



<http://www.diva-portal.org>

Postprint

This is the accepted version of a paper published in *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*. This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Arvesen, A., Nes, R., Huertas-Hernando, D., Hertwich, E. (2014)

Life cycle assessment of an offshore grid interconnecting wind farms and customers across the North Sea.

The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 19(4): 826-837

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11367-014-0709-2>

Access to the published version may require subscription.

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

Permanent link to this version:

<http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:no:ntnu:diva-26314>

Life cycle assessment of an offshore grid interconnecting wind farms and customers across the North Sea

Anders Arvesen ^{a,*}, Rasmus Nikolai Nes ^a, Daniel Huertas-Hernando ^b, Edgar G. Hertwich ^a

^a Industrial Ecology Programme and Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway

^b SINTEF Energy Research, P.O. Box 4761 Sluppen, NO-7465, Trondheim, Norway

* Corresponding author. anders.arvesen@ntnu.no Tel.: +47 73 59 8946; fax: +47 73 59 89 43.

Citation

This document contains an unedited manuscript for the following article:

Arvesen, A., R. Nes, D. Huertas-Hernando, and E. Hertwich. 2014. Life cycle assessment of an offshore grid interconnecting wind farms and customers across the North Sea. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*: 1-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11367-014-0709-2>

The final publication is available at link.springer.com.

Keywords hybrid LCA; HVDC transmission; Windspeed; carbon footprint; variable renewable energy; grid integration

Abstract

Purpose This study aims to contribute to an improved understanding of the environmental implications of offshore power grid and wind power development pathways. To achieve this aim we present two assessments. First, we investigate the impacts of a North Sea power grid enabling enhanced trade and integration of offshore wind power. Second, we assess the benefit of North Sea grid and wind power through a comparison of scenarios for power generation in affected countries.

Methods The grid scenario explored in the first assessment is the most ambitious scenario of the Windspeed project, and is the result of cost minimization analysis using a transmission expansion planning model. We develop a hybrid life cycle inventory for array cables, HVDC links and substations. The functional unit is 1 kWh of electricity transmitted. The second assessment compares two different energy scenarios of Windspeed for the North Sea and surrounding countries. Here we utilize life cycle inventory for offshore grid components together with inventory for a catalog of power generation technologies from Ecoinvent, and couple these inventories with grid configurations and electricity mixes determined by the optimization procedure in Windspeed.

Results and discussion Developing, operating and dismantling the grid cause emissions of 2.5 g CO₂-Eq per kWh electricity transmission, or 36 Mt CO₂-Eq in total. HVDC cables are the major cause of environmental damage, causing for example half of total climate change effects. The next most important contributors are substations and array cabling used in offshore wind parks. Toxicity and eutrophication effects stem largely from leakages from disposed copper and iron mine tailings and overburden. Results from the comparison of two scenarios demonstrate a substantial environmental benefit from North Sea grid extension and the associated wind power development compared to an alternative generation of electricity from fossil fuels. Offshore grid and wind power, however, entail an increased use of metals and hence a higher metal depletion indicator.

Conclusions We present the first life cycle assessment of a large offshore power grid, using results of an energy planning model as input. HVDC links are the major cause of environmental damage. There are differences across impact categories with respect to which components or types of activities that are responsible for damage. North Sea grid and wind power are environmentally beneficial by an array of criteria if displacing fossil fuels, but cause substantial metal use.

1 Introduction

There is a growing interest in electricity grid development in the North Sea (EC 2010a, b; EWEA 2009; Veum et al. 2011). Extending the North Sea power transmission network could have multiple benefits: connect offshore wind farms (electricity producers) or oil or gas platforms (electricity consumers) to transmission systems on land, raise the overall efficiency of electricity supply through enhanced trade, and aid power grid balancing in systems with high shares of intermittent supply. Subsea power transmission in Northern Seas is identified as one of four “priority corridors for the transport of electricity” in EU energy and climate policy (Carvalho 2012). Furthermore, renewable energy action plans of EU member states project cumulative deployment of 44 GW offshore wind generation capacity in 2020, up from 0.7 GW in 2005 (Beurskens et al. 2011). These policy plans and priorities are suggestive of a substantial expansion of North Sea power transmission in the next decade or two – and part of a general expectation that major expansions in electricity transmission and distribution (T&D) are needed to develop reliable and sustainable energy systems for the future (Riahi et al. 2012).

The main motivation for this study is the need to establish good knowledge on the environmental implications of offshore electricity systems. Such systems may play a positive role in phasing out fossil fuels – a generally desired outcome and policy target – but will also in themselves cause harmful emissions and use of non-renewable resources. Another motivation is the overlap between understanding the impacts of power grids and renewable power generation: Renewable energy resources tend to be located far from consumption centers and thus require more extensive grid connections than fossil power plants. Also, their often intermittent nature leads to generally increased transmission capacity requirements (IEA 2012). Hence, as has been noted before (Arvesen et al. 2011; Jorge et al. 2012a), evaluations of renewable energy ideally should consider impacts due to T&D in order to reflect real-world effects.

As of today there is only a limited number of environmental life cycle assessments (LCA) of electricity T&D in the peer-reviewed literature, and none, as far as we are aware of, with a main offshore focus. Jorge and colleagues compile and analyze life cycle inventories for electrical grid components (Jorge et al. 2012a, b) and scale up inventories to study the Norwegian transmission network (Jorge and Hertwich 2013). Harrison et al. (2010) study the transmission system in Britain and Bumby et al. (2010) power distribution in California. Jones and McManus (2010) present an assessment of underground and overhead lines used in distribution networks. In addition to dedicated LCAs of T&D, main electrical equipment needed to transfer electricity generated by offshore wind turbines to shore are part of the systems studied in LCAs of offshore wind power (e.g., (Wagner et

al. 2011; Arvesen et al. 2013)). In such studies, electrical connections comprise array cables, offshore substation and export cables running from the substation to an existing grid. Alternating current (AC) connections are assumed and usually near-shore wind farms are studied (Arvesen and Hertwich 2012).

This study aims to contribute to an improved understanding of the environmental burdens and benefits of ambitious power grid and offshore wind power development pathways for the North Sea. In order to achieve this aim, we present two assessments. First, in the detailed grid assessment, we use the method of hybrid LCA to examine the environmental impacts associated with building and operating an extensive North Sea power grid, the Grand Design v05 grid defined in the Windspeed project (Windspeed n.d.). Second, in a comparative assessment of scenarios, we utilize life cycle inventories for a catalog of power generation technologies so as to assess the environmental burdens and benefits of two energy scenarios for the North Sea and surrounding countries. The Grand Design v05 (GDv05) and In the Deep 20% (ITD20) scenarios of Windspeed are obtained from solutions of a mixed integer linear program model, whose objective function seeks to minimize the total costs of satisfying future electricity demand in North Sea-neighboring countries with generation and offshore transmission (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011). The scenarios are described in more detail in section 2.1.

2 Case description

2.1 Grid scenarios

The offshore wind and grid scenarios examined in this study are obtained from the project Windspeed (SPatial Deployment of offshore WIND Energy in Europe) (Windspeed n.d.). Windspeed developed a roadmap that defines realistic targets and a development pathway from 2020 up to 2030 for offshore wind energy in the Central and Southern North Sea (Belgium, Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway and Great Britain) (Veum et al. 2011). The work consisted of four phases. In phase one, different uses of marine areas were mapped and characterized. Phase two assessed likely offshore wind energy deployment, taking into consideration costs and competing uses of marine space. Phase three developed offshore wind and grid scenarios, involving the use of a transmission expansion planning tool to identify optimal grid layouts. The last phase put together all information to create a final roadmap.

The grid scenarios aimed to recommend grid development to support offshore wind deployment by considering technical solutions, grid topologies and infrastructure and operational costs (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011). The impacts and benefits of the proposed grid configurations for power system and market operation were investigated in detail. A preliminary assessment of CO₂ emissions was performed in Huertas-Hernando et al.

(2011), but a more comprehensive analysis of environmental impacts and benefits is desired. This is the focus of the present paper.

The specific scenarios analyzed in this work are referred to as In the Deep 20% (ITD20) and Grand Design v05 (GDv05). Both scenarios assume fast technological development and imply a large-scale deployment of offshore wind power, of which a significant share comes from areas far from shore. The scenarios differ in that GDv05 assumes a higher level of trans-national coordination for the development of an offshore grid. GDv05 exemplifies a development where the realization of a highly interconnected transmission network in the North Sea opens doors for enhanced power trade and offshore wind power deployment. ITD20 also involves a large-scale development of a North Sea grid, but the more radial grid layout offers less opportunity for interregional trade and offshore wind electricity. Further, GDv05 is produced under more lenient assumptions about operating modes of thermal power stations: While GDv05 assumes no minimum production rate bound, ITD20 does not permit production rates lower than 20% of nominal capacity. Finally, complete phase-out of nuclear power is assumed in GDv05 but not in ITD20. On the whole, GDv05 assumptions give more favorable conditions for phasing-out carbon-emitting generation sources by large-scale deployment of offshore wind energy. GDv05 is the most pro-offshore wind scenario and the scenario with the most interconnected North Sea transmission network considered in Huertas-Hernando et al. (2011).

The offshore grid maps in Fig. 1 depict wind farm clusters and the transmission network in the North Sea in year 2030 for GDv05 and ITD20 scenarios individually. Table 1 displays the annual production of electricity in 2030 for the same respective scenarios for four regions, as determined by the optimization procedure used in Windspeed¹. Note the lower fossil fuel-based electricity production in GDv05 (126 TWh/year less than for ITD20 in total) and the increase in offshore wind electricity in GDv05 (164 TWh/year more than for ITD20). Figures for renewables other than offshore wind are very similar for the two scenarios.

The GDv05 scenario shows an offshore wind power capacity of 135 GW in 2030, of which 88 GW is connected to the offshore grid depicted in Fig. 1. The corresponding numbers for ITD20 are 93 and 53 GW (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011). By comparison, the European Wind Energy Association gives a target of 150 GW in 2030 for the whole of the EU, chiefly based on a survey of planned projects (Azau 2011). An accompanying document to the European Commission's Energy 2020 strategy mentions in excess of 140 GW of offshore wind energy plans among member states, but gives no mention of the status of such plans (EC 2010b, a).

¹ Table 1 is an aggregate representation of Windspeed results (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011).

2.2 Assessments

In the detailed grid assessment, we assess the environmental impacts caused by the GDv05 grid. Electricity grid is here taken to encompass power cables and ancillary electrical equipment and structures, but not offshore wind turbines connected to the transmission network². The grid components studied are array cables, export cables, offshore substation foundations and electrical equipment for offshore and onshore substations. Besides manufactured grid components, our system of analysis comprises land- and sea-based activities for transport, installation, operations and maintenance.

LCAs typically measure impact potentials in relation to a reference unit, the ‘functional unit’ in standardized LCA terminology (ISO 2006). Unlike for power generation, for which the use of one unit of electricity delivered as functional unit is a common feature of LCA literature, the choice of a functional unit for electricity T&D is not trivial. Bumby et al. (2010), studying aerial and underground power distribution, uses one mile of electrical circuit operating for one year as the functional unit; (Jorge et al. 2012a, b) define 1 km of power line, one transformer unit, etc. operating for one lifetime as functional units in assessments of individual grid components; Harrison et al. (2010) and Jorge and Hertwich (2013) select 1 kWh supplied as functional unit in assessments of entire transmission grids. The functional unit chosen here is 1 kWh of electricity transmitted in the grid, including both electricity produced in wind farms (61% of total electricity transmitted over lifetime) and gross electricity traded from region to region (39%). In effect, this functional unit reflects cable utilization.

Next, in the comparative assessment of scenarios, we enlarge our view to consider both North Sea power transmission and power generation in the North Sea and adjoining countries (Norway, Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, Great Britain). The aim is to provide preliminary insights into the comparative environmental merits of two qualitatively different development pathways, examining a considerable number of impact categories. The scenarios compared are GDv05 and ITD20, as described in the previous subsection. The functional unit in the comparative scenario assessment is meeting the demand for electricity in the six North Sea- neighboring countries. In other words, we scale inventories to match absolute requirements for North Sea grid and north-west Europe power generation.

3 Methods, data and assumptions

Life cycle assessment may be described as a systematic assessment of activities associated with one good or service, of resource use and discharges to the environment that occur as a result of these activities, and

² Wind turbines have been studied extensively before (Arvesen and Hertwich 2012).

potential impacts. In this paper, we study the environmental implications of large-scale deployments of offshore transmission network and wind energy in the North Sea. We only model impacts that may arise due to the act of building, operating and dismantling the grid; we do not attempt to apportion impacts due to power losses to the transmission system as is done in some other work (Jorge and Hertwich 2013; Harrison et al. 2010). The lifetime of the grid (and all of its individual components) is assumed to be 30 years (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011). The total amount of electricity transmitted in the GDv05 grid over a 30-year lifetime is $1.46E+13$ kWh. We do not consider impacts or benefits that may arise from waste treatment or recycling of components.

3.1 Hybrid LCA

A hybrid LCA model combines process-based inventories that are constructed bottom-up and input-output (IO) inventories resulting from top-down representations of economies. The model used in this study incorporates inventories from the Ecoinvent process-LCA database (Ecoinvent 2010) and the EXIOBASE environmentally extended IO database (Tukker et al. 2013). The IO dataset employed has two regions (Europe, rest-of-world) and covers 25 types of air emissions, including greenhouse gases (GHG), non-GHG gaseous compounds, particulate matter, heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants. ReCiPe characterization factors (Hegger and Hirschler 2010) are applied.

We employ a tiered hybrid LCA methodology following Strømman et al. (2006), adding IO-based inventories to processes that are initially defined in a bottom-up fashion. In principle, important operations are modeled bottom-up and in physical terms, while monetary inventories cover numerous operations that would otherwise be neglected. All first-order inputs from the IO system come from the Europe region, but activity is instigated in rest-of-world as well because of interregional trade. We use the same procedure to establish inputs from the IO system as in previous work (Arvesen et al. 2013; Arvesen and Hertwich 2011), utilizing cost information about components and activities to scale IO inputs and then zeroing individual IO entries that include flows already covered in the process-based inventory.

3.2 Life cycle inventory for North Sea grid

Table 2 provides a summary of physical and monetary inputs in our LCA model; further explanations are given in subsections 3.2.1-3.2.3. For reasons of data availability, our physical inventory data for export cables and substation equipment are adapted to 450 kV, not 150 kV as in Windspeed. We obtain monetary cost data for components and their offshore installation from Windspeed documentation (Jacquemin et al. 2011) and operational costs from Arvesen et al. (2013). Future cost reductions for components are included on the basis

that learning benefits accrue before the grid is completed; the average reduction across all components and time span is 38% compared with current levels³. Where appropriate, we adjust cost numbers using average inflation rates to match year 2000 transactions data in EXIOBASE.

3.2.1 *Array cables*

Consistent with Windspeed, we assume three-core copper conductor cables of two different sizes are utilized to transfer electricity from wind turbines rated at 5 MW each. The wind turbines are arranged in a 15 x 8 grid (wind farm capacity $15 \times 8 \times 5 \text{ MW} = 600 \text{ MW}^4$) (Jacquemin et al. 2011). Assuming a power density of 2 MW/km^2 and following relationships outlined in Jacquemin et al. (2011), we find that 83 km of $3 \times 240 \text{ mm}^2$ and 94 km $3 \times 630 \text{ mm}^2$ Cu cables (AC, 33 kV) are needed per wind farm. This means that 12100 km (7320 km) of $3 \times 240 \text{ mm}^2$ and 13700 km (8290 km) $3 \times 630 \text{ mm}^2$ are needed in GDv05 (IDT20) in total. We use the approach of Birkeland (2011) to estimate the weights of individual cable layers from layer thickness values (ABB 2010; Nexans 2011) and scale to actual total weight⁵. Zinc coating of steel armoring is included. We use numbers for direct energy use in manufacturing derived in Arvesen and Hertwich (2011) from an industry report (ABB 2008). Finally, we obtain marine vessel fuel oil consumption values for tie-in of cables, cable laying with plough, inspection during operation and end-of-life removal from Arvesen et al. (2013), and scale to take into account greater travel distances.

3.2.2 *Export cable*

The export cables modeled in this study are based on the deep-water part of the existing NorNed high-voltage, direct current (HVDC) link, a flat-cable rated at 450 kV and consisting of $2 \times 790 \text{ mm}^2$ copper (Cu) conductors, mass-impregnated paper insulation and steel and lead sheeting (Birkeland 2011; ABB n.d.-b). In a similar manner as for the array cables, we establish the material composition of the export cable (Birkeland 2011) and take into consideration manufacturing (ABB 2008; Arvesen and Hertwich 2011) and cable laying, inspection and removal (Arvesen et al. 2013). A total of 39800 km (28600 km) HVDC cabling is needed in GDv05 (ITD20).

3.2.3 *Substation*

Two functions of the offshore and onshore substations are to convert from alternating current (AC) to direct current (DC) and vice versa and to transform voltage. There are 147 (89) sea-based and 154 (95) land-based

³ See Cameron et al. (2011) for details on how learning is modeled in Windspeed.

⁴ While 600 MW wind farm capacity is assumed here for the purpose of determining typical array cabling requirements, the wind farm cluster capacities in Fig. 1 are not divisible into 600 MW units.

⁵ Scaling is performed because the sum of estimated cable layer weights does not exactly match the known, total weight. Without the scaling, estimated totals amount to 80-90% of real totals.

substations in GDv05 (ITD20). Windspeed assumes high capacity voltage source converters (VSCs), a technology that has not yet entered commercial use (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011; Callavik et al. 2012). As physical descriptions of VSC AC/DC converters are not available, our physical inventory (representing material and energy inputs to manufacturing) is from information on an AC/AC transformer (ABB n.d.-a). Switchgear and breakers are integral parts of this inventory. For offshore substations, we assume a steel six-legged jacket foundation concept and 40 m water depth on average, based on water depth data from 4C Offshore (2013). At this water depth, the jacket mass is expected to be 3000 t (Jacquemin et al. 2011). The topside, excluding electrical equipment, weighs 1170 t (Jacquemin et al. 2011) and is modeled as made of steel. Sea-based operations are modeled based on fuel oil consumption figures in Arvesen et al. (2013) and include the use of construction ships for installation and service boat for inspection and maintenance.

3.3 Comparative scenario assessment

We apply hybrid life cycle inventory modeling for the GDv05 and ITD20 North Sea power systems respectively, using inventory data compiled for this study to model transmission and data from previous work (Arvesen et al. 2013) to model additional inputs needed for wind power. Electric power generation from other sources is represented by appropriate Ecoinvent processes (Ecoinvent 2010). By mapping all of these data to the GDv05 and ITD20 electricity mixes (Table 1), we are able to study – in a life cycle view – both North Sea power transmission and north-west Europe power generation under GDv05 and ITD20 scenarios.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Detailed grid assessment

An overview on impact indicator results, per functional unit and component-wise, is provided in Table 3. The total GHG emission intensity of the GDv05 grid is 2.49 g CO₂-Eq per kWh. Further, Fig. 2 shows indicator results for ten categories broken down to main components and environmental stressor sources. The nine stressor sources comprise seven categories of processes in the physical subsystem: ‘Electricity’ represents direct (in-plant) stressors incurred in power generation; ‘heat’ covers incineration and other processes whereby heat is delivered; ‘waste management and treatment’ encompasses all types of treatment, incineration and deposition of waste; ‘transportation’ takes place onshore or offshore and includes all marine vessel operations; etc. The two IO categories together represent all stressors elicited in the IO subsystem.

As is evident from Fig. 2, export cable is the largest contributor for all impact categories, causing nearly half of total climate change effects and roughly 60% of totals for other impact types (e.g., toxic effects in humans and ecosystems). When measured per km of cable length, the carbon footprint of the export cable (HVDC, 450 kV, 2 x 790 mm² Cu) is 215 t CO₂-Eq/km, of which 117 t CO₂-Eq/km is generated in the physical subsystem. This compares with a total GHG emission intensity of 125 t CO₂-Eq/km calculated using process-LCA in Jorge et al. (2012a) for an oil-filled submarine cable (HVDC, 150 kV, 2 x 800 mm² Cu). Impact potentials caused by array cables are a half to a third of export cable indicator values in our results (Fig. 2). In reality, the amount of array cabling needed and cable design vary depending on the spacing between wind turbines, water depth and seabed topography. If we change the array cabling requirement by 20%, the total GHG emission intensity changes by 2.7%.

Operations and maintenance represent 19% of total indicator values at the most (photochemical oxidant formation) and 10-12% for several impact categories, largely because of emissions by vessels. In total, direct emissions from transportation represent 13% of total GHG and appear as relatively more important for acidification (16% of total; mainly due to SO₂ and NO_x from sea vessels), marine eutrophication (19%; NO_x) and photochemical oxidants (30%; NO_x and NMVOC). Selective catalytic reduction of NO_x on marine vessels can potentially reduce acidifying and eutrophying effects and formation of photochemical oxidants appreciably (Bengtsson et al. 2011; Arvesen et al. 2013). Nearly one third (31%) of the GHG emissions in the physical subsystem come from fossil-fuel burning in power stations, illustrating a general need to use fossil electricity of today to develop electricity infrastructures for tomorrow (Arvesen 2013). It can be noted that replacement of parts is not considered by Windspeed and not included here either. If we instead assume that 5% or 10% of all cables and electrical equipment needs to be replaced once during the lifetime, total GHG emissions would increase by 4% or 8%.

Mining of copper and iron constitute 40% and 21% of metal depletion burden respectively. In the academic literature, concerns about future availability of copper are raised based on scenarios with worldwide economic development (Gordon et al. 2006) or electricity transmission expansion (Kleijn and van der Voet 2010)⁶. The bulk of contemporary criticality assessments do not consider copper as critical, however (Erdmann and Graedel 2011). There is no agreed-upon method to measure abiotic resource depletion in LCA; competing methods approach the problem differently and may give different results (Steen 2006; Hauschild et al. 2013).

⁶ Tilton and Lagos (2007) present a contrasting view.

Toxic effects in humans and aquatic ecosystems and freshwater eutrophication are almost entirely or largely caused by leakages from disposed copper and iron mine tailings and overburden material. It should be noted that indicator scores for toxicity are very uncertain owing to difficulties in enumerating and characterizing large numbers of substances with often complex effect chains in the environment (Rosenbaum et al. 2008; Pettersen and Hertwich 2008; Hauschild et al. 2013). Also, declining ore grades for copper – an important ingredient of offshore grids – can be expected to exacerbate environmental damage connected with copper mining in the future (Mudd 2010; Prior et al. 2012).

In this work we assume a uniform system lifetime of 30 years, following Windspeed. Prolonging the system life span to 35 years in our model reduces the total GHG emission intensity by 13%. For array cables, the 30-year lifetime is in the upper end of the spectrum of similar assumptions in past economic (Blanco 2009) and environmental (Arvesen and Hertwich 2012) assessments of wind power. Some electrical equipment may last longer than 30 years; for example, ABB (n.d.-a, n.d.-c) assume 35-year lifetime for transformer stations. Further, it is conceivable that the technical lifetime of the steel sub-structures of offshore substations may exceed 30 years, perhaps by a substantial margin. At the same time, real lifetimes will depend not only on technical considerations but also economic and other considerations.

Previous research suggests that hybridized inventory modeling gives much more complete coverage of relevant activities than purely process-based techniques (e.g., case studies for renewable energy (Zhai and Williams 2010; Crawford 2009; Wiedmann et al. 2011) or review (Majeau-Bettez et al. 2011)). In our results, the IO subsystem generates 52% of the total GHG emissions. Of these, 34% is generated in manufacturing industries, 25% in the power sector and 13% in transport. The coverage of stressor types in our IO data set is much less exhaustive than in the process-LCA data, which can explain the lower relative IO subsystem contributions for other impact categories than climate change. In general, there is large uncertainty involved in defining the interface between the process-based and IO subsystems in tiered hybrid LCA. Future research into tiered hybrid LCA method development may address this weakness by systemically comparing counterpart activities in the process-based and IO subsystems so as to assess how the subsystems are best combined. Also, current hybrid models offer limited support for tracking material flows through IO supply chains.

The individual contributions of components to IO subsystem emissions are evident from Fig. 2. The relatively high costs for substation equipment (Table 2) translate into sometimes sizeable IO subsystem contributions for this component ('Subst' in Fig. 2). These high costs and consequent high IO contributions reflect the current research and development (R&D) phase of VSC AC/DC converter technology and are highly

uncertain (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011). Looking at the greenhouse gas emissions embodied in R&D activity in our IO submodel, 43% of the emissions occur directly in the R&D sector and 17% in the power sector.

Another element that may contribute to climate impact for substations is leakage of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆; an extremely powerful greenhouse gas) from SF₆ gas-insulated switchgears (Jorge et al. 2012b). Our analysis does not include SF₆ losses, as the data source used for substation equipment assumes air-insulated and not SF₆-insulated switchgear technology. If we had instead used a different source describing a 420 kV SF₆-insulated switchgear (ABB n.d.-c), total losses of SF₆ during manufacturing, operation and disposal would amount to 0.11 g CO₂-Eq. per kWh.

4.2 Comparative scenario assessment

Fig. 3 compares the estimated total life cycle impact potentials of North Sea power generation and transmission and other power generation in North Sea-neighboring countries for GDv05 and ITD20 scenarios. Results are depicted as average annual GDv05 impact potentials *less* average annual ITD20 potentials over the 30-year time frame. Thus, a bar on the positive (negative) axis represents an environmental burden (gain) in moving from ITD20 to the more ambitious GDv05 scenario. The North Sea power systems are more resource-demanding in GDv05 than ITD20, and hence the top, 'North Sea grid' bar always represents a value on the positive axis. Conversely, the lower contributions from coal-fired power stations in GDv05 than ITD20 entail environmental benefits by all indicators.

According to the results, the act of building, operating and dismantling North Sea wind power and transmission systems causes 310 million tonnes (Mt) (GDv05) or 190 Mt (ITD20) CO₂-Eq emitted. Of this, 13-14% is due to grid constituents, the remainder to other activities related to wind farms. These emissions are modest when compared to the life cycle emission benefits of displacing fossil fuel-based electricity: In total across all technologies (horizontal bars in Fig. 3), the net climate change mitigation achieved in deploying GDv05 instead of ITD20 is 112 Mt CO₂-Eq per year, and the net acidic pollution abatement 320 t SO₂-Eq per year. Fig. 3 similarly shows clear net reductions in potential environmental effects for all impact types except metal depletion, shedding light on how polluting conventional fossil power is across an array of impact categories. Among the fossil fuels, phase-out of hard coal and (to a lesser degree) lignite most consistently lead to significant reductions in potential environmental damage. Besides the grid components, wind turbines and foundations give rise to metal depletion owing to copper, iron and steel alloying elements extraction (Arvesen et al. 2013).

The elements of uncertainty discussed above for the detailed grid assessment are relevant to consider here as well. Additionally, three cautionary flags about the comparative scenario assessment are raised here, as follows. First, investments in onshore grids are needed to accommodate increasing shares of intermittent supply. Studying such grid reinforcements, meanwhile, was not part of the scope of Windspeed (Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011) and is disregarded here as well. Second, it is worthwhile to bear in mind that both GDv05 and IDT20 assume substantial trans-national coordination of offshore wind and grid development⁷. With less coordination, a meshed offshore grid is unlikely to emerge and different results may be obtained. Third, by taking future electricity mixes as given, we implicitly assume that real barriers – technological, social or political in nature (Arvesen et al. 2011; Moe 2010; Unruh 2000; York 2012) – will be overcome. Nevertheless, the scenario assessment indicates that the large-scale deployment of North Sea wind power and power transmission and phase-out of fossil electricity will give environmental benefits by an array of criteria – the one exception being metal depletion.

5 Conclusions and outlook

Investments in power grids are substantial and a central element in climate change mitigation. The balancing of supply and demand across larger grid areas allows for the integration of higher shares of variable renewable electricity sources without expensive storage or backup (IEA 2012). Despite this, LCA case studies of (existing or foreseen) systems for electricity T&D are scarce. To our knowledge, the current work is the first LCA of a larger offshore grid. It fills a gap in the literature by investigating the environmental effects of a proposed HVDC transmission network in the North Sea, considering a range of impact categories. Besides giving impact potentials per unit of electricity transmitted, the results illuminate differences among impact categories with respect to which components lead to environmental pressures and identifies the activities where the pressures occur.

The comparative scenario assessment provides tentative insights into the potential environmental benefits of following relatively more ambitious development pathways for North Sea grid and wind power systems. More research is needed to establish a better understanding of the environmental implications of electricity T&D. This may involve new analyses of components or scaled-up analyses of entire systems. It may also involve the combination of life cycle and optimization perspectives in integrated LCA and energy planning (Riahi et al. 2012; Huertas-Hernando et al. 2011; Fripp 2012) models. Such integrated models could be useful in identifying trade-offs or prospects for co-maximization of different types of environmental and economic benefits.

⁷ See Cameron et al. (2011) for details on the scenarios considered in Windspeed.

Finally, we note that decision makers should also adequately consider impacts due to power losses, which are not studied here in order to focus attention on other aspects, and effects of offshore grids on the surrounding marine life.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Research Council of Norway through the Centre for Sustainable Energy Studies (CenSES). Huertas-Hernando acknowledges financial support from FME NOWITECH. The analysis builds on the MSc thesis by Nes supervised by Hertwich and Arvesen, Arvesen's wind power LCAs and Huertas-Hernando's work on Windspeed. We thank the anonymous reviewers for many helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- 4C Offshore (2013) 4C Global offshore wind farms database.
<http://www.4coffshore.com/offshorewind>. Accessed 19 April 2013
- ABB (2008) Miljörapport för år: 2007 [in Swedish]. ABB high voltage cables
- ABB (2010) XLPE submarine cable systems. Attachment to XLPE land cable systems. User's guide.
www.abb.com. Accessed 26 April 2011
- ABB (n.d.-a) Environmental product declaration. Power transformer TrafoStar 500 MVA.
www.abb.com. Accessed 5 May 2012
- ABB (n.d.-b) The NorNed HVDC connection, Norway - Netherlands. ABB High voltage cables.
www.abb.com. Accessed 17 April 2013
- ABB (n.d.-c) Environmental product declaration. GIS type ELK-3 for 420 kV. www.abb.com
Accessed 15 November 2012
- Arvesen A (2013) Understanding the environmental implications of energy transitions: A case study for wind power. Dissertation, Norwegian University of Science and Technology
- Arvesen A, Birkeland C, Hertwich EG (2013) The importance of ships and spare parts in LCAs of offshore wind power. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (6):2948-2956. doi:10.1021/es304509r
- Arvesen A, Bright RM, Hertwich EG (2011) Considering only first-order effects? How simplifications lead to unrealistic technology optimism in climate change mitigation. *Energy Policy* 39 (11):7448-7454. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2011.09.013
- Arvesen A, Hertwich EG (2011) Environmental implications of large-scale adoption of wind power: a scenario-based life cycle assessment. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 6 (4):045102
- Arvesen A, Hertwich EG (2012) Assessing the life cycle environmental impacts of wind power: A review of present knowledge and research needs. *Renew Sust Energy Rev* 16 (8):5994-6006. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.06.023>
- Azau S (2011) Focusing on 2050. In: EWEA Wind directions: The European wind industry magazine. European Wind Energy Associations (EWEA),
www.ewea.org/annual2011/fileadmin/ewec2011_files/documents/Wind_Directions_March_2011.pdf. Accessed 7 June 2013
- Bengtsson S, Andersson K, Fridell E (2011) A comparative life cycle assessment of marine fuels. *J. Eng. Marit. Environ.* 225 (2):97-110. doi:10.1177/1475090211402136
- Beurskens LWM, Hekkenberg M, Vethman P (2011) Renewable energy projections as published in the National Renewable Energy Action Plans of the European member states. Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands and the European Environment Agency.
www.ecn.nl/docs/library/report/2010/e10069.pdf. Accessed 10 January 2012

- Birkeland C (2011) Assessing the life cycle environmental impacts of offshore wind power generation and power transmission in the North Sea. Dissertation, Norwegian University of Science and Technology
- Blanco, MI (2009) The economics of wind energy. *Renew Sust Energy Rev* 13(6-7): 1372-82.
- Bumby S, Druzhinina E, Feraldi R, Werthmann D, Geyer R, Sahl J (2010) Life Cycle Assessment of Overhead and Underground Primary Power Distribution. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44 (14):5587-5593. doi:10.1021/es9037879
- Callavik M, Blomberg A, Häfner J, Jacobsen B (2012) The hybrid HVDC breaker. An innovation breakthrough enabling reliable HVDC grids. ABB Grid Systems. [http://www05.abb.com/global/scot/scot221.nsf/veritydisplay/c9d5ba256e7e9671c1257ab6004b1feb/\\$file/hybrid-hvdc-breaker---an-innovation-breakthrough-for-reliable-hvdc-gridsnov2012.pdf](http://www05.abb.com/global/scot/scot221.nsf/veritydisplay/c9d5ba256e7e9671c1257ab6004b1feb/$file/hybrid-hvdc-breaker---an-innovation-breakthrough-for-reliable-hvdc-gridsnov2012.pdf). Accessed 24 April 2013
- Cameron L, van Stralen J, Veum K (2011) Scenarios for offshore wind including spatial interaction and grid issues. *Windspeed*. www.windspeed.eu/media/publications/WINDSPEED_D6.1_final.pdf. Accessed 20 March 2013
- Carvalho MG (2012) EU energy and climate change strategy. *Energy* 40 (1):19-22. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2012.01.012
- Crawford RH (2009) Life cycle energy and greenhouse emissions analysis of wind turbines and the effect of size on energy yield. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* 13 (9):2653-2660
- EC (2010a) Energy infrastructure priorities for 2020 and beyond — A Blueprint for an integrated European energy network. COM(2010) 677 final. European Commission (EC)
- EC (2010b) State of play in the EU energy policy. Accompanying document to COM(2010) 639. European Commission (EC). SEC(2010) 1346 final
- Ecoinvent. Life cycle inventory database v2.2 (2010). Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories.
- Erdmann L, Graedel TE (2011) Criticality of Non-Fuel Minerals: A Review of Major Approaches and Analyses. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (18):7620-7630. doi:10.1021/es200563g
- EWEA (2009) Oceans of opportunity. Harnessing Europe's largest domestic energy resource. European Wind Energy Association (EWEA).
- Fripp M (2012) Switch: A Planning Tool for Power Systems with Large Shares of Intermittent Renewable Energy. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46 (11):6371-6378. doi:10.1021/es204645c
- Gordon RB, Bertram M, Graedel TE (2006) Metal stocks and sustainability. *PNAS* 103 (5):1209-1214. doi:10.1073/pnas.0509498103
- Harrison GP, Maclean EJ, Karamanlis S, Ochoa LF (2010) Life cycle assessment of the transmission network in Great Britain. *Energy Policy* 38 (7):3622-3631. doi:DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2010.02.039
- Hauschild M, Goedkoop M, Guinée J, Heijungs R, Huijbregts M, Jolliet O, Margni M, Schryver A, Humbert S, Laurent A, Sala S, Pant R (2013) Identifying best existing practice for characterization modeling in life cycle impact assessment. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 18 (3):683-697. doi:10.1007/s11367-012-0489-5
- Hegger S, Hischer R (2010) ReCiPe - Implementation. Assignment of Characterisation Factors to the Swiss LCI database ecoinvent (version v.2.2). Midpoint method, hierarchist version. ReCiPe and Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories.
- Huertas-Hernando D, Korpås M, van Dyken S (2011) Grid implications: Optimal design of a subsea power grid in the North Sea. *Windspeed*. www.windspeed.eu/media/publications/WINDSPEED_D6.3%5B1%5D.pdf. Accessed 27 September 2012
- IEA (2012) World energy outlook 2012. Paris: International Energy Agency (IEA).
- ISO (2006) Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Principles and framework (ISO 14040: 2006). International Organization for Standardization (ISO).
- Jacquemin J, Butterworth D, Garret C, Baldock N, Henderson A (2011) Inventory of location specific wind energy cost. *Windspeed*. www.windspeed.eu/media/publications/WINDSPEED_D2_2_revised_May_2011.pdf. Accessed 21 March 2013

- Jones CI, McManus MC (2010) Life-cycle assessment of 11 kV electrical overhead lines and underground cables. *J Clean Prod* 18 (14):1464-1477. doi:DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.05.008
- Jorge R, Hawkins T, Hertwich E (2012a) Life cycle assessment of electricity transmission and distribution—part 1: power lines and cables. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 17 (1):9-15. doi:10.1007/s11367-011-0335-1
- Jorge R, Hawkins T, Hertwich E (2012b) Life cycle assessment of electricity transmission and distribution—part 2: transformers and substation equipment. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 17:184-191. doi:10.1007/s11367-011-0336-0
- Jorge RS, Hertwich EG (2013) Environmental evaluation of power transmission in Norway. *Applied Energy* 101:513-520. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.06.004
- Kleijn R, van der Voet E (2010) Resource constraints in a hydrogen economy based on renewable energy sources: An exploration. *Renew Sust Energy Rev* 14 (9):2784-2795. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2010.07.066
- Majeau-Bettez G, Strømman AH, Hertwich EG (2011) Evaluation of Process- and Input–Output-based Life Cycle Inventory Data with Regard to Truncation and Aggregation Issues. *Environ Sci Technol* 45 (23):10170-10177. doi:10.1021/es201308x
- Moe E (2010) Energy, industry and politics: Energy, vested interests, and long-term economic growth and development. *Energy* 35 (4):1730-1740. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2009.12.026
- Mudd GM (2010) The Environmental sustainability of mining in Australia: key mega-trends and looming constraints. *Resources Policy* 35 (2):98-115. doi:10.1016/j.resourpol.2009.12.001
- Nexans (2011) 60-500 kV High voltage underground cables. XLPE insulated cables. www.nexans.com. Accessed 26 April 2011.
- Pettersen J, Hertwich EG (2008) Critical review: Life-cycle inventory procedures for long-term release of metals. *Environ Sci Technol* 42 (13):4639-4647
- Prior T, Giurco D, Mudd G, Mason L, Behrisch J (2012) Resource depletion, peak minerals and the implications for sustainable resource management. *Global Environ Change* 22 (3):577-587. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.08.009
- Riahi K, Dentener F, Gielen D, Grubler A, Jewell J, Klimont Z, Krey V, McCollum D, Pachauri S, Rao S, van Ruijven B, van Vuuren DP, Wilson C (2012) Chapter 17: Energy pathways for sustainable development. In: *Global Energy Assessment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA and the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria
- Rosenbaum RK, Bachmann TM, Gold LS, Huijbregts MAJ, Jolliet O, Juraske R, Koehler A, Larsen HF, MacLeod M, Margni M, McKone TE, Schuhmacher M, Van de Meent D, Hauschild MZ (2008) USEtox--the UNEP-SETAC toxicity model: recommended characterisation factors for human toxicity and freshwater ecotoxicity in life cycle impact assessment. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 13 (7):532-546
- Steen B (2006) Abiotic resource depletion: Different perceptions of the problem with mineral deposits. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 11 (1):49-54. doi:10.1065/lca2006.04.011
- Strømman AH, Solli C, Hertwich EG (2006) Hybrid Life-Cycle Assessment of Natural Gas Based Fuel Chains for Transportation. *Environ Sci Technol* 40 (8):2797-2804. doi:10.1021/es0511523
- Tilton JE, Lagos G (2007) Assessing the long-run availability of copper. *Resources Policy* 32 (1-2):19-23. doi:10.1016/j.resourpol.2007.04.001
- Tukker A, de Koning A, Wood R, Hawkins T, Lutter S, J. A, Rueda Cantuche JM, Bouwmeester M, Oosterhaven J, Drosdowski T, Kuenen J (2013) EXIOPOL -- development and illustrative analyses of a detailed global MR EE SUT/IOT. *Economic Systems Research* 25 (1):50-70
- Unruh GC (2000) Understanding carbon lock-in. *Energy Policy* 28 (12):817-830. doi:10.1016/s0301-4215(00)00070-7
- Veum K, Cameron L, Hernando DH, Korpås M (2011) Roadmap to the deployment of offshore wind energy in the Central and Southern North Sea (2020-2030). *Windspeed*. www.windspeed.eu/media/publications/WINDSPEED_Roadmap_110719_final.pdf. Accessed 27 September 2012
- Wagner H-J, Baack C, Eickelkamp T, Epe A, Lohmann J, Troy S (2011) Life cycle assessment of the offshore wind farm alpha ventus. *Energy* 36 (5):2459-2464. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2011.01.036

Wiedmann TO, Suh S, Feng K, Lenzen M, Acquaye A, Scott K, Barrett JR (2011) Application of hybrid life cycle approaches to emerging energy technologies – The case of wind power in the UK. *Environ Sci Technol* 45 (13):5900-5907. doi:10.1021/es2007287

Windspeed (n.d.) Windspeed. Supporting decisions. Spatial deployment of offshore wind energy in Europe. <http://www.windspeed.eu>. Accessed 19 March 2013

York R (2012) Do alternative energy sources displace fossil fuels? *Nature Climate Change* 2:441-443

Zhai P, Williams ED (2010) Dynamic hybrid life cycle assessment of energy and carbon of multicrystalline silicon photovoltaic systems. *Environ Sci Technol* 44 (20):7950-7955. doi:10.1021/es1026695




Fig. 1. Schematic representations of North Sea grids in GDv05 and ITD20 for the year 2030. Red lines represent existing transmission capabilities; blue (onshore) and green (offshore) lines are new or upgraded links. Transmission capabilities for each line are shown in units of MW. Nominal outputs of offshore wind park clusters are given in blue rectangles (clusters comprise multiple wind parks). Source: adapted from Huertas-Hernando et al. (2011).

Table 1

Annual electricity production (TWh/year) in year 2030 by energy source^a and countries or regions for GDv05 and ITD20 scenarios. Source: aggregate representation of results in Huertas-Hernando et al. (2011).

	Norway and Denmark		Germany		Netherlands and Belgium		Great Britain		Total	
	GDv05	ITD20	GDv05	ITD20	GDv05	ITD20	GDv05	ITD20	GDv05	ITD20
OW, NSg									300	177
OW, other	35.0	33.6	90.5	53.5	43.5	34.0	68.5	76.1	238	197
Hydro	153	153	22.2	22.2	0.9	0.9	6.5	6.5	182	182
Onsh. wind	33.7	33.0	79.5	78.8	16.5	16.3	63.1	62.3	193	190
Solar PV	0.3	0.3	57.9	59.5	10.1	9.9	11.7	11.5	80.0	81.3
Other ren.	14.0	10.3	62.6	62.7	36.1	36.2	48.2	48.2	160	158
Hard coal	0	8.1	99.1	120	35.1	57.2	36.7	60.3	171	245
Lignite	0	0	49.6	60.0	0	0	0	0	49.6	60.0
Gas, oil	7.3	11.9	37.7	85.2	84.3	63.2	79.0	88.6	208	249
Nuclear	0	0	0	0	0	4.7	0	45.5	0	50.1

^a OW, NSg = Offshore wind, North Sea grid-connected (wind farms are connected to the grids depicted in Fig. 1 and not allocated to specific countries). OW, other = Offshore wind in unspecified areas, not connected to the North Sea grids and thus not depicted in Fig. 1. Onsh. wind = Onshore wind. Other ren. = Other renewables (mostly biomass).

Table 2

Physical and monetary inventories for main grid components and operations and maintenance (O&M).

	Array cables [/600 MW wind farm]	Export cables [/km]	Substation structure [/unit] ^a	Substation equipment [/unit]	O&M [/entire GDv05 grid]
Material and energy inputs to manufacturing ^b					
Copper	t	1.89E+03	1.41E+01		3.61E+02

Steel, low-alloy	t	2.01E+03	3.52E+01	4.17E+03	1.44E+03	
Zinc coating of steel	m ²	7.12E+04	5.65E+02			
Lead	t	1.28E+03	2.49E+01			
Polyethylene, HDPE (insulation)	t	3.45E+02				
Polypropylene	t	2.12E+02	3.25E+00			
Kraft paper (insulation)	t		5.96E+00		5.87E+01	
Other materials, substation ^c	t				5.90E+02	
Electricity	kWh	4.45E+06	9.37E+04		6.78E+06	
Natural gas	MJ	4.45E+06	6.43E+04		2.71E+06	
Onshore and offshore transport requirements ^d						
Lorry, >32 t capacity	tkm	1.16E+06	2.38E+05	4.20E+05	5.26E+05	
Marine vessels, MGO fuel	MJ	8.36E+04		9.08E+03	1.66E+04 ^a	1.20E+06
Marine vessels, HFO fuel	MJ		7.79E+04	1.37E+04		
Monetary inputs from IO sectors ^e						
Manuf. cement, lime and plaster	M€			3.57E+00		
Manuf. fabricated metal products	M€			1.79E+00	2.96E+00	
Manuf. el. machinery and app.	M€	2.78E+01	1.63E-01		2.52E+01	7.79E+02
Construction	M€	7.96E+00	1.16E-01	7.15E+00	1.48E+00	1.95E+03
Sea and coastal water transport	M€	3.98E+00	9.30E-02	3.57E+00		3.89E+02
Research and development	M€		4.65E-02		2.05E+01	
Other business activities	M€		4.65E-02	1.79E+00	2.05E+01	7.79E+02

^a Applies to offshore substations only. Includes substructure (foundation) and topside structure.

^b Based on Birkeland (2011), Arvesen et al. (2013), ABB (2010), ABB (n.d.-a), Nexans (2011) and Jacquemin et al. (2011).

^c Comprises lubricating oil (78%), wood packaging (19%), paint (2.7%) and resin (0.2%).

^d Onshore: assuming 100 km from facility to port (installation phase; all components); 100 km from port to treatment (end-of-life; cables only). Offshore: adapted from Arvesen et al. (2013). MGO = Marine gas oil. HFO = Heavy fuel oil.

^e Total costs: adapted from Jacquemin et al. (2011); sectoral breakdowns: own assumptions. Unit: million Euros (M€), year 2000 prices. Abbreviations: Manuf. = Manufacturing of. El. = Electrical. App. = Apparatus.

Table 3

Total indicator values per functional unit (GDv05 grid) and per grid component by 15 impact categories^a.

		Total, GDv05 grid [kWh]	Array cables [/600 MW wind farm]	Export cable [km]	Offshore substation s [/unit]	Onshore substation s [/unit]	O&M [/GDv05 grid]
Climate change	kg CO ₂ -Eq	2.49E-03	3.38E+07	4.31E+05	4.44E+07	2.61E+07	3.72E+09
Fossil depletion	kg oil-Eq	4.17E-04	5.94E+06	7.92E+04	6.30E+06	3.17E+06	6.65E+08
Freshw. ecotox.	kg 1,4-DCB-Eq	8.63E-05	2.24E+06	1.88E+04	7.20E+05	5.11E+05	1.66E+06
Freshw. eutroph.	kg P-Eq	3.95E-06	1.06E+05	8.63E+02	2.83E+04	2.36E+04	5.05E+04
Human toxicity	kg 1,4-DCB-Eq	8.14E-03	2.23E+08	1.80E+06	5.19E+07	4.54E+07	1.53E+08
Marine ecotox.	kg 1,4-DCB-Eq	3.10E-04	2.61E+06	2.16E+04	8.07E+05	5.85E+05	3.71E+07
Marine eutroph.	kg N-Eq	9.96E-05	4.95E+04	4.54E+02	2.96E+04	1.75E+04	5.35E+06
Metal depletion	kg Fe-Eq	2.50E-06	6.69E+07	5.63E+05	2.63E+07	1.54E+07	4.19E+06
Land use	m ² a	2.18E-04	7.25E+05	6.41E+04	1.88E+06	1.62E+06	6.64E+06
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11-Eq	1.02E-10	1.27E+00	1.90E-02	1.34E+00	7.38E-01	9.62E+05
Particulate matt.	kg PM10-Eq	8.34E-06	1.55E+05	1.49E+03	1.14E+05	6.28E+04	2.41E+02
Phot. oxidant	kg NMVOC	1.32E-05	2.17E+05	1.98E+03	1.95E+05	1.05E+05	1.34E+07
Terr. acidification	kg SO ₂ -Eq	2.14E-05	4.02E+05	3.85E+03	2.59E+05	1.59E+05	3.71E+07
Terr. ecotoxicity	kg 1,4-DCB-Eq	5.15E-07	1.18E+04	1.10E+02	4.76E+03	3.32E+03	3.90E+07
Water depletion	m ³	2.04E-05	1.38E+05	1.55E+03	7.49E+04	5.32E+04	2.07E+05

^a Abbreviations: Freshw. = Freshwater, Ecotox. = Ecotoxicity, Eutroph. = Eutrophication, Particulate matt. = Particulate matter formation, Phot. oxidant = Photochemical oxidant formation, Terr = Terrestrial.




Fig. 2. Impact indicator results by five components and nine stressor sources per kWh or MWh transmitted (GDv05). Ecotox. = Ecotoxicity, Phot. oxidant form. = Photochemical oxidant formation. Components: ArrCab = Array cables, ExpCab = Export cable, Struct = Substructure and topside for substation offshore, Subst = Electrical equipment (voltage source converter, transformer, breakers and switchgear) for substations offshore and onshore, O&M = Operations and maintenance.




Fig. 3. Net potential environmental burdens (positive axis) or benefits (negative axis) of GDv05 relative to ITD20. The length of the bars represent, for eight power transmission or generation technologies individually, the total indicator results for GDv05 less the corresponding results for ITD20, measured on an average annual basis for an assumed lifetime of 30 years. Ecotox. = Ecotoxicity, Eutropic. = Eutrophication, Phot. oxidant form. = Photochemical oxidant formation.