Kjell Arne Brekke

Real options and flexibility in major public investment projects

Concept report No. 8







Concept Report no. 8

## Real options and flexibility in major public investment projects

Realopsjoner og fleksibilitet i store offentlige investeringsprosjekter

Kjell Arne Brekke

ISSN: 0803-9763 (paper version) ISSN: 0804-5585 (web version) ISBN: 82-92506-18-7 (paper version) ISBN: 82-92506-19-5 (web version)

Summary: This report discusses how the theory of real options can be used to quantify the value of flexibility in major public investment projects. Flexibility can be connected to choice of start-up timing, dimensions, loosely coupled projects and flexibility in operation.

The report also discuss empirical studies of how new information is used in decision making. Several studies indicate that information about benefits and cost has little influence on priority among alternative projects. Different explanations to these observations are presented.

The author concludes there is great potential for value in utilizing real options in public investment projects. However, in most cases there is little reason to believe that these values can be realized. The value of real options always depends on new information being used in decision making.

Date: September 1, 2005

Publisher: Concept Program

Department of Civil and Transport Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology Høgskoleringen 7A

N-7491 NTNU – Trondheim

Tel. +4773594640 Fax. +4773597021

http://www.concept.ntnu.no

Responsibility for the information in the reports produced on behalf of the Concept-program is on the commissioned party. Views and conclusions is on account of the authors and not necessary identical to the views of the Concept program.

## **Summary**

Kjell Arne Brekke

## Real options and flexibility in major public investment projects

Concept Report no. 8

This report discusses how the theory of real options can be used to quantify the value of flexibility in major public investment projects. Flexibility can be connected to choice of start-up timing, dimensions, loosely coupled projects and flexibility in operation.

The report also discuss empirical studies of how new information is used in decision making. Several studies indicate that information about benefits and cost has little influence on priority among alternative projects. Different explanations to these observations are presented.

The author concludes there is great potential for value in utilizing real options in public investment projects. However, in most cases there is little reason to believe that these values can be realized. The value of real options always depends on new information being used in decision making.