsal to ventral recording locations in the MEC (Fig. 7b). In both respects, the 478 organization of grid cells was reminiscent of that of place cells, which also appear to have random 479 spatial relationships<sup>162,172,173</sup> but show an increase in scale from dorsal to ventral<sup>80,81</sup>. In the 480 hippocampus, the scale increase is strongly coupled with decreasing gain of self-motion parameters<sup>84,86</sup>. A similar gain change may underlie the scale change in MEC, consistent with the 481 482 hypothesis that the overall system parameters are dominated by path integration mechanisms. 483

One question that was not settled by the earliest grid-cell recordings was whether the scale gradients
 were smooth and gradual or instead consisted of multiple discrete maps with distinguishable scale

and self-motion gain, the latter being a necessary prediction of attractor map based models<sup>91,174</sup>. In 486 487 2007, Barry and colleagues showed with a small cell sample that values of grid spacing were not evenly distributed<sup>148</sup>. In 2012, Stensola and colleagues were able to record activity from up to 180 488 489 grid cells in the same animal – enough to determine once and for all if grid cells clustered in groups 490 with similar properties<sup>156</sup>. Stensola et al. found that grid cells were organized in at least four 491 modules, each with their own scale, orientation and asymmetric distortions (Fig. 7c). The scale 492 change across successive grid modules could be described as a geometric progression with a constant scale factor<sup>156</sup>, confirming the prior predictions<sup>91,174</sup>, as well as theoretical analyses pointing 493 494 to nested and modular organizations as the most efficient code for representing space at the highest-495 possible resolution with the lowest-possible cell number<sup>175,176</sup>.

496

497 The discovery of grid cells cast new light on the mechanisms underlying formation of place cells – the 498 very question that motivated the search for spatially modulated cells in the entorhinal cortex. The 499 periodicity of the firing pattern, and the variability of the grid scale, suggested early on that place cells may emerge by a Fourier-like linear summation of output from grid cells with similar phase 500 throughout the environment over a range of spatial scales<sup>91,177</sup>. This summation mechanism might be 501 502 facilitated further by coordinated gamma-frequency oscillations in MEC and CA1 cells<sup>178</sup>. 503 Alternatively, and more in line with the sensory-integration ideas of the 1980s, place fields might be 504 generated from any weak spatial input, so long as the hippocampal circuit contains mechanisms for 505 amplifying a subset of these inputs, either through Hebbian plasticity or through local recurrent 506 networks<sup>179-182</sup>. The merits of these two classes of models remain to be determined. Experimental 507 studies have shown that MEC grid cells are not necessary for the emergence of spatially tuned firing 508 in place cells. Place fields have been reported to persist when the spatially periodic firing pattern of MEC grid cells is compromised by inactivation of septal inputs<sup>128,129</sup>, and in young animals, place cells 509 acquire stable firing fields before sharp periodic firing patterns emerges in grid cells<sup>183,184</sup>. 510 511 Inactivation or damage of the MEC is not sufficient to disrupt place-cell firing in the hippocampus<sup>130,133,134,185</sup>. However, neither of these observations rule out grid cells as a key 512 513 determinant of spatially selective firing in the hippocampus. The hippocampus receives input from 514 multiple spatially tuned entorhinal cell types, including not only grid cells but also border cells and spatially modulated cells with non-periodic firing patterns<sup>186</sup>, as well as weakly place-tuned cells in 515 the LEC<sup>131</sup>. Place fields may be formed from any of these inputs, by more than a single mechanism. 516 517 Even pure rate changes among the MEC inputs are sufficient to completely alter the activity distribution among place cells in the hippocampus<sup>185</sup>. The mechanism for grid to place or place to 518 519 grid transformation may have many faces, and understanding it may require that circuitry is 520 disentangled at a higher level of detail, possibly in terms of inputs and outputs of individual cells.

521

#### 522 A zoo of cell types

523 Grid cells are abundant especially in the superficial layers of the MEC but not all cells are grid cells. As 524 early as 2006, it was clear that in layers III-VI of the rat MEC, a number of cells respond to head 525 direction<sup>187</sup> (Fig. 7d), very much like the head-direction cells reported in the neighboring pre- and parasubiculum years before<sup>5-7,188</sup>. The directional tuning curves of many entorhinal head direction 526 527 cells were found to be broader than in pre- and parasubiculum and many head direction cells 528 responded conjunctively to location, expressing grid-like firing fields but discharging within each grid field only when the rat's face pointed in a certain direction<sup>187</sup>. Head direction cells intermingled with 529 grid cells and conjunctive grid × head direction cells (Fig. 7e) throughout MEC layers III-VI as well as in 530 pre- and parasubiculum<sup>189</sup>, pointing to a computational mechanism for imposing the angular 531 component of path integration on grid cells<sup>19,91</sup>. 532

533

534 Shortly after head direction cells were observed in recordings from the MEC, another cell type 535 appeared on the entorhinal stage. These cells - named border cells - fired exclusively along 536 geometric borders of the local environment: along one or sometimes several walls of the recording enclosure or along the edges of a platform<sup>167,190</sup> (Fig. 7f). Border cells were distinct from grid cells – a 537 538 border cell could never be transformed to a grid cell or vice versa - but there was overlap between 539 border cells and head direction cells, i.e. some (conjunctive) border cells fired within their border fields only when the animal was running in one direction<sup>167</sup>. Border cells intermingled with grid cells 540 and head direction cells, particularly in layers II and III of MEC<sup>167,</sup>, suggesting that the three types of 541 542 cells interact. However, while grid cells and head direction cells seemed to be confined to 543 parahippocampal – and not hippocampal – regions, cells with border-like firing fields were observed also in the hippocampus<sup>191</sup> and the subiculum<sup>192,193</sup>, raising the possibility that firing patterns of 544 entorhinal border cells are inherited by at least subsets of neurons in the hippocampus and 545 546 subiculum<sup>93,194</sup>, or vice versa.

547

548 Border cells are more sparse than grid cells and head direction modulated cells, and may comprise 549 less than 10% of the local principal cell population<sup>167</sup>, but this does not negate a significant role in 550 shaping hippocampal-entorhinal representations. The discovery of border-like properties in several 551 regions of the hippocampal formation confirmed, to some extent, predictions from computational 552 models dating back to the observation that the location and shape of place fields are determined by local boundaries of the recording environment<sup>93</sup>. Based on this observation, O'Keefe and Burgess and 553 their colleagues proposed a model in which place fields are formed by summation of tuning curves 554 from upstream 'boundary vector cells', cells with firing fields tuned to the animal's distance from a 555

particular wall or boundary in the environment<sup>93,192,194</sup>. Boundary-vector-like cells, with distance-556 557 dependent tuning curves, were reported in the subiculum<sup>193</sup>, but given the unidirectional wiring of 558 the hippocampal circuit, these cells are unlikely to provide major input to hippocampal place cells. 559 Such inputs might instead come from border cells in the MEC. On the other hand, the latter lack 560 distance tuning, firing only along the borders and not away from them. If border cells provide input 561 to place cells, their influence might be limited to cells with firing fields in the periphery of the 562 recording enclosure, near boundaries and not in open spaces. There is some indirect evidence for 563 this possibility as, in juvenile rats, place cells with fields in the centre of an open recording environment mature at the same slow rate as grid cells<sup>195</sup>, which acquire adult-like hexagonal 564 565 symmetry only late in juvenile development<sup>183,184</sup>. Place cells near the borders of the recording box appear at an earlier age, similar to entorhinal border cells<sup>196</sup>. Regardless of whether border cells fulfil 566 567 criteria for boundary vector cells or not, the existence of border cells, as well as the strong asymmetries in grid patterns caused by environmental boundaries<sup>159,160</sup>, point to a significant role for 568 569 boundaries in defining the location of firing in place cells and grid cells, consistent with behavioral studies identifying geometry of the environment as a determinant of the animal's perception of self-570 location<sup>13,197,198</sup>. However, these observations are not at variance with a path integration-based 571 572 account of spatial firing of grid cells. Boundaries may serve as references for path integration-based 573 position estimates, with resetting of the path integrator, and subsequent reduction of error, taking place regularly near major boundaries or landmarks<sup>19-21,90</sup>. The increased variability of grid field 574 locations in open spaces compared to locations near the walls<sup>199</sup>, as well as the instability of place 575 576 fields in open spaces when spatially stable information is available only from border cells<sup>195</sup>, speak in 577 favor of a reference function for environmental boundaries, where grid and place representations 578 are reset and corrected from drift each time the animal encounters a salient boundary.

579

580 With the presence of head direction cells and border cells, it became clear that grid cells have local 581 access to information about direction, needed for the angular component of path integration, as well 582 as information about the geometry of the environment, needed to prevent drift in the path-583 integrator coordinates. Head velocity signals upstream of head-direction cells - in the lateral 584 mammillary nuclei<sup>200</sup> and further upstream in the dorsal tegmental nuclei<sup>201,202</sup> – might enable head 585 direction cells to infer direction at the time scale of behavior. However, if grid cells express path 586 integration, they must also have access to information about moment-to-moment changes in the 587 animal's speed. Such information was known from early on to be present in the hippocampus, where both place cells and fast-spiking interneurons exhibit speed tuning<sup>30,86,203</sup>. Speed-responsive cells 588 589 have similarly been observed in subcortical areas directly or indirectly connected with hippocampal and parahippocampal regions<sup>204-207</sup>. These cells might feed into the brain's path integration system. 590

Speed tuning of hippocampal theta rhythm amplitude is sufficient to enable accurate reconstruction
of distance travelled<sup>208</sup>, and distance travelled might be decoded by integrating the net discharge
rate of a population of hippocampal cells or afferents of the hippocampus.

594

595 The observation of speed coding in the hippocampus and subcortical areas motivated the search for 596 speed information locally within the MEC circuit. Already in 2006, it was observed that some 597 information about speed is present in a subset of grid cells, especially in layer III and deeper<sup>187</sup>, but 598 the correlations between firing rate and speed in these cells were weak and would require decoding from large cell numbers to yield a reliable momentary speed signal<sup>168</sup>. We now know that the 599 600 entorhinal cortex has a distinct population of cells whose firing rates increase linearly with speed<sup>168,209</sup>. In the large majority of speed-tuned MEC cells<sup>168</sup>, firing rates increase linearly as a 601 602 function of speed up to 30-40 cm/s. A small but significant number of cells have negative speed-rate relationships<sup>168</sup>. As in the hippocampus, many of these are fast-spiking cells<sup>210</sup>. The rates of these 603 604 cells are tuned so strongly to running speed that speed can be decoded with extreme accuracy from 605 just half a dozen cells<sup>168</sup>. Tuning profiles (slope and *y*-intercept of the speed-rate relationship) vary 606 between speed cells but remain constant across environments, and persist in the absence of visual 607 cues, pointing to speed cells as yet another component of a low-dimensional path integration-based 608 position map in the MEC<sup>168</sup>. In CA1, the gain of speed tuning varies systematically along the septotemporal axis in register with the change in spatial scale<sup>86</sup>. This has yet to be confirmed in MEC, but if 609 610 verified it would strongly support the idea that speed cells convey the necessary information to set 611 the grid scale.

612

613 Taken together, these observations point to a network of entorhinal and hippocampal neurons 614 where position, direction and distance are encoded at sufficient accuracy to enable dynamic 615 representation of the animal's location in an empty enclosure. However, most real-world 616 environments differ from experimental settings in that the available space is cluttered with objects. 617 Salient objects may serve as references for navigation but little is known to date about whether and 618 how objects are included in the representation of self-position in the MEC. It has been shown that a 619 subset of neurons in the LEC respond specifically at the location of discrete objects in the recording enclosure<sup>211,212</sup>. These neurons increase firing whenever the animal encounters an object at a certain 620 621 location, regardless of the exact identity of the object. In a subset of these object cells, firing even persists for minutes, days or weeks after the object is removed<sup>212</sup>. Whether and how these cells 622 623 contribute to representation of the animal's own location has remained elusive. Theoretical models 624 from the 1990s postulated the existence of cells with place fields defined by the animal's vectorial relationship to salient landmarks in allocentric coordinates<sup>213</sup> and such cells are indeed found in small 625

numbers in the hippocampus<sup>214</sup>. These cells encode direction and distance from one or a small 626 627 number of discrete objects placed at different locations in the recording arena. Now new data 628 suggest that a class of MEC cells has more general vectorial properties. These 'object vector cells' 629 have firing fields defined by distance and direction from an object, regardless of the object's location in the environment and regardless of what the object is<sup>215</sup>. Thus, the main difference between object 630 vector cells in MEC and in CA1 appears to lie in their object specificity. Perhaps, like rate remapping 631 632 of hippocampal place cells, the coordinate information in CA1 is inherited from MEC whereas the identity information is added after the fact, possibly from LEC<sup>131,132,211,212</sup>. Like rate remapping in 633 place cells<sup>216</sup>, at least some of the CA1 object vector cells appear to require extended experience<sup>214</sup>. 634

635 Finally, investigators have identified a population of hippocampal cells with activity defined by the animal's egocentric orientation to a goal location. Sarel et al.<sup>217</sup> recorded from the CA1 region of 636 637 flying bats, which have hippocampal-parahippocampal spatial representations similar to that of rodents<sup>218-220</sup>. The investigators identified a set of cells that responded as a function of the animal's 638 639 orientation towards a salient goal positioned centrally in the environment. Although the preferred 640 orientation of the cells spanned the full 360-degree range relative to the direction to the goal, a large 641 proportion of the cells in this category fired when the animal was heading directly towards the goal, 642 ramping up their firing as the bat approached the goal. A little more than half of the cells were also 643 place cells, but a substantial fraction did not have any significant tuning to place. Cells with essentially the same characteristics were recently reported in posterior parietal cortex<sup>221</sup>. Goal-644 645 vector cells are reminiscent of cells reported in rats in earlier hippocampal studies, in which neural firing increased in the proximity of a goal<sup>73,222-226</sup>, and the finding of goal orientation cells in both 646 647 parietal cortex and hippocampus begs the question of which region is 'copying' which. It remains for 648 the future to determine if similar cells are also present in the MEC circuit and whether they remap 649 between goals and environments, like place cells, or maintain intrinsic spatial and directional 650 relationships, like all medial entorhinal functional cell types characterized so far.

651 The multitude of functionally specialized cell types in the entorhinal-hippocampal space circuit is 652 striking; however, equally striking is that many cells still express more than one type of information, 653 particularly in the intermediate and deep layers of MEC, where many grid cells fire conjunctively for 654 position and head direction, or position and speed, and many border cells are directionselective<sup>167,168,,187,227</sup>. Conjunctive cells are recognized to be essential ingredients of the 'hidden layer' 655 656 for almost any type of coordinate transformation or conditional association network<sup>18,228-230</sup>. A 657 challenge for future work will be to determine how this variety and mixture of differently tuned cell 658 types enable a dynamic representation of self-position that can be read out to guide navigation and 659 memory for a wide variety of environments.

660

#### 661 The role of theory: Mechanisms of place cells, head direction cells, and grid cells

The abundance of functionally dedicated cell types in the entorhinal-hippocampal system has
prompted investigators to look for the neural mechanisms that enable their characteristic firing
patterns. Mechanisms have been sought in the properties of single cells as well as neural networks.
While details remain elusive, the preceding sections of this review have already emphasized how
circumstantial evidence points to path integration-based attractor network properties as a key
contributor to pattern formation in the entorhinal-hippocampal space system.

668

669 Attractor networks have provided starting points for models of localized firing since the earliest 670 studies of hippocampal function. Already in 1949, Hebb proposed that activity may self-sustain in 671 networks of recurrently connected neurons<sup>231</sup>. In 1977, Amari took a giant step by showing that 672 localized firing can be maintained in networks of neurons arranged conceptually on a ring with Mexican-hat connectivity<sup>232</sup>. In such an architecture, each neuron has strong excitatory connections 673 674 to its nearest neighbors, with excitation decreasing with distance along the ring, in contrast to 675 inhibition, which is maintained at longer distances. Almost 20 years later, Skaggs and McNaughton and colleagues<sup>233</sup>, Zhang<sup>234</sup>, and Redish and Touretzky and colleagues<sup>235</sup>, showed, independently, 676 677 how the concept of a ring attractor with local (Gaussian) connectivity and global recurrent inhibition 678 could be used to explain the emergence of directionally specific firing in head direction cells (Fig. 5b). 679 The connectivity created a self-maintained activity bump, which could be induced to move around 680 the ring in accordance with external angular velocity signals that were transmitted through a hidden layer of conjunctive, head direction × angular velocity cells<sup>18</sup>. The model explained a number of 681 682 features of head direction cells, including the persistence of directional phase relationships across 683 conditions and environments. Today – more than 20 years after its proposal – the key concepts of 684 the ring-attractor model for head direction cells remain unchallenged, which is remarkable for 685 theoretical models in systems neuroscience, and competing models have not surfaced. In mammals, 686 the reciprocally connected network of the dorsal tegmental nucleus and the lateral mammillary area has been proposed as a location for the ring attractor<sup>236</sup>, and in Drosophila, the concept of a ring 687 688 attractor for directional tuning has received its first experimental support in studies of central body 689 neurons, where a circular anatomical arrangement has been shown to underlie firing in neurons that represent orientation relative to landmarks<sup>237,238</sup>. 690

691

Only a year after the introduction of velocity-driven ring attractors to models of head direction cells,
it was acknowledged that a similar integration mechanism might apply for position mapping in two
dimensions, as expressed in hippocampal place cells<sup>19,90,234,239,240</sup> (Fig. 5cd). In the position version of

695 the model, neurons were arranged conceptually according to their location of firing in two-696 dimensional space. A matrix of recurrent connections was generated in which excitation decreased 697 with the distance between neurons on the sheet. In combination with global inhibition, self-698 excitation between similarly tuned cells maintained localized firing. A path-integration mechanism 699 moved the activity bump across the network in accordance with the animal's position in the 700 environment, using conjunctive head direction × place cells, in the same way that angular velocity 701 inputs moved the bump in the ring attractor for head direction cells. The model was proposed to 702 apply for any neural architecture of the hippocampal system, but with the knowledge that existed in 703 the 1990s, the implementation was focused on area CA3 of the hippocampus. This explained a 704 number of properties of place cells but faced one major challenge - that the subset of active hippocampal neurons remaps across environments and circumstances<sup>71,100-102</sup>. For position to be 705 706 computed in place cells, some sort of independent architecture for each environment would then be required. This is computationally possible<sup>90,241</sup> but nonetheless raises the question of whether a 707 single network matrix, expressed in all environments, would not be more efficient<sup>21,240</sup>. A few years 708 709 later it became apparent that such a low-dimentional architecture exists in the entorhinal cortex. 710

When grid cells entered the research arena in 2005<sup>9</sup>, it was quite obvious that the dynamics 711 712 proposed for localized firing in place cells might take place also in parahippocampal regions<sup>91,153,240</sup>, as alluded to already by Samsonovitch and McNaughton<sup>90</sup>. In the first models proposed after the 713 discovery of grid cells<sup>91,153</sup>, cells were arranged on a matrix according to the phase of the grid. A 714 715 bump of activity was formed when cells with similar phases were connected through excitatory 716 connections, in the presence of global inhibition. Competitive network interactions led to multiple activity bumps<sup>153</sup>, or toroidal connectivity caused a single bump that returned periodically to the 717 718 same location<sup>91</sup>. Under certain conditions, in the presence of tonic excitatory input, a radius of 719 inhibitory connectivity was sufficient to generate hexagonally patterned firing, without intrinsic 720 excitatory connections<sup>242-245</sup>.

721

722 Whether a path integration-based attractor network architecture exists in MEC remains to be 723 determined but there is indirect evidence for this possibility. First, correspondence between 724 movement and displacement on the neural sheet can only be maintained so long as the participating 725 grid cells have a common scale and orientation. Grid cells exist at a range of scales, suggesting that to 726 maintain the correspondence, grid cells must be organized in functionally independent grid modules, all with their own spacing and orientation<sup>91,174</sup>. Experimental evidence suggests that such a modular 727 functional organization is indeed present<sup>148,156</sup>. A second observation consistent with a path 728 729 integration-dependent attractor architecture is the maintenance of a single grid-phase structure

across environments and tasks<sup>147,165</sup>, which would be expected if MEC neurons are organized as
strongly interconnected networks where external inputs recruit the same subset of neurons under a
wide range of starting conditions. The strongest prediction of the attractor models, however, is
perhaps that grid cells with similar grid phases have enhanced connectivity. Statistical analysis of
firing patterns in simultaneously recorded grid cells confirm this prediction<sup>246,247</sup> but direct
measurements of connections between functionally verified cell types are still missing.

737 Attractor models do not provide the only possible explanation of how grid patterns might be created. 738 For several years, a competing class of models, based on properties of the hippocampal theta-739 frequency network rhythm<sup>60-62</sup>, suggested that grid patterns were generated as a result of wave 740 interference between a constant global theta oscillation and a velocity-controlled cell-specific theta oscillation<sup>248-251</sup>. The model can be traced back to O'Keefe and Recce's observation, in the early 741 742 1990s, that, as animals move through the place field of a place cell on a linear track, the spike times of the cell move forward across the cycle of background theta oscillations<sup>252</sup>. As the animal moves 743 through the field, the theta phase moves progressively forward also in space, in fact with a stronger 744 correlation to location than time<sup>252,253</sup>. This observation suggested to O'Keefe and colleagues that 745 746 position could be calculated from the interference pattern between the global theta rhythm and a 747 velocity-dependent oscillator specific to the cell. If position reflected peaks of the interference 748 pattern, however, the firing positions should be periodic, which, for place cells, they were not. With 749 the discovery of grid cells, the model was instantly revised and grid patterns were suggested to 750 emerge from interference with velocity-controlled oscillators controlled by the projection of velocity in three directions separated by 60-degree intervals onto three separate dendrites<sup>248-250</sup>. Interference 751 752 with the global oscillator led to a band-like spatial activity pattern along each orientation, and the 753 combination of bands led to a hexagonal pattern. The oscillatory interference models guided some of 754 the most influential studies of grid formation, but in the end accumulating evidence, such as the 755 biophysical implausibility of independent dendritic oscillations<sup>254</sup>, the sensitivity to period irregularity<sup>255</sup>, the persistence of grid patterns in the absence of theta oscillations<sup>219,256</sup>, as well as the 756 presence of a ramping depolarization and the absence of a theta interference oscillation in 757 758 intracellular recordings from MEC cells<sup>257,258</sup>, suggested that oscillatory interference is not the 759 mechanism of the grid pattern. Yet phase precession is a reliable observation. Although it may not 760 explain periodicity in grid cells, phase precession causes sequences of place-cell activation to be 761 replicated, in compressed format, within individual theta cycles – an effect that may be used by 762 hippocampal circuits to store temporal sequences in addition to mere locations<sup>253</sup>. Indeed, as recognized by several investigators soon after phase precession was discovered<sup>253,259,260</sup>, theta 763

rhythm and phase precession may exist precisely to enable memory for spatial and temporalsequences.

766

767 The evidence against the oscillatory-interference model did not, however, rule out single-cell properties as determinants of the grid pattern. Kropff and Treves<sup>24</sup> showed how hexagonally 768 769 patterned firing may arise through competitive Hebbian plasticity in a path integration-independent 770 manner in feed-forward networks where neurons undergo neuronal fatigue or adaptation. Because 771 the emergence of grids in this model required many iterations, it was proposed that the adaptation 772 mechanism contributed particularly to development of the network in young animals, and that the 773 coherence of phase and orientation relationships across environments was the result of recurrent connections that were added as the cortex matured<sup>261</sup>. Thus, competitive Hebbian plasticity offers an 774 775 alternative mechanism for grid formation, although this mechanism may co-exist with attractornetwork architectures<sup>262</sup>. Regardless of mechanism, accounts of grid formation must consider not 776 777 only intrinsic MEC dynamics but also how external inputs from the hippocampus<sup>243</sup>, the medial septum<sup>128,129</sup>, and locomotor<sup>204-207,263</sup> and head-direction circuits<sup>264</sup> contribute to the emergence of 778 779 grid patterns (Box 1).

- 780
- 781

#### 782 Perspective

783 The search for a hippocampal positioning system began with the discovery of place cells in 1971. We 784 have illustrated how the first subsequent decades were characterized by attempts to find the 785 determinants of spatially localized firing, with a focus on the sensory sources. As we entered the 786 1990s, the discovery of head direction cells, and the turn to population dynamics, prepared the field 787 for more targeted investigation of the circuit operations underlying place field formation and spatial 788 mapping. The 1990s showed how ensembles of simultaneously recorded hippocampal neurons 789 encoded functions that could not be read out from the activity of individual neurons. From around 790 2000, with increasing awareness that these ensembles likely extended beyond the hippocampus, 791 investigators entered the entorhinal cortex, and an intricate circuit of grid cells and other specialized 792 cell types was discovered there. The investigation of space has been brought to a new level, where it 793 is possible to ask questions about how functions emerge through interactions within extended 794 networks of heterogeneously connected cell types and subsystems.

795

While we will certainly learn more about the neural origins of spatial cognition during the years to
come (Box 1), studies of spatial representation and navigation are informative about cortical
functions in a wider sense. The ease at which spatial functions can be studied in the hippocampal

799 formation of a number of mammals has made the study of the positioning system pioneer the 800 development and testing of sophisticated computational neural-network models. Few other areas of 801 systems neuroscience have benefited so strongly from the interplay between computational and 802 experimental neuroscience. Place cells and their entorhinal counterparts have helped to open the 803 cortex to studies of neural computation, allowing researchers to identify generic circuit motifs that 804 may be expressed not only in the spatial circuits of the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex but across 805 widespread regions of the brain. Almost 50 years after place cells were discovered, place cells and 806 their parahippocampal counterparts have become one of the most powerful tools we have for 807 understanding cortical computation and spatial mapping, and navigation may become one of the first 808 cognitive functions to be understood in mechanistic terms.

809

#### 810 In memoriam

811 In memoriam, Howard B. Eichenbaum (1947-2017; Fig. 8). The field of hippocampal and memory 812 research mourns the loss of our friend and colleague Howard, who passed away unexpectedly 813 recently. Howard's contribution to the field was immense both scientifically and in service. His 814 research was mostly focussed on one of the major aspects that we have explicitly not covered in this 815 review: the role of the hippocampus in memory. Over the years, his position evolved from that of an 816 unafraid and much-needed devil's advocate against the pure spatial map hypothesis towards what is 817 now the general consensus view that spatial coding provides a foundation on top of which sensory 818 and event-specific memory is superimposed, and he became a pioneer in the study of how time and 819 temporal order also play a role. His thinking on hippocampal-cortical interactions in memory 820 organization and control is beautifully summarized in his 2017 Annual Review of Psychology article<sup>47</sup>.

- 821
- 822

#### 823 Figure Captions

824

**Figure 1:** Some historical milestones in the study of spatial coding in the hippocampal formation.

826

827 Figure 2: Place cells.

a) First place cell described<sup>4</sup>. Arrows and letters mark positions at which the animal was restrained as

it was pushed or coaxed around the test platform. Firing rate of the unit is illustrated by the

830 frequency histograms in the middle of the figure. Letters correspond to positions and lines indicate

periods of restraint. Bottom lines show spikes at the onset of the unit response at A (1) and during

the absence of a response at D (2). Calibration bar 400 msec. Note that the cell responds selectively

- at only a few positions. O'Keefe and Dostrovsky reported 8 units out of 76 recorded hippocampal
- cells that responded solely or maximally when the rat was situated in a particular part of the testing
- 835 platform facing in a particular direction. It should be noted that the single electrode technology
- available to the authors at the likely precluded regular good isolation of cells, which may have limited
- the number of clear 'place' responses observed. Reproduced from ref. 4, with permission.
- b) A place field as typically displayed today. Top rat's trajectory in grey; spike locations superimposed
- as black dots. Bottom: Color-coded rate map; dark red is maximum rate, blue is silence. Regions notvisited in black.
- c) Left: The book by John O'Keefe and Lynn Nadel was long a "Bible" in the study of spatial coding in
- the hippocampal formation. Right: Nadel (left) and O'Keefe (right) during preparation of the book.
- Picture taken by Dulcie Conway around 1975. Picture courtesy of John O'Keefe<sup>265</sup>
- 844

845 **Figure 3:** Ensemble recording technology.

- a) The principle of tetrode recording proposed by McNaughton et al.<sup>32</sup> exploits the variation in
- 847 extracellular spike height as a function of distance to the recording site to resolve multiple single
- 848 units in structures such as hippocampus, where the neurons are fairly tightly packed. Example of
- spike amplitude clusters from a tetrode recording showing two of the 4 spike-amplitude dimensions.
- The corresponding spike waveforms are shown on the right. Reproduced from ref. 80.
- b) A 48 channel, 12 tetrode probe array (hyperdrive) from ca. 1995. This system exploited the
- 852 flexibility of wire tetrodes to enable them to be advanced by pushing them through gently curving
- 853 tubes (like a mosquito proboscis).
- c) Multi-tetrode recording made it possible to record from more than 100 hippocampal neurons
  simultaneously. Here is shown 80 firing rate maps from simultaneously recorded CA1 cells as the rat
  ran in a 70x70 cm arena<sup>33</sup>. Firing rate is color-coded from blue (silent) to red (maximum rate). Note,
- 857 many CA1 cells were virtually silent in this particular arena, whereas about 40% had place fields. Six
- 858 of the recorded cells correspond to fast-spiking cells (interneurons) which have much less spatial

859 selectivity. Reproduced from ref. 33.

- d) Examples of the actual (blue) spatial trajectory of the rat and the trajectory reconstructed from
- the population firing rate vector (red). Reproduced from ref. 33.
- 862

**Figure 4:** Head direction cells<sup>6</sup>. a) Firing rate as a function of head direction for 2 representative cells

864 from 2 different animals. b) A head direction cell firing rate in polar coordinates. Peak firing rate, in

the left orientation, is 6 Hz. c) Jeffrey Taube (left) and James B. Ranck Jr. (right), SUNY Downstate

- 866 Medical Center in Brooklyn., N.Y., in 1987. Panel a reproduced from ref. 6, with permission. Picture
- 867 courtesy of Jeffrey Taube.

868

- 869 **Figure 5:** Path integration.
- a) Illustration of Mittlestaedt & Mittlestaedt 1980 experiment<sup>12</sup>. This experiment showed that
- rodents can perform angular and linear path integration. A female mouse returns directly to her nest
- 872 after finding a lost pup in total darkness, but makes a heading error if she is rotated below vestibular
- threshold prior to starting the inbound journey. Reproduced from ref. 91.
- b) The Skaggs et al. continuous attractor model from 1995 proposed to explain how head direction
- cells arise through 'integration' of head angular velocity signals from the vestibular system<sup>18,228</sup>.
- 876 Updating in the head direction (attractor) layer was performed by a 'hidden layer' of cells conjunctive
- 877 for head angular velocity and starting head direction whose return projections to the head direction
- 878 layer are offset according to the sign of rotation. Such conjunctive cells have been found in several
- 879 regions of the brain. Reproduced from ref. 233.
- c & d) The continuous attractor model for path integration in 2-D proposed by McNaughton et al. in
- 881 1996<sup>19</sup> and simulated by Samsonovich and McNaughton in 1997<sup>90</sup>. H'=head angular velocity; H'H =
- conjunctive cells; H = head direction; P = place cells; M = speed cells; PH x M = cells conjunctive for
- place and head direction and modulated by speed; V = external sensory inputs that were assumed to
- associatively bind to both H cells and P cells to enable correction of drift error in the path integrator
- and resetting of the integrator upon entry to a familiar environment. Reproduced from ref. 90.
- 886

887 Figure 6: Remapping

- a) John Kubie and Robert Muller from SUNY Downstate Medical Center., NY. Picture courtesy of JohnKubie.
- b) Global remapping apparently induced by only changing the color of the recording environment<sup>100</sup>.
- 891 Rate maps are shown for the same place cell recorded in a white cylinder (left) and a black cylinder
- 892 (right). Firing rate is color-coded from yellow (no firing) to dark blue or black (high rate). The cell fires
- in different regions of the cylinder (and other cells are active in only one cylinder) despite changing
- only the color of the box. It is interesting to know that the authors later confirmed anecdotally that
- they pretrained the animals in the white and black cylinder in two different rooms, which would have
- allowed differences in path integrator coordinates to control the global remapping, as later shown by
- 897 Colgin et al.<sup>111</sup>. Rate maps adapted from ref. 100, with permission.
- c) Rate remapping induced by changing the color of the recording environment while keeping its
- 899 location constant<sup>106</sup>. The rat's trajectory in a white and a black box is shown for three cells, with
- 900 spikes superimposed as red dots. Note that changing only the color of the box causes substantial
- 901 change in the distribution of firing rates across cells, but firing locations are retained. Adapted from
- 902 ref. 106.

- 903
- 904 **Figure 7:** Grid cells and other functional cell types of the MEC.
- a) Firing fields of one of the first grid cells reported in 2005<sup>9</sup>. Left, trajectory of the rat (black) with
- 906 superimposed spike locations (red). Middle: color-coded rate map with peak rate indicated. Right:
- 907 spatial autocorrelogram, color-coded from blue (r = 1) through green (r = 0) to red (r = 1).
- 908 Reproduced from ref. 9.
- b) Sagittal section of the rat brain showing the hippocampus and the MEC (red area) and grid cells of
- 910 different scales recorded at three locations on the dorsal ventral axis (trajectories with spike
- 911 locations as in a). Note expansion of grid cell from dorsal to ventral MEC. Adapted from ref. 91.
- 912 c) Grid cell modules<sup>156</sup>. Top: autocorrelation plots showing grid patterns at successive positions along
- 913 the dorsoventral axis of MEC. Bottom: grid size, defined as distance between grid vertices, as a
- 914 function of position along the dorsoventral MEC axis (positions rank-ordered). Note that the increase
- 915 in grid size is not linear but discretized, following a geometric order with a factor of approximately
- square root of two. Mean grid size for each module is indicated by stippled lines. Such
- 917 modularization is an essential prediction of the attractor map theory if it is to account for variable
- 918 spatial scaling<sup>91</sup>. Adapted from ref. 156.
- d) Head-direction cell in layer V of MEC. Adapted from ref. 187.
- e) Conjunctive grid × head-direction cell in layer III of MEC. Adapted from ref. 187.
- f) Border cell<sup>167</sup>. Color-coded rate maps showing cell with selective firing along one of the walls of the
- 922 recording environment. Top: open environment. Bottom: rate map following insertion of a wall. Note
- that the border cell responds to the same side of the wall insert as the main wall in the environment.
- Adapted from ref. 167.
- 925
- 926 Figure 8: Howard Eichenbaum (1947-2017). Few have contributed more to the modern
- 927 understanding of hippocampal memory function, with place cells as a key component, than Howard
- 928 Eichenbaum, who sadly passed away, far too early, before the publication of this article. Photo
- 929 credit: Photographer Dan Kirksey, KDKC Photos, Escondito CA.
- 930

#### 931 **Box 1**

- 933 We have listed some outstanding problems in entorhinal-hippocampal space circuits that we believe
- 934 can be addressed with state-of-the-art systems neuroscience tools:
- 935
- 936 **1. Path-integration networks and mechanisms of grid cells and head direction cells**

- 937 The performance of attractor network models for space relies on a unique and testable connectivity
  938 between functionally similar cells. With state-of-the-art tools for neural imaging, genetic tagging and
  939 structural analysis, it may soon be possible to examine directly, in large MEC populations, the
- 940 probability of connections between functionally identified neurons with various degrees of feature
- similarity and dissimilarity. On a longer time scale, one may hope for a direct visualization, with in
- 942 vivo microscopy, of activity flow between connected mammalian neurons in a way that matches the
- animal's movement in space (similar to ref. 237 and 238 in flies).
- 944

#### 945 **2. Development of spatial network architectures**

- 946 How is the specificity of the hippocampal-entorhinal spatial neural network architectures achieved 947 during development of the nervous system? Excitatory neurons from the same radial glial progenitor are known to have stronger interconnections than other cells<sup>266,267</sup>. Might such connectivity between 948 949 clonally related cells underlie a possible preferential coupling between similarly tuned MEC cells, in 950 the same way that cells from the same clone exhibit similarities in orientation preferences, and possibly preferential coupling, in the visual cortex<sup>268,269</sup>? Does the young MEC have a topographically 951 952 arranged teaching layer, with connections between clonally related cells, that during early postnatal development gives way to the largely non-topographical<sup>9,270</sup> grid-cell network of the adult MEC (Fig. 8 953 954 of ref. 91)? Tools for targeted analysis of functional identity and connectivity of discrete 955 developmental cell populations have been developed, allowing these questions to be resolved in the near future<sup>271</sup>. 956
- 957

#### 958 **3. Including the entire entorhinal-hippocampal circuit**

A key objective for a more complete understanding of entorhinal-hippocampal function will be to
determine how cell types with different functional correlates map onto the variety of morphological
or neurochemical cell types and their unique connectivity patterns. Recent data suggest that, in layer
II of MEC, both stellate and pyramidal cells can be grid cells, although stellate cells may contain the
majority of them<sup>257,258,272-275</sup>. If so, are grid patterns created independently in these two cell classes,
or does one of them inherit the grid from the other?

965

#### 966 **4. Read-out**

- 967 Position can be decoded from grid cells and place cells, with greater accuracy in grid cells than place
- 968 cells if the population is multimodular and scaled in particular ways<sup>161,175,176,276</sup>. Whether neural
- 969 circuits decode information in the same way remains to be determined, however. Do neurons have
- 970 access to grid cells with different phase relationships or different spacing; do they integrate
- 971 information from grid cells with information from border cells or head direction cells? If so, where

- 972 are these neurons and how do they communicate with neocortical regions involved in strategy
- 973 formation and decision making? Most research on the mechanisms of spatial coding in hippocampus
- has focused on the nature of the *inputs* that contribute to it, and less is known about the impact of
- hippocampal *output* on coding dynamics in the widespread regions<sup>277</sup> of neocortex and other areas
- to which the hippocampal formation projects. The impact of outputs from the entorhinal-
- hippocampal circuit will perhaps constitute a new frontier in the study of this system.
- 978

#### 979 5. Moving towards naturalistic environments

- 980 Natural environments are large, three-dimensional, compartmentalized, nested and full of objects. 981 Ultimately studies of the hippocampal-entorhinal circuit should explore how cells map environments of shapes, sizes and content more comparable to the animal's natural habitat<sup>278</sup>. Are grid cells, head 982 983 direction cells and place cells used only for local mapping, in the range of a few meters, or is the 984 entorhinal-hippocampal network used also for extended spaces, and if so, how? Is there a single 985 continuous map, or are there different maps for different local spaces, as proposed by theoretical 986 studies<sup>279</sup> as well as observations in compartmentalized laboratory environments<sup>158</sup>? If the latter is true, how are they connected? And how is space coded in large and three-dimensional 987 environments<sup>278</sup>? In flying bats, place cells have spherical firing fields<sup>280</sup> and head-direction cells are 988 989 tuned to all three axes of orientation<sup>220</sup>. Whether such volumetric coding extends to terrestrial 990 animals remains unsettled, although experimental data suggest that, in rats, head direction is 991 encoded not only by classical azimuth-sensitive head direction cells but also by cells, in the lateral mammillary bodies, that respond to head pitch<sup>200</sup>. Observations in rats also suggest that the tilt of a 992 surface is factored into hippocampal and entorhinal representations of space<sup>281,282</sup>. 993
- 994

#### 995 6. Representation of time

996 Understanding space requires understanding time. Direct representation of the passage of time was 997 not observed in hippocampal neurons until the Buzsáki and Eichenbaum groups showed that when animals run for a known interval at a steady location, in a running wheel<sup>283</sup> or on a treadmill<sup>284</sup>, 998 hippocampal neurons fire successively at distinct times during the interval, following the same order 999 1000 on each trial. Cells with similar properties are present in the medial entorhinal cortex<sup>285</sup>. Most of 1001 these 'time cells' have discrete place or grid fields in standard spatial foraging tasks. Different assemblies and sequences of hippocampal time cells are active in tasks with different delays<sup>284</sup>, 1002 1003 suggesting that hippocampal ensembles encode temporally organized information much the same 1004 way as they represent space. The observation of time cells is a provocative finding that may share 1005 properties with mechanisms underlying path integration-based representation of location, but the 1006 temporally confined firing fields of time cells do not disappear when time and distance are decoupled

by restraining the animal<sup>286</sup> or changing the speed of the treadmill<sup>287</sup>, suggesting that sequences do 1007 1008 not exclusively reflect the number of steps at the task location. Certainly the relationship between 1009 representations of space and time and the role of time cells in perception and recall of time require 1010 further study. While time cells have firing fields in the order of a few seconds, and assemblies of time 1011 cells can represent events at the scale of tens of seconds, encoding of longer temporal distances may 1012 require different mechanisms. One may speculate that the spontaneous drift over hours and days in the firing properties of place cells in CA2 and to a lesser extent CA1<sup>288-290</sup>, as well as cell populations 1013 in LEC<sup>291</sup>, may possess the power to encode temporally distant events as distinguishable memories. 1014 1015

### 1016 **7. Beyond physical space**

1017 Do grid cells and other spatially modulated cells encode information beyond physical space, as suggested already by O'Keefe and Nadel<sup>65</sup>? Evidence for such an extension of functions has been 1018 1019 reported recently in a task where rats press a lever to alter the frequency of a sound on a continuous 1020 scale, hippocampal and entorhinal cells display frequency fields resembling place fields during navigation of physical space<sup>292</sup>. Further functional expansion might be expected in primates. Indeed, 1021 in monkeys, hippocampal and entorhinal cells fire in patterns defined not by the animal's location in 1022 space but by where it moves its eyes on a visual scene<sup>256,293,294</sup>. This observation raises the possibility 1023 1024 that place and grid cells create a map of visual space using eye movement signals instead of 1025 locomotor information to support coordinate transformation, without having to change any other computational elements of the circuit. In humans<sup>295,296</sup>, grid cells may take on functions in conceptual 1026 1027 mapping<sup>297</sup>. The possible adoption of grid cells as a metric for navigating abstract spaces would be 1028 consistent with the idea that hippocampal circuits first evolved for representation of space and later acquired capacity for imaginary navigation<sup>49,65,298,299</sup>. This expansion of functions would be 1029 1030 reminiscent of the way cortices originally involved in object recognition formed the basis for a visual word form area during the evolution of written language processing in the human cortex<sup>300</sup>. 1031 1032 1033

- 1034
- 1035

1036	Referen	ces
1037		
1038	1.	Balint, R. Seelenlähmung des "Schauens" optische Ataxie räumliche Störung der
1039		Aumerksamkeit. Monatssem. Esychiati. Neurol. 23, 5–81 (1909).
1041 1042	2.	Holmes, G. Disturbances of visual space perception. Brit. Med. J. 2, 230-233 (1919).
1043 1044	3.	Redish, A.D. <i>Beyond the Cognitive Map: From Place cells to Episodic Memory</i> (MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1999).
1045 1046 1047 1048	4.	O'Keefe, J. & Dostrovsky, J. The hippocampus as a spatial map. Preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat. <i>Brain Res</i> . <b>34</b> , 171-175 (1971).
1048 1049 1050 1051 1052	5.	Ranck, J.B. Jr. Head direction cells in the deep cell layer of dorsal presubiculum in freely moving rats. In Electrical Activity of the Archicortex, ed. G. Buzsáki, CH Vanderwolf, pp. 217—20 (Budapest: Akademiai Kiado, 1985).
1053 1054 1055 1056	6.	Taube, J.S., Muller, R.U. & Ranck, J.B. Jr. Head-direction cells recorded from the postsubiculum in freely moving rats. I. Description and quantitative analysis. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>10</b> , 420-435 (1990).
1057 1058 1059 1060	7.	Taube, J.S., Muller, R.U. & Ranck, J.B. Jr. Head-direction cells recorded from the postsubiculum in freely moving rats. III. Effects of environmental manipulations. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>10</b> , 436-447 (1990).
1061 1062 1063	8.	Fyhn, M., Molden, S., Witter, M.P., Moser, E.I. & Moser, M.B. Spatial representation in the entorhinal cortex. <i>Science</i> <b>305</b> , 1258-1264 (2004).
1064 1065 1066	9.	Hafting, T., Fyhn, M., Molden, S., Moser, MB. & Moser, E.I. Microstructure of a spatial map in the entorhinal cortex. <i>Nature</i> <b>436</b> , 801-806 (2005).
1067 1068 1069	10.	O'Keefe, J. Place units in the hippocampus of the freely moving rat. <i>Exp. Neurol.</i> <b>51</b> , 78-109 (1976).
1070 1071 1072	11.	Barlow, J.S. Inertial navigation as a basis for animal navigation. <i>J. Theor. Biol.</i> <b>6</b> , 76-117 (1964).
1073 1074 1075	12.	Mittelstaedt, M. L. & Mittelstaedt, H. Homing by path integration in a mammal. <i>Naturwissenschaften</i> <b>67</b> , 566-567 (1980).
1076 1077	13.	Gallistel, C.R. The Organization of Learning (MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1990).
1078 1079	14.	Etienne, A. S. & Jeffery, K. J. Path integration in mammals. <i>Hippocampus</i> 14, 180-192 (2004).
1080 1081 1082	15.	Stein, J.F. Representation of egocentric space in the posterior parietal cortex. <i>Q.J. Exp. Physiol.</i> <b>74</b> , 583-606 (1989).
1083 1084 1085	16.	Snyder, L.H., Grieve, K.L., Brotchie, P. & Andersen, R.A. Separate body- and world- referenced representations of visual space in parietal cortex. <i>Nature</i> <b>394</b> , 887–891 (1998).

1086 1087 1088	17.	Wilber, A.A., Clark, B.J., Forster, T.C., Tatsuno, M. & McNaughton, B.L. Interaction of egocentric and world-centered reference frames in the rat posterior parietal cortex. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>34</b> , 5431-5446 (2014).
1089		
1090	18.	McNaughton, B. L., Chen, L. L. & Markus, E. J. "Dead reckoning", landmark learning, and the
1091		sense of direction: A neurophysiological and computational hypothesis. J. Cog. Neurosci. 3,
1092		190-202 (1991).
1093		
1094	19.	McNaughton, B. L. et al. Deciphering the hippocampal polyglot: The hippocampus as a path
1095		integration system. J. Exp. Biol. 199, 173-185 (1996).
1096		
1097	20.	Touretzky, D.S. & Redish, A.D. Theory of rodent navigation based on interacting
1098		representations of space. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>6</b> , 247-270 (1996).
1099		
1100	21	Redish, A.D. & Touretzky, D.S. Cognitive maps beyond the hippocampus. <i>Hippocampus</i> 7.
1101		15-35 (1997)
1102		
1103	22	Quirk G L Muller B LL Kubie LL & Banck LB Ir The positional firing properties of medial
1104	22.	entorhinal neurons: description and comparison with hippocampal place cells. <i>J. Neurosci</i>
1105		12 1945-1963 (1992)
1106		12, 1949 1909 (1992).
1107	23	Sharn D.E. Subicular cells generate similar spatial firing patterns in two geometrically and
1107	25.	visually distinctive environments: comparison with hippocampal place cells. <i>Behav. Brain</i>
1100		$R_{PC}$ <b>85</b> 71-02 (1007)
1109		Nes. <b>63</b> , 71-52 (1557).
1110	24	Kronff E. & Troves A. The emergence of grid cells: Intelligent design or just adaptation?
1117	24.	Hinnocampus <b>18</b> , 1256-1269 (2008)
1112		nippocumpus <b>10</b> , 1250-1205 (2000).
1113	25	Hayman, R. & Burgess, N. Are new place representations independent of theta and path
1114	25.	integration? Neuron 82, 721-722 (2014)
1115		
1110	26	Evans T. Bicanski, A. Bush, D. & Burgess, N. How environment and self-motion combine in
1112	20.	neural representations of space 1 Physiol <b>591</b> 6535-6546 (2016)
1110		neural representations of space. J. Physiol. <b>334</b> , 0333-0340 (2010).
1120	27	Ainsworth A Gaffan G.D. O'Keefe, I.& Samnson R. A technique for recording units in the
1120	27.	medulla of the awake freely moving rat <i>L Physiol</i> <b>202</b> 80P-82P (1969)
1121		
1122	20	Social M. & Olde I. Bohavior of units in hippocampal circuit of the rat during learning /
1125	20.	Neurophysiol <b>35</b> , 680-600 (1072)
1124		Neurophysion. <b>33</b> , 080-090 (1972).
1125	20	Panck I.B. Ir. Studies on single neurons in dersal hippocampal formation and sentum in
1120	29.	uprestrained rate L Rebayioral correlates and firing repertoires. Even Neural <b>41</b> , 461-521
1127		(1072)
1120		
1130	20	McNaughton B. L. Barnes C. A. & O'Keefe I. The contributions of position direction and
1121	50.	velocity to single unit activity in the hippocompus of freely moving rate. Exp. Prain Pos. 53
1127		velocity to single unit activity in the hippotanipus of neery-moving fats. $Exp.$ bruill Res. <b>52</b> , $11_{0}$
1132		41-4J (1J0J).
112/	21	Muller P.H. Kubie, H. & Panck I.B. Ir. Spatial firing patterns of hippocampal complex critic
1135	эт.	cells in a fixed environment 1 Neurosci 7 1035-1050 (1097)
1136		

1137 1138 1139 1140	32.	McNaughton, B.L., O'Keefe, J. & Barnes, C.A. The stereotrode: a new technique for simultaneous isolation of several single units in the central nervous system from multiple unit records. <i>J. Neurosci. Methods</i> <b>8</b> , 391-397 (1983).
1140 1141 1142 1142	33.	Wilson, M. A. & McNaughton, B. L. Dynamics of the hippocampal ensemble code for space. <i>Science</i> <b>261</b> , 1055-1058 (1993).
1143 1144 1145 1146	34.	Buzsáki, G. Large-scale recording of neuronal ensembles. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>7</b> , 446-451 (2004).
1140 1147 1148 1149	35.	Boyden, E.S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G. & Deisseroth, K. Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>8</b> , 1263-1268 (2005).
1143 1150 1151 1152 1152	36.	Armbruster, B.N., Li, X., Pausch, M.H., Herlitze, S., and Roth, B.L. Evolving the lock to fit the key to create a family of G protein-coupled receptors potently activated by an inert ligand. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> <b>104</b> , 5163-5168 (2007).
1155 1154 1155 1156	37.	Deisseroth, K. Optogenetics: 10 years of microbial opsins in neuroscience. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>18</b> , 1213-1225 (2015).
1157 1158 1159	38.	Ziv, Y. <i>et al</i> . Long-term dynamics of CA1 hippocampal place codes. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>16</b> , 264-266 (2013).
1160 1161 1162	39.	Dombeck, D.A., Khabbaz, A.N., Collman, F., Adelman, T.L. & Tank, D.W. Imaging large-scale neural activity with cellular resolution in awake, mobile mice. <i>Neuron</i> <b>56</b> , 43-57 (2007).
1163 1164 1165 1166	40.	Dombeck, D.A., Harvey, C.D., Tian, L., Looger, L.L. & Tank, D.W. Functional imaging of hippocampal place cells at cellular resolution during virtual navigation. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>13</b> , 1433-1440 (2010).
1167 1168 1169	41.	Scoville, W.B. & Milner, B. Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. <i>J. Neuro. Neurosurg. Psychiatry</i> <b>20</b> , 11-21 (1957).
1170 1171 1172	42.	Milner, B. Further analysis of the hippocampal amnesic syndrome: 14-year follow-up study of H.M. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> <b>6</b> , 215-234 (1968).
1173 1174 1175	43.	Squire, L.R. Memory and the hippocampus: a synthesis from findings with rats, monkeys, and humans. <i>Psychol. Rev.</i> <b>99</b> , 195-231 (1992).
1176 1177 1178	44.	Cohen, N.J. & Eichenbaum, H. <i>Memory, Amnesia, and the Hippocampal System</i> (MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1993).
1179 1180 1181	45.	Tulving, E. & Markowitsch, H.J. Episodic and declarative memory: role of the hippocampus. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>8</b> , 198-204 (1998).
1182 1183 1184	46.	Martin, S.J., Grimwood, P.D. & Morris, R.G. Synaptic plasticity and memory: an evaluation of the hypothesis. <i>Annu. Rev. Neurosci.</i> <b>23</b> , 649-711 (2000).
1185 1186	47.	Eichenbaum, H. Memory: organization and control. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 68, 19-45 (2017).

1187 1188 1189 1190	48.	Eichenbaum, H., Dudchenko, P., Wood, E., Shapiro, M. & Tanila, H. The hippocampus, memory, and place cells: is it spatial memory or a memory space? <i>Neuron</i> <b>23</b> , 209-226 (1999).
1191 1192 1102	49.	Buzsáki, G. & Moser, E.I. Memory, navigation and theta rhythm in the hippocampal- entorhinal system. <i>Nature Neurosci</i> . <b>16</b> , 130-138 (2013).
1195 1194 1195 1106	50.	Moser, MB., Rowland, D.C. & Moser, E.I. Place cells, grid cells, and memory. <i>Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.</i> <b>7</b> , a021808 (2015).
1196 1197 1198 1100	51.	Buzsáki, G. Two-stage model of memory trace formation: a role for "noisy" brain states. <i>Neurosci</i> . <b>31</b> , 551-570 (1989).
1200 1201 1202	52.	Wilson, M.A. & McNaughton, B.L. Reactivation of hippocampal ensemble memories during sleep. <i>Science</i> <b>265</b> , 676-679 (1994).
1202 1203 1204 1205	53.	McClelland, J.L., McNaughton, B.L. & O'Reilly, R.C. Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. <i>Psychol. Rev.</i> <b>102</b> , 419-457 (1995).
1206 1207 1208 1209	54.	Dragoi, G. & Tonegawa, S. Preplay of future place cell sequences by hippocampal cellular assemblies. <i>Nature</i> <b>469</b> , 397-401 (2011).
1210 1211 1212	55.	Poucet, B. <i>et al</i> . Spatial navigation and hippocampal place cell firing: the problem of goal encoding. <i>Rev. Neurosci.</i> <b>15</b> , 89-107 (2004).
1213 1214 1215	56.	Shapiro, M.L., Kennedy, P.J. & Ferbinteanu, J. Representing episodes in the mammalian brain. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 16, 701-709 (2006).
1216 1217 1218	57.	Johnson, A. & Redish, A.D. Neural ensembles in CA3 transiently encode paths forward of the animal at a decision point. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>27</b> , 12176-12189 (2007).
1219 1220 1221	58.	Johnson, A., van der Meer, M.A. & Redish, A.D. Integrating hippocampus and striatum in decision-making. <i>Curr. Opin. Neurobiol</i> . <b>17</b> , 692-697 (2007).
1222 1223 1224	59.	Pfeiffer, B.E. & Foster, D.J. Hippocampal place-cell sequences depict future paths to remembered goals. <i>Nature <b>497</b></i> , 74-79 (2013).
1225 1226 1227	60.	Buzsáki, G., Leung, L.W. & Vanderwolf, C.H. Cellular bases of hippocampal EEG in the behaving rat. <i>Brain Res</i> . <b>287</b> , 139-171 (1983).
1228 1229	61.	Buzsáki, G. Theta oscillations in the hippocampus. Neuron <b>33</b> , 325-340 (2002).
1230 1231	62.	Colgin, L.L. Rhythms of the hippocampal network. <i>Nat. Rev. Neurosci.</i> <b>17</b> , 239-249 (2016).
1232 1233 1234	63.	Best, P.J. & Ranck, J.B. Jr. Relliability of the relationship between hippocampal unit activity and behavior in the rat. <i>Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.</i> <b>1</b> , 837 (1975).
1234 1235 1236	64.	Tolman, E.C. Cognitive maps in rats and men. <i>Psychol. Rev.</i> 55, 189-208 (1948).

1237 1238	65.	O'Keefe, J. & Nadel, L. <i>The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map</i> (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1978).
1239 1240 1241	66.	O'Keefe, J. & Black, A.H. Single unit and lesion experiments on the sensory inputs to the hippocampal cognitive map. In Functions of the Septo-Hippocampal System (CIBA
1242 1243		Foundation Symposium No. 58, pp. 179-198) (New York, Elsevier, 1977).
1244 1245 1246	67.	Muller, R.U., Bostock, E., Taube, J.S. & Kubie, J.L. On the directional firing properties of hippocampal place cells. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>14</b> , 7235-7251 (1994).
1240 1247 1248	68.	O'Keefe, J. & Conway, D.H. Hippocampal place units in the freely moving rat: why they fire where they fire. Exp. <i>Brain Res.</i> <b>31</b> , 573-590 (1978).
1249 1250 1251	69.	O'Keefe, J. & Speakman, A. Single unit activity iin the rat hippocampus during a spatial memory task. <i>Exp. Brain Res</i> . <b>68</b> , 1-27 (1987).
1252 1253 1254	70.	Quirk, G.J., Muller, R.U. & Kubie, J.L. The firing of hippocampal place cells in the dark depends on therat's recent experience. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>10</b> , 2008-2017 (1990).
1255 1256 1257	71.	Muller, R. U. & Kubie, J. L. The effects of changes in the environment on the spatial firing of hippocampal complex-spike cells. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>7</b> , 1951–1968 (1987).
1258 1259 1260 1261	72.	Sharp, P.E., Kubie, J.L. & Muller, R.U. Firing properties of hippocampal neurons in a visually symmetrical environment: contributions of multiple sensory cues and mnemonic processes. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>10</b> , 3093-3105 (1990).
1262 1263 1264 1265	73.	Gothard, K. M., Skaggs, W. E., Moore, K.M. & McNaughton, B. L. Binding of hippocampal CA1 neural activity to multiple reference frames in a landmark-based navigation task. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>16</b> , 823-835 (1996).
1266 1267 1268 1269	74.	Gothard, K. M., Skaggs, W. E. & McNaughton, B. L. Dynamics of mismatch correction in the hippocampal ensemble code for space: Interaction between path integration and environmental cues. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>16</b> , 8027-8040 (1996).
1270 1271 1272	75.	Jeffery, K.J., Donnett, J.G., Burgess, N. & O'Keefe, J.M. Directional control of hippocampal place fields. <i>Exp. Brain Res</i> . <b>117</b> , 131-142 (1997).
1273 1274 1275 1276	76.	Jeffery, K.J. & O'Keefe, J.M. Learned interaction of visual and idiothetic cues in the control of place field orientation. <i>Exp. Brain Res.</i> <b>127</b> , 151-161 (1999).
1270 1277 1278 1279	77.	Knierim, J.J., Kudrimoti, H.S. & McNaughton, B.L. Place cells, head direction cells, and the learning of landmark stability. <i>J. Neurosci</i> . <b>15</b> , 1648-1659 (1995).
1280 1281 1282	78.	Knierim, J.J., Kudrimoti, H.S. & McNaughton, B.L. Interactions between idiothetic cues and external landmarks in the control of place cells and head direction cells. <i>J. Neurophysiol.</i> <b>80</b> , 425-446 (1998).
1283 1284 1285 1286 1287	79.	Battaglia, F.P., Sutherland, G.R. & McNaughton, B.L. Local sensory cues and place cell directionality: additional evidence of prospective coding in the hippocampus. <i>J. Neurosci</i> . <b>24</b> , 4541-4550 (2004).

1288 1289 1200	80.	Jung, M. W., Wiener, S. I. & McNaughton, B. L. Comparison of spatial firing characteristics of units in dorsal and ventral hippocampus of the rat. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>14</b> , 7347-7356 (1994).
1290		
1291	81.	Kjelstrup, K. B. <i>et al.</i> Finite scale of spatial representation in the hippocampus. Science <b>321</b> ,
1292		140-143, (2008).
1293		
1294	82.	Barnes, C.A., Suster, M.S., Shen, J. & McNaughton, B.L. Multistability of cognitive maps in
1295		the hippocampus of old rats. Nature <b>388</b> , 272-275 (1997).
1296		
1297	83.	Kentros, C. <i>et al</i> . Abolition of long-term stability of new hippocampal place cell maps by
1298		NMDA receptor blockade. <i>Science</i> <b>280</b> , 2121-2126 (1998).
1299		
1300	84	Terrazas, A. et al. Self-motion and the hippocampal spatial metric. I. Neurosci. 25, 8085-
1301	01.	8096 (2005)
1302		6656 (2005).
1202	ог	Faster T.C. Castro, C.A. & McNaughton, D.L. Spatial calestivity of rat hippocompal neuropsy
1303	85.	Foster, T.C., Castro, C.A. & Michaeghton, B.L. Spatial selectivity of rat hippocampal neurons.
1304		dependence on preparedness for movement. Science <b>244</b> , 1580-1582 (1989).
1305		
1306	86.	Maurer, A.P., Vanrhoads, S.R., Sutherland, G.R., Lipa, P. & McNaughton, B.L. Self-motion and
1307		the origin of differential spatial scaling along the septo-temporal axis of the hippocampus.
1308		Hippocampus <b>15</b> , 841-852 (2005).
1309		
1310	87.	Ranck, J.B. Jr. Foreword: History of the discovery of head direction cells. In Wiener, S.I. &
1311		Taube, J.S. (Eds.): Head Direction Cells and the Neural Mechanisms of Spatial Orientation.
1312		MIT Press (Cambridge, MA, 2005).
1313		
1314	88.	Chen, L.L., Lin, L.H., Barnes, C.A. & McNaughton, B.L. Head-direction cells in the rat posterior
1315		cortex. II. Contributions of visual and ideothetic information to the directional firing. <i>Exp.</i>
1316		Brain Res. <b>101</b> , 24-34 (1994).
1317		
1318	89	Taube 1.5. The head direction signal: origins and sensory-motor integration. Annu. Rev
1310	05.	Neurosci <b>30</b> 181-207 (2007)
1315		<i>Neurosci.</i> <b>30</b> , 101 207 (2007).
1220	00	Samcanovich A. & McNaughton, R. L. Bath integration and cognitive manning in a
1321	90.	Sanisonovich, A. & Michaughton, B. L. Path integration and cognitive mapping in a
1322		continuous attractor neural network model. J. Neurosci. 17, 5900-5920 (1997).
1323		
1324	91.	McNaughton, B.L., Battaglia, F.P., Jensen, O., Moser, E.I. & Moser, M.B. Path integration and
1325		the neural basis of the 'cognitive map'. <i>Nature Rev. Neurosci.</i> <b>7</b> , 663-678 (2006).
1326		
1327	92.	Yoder, R.M., Clark, B.J. & Taube, J.S. Origins of landmark encoding in the brain. <i>Trends</i>
1328		Neurosci. <b>34</b> , 561-571 (2011).
1329		
1330	93.	O'Keefe, J. & Burgess, N. Geometric determinants of the place fields of hippocampal
1331		neurons. <i>Nature <b>381</b>,</i> 425-428 (1996).
1332		
1333	94.	Chen, G., King, J.A., Burgess, N. & O'Keefe, J. How vision and movement combine in the
1334		hippocampal place code. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, 378-383 (2013).
1335		
1336	95	Ravassard, P. et al. Multisensory control of hippocampal spatiotemporal selectivity. Science
1337		<b>340</b> , 1342-1346 (2013).

1338		
1339	96.	Aghajan, Z.M. et al. Impaired spatial selectivity and intact phase precession in two-
1340		dimensional virtual reality. Nat. Neurosci. 18, 121-128 (2015).
1341		
1342	97.	Aronov, D. & Tank, D.W. Engagement of neural circuits underlying 2D spatial navigation in a
1343	-	rodent virtual reality system. <i>Neuron</i> <b>84</b> , 442-456 (2014).
1344		
1345	98	Stackman R.W. Clark A.S. & Taube J.S. Hippocampal spatial representations require
1345	50.	vestibular input Hippocampus <b>12</b> 291-303 (2002)
1240		vestibular input. <i>Inppotutipus</i> 12, 251-305 (2002).
1347	00	Bussell N.A. Harli A. Smith D.F. Darlington C.L. & Bilkov D.K. Long term affects of
1348	99.	Russell, N.A., Horli, A., Smith, P.F., Danington, C.L. & Birkey, D.K. Long-term effects of
1349		(2002)
1350		(2003).
1351		
1352	100.	Bostock, E., Muller, R.U. & Kubie, J.L. Experience-dependent modifications of hippocampal
1353		place cell firing. Hippocampus 1, 193-205 (1991).
1354		
1355	101.	Kubie, J.L. & Muller, R.U. Multiple representations in the hippocampus. <i>Hippocampus</i> 1,
1356		240-242 (1991).
1357		
1358	102.	Muller, R.U., Kubie, J.L., Bostock, E.M., Taube, J.S. & Quirk, G.J. Spatial firing correlates of
1359		neurons in the hippocampal formation of freely moving rats. In J. Paillard (Ed.): Brain and
1360		Space, Oxford Univ. Press (Oxford, 1991), pp. 296-333.
1361		
1362	103.	Knierim, J.J. & McNaughton, B.L. Hippocampal place-cell firing during movement in three-
1363		dimensional space. J. Neurophysiol. 85, 105-116 (2001).
1364		
1365	104	Paz-Villagran, V., Save, F. & Poucet, B. Independent coding of connected environments by
1366	10	nlace cells Fur / Neurosci <b>20</b> 1379-1390 (2004)
1367		
1368	105	Spiers H L Hayman R M Joyalekic A Marozzi F & Jeffeny K L Place field repetition and
1369	105.	nurely local remanning in a multicompartment environment <i>Cereb Cortex</i> <b>25</b> 10-25 (2015)
1370		
1271	106	Loutgob S at al Indonendant codes for spatial and enisodic memory in the hippocompol
1371	100.	neuronal encombles. Science <b>200</b> , 610, 622 (2005)
1372		neuronal ensembles. <i>Science</i> <b>309</b> , 019-025 (2005).
1373	407	NAME AND THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A D
1374	107.	Markus, E. J., et al. Interactions between location and task affect the spatial and directional
1375		firing of hippocampal neurons. J. Neurosci. <b>15</b> , 7079-7094 (1995).
1376		
1377	108.	Wood, E. R., Dudchenko, P. A., Robitsek, R. J. & Eichenbaum, H. Hippocampal neurons
1378		encode information about different types of memory episodes occurring in the same
1379		location. <i>Neuron</i> <b>27</b> , 623– 633 (2000).
1380		
1381	109.	Anderson, M.I. & Jeffery, K.J. Heterogeneous modulation of place cell firing by changes in
1382		context. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>23</b> , 8827-8835 (2003).
1383		
1384	110.	Bower, M. R., Euston, D. R. & McNaughton, B. L. Sequential-context-dependent
1385		hippocampal activity is not necessary to learn sequences with repeated elements. J.
1386		Neurosci. 25, 1313-1323 (2005).
1387		

1388 1389 1390	111.	Colgin, L.L. <i>et al</i> . Attractor-map versus autoassociation based attractor dynamics in the hippocampal network. <i>J. Neurophysiol.</i> <b>104</b> , 35-50 (2010).
1391 1392 1393	112.	Wiener, S.I., Paul, C.A. & Eichenbaum, H. Spatial and behavioral correlates of hippocampal neuronal activity. <i>J. Neurosci</i> . <b>9</b> , 2737-2763 (1989).
1394 1395 1396	113.	Wood, E.R., Dudchenko, P.A. & Eichenbaum, H. The global record of memory in hippocampal neuronal activity. <i>Nature</i> <b>397</b> , 613-616 (1999).
1397 1398 1399	114.	Igarashi, K.M., Lu, L., Colgin, L.L., Moser, MB. & Moser, E.I. Coordination of entorhinal- hippocampal ensemble activity during associative learning. <i>Nature</i> <b>510</b> , 143-147 (2014).
1400 1401 1402	115.	Young, B.J., Fox, G.D. & Eichenbaum, H. Correlates of hippocampal complex-spike cell activity in rats performing a nonspatial radial maze task. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>14</b> , 6553-6563 (1994).
1403 1404 1405	116.	Segal, N., Disterhoft, J.F. & Olds, J. Hippocampal unit activity during classical aversive and appetitive conditioning. <i>Science</i> <b>175</b> , 792-794 (1972).
1406 1407 1408	117.	Berger, T.W., Alger, B. & Thompson, R.F. Neuronal substrate of classical conditioning in the hippocampus. <i>Science</i> <b>192</b> , 483-485 (1976).
1409 1410 1411	118.	Hampson, R.E., Heyser, C.J. & Deadwyler, S.A. Hippocampal cell firing correlates of delayed- matching-to-sample performance in the rat. <i>Behav. Neurosci.</i> <b>107</b> , 715-739 (1993).
1412 1413 1414	119.	Olypher, A.V., Lánský, P. & Fenton, A.A. Properties of the extra-positional signal in hippocampal place cell discharge derived from the overdispersion in location-specific firing. <i>Neurosci.</i> <b>111</b> , 553-566 (2002).
1415 1416 1417 1418	120.	Komorowski, R.W., Manns, J.R. & Eichenbaum, H. Robust conjunctive item-place coding by hippocampal neurons parallels learning what happens where. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>29</b> , 9918-9929 (2009).
1419 1420 1421 1422	121.	Teyler, T.J. & DiScenna, P. The hippocampal memory indexing theory. <i>Behav. Neurosci.</i> <b>100</b> , 147-154 (1986).
1423 1424 1425	122.	Teyler, T.J. & Rudy, J.W. The hippocampal indexing theory and episodic memory; updating the index. Hippocampus 17, 1158-1169 (2007).
1426 1427 1428	123.	McNaughton, B.L. Cortical hierarchies, sleep, and the extraction of knowledge from memory. <i>Artificial Intelligence</i> <b>174</b> , 205-214 (2010).
1429 1430 1431	124.	Tanila, H., Shapiro, M.L. & Eichenbaum, H. Discordance of spatial representation in ensembles of hippocampal place cells. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>7</b> , 613-623 (1997).
1432 1433 1434 1435	125.	Shapiro, M.L., Tanila, H. & Eichenbaum, H. Cues that hippocampal place cells encode: dynamic and hierarchical representation of local and distal stimuli. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>7</b> , 624-642 (1997).
1436 1437	126.	Knierim, J.J. Dynamic interactions between local surface cues, distal landmarks, and intrinsic circuitry in hippocampal place cells. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>22</b> , 6254-6264 (2002).

1438		
1439 1440	127.	Lee, I., Yoganarasimha, D., Rao, G. & Knierim, J.J. Comparison of population coherence of place cells in hippocampal subfields CA1 and CA3. <i>Nature</i> <b>430</b> , 456-459 (2004).
1441		
1442 1443	128.	Brandon, M.P. <i>et al.</i> Reduction of theta rhythm dissociates grid cell spatial periodicity from directional tuning. <i>Science</i> <b>332</b> , 595-599 (2011)
1444		
1445	129.	Koenig, J., Linder, A.N., Leutgeb, J.K. & Leutgeb, S. The spatial periodicity of grid cells is not
1446		sustained during reduced theta oscillations. <i>Science</i> <b>332</b> , 592-595 (2011).
1447		
1448	130.	Hales, J.B. et al. Medial entorhinal cortex lesions only partially disrupt hippocampal place
1449		cells and hippocampus-dependent place memory. Cell Rep. 9, 893-901 (2014).
1450		
1451 1452	131.	Hargreaves, E.L., Rao, G., Lee, I. & Knierim, J.J. Major dissociation between medial and lateral entorhinal input to dorsal hippocampus. <i>Science</i> <b>308</b> , 1792-1794 (2005).
1453		
1454	132.	Lu, L. et al. Impaired hippocampal rate coding after lesions of the lateral entorhinal cortex.
1455		Nature Neurosci. <b>16</b> , 1085-1093 (2013).
1456		
1457	133.	Miao, C. et al. Hippocampal remapping after partial inactivation of the medial entorhinal
1458		cortex. <i>Neuron</i> <b>88</b> , 590-603 (2015).
1459	424	
1460	134.	Ormond, J. & MicNaughton, B.L. Place field expansion after focal MEC inactivations is
1401		Acad. Sci. U.S.A. <b>112</b> A116-A121 (2015)
1463		Acua. 5ci. 0.5.A. 112, 4110-4121 (2015).
1464	135	Marr, D. Simple memory: a theory for archicortex. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.Biol. Sci
1465	100.	<b>262</b> , 23-81 (1971).
1466		
1467	136.	McNaughton, B.L. & Morris, R.G. Hippocampal synaptic enhancement and information
1468		storage within a distributed memory system. Trends Neurosci. 10, 408-415 (1987).
1469		
1470	137.	McNaughton, B.L. & Nadel, L. Hebb-Marr networks and the neurobiological representation
1471		of action space. In Neuroscience and Connectionist Theory, M. A. Gluck and D. E. Rumelhart,
1472		Eds. (Lawrence Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 1990), pp. 1–63.
14/3	420	
1474	138.	I reves, A. & Rolls, E. I. Computational constraints suggest the need for two distinct input
1475		systems to the hippocampal CA3 hetwork. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>2</b> , 189-199 (1992).
1470	120	Parnes C.A. McNaughton R.L. Mizumori S.L. Leonard R.W. & Lin J.H. Comparison of
1477	139.	spatial and temporal characteristics of neuronal activity in sequential stages of hippocampal
1479		processing. Prog. Brain Res. 83, 287-300 (1990).
1480		
1481	140.	Sharp, P.E. & Green, C. Spatial correlates of firing patterns of single cells in the subiculum of
1482		the freely moving rat. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>14</b> , 2339-2356 (1994).
1483		
1484	141.	Frank, L.M., Brown, E.N. & Wilson, M. Trajectory encoding in the hippocampus and
1485		entorhinal cortex. Neuron 27, 169-178 (2000).
1486		

1487 1488 1489 1490	142.	McNaughton, B.L., Barnes, C.A., Meltzer, J. & Sutherland, R.J. Hippocampal granule cells are necessary for normal spatial learning but not for spatially-selective pyramidal cell discharge. <i>Exp. Brain Res.</i> <b>76</b> , 485-496 (1989).
1491 1492 1493 1494	143.	Mizumori, S.J., McNaughton, B.L., Barnes, C.A. & Fox, K.B. Preserved spatial coding in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells during reversible suppression of CA3c output: evidence for pattern completion in hippocampus. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>9</b> , 3915-3928 (1989).
1495 1496 1497	144.	Brun, V.H. <i>et al</i> . Place cells and place recognition maintained by direct entorhinal- hippocampal circuitry. <i>Science</i> <b>296</b> , 2243-2246 (2002).
1498 1499 1500	145.	Sharp, P.E. Complimentary roles for hippocampal versus subicular/entorhinal place cells in coding place, context, and events. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>9</b> , 432-443 (1999).
1501 1502 1503 1504	146.	Witter, M. P., Groenewegen, H. J., Lopes da Silva, F. H. & Lohman, A. H. Functional organization of the extrinsic and intrinsic circuitry of the parahippocampal region. <i>Prog. Neurobiol.</i> <b>33</b> , 161-253 (1989).
1505 1506 1507	147.	Fyhn, M. Hafting, T., Treves, A., Moser, MB. & Moser, E.I. Hippocampal remapping and grid realignment in entorhinal cortex. <i>Nature</i> <b>446</b> , 190-194 (2007).
1508 1509 1510	148.	Barry, C., Hayman, R., Burgess, N. & Jeffery, K.J. Experience-dependent rescaling of entorhinal grids. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>10</b> , 682-684 (2007).
1511 1512 1513	149.	Chen, G., Manson, D., Cacucci, F. & Wills, T.J. Absence of visual input results in the disruption of grid cell firing in the mouse. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> <b>26</b> , 2335-2342 (2016).
1514 1515 1516 1517	150.	Perez-Escobar, J.A., Kornienko, O., Latuske, P., Kohler, L. & Allen, K. Visual landmarks sharpen grid cell metric and confer context specificity to neurons of the medial entorhinal cortex. <i>Elife</i> 5. pii: e16937 (2016).
1518 1519 1520 1521	151.	Kentros, C.G., Agnihotri, N.T., Streater, S., Hawkins, R.D. & Kandel, E.R. Increased attention to spatial context increases both place field stability and spatial memory. <i>Neuron</i> <b>42</b> , 283-295 (2004).
1522 1522 1523 1524	152.	Fyhn, M., Hafting, T., Witter, M.P., Moser, E.I. & Moser, MB. Grid cells in mice. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>18</b> , 1230-1238 (2008).
1525 1526 1527	153.	Fuhs, M.C. & Touretzky, D.S. A spin glass model of path integration in rat medial entorhinal cortex. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>26</b> , 4266-4276 (2006).
1528 1529 1530	154.	Gerrard, J.L., Bower, M.R., Insel, N., Lipa, P., Barnes, C.A., and McNaughton, B.L. A long day's journey into night. Soc. Neurosci. Abstr., San Diego, CA, November 2001.
1531 1532 1533	155.	Rich, P.D., Liaw, H.P. & Lee, A.K. Large environments reveal the statistical structure governing hippocampal representations. <i>Science</i> <b>345</b> , 814-817 (2014).
1534 1535	156.	Stensola, H. et al. The entorhinal grid map is discretized. Nature 492, 72-78 (2012).

1536 1537 1538	157.	Barry, C., Ginzberg, L.L., O'Keefe, J. & Burgess, N. Grid cell firing patterns signal environmental novelty by expansion. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> <b>109</b> , 17687-17692 (2012).
1539	158.	Derdikman. D. et al. Fragmentation of grid maps in a multicompartment environment.
1540		Nature Neurosci. <b>12</b> , 1325-1332 (2009).
1541		
1542	159.	Krupic, J., Bauza, M., Burton, S., Barry, C. & O'Keefe, J. Grid cell symmetry is shaped by
1543		environmental geometry. <i>Nature</i> 518, 232-235 (2015).
1544		
1545	160.	Stensola, T., Stensola, H., Moser, MB. & Moser, F.I. Shearing-induced asymmetry in
1546		entorhinal grid cells. <i>Nature</i> <b>518</b> , 207-212 (2015).
1547		
1548	161.	Stemmler, M., Mathis, A. & Herz, A.V. Connecting multiple spatial scales to decode the
1549		population activity of grid cells. <i>Science Advances</i> <b>1</b> , e1500816 (2015).
1550		population activity of 8.12 control and activity of 0.12 control (10.12).
1551	162.	Redish, A.D. <i>et al.</i> Independence of firing correlates of anatomically proximate hippocampal
1552		pyramidal cells. J. Neurosci. <b>21</b> . RC134 (2001).
1553		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1554	163	Leutgeb, S., Leutgeb, J.K., Treves, A., Moser, M.B. & Moser, F.I. Distinct ensemble codes in
1555	2001	hippocampal areas CA3 and CA1. Science <b>305</b> , 1295-1298 (2004).
1556		
1557	164	Alme C.B. et al. Place cells in the hippocampus eleven mans for eleven rooms. Proc. Natl
1558	101.	Acad Sci 1/ S A <b>111</b> 18428-18435 (2014)
1559		<i>Neud. Sei. 0.5,</i> <b>111</b> , 10120 10105 (2011).
1560	165	Yoon K et al. Specific evidence of low-dimensional continuous attractor dynamics in grid
1561	105.	cells Nature Neurosci <b>16</b> 1077-1084 (2013)
1562		cens. Nature Neurosci. 10, 1077-1004 (2013).
1563	166	Yoganarasimha D. Yu X & Knierim J. L. Head direction cell representations maintain
1564	100.	internal coherence during conflicting proximal and distal cue rotations: comparison with
1565		hippocampal place cells. J. Neurosci. <b>26</b> , 622-631 (2006).
1566		
1567	167.	Solstad, T., Boccara, C.N., Kropff, F., Moser, MB. & Moser, F.I. Representation of geometric
1568		borders in the entorhinal cortex. <i>Science</i> <b>322</b> , 1865-1868 (2008).
1569		
1570	168	Kropff, F., Carmichael, J.F., Moser, MB. & Moser, F.J. Speed cells in the medial entorhinal
1571	200.	cortex. <i>Nature</i> <b>523</b> , 419-424 (2015).
1572		
1573	169	Pevrache, A., Lacroix, M.M., Petersen, P.C. & Buzsáki, G. Internally organized mechanisms of
1574	2001	the head direction sense. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>18</b> , 569-575 (2015).
1575		
1576	170	Trettel, S., Fiete, J. & Colgin, J. J., Spike train correlations among grid cells and the
1577	2701	implications for the network model of grid formation. Soc. Neurosci. Abstr. 864.01 (2013).
1578		
1579	171	Gardner R Moser M - R & Moser F I Phase relationships between grid cells are preserved
1580	1/1.	during sleen Soc Neurosci Abstr 183 05 (2016)
1581		
1582	172	O'Keefe, L. Burgess, N., Donnett, I.G., Jeffery, K.J. & Maguire, F.A. Place cells, navigational
1583	±, 2.	accuracy, and the human hippocampus. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. R. Riol. Sci. <b>353</b> , 1333-
1584		1340 (1998).
1585		

173. Hirase, H., Leinekugel, X., Csicsvari, J., Czurkó, A. & Buzsáki, G. Behavior-dependent states of the hippocampal network affect functional clustering of neurons. J. Neurosci. 21, RC145 (2001). 174. Welinder, P.E., Burak, Y. & Fiete, I.R. Grid cells: the position code, neural network models of activity, and the problem of learning. *Hippocampus* 18, 1283-1300 (2008). 175. Mathis, A., Herz, A.V. & Stemmler, M.B. Resolution of nested neuronal representations can be exponential in the number of neurons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 018103 (2012). 176. Mathis, A., Herz, A.V. & Stemmler, M. Optimal population codes for space: grid cells outperform place cells. Neural Comput. 24, 2280-2317 (2012). 177. Solstad, T., Moser, E.I. & Einevoll, G.T. From grid cells to place cells: A mathematical model. Hippocampus 16, 1026-1031 (2006). 178. Colgin, L.L. et al. Frequency of gamma oscillations routes flow of information in the hippocampus. Nature 462, 353-357 (2009). 179. Rolls, E.T., Stringer, S.M. & Ellio, T. Entorhinal cortex grid cells can map to hippocampal place cells by competitive learning. Network 17, 447-465 (2006). 180. Savelli, F. & Knierim, J.J. Hebbian analysis of the transformation of medial entorhinal grid-cell inputs to hippocampal place fields. J. Neurophysiol. 103, 3167-3183 (2010). 181. de Almedia, L., Idiart, M. & Lisman, J.E. The input-output transformation of the hippocampal granule cells: from grid cells to place cells. J. Neurosci. 29, 7504-7512 (2009). 182. Monaco, J.D. & Abbott, L.F. Modular reealignment of entorhinal grid cell activity as a basis for hippocampal remapping. J. Neurosci. 31, 9414-9425 (2011). 183. Langston, R.F. et al. Development of the spatial representation system in the rat. Science , 1576-1580 (2010). 184. Wills, T.J., Cacucci, F., Burgess, N. & O'Keefe, J. Development of the hippocampal cognitive map in preweanling rats. *Science* **328**, 1573-1576 (2010). 185. Kanter, B.R. et al. A novel mechanism for the grid-to-place cell transformation revealed by transgenic depolarization of medial entorhinal cortex layer II. Neuron 93, 1480-1492 (2017). 186. Zhang, S.J. et al. Optogenetic dissection of entorhinal-hippocampal functional connectivity. Science 340, 1232627 (2013). 187. Sargolini, F. et al. Conjunctive representation of position, direction, and velocity in entorhinal cortex. Science 312, 758-762 (2006). 188. Taube, J.S. Place cells recorded in the parasubiculum of freely moving rats. *Hippocampus* 5, 569-583 (1995). 

1635 1636 1637	189.	Boccara, C.N. <i>et al</i> . Grid cells in pre- and parasubiculum. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>13</b> , 987-994 (2010).
1037	100	Coupli F. Vereneresimhe, D. 9. Knieving, I.I. Influence of houndary removal on the enstial
1038	190.	Savelli, F., Yoganarasimina, D. & Knierim, J.J. Innuence of boundary removal on the spatial
1639		representations of the medial enforminal cortex. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>18</b> , 1270-1282 (2008).
1640		
1641	191.	Rivard, B., Li, Y., Lenck-Santini, P.P., Poucet, B. & Muller, R.U. Representation of objects in
1642		space by two classes of hippocampal pyramidal cells. J. Gen. Physiol. <b>124</b> , 9-25 (2004).
1643		
1644	192.	Barry, C. et al. The boundary vector model of place cell firing and spatial memory. Rev.
1645		Neurosci. <b>17</b> , 71-97 (2006).
1646		
1647	193.	Lever, C., Burton, S., Jeewajee, A., O'Keefe, J. & Burgess, N. Boundary vector cells in the
1648		subiculum of the hippocampal formation. J. Neurosci. 29, 9771-9777 (2009).
1649		
1650	194.	Hartley, T., Burgess, N., Lever, C., Cacucci, F. & O'Keefe, J. Modeling place fields in terms of
1651		the cortical inputs to the hippocampus. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>10</b> , 369-379 (2000)."
1652		
1653	195	Muessig L. Hauser, L. Wills, T.L. & Cacucci, F. A developmental switch in place cell accuracy
1654	100.	coincides with grid cell maturation. <i>Neuron</i> <b>86</b> , 1167-1173 (2015)
1655		
1656	106	Bierknes T.L. Moser F.L.& Moser MB. Representation of geometric horders in the
1657	150.	developing rat Neuron <b>92</b> , 71-78 (2014)
1658		developing rat. <i>Neuron</i> <b>62</b> , 71-78 (2014).
1650	107	Chang K. & Callistel, C.D. Testing the geometric neuror of an animal's contial representation
1659	197.	Cheng, K. & Gamster, C.K. Testing the geometric power of an animal's spatial representation.
1661		Hillsdale, NJ) pp. 400-422-1084
1662		Hilisuale, NJJ, pp. 409-425, 1984.
1002	100	Change K. A generative sector module in the actic method sector to the control of the sector of the
1663	198.	Cheng, K. A purely geometric module in the rat's spatial representation. <i>Cognition</i> 23, 149-
1664		178 (1986).
1665		
1666	199.	Hardcastle, K., Ganguli, S. & Giocomo, L.M. Environmental boundaries as an error correction
1667		mechanism for grid cells. <i>Neuron</i> <b>86</b> , 827-839 (2015).
1668		
1669	200.	Stackman, R.W. & Taube, J.S. Firing properties of rat lateral mammillary single units: Head
1670		direction, head pitch, and angular head velocity. J. Neurosci. 18, 9020-9037 (1998).
1671		
1672	201.	Bassett, J.P. & Taube, J.S. Neural correlates for angular head velocity in the rat dorsal
1673		tegmental nucleus. <i>J. Neurosci</i> . <b>21</b> , 5740-5751 (2001).
1674		
1675	202.	Sharp, P.E., Tinkelman, A. & Cho, J. Angular velocity and head direction signals recorded
1676		from the dorsal tegmental nucleus of gudden in the rat: implications for path integration in
1677		the head direction cell circuit. Behav. Neurosci. 115, 571-588 (2001).
1678		
1679	203.	Czurkó, A., Hirase, H., Csicsvari, J. & Buzsáki, G. Sustained activation of hippocampal
1680	-	pyramidal cells by 'space clamping' in a running wheel. Eur. J. Neurosci. 11, 344-352 (1999).
1681		
1682	204.	King, C., Recce, M. & O'Keefe, J. The rhythmicity of cells of the medial septum/diagonal
1683	_•	band of Broca in the awake freely moving rat: relationships with behavior and hippocampal
1684		theta. Eur. J. Neurosci. 10, 464-477 (1998).

1685		
1686	205.	Sharp, P.E., Turner-Williams, S. & Tuttle, S. Movement-related correlates of single cell
1687		activity in the interpeduncular nucleus and habenula of the rat during a pellet-chasing task.
1688		Behav. Brain Res. 166, 55-70 (2006).
1689		
1690	206	Justus D. et al. Glutamatergic synaptic integration of locomotion speed via septoentorbinal
1691	200.	nrojections Nat Neurosci <b>20</b> 16-19 (2017)
1692		
1602	207	Canvalha MAM at al. A circuit for neuronal coding of locamation speeds from the
1095	207.	carvano, w.w. et al. A circuit for neuronal couning of locomotion speed. from the
1694		pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus to the medial enforminal cortex. Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.
1695		183.10 (2016).
1090	200	
1697	208.	lerrazas, A. Influences of self-motion signals on the hippocampal neural code for space.
1698		Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Arizona (2003), <u>http://hdl.handle.net/10150/280390</u> .
1699		
1700	209.	Hinman, J.R., Brandon, M.P., Climer, J.R., Chapman, G.W. & Hasselmo, M.E. Multiple
1701		running speed signals in medial entorhinal cortex. <i>Neuron</i> <b>91</b> , 666-679 (2016).
1702		
1703	210.	Ye J. <i>et al</i> . Speed coding in fast-spiking interneurons of the medial entorhinal cortex. <i>Soc.</i>
1704		Neurosci. Abstr. 183.11 (2016).
1705		
1706	211.	Deshmukh, S.S. & Knierim, J.J. Representation of non-spatial and spatial information in t
1707		lateral entorhinal cortex. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 5, 69 (2011).
1708		
1709	212.	Tsao, A., Moser, MB. & Moser, E.I. Traces of experience in the lateral entorhinal cortex.
1710		<i>Curr. Biol.</i> <b>23</b> . 399-405 (2013).
1711		
1712	213.	McNaughton, B.L., Knierim, J.I. & Wilson, M.A. Vectorial encoding and the vestibular
1713		foundations of spatial cognition: Neurophysiological and computational mechanisms. In
1714		Gazzaniga, M.S.: The Cognitive Neurosciences, ch. 37, pp. 585-595 (MIT Press, Cambridge,
1715		MA. 1995).
1716		
1717	214	Deshmukh SS & Knierim 11 Influence of local objects on hippocampal representations:
1718	2111	Landmark vectors and memory <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>23</b> 253-267 (2013)
1719		Lanamark vectors and memory. <i>Implocampus</i> <b>23</b> , 255 267 (2015).
1720	215	Haudal (A. Skutaan E.B. Masar M. P. & Masar E.L. Object vector calls in the modial
1720	215.	optorbiol cortox Soc Neurosci Abetr, in pross (2017)
1721		entorninal cortex. Soc. Neurosci. Abstr., in press (2017).
1722	210	Neuratileure 7, Hanne I.T. Celevindel C.D. Teteure M. C.McNeurahten, D.L. Eurapierrae
1723	216.	Navratilova, Z., Hoang, L.I., Schwindel, C.D., Tatsuno, M. & Michaughton, B.L. Experience-
1724		dependent firing rate remapping generates directional selectivity in hippocampal place
1725		cells. Front. Neural Circuits <b>6</b> , 1-14 (2012).
1726		
1727	217.	Sarel, A., Fineklstein, A., Las, L. & Ulanovsky, N. Vectorial representation of spatial goals in
1728		the hippocampus of bats. <i>Science</i> <b>355</b> , 176-180 (2017).
1729		
1730	218.	Ulanovsky, N. & Moss, C.F. Hippocampal cellular and network activity in freely moving
1731		echolocating bats. Nature Neurosci. 10, 224-233 (2007).
1732		
1733	219.	Yartsev, M.M., Witter, M.P. & Ulanovsky, N. Grid cells without theta oscillations in the
1734		entorhinal cortex of bats. Nature <b>479</b> , 103-107 (2011).

1735		
1736	220.	Finkelstein, A. et al. Three-dimensional head-direction coding in the bat brain. <i>Nature</i> <b>517</b> ,
1737		159-164 (2015).
1738		
1739	221	Wilber, A.A., Clark, B.L., Forster, T.C., Tatsuno, M. & McNaughton, B.L. Interaction of
1740		egocentric and world-centered reference frames in the rat posterior parietal cortex.
1740		Neurosci 34 5431-5446 (2014)
1741		<u>Neurosci.</u> 54, 5451-5440 (2014).
1742	222	Fishenhours II. Kuneratein M. Ferrer A. & Negede I. Cue compliant and goal engrance
1743	ZZZ.	Eichenbaum, H., Kuperstein, M., Fagan, A. & Nagode, J. Cue-sampling and goal-approach
1744		correlates of hippocampal unit activity in rats performing an odor-discrimination task. J.
1745		Neurosci. 7, 716-732 (1987).
1746		
1747	223.	Breese, C.R., Hampson, R.E. & Deadwyler, S.A. Hippocampal place cells: stereotypy and
1748		plasticity. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>9</b> , 1097-1111 (1989).
1749		
1750	224.	Hollup, S.A., Molden, S., Donnett, J.G., Moser, MB. & Moser, E.I. Accumulation of
1751		hippocampal place fields at the goal location in an annular watermaze task. J. Neurosci. 21,
1752		1635-1644 (2001).
1753		
1754	225.	Fyhn, M., Molden, S., Hollup, S., Moser, MB. & Moser, E.I. Hippocampal neurons
1755		responding to first-time dislocation of a target object. <i>Neuron</i> <b>35</b> , 555-566 (2002).
1756		
1757	226	Hok, V. et al. Goal-related activity in hippocampal place cells. J. Neurosci. 27, 472-482
1758		(2007)
1759		
1760	227	Hardcastle K. Maheswaranathan N. Ganguli S. & Giocomo J. M. A multinlexed
1761	227.	heterogeneous and adaptive code for pavigation in medial entorhinal cortex. <i>Neuron</i> <b>94</b>
1762		$375_{-}287$ (2017)
1762		575-587 (2017).
1764	110	Zincar D. & Anderson D.A. A hade propagation programmed natural that simulates
1704	228.	Zipser, D. & Andersen, R.A. A back-propagation programmed network that simulates
1705		response properties of a subset of posterior partetal neurons. <i>Nature</i> 331, 679-684 (1988).
1700	222	
1/6/	229.	Andersen, R.A. Multimodal integration for the representation of space in the posterior
1768		parietal cortex. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. <b>352</b> , 1421-1428 (1997).
1769		
1770	230.	Brozović, M., Gail, A. & Andersen, R.A. Gain mechanisms for contextually guided visuomotor
1771		transformations. J. Neurosci. 27, 10588-10596 (2007).
1772		
1773	231.	Hebb, D.O. The Organization of Behavior (New York: Wiley, 1949).
1774		
1775	232.	Amari, S. Dynamics of pattern formation in lateral-inhibition type neural fields. Biol. Cybern.
1776		<b>27</b> , 77-87 (1977).
1777		
1778	233.	Skaggs, W.E., Knierim, J.J., Kudrimoti, H.S. & McNaughton, B.L. A model of the neural basis
1779		of the rat's sense of direction. Advances in Neural Information Processina Systems 7. 173-
1780		180 (1995).
1781		
1782	234	Zhang, K. Representation of spatial orientation by the intrinsic dynamics of the head-
1783	_0	direction cell ensemble: A theory. J. Neurosci. <b>16</b> , 2112-2126 (1996)
1784		
1,01		

1785 1786 1787	235.	Redish, A.D., Elga, A.N. & Touretzky, D.S. A coupled attractor model of the rodent head direction system. <i>Network: Computation in Neural Systems</i> 7, 671–685 (1996).
1788 1789 1790 1791	236.	Clark, B.J. & Taube, J.S. Vestibular and attractor network basis of the head direction cell signal in subcortical circuits. Front. Neural Circuits 6:7, doi: 10.3389/fncir. <b>2012</b> .00007 (2012).
1792 1793 1794	237.	Green, J. <i>et al</i> . A neural circuit architecture for angular integration in Drosophila. <i>Nature</i> <b>546</b> , 101-106 (2017).
1795 1796 1797	238.	Seelig, J.D. & Jayaraman, V. Neural dynamics for landmark orientation and angular path integration. <i>Nature</i> <b>521</b> , 186-191 (2015).
1798 1799 1800	239.	Tsodyks, M. Attractor neural network model of spatial maps in hippocampus. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>9</b> , 481-489 (1999).
1801 1802 1803	240.	Conklin, J. & Eliasmith, C. A controlled attractor network model of path integration in the rat. <i>J. Comput. Neurosci.</i> <b>18</b> , 183-203 (2005).
1804 1805 1806	241.	Battaglia, F.P. & Treves, A. Stable and rapid recurrent processing in realistic autoassociative memories. <i>Neural Comput.</i> <b>10</b> , 431-450 (1998).
1807 1808 1809	242.	Burak, Y. & Fiete, I.R. Accurate path integration in continuous attractor network models of grid cells. <i>PLoS Comput. Biol.</i> <b>5</b> , e1000291 (2009).
1810 1811 1812	243.	Bonnevie, T. <i>et al</i> . Grid cells require excitatory drive from the hippocampus. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>16</b> , 309-317 (2013).
1813 1814 1815	244.	Couey, J.J. <i>et al</i> . Recurrent inhibitory circuitry as a mechanism for grid formation. <i>Nature Neurosci</i> . <b>16</b> , 318-324 (2013).
1816 1817 1818	245.	Pastoll, H., Solanka, L., van Rossum, M.C. & Nolan, M.F. Feedback inhibition enables Θ- nested γ-oscillations and grid firing fields. <i>Neuron</i> <b>77</b> , 141-154 (2013).
1819 1820 1821	246.	Dunn, B., Morreaunet, M. & Roudi, Y. Correlations and functional connections in a population of grid cells. <i>PLoS Comput. Biol.</i> <b>11</b> , e1004052 (2015).
1822 1823 1824 1825	247.	Tocker, G., Barak, O. & Derdikman, D. Grid cells correlation structure suggests organized feedforward proejctions into superficial layers of the medial entorhinal cortex. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>25</b> , 1599-1613 (2015).
1826 1827 1828	248.	O'Keefe, J. & Burgess, Dual phase and rate coding in hippocampal place cells: theoretical significance and relationship to entorhinal grid cells. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>15</b> , 853-866 (2005).
1829 1830 1831	249.	Burgess, N., Barry, C. & O'Keefe, J. An oscillatory interference model of grid cell firing. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>17</b> , 801-812 (2007).
1832 1833 1834	250.	Hasselmo, M.E., Giocomo, L.M. & Zilli, E.A. Grid cell firing may arise from interference of theta frequency membrane potential oscillations in single neurons. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>17</b> , 1252-1271 (2007).

1835		
1836	251.	Blair, H.T., Welday, A.C. & Zhang, K. Scale-invariant memory representations emerge from
1837		moire interference between grid fields that produce theta oscillations: a computational
1838		model. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>27</b> , 3211-3229 (2007).
1839		
1840	252.	O'Keefe, J. & Recce, M.L. Phase relationship between hippocampal place units and the EEG
1841		theta rhythm. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>3</b> , 317-330 (1993).
1842		
1843	253.	Skaggs, W.E., McNaughton, B.L., Wilson, M.A. & Barnes, C.A. Theta phase precession in
1844		hippocampal neuronal populations and the compression of temporal sequences.
1845		Hippocampus <b>6</b> , 149-172 (1996).
1846		
1847	254.	Remme, M.W., Lengyel, M. & Gutkin, B.S. Democracy-independence trade-off in oscillating
1848		dendrites and its implications for grid cells. Neuron 66, 429-437 (2010).
1849		
1850	255.	Fiete, I.R. Losing phase. <i>Neuron</i> <b>66</b> , 331-334 (2010).
1851		
1852	256.	Killian, N.J., Jutras, M.J. & Buffalo, E.A. A map of visual space in the primate entorhinal
1853		cortex. <i>Nature</i> <b>491</b> , 761-764 (2012).
1854		
1855	257.	Domnisoru, .C, Kinkhabwala, A.A. & Tank, D.W. Membrane potential dynamics of grid cells.
1856		Nature 495, 199-204 (2013).
1857		
1858	258.	Schmidt-Hieber, C. & Hausser, M. Cellular mechanisms of spatial navigation in the medial
1859		entorhinal cortex. Nature Neurosci. 16, 325-331 (2013).
1860		
1861	259.	Tsodyks, M.V., Skaggs, W.E., Sejnowski, T.J. & McNaughton, B.L. Population dynamics and
1862		theta rhythm phase precession of hippocampal place cell firing: a spiking neuron model.
1863		Hippocampus <b>6</b> , 271-280 (1996).
1864		
1865	260.	Jensen, O. & Lisman, J.E. Hippocampal CA3 region predicts memory sequences: accounting
1866		for the phase precession of place cells. Learn. Mem. 3, 279-287 (1996).
1867		
1868	261.	Si, B., Kropff, E. & Treves, A. Grid alignment in entorhinal cortex. <i>Biol. Cybern</i> . <b>106</b> , 483-506
1869		(2012).
1870		
1871	262.	Moser, E.I. et al. Grid cells and cortical representation. Nature Rev. Neurosci. 15, 466-481
1872		(2014).
1873		
1874	263.	Winter, S.S., Mehlman, M.L., Clark, B.J. & Taube, J.S. Passive transport disrupts grid signals
1875		in the parahippocampal cortex. Curr. Biol. 25, 2493-2502 (2015).
1876		
1877	264.	Winter, S.S., Clark, B.J. & Taube, J.S. Spatial navigation. Disruption of the head direction cell
1878		network impairs the parahippocampal grid cell signal. Science 347, 870-874 (2015).
1879		
1880	265.	O'Keefe, J. Nobel Lecture – Spatial cells in the hippocampal formation.
1881		Nobelprize.org. Nobel Media AB 2014. Web. 20 Aug 2017.
1882		<http: 2014="" laureates="" medicine="" nobel_prizes="" okeefe-lecture.html="" www.nobelprize.org=""></http:>
1883		

1884 1885 1886	266.	Yu, Y.C., Bultje, R.S., Wang, X. & Shi, S.H. Specific synapses develop preferentially among sister excitatory neurons in the neocortex. <i>Nature</i> <b>458</b> , 501-504 (2009).
1887 1888 1888	267.	Yu, Y.C. <i>et al</i> . Preferential electrical coupling regulates neocortical lineage-dependent microcircuit assembly. <i>Nature</i> <b>486</b> , 113-117 (2012).
1890 1891 1892	268.	Li, Y. <i>et al</i> . Clonally related visual cortical neurons show similar stimulus feature selectivity. <i>Nature</i> <b>486</b> , 118-121 (2012).
1893 1894 1895	269.	Ko, H. <i>et al</i> . Functional specificity of local synaptic connections in neocortical networks. <i>Nature</i> <b>473</b> , 87-91 (2011).
1896 1897 1898	270.	Heys, J.G., Rangarajan, K.V. & Dombeck, D.A. The functional micro-organization of grid cells revealed by cellular-resolution imaging. <i>Neuron</i> <b>84</b> , 1079-1090 (2014).
1899 1900 1901	271.	Donato, F., Jacobsen, R.I., Moser, MB. & Moser, E.I. Stellate cells drive maturation of the entorhinal-hippocampal circuit. <i>Science</i> <b>355</b> , eaai8178 (2017).
1901 1902 1903	272.	Sun, C. Distinct speed dependence of entorhinal island and ocean cells, including respective grid cells. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> <b>112</b> , 9466-9471 (2015).
1904 1905 1906 1907	273.	Rowland, D.C. et al. Optogenetic characterization of stellate cells in medial entorhinal cortex layer II. <i>Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.</i> 85.16 (2015).
1907 1908 1909 1910	274.	Tang, Q. <i>et al</i> . Pyramidal and stellate cell specificity of grid and border representations inn layer 2 of medial entorhinal cortex. <i>Neuron</i> <b>84</b> , 1191-1197 (2014).
1910 1911 1912 1913	275.	Latuske, P., Toader, O. & Allen, K. linterspike intervals reveal functionally distinct cell populations in the medial entorhinal cortex. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>35</b> , 10963-10976 (2015).
1914 1915 1916	276.	Fiete, I.R., Burak, Y. & Brookings, T. What grid cells convey about rat location. <i>J. Neurosci</i> . <b>28</b> , 6858-6871 (2008).
1917 1918 1919	277.	Witter, M.P. Hippocampal Formation. In: The Rat Brain. G. Paxinos (Ed.). Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, CA, US, London, UK, 4th edition, 511-574 (2014).
1920 1921 1922 1923	278.	Geva-Sagiv, M., Las, L., Yovel, Y. & Ulanovsky, N. Spatial cognition in bats and rats: from sensory acquisition to multiscale maps and navigation. <i>Nat. Rev. Neurosci.</i> <b>16</b> , 94-108 (2015).
1923 1924 1925 1926	279.	Worden, R. Navigation by fragment fitting: a theory of hippocampal function. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>2</b> , 165-187 (1992).
1927 1928 1929	280.	Yartsev, M.M. & Ulanovsky, N. Representation of three-dimensional space in the hippocampus of flying bats. <i>Science</i> <b>340</b> , 367-372 (2013).
1930 1931 1932 1933	281.	Hayman, R., Verriotis, M.A., Jovalekic, A., Fenton, A.A. & Jeffery, K.J. Anisotropic encoding of three-dimensional space by place cells and grid cells. <i>Nature Neurosci.</i> <b>14</b> , 1182-1188 (2011).

1934 1935 1936	282.	Hayman, R.M., Casali, G., Wilson, J.J. & Jeffery, K.J. Grid cells on steeply sloping terrain: evidence for planar rather than volumetric encoding. <i>Front. Psychol.</i> <b>6</b> , 925 (2015).
1937 1938 1939	283.	Pastalkova, E., Itskov, V., Amarasingham, A. & Buzsáki, G. Internally generated cell assembly sequences in the rat hippocampus. <i>Science</i> <b>321</b> , 1322-1327 (2008).
1940 1941 1942	284.	MacDonald, C.J., Lepage, K.Q., Eden, U.T. & Eichenbaum, H. Hippocampal "time cells" bridge the gap in memory for discontiguous events. <i>Neuron</i> <b>71</b> , 737-749 (2011).
1943 1944 1945	285.	Kraus, B.J. <i>et al</i> . During running in place, grid cells integrate elapsed time and distance run. <i>Neuron</i> <b>88</b> , 578-589 (2015).
1946 1947 1948 1949	286.	MacDonald, C.J., Carrow, S., Place, R. & Eichenbaum, H. Distinct hippocampal time cell sequences represent odor memories in immobilized rats. <i>J. Neurosci.</i> <b>33</b> , 14607-14616 (2013).
1950 1951 1952	287.	Kraus, B.J., Robinson, R.J. 2 <sup>nd</sup> , White, J.A., Eichenbaum, H. & Hasselmo, M.E. Hippocampal "time cells": time versus path integration. Neuron <b>78</b> , 1090-1101 (2013).
1953 1954 1955	288.	Mankin, E.A. <i>et al</i> . Neuronal code for extended time in the hippocampus. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> <b>109</b> , 19462-19467 (2012).
1956 1957 1958 1959	289.	Mankin, E.A., Diehl, G.W., Sparks, F.T., Leutgeb, S. & Leutgeb, J.K. Hippocampal CA2 activity patterns change over time to a larger extent than between spatial contexts. <i>Neuron</i> <b>85</b> , 190-201 (2015).
1960 1961 1962	290.	Lu, L., Igarashi, K.M., Witter, M.P., Moser, E.I. & Moser, MB. Topography of place maps along the CA3-to-CA2 axis of the hippocampus. <i>Neuron</i> <b>87</b> , 1078-1092 (2015).
1963 1964 1965	291.	Tsao, A. <i>et al</i> . Integrating time in lateral entorhinal cortex. <i>Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.,</i> in press (2017).
1966 1967 1968	292.	Aronov, D., Nevers, R. & Tank, D.W. Mapping of a non-spatial dimension by the hippocampal-entorhinal circuit. <i>Nature</i> <b>543</b> , 719-722 (2017).
1969 1970 1971	293.	Rolls, E.T. & O'Mara, S.M. View-responsive neurons in the primate hippocampal complex. <i>Hippocampus</i> <b>5</b> , 409-424 (1995).
1972 1973 1974	294.	Rolls, E.T., Robertson, R.G. & Georges-François, P. Spatial view cells in the primate hippocampus. <i>Eur. J. Neurosci</i> . <b>9</b> , 1789-1794 (1997).
1975 1976 1977	295.	Doeller, C.F., Barry, C. & Burgess, N. Evidence for grid cells in a human memory network. <i>Nature</i> <b>463</b> , 657-661 (2010).
1978 1979 1980	296.	Jacobs, J. <i>et al</i> . Direct recordings of grid-like neuronal activity in human spatial navigation. <i>Nature Neurosci</i> . <b>16</b> , 1188-1190 (2013).
1981 1982 1983	297.	Constantinescu, A.O., O'Reilly, J.X. & Behrens, T.E. Organizing conceptual knowledge in humans with a gridlike code. <i>Science</i> <b>352</b> , 1464-1468 (2017).

1984	298.	Horner, A.J., Bisby, J.A., Zotow, E., Bush, D. & Burgess, N. Grid-like processing of imagined
1985		navigation. <i>Curr. Biol</i> . <b>26</b> , 842-847 (2016).
1986		
1987	299.	Bellmund, J.L., Deuker, L., Navarro Schröder, T. & Doeller, C.F. Grid-cell representations in
1988		mental simulation. <i>Elife</i> 5:e17089 (2016).
1989		
1990	300.	Dahaene, S. et al. How learning to read changes the cortical networks for vision and
1991		language. Science <b>330</b> , 1359-1364 (2010).
1992		
1993		







С

### The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map John O'Keefe and Lynn Nadel











d















