

EXOTIC COACTIONS

S. KALISZEWSKI¹, MAGNUS B. LANDSTAD² AND JOHN QUIGG¹

¹*School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences,
Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287,
USA (kaliszewski@asu.edu; quigg@asu.edu)*

²*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
7491 Trondheim, Norway (magnusla@math.ntnu.no)*

(Received 23 May 2013)

Abstract If a locally compact group G acts on a C^* -algebra B , we have both full and reduced crossed products and each has a coaction of G . We investigate ‘exotic’ coactions in between the two, which are determined by certain ideals E of the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$; an approach that is inspired by recent work of Brown and Guentner on new C^* -group algebra completions. We actually carry out the bulk of our investigation in the general context of coactions on a C^* -algebra A . Buss and Echterhoff have shown that not every coaction comes from one of these ideals, but nevertheless the ideals do generate a wide array of exotic coactions. Coactions determined by these ideals E satisfy a certain ‘ E -crossed product duality’, intermediate between full and reduced duality. We give partial results concerning exotic coactions with the ultimate goal being a classification of which coactions are determined by ideals of $B(G)$.

Keywords: group C^* -algebra; coaction; C^* -bialgebra; Fourier–Stieltjes algebra

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: Primary 46L05

1. Introduction

If α is an action of a non-amenable locally compact group G on a C^* -algebra B , there are in general numerous crossed product C^* -algebras; the largest is the full crossed product $B \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ and the smallest is the reduced crossed product $B \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G$. But there are frequently many ‘exotic’ crossed products in between, i.e. quotients $(B \rtimes_{\alpha} G)/I$, where I is an ideal contained in the kernel of the regular representation

$$\Lambda: B \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow B \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G.$$

A naive question is how to classify these ‘large quotients’ of the crossed product. This is surely too large a class to seriously contemplate. We are interested in the large quotients

that carry a ‘dual coaction’ δ , as indicated in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 B \rtimes_{\alpha} G & \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} & B \rtimes_{\alpha} G \otimes C^*(G) & & \\
 \downarrow \Lambda & \searrow q & \downarrow \Lambda \otimes \text{id} & \searrow q \otimes \text{id} & \\
 & (B \rtimes_{\alpha} G)/I & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (B \rtimes_{\alpha} G)/I \otimes C^*(G) & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 B \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G & \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}^n} & B \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G \otimes C^*(G) & &
 \end{array}$$

We ask how to classify these *exotic coactions*.

Motivated by a recent paper of Brown and Guentner [2], we introduce a tool that produces many (but not all; see below) of these exotica. To clarify matters, consider the special case $B = \mathbb{C}$, so that we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C^*(G) & \xrightarrow{\delta_G} & C^*(G) \otimes C^*(G) & & \\
 \downarrow \lambda & \searrow q & \downarrow \lambda \otimes \text{id} & \searrow q \otimes \text{id} & \\
 & C^*(G)/I & \xrightarrow{\delta} & C^*(G)/I \otimes C^*(G) & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 C_r^*(G) & \xrightarrow{\delta_G^n} & C_r^*(G) \otimes C^*(G) & &
 \end{array}$$

Then $I \subset \ker \lambda$ and in [11, Corollary 3.13] we proved that a large quotient $C^*(G)/I$ carries a coaction if and only if the annihilator $E = I^{\perp}$ in the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G) = C^*(G)^*$ is an ideal, which will necessarily be *large* in the sense that it contains the reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B_r(G) = C_r^*(G)^*$.

Thus, large quotients of $C^*(G)$ carrying coactions are classified by *large* ideals of $B(G)$. When we began this study we wondered whether these ideals of $B(G)$ could be used to classify all large quotients of $B \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ carrying dual coactions; however, Buss and Echterhoff have recently found a counterexample [5, Example 5.3].

Nevertheless, it appears that there are lots of these ‘exotic ideals’: it has been attributed to Okayasu [13] and (independently) to Higson and Ozawa (see [2, Remark 4.5]) that for $2 \leq p < \infty$, the ideals E_p of $B(\mathbb{F}_2)$ formed by taking the weak* closures of $B(\mathbb{F}_2) \cap \ell^p(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are all different.

We use these large ideals E of $B(G)$ to generate intermediate crossed products via slicing: the dual coaction $\hat{\alpha}$ of G gives a module action of $B(G)$ on $B \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ by

$$f \cdot a = (\text{id} \otimes f) \circ \hat{\alpha}(a).$$

It turns out that the kernel of the regular representation $\Lambda: B \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow B \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G$ comprises the elements that are killed by $B_r(G)$. Thus, the ideal $B_r(G) \triangleleft B(G)$ allows us to recover

the reduced crossed product. For any large quotient $q: B \rtimes_\alpha G \rightarrow (B \rtimes_\alpha G)/I$ carrying a dual coaction, it is natural to ask whether there exists a large ideal $E \triangleleft B(G)$ such that

$$\ker q = \{a \in B \rtimes_\alpha G : E \cdot a = \{0\}\}.$$

In any event, §3 shows that for a large ideal $E \triangleleft B(G)$ and any coaction $\delta: A \rightarrow M(A \otimes C^*(G))$, the set

$$\mathcal{J}(E) = \mathcal{J}_\delta(E) := \{a \in A : E \cdot a = \{0\}\}$$

is an ideal of A that is *invariant* in the sense that the quotient $A^E := A/\mathcal{J}(E)$ carries a coaction δ^E . Note that we have replaced the dual coaction $(B \rtimes_\alpha G, \hat{\alpha})$ with an arbitrary coaction (A, δ) .

In this more general setting, the replacement for the regular representation $\Lambda: B \rtimes_\alpha G \rightarrow B \rtimes_{\alpha,r} G$ is the *normalization*

$$q^n: (A, \delta) \rightarrow (A^n, \delta^n),$$

and we have a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\delta} & A \otimes C^*(G) & & \\ & \searrow q^E & \downarrow q^n \otimes \text{id} & \searrow q^E \otimes \text{id} & \\ & & A^E & \xrightarrow{\delta^E} & A^E \otimes C^*(G) \\ q^n \downarrow & & & & \swarrow \\ & & A^n & \xrightarrow{\delta^n} & A^n \otimes C^*(G) \end{array}$$

The aforementioned counterexample of [5] shows that not all large quotients of (A, δ) arise this way; nevertheless, we feel that this tool deserves to become more widely known.

Actually, our original motivation in writing this paper involves crossed-product duality; everything we need can be found in, for example, [7, Appendix A], [1] and [6], and in the following few sentences we very briefly recall the essential facts. The Imai–Takai duality theorem and its modernization due to Raeburn say that if α is an action of a locally compact group G on a C^* -algebra B , there is a dual coaction $\hat{\alpha}$ of G such that $B \rtimes_\alpha G \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} G \cong B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$. Katayama gave a dual version of crossed-product duality, starting with a coaction δ of G on a C^* -algebra A : there is a dual action $\hat{\delta}$ of G on the crossed product $A \rtimes_\delta G$ such that $A \rtimes_\delta G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta},r} G \cong A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. However, Katayama used what are nowadays called *reduced* coactions; more recently, crossed-product duality has been reworked in terms of Raeburn’s *full* coactions, and the modern version of Katayama’s theorem gives the same isomorphism for (full) coactions that are *normal*, i.e. embed faithfully into $A \rtimes_\delta G$. On the other hand, it is known that for some other coactions, which are called *maximal*, crossed-product duality uses the *full* crossed product by the dual action: $A \rtimes_\delta G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}} G \cong A \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Thus, non-commutative crossed-product duality has been complicated by the different choices of action crossed product (i.e. full versus reduced) from the outset. But the situation is even more complicated: there exist coactions that are neither normal nor maximal, so that neither the reduced nor the full version of crossed-product duality holds. This can be understood using the *canonical surjection* $\Phi: A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta} G \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, which is an isomorphism precisely when the coaction δ is maximal, and which factors through an isomorphism $A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta, r} G \cong A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ precisely when δ is normal. Every (full) coaction (A, δ) has a *maximalization* and a *normalization*, meaning that it sits in a diagram $\psi: (A^m, \delta^m) \rightarrow (A, \delta) \rightarrow (A^n, \delta^n)$ of equivariant surjections, where the first and third coactions are maximal and normal, respectively, and all three crossed products are isomorphic. It follows that the kernel of the canonical surjection Φ is contained in the kernel of the regular representation $\Lambda: A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta} G \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta, r} G$, and hence gives a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta} G & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & A \otimes \mathcal{K} \\ \downarrow Q & \nearrow \cong & \\ (A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta} G)/\ker \Phi & & \end{array}$$

where Q is the quotient map.

Thus the coaction (A, δ) can be regarded to have a ‘type’ determined by how the ideal $\ker \Phi$ sits inside $\ker \Lambda$, with the maximal coactions corresponding to $\ker \Phi = \{0\}$ and the normal coactions corresponding to $\ker \Phi = \ker \Lambda$. We would like to have some more intrinsic way to determine what ‘type’ δ has, namely, the kernel of the maximalization map $A^m \rightarrow A$. So, a natural question arises: if we start with a maximal coaction (A, δ) , is there some way to classify the ideals of A that give rise to coactions intermediate between δ and the normalization δ^n , and, moreover, what can we say about these ideals with regard to crossed-product duality?

As indicated above, here we investigate ideals of A determined by ‘large’ ideals of $B(G)$, by which we mean weak* closed G -invariant ideals of $B(G)$ containing $B_r(G)$. In §2 we review some preliminaries on coactions. In §3 we show how every large ideal E of $B(G)$ determines a coaction (A^E, δ^E) on a quotient of A . In §4 we show that a quotient coaction $(A/J, \delta_J)$ of a maximal coaction (A, δ) is of the form (A^E, δ^E) for some large ideal E of $B(G)$ if and only if it satisfies a sort of *E-crossed-product duality*, involving what we call the *E-crossed product* $A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\delta, E} G$. During the last stage of writing this paper, we learned that Buss and Echterhoff had also proved one direction of this latter result [5, Theorem 5.1]; our methods are significantly different from theirs. In the case of the canonical coaction $(C^*(G), \delta_G)$, we show that the above ideals E of $B(G)$ give a complete classification of the quotient coactions (A, δ) sitting between $(C^*(G), \delta_G)$ and the normalization $(C_r^*(G), \delta_G^n)$. After the completion of this paper, we learned of a second paper of Buss and Echterhoff [4] that is also relevant to this work.

We originally wondered whether every coaction satisfies *E-crossed-product duality* for some E . In [11, Conjecture 6.12] we even conjectured that this would be true for dual

coactions. However, the counterexample of Buss and Echterhoff [5, Example 5.3] gives a negative answer.

From §6 onward we will restrict ourselves to the case of coactions satisfying a certain ‘slice properness’ condition, which we introduce in §5. We impose this hypothesis to make the $B(G)$ -module action on A appropriately continuous. After we submitted this manuscript, we learned that our definition (see Definition 5.1) of proper coaction is a special case of [8, Definition 2.4], which concerns actions of Hopf C^* -algebras. Our definition is also closely related to Condition (A1) in [9, §4.1], which concerns discrete quantum groups and involves the algebraic tensor product. We are grateful to the referee for drawing these references to our attention.

In §6 we give examples of quotient coactions that are not determined by any large ideal E of $B(G)$. These examples actually turn out to be similar to (and discovered independently from) those in [5], although they do not do the full job that those of Buss and Echterhoff do, namely, they do not involve the maximalization.

In §7 we start with a maximal coaction (A, δ) and two large ideals $E_1 \supset E_2$ of $B(G)$, and investigate the question of whether the quotient $(A^{E_1}, \delta^{E_1}) \rightarrow (A^{E_2}, \delta^{E_2})$ is determined by any third ideal E . In the case of the canonical coaction $(C^*(G), \delta_G)$ we give a list of equivalent conditions, although the general question is still left open. Finally, in §8 we specialize further to the study of ideals E_p obtained from $L^p(G)$, where, although we cannot completely answer the question regarding the quotient $(A^{E_1}, \delta^{E_1}) \rightarrow (A^{E_2}, \delta^{E_2})$, we are at least able to learn enough to obtain examples of intermediate quotients between $C^*(G)$ and $C_r^*(G)$ on which δ_G descends to a comultiplication (not a coaction!) that fails to be injective.

2. Preliminaries

For the definitions and basic facts about coactions of locally compact groups on C^* -algebras and imprimitivity bimodules, we refer the reader to [7]. Here we briefly summarize the less standard concepts and notation we will need.

If J is an ideal (always closed and two-sided) of A , and $Q: A \rightarrow A/J$ is the quotient map, we say that J is δ -invariant if

$$J \subset \ker(Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta$$

or, equivalently (by [11, Lemma 3.11], for example), if Q is δ - δ_J equivariant for a unique coaction δ_J on A/J . All quotient coactions arise in essentially this way.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that (A, δ) and (B, ε) are two coactions of G , X is an A - B imprimitivity bimodule, ζ is a δ - ε compatible coaction of G on X , K is an ε -invariant ideal of B , and $J = X\text{-Ind } K$ is the Rieffel-equivalent ideal of A . Then J is δ -invariant.*

Proof. J is densely spanned by elements of the form ${}_A\langle \xi, \eta \cdot b \rangle$, where $\xi, \eta \in X$ and $b \in K$. Let $Q: A \rightarrow A/J$ and $R: B \rightarrow B/K$ be the quotient maps. We want to show that

$$(Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta({}_A\langle \xi, \eta \cdot b \rangle) = 0.$$

Since X is an A – B imprimitivity bimodule, $X \otimes C^*(G)$ is an $(A \otimes C^*(G))$ – $(B \otimes C^*(G))$ imprimitivity bimodule. The quotient map $S: X \rightarrow X/X \cdot K$ is a Q – R compatible imprimitivity bimodule homomorphism, so

$$S \otimes \text{id}: (X \otimes C^*(G)) \rightarrow (X/X \cdot K \otimes C^*(G))$$

is a $(Q \otimes \text{id})$ – $(R \otimes \text{id})$ compatible imprimitivity bimodule homomorphism. It suffices to show that the multiplier

$$(Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(A \langle \xi, \eta \cdot b \rangle) \in M(A/J \otimes C^*(G))$$

kills every element of the module $X/X \cdot K \otimes C^*(G)$ and we can take this arbitrary element to be of the form $(S \otimes \text{id})(\kappa)$, where $\kappa \in X \otimes C^*(G)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & (Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(A \langle \xi, \eta \cdot b \rangle) \cdot (S \otimes \text{id})(\kappa) \\ &= (S \otimes \text{id})_{M(A \otimes C^*(G))} \langle \zeta(\xi), \zeta(\eta \cdot b) \rangle \cdot \kappa \\ &= (S \otimes \text{id})(\zeta(\xi) \cdot \langle \zeta(\eta) \cdot \varepsilon(b), \kappa \rangle_{M(B \otimes C^*(G))}) \\ &= (S \otimes \text{id})(\zeta(\xi) \cdot \varepsilon(b)^* \langle \zeta(\eta), \kappa \rangle_{M(B \otimes C^*(G))}) \\ &= (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \zeta(\xi) \cdot (R \otimes \text{id})(\varepsilon(b)^* \langle \zeta(\eta), \kappa \rangle_{M(B \otimes C^*(G))}) \\ &= (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \zeta(\xi) \cdot (R \otimes \text{id}) \circ \varepsilon(b)^* (R \otimes \text{id})(\langle \zeta(\eta), \kappa \rangle_{M(B \otimes C^*(G))}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $b \in \ker(R \otimes \text{id}) \circ \varepsilon$. □

Adapting the definition from [12, Definition 2.7], where it appears for reduced coactions, we say that a unitary U in $M(A \otimes C^*(G))$ is a *cocycle* for a coaction (A, δ) if

- (i) $\text{id} \otimes \delta_G(U) = (U \otimes 1)(\delta \otimes \text{id}(U))$ and
- (ii) $U\delta(A)U^*(1 \otimes C^*(G)) \subset A \otimes C^*(G)$.

Note that (ii) implies that

$$(1 \otimes C^*(G))U\delta(A)U^* \subset A \otimes C^*(G).$$

It is mentioned in [12] that in this case $\text{Ad } U \circ \delta$ is also a coaction, which is said to be *exterior equivalent* to δ . However, there is a disconnect here: in [12], the definition of coaction on a C^* -algebra did not include the non-degeneracy condition

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\delta(A)(1 \otimes C^*(G))\} = A \otimes C^*(G), \tag{2.1}$$

whereas nowadays this condition is built into the definition of coaction. Thus (modulo the passage from *reduced* to *full* coactions; see [1]), $\varepsilon = \text{Ad } U \circ \delta$ satisfies all the conditions in the definition of coaction except, ostensibly, non-degeneracy. In [6, Paragraph preceding Lemma 2.6] it is stated that non-degeneracy of ε follows from that of δ , the justification being that exterior equivalent coactions are Morita equivalent, and [10, Proposition 2.3]

shows that Morita equivalence of C^* -coactions preserves non-degeneracy. Somewhat irritatingly, the observation that exterior equivalence implies Morita equivalence for coactions seems not to be readily available in the literature, so for completeness we record the details here.

Proposition 2.2. *Let U be a cocycle for a coaction δ of G on A and let $\varepsilon = \text{Ad } U \circ \delta$ be the associated exterior equivalent coaction. Let X be the standard A - A imprimitivity bimodule and define $\zeta: X \rightarrow M(X \otimes C^*(G))$ by*

$$\zeta(x) = U\delta(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X = A.$$

Then ζ is an ε - δ compatible coaction.

Proof. First of all, it is clear that

$$\zeta(X) \subset M(X \otimes C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}_{A \otimes C^*(G)}(A \otimes C^*(G), X \otimes C^*(G)).$$

For $a \in A$ and $x, y \in X$ we have

$$\zeta(a \cdot x) = U\delta(ax) = U\delta(a)\delta(x) = \varepsilon(a)U\delta(x) = \varepsilon(a) \cdot \zeta(x)$$

and

$$\langle \zeta(x), \zeta(y) \rangle_{A \otimes C^*(G)} = (U\delta(x))^*(U\delta(y)) = \delta(x^*)U^*U\delta(y) = \delta(x^*y).$$

By [7, Definition 1.14 and Remark 1.17 (2)], it now follows that ε is a *possibly degenerate* coaction. But since δ does satisfy (2.1) by assumption, we can safely appeal to [10, Proposition 2.3] to conclude that ε is also non-degenerate. \square

Remark 2.3. It follows from [6, Lemma 3.8 and its proof] that if we define $W = (M \otimes \text{id})(w_G) \in M(\mathcal{K}(L^2(G)) \otimes C^*(G))$ and let $\delta \otimes_* \text{id}$ denote the coaction $(\text{id} \otimes \Sigma) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{id})$, where Σ is the flip map on $C^*(G) \otimes C^*(G)$, then $1 \otimes W^*$ is a cocycle for $\delta \otimes_* \text{id}$ and the canonical surjection $\Phi: A \rtimes_\delta G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}} G \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ is $\hat{\delta}\text{-Ad}(1 \otimes W^*) \circ (\delta \otimes_* \text{id})$ equivariant.

There are several choices for the conventions regarding a *Galois correspondence* between partially ordered sets X and Y ; we will take this to mean a pair of order-reversing functions $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\text{id}_X \leq g \circ f \quad \text{and} \quad \text{id}_Y \leq f \circ g.$$

These properties have the following well-known consequences:

- (i) $f \circ g \circ f = f$ and $g \circ f \circ g = g$,
- (ii) $f(x) \geq y$ if and only if $x \leq g(y)$,
- (iii) $g \circ f(x) = g \circ f(x') \implies f(x) = f(x')$,
- (iv) $f \circ g(y) = f \circ g(y') \implies g(y) = g(y')$.

3. E -determined coactions

In this section we show how certain ideals of $B(G)$ produce quotients of coactions, although we will begin with quite general subsets of $B(G)$.

We recall some notation and results from [11]. For any weak*-closed subspace $E \subset B(G)$, the preannihilator ${}^\perp E$ in $C^*(G)$ is a (closed two-sided) ideal if and only if E is invariant under the G -bimodule action if and only if E is invariant under the $C^*(G)$ -bimodule action. Write $C_E^*(G) = C^*(G)/{}^\perp E$ and let $q_E: C^*(G) \rightarrow C_E^*(G)$ be the quotient map. The dual map $q_E^*: C_E^*(G)^* \rightarrow B(G)$ is an isometric isomorphism onto E and we identify E with $C_E^*(G)^*$ and regard q_E^* as the inclusion map. The canonical coaction δ_G on $C^*(G)$ descends to a coaction δ_G^E on $C_E^*(G)$ if and only if E is an ideal of $B(G)$.

Definition 3.1. We call an ideal of $B(G)$ *large* if it is weak* closed, G -invariant and contains $B_r(G)$; by [11, Lemma 3.14], the latter containment condition is satisfied as long as the ideal is non-zero.

Definition 3.2. Let (A, δ) be a coaction. For any weak*-closed subspace $E \subset B(G)$, define

$$\mathcal{J}(E) = \mathcal{J}_\delta(E) = \{a \in A : f \cdot a = 0 \text{ for all } f \in E\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. For any weak*-closed G -invariant subspace E of $B(G)$,

$$\mathcal{J}(E) = \ker(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta.$$

Proof. We can identify E with $C_E^*(G)^*$, and the dual map $q_E^*: C_E^*(G)^* \rightarrow C^*(G)^*$ with the inclusion map $E \hookrightarrow B(G)$. Since the slice maps $\text{id} \otimes f$ for $f \in E$ separate the points of $A \otimes C_E^*(G)$, if $a \in A$, then $a \in \ker(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta$ if and only if for all $f \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot a &= (\text{id} \otimes f) \circ \delta(a) = (\text{id} \otimes q_E^*)(f) \circ \delta(a) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes f) \circ (\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta(a) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. if and only if $a \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. □

Corollary 3.4. For every weak*-closed G -invariant subspace E of $B(G)$, $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is an ideal of A .

Lemma 3.5. For every coaction (A, δ) and every weak*-closed G -invariant ideal E of $B(G)$, the ideal $\mathcal{J}(E)$ of A is δ -invariant.

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is a $B(G)$ -submodule. If $a \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, $f \in B(G)$ and $g \in E$, then

$$g \cdot (f \cdot a) = (gf) \cdot a = 0$$

because $gf \in E$ as E is an ideal. Thus, $f \cdot a \in \mathcal{J}(E)$.

Let $Q: A \rightarrow A/\mathcal{J}(E)$ be the quotient map. We must show that if $a \in \ker Q = \mathcal{J}(E)$, then $(Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a) = 0$, and it suffices to observe that for all $\omega \in (A/\mathcal{J}(E))^*$ and $f \in B(G)$ we have

$$(\omega \otimes f) \circ (Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a) = (Q^* \omega \otimes f) \circ \delta(a) = Q^* \omega(f \cdot a) = 0,$$

because $Q^* \omega \in \mathcal{J}(E)^\perp$ and $f \cdot a \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. \square

Notation 3.6. For a weak*-closed G -invariant ideal E of $B(G)$, let $A^E = A/\mathcal{J}(E)$ and let δ^E be the associated quotient coaction on A^E , whose existence is ensured by Lemma 3.5 and [11, Lemma 3.11].

We are quite interested in coactions that arise in this way. Slightly more generally, we are interested in equivariant surjections $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ for which $\ker \varphi = \mathcal{J}(E)$, so that there is an isomorphism θ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A, \delta) & & \\ \downarrow Q & \searrow \varphi & \\ (A^E, \delta^E) & \xrightarrow[\theta]{\cong} & (B, \varepsilon) \end{array}$$

commute, where Q is the quotient map.

Definition 3.7. For a large ideal E of $B(G)$ and an equivariant surjection $\varphi: (A, \delta) \rightarrow (B, \varepsilon)$, we say that (B, ε) is *E -determined from (A, δ)* , or just *E -determined* when (A, δ) is understood, if $\ker \varphi = \mathcal{J}_\delta(E)$.

Example 3.8. Standard coaction theory guarantees that the normalization (A^n, δ^n) is $B_r(G)$ -determined from (A, δ) , and (A, δ) is $B(G)$ -determined from itself, because $q_{B(G)}$ is the identity map.

Theorem 6.10 gives examples showing that not every quotient of a coaction (A, δ) is necessarily E -determined by some large ideal E of $B(G)$. Example 5.4 in [5] gives examples where the coaction (A, δ) is maximal.

Definition 3.9. Let (A, δ) be a coaction. A δ -invariant ideal of A is *small* if it is contained in $\ker j_A$, and a quotient (B, ε) of (A, δ) is *large* if the kernel of the quotient map $A \rightarrow B$ is small.

Observation 3.10. Let (A, δ) be a coaction, and let E be a large ideal of $B(G)$. Then $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is small.

Remark 3.11. Note that every coaction (A, δ) is a large quotient of its maximalization (A^m, δ^m) . Also, the small ideals of $C^*(G)$ are precisely the preannihilators of the large ideals of $B(G)$.

4. E -crossed product duality

Let (A, δ) be a coaction and let

$$\Phi: A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}} G \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

be the canonical surjection, where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$.

Lemma 4.1. *The ideal $\ker \Phi$ is small.*

Proof. By [6, Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8], the surjection Φ is equivariant for two coactions, where the coaction on $A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}} G$, denoted by $\tilde{\delta}$ in [6], is exterior equivalent, and hence Morita equivalent, to the double-dual coaction $\hat{\delta}$. Since Φ transports $\tilde{\delta}$ to *some* coaction on $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, by [11, Lemma 3.11] the ideal $\ker \Phi$ is $\tilde{\delta}$ -invariant. So, by Lemma 2.1, $\ker \Phi$ is also $\hat{\delta}$ -invariant.

For the other part, by [6, Proposition 2.2] there is a surjection Ψ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}} G & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & A \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)) \\ \Lambda \downarrow & \swarrow \Psi & \\ A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}, r} G & & \end{array}$$

commute, where

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{\delta}: A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}} G \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}, r} G$$

is the regular representation. Thus, $\ker \Phi$ is small, since $A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}, r} G$ is the normalization of $A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rtimes_{\hat{\delta}} G$. \square

Example 4.2. By Lemma 4.1, the extremes for the ideal $\ker \Phi$ are

- (i) δ is maximal if and only if $\ker \Phi = \{0\}$,
- (ii) δ is normal if and only if $\ker \Phi = \ker \Lambda$.

Definition 4.3. A coaction (A, δ) satisfies *E -crossed-product duality* if

$$\ker \Phi = \mathcal{J}_{\hat{\delta}}(E).$$

Remark 4.4. This is called ‘ E -duality’ in [5].

Thus, (A, δ) satisfies E -crossed-product duality exactly when there is an isomorphism Ψ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rtimes G \rtimes G & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & A \otimes \mathcal{K} \\ Q_{\hat{\delta}, E} \downarrow & \nearrow \cong \Psi & \\ (A \rtimes G \rtimes G)^E & & \end{array}$$

commute, where

$$(A \rtimes G \rtimes G)^E = (A \rtimes G \rtimes G) / \mathcal{J}_{\hat{\delta}}(E)$$

and $Q_{\hat{\delta}, E}$ is the quotient map.

Example 4.5. (A, δ) is maximal if and only if it satisfies $B(G)$ -crossed-product duality, and normal if and only if it satisfies $B_r(G)$ -crossed-product duality.

Now, (A, δ) is a large quotient of its maximalization (A^m, δ^m) ; let $\psi: A^m \rightarrow A$ be the associated δ^m - δ equivariant surjection. Recall that if E is a large ideal of $B(G)$, we say that (A, δ) is E -determined from its maximalization if $\ker \psi = \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m}(E)$.

The following theorem shows that the above two properties on (A, δ) are equivalent. In the final stage of writing this paper we learned of a paper by Buss and Echterhoff [5], and their Theorem 5.1 gives a proof of the converse direction using significantly different techniques.

Theorem 4.6. (A, δ) satisfies E -crossed-product duality if and only if it is E -determined from its maximalization.

Proof. We must show that

$$\ker \psi = \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m}(E)$$

if and only if

$$\ker \Phi = \mathcal{J}_{\hat{\delta}}(E).$$

Since (A^m, δ^m) is maximal, the canonical surjection

$$\Phi_m: A^m \rtimes G \rtimes G \rightarrow A^m \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

is an isomorphism. Since (A, δ) is a large quotient of (A^m, δ^m) , the double crossed-product map

$$\psi \times G \times G: A^m \rtimes G \rtimes G \rightarrow A \rtimes G \rtimes G$$

is an isomorphism, by Lemma 4.7. By functoriality of the constructions, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^m \rtimes G \rtimes G & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Phi_m} & A^m \otimes \mathcal{K} \\ \psi \times G \times G \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \psi \otimes \text{id} \\ A \rtimes G \rtimes G & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & A \otimes \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus,

$$\Phi_m \circ (\psi \times G \times G)^{-1}(\ker \Phi) = \ker \psi \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Our strategy is to show that

$$\Phi_m \circ (\psi \times G \times G)^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\delta}}(E)) = \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m}(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}. \quad (4.1)$$

Since $\Phi_m \circ (\psi \times G \times G)^{-1}$ is an isomorphism, and for ideals I, J of A^m we have $I \otimes \mathcal{K} = J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $I = J$, this will suffice. Since $\psi \times G \times G$ is a δ^m - $\hat{\delta}$ equivariant isomorphism,

$$\psi \times G \times G(\mathcal{J}_{\delta^m}(E)) = \mathcal{J}_{\hat{\delta}}(E).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\Phi_m(\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\delta}^m}(E)) = \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m}(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here are the steps:

$$\Phi_m(\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\delta}^m}(E)) = \mathcal{J}_{\text{Ad}(1 \otimes W^*) \circ (\delta^m \otimes_* \text{id})}(E) \quad (4.3)$$

$$= \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m \otimes_* \text{id}}(E) \quad (4.4)$$

$$= \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m}(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}. \quad (4.5)$$

Equation (4.3) follows from $\hat{\delta}^m$ - $\text{Ad}(1 \otimes W^*) \circ (\delta^m \otimes_* \text{id})$ equivariance of Φ_m , (4.4) follows because $1 \otimes W^*$ is a $\delta^m \otimes_* \text{id}$ -cocycle (as in Remark 2.3)—see the elementary Lemma 4.8—and (4.5) follows from a routine computation with tensor products:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m \otimes_* \text{id}}(E) &= \ker((\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ (\delta^m \otimes_* \text{id})) \\ &= \ker((\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Sigma) \circ (\delta^m \otimes \text{id})) \\ &= \ker((\text{id} \otimes \Sigma) \circ (\text{id} \otimes q_E \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\delta^m \otimes \text{id})) \\ &= \ker((\text{id} \otimes q_E \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\delta^m \otimes \text{id})) \quad (\text{since } \text{id} \otimes \Sigma \text{ is injective}) \\ &= \ker(((\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta^m) \otimes \text{id}) \\ &= \ker((\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta^m) \otimes \mathcal{K} \quad (\text{since } \mathcal{K} \text{ is exact}) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{\delta^m}(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the above proof we invoked the following two general lemmas. The first, which is folklore, relies upon the fact that the normalization map $A \rightarrow A^n$ gives isomorphic crossed products $A \rtimes_{\delta} G \cong A^n \rtimes_{\delta^n} G$, while the second shows that exterior equivalent coactions have the same \mathcal{J} map from large ideals of $B(G)$ to small ideals of A .

Lemma 4.7. *Let (A, δ) be a coaction, let J be an invariant ideal, let $Q: A \rightarrow A/J$ be the quotient map and let δ_J be the associated coaction on A/J . Then J is small if and only if the crossed-product homomorphism*

$$Q \times G: A \rtimes_{\delta} G \rightarrow A/J \rtimes_{\delta_J} G$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. $Q \times G$ is always a surjection, so the issue is whether it is injective. First suppose that J is small. Then there is a unique surjection ζ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{Q} & A/J \\ & \searrow j_A & \downarrow \zeta \\ & & j_A(A) \end{array}$$

commute, and moreover ζ is δ_J - $\text{Ad } j_G$ equivariant, where $\text{Ad } j_G$ is the inner coaction on $j_A(A)$ implemented by the canonical homomorphism $j_G: C_0(G) \rightarrow M(A \times_\delta G)$. Thus, we have

$$j_A \times G = (\zeta \times G) \circ (Q \times G),$$

which is injective, and hence $Q \times G$ is injective.

For the other direction, note that

$$(Q \times G) \circ j_A = j_{A/J} \circ Q,$$

so, assuming that $Q \times G$ is injective, we have

$$J = \ker Q \subset \ker j_A.$$

□

Lemma 4.8. *Let (A, δ) be a coaction, let U be a δ -cocycle and let E be a large ideal of $B(G)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}_\delta(E) = \mathcal{J}_{\text{Ad } U \circ \delta}(E).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\text{Ad } U \circ \delta}(E) &= \ker(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \text{Ad } U \circ \delta \\ &= \ker(\text{Ad}(\text{id} \otimes q_E)(U)) \circ (\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta \\ &= \ker(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta \quad (\text{since } (\text{id} \otimes q_E)(U) \text{ is unitary}) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_\delta(E). \end{aligned}$$

□

We can now settle [11, Conjecture 6.14] affirmatively (again, see [5, Theorem 5.1] for an alternative proof).

Corollary 4.9. *For any large ideal E of $B(G)$, the coaction $(C_E^*(G), \delta_G^E)$ satisfies E -crossed-product duality and, more generally, so does the dual coaction of G on an E -crossed product $B \rtimes_{\alpha, E} G$ for any action (B, G, α) .*

5. Slice proper coactions

Definition 5.1. A coaction (A, δ) is *proper* if

$$(A \otimes 1)\delta(A) \subset A \otimes C^*(G), \tag{5.1}$$

and is *slice proper* if

$$(\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(A) \subset C^*(G) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in A^*. \tag{5.2}$$

Note that proper coactions are always slice proper since, by the Cohen–Hewitt factorization theorem, every functional in A^* can be expressed in the form $\omega \cdot a$, where

$$\omega \cdot a(b) = \omega(ab) \quad \text{for } \omega \in A^* \text{ and } a, b \in A.$$

On the other hand, elementary examples show that a coaction can be slice proper without being proper.

Just as every action of a compact group is proper (in the classical sense), every coaction of a discrete group is proper, because then we in fact have $\delta(A) \subset A \otimes C^*(G)$. In this paper we will only require the weaker notion of slice properness. We intend to study proper coactions more thoroughly in upcoming work.

Our primary interest in slice-proper coactions is the following weak* continuity property.

Lemma 5.2. *A coaction (A, δ) is slice proper if and only if for all $a \in A$ the map $f \mapsto f \cdot a$ is continuous from the weak* topology of $B(G)$ to the weak topology of A .*

Proof. First assume that δ is slice proper. Let $f_i \rightarrow 0$ weak* in $B(G)$. We must show that $f_i \cdot a \rightarrow 0$ weakly in A , so we let $\omega \in A^*$, and compute that

$$\omega(f_i \cdot a) = \omega((\text{id} \otimes f_i) \circ \delta(a)) = f_i((\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a)) \rightarrow 0$$

because $(\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a) \in C^*(G)$ by hypothesis.

Conversely, if $f \mapsto f \cdot a$ is weak*-to-weakly continuous and $f_i \rightarrow 0$ weak* in $B(G)$, then for all $\omega \in A^*$ we have

$$f_i((\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a)) = \omega(f_i \cdot a) \rightarrow 0,$$

and so $(\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a) \in C^*(G)$. □

The next result shows that slice properness is preserved by morphisms.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow M(B)$ be a non-degenerate homomorphism that is equivariant for coactions δ and ε . If δ is slice proper, then ε is also slice proper.*

Proof. Let $b \in B$. We must show that $(\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \varepsilon(b) \in C^*(G)$ for all $\omega \in B^*$, and it suffices to do it for positive ω . We have

$$(\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \varepsilon(b) \in M(C^*(G)),$$

so it suffices to show that for every $\psi \in M(C^*(G))^*$ that is in the annihilator of $C^*(G)$ we have

$$0 = \psi((\omega \otimes \text{id}) \circ \varepsilon(b)) = (\omega \otimes \psi)(\varepsilon(b)).$$

Again, it suffices to do this for positive ψ . Since ϕ is non-degenerate, we can factor $b = \phi(a^*)c$ with $a \in A$ and $c \in B$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for positive

functionals on C^* -algebras, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\omega \otimes \psi) \circ \varepsilon(b)|^2 &= |(\omega \otimes \psi) \circ \varepsilon(\phi(a^*)c)|^2 \\
&= |(\omega \otimes \psi)((\phi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a^*)\varepsilon(c))|^2 \\
&\leq (\omega \otimes \psi)((\phi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a^*a))(\omega \otimes \psi)(\varepsilon(c^*c)) \\
&= \psi((\phi^*(\omega) \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a^*a))(\omega \otimes \psi)(\varepsilon(c^*c)) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

because $(\phi^*(\omega) \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a^*a) \in C^*(G)$. \square

6. Counterexamples

In [5, Example 5.4], Buss and Echterhoff give examples of coactions that are not E -determined from their maximalizations for any large ideal E of $B(G)$. In Theorem 6.10 we give related, but different, examples involving quotients of not necessarily maximal coactions.

Definition 6.1. Let (A, δ) be a slice-proper coaction. For any small ideal J of A define

$$\mathcal{E}(J) = \mathcal{E}_\delta(J) = \{f \in B(G) : (x \cdot f \cdot y) \cdot J = \{0\} \text{ for all } x, y \in G\}.$$

Remark 6.2. When δ is the dual coaction $\hat{\alpha}$ on an action crossed product $B \rtimes_\alpha G$, we have a simpler definition:

$$\mathcal{E}(J) = \{f \in B(G) : f \cdot J = \{0\}\},$$

since the right-hand side is automatically G -invariant in this case. For $x \in G$, $a \in J$ and $f \in B(G)$, if $f \cdot a = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(x \cdot f) \cdot a &= (\text{id} \otimes x \cdot f)(\hat{\alpha}(a)) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes f)(\hat{\alpha}(a)(1 \otimes x)) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes f)(\hat{\alpha}(a)(i_G(x) \otimes x))i_G(x)^{-1} \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes f)(\hat{\alpha}(ai_G(x)))i_G(x)^{-1} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

because J is an ideal of $B \rtimes_\alpha G$, and hence is an ideal of $M(B \rtimes_\alpha G)$. This shows left G -invariance, and similarly for right invariance. Note that we could have shown invariance under slightly weaker hypotheses on the coaction (A, δ) : it suffices to have, for every $x \in G$, a unitary element $u_x \in M(A)$ such that $\delta(u_x) = u_x \otimes x$, or, for another sufficient condition, when G is discrete it is enough that the coaction (A, δ) be determined by a saturated Fell bundle $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow G$, i.e. A is the closed span of the fibres $\{A_x\}_{x \in G}$ of the bundle, $\overline{\text{span}}\{A_x A_x^*\} = A_e$ for all $x \in G$, and $\delta(a_x) = a_x \otimes x$ for all $a_x \in A_x$.

Question 6.3. For a slice-proper coaction (A, δ) and a small ideal J of A , is the set

$$\{f \in B(G) : f \cdot J = \{0\}\}$$

G -invariant in $B(G)$? Presumably not, but we do not know of a counterexample.

Lemma 6.4. *For any slice-proper coaction (A, δ) , \mathcal{J}_δ and \mathcal{E}_δ form a Galois correspondence between the large ideals of $B(G)$ and the small ideals of A .*

Proof. We already know that if E is a large ideal of $B(G)$, then $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is a small ideal of A , so it suffices to show that if J is a small ideal of A , then $\mathcal{E}(J)$ is a non-zero weak*-closed G -invariant ideal of $B(G)$, because it is obvious that \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{E} are inclusion-reversing, $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}(E)) \supset E$ and $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{E}(J)) \supset J$. $\mathcal{E}(J)$ is obviously an ideal of $B(G)$ and it is G -invariant by definition. Since the coaction (A, δ) is slice proper, for every $a \in A$ the map $f \mapsto f \cdot a$ is weak*-to-weakly continuous by Lemma 5.2, so $\mathcal{E}(J)$ is weak* closed. Since $J \subset \ker j_A$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(J) \supset \mathcal{E}(\ker j_A) \supset B_r(G),$$

so $\mathcal{E}(J)$ is non-zero. □

Example 6.5. In the case of the coaction $(C^*(G), \delta_G)$, we have

- $\mathcal{J}(E) = {}^\perp E$,
- $\mathcal{E}(J) = J^\perp$,
- $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}(E)) = E$,
- $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{E}(J)) = J$.

Corollary 6.6. *Let (A, δ) be a slice-proper coaction, let J be a small ideal of A and let E be a large ideal of $B(G)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}(J) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}(E))$ and that $J = \mathcal{J}(E')$ for some large ideal E' . Then $J = \mathcal{J}(E)$.*

Proof. This follows from the properties of Galois correspondences. □

Lemma 6.7. *Let (A, δ) and (C, ε) be slice-proper coactions of G , let $\varphi: A \rightarrow M(C)$ be a δ - ε equivariant non-degenerate homomorphism, let J be a small ideal of A and let E be a large ideal of $B(G)$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *The ideal*

$$\varphi_*(J) := \overline{\text{span}}\{C\varphi(J)C\}$$

of C is small.

(ii) $\varphi_*(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E)) \subset \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(E)$.

(iii) *Suppose that*

- φ is faithful,
- $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E)) = E$,
- $C = \overline{\text{span}}\{D\varphi(A)\}$ for a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra D of $M(C)$ such that $\varepsilon(d) = d \otimes 1$ for all $d \in D$, and
- $\varphi_*(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E)) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(E')$ for some E' .

Then $\varphi_(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E)) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(E)$.*

Remarks 6.8.

- (1) Note that (iii) does not say that $E' = E$, even when both are large ideals of $B(G)$. The hypotheses in (iii) might seem artificial, but we will see several naturally occurring situations where they are all satisfied.
- (2) Item (ii) can be used to show that the assignment $(A, \delta) \mapsto (A^E, \delta^E)$ can be parlayed into a functor (as in [5, §6]), but we have no need for this in the current paper.

Proof. (i) Let $Q: A \rightarrow A/J$ and let $R: C \rightarrow C/\varphi_*(J)$ be the quotient maps. The hypotheses imply that $J \subset \ker \bar{R} \circ \varphi$, so there is a homomorphism ψ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M(C) \\ Q \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{R} \\ A/J & \xrightarrow[\psi]{} & M(C/\varphi_*(J)) \end{array}$$

commute.

We must show that $\varphi_*(J) \subset \ker(R \otimes \text{id}) \circ \varepsilon$, and it suffices to show that $J \subset \ker(R \otimes \text{id}) \circ \bar{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi$: for $j \in J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R \otimes \text{id}) \circ \bar{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi(j) &= (R \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\varphi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(j) \\ &= (\bar{R} \circ \varphi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(j) \\ &= (\psi \circ Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(j) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(j) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $J \subset \ker(Q \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta$.

To see that $\varphi_*(J)$ is small, we have

$$J \subset \ker j_A \subset \ker(\varphi \times G) \circ j_A = \ker(j_C) \circ \varphi,$$

and it follows that

$$\varphi_*(J) \subset \ker j_C.$$

(ii) If $a \in \mathcal{J}_\delta(E)$, then for all $b, c \in C$ we have

$$(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \varepsilon(b\varphi(a)c) = (\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \varepsilon(b)(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \bar{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi(a)(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \varepsilon(c) = 0$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \bar{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi(a) &= (\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ (\varphi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \delta(a) \\ &= (\varphi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta(a) \\ &= (\varphi \otimes \text{id})(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $b\varphi(a)c \in \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(E)$.

(iii) By Corollary 6.6, it suffices to show that $E(\varphi_*(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E))) = E(\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(E))$, and since $E \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(E))$, it furthermore suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_*(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E))) \subset E$: if $f \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi_*(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E)))$, then for all $d, d' \in D$ and $a \in \mathcal{J}_\delta(E)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f \cdot (d\varphi(a)d') \\ &= df \cdot (\varphi(a))d' \quad (\text{since } \bar{\varepsilon} \text{ is trivial on } D) \\ &= d\varphi(f \cdot a)d' \quad (\text{since } \varphi \text{ is equivariant}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $f \cdot a = 0$ since φ is faithful and D is non-degenerate in $M(C)$. Thus, $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E)) = E$. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Let (A, δ) be a coaction, let E be a large ideal of $B(G)$ such that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E)) = E$, let D be a C^* -algebra and let $\text{id} \otimes \delta$ be the tensor-product coaction on $D \otimes A$. Then:*

- (i) *the ideal $D \otimes \mathcal{J}_\delta(E)$ of $D \otimes A$ is small, and is contained in $\mathcal{J}_{\text{id} \otimes \delta}(E)$;*
- (ii) *if $D \otimes \mathcal{J}_\delta(E) = \mathcal{J}_{\text{id} \otimes \delta}(E')$ for some large ideal E' , then $D \otimes \mathcal{J}_\delta(E) = \mathcal{J}_{\text{id} \otimes \delta}(E)$;*
- (iii) *$\mathcal{J}_{\text{id} \otimes \delta}(E) = \ker(\text{id}_D \otimes Q_E)$, where $Q_E: A \rightarrow A^E$ is the quotient map, so $D \otimes \mathcal{J}_\delta(E) = \mathcal{J}_{\text{id} \otimes \delta}(E)$ if and only if the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow D \otimes \mathcal{J}_\delta(E) \rightarrow D \otimes A \rightarrow D \otimes A^E \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. For the first two parts, we verify the hypotheses of Lemma 6.7, including those of part (iii), with $(C, \varepsilon) = (D \otimes A, \text{id} \otimes \delta)$, $\varphi = 1 \otimes \text{id}_A$, and D in Lemma 6.7 replaced by $D \otimes 1$. The map $1 \otimes \text{id}_A: A \rightarrow M(D \otimes A)$ is δ -($\text{id} \otimes \delta$) equivariant, non-degenerate and faithful, $D \otimes A = \overline{\text{span}}\{(D \otimes 1)(1 \otimes A)\}$, $D \otimes 1$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra of $M(D \otimes A)$, and $(\text{id} \otimes \delta)(d \otimes 1) = d \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ for all $d \in D$.

For (iii), note that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{id} \otimes \delta}(E) = \ker(\text{id}_D \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes Q_E) \circ (\text{id}_D \otimes \delta).$$

Since

$$\ker(\text{id}_A \otimes Q_E) \circ \delta = \mathcal{J}_\delta(E) = \ker Q_E,$$

there is an injective homomorphism $\tilde{\delta}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \delta} & D \otimes A \otimes C^*(G) \\ \text{id} \otimes Q_E \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes Q_E \\ D \otimes A^E & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \tilde{\delta}} & D \otimes A \otimes C_E^*(G) \end{array}$$

commute. Therefore, $\mathcal{J}_{\text{id} \otimes \delta}(E) = \ker(\text{id}_D \otimes Q_E)$. \square

Theorem 6.10. *Let G be non-amenable and residually finite (for example, \mathbb{F}_2) and consider the tensor product coaction $(C^*(G) \otimes C^*(G), \text{id} \otimes \delta_G)$. Then the ideal $C^*(G) \otimes \ker \lambda$ is small, but is not of the form $\mathcal{J}(E)$, and hence the associated quotient coaction is not E -determined for any large ideal E of $B(G)$.*

Proof. By [3, Proposition 3.7.10], the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C^*(G) \otimes \ker \lambda \rightarrow C^*(G) \otimes C^*(G) \rightarrow C^*(G) \otimes C_r^*(G) \rightarrow 0$$

is not exact. We have

$$\ker \lambda = \mathcal{J}_{\delta_G}(B_r(G)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}_{\delta_G}(B_r(G))) = B_r(G),$$

so the result follows from Corollary 6.9. \square

Remarks 6.11.

- (1) It follows from [14, Lemma 1.16 (a)] that the coaction $(D \otimes_{\max} A, \text{id} \tilde{\otimes} \delta)$ is maximal. For the case $(A, \delta) = (C^*(G), \delta_G)$, Buss and Echterhoff [5, Example 5.4] have shown that whenever the canonical map $D \otimes_{\max} C^*(G) \rightarrow D \otimes C^*(G)$ is not faithful, the coaction $(D \otimes C^*(G), \text{id} \otimes \delta_G)$ is not E -determined from its maximalization for any large ideal E of $B(G)$.
- (2) Theorem 6.10 shows that the map \mathcal{J} from large ideals of $B(G)$ to small ideals of A is not surjective in general. It is easy to see that \mathcal{J} is also not injective in general. For the most extreme source of examples of this, let δ be a coaction that is both maximal and normal, and let G be non-amenable. Then $\{0\}$ is the only small ideal of A , but there can be many large ideals of $B(G)$; indeed, it follows from a result of [13] that $B(\mathbb{F}_n)$ has a continuum of such ideals whenever $n \geq 2$. See the discussion preceding Proposition 8.4 for further discussion of this.
- (3) Similarly to Corollary 6.9, if (B, α) is an action, then the ideal

$$(i_G)_*(\perp E) = \overline{\text{span}}\{(B \rtimes_{\alpha} G)i_G(\perp E)(B \rtimes_{\alpha} G)\}$$

of $B \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is small, is contained in $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\alpha}}(E)$, and is of the form $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\alpha}}(E')$ for some coaction ideal E' if and only if it in fact equals $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\alpha}}(E)$. Since we have no application of this result in mind, we omit the proof; it follows from Proposition 6.7 similarly to Corollary 6.9. This result is not quite a generalization of Corollary 6.9 because $B \rtimes_{\iota} G \cong B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)$, not $B \otimes C^*(G)$ (where ι denotes the trivial action).

7. E -determined twice

Suppose that (A, δ) is a slice-proper maximal coaction for which every small ideal is of the form $\mathcal{J}(E)$ for some large ideal E of $B(G)$. Let $J_1 \subset J_2$ be two small ideals of A so that by assumption we have $J_i = \mathcal{J}_{\delta}(E_i)$ for some E_1, E_2 . By our general theory, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$E_i = \mathcal{E}(J_i) := \{f \in B(G) : (x \cdot f \cdot y) \cdot J_i = \{0\} \text{ for all } x, y \in G\}.$$

Then $E_1 \supset E_2$, and there exist

- (i) coactions δ_i of G on the quotients $A_i = A/J_i$,
- (ii) δ - δ_i equivariant surjections $Q_i: A \rightarrow A_i$, and
- (iii) a δ_1 - δ_2 equivariant surjection Q_{12} making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{Q_1} & A_1 \\ & \searrow^{Q_2} & \downarrow^{Q_{12}} \\ & & A_2 \end{array}$$

commute.

Question 7.1. With the above notation, is the coaction (A_2, δ_2) E -determined from (A_1, δ_1) for some large ideal E of $B(G)$? Equivalently, is the ideal $\ker Q_{12}$ of A_1 of the form $\mathcal{J}_{\delta_1}(E)$ for some E ?

It seems difficult to answer Question 7.1; if we think that the answer is yes, then we should presumably find an appropriate E . What could it be? Certainly it could not be E_1 , because this has nothing to do with E_2 . On the other hand, in general it is not E_2 either, as we will show in Proposition 8.2.

Notation 7.2. In the following lemma and corollary, we denote the weak*-closed span of a subset $S \subset B(G)$ by $[S]$.

Lemma 7.3. *With the above notation, for any large ideal E of $B(G)$ we have*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\delta_1}(E) = Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta([E_1 E])), \quad (7.1)$$

$$\ker Q_{12} = Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2)) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\delta_1}(E_2). \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. For (7.1), since Q_1 is a surjective linear map, it suffices to observe that for $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(a) \in \mathcal{J}_{\delta_1}(E) &\iff 0 = E \cdot Q_1(a) = Q_1(E \cdot a) \quad (\text{by equivariance}) \\ &\iff E \cdot a \subset \ker Q_1 = \mathcal{J}_\delta(E_1) \\ &\iff 0 = E_1 \cdot E \cdot a = [E_1 E] \cdot a \\ &\iff a \in \mathcal{J}_\delta([E_1 E]). \end{aligned}$$

For (7.2), we first consider the equality: since Q_1 is surjective and $Q_2 = Q_{12} \circ Q_1$,

$$\ker Q_{12} = Q_1(\ker Q_2) = Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2)).$$

For the other part, as $[E_1 E_2] \subset E_2$, we have $\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2) \subset \mathcal{J}_\delta([E_1 E_2])$, and so the inclusion $Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2)) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\delta_1}(E_2)$ now follows from (7.1) with $E = E_2$. \square

Corollary 7.4. *For a large ideal E of $B(G)$, if E_1E has weak* dense span in E_2 , then $\ker Q_{12} = \mathcal{J}_{\delta_1}(E)$, and hence the quotient (A_2, δ_2) of (A_1, δ_1) is E -determined from (A_1, δ_1) .*

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, we have $\ker Q_{12} = \mathcal{J}_{\delta_1}(E)$ if and only if $Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2)) = Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta([E_1E]))$. Since E_1 contains both E_2 and $[E_1E]$, and since the map \mathcal{J} is inclusion-reversing, we see that $\ker Q_1 = \mathcal{J}_\delta(E_1)$ is contained in both $\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2)$ and $\mathcal{J}_\delta([E_1E])$, and hence $Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2)) = Q_1(\mathcal{J}_\delta([E_1E]))$ if and only if $\mathcal{J}_\delta(E_2) = \mathcal{J}_\delta([E_1E])$. \square

The above lemma leads us to another question.

Question 7.5. For large ideals $E_1 \supset E_2$ of $B(G)$, does there exist a large ideal E of $B(G)$ such that E_1E has weak* dense span in E_2 ?

By Corollary 7.4, an affirmative answer to Question 7.5 would imply one for Question 7.1.

Note that, even with all our restrictions on the ideals E , the map \mathcal{J} from the large ideals of $B(G)$ to the small ideals of A is not injective, and so we are led to suspect that the converse of Corollary 7.4 does not hold. That being said, let us consider the special case $(A, \delta) = (C^*(G), \delta_G)$. Since for this maximal coaction the map \mathcal{J} from large ideals of $B(G)$ to small ideals is injective (is bijective, in fact), we can draw as a conclusion the following corollary.

Corollary 7.6. *With the above notation, the quotient $(C_{E_2}^*(G), \delta_G^{E_2})$ of $(C_{E_1}^*(G), \delta_G^{E_1})$ is E -determined from $(C_{E_1}^*(G), \delta_G^{E_1})$ if and only if E_1E has weak* dense span in E_2 .*

It is interesting to consider the special case $E = E_1 = E_2$, since it makes a connection with the C^* -bialgebra structure.

But first, another definition.

Definition 7.7. A coaction (A, δ) is E -normal if $(\text{id} \otimes q_E) \circ \delta$ is faithful.

Example 7.8. A coaction is normal in the usual sense if and only if it is $B_r(G)$ -normal in the above sense. At the other extreme, every coaction is $B(G)$ -normal, because $q_{B(G)}$ is the identity map. Note that every normal coaction is $B_r(G)$ -determined from its maximalization, and every maximal coaction is $B(G)$ -determined from itself. However, we will show in Proposition 8.4 that in general a coaction that is E -determined from its maximalization need not be E -normal.

Recall that the ‘canonical’ comultiplication Δ_G^E on $C_E^*(G)$ is defined as the unique homomorphism making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_E^*(G) & \xrightarrow{\delta_G^E} & M(C_E^*(G) \otimes C^*(G)) \\ & \searrow \Delta_G^E & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes q_E \\ & & M(C_E^*(G) \otimes C_E^*(G)) \end{array}$$

commute.

Corollary 7.9. *If E is a large ideal of $B(G)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(C_E^*(G), \delta_G^E)$ is E -determined from $(C_E^*(G), \delta_G^E)$;
- (ii) E^2 has weak* dense span in E ;
- (iii) the canonical comultiplication Δ_G^E on $C_E^*(G)$ is faithful;
- (iv) $(C_E^*(G), \delta_G^E)$ is E -normal in the sense of Definition 7.7.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) by Corollary 7.6 with $E = E_1 = E_2$. Since E is the dual of $C_E^*(G)$, E^2 has weak* dense span in E if and only if the preannihilator ${}^\perp(E^2)$ in $C_E^*(G)$ is $\{0\}$; equivalently, there is no non-zero $c \in C_E^*(G)$ with $(fg)(c) = 0$ for all $f, g \in E$. Since

$$(fg)(c) = (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_G^E(c) \quad \text{for } f, g \in E$$

and the elementary tensors $f \otimes g$ separate points in $C_E^*(G) \otimes C_E^*(G)$, we conclude (ii) \iff (iii). Finally, (iii) \iff (iv) follows immediately from the definition of E -normality. \square

8. L^p

In this section we illustrate the preceding discussion in the case of ideals of $B(G)$ determined by the L^p spaces. Note that for $1 \leq p < \infty$ the intersection $L^p(G) \cap B(G)$ is a G -invariant ideal of $B(G)$.

Definition 8.1. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we let $E_p = E_p(G)$ denote the weak* closure of $L^p(G) \cap B(G)$.

Since $L^p(G) \cap B(G)$ is a G -invariant ideal of $B(G)$, so is E_p . Since $C_c(G) \subset L^p(G)$, E_p contains $C_c(G) \cap B(G)$, so E_p is a large ideal of $B(G)$, i.e. contains $B_r(G)$.

Consider the maximal coaction $(C^*(G), \delta_G)$. Our general theory shows that every large quotient coaction of $(C^*(G), \delta_G)$ is E -determined for some large ideal E of $B(G)$. We do not know the answer to Question 7.1 even in this setting, but we can at least obtain some information when we restrict the ideals of $B(G)$ to be of the form E_p .

Proposition 8.2. *Let $\infty > p > q \geq 1$ so that $E_p \supset E_q$, where the ideals E_p are defined in Definition 8.1. Then the weak* closed span of $E_p E_r$ is contained in E_q , where*

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Proof. Since multiplication in $B(G)$ is separately weak* continuous, it suffices to observe that, by a routine application of Hölder's inequality,

$$L^p(G)L^r(G) \subset L^q(G).$$

\square

Remark 8.3. We cannot conclude from the above proof that $E_p E_r$ has weak* dense span in E_q , because we cannot take roots in $B(G)$; more precisely, we do not see how to prove that the span of $E_p E_r$ in $B(G)$ is weak* dense in E_q .

It has been attributed to independent work of Higson, Ozawa and Okayasu (see [3, Remark 4.5] and [13, Corollary 3.7]) that (in our notation) for $2 \leq d < \infty$ and $\infty > p > q \geq 2$ the canonical quotient map of $C_{E_p}^*(\mathbb{F}_d)$ onto $C_{E_q}^*(\mathbb{F}_d)$ is not faithful; equivalently, $E_p \neq E_q$. On the other hand, [3, Proposition 2.11] (see also [11, Proposition 4.2]) implies that $E_p = E_q$ for all $2 \geq p > q \geq 1$. This leads to the following proposition.

Proposition 8.4. *For $2 \leq d < \infty$ and $\infty > p > 2$, the canonical comultiplication $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}_d}^{E_p}$ on $C_{E_p}^*(\mathbb{F}_d)$ is not faithful, and the coaction $(C_{E_p}^*(\mathbb{F}_d), \delta_{\mathbb{F}_d}^{E_p})$, although it is E_p -determined from its maximalization, is not E_p -normal in the sense of Definition 7.7.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 8.2 that the weak*-closed span of E_p^2 is contained in $E_{p/2}$, and hence is different from E_p by the discussion preceding Corollary 8.4. Thus, the result follows from Corollary 7.9. \square

Question 8.5. The above discussion of the conditions listed in Corollary 7.9 as they relate to the ideals $E_p(G)$ should be carried out for some other well-known large ideals of $B(G)$, namely, the weak* closure $E_0(G)$ of $C_0(G) \cap B(G)$ and the ideal E orthogonal to the almost periodic functions $\text{AP}(G)$ (see [11, Remark 4.3 (3)]). For example, it would be interesting to know whether, in each of these cases, the square of the ideal is weak* dense in the ideal itself.

References

1. A. AN HUEF, J. QUIGG, I. RAEBURN AND D. P. WILLIAMS, Full and reduced coactions of locally compact groups on C^* -algebras, *Exp. Math.* **29** (2011), 3–23.
2. N. P. BROWN AND E. GUENTNER, New C^* -completions of discrete groups and related spaces, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **45** (2013), 1181–1193.
3. N. P. BROWN AND N. OZAWA, *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 88 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008).
4. A. BUSS AND S. ECHTERHOFF, Imprimitivity theorems for weakly proper actions of locally compact groups, *Ergod. Theory Dynam. Syst.* **35** (2015), 2412–2457.
5. A. BUSS AND S. ECHTERHOFF, Universal and exotic generalized fixed-point algebras for weakly proper actions and duality, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **63** (2014), 1659–1701.
6. S. ECHTERHOFF, S. KALISZEWSKI AND J. QUIGG, Maximal coactions, *Int. J. Math.* **15** (2004), 47–61.
7. S. ECHTERHOFF, S. KALISZEWSKI, J. QUIGG AND I. RAEBURN, *A categorical approach to imprimitivity theorems for C^* -dynamical systems*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 180, Number 850 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006).
8. D. ELLWOOD, A new characterisation of principal actions, *J. Funct. Analysis* **173**(1) (2000), 49–60.
9. D. GOSWAMI AND A. O. KUKU, A complete formulation of the Baum–Connes conjecture for the action of discrete quantum groups, *K-Theory* **30**(4) (2003), 341–363.
10. S. KALISZEWSKI AND J. QUIGG, Imprimitivity for C^* -coactions of non-amenable groups, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **123** (1998), 101–118.
11. S. KALISZEWSKI, M. B. LANDSTAD AND J. QUIGG, Exotic group C^* -algebras in noncommutative duality, *New York J. Math.* **19** (2013), 689–711.

12. M. B. LANDSTAD, J. PHILLIPS, I. RAEBURN AND C. E. SUTHERLAND, Representations of crossed products by coactions and principal bundles, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **299** (1987), 747–784.
13. R. OKAYASU, Free group C^* -algebras associated with ℓ_p , Preprint (arXiv:1203.0800; 2012).
14. J. C. QUIGG, Full and reduced C^* -coactions, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **116** (1994), 435–450.